



69TH ANNUAL NATIONAL CONFERENCE

MARCH 31-APRIL 3, 2011

**PALMER HOUSE HILTON
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS**



LYNNE RIENNER PUBLISHERS

20-50%
OFF

VISIT US AT BOOTH 301!




Understanding Revolution
PATRICK VAN INWEGEN • hc \$65 • pb \$27.50

2ND EDITION

**Doing Comparative Politics:
An Introduction to
Approaches and Issues**

TIMOTHY C. LIM • pb \$27.50



FORTHCOMING—3RD EDITION!

**Comparative Politics
of the "Third World":
Linking Concepts and Cases**

DECEMBER GREEN AND
LAURA LUEHRMANN • pb \$32.50

The Arab Gulf States: Beyond Oil and Islam
SEAN FOLEY • hc \$69.95 • pb \$26.50

**Politics and Society in
the Contemporary Middle East**

MICHELE PENNER ANGRIST, EDITOR • hc \$75 • pb \$32.50

WINNER OF THE 2010 AFRICAN POLITICS
CONFERENCE GROUP BEST BOOK AWARD!

Africa: Unity, Sovereignty, and Sorrow
PIERRE ENGLEBERT • hc \$65 • pb \$26.50

**Museveni's Uganda:
Paradoxes of Power in a Hybrid Regime**
AILI MARI TRIPP • hc \$59.95 • pb \$22

NEW—4TH EDITION!

**Ever Closer Union:
An Introduction to
European Integration**
DESMOND DINAN • pb \$28.50

**China, the Developing World,
and the New Global Dynamic**
LOWELL DITTMER AND GEORGE T. YU, EDITORS
hc \$59.95 • pb \$22.50

NEW—2ND EDITION!

**Understanding
Contemporary India**
NEIL DEVOTTA, EDITOR • pb \$26.50



FOCUS ON US POLITICS . . .

**Inside Political Campaigns:
Chronicles—and Lessons
—from the Trenches**

JAMES R. BOWERS AND STEPHEN DANIELS,
EDITORS

"Unique in chronicling the experiences of 11 political scientists who plunged into the real world of campaign politics. The lessons learned . . . are valuable for students and practitioners alike."

—James A. Davis, Oklahoma State University • hc \$65 • pb \$24.50

NEW—2ND EDITION!

**Women and US Politics:
The Spectrum of Political Leadership**
LORI COX HAN

"At last, a text designed to complement how many of us in the field actually teach women and politics."

—Ann Gordon, Chapman University • pb \$26.50

FORTHCOMING—2ND EDITION!

**Elusive Equality:
Women's Rights, Public Policy, and the Law**
SUSAN GLUCK MEZEY

"Deserves a place on course syllabi as required reading."—Susan M. Behuniak, *Law and Politics Book Review* • pb \$26.50



The Quality of Democracy in Latin America

DANIEL H. LEVINE AND JOSÉ E. MOLINA, EDITORS
hc \$65 • pb \$26.50

**Latin America's Left Turns:
Politics, Policies, and Trajectories of Change**
MAXWELL A. CAMERON AND ERIC HERSHBERG, EDITORS
hc \$68.50 • pb \$27.50



**Corruption and Politics in Latin America:
National and Regional Dynamics**

STEPHEN D. MORRIS AND CHARLES H. BLAKE, EDITORS
hc \$68.50 • pb \$27.50



CELEBRATING 27 YEARS OF INDEPENDENT PUBLISHING
1800 30TH STREET • SUITE 314 • BOULDER, CO 80301 • TEL: 303-444-6684 • FAX: 303-444-0824 • www.rienner.com



Midwest Political Science Association

**69th Annual
National Conference**

March 31-April 3, 2011

**Palmer House Hilton
Chicago, Illinois**

Program Chairs

Christoffer Green-Pedersen

Aarhus University

Laura A. Reese

Michigan State University

Tracy Sulkin

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

President

Bryan Jones

University of Texas

Conference Program

\$10

MPSA Office, 320 W. Eighth Street, #218, Bloomington, IN 47404

Phone (812) 558-0588 Fax (812) 335-1510

Conference: conf@mpsanet.org

Membership: mpsainfo@mpsanet.org

Officers and Executive Council

President:

Bryan Jones, *University of Texas*

President-elect:

Janet M. Box-Steffensmeier, *The Ohio State University*

Vice Presidents:

Diana Mutz, *University of Pennsylvania*

Elisabeth R. Gerber, *University of Michigan*

Executive Director:

William D. Morgan, *MPSA*

Editor, *AJPS*:

Rick K. Wilson, *Rice University*

Program Co-chairs, 2010:

Christoffer Green-Pedersen, *Aarhus University*

Laura A. Reese, *Michigan State University*

Tracy Sulkin, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*

Past President:

Gary Segura, *Stanford University*

Executive Council (term)

(2008-2011):

Vanessa Baird, *University of Colorado*

Pradeep Chhibber, *University of California, Berkeley*

Todd Donovan, *Western Washington University*

Melissa Michelson, *California State University, East Bay*

Thomas Schaller, *University of Maryland, Baltimore*

(2009-2012):

Sarah Binder, *George Washington University*

Darren Davis, *University of Notre Dame*

Nolan McCarty, *Princeton University*

Gary Miller, *Washington University in St. Louis*

Tom Rice, *University of Iowa*

(2010-2013):

Matth A. Barreto, *University of Washington*

John T. Ishiyama, *University of North Texas*

Joy K. Langston, *Centro de Investigacion y Docencia
Economicas (CIDE)*

Jill Locke, *Gustavus Adolphus College*

Elaine B. Sharp, *University of Kansas*

MPSA Past Presidents

James K. Pollock
W.H.C. Laves
Francis G. Wilson
John E. Griggs
Howard White
Harold Zink
Llewellyn Pankuchen
Harold M. Door
Charles M. Kneier
Harold M. Vinacke
Kirk H. Porter
Henry W. Voltmer
Aaher Christensen
Edward H. Buehrig
David Fellman
Wilford E. Binkley
Clarence A. Berdahl

Jasper B. Shannon
Aazry Vandenberg
Lloyd M. Shon
Richard Spencer
E. Allen Helms
William O. Farber
John E. Stoner
Clara Pannunzi
Vernon Van Dyke
John D. Lewis
Samuel J. Eldersveld
Merte King
John Wahlke
Leon D. Epstein
Doris A. Graber
Frank Sorauf
Charles Press

Norton Long
Samuel Kristov
Robert Salisbury
John Kessel
Malcolm E. Jewell
Samuel C. Patterson
Dina Zayas
Jack Dennis
Lucas Barber
Elinor Ostrom
W. Phillip Shively
Ada Finifter
John Kingdon
William Croty
Richard Watson
Marjorie Henthley
Charles O. Jones

Susan Welch
Lee Sigelman
John Sprague
James Stinson
Arlene Saxtonhouse
Harold Spaeth
James L. Gibson
Milton Lodge
Robert Huckfeldt
Herbert Weissberg
Lee Epstein
Virginia Gray
John H. Aldrich
Ken Meler
Gregory Caldeira
Roderoy Hero
Jeffrey Segal
Gary Segura

Table of Contents

Conference Information	5
MPSA Membership Information	6
Endowment Funds & MPSA Lifetime Members	7
Palmer House Information	8
Travel Information	9
Participant Instructions & Audio Visual Information	10
Program Overview	11
Poster Sessions	12
Meetings and Receptions	13
Related Groups	14
Program Co-chairs' Sessions	16
MPSA Annual Business Meeting and President's Reception	21
Conference Sponsors	22
Election of Officers and Conference Scholarships	23
2010 Paper Awards	24
2012 Conference Invitation	26
2012 Call for Papers and Proposals	27
2011 Awards Competition	28
2012 Nominations Committee and MPSA Scholarships	29
Instructions to Contributors to the AJPS	30
Alphabetical Directory of Exhibitors	31
Directory of Acquisition Editors	33
Advertisers	36
Overview of Sessions by Section	83
Daily Program Schedule of Panels/Roundtables/Poster Sessions	112
Thursday, 8:30 am – 10:10 am	112
Thursday, 10:25 am – 12:05 pm	131
Thursday, 12:45 pm – 2:25 pm	151

Thursday, 2:40 pm – 4:20 pm	172
Thursday, 4:35 pm – 6:15 pm	194
Friday, 8:30 am – 10:10 am	213
Friday, 10:25 am – 12:05 pm	232
Friday, 12:45 pm – 2:25 pm	253
Friday, 2:40 pm – 4:20 pm	274
Friday, 4:35 pm – 6:15 pm	296
Saturday, 8:30 am – 10:10 am	318
Saturday, 10:25 am – 12:05 pm	337
Saturday, 12:45 pm – 2:25 pm	359
Saturday, 2:40 pm – 4:20 pm	381
Saturday, 4:35 pm – 6:15 pm	402
Sunday, 8:30 am – 10:10 am	421
Sunday, 10:25 am – 12:05 pm	439
Index of Participants	458
Hotel Floor Plans	527
Notes	532

MPSA 2011

Conference Information

Session Meeting Rooms: Sessions will be held in the following locations:

- On the Lobby Level: Honore which is on the right, past Potter's Bar as you exit the main elevators; Empire is directly across the Lobby as you exit the main elevators
- On the 3rd floor: Ashland, Congress, Cresthill, Crystal, Harvard, Indiana, Kimball, Logan, Madison, Marshfield, Wabash, Wilson, and Salons 1-10 and 12,
- On the 5th floor: Buckingham, Chicago, and Price
- On the 6th floor: Grant Park Parlor, Hancock Parlor, Medinah Parlor, Millenium Parlor, Parlor G, Spire Parlor, Water Tower Parlor, and Wrigley Parlor;
- On the 7th floor: Burnham, Clark, Dearborn, LaSalle, Montrose, and Sandburg Wings

Maps of the floors begin on page 527 of the program.

Traditional Panels and Roundtable Sessions: Session times run 1 hour and 40 minutes and will begin Thursday through Saturday at 8:30, 10:25, 12:45, 2:40, and 4:35; Sunday at 8:30 and 10:25.

Poster Sessions: Research posters will be displayed Thursday, Friday, and Saturday in the Exhibit Hall located in the Grand and State Ballrooms on the 4th floor. Poster sessions start at 10:25, 12:45, 2:40, and 4:35 on Thursday and Friday and at 10:25, 12:45, and 2:40 on Saturday. The posters are scheduled during the same time slots as the panels. The authors will be present to answer questions and distribute copies of their research findings. Please browse the poster sessions throughout the day. Authors may set up the poster display 15 minutes prior to the start of their session. All necessary posting materials will be supplied. Authors must remove all poster materials promptly at the conclusion of their session.

Exhibit Hall: The central location for the conference is the Exhibit Hall located in the Grand and State Ballrooms, which are located on the 4th floor, three escalator rides up from the hotel lobby. Please visit the Exhibit Hall for poster sessions, exhibits, computers for email, and refreshments. Exhibit Hall hours are Thursday 9:30 am – 7:30 pm; Friday 9:30 am – 6:30 pm, and Saturday 9:30 am – 5:00 pm. Be sure to attend the MPSA Exhibitor Reception there on Thursday from 6:30 pm – 7:30 pm. You must present your conference name badge to enter the Exhibit Hall.

Citation of MPSA Papers: The association's policy is that papers presented at the annual conference are in the public domain and may not be restricted from citation or attribution. Presentations may be taped for use later as podcasts with permission.

Placement Center: The MPSA sponsors a Placement Center for job candidates. The Placement Center is located on the 4th floor next to registration; hours are Thursday through Saturday from 8:00 am – 5:00 pm. Job candidates or schools that wish to interview candidates should register at the Placement Center. Candidates and schools will be assigned message boxes. There is no charge for this service, but anyone using the service must be registered for the conference. Space has been set aside for candidates and employers to meet on the balcony level of the Exhibit Hall located in the Grand and State Ballrooms on the 4th floor.

Email Kiosk Center: An Email Kiosk Center is located in the Exhibit Hall.

Coffee Stations: Complimentary coffee will be available Thursday through Saturday in the Exhibit Hall which is located in the Grand Ballroom on the 4th floor. On Sunday, coffee will be available near the 7th floor meeting rooms.

Message Board: You will find a message board located near registration on the 4th floor.

We have set aside a room for **Nursing Mothers**. If you wish to use the room, please check in at the conference registration desk for room location and access information.

A **presenter green room** is located on the 7th floor in Clark 2. A/V equipment is set up so that you can test your presentation in advance. The green room will be open Thursday through Saturday, 8:30 am – 6:00 pm.

Chairs and discussants are responsible for completing **Presenter Attendance Forms** for their session and recommending papers to the MPSA office for awards. You may nominate papers by filling in your nominations on the attendance form. Forms should be deposited in the marked receptacles on either the 4th or the 7th floors near the elevators.

JSTOR ACCESS. For an additional \$25, MPSA membership includes electronic access to back issues of the *American Journal of Political Science* (1957-2008) (continues *Midwest Journal of Political Science*), *American Political Science Review* (1906-2006), *PS: Political Science & Politics* (1968-2006) (continues *PS*), *American Journal of International Law* (1907-2009), *Political Science Quarterly* (1886-2004), *Perspectives on Politics* (2003-2006), and *Proceedings of the American Political Science Association* (1904-1913). This access is available on a calendar year basis.

TAX-DEDUCTIBLE CONTRIBUTIONS TO MPSA FUNDS. When you renew your membership, please consider a tax-deductible donation to one of the various MPSA funds listed below.

Best Paper Awards. MPSA currently offers eight awards for best papers presented at the annual national conference that are funded through contributions.

- *Best Paper in International Relations Award* is a \$250 award for the best paper presented in the field of international relations.
- *Emerging Scholar Award* is a \$250 award for the best paper, regardless of field or topic, authored by a scholar or scholars who has or have received their terminal degree(s) no sooner than six years prior to the year of the meeting at which the paper was delivered.
- *Kellogg/Notre Dame Award* is a \$250 award for the best paper in the field of comparative politics.
- *Lucius Barker Award*, named in honor of Lucius Barker, is a \$250 award for the best paper on a topic investigating race or ethnicity and politics and honoring the spirit and work of Professor Barker.
- *Patrick J. Feit Award* is a \$250 award for the best paper on the scientific study of Congress and the presidency.
- *Review of Politics Award* is a \$250 award for the best paper in the field of normative political theory.
- *Robert H. Durr Award* is a \$250 award for the best paper applying quantitative methods to a substantive problem.
- *Sophonisba Breckenridge Award* is a \$250 award for the best paper on women and politics.

Best Poster Awards. MPSA will offer two new awards for best posters presented at the annual national conference that are funded through contributions.

- *Best Poster Award* is a \$250 award for the best poster presentation.
- *Best Undergraduate Poster Award* is a \$250 award for the best poster presented by an undergraduate.

Harrell Rogers Travel Scholarship. This scholarship, sponsored by the Policy Studies Organization, provides \$250 stipends for graduate students to attend the MPSA Annual National Conference.

MPSA Endowment. Contributions to the endowment support the long-term strength of the Association.

The MPSA wishes to thank those who have contributed to the Endowment Funds for 2010. These include various award funds and conference funds (see above). Contributions to these programs help the Association to meet its goals in future years and enable us to serve the needs of our discipline.

Lifetime Members

Kevin Arceneaux
David Austen-Smith
Olson Bain
Jason Barabas
Sarah Binder
Thomas L. Brunell
Leonard Champney
Jose Antonio Cheibub
Wendy K. Tam Cho
John A. Clark
Jeffrey E. Cohen
Annie Cole
Clare H. De Vreese

Scott W. Desposato
David Peter Dolowitz
Morris P. Fiorina
Jane Green
Nicholas P. Guehlstorf
Habrie Han
Moshe Haapel
Jennifer L. Hochschild
Madeleine O. Hoshi
Christopher C. Hull
William G. Jacoby
Patrick James
Kathleen Hall Jamieson

Debra Javeline
Jennifer Jerit
Masaaki Katoaka
Eric D. Lawrence
Diqing Lou
Forrest A. Maltzman
David Merchant
Megan Mullin
Chad Rector
Gina Yannitell Reinhardt
Dan Reiter
Paul Rich
Virginia Sapiro

Julian Schwedler
Jeffrey A. Segal
Helli A. Semetko
Arnold F. Shober
Aniya Kai Stokes-Brown
Peter C. Stone
Kaare Strom
Naoko Taniguchi
Larry Darrell Terry, II
Michael M. Ting
David L. Weisner
Herb Weisberg
Susan Welch
David C. Wilson

Palmer House Information

The Palmer House is one of the finest hotels in Chicago, located in the heart of downtown. Established in 1871, the Palmer House holds the title of the "longest continuously running hotel in North America." Always busy, with 1,639 rooms, the Palmer House also boasts of being a "city within a city." Palmer House personnel will be happy to assist in any way that they can to insure that your stay is a pleasant one. Maps of the Palmer House meeting locations start on page 527 of the program.

Handicap accessibility information is available from the hotel registration desk.

Palmer House Dining: The Lockwood Restaurant and Bar, located on the Lobby Level, provides contemporary American dining. Hours of operation are Sunday through Thursday 6:00 am – 10:00 pm, Friday and Saturday 6:30 am – 11:00 pm.

Potters is located on the Lobby Level and is open from 4:00 pm to 12:00 am daily. Potters offers cocktails and a light menu.

The Lobby Bar in the main lobby of the hotel is open from 11:00 am – 12:00 am daily. Make reservations for afternoon tea served 2:00 – 4:00 pm daily (reservations are required for afternoon tea). Make your reservations by calling (312) 917-3404.

Palmer House Concierge Desk: Whether it's dinner reservations, ticket services, in-room child care, or general travel advice, the Palmer House concierges can make your experience at the MPSA the best one. Hours are Monday thru Friday from 7:00 am – 8:00 pm, Saturday and Sunday from 8:00 am – 8:00 pm. You may reach the Concierge Desk by dialing ext. 27 from any hotel phone or by dialing (312) 917-3477 from outside the Palmer House.

UPS Store and Business Center: Located at the Street Level by the Wabash entrance, the UPS Store and Business Center offers a variety of services, including packaging, postage, copying, faxing, computer access, Internet access, and cell phone rentals. The Center is open from 6:00 am – 10:00 pm Monday through Friday, from 8:00 am – 4:00 pm Saturday and Sunday. Dial ext. 1705 from any hotel phone to reach the Center. The hotel fax number for guests is (312) 917-1707. Documents may be emailed to store5905@theupsstore.com.

Fitness Club and Pool: The Palmer House Fitness Club hours are Monday through Friday from 5:30 am – 9:00 pm, Saturday and Sunday from 7:00 am – 7:00 pm. Pool hours are from 5:30 am – 9:00 pm Monday through Friday and from 7:00 am – 7:00 pm Saturday and Sunday. The Palmer House offers free use of their fitness areas to all MPSA attendees staying at the Palmer House.

Feelings Gift and Sundries Shop: This shop is located on the Street Level before you exit the Hotel on State Street and is open from 7:00 am – 10:00 pm daily.

Free Internet: In-room internet is free to all MPSA attendees staying in the Palmer House. Each guest will receive a code at check-in and you will use this code to access the internet in your hotel room.

ATMs: ATMs are available on both the Street and Lobby Levels.

Emergencies: Urgent messages for conference participants can be directed to the registration desk on the 4th floor during the registration hours. Messages will be relayed to conference participants as quickly as possible and will be posted on the message board. The Palmer House switchboard number is (312) 726-7500.

Parking and Travel: If you drive to the hotel, parking is available in the Grant Park or Monroe Street garages located between Michigan Avenue and Lake Shore Drive. The Palmer House garage is at 55 E. Monroe, just east of the hotel. The self-parking fee is \$38; valet parking is \$61 and is also available to hotel guests, though it is more expensive.

MPSA attendees staying at the Palmer House may print boarding passes and flight itineraries free of charge at the self-service Business Office located on the 7th floor or the UPS Store on Street Level by the Wabash exit.

Airport Express shuttles pick up and drop off on the Wabash Street entrance to the Palmer House.

Travel

Safety in the City: Please exercise caution in Chicago, just as in any other big city. A few simple precautions include the following: Remove your badge outside the conference site; Keep all valuables out of sight; Don't display expensive jewelry, cameras, bags, and other items that might draw attention; Avoid pickpockets (wallets should be kept in front pockets and purses worn across the shoulder); stick to well-lit, well-traveled streets; and always lock your car.

Ground Transportation: Getting around Chicago without a car is easy -- use public transportation, taxis, or walk! If you need advice on getting around, ask the hotel concierge.

Shuttle: Airport Express runs buses from O'Hare and Midway airports to the Palmer House. Airport shuttles leave airports every 10 to 15 minutes. Shuttles cost \$27.00 one way between O'Hare and downtown, or \$22.00 one way between Midway and downtown. Approximate time to O'Hare is 40 minutes and 25 minutes to Midway. For information, schedules, or reservations, call (888) 284-3826 or contact the hotel concierge for hotel departure information. Be sure to state you are a MPSA conference attendee. All major credit cards are accepted.

Bus/Train: Continental Air Transport (CTA) runs service from the Palmer House to O'Hare and Midway airports. Approximate time from downtown is 45 minutes to O'Hare and 30 minutes to Midway. The fare for one ride is \$2.25 and \$0.25 per transfer. For route, fare, and schedule information, call (888) 968-7282. If you plan on using the CTA to get around, **Visitor Passes** are your best value available for one day (\$5.75), three days (\$14.00), and seven days (\$23.00). These allow unlimited rides on buses and trains. Purchase passes at airports, visitor information centers, Union Station, and other select Chicago attractions or inquire at the hotel for details about this service.

Taxis/cabs: Taxis from O'Hare typically cost approximately \$35.00 to \$40.00, and from Midway they cost approximately \$25.00 to \$30.00. An additional charge of \$1.00 is added to the total fare on each trip to or from the airports under the State of Illinois Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority Airport Departure Ordinance. The tax should appear on the meter as an "extra" charge. In Chicago, you pay the amount shown on the taximeter, plus any tolls. The meter should start at \$2.25 and then increase \$1.80 for every mile or \$2.00 for every six minutes of waiting time. There is an extra \$1.00 charge for additional passengers over the age of 12 and under the age of 65. There is no extra charge for baggage or credit card use, and tipping is optional. Drivers are not required to carry or to change bills larger than \$20.00. Cabs are readily available at the hotel.

Chicago Trolley Company: Chicago's best fully narrated tour stops at all of Chicago's top attractions and offers San Francisco style trolleys or London style double-decker buses. Tours take about two hours. The tour offers unlimited boarding for those who wish to explore the city, with another trolley or bus arriving every 10 to 15 minutes. Some of the stops on the route are the Millennium Park, the Art Institute, Hilton Towers and Hotel, Field Museum, Navy Pier, Water Tower, Magnificent Mile, Theater District, Hancock Center, and River North. Hours of operation are from 9:00 am to 6:30 pm seven days per week. All day tickets are \$29.00 for adults, \$24.00 for seniors, and \$17.00 for children ages 3-11. Tickets may be purchased at all boarding locations beginning at 8:45 am. For locations without a ticket booth, board the trolley and purchase a ticket from the driver. Call (773) 648-5000 for routes and departure times.

Chicago CityPass: The CityPass offers tickets to six of Chicago's best attractions and saves you 50% off box office prices if purchased individually. This is not a group tour and is designed to allow independent touring. The CityPass includes admission to the Adler Planetarium, Field Museum and Underground Adventure, Shedd Aquarium VIP, and Hancock Observatory or Sears Tower Skydeck Fast Pass. Tickets are valid for nine days from first use and are \$79.00 for adults and \$59.00 for children. CityPass may be purchased at any of these attractions. For questions, visit their web site at www.citypass.com or call (888) 330-5008.

Chicago Hauntings: The two hour and 45 minute tour visits 30 haunted sites, including stops where guests may depart the bus and investigate for themselves. Tours depart Friday and Saturday at 7:00 pm from 600 N. Clark Street (Clark and Ontario), next to the Rock & Roll McDonalds, across from the Hard Rock Café, and Rainforest Café. Tickets are \$28. For more information and reservations, call (888) 446-7891.

O'Leary's Chicago Fire Truck Tours: Pick-up location is right along side the Intercontinental Hotel on Michigan and Illinois Streets. Please note Michigan and Illinois have two levels, and we are on the Upper Level. The one-hour tour goes south on Michigan across the river to Engine 13 on Columbus and Wacker, then down Michigan past Millennium Park and the Art Institute. From there the tour takes you to Buckingham Fountain down Columbus through Grant Park, over to the Museum Campus past Monroe, Buckingham, and Dusabo Harbors, then down Lake Shore Drive past Navy Pier and the filtration plant.

Participant Instructions

Panels

Panels provide each author with an opportunity to present an abbreviated version of his or her paper. Feedback and comments will be provided by the discussant, followed by comments, questions, and discussion including the audience. Having three to five papers assigned to a session allows approximately 5 minutes for the chair to introduce the session, 10 to 15 minutes per author presentation, 20 minutes of feedback, and 15 minutes of discussion with the audience.

The Role of Panel Chair

The chair is responsible for introducing each paper and the authors as well as the discussant(s). The chair is also responsible for completing the presenter attendance form for the session. In addition, the chair is responsible for managing the time for each presentation and insuring that the discussion is polite and productive. The chair's duties do not conclude at the end of the session. The chair is responsible for recommending papers to the MPSA office for any awards. We strongly encourage you to do this as soon as possible while the session is still fresh in your mind. You may nominate papers by indicating your nominations (name of author(s), title of paper, and award) on the attendance form or by email to awards@mpsanet.org. Attendance/nomination forms should be deposited in the marked receptacles on either the 4th or the 7th floors near the elevators.

The Role of Discussant

Discussants provide feedback on the research papers, pointing out both the strengths and areas of improvement of the papers or posters. They also may try to place papers in a broader research context and discuss trends and themes. For poster sessions, the discussant will examine each poster to which they are assigned and provide feedback to the author. It is much more casual than serving as a discussant at a panel where they provide feedback in front of an audience. However it also allows for much more specific and individual feedback. Additionally discussants complete the presenter attendance form for the session and recommend papers to the MPSA office for awards. You may nominate papers or posters by indicating your nominations (name of author(s), title of paper, and award) on the attendance form or by email to awards@mpsanet.org. Attendance/nomination forms should be deposited in the marked receptacles on either the 4th or the 7th floors near the elevators.

Posters

We attempt to organize the posters by topic to encourage more conference attendees to visit the poster presentations. Poster board assignments are listed in the Daily Program Schedule. Poster sessions are held in the 4th floor Exhibit Hall located in the Grand and State Ballrooms, and they are arranged in one long row in the north end of the hall. Posters should be attached to one side of an 8' x 4' freestanding bulletin board. You should arrive 15 minutes before your session begins and remain throughout your entire poster session to answer questions. Have all presentation materials prepared for quick assembly. Poster materials need to be tacked to the board; the MPSA provides supplies to do this. Please be sure to remove your poster at the end of the poster session, because another group of posters will be scheduled after yours.

Audio/Visual Instructions

LCD projectors and laptops are provided in all panel rooms. The laptop should already be powered on and at the desktop. If the laptop is not on, slide the front left button until it powers on. Click on "Conference Presenter" to log in. Follow the directions below:

- Inset your flash/thumb drive.
- Wait for a browse window to pop up.
- Double click on your file and it will open with the appropriate program.
- If a browse window does not pop up, search for your document in "Drive D: Removable Disc."

When you are finished with your presentation please click on the Safely Remove Hardware icon (flash/thumb drive with a green check mark), in the bottom right hand side of the screen. Wait until you are prompted to remove your flash/thumb drive.

Program Overview

Wednesday, March 30

4:00 pm – 7:00 pm

7:00 pm – 8:00 pm

Registration

MPSA Welcome Reception

4th Floor

Empire, Lobby Level

Thursday, March 31

7:30 am – 5:00 pm

8:00 am – 5:00 pm

8:30 am – 10:10 am

9:30 am – 7:30 pm

10:25 am – 12:05 pm

12:20 pm – 2:20 pm

12:45 pm – 2:25 pm

2:40 pm – 4:20 pm

4:35 pm – 6:15 pm

6:30 pm – 7:30 pm

8:00 pm – 9:00 pm

Registration

Placement Center

Panels and Roundtables

Exhibits

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

MPSA Council Meeting & Luncheon

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

MPSA Exhibitor Reception

MPSA Minority Caucus Reception

4th Floor

4th Floor

Grand and State, 4th Floor

Rosebud Prime, 1 S. Dearborn St.

Grand and State, 4th Floor

Empire, Lobby Level

Friday, April 1

7:30 am – 5:00 pm

8:00 am – 5:00 pm

8:30 am – 10:10 am

9:30 am – 6:30 pm

10:25 am – 12:05 pm

12:45 pm – 2:25 pm

2:40 pm – 4:20 pm

4:35 pm – 6:15 pm

6:30 pm – 7:30 pm

Registration

Placement Center

Panels and Roundtables

Exhibits

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

MPSA Mentoring Reception for Grad Students

4th Floor

4th Floor

Grand and State, 4th Floor

Empire, Lobby Level

Saturday, April 2

7:30 am – 5:00 pm

8:00 am – 5:00 pm

8:30 am – 10:10 am

9:30 am – 5:00 pm

10:25 am – 12:05 pm

12:45 pm – 2:25 pm

2:40 pm – 4:20 pm

4:35 pm – 6:15 pm

6:30 pm – 7:15 pm

7:15 pm – 8:45 pm

Registration

Placement Center

Panels and Roundtables

Exhibits

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

Panels, Posters, Roundtables

Panels and Roundtables

MPSA Business Meeting

MPSA President's Reception

4th Floor

4th Floor

Grand and State, 4th Floor

Red Lacquer, 4th Floor

Red Lacquer, 4th Floor

Sunday, April 3

8:00 am – 10:40 am

8:30 am – 10:10 am

10:25 am – 12:05 pm

Registration

Panels and Roundtables

Panels and Roundtables

4th Floor

Poster Sessions

Thursday, March 31

10:25 am - 12:05 pm

Poster Session 58-200 Methodology Posters

Poster Session 73-200 Theory Posters

12:45 pm - 2:25 pm

Poster Session 62-200 Public Policy/Public Administration Posters

2:40 pm - 4:20 pm

Poster Session 59-200 American Politics Posters I

4:35 pm - 6:15 pm

Poster Session 59-201 American Politics Posters II

Friday, April 1

10:25 am - 12:05 pm

Poster Session 61-200 International Relations Posters I

12:45 pm - 2:25 pm

Poster Session 61-201 International Relations Posters II

2:40 pm - 4:20 pm

Poster Session 60-200 Comparative Politics Posters I

4:35 pm - 6:15 pm

Poster Session 60-201 Comparative Politics Posters II

Saturday, April 2

10:25 am - 12:05 pm

Poster Session 63-200 Undergraduate Poster I

12:45 pm - 2:25 pm

Poster Session 63-201 Undergraduate Poster II

2:40 pm - 4:20 pm

Poster Session 63-202 Undergraduate Posters III

Research posters will be displayed Thursday through Saturday in the Exhibit Hall located in the Grand and State Ballrooms on the 4th floor. The posters are scheduled during the same time slots as the panels. The authors will be present to answer questions and distribute copies of their research findings. Please browse the poster sessions throughout the day. Authors may set up the poster display 15 minutes prior to the start of their session. All necessary posting materials will be supplied. Authors must remove all poster materials promptly at the conclusion of their session.

There are two poster awards given during the MPSA conference: a \$250 award for the best poster presentation during the conference and a \$250 award for the best poster presented by an undergraduate. If you are serving as a discussant during a poster session, please remember to make your nominations for these awards.

Meetings and Receptions

Wednesday, March 30

MPSA Welcome Reception	7:00 pm – 8:00 pm	Empire	Lobby Level
------------------------	-------------------	--------	-------------

Thursday, March 31

MPSA Council Luncheon Meeting	12:20 pm – 2:20 pm	Rosebud Prime, 1 S. Dearborn Street	
The Ohio State University Reception	6:15 pm – 7:45 pm	Crystal	3 rd Floor
MPSA Exhibitor Reception	6:30 pm – 7:30 pm	Grand/State	4 th Floor
Midwest Latino/a Caucus Meeting	6:30 pm – 8:00 pm	Congress	3 rd Floor
Midwest Women's Caucus Business Meeting	6:30 pm – 8:00 pm	Honore	Lobby Level
MPSA Minority Caucus' Reception	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Empire	Lobby Level
National Conference of Black Political Scientists Reception	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Empire	Lobby Level
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Caucus Reception	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Empire	Lobby Level
Midwest Latino/a Caucus Reception	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Empire	Lobby Level
Midwest Women's Caucus Reception	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Empire	Lobby Level

Friday, April 1

State Politics and Policy Quarterly Editorial Board Meeting	7:30 am – 8:30 am	Honore	Lobby Level
Mini Workshop on Advocacy Coalition Framework	10:00 am – 5:00 pm	Wabash	3 rd Floor
Southern Political Science Association Meeting	10:00 am – 11:00 am	Honore	Lobby Level
AJPS Editorial Board Meeting	5:30 pm – 6:15 pm	Crystal	3 rd Floor
AJPS Reception for Reviewers	6:30 pm – 7:30 pm	Crystal	3 rd Floor
MPSA Mentoring Reception	6:30 pm – 7:30 pm	Empire	Lobby Level
University of Michigan, Political Scientists of Color "Meet and Reunite"	6:30 pm – 7:30 pm	Suite 8-254	8 th Floor
Columbia University Reception	6:30 pm – 8:00 pm	Suite 9-128	9 th Floor
Indiana University Reception	6:30 pm – 8:00 pm	Suite 8-150	8 th Floor
University of Houston, Rice University, Texas A&M University, Texas Tech, and University of Texas Reception	10:00 pm – 11:30 pm	Honore	Lobby Level

Saturday, April 2

Midwest Caucus for Public Administration Business Meeting & Herb Simon Lecture: <u>Reputation and Government Behavior</u> Daniel Carpenter, <i>Harvard University</i>	4:35 pm	Crystal	3 rd Floor
The American Conservative Magazine Reception For John Mearsheimer and Daniel Larison	6:00 pm – 8:00 pm	The Gage Restaurant	24 S. Michigan Avenue at Monroe St.
MPSA Business Meeting	6:30 pm – 7:15 pm	Red Lacquer	
MPSA President's Reception	7:15 pm – 8:45 pm	Red Lacquer	

Related Groups

Advocacy Coalition Framework				
Friday	10:00 am – 5:00 pm	Mini Workshop	Wabash	3 rd Floor
Caucus for LGBT Political Science				
Thursday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Panel 66-1	Parlor O	6 th Floor
Thursday	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Reception	Empire	Lobby Level
Friday	8:30 am-10:10 am	Panel 66-2	Crystal	3 rd Floor
Saturday	10:25 am-12:05 pm	Panel 66-3	Congress	3 rd Floor
Caucus for New Political Science				
Thursday	2:40 pm - 4:20 pm	Panel 68-1	Kimball	3 rd Floor
Friday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Panel 68-2	Sandburg 8	7 th Floor
Leadership and Politics				
Saturday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Panel 67-1	Salon 12	3 rd Floor
Midwest Caucus for Public Administration				
Saturday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Roundtable 70-10	Spire Parlor	6 th Floor
Saturday	4:35 pm	Herb Simon Lecture: <u>Reputation and Government Behavior</u> Daniel Carpenter, <i>Harvard University</i> & Business Meeting	Crystal	3 rd Floor
Sunday	8:30 am-10:10 am	Roundtable 70-10	Spire Parlor	6 th Floor
Midwest Latino/a Caucus				
Thursday	6:30 pm – 8:00 pm	Business Meeting	Congress	3 rd Floor
Thursday	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Reception	Empire	Lobby Level
Saturday	4:35 pm - 6:15 pm	Panel 69-1	Chicago	5 th Floor
Midwest Women's Caucus				
Thursday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Roundtable 64-100	Hancock Parlor	6 th Floor
Thursday	4:35 pm - 6:15 pm	Roundtable 64-104	Honore	Lobby Level
Thursday	6:30 pm – 8:00 pm	Business Meeting	Honore	Lobby Level
Thursday	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Reception	Empire	Lobby Level
Friday	2:40 pm - 4:20 pm	Roundtable 64-103	Spire Parlor	6 th Floor
Friday	4:35 pm - 6:15 pm	Roundtable 64-102	Hancock Parlor	6 th Floor
Saturday	4:35 pm - 6:15 pm	Roundtable 64-101	Hancock Parlor	6 th Floor
National Conference of Black Political Scientists				
Thursday	8:00 pm – 9:00 pm	Reception	Empire	Lobby Level
Political Networks				
Thursday	2:40 pm - 4:20 pm	Panel 72-3	LaSalle 1	7 th Floor
Friday	10:25 am-12:05 pm	Panel 72-1	LaSalle 2	7 th Floor
Friday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Panel 72-2	Chicago	5 th Floor
Saturday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Panel 72-5	Kimball	3 rd Floor
Saturday	4:35 pm - 6:15 pm	Panel 72-4	LaSalle 1	7 th Floor

Politics, Literature, and Film

Thursday	8:30 am-10:10 am	Panel 71-8	Sandburg 2	7 th Floor
Thursday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Panel 71-1	Sandburg 6	7 th Floor
Thursday	4:35 pm - 6:15 pm	Panel 71-3	Parlor G	6 th Floor
Friday	8:30 am-10:10 am	Panel 71-2	Salon 4	3 rd Floor
Friday	12:45 pm - 2:25 pm	Panel 71-4	Clark 3	7 th Floor
Saturday	8:30 am-10:10 am	Panel 71-6	Sandburg 2	7 th Floor
Saturday	10:25 am-12:05 pm	Roundtable 71-100	Salon 3	3 rd Floor
Saturday	2:40 pm - 4:20 pm	Panel 71-9	LaSalle 4	7 th Floor
Saturday	4:35 pm - 6:15 pm	Panel 71-7	Burnham 5	7 th Floor
Sunday	10:25 am-12:05 pm	Panel 71-5	Clark 9	7 th Floor

Society for Greek Political Thought

Thursday	4:35 pm - 6:15 pm	Panel 65-1	Indiana	3 rd Floor
Friday	2:40 pm - 4:20 pm	Panel 65-2	Sandburg 2	7 th Floor
Saturday	8:30 am-10:10 am	Panel 65-3	Madison	3 rd Floor

Southern Political Science Association

Friday	10:00 am - 11:00 am	Meeting	Honore	Lobby Level
--------	---------------------	---------	--------	-------------

State Politics and Policy Quarterly

Friday	7:30 am - 8:30 am	Board Meeting	Honore	Lobby Level
--------	-------------------	---------------	--------	-------------

Program Co-chairs' Panels
Christoffer Green-Pedersen, Aarhus University
Laura A. Reese, Michigan State University
Tracy Sulkin, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am

- 1-1 **Presidents and the "New" Party System in Historical Perspective**
 Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Nicole Mellor, *Williams College*
 nmellor@williams.edu
- 8:35 **Presidential Partisanship Reexamined: Eisenhower, Nixon, Ford, and the Rise of Polarized Politics**
 This paper develops theoretically grounded expectations of how presidents are likely to act under different conditions and uses this framework to uncover consequential acts of partisanship in earlier periods that have long been overlooked.
 Daniel Galvin, *Northwestern University*
 galvin@northwestern.edu
- 8:50 **Barack Obama, the Democratic Party, and the Evolution of the American Party System**
 We view Obama's presidential leadership through the lens of the modern presidency's evolving relationship with the political parties. Our analysis shows that Obama has attempted to walk the fine line between post-partisanship and partisanship.
 Sidney M. Milkis, *University of Virginia*
 smm8e@virginia.edu
 Jesse Huxler Rhodes, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
 jrhodes@polsci.umass.edu
- 9:05 **Continuity and Change in Party Organization**
 Organizational theories that highlight the impact of strategic choice increase our understanding of party dynamics along with normalizing political parties as normal organizations.
 MBred A. Schwartz, *New York University*
 mlired@uic.edu
- 9:20 **The Presidential-House Election Connection, 1900 - 2010**
 An analysis of the relationship of presidential - House election results from 1900-2010. This relationship was strong, declined, and then returned because presidents led change away from House result, and not vice versa.
 Jeff M. Stovacek, *Syracuse University*
 jstovace@syr.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., John J. Coleman, University of Wisconsin**
 colemtj@polisci.wisc.edu
Disc., Nicole Mellor, Williams College
 nmellor@williams.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am

- 1-4 **Voting Behavior and Campaigns at the Local Level: Exploring the Roles of Race and Polarization**
 Room Crystal on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Michael W. Wagner, *University of Nebraska*
 mwagner3@unl.edu
- 10:30 **Post-Race White? Anxiety and the (re)Emergence of White Politicians in Black Political Spaces**
 What role does emotion and affective states like anxiety play in facilitating racial cross-over voting among Blacks in majority-minority spaces?

- Nyran N. Crawford, *Ohio State University*
 crawford.524@polisci.osu.edu
- 10:45 **Race, Inequality, Fragmentation, and Southern Exceptionalism: An Exploration of the Causes of Metropolitan Political Polarization in the 2000 Election**
 Using precinct-level level data from the 2000 presidential election, this paper explores the causes of metropolitan political polarization.
 Katharine Levine Elusteta, *Harvard University*
 klevine@fas.harvard.edu
- 11:00 **Why "Machine vs. Reform" Has Not Withered Away: Regime Conflict After the First (and Second) Black Mayor**
 Racial unity characterizes the first Black mayoral victory. Where this breakthrough is not rolled back, African American candidates structure electoral choice along Machine vs. Reform lines, even in a post-machine age.
 Rich Kriser, *Carleton College*
 rkriser@carleton.edu
- 11:15 **Campaign Advertising in Mayoral Elections: Different Rules, Different Candidates, Different Strategies**
 We examine tv ads from mayoral elections in 85 cities from 2007-08. Our analysis focuses on how factors unique to local elections affect strategy. Such factors include run-off elections, the absence of party labels, and candidates' race or gender.
 Timothy B. Krabe, *University of New Mexico*
 tkkrabe@unm.edu
 David B. Hollan, *University of North Carolina, Greensboro*
 dbhollan@uncg.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Michael W. Wagner, University of Nebraska**
 mwagner3@unl.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm

- 1-700 **Obama's Agenda and the Dynamics of U.S. Politics: Governing during Hard Times**
 Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 Leading experts on American politics and the Obama presidency analyze policy accomplishments, shortfalls, and ongoing battles.
- Chair **Theda Skocpol, Harvard University**
 skocpol@fas.harvard.edu
- Panelist **Lorraine McDonnell, University of California, Santa Barbara**
 mcdonnell@polsci.ucsb.edu
Dorian Tod Warren, Columbia University
 dtw2288@columbia.edu
John Skrentay, University of California, San Diego
 jskretoay@ucsd.edu
Suzanne Mettler, Cornell University
 sbm24@cornell.edu

Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm

1-103 What Drives American Politics: Differences in Economic Development, Racial-Ethnic Diversity, or Political Culture?

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Three revisionist theories stand in opposition to standard pluralist theories of American politics. Proponents debate why differences in development, diversity, or culture are better able to explain differences in American government and politics.

Chair Joel A. Lieske, *Cleveland State University*
j.lieske@csuohio.edu

Panelist John Kincaid, *Lafayette College*
kincaid@lafayette.edu
Caroline J. Tolbert, *University of Iowa*
caroline-tolbert@uiowa.edu
Thomas R. Iye, *Florida State University*
tomrlye@aol.com
Susan A. Mancamus, *University of South Florida*
samancamus@aol.com

Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm

1-102 From Papers to Articles: How to Publish in Political Science Journals

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Editors from *American Journal of Political Science*, *Journal of Politics* and *Polity* will discuss (1) how their journals solicit and review manuscripts and (2) how authors can increase their chances for acceptance.

Chair Cyrus Ertisio Zarakadeh, *University of Connecticut*
Cyrus.Zarakadeh@uconn.edu

Panelist William Mishler, *University of Arizona*
wmishler@sonel.arizona.edu
Jan Leighley, *University of Arizona*
leighley@american.edu
Rick K. Wilson, *Rice University*
rkw@rice.edu

Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am

1-105 Citizen-Government Linkages and Representation

Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
How parties represent citizens is of central concern. This panel brings together scholars who have explored the topic from a variety of perspectives.

Chair Michael D. McDonald, *SUNY Binghamton*
edmcad@binghamton.edu

Panelist Bonnie M. Meguid, *University of Rochester*
bonnie.meguid@rochester.edu
Lawrence Evans, *University of Essex*
evlaw@essex.ac.uk
Raymond Duch, *University of Oxford*
raymond.duch@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
Orit Kedar, *Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
okedar@mscc.huji.ac.il

Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am

1-3 Incumbency Advantage in the U.S. States: Gerrymanders and Public Financing Effects

Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Keith Gaddie, *University of Oklahoma*
kgaddie@ou.edu

10:30 Using Legislative Districting Simulations to Measure Electoral Bias in Legislatures
We conduct legislative districting simulations using only the apolitical criteria of drawing compact and contiguous districts. We

show that Republicans naturally win a disproportionately large share of legislative seats, even without gerrymandering.

Jewel Chen, *University of Michigan*
jewel@umich.edu
Jonathan Rodden, *Stanford University*
jrodde@stanford.edu

10-45 An Objective and Simple Measure of Gerrymandering: A Demonstration From New York State

We construct an objective and simple measure of gerrymandering and test it on legislative districts in New York. The results show clear evidence of gerrymandering, demonstrating how a justiciable standard for gerrymandering may be developed.

Michael D. McDonald, *SUNY Binghamton*
mcdmcd@binghamton.edu
Rohin E. Best, *Leiden University*
rbest@sw.leidenuniv.nl
Jonathan Kratoch, *SUNY Binghamton*
jkratoch@binghamton.edu

11:00 Do Non-Partisan Redistricting Institutions Decrease Electoral Bias?

In this paper, I look across all 50 American states to examine whether non-partisan or bipartisan redistricting institutions decrease electoral bias in state legislatures.

Christopher Warshaw, *Stanford University*
owarshaw@stanford.edu

11:15 Public Campaign Financing and the Incumbency Advantage

This paper estimates the incumbency advantage for state house candidates in 44 states from 1970 onward and models the incumbency advantage as a function state-specific and national factors, with a focus on the effects of public campaign financing. Timothy Daniel Weener, *Grinnell College*
weener@grinnell.edu

Keoneth Mayer, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
kmayer@polisci.wisc.edu

11:30 Disc., Keith Gaddie, University of Oklahoma

Disc., Tony L. Hill, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
tlh@alum.mit.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion

Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm

1-2 The Psychology of Misinformation and Misperceptions

Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Scott L. Althaus, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
althaus@illinois.edu

12:50 Rumors, Truths, and Reality: A Study of Political Misinformation

My project studies widely disseminated rumors concerning the personal background and the policies of Barack Obama to examine how rumors spread and how they can be effectively debunked.

Adam J. Berinsky, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
berinsky@mit.edu

1:02 Partisan Cheerdancing versus Sincere Responding in Answers to Factual Political Questions

Republicans and Democrats report different beliefs about factual matters. We present a model and two experiments which suggest that such patterns reflect "partisan cheerdancing" and real differences in beliefs to roughly the same degree.

John G. Bullock, *Yale University*
john.bullock@yale.edu
Gregory A. Huber, *Yale University*
gregory.huber@yale.edu
Alan Gerber, *Yale University*
alan.gerber@yale.edu

1:14 Opening the Partisan Mind? Self-Affirmation and Factual Misperceptions About Politics

Building on research in social psychology, we test the hypothesis that individuals whose self-worth is affirmed will be more willing

to acknowledge uncomfortable facts that contradict their partisan views in two experiments.

Brendan Nyhan, University of Michigan
bnyhan@umich.edu
Jason Reifler, Georgia State University
poljmr@langate.gsu.edu

- 1-26** **How Should a Candidate React to Political Attacks? Examining the Effect of Candidate Response Strategy on Voter Attitudes**
Should politicians respond to attacks by ignoring them and simply stating the truth or explicitly negating the attacks? Approaching this question from an experimental perspective, we find that in most cases the former strategy is preferred.

Victor Ottati, Loyola University, Chicago
vottati@luc.edu

Erica D. Price, Loyola University, Chicago
eprice3@luc.edu

Randall A. Rosenbaum, Loyola University, Chicago
rosenra@luc.edu

Justin Smith Cheng, Loyola University, Chicago
jcheng@luc.edu

- 1-31** **What's So Amazing About Really Deep Thoughts? Cognitive Style and Political Misperceptions**

What gives rise to political misperceptions and what helps people perceive facts correctly? I investigate the effects of two dimensions of cognitive style – the need for cognition and the need for evaluation.

John M. Sides, George Washington University
jsides@gwu.edu

- 1-50** **Disc., Scott L. Althaus, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
salthaus@uillinois.edu

Disc., Brian J. Calton, University of Illinois
bjcalton@uillinois.edu

- 2-10** **Audience Discussion**

- 1-112** **The 111th Congress: Where the Democrats Went Wrong**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
In this roundtable, the panelists will discuss the various policy, process, and messaging mistakes that the Democrats made in the 111th Congress.

Chair **Lawrel M. Barberbridge, Northwestern University**
lbarbridge@northwestern.edu

Panelist **Steven S. Smith, Washington University, St. Louis**
smith@wustl.edu

Gary C. Jacobson, University of California, San Diego
gjacobson@ucsd.edu

David W. Brady, Stanford University
dbrady@stanford.edu

David W. Rohde, Duke University
rohde@duke.edu

Jennifer L. Lawless, American University
lawless@american.edu

Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm

- 1-106** **How is President Obama Doing?**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
President Obama's first years in office have been as controversial as they have been consequential. This roundtable of leading scholars will situate President Obama's record within the broader historical and political context in which he has served.

Chair **David E. Lewis, Vanderbilt University**
david.e.lewis@vanderbilt.edu

Panelist **Andrew C. Rudolph, Dickinson College**
rudoleva@dickinson.edu

William G. Howell, University of Chicago
whowell@uchicago.edu

George C. Edwards, Texas A&M University
gedwards@tamu.edu

Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm

- 1-107** **IPE and the Future of Global Economic Governance**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Panelists will discuss what lessons/insights the field of International political economy offers for understanding the politics of global economic governance in the wake of the Great Recession.

Chair **Mark Copelovitch, University of Wisconsin, Madison**
copelovitch@wisc.edu

Panelist **Stephanie J. Rickard, London School of Economics**
stephanie.rickard@gmail.com

David Andrew Slager, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
dslager@mit.edu

David Leblang, University of Virginia
leblang@virginia.edu

Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am

- 1-104** **Challenges and Opportunities of Sub-national Data Collection and Analysis in Comparative Research**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
This roundtable will discuss the challenges and opportunities of sub-national data collection and analysis in comparative politics research. Participants will give first-hand accounts of collecting or using sub-national data.

Chair **Ken Kollman, University of Michigan**
kkollman@umich.edu

Panelist **Sean N. Golder, Pennsylvania State University**
sng11@psu.edu

Heather M. Stoll, University of California, Santa Barbara
hstoll@polsel.ucsb.edu

Brian Mila, University of Michigan
brm1000@umich.edu

Irfan Nooruddin, Ohio State University
noorudin.3@osu.edu

Joel Sawat Setway, Brigham Young University
joel_setway@byu.edu

Pradeep Chhibber, University of California, Berkeley
chhibber@berkeley.edu

Jose Antonio Cheltoni, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
cheltoni@uillinois.edu

Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am

- 1-108** **New Research on Gender in Political Psychology**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
This roundtable addresses new research and proposes future directions in research regarding the study of gender in American politics using psychological theories. Roundtable members will be sharing the results from a small conference on this topic.

Chair Monica C. Schneider, *Miami University*
mschneider@miamiohio.edu
Panelist Angela L. Bot, *College of Wooster*
abot@wooster.edu
Zoe M. Orsby, *Union College*
orsbyz@union.edu
Leanne Huddy, *Stony Brook University*
Leanne.Huddy@sunysb.edu
Erin C. Cassese, *West Virginia University*
erin.cassese@mail.wvu.edu

Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm

1-101 Bridging Micro and Macro in Framing Research

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Over the past few decades, the number of studies on framing has grown considerably. The aim of this round table is to identify and discuss some of the most pressing questions and approaches that should guide framing research in the coming years.

Chair Rune Skotbo, *Aarhus University*
skotbo@ps.au.dk

Panelist James N. Druckman, *Northwestern University*
druckman@northwestern.edu

Claus H. De Vreese, *University of Amsterdam*
c.h.devreese@uva.nl

Robert Entman, *George Washington University*
rentman@gwu.edu

Frank R. Baumgartner, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
frankrb@unc.edu

Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm

1-109 Public Deliberation as a Means to Measure Public Opinion: A Critical Assessment

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
This session will bring together leading scholars of public opinion and public deliberation to examine the strengths and weaknesses of public deliberation as a means to understand public opinion.

Chair John M. Sides, *George Washington University*
jsides@gwu.edu

Panelist Michael A. Neblo, *Ohio State University*
neblo.1@osu.edu

Christopher Karpowitz, *Brigham Young University*
ckarpowit@byu.edu

Lee Teukin, *University of California, Berkeley*
teukin@berkeley.edu

Lawrence R. Jacobs, *University of Minnesota*
ljacobs@tam.umn.edu

Benjamin I. Page, *Northwestern University*
b-page@northwestern.edu

Kevin M. Esterling, *University of California, Riverside*
kevin.esterling@ucr.edu

Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm

1-110 Robert H. Salisbury Memorial

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm

Chair Scott Aluworh, *University of Georgia*
saluworh@uga.edu

Panelist Charles H. Franklin, *University of Wisconsin*
franklin@polisci.wisc.edu

Kenneth A. Shepsle, *Harvard University*
kshepsle@iqr.harvard.edu

Nandall L. Calvert, *Washington University, St. Louis*
calvert@wustl.edu

John F. Helms, *n/a*
j-helms@law.northwestern.edu

Lucian J. Barber, *Stanford University*
luciusb@stanford.edu

Virginia Gray, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
vgray@emil.unc.edu

Burdett A. Loomis, *University of Kansas*
bloomis@ku.edu

Jan Leighley, *University of Arizona*
leighley@american.edu

Frank Baumgartner, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
frank.r.baumgartner@gmail.com

Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am

1-111 Teaching and the Marketplace

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
We will discuss how a job candidate can effectively present his or her teaching skills when applying for a faculty position, and how these skills might be evaluated effectively by search committees and future colleagues during the interview process.

Chair Edward Sidlow, *Eastern Michigan University*
ed.sidlow@emich.edu

Panelist Heather Louise Ouderslot, *Louisiana State University*
ouderslot@lsu.edu

L. Marvin Overby, *University of Missouri*
overby@missouri.edu

Barry William Pyle, *Eastern Michigan University*
barry.pyle@emich.edu

Richard L. Pucelle, *Georgia Southern University*
rpucelle@gcsu.edu

Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am

1-5 The Internet Shaping Political Behaviour? On-Line Policy Information and Voting Advice Applications

Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, C. R. Boynton, *University of Iowa*
bob-boynton@uiowa.edu

10:30 Effective Communication of Public Policy in the Age of Information Overload: The Role of Imagery on Citizen Perception and Compliance of Public Policy

This research examines how our changing information environment characterized by information overload and emergence of visual communication, such as YouTube, affect the communication of public policy and increasingly influence policy outcomes.
Michael Ahn, University of Massachusetts, Boston
Michael.Ahn@umb.edu

Moon Suk Ahn, Korea University
Ahams@korea.ac.kr

10:45 Driven to Vote? The Impact of Voting Advice Applications on Individual Turnout

We examine whether the previously hypothesized mobilization effect of VAA is conditional on the degree of congruence between individuals' preferences and the advice proposed by the application.
Elias Dinas, University of Oxford
elias.dinas@oxfields.ox.ac.uk

Kristjan Vassil, European University Institute
kristjan.vassil@eui.eu

Alexander Harald Trechsel, European University Institute
Alexander.Trechsel@eui.eu

- 11:00 **Mirror, Mirror on the Wall...Who is the Most Susceptible of Them All? The Effects of Online Voting Advice Application Use on Citizens' Electoral Behaviour**
Using an experiment (n=1278), this paper investigates the impact of online voting advice application (VAA) use on citizens' electoral mobilisation and vote choice. We also assess whether different types of VAA affect users differently.
Ossi Ruusuvirta, *London School of Economics*
o.b.ruusuvirta@lse.ac.uk
- 11:15 **Voting Smarter? Assessing the Impact of Voting Advice Applications on Political Behaviour**
Drawing inferences from observational studies and a randomized field experiment I show that voters are affected by the external voting advice. However, the effects are sensitive to selection biases and counterfactual scenarios.
Kristjan Vassil, *European University Institute*
kristjan.vassil@eui.eu
- 11:30 **Disc.**, Barbara Trish, *Grinnell College*
trish@grinnell.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

MPSA

Annual Business Meeting

The annual Business Meeting is a public meeting held from 6:30 pm until 7:15 pm on Saturday in the Red Lacquer on the 4th floor of the Palmer House Hilton. It includes reports on the circulation of the *AJPS*, membership and finances of the MPSA, the election of officers, and the presentation of awards for the best papers given in the previous year of the MPSA Conference.

The President's Reception starts immediately after the Business Meeting (7:15 pm on Saturday) in the Red Lacquer. If you arrive while the meeting is taking place and before the reception starts, please wait quietly so as not to disturb the meeting.

The President's Reception will be hosted by MPSA President Bryan Jones, *University of Texas*

The President's Reception is Co-sponsored by:

Wiley-Blackwell Publishers
and the
Palmer House Hilton

Conference registration and name badge are required for admittance.

Saturday, April 2nd
Business Meeting and Awards Presentation 6:30 pm
President's Reception 7:15 pm

Red Lacquer 4th Floor

Conference Sponsors

The Midwest Political Science Association appreciates the support from organizations that have sponsored events and activities for the 2011 MPSA National Conference.

Wiley-Blackwell Publishers

Oxford, United Kingdom

Co-sponsor of the President's Reception

Palmer House Hilton

Chicago, Illinois

Co-sponsor of the President's Reception

Oxford University Press

Cary, North Carolina

Sponsor of Coffee in the Exhibit Hall

Organizations wishing to sponsor activities or events for the 2012 MPSA National Conference should contact the MPSA office at 812-558-0588 or conf@mpsanet.org

Plan to attend:

MPSA Welcome Reception

Wednesday, March 30th, 7:00 pm – 8:00 pm
Empire Ballroom on the Lobby Level

MPSA Exhibitor Reception

Thursday, March 31st, 6:30 pm – 7:30 pm
Grand and State Ballrooms on the 4th Floor

MPSA Mentoring Reception for Graduate Students

Friday, April 1st, 6:30 pm – 7:30 pm
Empire Ballroom on the Lobby Level

Election of Officers

The Nominations Committee, including: Michael D. Ward, *Duke University* (Chair); Gretchen Casper, *Pennsylvania State University*; Eric McDaniel, *University of Texas, Austin*; Elizabeth Theiss-Morse, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*; and Chris Wezien, *Temple University* has recommended the following slate of candidates for MPSA Officers beginning in April 2011:

President-elect (2011-12); President (2012-13):

Nancy Burns, *University of Michigan*

Vice President (2011-2013):

Marianne Stewart, *University of Texas*

Council Members (2011-14):

María Escobar-Lemmon, *Texas A&M University*

Erik Gartzke, *University of California, San Diego*

Stephen Nicholson, *University of California, Merced*

David Nickerson, *University of Notre Dame*

Ifan Nooruddin, *The Ohio State University*

2011 Conference Scholarships

Harrell Rodgers Graduate Student Travel Scholarship

This scholarship, sponsored by the Policy Studies Association, provides \$500 for a graduate student to attend the MPSA Annual National Conference. **Scholarship Committee:** Kelly Tzounis, *DePaul University* (Chair); Arnold Fleischmann, *Eastern Michigan University*; Katherine Knutson, *Gustavus Adolphus College*.

Scholarship Winners:

Ramón Gallanes, Jr., *University of Florida*

Shawn Ling Ramírez, *University of Rochester*

MPSA Conference Fee Waiver Scholarship

Scholarships are offered to international scholars and non-political science practitioners.

Cyrus Aghamolli, *Stanford University*

Rustem Ering Altinay, *New York University*

Giorgia Costanzo, *University of Catania*

Destros A. Desierto, *University of the Philippines*

David Dzarec, *University of Scranton*

Maida Flach, *Vanderbilt University*

Yoel Avraham Furman, *University of Oxford*

Lucas Gonzalez, *Catholic University of Argentina-University of San Martin*

Erel Guliyev, *Seoul National University*

Cheryl Hedges, *California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo*

Patricia Isaacs, *Argentinian Human Rights Organization*

Linda Jean Kentz, *University of Canterbury*

Sergey Kosryaev, *Russian Academy of Sciences*

Roxanne Marie Kartz, *University of Illinois at Springfield*

Patricia Lavon Hanna, *The Ohio State University*

Kamil Marsinkiewicz, *University of Oldenburg*

Bon Martorell, *DePaul University*

Catie Prato, *Northwestern University*

Guillem Rimbau-Arnel, *Boston University*

Ramla Shafiqatov, *Ghent University*

Sarah Stobely, *New York University*

Dennis Subbotnikskiy, *University of Missouri, Columbia*

Kalpagam Umamaheswaram, *C.B. Pant Social Science Institute Allahabad India*

Patrijko Valdovinos, *Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile*

Juan F Vargas-Duque, *Universidad del Rosario*

Scott Woodland, *Longwood University*

2010 Award Winners

AJPS Best Article Award

A \$1,000 award, sponsored by Wiley Blackwell Publishing, for the best article appearing in the volume of the *American Journal of Political Science* preceding the conference.

Declining Talent Pool of Government Volume 54, Issue 2, pp. 267-286, April 2010

Toran Dewan, *London School of Economics and Political Science*

David P. Myatt, *University of Oxford*

Award Committee: Raymond Duch, *Nuffield College, University of Oxford* (Chair); Evelynne Huber, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*; Andy Whitford, *University of Georgia*

Best Paper by an Emerging Scholar Award

A \$250 award given for the best paper, regardless of field of topic, authored by a scholar or scholars who has or have received their terminal degree(s) no sooner than six years prior to the year in which the paper was delivered.

Policy Change and Policy Learning in a New Democracy: Response to Extreme Floods in Hungary

Elizabeth Albright, *Loyola University Chicago*

Award Committee: Hal G. Rainey, *University of Georgia* (Chair); Peter C. John, *University of Manchester*; Michael MacKuen, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*

Best Paper in International Relations

A \$250 award for the best paper on the topic of international relations.

Partisan Polarization When Forbearers Intervene in Elections: Evidence from a Survey Experiment in Lebanon

Daniel Corstange, *University of Maryland*

Nikolay Marinov, *Yale University*

Award Committee: Richard Hamman, *The Ohio State University* (Chair); Terrence Chapman, *University of Texas, Austin*; Terry D. Clark, *Creighton University*

Best Poster Award

A \$250 award for the best poster presentation.

To Join or Not To Join: An Experimental Study of Small Parties in Coalition Formation

Alexander C. Tam, *University of Canterbury*

Nehemia Geva, *Texas A&M University*

Bellinda Bragg, *Texas A&M University*

Award Committee: Heather A. Larsen-Price, *University of Memphis* (Chair); Frank R. Baumgartner, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*; Jeffrey S. Peake, *Bowling Green State University*

Best Undergraduate Poster Award

A \$250 award for the best poster presented by an undergraduate.

Clean Money and Quality Challenges: The Impact of State Legislative Public Financing on Incumbency Advantage

Jonathan Backer, *Columbia University*

Award Committee: Fred Stocum, *Minnesota State University, Mankato* (Chair); Ashley E. Jochim, *University of Washington*; Christopher Lutzner, *University of Northern Iowa*

Herbert Simon Award

A \$500 award for a scholar who has made a significant contribution to the scientific study of bureaucracy.

Daniel Carpenter, *Harvard University*

Award Committee: Thomas Hammond, *Michigan State University* (Chair); Brandice Canes-Wrone, *Princeton University*; Michael Ting, *Columbia University*

Kullogg/Notre Dame Award

A \$250 award for the best paper in comparative politics.

Inequality, Economic Development, and Democratization

Christian Houle, *University of Rochester*

Award Committee: Melanie Manion, *University of Wisconsin, Madison* (Chair); Leslie Anderson, *University of Florida*; Cynthia Home, *Western Washington University*

Lucius Barker Award

A \$250 award for the author or authors of the best paper presented on a topic investigating race or ethnicity and politics honoring the spirit and work of Professor Barker

Racial Politics Complicated: The Work of Gendered Race Cues in American Politics

Corrine M. McConaughy, *The Ohio State University*

Ismael K. White, *The Ohio State University*

Chryl Laird, *The Ohio State University*

and

Beyond Democracy's Reach: Latino Segregation and Political Participation

Shanna Pearson-Merkowitz, *University of Rhode Island*

Award Committee: Mark Q. Sawyer, *University of California, Los Angeles* (Chair); David L. Leal, *University of Texas, Austin*; Naomi Murakawa, *University of Washington*

Patrick J. Fett Award

A \$250 award for best paper on the scientific study of Congress and the Presidency.

The Role of Active Opinion in Presidential Responsiveness to Public Opinion

Brandon Rottinghaus, *University of Houston*

Award Committee: John Wilkerson, *University of Washington* (Chair); Richard Fleisher, *Fordham University*; Tim J. Groseclose, *University of California, Los Angeles*

Pi Sigma Alpha Award

A \$250 award for the best paper presented at the MPSA Annual National Conference. Sponsored by Pi Sigma Alpha, the national political honor society.

Democratic Performance in the States

Jeffrey H. Lax, *Columbia University*

Justin H. Phillips, *Columbia University*

Award Committee: Christopher Wlezien, *Temple University* (Chair); Matt A. Barreto, *University of Washington*; William G. Howell, *University of Chicago*

Review of Politics Award

A \$250 award for the best paper in normative political theory.

Chapter 19 of *The Prince*: Crime, Christianity, and the Challenge of Moral Flexibility

William B. Parsons, *Carroll College*

Award Committee: Lorraine Pangle, *University of Texas, Austin* (Chair); Robert Grafstein, *University of Georgia*; Mika LaVaque-Manty, *University of Michigan*

Robert H. Durr Award

A \$250 award for best paper applying quantitative methods to a substantive problem in political science.

Can Voter ID Laws Be Administered in a Race-Neutral Manner? Evidence from the City of Boston in 2008

Rachel V. Cobb, *Suffolk University*

D. James Grewer, *Harvard Law School*

Kevin M. Quinn, *University of California Berkeley School Law*

Award Committee: Marco Robert Steenbergen, *University of Bern* (Chair); Patrick Branda, *University of Texas, Dallas*; J. Kevin Corder, *Western Michigan University*

Sophonisba Brecklaridge Award

A \$250 award for the best paper delivered on the topic of women and politics.

Being All She Can Be: Gender Integration in NATO Military Forces

Ljiljana Obradovic, *East Asian International College, Yonsei University*

Award Committee: Christine Wolbrecht, *University of Notre Dame* (Chair); Amy G. Mazur, *Washington State University*; Kathryn L. Pearson, *University of Minnesota*

Westview Press Award

A \$250 award for best paper delivered by a graduate student. Sponsored by Westview Press.

The Politics of Federal Grants: Presidential Influence Over the Distribution of Federal Funds

John Hudak, *Yale University*

Award Committee: Beth Leach, *Rutgers University* (Chair); Benjamin B. Smith, *University of Florida*; Ishmael White, *The Ohio State University*

70th Annual National Conference

April 12-15, 2012

Palmer House Hilton

Chicago, Illinois

Program Co-chairs:

David Darmofal, *University of South Carolina*

Wendy Martinek, *State University of New York, Binghamton*

Call for Papers and Proposals

Proposal deadline: October 7th, 2011

Proposal should be submitted online: www.mpsanet.org

Plan to attend in 2012

Future Conferences

2013 Conference, Thursday, April 11 – Sunday, April 14

2014 Conference, Thursday, April 3 – Sunday, April 6

2015 Conference, Thursday, April 16 – Sunday, April 19

2016 Conference, Thursday, April 7 – Sunday, April 10

2017 Conference, Thursday, April 6 – Sunday, April 9

Palmer House Hilton, Chicago, Illinois



Call for Papers and Proposals 70th Annual National Conference

**April 12-15, 2012
Palmer House Hilton, Chicago**

Program Co-chairs:
David Darmofal, *University of South Carolina*
Wendy Martlock, *State University of New York, Binghamton*

Proposal Deadline: October 7, 2011 Instructions for Submitting Proposals

Proposals are to be submitted online using the *2012 Participation Proposal Form* available on our web site after September 1st at <http://www.mpsanet.org>.

Proposals should be submitted directly to the appropriate section heads of the 2012 Program Committee using the online form. Do not send the same proposal to more than two section heads. Submitting a proposal to two section heads does not increase the chance of having the proposal accepted.

If you are offering to serve as a panel chair or discussant, please indicate your fields of expertise and provide a statement of your interests. Individuals may participate on no more than two panels, roundtables and/or poster sessions. Participants may give one paper presentation and have one other session role (as chair, discussant, co-author). Groups not affiliated with the MPSA that wish to sponsor sessions should contact the MPSA (conf@mpsanet.org) to arrange this.

Papers may be presented in either a traditional format or in a poster session.

The 2012 meeting will take place over four days, in a Thursday morning through Sunday afternoon schedule of sessions. By submitting a proposal, individuals agree to be available to participate during any of the four days of the conference. Requests for specific days or times for participation are not accepted, except for reasons related to religious observance or unusual family circumstances. Though the MPSA does value teaching, approximately 80% of attendees teach and we cannot schedule around anyone's teaching schedule. Special scheduling requests must be submitted to conf@mpsanet.org no later than December 1, 2011.

The Midwest Political Science Association reserves the right to accept or reject any proposal received from an individual or individuals desiring to participate in the annual meeting.

2011 Awards Competition

The MPSA provides ten awards for outstanding papers and two awards for outstanding posters delivered at our annual national conference. Nominations are made by conference discussants, chairs, and section heads after the conference. The Association strongly encourages individuals holding these section or session responsibilities to nominate papers from their sessions for consideration.

Chairs and discussants may nominate papers at the conference by completing the white attendance/nominations forms attached to their badges. Alternatively, nominators may prepare a memo that states the award for which the paper is to be considered. The memo should include the author(s) name, title of paper, and session on which the paper was presented. Nomination should be emailed by July 1, 2011, to the MPSA office at awards@mpsnet.org. Nominated papers from the conference will be assigned to one of the committees listed below. Award committees select the winners. Awards are announced at the MPSA Annual National Conference, the following year.

AJPS Best Paper Award

A \$1,000 award for the best paper appearing in the volume of the *American Journal of Political Science* published in the year preceding the conference. Sponsored by Wiley-Blackwell Publishing.

Award Committee: James Fowler, *University of California, San Diego* (Chair); Brandon Bartels, *George Washington University*; Kyle Joyce, *University of California, Davis*

Best Paper by an Emerging Scholar Award

A \$250 award given for the best paper, regardless of field of topic, authored by a scholar or scholars who has or have received their terminal degree(s) no sooner than six years prior to the year in which the paper was delivered.

Award Committee: Chris Wlezien, *Temple University* (Chair); Jacqueline DeMeritt, *University of North Texas*; Vera Troeger, *University of Essex*

Best Paper in International Relations

A \$250 award for the best paper on the topic of international relations.

Award Committee: David Beare, *University of Colorado* (Chair); Sara Croco, *University of Maryland*; Megan Shannon, *University of Mississippi*

Best Poster Award

A \$250 award for the best poster presentation.

Award Committee: Sara Golden, *Pennsylvania State University* (Chair); Kentaro Fukumoto, *Gakushuin University*; Paul Hanson, *University of Maryland*; Kris Miller, *University of Illinois*

Best Undergraduate Poster Award

A \$250 award for the best poster presented by an undergraduate.

Award Committee: Steve Frantziak, *U.S. Naval Academy* (Chair); George Marcus, *Williams College*; Grant Neeley, *University of Dayton*

Herbert Simon Award

A \$500 award, co-sponsored by the Midwest Caucus on Public Administration, for a scholar who has made a significant contribution to the scientific study of bureaucracy.

Kellogg/Notre Dame Award

A \$250 award for the best paper in comparative politics.

Award Committee: Mikki Kirtilson, *Arizona State University* (Chair); Michelle Elton, *McMaster University*; Ray Duch, *University of Oxford*

Lucius Barker Award

A \$250 award for the author or authors of the best paper presented at the annual meeting on a topic investigating race or ethnicity and politics honoring the spirit and work of Professor Barker.

Award Committee: Marc Barreto, *University of Washington* (Chair); Rodolfo Espino, *Arizona State University*; Ismail White, *The Ohio State University*

Patrick J. Fett Award

A \$250 award for best paper on the scientific study of Congress and the presidency.

Award Committee: Shawn Trier, *University of Minnesota* (Chair); Scott Meinke, *Bucknell University*; Jennifer Nicoll Victor, *University of Pittsburgh*

Pi Sigma Alpha Award

A \$250 award for the best paper presented at the MPSA Annual National Conference. Sponsored by Pi Sigma Alpha, the national political science honor society.

Award Committee: John Scholz, *Florida State University* (Chair); Jason Mycoff, *University of Delaware*; Corwin Smidt, *Michigan State University*

Review of Politics Award

A \$250 award for the best paper in normative political theory.

Award Committee: Eric MacGilvray, *The Ohio State University* (Chair); Aurelian Craiutu, *Indiana University*; Lisa Ellis, *Texas A&M University*

Robert H. Durr Award

A \$250 award for best paper applying quantitative methods to a substantive problem.

Award Committee: Fred Behrke, *University of Iowa* (Chair); Dino Christenson, *Boston University*; Jas Sekhon, *University of California, Berkeley*

Sophomora Breckinridge Award

A \$250 award for the best paper delivered on women and politics.

Award Committee: Lee Ann Banaszak, *Pennsylvania State University* (Chair); Amber Boydston, *University of California, Davis*; Kara Sambamurtu, *Rutgers University*

Westview Press Award

A \$250 award for best paper by delivered by a graduate student. Sponsored by Westview Press.

Award Committee: Paul Collins, *University of North Texas* (Chair); Amanda Licht, *University of South Carolina*; Anand Saldhey, *University of Colorado*

2012 Nominations Committee for MPSA Officers and Council Members

President-elect Janet M. Box-Steffensmeier has appointed the following individuals to serve as the Nominations Committee for officers and council members to be elected in 2012.

Nominations Committee 2012

Andrew Whitford, *University of Georgia* (Chair)

Han Dorussen, *University of Essex*

Tso-Min Lin, *University of Texas, Austin*

Karen Long Jusko, *Stanford University*

Steven Schier, *Carleton College*

Nominations are invited for President-elect (2012-2013), Vice President (2012-2014), and Council Members (2012-2015) for terms beginning in April 2012. Send a nomination letter and C.V. by October 1st to the committee chair, Andrew Whitford at aw@uga.edu

MPSA Scholarships

Conference Registration Fee Waiver Scholarship

Fee waivers are provided to individuals on the program who are either 1) scholars from an institution in the developing world or 2) not political scientists who have not attended the conference in the past. This second category includes people from other disciplines (e.g., history or economics) or people who are practitioners (e.g., judges and reporters).

Harrell Rodgers Graduate Student Travel Scholarship

This scholarship, sponsored by the Policy Studies Association, provides \$500 for a graduate student to attend the MPSA Annual National Conference. The scholarship is named in honor of Harrell Rodgers, who has served the MPSA for many years.

Scholarship Committee: Michael Bailey, *Georgetown University* (Chair); Kris Kautbak, *University of Pittsburgh*; Matthew Lebo, *State University of New York, Stony Brook*; Langche Zeng, *University of California, San Diego*

MPSA Childcare Scholarship

Assistance to help defray the cost of childcare during the MPSA Annual National Conference is offered to graduate students and junior faculty who are current members of MPSA and who meet the criteria for the scholarship.

The American Journal of Political Science, the official journal of the MPSA Guidelines for Manuscripts

Manuscript Preparation: A manuscript submitted for review to the AJPS should be prepared as follows:

- The manuscript should address an important research problem or question; display a high level of creativity or innovation in research; contribute in a novel way to a body of knowledge; and, as appropriate, demonstrate high quality theorizing and/or state-of-the-art methodological use.
- The manuscript must effectively and informatively communicate its contribution to a general political science audience.
- The manuscript must include a concise, descriptive, and informative abstract of 150 words or less on the title page.
- Lengthy reviews of the literature are discouraged. The manuscript should engage the relevant literature, clearly demonstrate gaps in that literature and be clear about its contribution.
- The use of figures that demonstrate an argument or a finding is strongly encouraged. The extensive use of tables is discouraged in the main text.
- The use of supporting information (SI) is strongly encouraged (and treated as a substitute for appendices). Such information will not be counted toward the page constraint noted below. SI should be aimed at a sophisticated reader with specialized field expertise. For example, formal theory may include proofs; computational models may include computer code; experiments may include the details of the protocol; empirical work may include data coding, alternative econometric specifications and additional tables and figures that elaborate the manuscript's primary point. SI will be sent to reviewers. If the manuscript is accepted for publication the SI will be permanently posted on the publisher's website with the electronic copy of the article.
- The manuscript should follow the style guidelines of the American Political Science Association's Style Manual for Political Science (rev. August, 2006) which is based on The Chicago Manual of Style (15th edition). The guidelines include, but are not limited to, matters of text style, citations, appendices, notes, references, and tables and figures.
- The manuscript should not exceed 40 pages in length inclusive on standard 8.5x11 inch paper. A manuscript that does so returned without review unless the author specifically requests, justifies, and receives the Editor's exemption.
- Figures and tables are printed at the end of the manuscript – each one on a separate page.
- Figures and tables are numbered consecutively.
- All text, footnotes, bibliographic references, and appendices (as appropriate) are double-spaced and printed in a standard 12-point type font (tables may be single-spaced). Page margins should be a minimum of one inch. All bibliographic references are Last Name, First Name (not Last Name, First Initial)– e.g., Smith, John, not Smith, J.
- To help with your preparation download the AJPS style guide. The guide has been developed by former and current editors of the Journal of Politics and the American Journal of Political Science.

Manuscript Submission: Manuscripts intended for review by AJPS must be submitted as follows.

- Submission is available on The Journal's website at www.ajps.org. Only electronic submission is accepted. Other forms of submission will neither be accepted nor returned.
- Before submitting a manuscript, the contact author should have available the names and email addresses of all authors and the year of the manuscript abstract. At this time, she also should identify a research subfield for the manuscript. And, an author submitting a revised manuscript should further have available the original manuscript number.
- An original manuscript or a revised one may include only one anonymous PDF file and only one author-identifying file, as described below:
 - The contact author must submit an entirely anonymous version of the manuscript as a pdf file.
 - That is, the file must exclude such author-identifying information as the cover page information on authors' names and universities, expressions of appreciation to others for advice or data, statements of where the manuscript may have been presented at a conference, citations to the author's prior presentations or publications, and other such identifying text.
 - The PDF file should be marked "anonymous" in the "document summary" tab and the file should be printable. This file should also contain any supporting information (SI) and that should be clearly marked.
 - An author who submits a revised manuscript must place any comments to reviewers in a dialogue box during the submission process in Editorial Manager.
 - An author may, but is not required to, submit a second, author-identifying file in the "author's comments" field, with comments to the editors, acknowledgements, and details on where the paper has been presented.
- If a manuscript is accepted for publication it will not be published unless the firm footnote explicitly states where the data used in the study can be obtained for purposes of replication and must report any sources that funded the research. In addition, if Supporting Information (SI) accompanies the article, it must be ready for permanent posting. Manuscripts without data or SI are exempt.
- An author may recommend 3-4 individuals who are particularly qualified to review the manuscript. Recommendations can not include an author's doctoral dissertation supervisor, someone with whom an author has co-authored in the past 12 months, colleagues in an author's department or comparable academic unit, or anyone with whom an author has a family or other personal relationship. Recommendations are recommendations, none, one, or all of them may be selected to review. An author's recommendations must include a name and a contact email address. Author recommendations should be done via the Editorial Manager system.
- An author may recommend 3-4 individuals who should not review the manuscript. The author should provide reasons why such individuals ought to be disqualified. These recommendations will be taken into account in the review process, but should not be construed as barring such individuals from the review process.

Other Notes:

- Does not review manuscripts that:
 - do not meet the standards stated in bullet one above;
 - are not analytically rigorous, of high quality, and self-contained;
 - are unsolicited rejoinders to recently published articles;
 - are reviews of books or essays on current events;
 - are under review elsewhere or published already;
 - ignore one or more of the substantive, stylistic, or formatting preparations or procedures stated above.
- Is committed to the integrity and quality of the review process. It conducts this process in a manner that is timely but also depends on reviewer response. Accordingly, time-to-decision averages approximately twelve weeks. All reviews are advisory to the Editor.
- Is closed to manuscript submission between July 15 and August 15, and between December 15 and January 5.

Alphabetical Directory of Exhibitors

- American Political Science Association** 717
1527 New Hampshire Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036
www.apsanet.org
- Association Book Exhibit** 506
9423 Old Mount Vernon Road
Alexandria, VA 22309
www.info@booksexhibit.com
- Cambridge University Press** 401, 404, 406
32 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10013-2473
www.cambridge.org
- CQ Press** 605, 607, 705, 707
2300 N Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20037
www.cqpress.com
- Georgetown University Press** 302
3240 Prospect Street, NW
Washington, DC 20007
www.georgetown.edu
- International Specialized Book Services** 207
920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
www.isbs.com
- Liberty Fund, Inc.** 300
8335 Allison Pointe Trail, Suite 300
Indianapolis, IN 46250
www.libertyfund.org
- Lynne Rienner Publishers** 301
1800 30th Street, Suite 314
Boulder, CO 80301
www.riener.com
- M.E. Sharpe, Inc.** 303
80 Business Park Drive
Armonk, NY 10504
www.mesharpe.com
- McGraw-Hill Higher Education** 304
Two Penn Plaza, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10121-2298
www.mcgraw-hill.com
- Oxford University Press** 500, 502
198 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
www.oxfordjournals.org
- Paradigm Publishing** 617
2845 Wilderness Place, Suite 200
Boulder, CO 80301
www.paradigmpublishers.com
- Pearson** 713, 715
One Lake Street
Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458
www.pearson.com
- Perseus Books Group** 405, 407
250 West 57th Street, Suite 1321
New York, NY 10107
www.perseusbooks.com
- Provalis Research** 200
2414 Bennett Avenue
Montreal, QC Canada H1V 3S4
www.provalisresearch.com
- The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research** 504
University of Connecticut
369 Fairfield Way, Unit 2164
Storrs, CT 06269-2164
www.uconn.edu
- Routledge** 101, 103
270 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
www.taylorandfrancis.com
- Rowman and Littlefield** 202, 204
4501 Forbes Blvd., #200
Lanham, MD 20706
www.rowman.com
- Soomo Publishing** 201
9 Park Square, Suite 301
Asheville, NC 28803
www.soomopublishing.com
- Springer** 401
233 Spring Street
New York, NY 10013
www.springer.com
- Strategic Studies Quarterly** 206
155 N. Twining Street, Bldg. 693
Maxwell AFG, AL 36112-6427
www.strategicstudiesquarterly@maxwell.af.mil

University of Chicago Press 305
1427 E. 60th Street
Chicago, IL 60637-2954
www.press.uchicago.edu

University Press of Kansas 400
2502 Westbrooke Circle
Lawrence, KS 66045
www.ku.edu

University of Michigan Press 307
839 Green Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-3209
www.press.umich.edu

W.W. Norton, Inc 613, 615
500 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10110
www.wwnorton.com

Wadsworth Cengage Learning 203, 205
20 Davis Drive
Belmont, CA 94002
www.cengage.com

The Washington Center 306
1333 16th Street NW
Washington, DC 20036
www.twc.edu

Wiley-Blackwell Publishing 105, 107
350 Main Street
Malden, MA 02148
www.wiley.com

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business 403
2700 Lake Cook Road
Riverwoods, IL 60015
www.wolterskluwer.

Directory of Acquisition Editors

Lewis Bateman

Book Acquisition Editor
Cambridge University Press
32 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10013-2473
(212) 924-3900
lbateman@cambridge.org

Harry Briggs

Executive Editor, Public Administration

M.E. Sharpe

80 Business Park Drive
Armonk, NY 10504
(914) 273-1800
www.mesharpe.com

Arlita M. Devine

Acquiring/Sponsoring Editor, Political Science

Cengage Learning/Wadsworth

20 Channel Center
Boston, MA 02210

Robert Dreesen

Book Acquisition Editor
Cambridge University Press
32 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10013-2473
(212) 924-3900
rdreesen@cambridge.org

Leah Fargotstein

Editor - Social Science Journals

SAGE Publications Inc.

2455 Teller Road
Thousand Oaks, CA 91320
(805) 410-7316
Leah.Fargotstein@sagepub.com

Elise Frasier

International & Comparative Politics, College Publishing

CQ Press

2300 N Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20037
866-4CQ-PRESS
efrasier@cqpress.com

Scott Frisch

Series Editor

Book Series: Politics, Institutions, and Public Policy in America

Cambridge Press

CSU Channel Islands
Department of Political Science
One University Drive
Sage Hall 2041
Camarillo CA 93012
scott.frisch@esuci.edu

Doug Goldenberg-Hart

Political Science Reference

CQ Press

2300 N Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20037
866-4CQ-PRESS
dghart@cqpress.com

Jessica Gribble

US Politics, International Studies, Latin America, Europe, Asia

Lynne Rienner Publishers

1800 30th Street, Suite 314
Boulder, CO 80301
(303) 444-6684, Ext. 105
Fax: (303) 444-0824
jgribble@rienner.com

Jon Gurstelle

Business/Economics and Statistics

Springer

233 Spring Street
New York, NY, 10013
jon.gurstelle@springer.com

Reid Hester

Executive Editor

Pearson

51 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10010
reid.hester@pearson.com

Melody Herr

Acquiring Editor for Politics, Law, and American History

University of Michigan Press

839 Greene Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-3209
(734) 763-6419
mherr@umich.edu

David Herzig
Associate Publisher, College Market
Wolters Kluwer Law & Business
Aspen College Series
2700 Lake Cook Road
Riverwoods, IL 60015
(303) 664-1412
david.herzig@wolterskluwer.com

Don Jacobs
International Affairs, Public Policy, and Public Management
Georgetown University Press
3240 Prospect St, NW
Washington, DC 20007
Phone: (202) 687-5218
djjs@georgetown.edu

Sean Q Kelly
Series Editor
Book Series: Politics, Institutions, and Public Policy in America
Cambria Press
CSU Channel Islands
Department of Political Science
One University Drive
Sage Hall 2041
Camarillo CA 93012
sean.kelly@csuci.edu

Charisse Kiino
Political Science, College Publishing
CQ Press
2300 N Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20037
866-4CQ-PRESS
ckino@cqpress.com

Jennifer Koerr
Vice President & Executive Editor
Director of College Publishing
Paradigm Publishers
2845 Wildemess Place
Suite 200
Boulder, CO 80301
(303) 245-9054
Fax: (303) 265-9051

Patricia Kalb
Vice President & Editorial Director, Political Science
M.E. Sharpe
80 Business Park Drive
Armonk, NY 10504
(914) 273-1800
www.mesharpe.com

January Layman-Wood
Political Science Reference
CQ Press
2300 N Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20037
866-4CQ-PRESS
jlwood@cqpress.com

Mary Jane Lindrum
Acquisition Editor
Soomo Publishing
9 Pack Square, Suite 301
Asheville, NC 28801
(888) 834-7223
maryjane@soomopublishing.com

Shaun Manning
Academic Marketing Manager
University of Michigan Press
839 Greene Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-3209
(734) 763-0163
shaunman@umich.edu

Susan McEachern
Vice President and Editorial Director for International
Studies,
Geography, History, and Area Studies
Rowman & Littlefield Publishing Group
5360 Manhattan Circle #101
Boulder, CO 80303
Phone: (303) 543-7835
Fax: (303) 543-0043
smceachern@rowman.com

Vik Mukhija
Senior Editor
Pearson
51 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10010
vik.mukhija@pearson.com

Charles T. Myers
Executive Editor, Political Science and Law
Group Publisher, Social Sciences
Princeton University Press
41 William Street
Princeton, NJ, 08540-5237
(609) 258-4922
Fax: (609) 258-6305
Chuck_Myers@press.princeton.edu

Lindsey Prudhomme
Senior Marketing Manager
Pearson
51 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10010
lindsey.prudhomme@pearson.com

Jonathan Sisk

Senior Executive Editor for American Government,
Philosophy, Political Theory, Public Policy, and Co-
Publishing

Roxman & Littlefield Publishing Group

4501 Forbes Blvd., Suite 200

Lanham, MD 20706

Phone: (301) 459-3366

Fax: (301) 429-5748

jsisk@roxmanlittlefield.com

Michael Streeter

Editor

Wiley-Blackwell Publishing

350 Main Street

Malden, MA 02148

(781) 388-8353

Mstreeter@wiley.com

Toni Tan

Director

Proposals that are not in the scope of the series

Cambridge Press

20 Northpointe Parkway

Suite 188

Amherst, New York 14228

ttan@cambridgepress.com

Anthony Wahl

Editorial Director

Westview Press

250 West 57th Street, Suite

New York, NY 10107, Suite 300

(918) 849-6018

anthony.wahl@perseusbooks.com

Fred Woodward

Director

For manuscript inquiries in American government and
public policy; Presidential studies; American political
thought; urban politics

University Press of Kansas

2502 Westbrooke Circle

Lawrence, KS 66045-4444

(785) 864-4667

fwoodward@ku.edu

www.kansaspress.ku.edu

Mark Zadrozny

Journals Acquisition Editor

Cambridge University Press

32 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10013-2473

(212) 924-3900

mzadrozny@cambridge.org

WHITE HOUSE STUDIES VOLUME 10.4
INTRODUCES PAPERS PRESENTED AT A SYMPOSIUM

"WORLD OF POWER/WORLD OF LAW: WILSONIANISM AND OTHER VISIONS
OF FOREIGN POLICY"

SPONSORED BY THE WOODROW WILSON PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY AND MUSEUM

DISCOVER

the LIFE & LEGACY of

PRESIDENT WOODROW WILSON



A NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARK

The Woodrow Wilson
PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY & MUSEUM
At The University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA



Fun for the Whole Family!

Fascinating multi-gallery museum
Dynamic World War I trench experience
Engaging birthplace tours
Interactive Kids' Corner
Charming historic garden

Tours Daily

Closed Thanksgiving, Christmas Eve,
Christmas, New Year's Day and Easter

18 North Coalter Street, Staunton, VA 21401

(888) 496-6376 www.woodrowwilson.org (540) 885-0897

CAMBRIDGE

JOURNALS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS IS DELIGHTED TO PUBLISH
THE JOURNALS OF THE

**AMERICAN
POLITICAL
SCIENCE
ASSOCIATION**

AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATION
**PERSPECTIVES
ON POLITICS**



PERSPECTIVES ON POLITICS

journals.cambridge.org/pps



**AMERICAN POLITICAL
SCIENCE REVIEW**

journals.cambridge.org/psr



**PS:
POLITICAL SCIENCE
& POLITICS**


journals.cambridge.org/psc

FOR THE WOMEN AND POLITICS
RESEARCH SECTION OF APSA



POLITICS & GENDER
journals.cambridge.org/pag

FOR THE RELIGION AND POLITICS
RESEARCH SECTION OF APSA



POLITICS & RELIGION
journals.cambridge.org/rap

journals.cambridge.org/politics



**CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

CAMBRIDGE

JOURNALS

...at a 20% discount for MPSA!



**British Journal of
Political Science**

journals.cambridge.org/jps



**Canadian Journal of Political Science /
Revue canadienne
de science politique**

*Canadian Political Science Association and the
Société québécoise de science politique*

journals.cambridge.org/cjp



**Du Bois Review:
Social Science Research on Race**

*W.E.B. Du Bois Institute for African and
African American Research,
Harvard University*

journals.cambridge.org/dbr

*New to Cambridge
in 2011!*



Ethics & International Affairs

*Carnegie Council for
Ethics in International Affairs*

journals.cambridge.org/eia



**European Political
Science Review**

European Consortium for Political Research

journals.cambridge.org/epr



Foreign Policy Bulletin

journals.cambridge.org/fpb



**International
Organization**

International Organization Foundation
journals.cambridge.org/ino



International Theory

*A Journal of International Politics,
Law and Philosophy*

journals.cambridge.org/int



**Japanese Journal of
Political Science**

journals.cambridge.org/jjp

Recommend Journals directly from their homepages -
FREE online access for you when your Library subscribes!



**CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

CAMBRIDGE

JOURNALS

...at a 20% discount for MPSA!



Journal of Policy History

Published through the cooperation of the History Department at Saint Louis University and the Institute for Policy History
journals.cambridge.org/jph



The Journal of Politics

Southern Political Science Association
journals.cambridge.org/jop



Journal of Public Policy

journals.cambridge.org/pup



Review of International Studies

British International Studies Association
journals.cambridge.org/ris



The Review of Politics

University of Notre Dame
journals.cambridge.org/rop



Studies in American Political Development

journals.cambridge.org/sap



World Politics

Princeton Institute for International and Regional Affairs
journals.cambridge.org/wpo



World Trade Review

Secretariat of the World Trade Organization
journals.cambridge.org/wtr

FREE sample journal copies are available.

Visit us at our MPSA stand,
or
Contact us:

Journals Marketing
Cambridge University Press
32 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10013-2473

Tel: 800-872-7423
Fax: 845-353-4141

journals_subscriptions@cambridge.org

FREE email alerts.

Keep up-to-date with new material. Sign up at:
journals.cambridge.org/alerts



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OUTSTANDING SCHOLARSHIP *from* CAMBRIDGE*Cambridge Studies in
Comparative Politics***Electoral Systems
and the Balance of
Consumer-Producer Power***ERIC C. C. CHANG,
MARK ANDREAS KAYSER,
DREW LINZER,
and RONALD ROGOWSKI**Quiet Politics and
Business Power***Corporate Control in Europe and Japan
PEPPER D. CULPEPPER**Immigration and
Conflict in Europe***

RAFAELA M. DANCYGIER

**Framing the Race in
South Africa**The Political Origins of
Racial Census Elections
KAREN E. FERREE**Building States and Markets
After Communism***The Perils of Polarized Democracy
TIMOTHY FRYE**Post-Imperial Democracies***Ideology and Party Formation in
Third Republic France, Weimar
Germany, and Post-Soviet Russia
STEPHEN E. HANSON**Oil Is Not a Curse***Ownership Structure and Institutions
in Soviet Successor StatesPAULINE JONES LUONG
and ERIKA WEINTHAL**Labor Rights and
Multinational Production***

LAYNA MOSLEY

Ordering Power*Contentious Politics and Authoritarian
Leviathans in Southeast Asia
DAN SLATTER**The Evolution of
Modern States***Sweden, Japan, and the United States
SVEN STEINMO**THIRD EDITION!****Power in Movement***Social Movements and
Contentious Politics

SIDNEY Tarrow

*Cambridge Studies in
Contentious Politics***Politics, Identity, and
Mexico's Indigenous Rights
Movements**

TODD A. EISENSTADT

**NAFTA and the
Politics of Labor
Transnationalism***

TAMARA KAY

**Collective Killings in
Rural China during the
Cultural Revolution***

YANG SU

**Building Transnational
Networks**Civil Society and the
Politics of Trade in the Americas
MARISA VON BÜLOW*Cambridge Studies in
International
Relations***The Trouble with the Congo***Local Violence and the Failure of
International Peacebuilding

SEVERINE AUTESSERRE

Who Governs the Globe?*Edited by DERDRAH D. AVANT,
MARTHA FINNEMORE,
and SUSAN K. SELI**Moral Movements and
Foreign Policy***

JOSHUA W. BUSBY

War, Religion and Empire*The Transformation of
International Orders

ANDREW PHILLIPS

After Defeat*How the East Learned to Live with
the West

AYSE ZARAKOL

Security Beyond the State*

Private Security in International Politics

RITA ABRAHAMSEN
and MICHAEL C. WILLIAMS**Abortion Politics in Congress***Strategic Incrementalism and
Policy ChangeSCOTT H. AINSWORTH
and THAD E. HALL**Direct Democracy Worldwide**

DAVID ALTMAN

**Constitutional Illusions
and Anchoring Truths***The Touchstone of the Natural Law
HADLEY ARKES**Inside the Radical Right***The Development of Anti-Immigrant
Parties in Western Europe

DAVID ART

Civil Religion*A Dialogue in the
History of Political Philosophy

RONALD S. BEINER

Politics in Dark Times*

Encounters with Hannah Arendt

Edited by SEYLA BENHABIB

**Evolution, Creationism,
and the Battle to Control
America's Classrooms***MICHAEL BERKMAN
and ERIC PLUTZER**Elections and Distributive
Politics in Mubarak's Egypt**

LISA BLAYDES

**Policy Diffusion Dynamics
in America**

GRAEME T. BOUSHEY

**Rethinking the Judicial
Settlement of Reconstruction**

PAMELA BRANDWEIN

*Cambridge Studies in the
American Constitution***Dismantling Democracy
in Venezuela***The Chávez Authoritarian Experiment
ALLAN BREWER-CARIAS**The Practice of
Global Citizenship***

LUIS CABRERA

**Contemporary Chinese
Politics***New Sources, Methods, and
Field StrategiesEdited by ALLEN CARLSON,
MARY E. GALLAGHER,
KENNETH LIEBERTHAL,
and MELANIE MANION**Latino Representation in
State Houses and Congress**

JASON P. CASELLAS

Imperial ScepticsBritish Critics of Empire,
1850-1920GREGORY CLAEYS
*Miss in Context***The Limits of
Judicial Independence***

TOM S. CLARK

*Political Economy of
Institutions and Decisions***Islamist Terrorism
and Democracy in the
Middle East***

KATERINA DALACOURA

Justices and Journalists*The U.S. Supreme Court and the Media
RICHARD DAVIS**Cambridge Handbook of
Experimental Political Science***Edited by JAMES N. DRUCKMAN,
DONALD P. GREEN,
JAMES H. KUKLINSKI,
and ARTHUR LUPIA**Gender, Nationalism,
and War***Conflict on the Movie Screen
MATTHEW EVANGELISTA

*Available in both Hardback and Paperback.

ENTER THE DISCOUNT CODE **ME1MWPS** TO SAVE 20% ONLINE!

OUTSTANDING SCHOLARSHIP *from* CAMBRIDGE

Security and the Environment
Securitisation Theory and US Environmental Security Policy
RITA FLOYD

China, the United States, and Global Order*
ROSEMARY FOOT
and ANDREW WALTER

Adam Smith and the Circles of Sympathy
Cosmopolitanism and Moral Theory
FONNA FORMAN-BARZILAI

Cosmopolitan Power in International Relations*
A Synthesis of Realism, Neoliberalism, and Constructivism
GIULIO M. GALLAROTTI

The Diffusion of Social Movements*
Actors, Mechanisms, and Political Effects
Edited by REBECCA KOHNS GIVAN,
KENNETH M. ROBERTS,
and SARAH A. SOULE

*Cambridge Studies
in Social Theory,
Religion and Politics*

The Price of Freedom Denied*
Religious Persecution and Conflict in the 21st Century
BRIAN J. GRIM
and ROGER FINKE

*Communication,
Society and Politics*

When Politicians Attack*
Party Cohesion in the Media
TIM GROBLING

The Making of a European Public Sphere*
Media Discourse and Political Convention
Edited by RUDOLF KOOPMANS
and PAUL STATHAM

Congress in Black and White*
Race and Representation in Washington and at Home
CHRISTIAN R. GROSE

Voluntary Regulation of NGOs and Nonprofits
An Accountability Club Framework
Edited by MARY KAY GUGERTY
and ASHEM PRAKASH

Radicalism and Political Reform in the Islamic and Western Worlds*
KAI HAFEZ

The Nature of Supreme Court Power
MATTHEW ERIC KANE HALL

Courts in Latin America
Edited by GRETCHEN HELMKE
and JULIO RIOS-FIGUEROA

South Korea Since 1980*
UK HEO and TERENCE ROENING
The World Since 1980

The Evolution of Strategy*
Thinking War from Antiquity to the Present
BEATRICE HEUSER

The Transformation of the Workers' Party in Brazil, 1989-2009*
WENDY HUNTER

Governing for the Long Term*
Democracy and the Politics of Investment
ALAN M. JACOBS

The Ironies of Citizenship*
Naturalization and Integration in Industrialized Countries
THOMAS JANOSKI

Making the Political
Founding and Action in the Political Theory of Zhang Shizhan
LEIGH K. JENCO

Morgenthau, Law and Realism
DAVID JUTERSONKE

Sovereignty in Fragments
The Past, Present and Future of a Contested Concept
Edited by HENT KALMO
and QUENTIN SKINNER

Religion and the Political Imagination*
Edited by IRA KATZNELSON
and GARETH STEDMAN JONES

In War's Wake*
International Conflict and the Fate of Liberal Democracy
Edited by ELIZABETH KIER
and RONALD R. KREBS

The Immigration Battle in American Courts
ANNA O. LAW

It Still Takes A Candidate*
Why Women Don't Run for Office
JENNIFER L. LAWLESS
and RICHARD L. FOX

The Global 1989*
Continuity and Change in World Politics
Edited by GEORGE LAWSON,
CHRIS ARMBRUSTER,
and MICHAEL COX

Why Nations Fight*
Past and Future Motives for War
RICHARD NED LEBOV

The Ironic Defense of Socrates
Plato's Apology
DAVID M. LEIBOWITZ

NEW SERIES!

*Problems of
International Politics*

Competitive Authoritarianism*
Hybrid Regimes After the Cold War
STEVEN LEVITSKY
and LUCAN A. WAY

Obama's Bank*
Financing a Durable New Deal
MICHAEL LIKOSKY

The Problem of Harm in World Politics*
Theoretical Investigations
ANDREW LINKLATER

Oil Wealth and the Poverty of Politics
Algeria Compared
MIRIAM R. LOWI
Cambridge Middle East Studies

The Invention of Market Freedom*
ERIC MACGILVRAY

Networks of Nations*
The Evolution, Structure, and Impact of International Networks, 1816-2001
ZEEV MAOZ
Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences

History and Neorealism*
Edited by ERNEST R. MAY,
RICHARD ROSECRANCE,
and ZARA STEINER

Machiavellian Democracy*
JOHN P. MCCORMICK

The Language of Law and the Foundations of American Constitutionalism*
GARY L. McDOWELL

Faith in Politics*
Religion and Liberal Democracy
BRYAN T. MCGRAW

Origins of Political Extremism*
Mass Violence in the Twentieth Century and Beyond
MANUS I. MIDLAREY

Constituency Representation in Congress
The View from Capitol Hill
KRISTINA C. MILLER

TEXTBOOK!
The American Presidency*
An Analytical Approach
IRWIN L. MORRIS

*Available in both Hardback and Paperback.

ENTER THE DISCOUNT CODE **ME1MWPS** TO SAVE 20% ONLINE!



OUTSTANDING SCHOLARSHIP *from* CAMBRIDGE*Cambridge Studies in
Public Opinion and
Political Psychology***Personality and the
Foundations of
Political Behavior***

JEFFERY J. MONDAK

Justice in America*
The Separate Realities of
Blacks and WhitesMARK PEFFLEY
and JON HURWITZ**Boundaries of Obligation
in American Politics***Geographic, National, and
Racial Communities

CARA J. WONG

Borderline JapanForeigners and Frontier Controls
in the Postwar Era

TESSA MORRIS-SUZUKI

**Experimental
Political Science and the
Study of Causality***

From Nature to the Lab

REBECCA B. MORTON
and KENNETH C. WILLIAMS**Deadlocks in
Multilateral Negotiations***
Causes and Solutions

Edited by AMRITA NARLIKAR

**Courting Democracy in
Bosnia and Herzegovina**The Hague Tribunal's Impact in a
Postwar StateLARA J. NETTELFIELD
*Cambridge Studies in Law and Society***Coalition Politics and
Economic Development***
Credibility and the
Strength of Weak Governments

IRFAN NOORUDDIN

Democratic Deficit*
Critical Citizens Revisited

POPA NORRIS

Owning DevelopmentCreating Policy Norms in the
IMF and the World BankEdited by SUSAN PARK
and ANTJE VETTERLEIN**Displacement
by Development***

Ethics, Rights and Responsibilities

PETER PENZ, JAY DRYDYK,
and PABLO BOSE**Barbarism and Religion**

Volume 5: Religion: The First Triumph

J. G. A. POCCOCK

**Advocacy Organizations
and Collective Action***Edited by ASHEM PRAKASH
and MARY KAY GUGERTY**Democracy and the
Limits of Self-Government***

ADAM PRZEWORSKI

*Cambridge Studies in the
Theory of Democracy***Rousseau, Law and the
Sovereignty of the People**

ETHAN PUTTERMAN

Counter Realignment*Political Change in the
Northeastern United StatesHOWARD L. REITER
and JEFFREY M. STONECASH**The Politics of Protest in
Hybrid Regimes**Managing Dissent in
Post-Communist Russia

GRAEME B. ROBERTSON

**Indian Affairs and the
Administrative State in
the Nineteenth Century**

STEPHEN J. ROCKWELL

**U.S. Leadership, History,
and Bilateral Relations in
Northeast Asia**

Edited by GILBERT ROZMAN

Ruling by StatuteHow Uncertainty and Vote Buying
Shape Lawmaking

SEBASTIAN M. SAJEKH

**The Crisis of
Russian Democracy***The Dual State, Factionalism
and the Medvedev Succession

RICHARD SARVA

**War, the American State,
and Politics since 1898**

ROBERT P. SALDIN

**Americanism in the
Twenty-First Century***

Public Opinion in the Age of Immigration

DEBORAH J. SCHILDKRAUT

Human Trafficking*

A Global Perspective

LOUISE SHELLEY

**International Relations Theory
and International Law***

A Critical Approach

ADRIANA SINCLAIR

Military ThreatsThe Costs of Coercion and the
Price of Peace

BRANISLAV L. SLANTCHEV

Genocide and the Europeans*

KAREN E. SMITH

Controlling Institutions*International Organizations and the
Global Economy

RANDALL W. STONE

**The Legislative Legacy of
Congressional Campaigns***

TRACY SORKIN

**Terrorism and National
Security Reform***How Commissions Can Drive Change
During Crises

JORDAN TAMA

State Building in Putin's Russia
Policing and Coercion after Communism

BRIAN D. TAYLOR

**Determinants of
Democratization***Explaining Regime Change in the
World, 1972-2006

JAN TEORELL

Women and States*Norms and Hierarchies in
International Society

ANN E. TOWNS

**Global Financial Integration
Thirty Years On**

From Reform to Crisis

Edited by
GEOFFREY R. D. UNDERHILL,
JASPER BLOM, and DANIEL MÜGGE**Cultures of Power in
Post-Communist Russia**

An Analysis of Elite Political Discourse

MICHAEL URBAN

Cosmopolitan Regard*

Political Membership and Global Justice

RICHARD VERNON

*Contemporary Political Theory***Global Warming Gridlock**Creating More Effective Strategies
for Protecting the Planet

DAVID G. VICTOR

**Women's Rights in
Democratizing States**Just Debate and Gender Justice in
the Public Sphere

DENISE M. WALSH

TEXTBOOK!**Challenges in
Intelligence Analysis***

Lessons from 1300 BCE to the Present

TIMOTHY WALTON

John Locke and Modern Life

LEE WARD

**Leftist Governments in
Latin America***

Successes and Shortcomings

Edited by KURT WEYLAND,
RAÚL L. MADRID,
and WENDY HUNTER**The CDU and the Politics of
Gender in Germany**

Bringing Women to the Party

SARAH ELISE WILIARTY

Oligarchy*

JEFFREY A. WINTERS

International Cooperation*

The Extents and Limits of Multilateralism

Edited by I. WILLIAM ZARTMAN
and SAADIA TOUVAL

*Available in both Hardback and Paperback.

ENTER THE DISCOUNT CODE **ME1MWPS** TO SAVE 20% ONLINE!

AVAILABLE *for* ADOPTION**International Organizations**

Politics, Law, Practice

IAN HURD,
*Northwestern University*Paperback, 9780521147378
292 pages, 16 b/w illus.

December 2010



"A much-needed addition to the field of international organizations. Ian Hurd explores the key theoretical and practical issues concerning international organizations in a well-written, engaging, and comprehensive manner."

– Jon Pevehouse, University of Wisconsin

Request an Exam copy at cambridge.org/ihurd

The Politics of Human Rights

The Quest for Dignity

SABINE C. CAREY,
*Universität Mannheim*MARK GIBNEY,
*University of North Carolina,
Asheville*STEVEN C. POE,
*University of North Texas*Paperback, 9780521614054
256 pages, 13 b/w illus., 2 maps, 4 tables

December 2010



"This is the first true human rights textbook. It is comprehensive, has a consistent voice, and introduces students to quantitative approaches to the global, comparative study of human rights. Chapters conclude with suggestions for further reading, relevant websites and films – all with valuable annotations by the authors."

– David Cingranelli, Binghamton University, SUNY

Request an Exam copy at cambridge.org/us/PHR

Understanding Russian PoliticsSTEPHEN WHITE,
*University of Glasgow*Paperback, 9780521688611
506 pages, 16 b/w illus.,
1 map, 54 tables

April 2011



"Stephen White's thoroughly researched, well crafted, and parsimonious text is the best single overview I have encountered of the politics of contemporary Russia. It shows how Russia's post-communist system has stabilized, largely in an authoritarian mold, without yet being frozen in that mold."

– Timothy J. Colton, Chair of the
Department of Government, Harvard University

Request an Exam copy at
cambridge.org/ustrussianpolitics

The Politics of the European UnionHERMAN LELIEVELDT
SEBASTIAAN PRINCEN*Universiteit Utrecht*Paperback, 9780521740111
350 pages

May 2011



This textbook which uses the lens of comparative politics is a comprehensive introduction to EU politics and covers history, theory, key institutions and participants, as well as policies and policy making.

Request an Exam copy at
cambridge.org/us/politicsEU



New & Recent Titles from OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

Visit booths 500 and 502 for a 20% discount



The Obama Victory

How Media, Money, and Message Shaped the 2008 Election

Kate Kenski, Bruce W. Hardy, & Kathleen Hall Jamieson
2010, 392 pp.,
paper \$21.95, cloth \$99.00



Democracy Remixed

Black Youth and the Future of American Politics

Cathy J. Cohen
2010, 304 pp., cloth \$27.95

From Disgust to Humanity

Sexual Orientation and Constitutional Law
Martha C. Nussbaum
2010, 256 pp., cloth \$21.95

Reforming Jim Crow

Southern Politics and State in the Age Before Brown

Kimberly Johnson
2010, 336 pp., cloth \$35.00

Five Miles Away, A World Apart

One City, Two Schools, and the Story of Educational Opportunity in Modern America
James E. Ryan
2010, 400 pp., cloth \$29.95

In Brown's Wake

Legacies of America's Educational Landmark

Martha Minow
2010, 320 pp., cloth \$24.95

Courage to Dissent

Atlanta and the Long History of the Civil Rights Movement

Tomiko Brown-Nagin
2011, 608 pp., cloth \$34.95



The Jury and Democracy

How Jury Deliberation Promotes Civic Engagement and Political Participation

John Gastil, E. Pierre Deess, Philip J. Weiser, & Cindy Simmons
2010, 288 pp.,
paper \$24.95, cloth \$99.00

The Oxford Handbook of American Bureaucracy

Edited by Robert F. Durant

2010, 888 pp., cloth \$150.00

Keeping Faith with the Constitution

Goodwin Liu, Pamela S. Karlan, & Christopher H. Schroeder
2010, 272 pp., cloth \$21.95

The Enlightenment of Sympathy

Justice and the Moral Sentiments in the Eighteenth Century and Today

Michael L. Frazer
2010, 248 pp., cloth \$35.00

The Supportive State

Families, Government, and America's Political Ideals

Maxine Eichner
2010, 208 pp., cloth \$49.95

Crisis of Conservatism?

The Republican Party, the Conservative Movement, and American Politics after Bush

Edited by Joel D. Aberbach & Gillian Peele
2011, 454 pp.,
paper \$29.95, cloth \$99.00



NICHE NEWS

THE POLITICS
OF NEWS
CHOICE

NATALIE JOMINI STROUD

Niche News

The Politics of News Choice

Natalie Jomini Stroud
2011, 272 pp.,
paper \$24.95, cloth \$99.00

The Performance of Politics

Obama's Victory and the Democratic Struggle for Power
Jeffrey C. Alexander
2010, 384 pp., cloth \$29.95

The Oxford Handbook of Church and State in the United States

Edited by Derek H. Davis
2010, 592 pp., cloth \$150.00

Ideas and Politics in Social Science Research

Edited by Daniel Béland & Robert Henry Cox
2010, 288 pp.,
paper \$27.95, cloth \$99.00

Friend v. Friend

The Transformation of Friendship—and What the Law Has to Do with It
Ethan J. Leib
2011, 272 pp., cloth \$29.95

One Nation Under Surveillance

A New Social Contract to Defend Freedom Without Sacrificing Liberty
Simon Chesterman
2011, 320 pp., cloth \$45.00

Losing Twice

Harms of Indifference in the Supreme Court
Emily M. Calhoun
2011, 192 pp., cloth \$39.95



The Executive Unbound

After the Madsonian Republic

Eric A. Posner and Adrian Vermeule
2011, 256 pp., cloth \$29.95



Health Care Reform and American Politics

What Everyone Needs to Know

Lawrence R. Jacobs & Theda Skocpol
2010, 232 pp.,
paper \$16.95, cloth \$74.00

Are Muslims Distinctive?

A Look at the Evidence
M. Steven Fish
2011, 408 pp.,
paper \$27.95, cloth \$99.00

Conflicts of Interest and the Future of Medicine

The United States, France, and Japan
Marc A. Rodwin
2011, 392 pp., cloth \$29.95

Political Theories of Decolonization



Postcolonialism and the Problem of Foundations
Margaret Kohn & Keady McBride
2011, 224 pp.,
paper \$27.95, cloth \$99.00

Democracy's Reconstruction

Thinking Politically with W.E.B. Du Bois
Lawrie Balfour
2011, 216 pp., cloth \$39.95

New & Recent Titles from OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

Visit booths 500 and 502 for a 20% discount



Introduction to Global Politics

Steve L. Lamy, John Baylis, Steve Smith, & Patricia Owens
2010, 512 pp., paper \$84.95

Introduction to Global Politics (brief)

Steve L. Lamy & John Masker
2011, 480 pp., paper \$64.95

World Politics in a New Era

Fifth Edition
Steven L. Spiegel, Elizabeth Matthews, Jennifer Taw, & Kristen Williams
2011, 704 pp., paper \$69.95

The Globalization of World Politics

Fifth Edition
John Baylis, Steve Smith, & Patricia Owens
2011, 680 pp., paper \$89.95

International Political Economy

A Reader
Axel Hülsemeyer
2010, 568 pp., paper \$79.95

Global Political Economy

Third Edition
John Ravenhill
2011, 568 pp., paper \$49.95

Comparative Politics

Second Edition
Daniele Caramani
2011, 832 pp., paper \$39.95

Politics in the Developing World

Third Edition
Peter Burnell, Vicky Randall, & Lise Rakner
2011, 608 pp., paper \$49.95

Introduction to Global Politics

A Reader
John Masker
2011, 624 pp., paper \$39.95

Introduction to International Relations

Theories and Approaches
Fourth Edition
Robert Jackson and Georg Sorensen
2010, 400 pp., paper \$59.95

International Relations Theories

Discipline and Diversity
Second Edition
Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki, & Steve Smith
2010, 400 pp., paper \$49.95

Ethics and World Politics

Duncan Bell
2010, 400 pp., paper \$29.95

International Law for International Relations

Basak Cali
2010, 480 pp., paper \$49.95

Mexico

Political, Social, and Economic Evolution
Nora Hamilton
2010, 384 pp., paper \$39.95

Politics of Latin America

Fourth Edition
Harry E. Vanden & Gary Prevost
2011, 688 pp., paper \$49.95

Democracy in Latin America

Second Edition
Peter H. Smith
2011, 416 pp., paper \$32.95

The Science of Politics

An Introduction
Joseph Colomer
2010, 320 pp., paper \$89.95

State and Local Government

Sustainability in the 21st Century
Christopher A. Simon, Brent S. Steel, & Nicholas P. Lovrich
2010, 400 pp., paper \$64.95

Constitutional Law in Contemporary America

Institutions, Politics, and Process, Volume One
David Schultz, John R. Vile, & Michelle D. Deardorff
2010, 816 pp., paper \$74.95

Constitutional Law in Contemporary America

Civil Rights and Liberties, Volume Two
David Schultz, John R. Vile, & Michelle D. Deardorff
2010, 856 pp., paper \$74.95

Intelligence

The Secret World of Spies
Third Edition
Loch K. Johnson & James J. Wirtz
2010, 592 pp., paper \$64.95

Contemporary Policy Analysis

Michael Mintrom
2011, 400 pp., paper \$59.95

Politics in the European Union

Third Edition
Ian Bache, Stephen George, & Simon Bulmer
2011, 650 pp., paper \$49.95

European Union Politics

Third Edition
Edited by Michelle Cini & Nieves Perez-Solorzano Borragan
2010, 530 pp., paper \$49.95

Oxford Journals

Political Analysis
African Affairs
Publics: The Journal of Federalism
Public Opinion Quarterly
International Journal of Public Opinion Research
Journal of Public Administration Research & Theory

Visit Oxford Journals online for a full list of journals in the field, online sample issues, email alerting services, article submission information, and free articles.

www.oxfordjournals.org

Not for Profit. All for Education.

Oxford University Press believes that high-quality educational support can and should be delivered at a reasonable price.

Prices are subject to change and apply only in the U.S. To order or for more information, call 1-800-451-7556. In Canada, call 1-800-387-8020. Visit our website at www.oup.com/us

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS
WORLDWIDE

INNOVATIVE PERSPECTIVES



The Congressional Budget Office

Honest Numbers, Power, and Policymaking

Philip G. Joyce

978-1-58901-757-3, paperback, \$29.95

American Governance and Public Policy series




The Future of Public Administration around the World

The Minnowbrook Perspective

Rosemary O'Leary, David M. Van Slyke, and Soonhee Kim, Editors

978-1-58901-711-5, paperback, \$29.95

Public Management and Change series




Public Administration

Traditions of Inquiry and Philosophies of Knowledge

Norma M. Riccucci

978-1-58901-704-7, paperback, \$24.95

Public Management and Change series



Out and Running

Gay and Lesbian Candidates, Elections, and Policy Representation

Donald P. Haider-Markel

978-1-58901-699-6, paperback, \$29.95

American Governance and Public Policy series




What's Going On?

Political Incorporation and the Transformation of Black Public Opinion

Katherine Tate

978-1-58901-702-3, paperback, \$29.95



Cases in Public Policy Analysis

Third Edition

George M. Guess and Paul G. Farnham

978-1-58901-734-4, paperback, \$29.95



How Information Matters

Networks and Public Policy Innovation

Kathleen Hale

978-1-58901-700-9, paperback, \$29.95

Public Management and Change series



Scandalous Politics

Child Welfare Policy in the States

Juliet F. Gainsborough

978-1-58901-707-8, paperback, \$26.95

American Governance and Public Policy series



City-County Consolidation

Promises Made, Promises Kept?

Suzanne M. Leland and Kurt Thurmaier, Editors

978-1-58901-828-6, paperback, \$34.95

American Governance and Public Policy series



More than Mayor or Manager

Campaigns to Change Form of Government in America's Large Cities

James H. Svara and Douglas J. Watson, Editors

978-1-58901-709-2, paperback, \$34.95






GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY PRESS

800.537.5487 • www.press.georgetown.edu

PLEASE VISIT US AT BOOTH #302!

CQ PRESS

NEW TITLES FOR YOUR INTRO COURSES



INTERESTED IN CUSTOMIZING A BOOK? OR SAVING YOUR STUDENTS MONEY WITH AN E-BOOK?

E-MAIL collegesales@cqpress.com TO LEARN MORE.



TO REQUEST AN EXAM COPY, E-MAIL collegesales@cqpress.com.

VISIT college.cqpress.com FOR MORE INFORMATION.

INTRO



CQ PRESS

NEW TITLES FOR YOUR FALL COURSES



CQ PRESS

NEW TITLES FOR YOUR FALL COURSES



INTERESTED IN CUSTOMIZING A BOOK? OR SAVING YOUR STUDENTS MONEY WITH AN E-BOOK?

E-MAIL collegesales@cqpress.com TO LEARN MORE.

TO REQUEST AN EXAM COPY, E-MAIL collegesales@cqpress.com.

VISIT college.cqpress.com FOR MORE INFORMATION.


Essential Resources from the *CQ Press Reference Information Group*



Visit our booth for a demonstration of
CQ Press online titles and databases!

The Encyclopedia of Political Science

Prepared with the assistance of the American Political Science Association, this comprehensive reference brings together a distinguished editorial board and more than 600 distinguished and rising scholars to chronicle and assess the core issues that have long concerned students of politics.




Place your order today!

www.cqpress.com | 1-866-427-7737 | 1-800-380-3810

Mention promotion **L11MPSA** for a **20% discount** on print resources

For more information on these and other CQ Press titles,
visit www.cqpress.com/reference

International Specialized Book Services: Booth 207



After 9/11: Leading Political Thinkers about the World, the U.S. and Themselves

17 Conversations

By Tobias Endler

Barbara Budrich Publishers | pb | \$29.95



Child Slavery Now

A Contemporary Reader

Edited by Gary Craig

The Policy Press | pb | \$39.95

Liberalism

Its Achievements and Failures

By Kazuo Seiyama

Trans Pacific Press | pb | \$34.95

The New Arab Media

Technology, Image and Perception

Ed. by Mahjoob Zweiri and

Emma C. Murphy

Ithaca Press | hb | \$69.95

A Political Analysis of Deviance (3rd ed.)

Edited by Pat L. Lauderdale

de Sitter Publications | pb | \$39.95

Gaza

Morality, Law & Politics

Edited by Raimond Gaila

UWA Publishing | pb | \$29.95

Development Dilemmas in Post-Apartheid South Africa

Ed. by Bill Freund & Harald Witt

U. of KwaZulu-Natal Press | pb | \$54.00

U.S. Idealism Meets Reality

Democracy Promotion in the Middle East during the George W. Bush Administration

By Zoë Nautré

Names Publishers | pb | \$43.00

Ethics and Security

Ed. by Monica den Boer &

Emile Kalthoff

Eleven Int'l Publishing | pb | \$47.00



The Institutionalization of Torture Under the Bush Administration

Is Anyone Responsible?

By M. Cherif Bassouni

Intersentia | pb | \$35.00




Climate Change and Displacement

Multidisciplinary Perspectives

Edited by Jane McAdam

Hart Publishing, Oxford | hb | \$90.00




Entangled Rights of Freedom

Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Religion and the Non-Discrimination Principle in the Dutch Wilders Case

By Lisanne Groen & Martijn

Stronks

Eleven Int'l Publishing | pb | \$28.00



Waging Peace in Sudan

The Inside Story of the Negotiations That Ended Africa's Longest Civil War

By Hilde F. Johnson

Sussex Academic Press | pb | \$34.95



International Specialized Book Services

920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300 | Portland, OR 97213

1-800-944-6190 | www.isbs.com | [Facebook.com/ISBSbooks](https://www.facebook.com/ISBSbooks)

*Stop by our booth (207) for a 20% discount off all titles at the conference



NEW AND FORTHCOMING BOOKS

from Wiley-Blackwell

Globalization

The Essentials

GEORGE RITZER

MARCH 2011 • 368 PAGES • PAPERBACK
978-0-470-65561-0 • \$39.95

The Globalization Reader

Fourth Edition

EDITED BY FRANK J. LECHNER and
JOHN BOLI

SEPTEMBER 2011 • 528 PAGES
PAPERBACK • 978-0-470-65563-4
\$49.95

Comparative Politics

*Principles of Democracy and
Democratization*

JOHNT. ISHIYAMA

MAY 2011 • 264 PAGES • PAPERBACK
978-1-4051-8686-5 • \$39.95

Political Atlas of the Modern World




ANDREI MELVILLE with
YURI POLUNIN, MIKHAIL ILYIN,
MIKHAIL MIRONYUK, IVAN TIMOFEEV,
ELENA MELESHKINA, and
YAN VASLAVSKIY

2010 • 256 PAGES • HARDBACK
978-1-4443-3580-4 • \$134.95

The Wiley-Blackwell Encyclopedia of Globalization

EDITED BY GEORGE RITZER

JANUARY 2012 • 5 VOLUMES
3040 PAGES • HARDBACK
978-1-4051-8924-1 • \$995.00



 WILEY-BLACKWELL

POLITY

Forthcoming for your fall classes



Contemporary Politics in the Middle East

Third Edition

BEVERLY MILTON-EDWARDS

A revised and updated edition of the
definitive introduction to the politics of
the Middle East

JULY 2011 • 340 PAGES • PAPER
978-0-7456-5231-3 • \$26.95



Contemporary Conflict Resolution

Third Edition

OLIVER RAMSBOTHAM,
TOM WOODHOUSE AND
HUGH MIALI

A fully revised and expanded new
edition of the leading book in the field

APRIL 2011 • 480 PAGES • PAPER
978-0-7456-4974-0 • \$34.95



NOW AVAILABLE Understanding Peacekeeping

Second Edition

ALEX BELLAMY, PAUL WILLIAMS
AND STUART GRIFFIN

A comprehensive and up-to-date
introduction to the theory, practice
and politics of contemporary peace
operations.

PUBLISHED • 376 PAGES • PAPER
978-0-7456-4188-7 • \$29.95

polity



ESSENTIAL JOURNALS FROM

Wiley-Blackwell

Global leaders in Politics, Policy,
Public Administration and
International Relations

We are proud to publish *American Journal of Political Science*
on behalf of the Midwest Political Science Association



Wiley-Blackwell publishes the highest quality content in politics, public administration and international relations representing the very best of academic research, practitioner use and student learning from around the globe. Our extensive journals portfolio is second to none in quality and international scope. We work with leading organisations from around the world, and publish over 50 prestigious journals that are available in thousands of libraries worldwide, with an extensive international readership.

www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/subject/politics

 **WILEY-
BLACKWELL**

NEW TITLES IN POLITICAL SCIENCE FROM
**BASIC BOOKS AND
PUBLICAFFAIRS**

VISIT
BOOTHS
#405 & 407
FOR A 20%
DISCOUNT



NEW IN PAPERBACK

The Shadow Elite

How the World's New Power Brokers Undermine Democracy, Government, and the Free Market

JANINE R. WEDEL

Basic Books, March 2011, 304 pages
9780465022014, \$17.99, PB

NEW

Kabuki Democracy

The System vs. Barack Obama

ERIC ALTERMAN

Nation Books, January 2011, 224 pages
9781568589595, \$14.99, PB

NEW

The Neoconservative Persuasion

Selected Essays, 1942–2009

IRVING KRISTOL

Basic Books, January 2011, 416 pages
9780465022236, \$29.95, HC

NEW

The Net Delusion

The Dark Side of Internet Freedom

EVGENY MOROZOV

PublicAffairs, January 2011, 432 pages
9781586488741, \$27.95, HC

NEW

WikiLeaks

Inside Julian Assange's War on Secrecy

DAVID LEIGH AND LUKE HARDING

PublicAffairs, February 2011, 352 pages
9781610390813, \$15.99, PB

NEW

Financial Crisis Inquiry Report

Final Report of the National Commission on the Causes of the Financial and Economic Crisis in the United States

Authorized Edition

PublicAffairs, February 2011, 576 pages
9781610390415, \$14.99, PB

NEW

The Goldstone Report

The Legacy of the Landmark Investigation of the Gaza Conflict

EDITED BY ADAM HOROWITZ, LIZZY RATNER, AND PHILIP WEISS

FOREWORD BY ARCHBISHOP DESMOND TUTU

INTRODUCTION BY NAOMI KLEIN

Nation Books, January 2011, 480 pages
9781568586410, \$18.95, PB

FORTHCOMING

Poor Economics

A Radical Rethinking of the Way to Fight Global Poverty

ABHIJIT BANERJEE AND ESTHER DUFLO

PublicAffairs, April 2011, 336 pages
9781586487980, \$26.99, HC

FORTHCOMING

In Defense of Flogging

PETER MOSKOS

Basic Books, May 2011, 192 pages
9780465021482, \$20.00, HC

FORTHCOMING

Tropic of Chaos

Climate Change and the New Geography of Violence





CHRISTIAN PARENTI

Nation Books, June 2011, 326 pages
9781568586007, \$25.99, HC



NEW TITLES IN POLITICAL SCIENCE FROM WESTVIEW PRESS

VISIT
BOOTHS
#405 & 407
FOR A 20%
DISCOUNT



NEW

Human Security in a Borderless World

DEREK S. REVERON AND KATHLEEN A. MAHONEY-NORRIS

Westview Press, February 2011, 272 pages
9780813344850, \$32.00, PB

NEW

International Law

Contemporary Issues and Future Developments

EDITED BY SANFORD R. SILVERBURG

Westview Press, March 2011, 656 pages
9780813344713, \$55.00, PB

NEW EDITION

Revolutions and Revolutionary Movements

Fourth Edition

JAMES DEFRONZO

Westview Press, January 2011, 520 pages
9780813344805, \$46.00, PB

NEW EDITION

Nicaragua

Living in the Shadow of the Eagle

Fifth Edition

THOMAS W. WALKER AND CHRISTINE J. WADE

Westview Press, January 2011, 264 pages
9780813343877, \$33.00, PB

NEW EDITION

Thinking Like a Lawyer

An Introduction to Legal Reasoning

Second Edition

KENNETH J. VANDELDE

Westview Press, December 2010, 352 pages
9780813344645, \$24.99, PB

Latin American Politics and Development

Seventh Edition

EDITED BY HOWARD J. WIARDA AND HARVEY F. KLINE

Westview Press, August 2010, 656 pages
9780813344591, \$49.00, PB

The Government and Politics of the Middle East and North Africa

Sixth Edition

EDITED BY DAVID E. LONG, BERNARD REICH, AND MARK GASIOROWSKI

Westview Press, August 2010, 576 pages
9780813344492, \$50.00, PB

The New Dynamics of Multilateralism

Diplomacy, International Organizations, and Global Governance

EDITED BY JAMES P. MULDOON, JR., JOANN FAGOT AMIEL,
RICHARD REITANO, AND EARL SULLIVAN

Westview Press, August 2010, 384 pages
9780813344812, \$37.00, PB

The New Citizenship

Unconventional Politics, Activism, and Service

Fourth Edition

CRAIG A. RIMMERMAN

Westview Press, July 2010, 240 pages
9780813344577, \$29.00, PB

Onward Christian Soldiers?

The Religious Right in American Politics

Fourth Edition

CLYDE WILCOX AND CARIN ROBINSON

Westview Press, July 2010, 264 pages
9780813344539, \$32.00, PB

Religion and the American Constitutional Experiment

Third Edition

JOHN WITTE, JR. AND JOEL A. NICHOLS

Westview Press, July 2010, 384 pages
9780813344751, \$42.00, PB

Administrative Law in the Political System

Fifth Edition

KENNETH F. WARREN

Westview Press, August 2010, 640 pages
9780813344560, \$75.00, PB





Policy Studies Organization
1527 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036
www.ipsonet.org

The PSO sponsors academic meetings throughout the year. They are always interesting opportunities for co-sponsorship and papers tend to end up in journals' special issues or part of our book series programs. Information from Daniel Gutiérrez, Congress Director, dgutierrez@ipsonet.org and www.ipsonet.org - Conference Section.

First Annual Forum on Drug Policy

Friday, April 1, 2011
Roosevelt University, Chicago, IL
For more details, please see page inside.

Short Course on all aspects of online learning – first day of APSA Annual Meeting

Wednesday, August 31, 2011, Seattle, Washington

Panel on Poverty, Inequality, and Public Policy

At the APSA Annual Meeting

The Caucus on Poverty, Inequality, and Public Policy of the American Political Science Association proudly claims sponsorship from the Policy Studies Organization. The Caucus is the nucleus around which scholars and practitioners whose work relates to poverty coalesce, providing for discussion and networking-especially at the APSA's annual meeting, and sponsors the peer-reviewed, on-line, journal, *Poverty and Public Policy: A Global Journal of Social Security, Income, Aid, and Welfare*.

Fourth Annual Dupont Summit

Friday, December 2, 2011
Carnegie Institution for Science and Cosmos Club, Washington, DC
Sponsors: Philosophical Society of Washington, Royal Society of Arts, and American Public University System. Goal: Washington's leadership interfaces with leaders in science, technology and environment, reviewing current and prospective legislation.

Oxford Internet, Politics, Policy: An Impact Assessment

September 15-16, 2012
University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
Sponsors: Oxford Internet Institute, PSO Journal *Internet & Policy*
Goal: Subjects relationships of the Internet, Politics and Policy to multi-disciplinary scrutiny.

PSO partners with the American Public University System in these efforts. American Public University System, winner of the Sloan Consortium's 2009 Ralph E. Gomory Award for Quality Online Education and two-time recipient of Sloan's Effective Practices Award, offers 79 online degree programs through American Public University and American Military University. Its relevant curriculum, affordability and flexibility help more than 83,000 working adults worldwide pursue degrees in subjects ranging from homeland security to management and liberal arts. For information on American Public University, please visit www.apu.apus.edu

The Harrell Rodgers Graduate Student Scholarship

is sponsored by PSO and MPSA, and we proudly announce this year's recipients:
Ramón Galíñanes, Jr., *University of Florida*, and Shawn Ling Ramirez, *University of Rochester*.



First Annual Forum on Drug Policy

Friday, April 1, 2011
Library, 10th Floor
Roosevelt University
430 S. Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois

*Illinois Consortium on Drug Policy, Roosevelt University
The American Public University System
Policy Studies Organization*

Panel I – The War on Drugs: Action in the Heartland, Library, 2:00 p.m.

Abstract: This panel explores how different kinds of organizations, from the community based to the governmental, are working towards dismantling the war on drugs in Illinois. Panelists will discuss a range of issues: harm reduction advocacy efforts; solutions to racially disproportionate incarceration; medical marijuana advocacy to efforts to reduce Illinois' prison populations.

Moderator: Kathie Kane-Willis, *Illinois Consortium on Drug Policy*

Panelists: Laura Brooks, Director of Policy, *Treatment Alternatives for Safer Communities*

Rev. Al Sharp, Executive Director, *Protestants for the Common Good*

John Peller, Policy Director, *Aids Foundation Chicago*

Mary Ann Dyar, Director, *Redeploy Illinois*

Refreshments, Library, 3:15 p.m.

Panel II – The Collateral Consequences of the War on Drugs: Limiting Lifelong Obstacles, Library, 3:45 p.m.

Abstract: This panel examines different ways organization are working to remove obstacles that prevent individuals with criminal records from accessing employment and housing.

Moderator: Tony Lowery, Director of Policy, *Safer Foundation*

Panelists: Mark Myrent, Director of Research, *Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority*

Todd Belcore, Fellow, *Shriver Center on Poverty Law*

Beth Johnson, Attorney, *Cabrini Green Legal Aid*

Andrea Hall, Senior Organizer, Reentry Project, *Chicago Coalition for the Homeless*


Reception, Library, 5:00 p.m.

Everyone at MPSA is cordially invited at no charge.

For more information please go the following URL: www.roosevelt.edu/icdp



New from Routledge



2nd Edition
The American Anomaly
 U.S. Politics and Government in Comparative Perspective
 Raymond A. Smith, Columbia University and New York University
 Pp: 978-0-415-87973-6: \$38.95 \$31.16

6th Edition
American Government
 Political Development and Institutional Change
 Cal Jillson, Southern Methodist University
 Pp: 978-0-415-88157-9: \$64.95 \$51.96

The American Political Economy
 Institutional Evolution of Market and State
 Marc Allen Eisner, Wesleyan University
 Pp: 978-0-415-99962-5: \$42.95 \$34.36

3rd Edition
An Introduction to African Politics
 Alex Thomson, Coventry University, UK
 Pp: 978-0-415-48287-5: \$39.95 \$31.96

Autobiographical International Relations I, IR
 Edited by Naeem Inayatullah, Ithaca College
 Series: *Interventions*
 November 2010: 6-1/4 x 9-1/4: 232pp
 Pp: 978-0-415-78143-5: \$49.95 \$33.56



Campaigning in the Twenty-First Century
 A Whole New Ballgame?
 Dennis W. Johnson, George Washington University
 Pp: 978-0-415-80038-9: \$28.95 \$23.16

Comparative Politics of Latin America
 Democracy at Last?
 Daniel C. Hellinger, Webster University
 Pp: 978-0-415-88917-9: \$49.95 \$39.96

The Conduct of Inquiry in International Relations
 Philosophy of Science and Its Implications for the Study of World Politics
 Patrick Thaddeus Jackson, American University
 Series: *New International Relations*
 Pp: 978-0-415-77627-1: \$42.95 \$34.36

Contesting Global Order
 Development, Global Governance, and Globalization
 James H. Mittelman, American University
 Pp: 978-0-415-80095-5: \$39.95 \$31.96

Designing Public Policies
 Principles and Instruments
 Michael Howlett, Simon Fraser University, Canada
 Series: *Routledge Textbooks in Policy Studies*
 Pp: 978-0-415-78133-6: \$44.95 \$33.56




Feminist Security Studies
 A Narrative Approach
 Annick T.R. Wibben, University of San Francisco
 Series: *PRIO New Security Studies*
 Pp: 978-0-415-45728-6: \$38.95 \$31.16

2nd Edition
Gender and Global Restructuring
 Sightings, Sites and Resistances
 Edited by Marianne H. Marchand, Universidad de las Américas Puebla, Mexico and Anne Sisson Runyan, University of Cincinnati
 Series: *RPE Series in Global Political Economy*
 Pp: 978-0-415-77680-6: \$49.95 \$39.96

2nd Edition
Genocide
 A Comprehensive Introduction
 Adam Jones, University of British Columbia Okanagan, Canada
 Pp: 978-0-415-48619-4: \$39.95 \$31.96

Hegemony and Democracy
 Bruce Russett, Yale University
 Series: *Security and Governance*
 Pp: 978-0-415-57571-3: \$39.95 \$31.96

Humanitarianism Contested
 Where Angels Fear to Tread
 Michael Barnett, George Washington University and Thomas G. Weiss, The City University of New York
 Pp: 978-0-415-49664-3: \$26.95 \$20.76



The Imperfect Primary
 Oddities, Biases, and Strengths of U.S. Presidential Nomination Politics
 Barbara Norrander, University of Arizona
 Series: *Controversies in Electoral Democracy and Representation*
 Pp: 978-0-415-99577-1: \$29.95 \$23.96

The International Humanitarian Order
 Michael Barnett, George Washington University
 Series: *Security and Governance*
 Pp: 978-0-415-77632-5: \$39.95 \$31.96

International Political Economy
 Debating the Past, Present and Future
 Edited by Nicola Phillips, University of Manchester, UK and Catherine Weaver, The University of Texas at Austin
 Pp: 978-0-415-78057-5: \$44.95 \$35.96

The International Politics of Mass Atrocities
 The Case of Darfur
 Edited by David R. Black, Dalhousie University, Canada and Paul D. Williams, George Washington University
 Series: *Security and Governance*
 Pp: 978-0-415-55903-4: \$43.95 \$35.16



Making Sense of Media and Politics

Five Principles in Political Communication

Gadli Wolfsfeld, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

Pb: 978-0-415-88523-2; \$29.95 \$23.96

New Directions in the American Presidency

Edited by Lori Cox Han, Chapman University

Series: *New Directions in American Politics*

Pb: 978-0-415-87802-9; \$44.95 \$33.56

New Directions in Campaigns and Elections

Edited by Stephen K. Medvic, Franklin and Marshall College

Series: *New Directions in American Politics*

Pb: 978-0-415-87883-8; \$38.95 \$31.16

The Puzzles of Politics

Inquiries into the Genesis and Transformation of International Relations

Friedrich Kratochwill, EUI, Italy


Series: *New International Relations*

Pb: 978-0-415-58102-8; \$49.95 \$39.96

Realism and World Politics

Edited by Ken Booth, Aberystwyth University, UK

Pb: 978-0-415-57058-9; \$48.95 \$35.16



2nd Edition

Rethinking American Electoral Democracy

Matthew J. Streb, Northern Illinois University

Series: *Controversies in Electoral Democracy and Representation*

Pb: 978-0-415-88202-6; \$34.95 \$27.96

The Routledge Handbook of Terrorism Research

Edited by Alex R. Schmid, United Nations, Terrorism Prevention Branch

Hb: 978-0-415-41157-8; \$216.00 \$168.00

The Routledge Handbook of Transatlantic Security

Edited by Jussi Hanhimäki, Georges-Henri Soutou and Basil Germond, University of Central Lancashire

Hb: 978-0-415-57283-5; \$499.00 \$159.20

The Routledge Handbook of War and Society

Iraq and Afghanistan

Edited by Steven Carlton-Ford, University of Cincinnati and Morten G. Ender, United States Military Academy, West Point

Hb: 978-0-415-56732-9; \$240.00 \$168.00

Security Studies

A Reader

Edited by Christopher W. Hughes and Yew Meng Lai, both at University of Warwick, UK

Pb: 978-0-415-32601-8; \$49.95 \$39.96



Strategy in Information and Influence Campaigns

How Policy Advocates, Social Movements, Insurgent Groups, Corporations, Governments and Others Get What They Want

Jarol B. Manheim, George Washington University

Pb: 978-0-415-88729-8; \$39.95 \$31.96

Territory, War, and Peace

John A. Vasquez and Marie T. Henehan, both at University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Series: *Contemporary Security Studies*

Pb: 978-0-415-42414-1; \$39.95 \$31.96



Understanding Counterinsurgency

Doctrine, Operations, and Challenges

Edited by Thomas Rid, American Academy, Germany and Thomas Keaney, Johns Hopkins University

Pb: 978-0-415-77765-0; \$39.95 \$31.96

War and Ideas

Selected Essays

John Mueller, Ohio State University

Pb: 978-0-415-78177-0; \$39.95 \$31.96

4th Edition

Women's Rights in the USA

Policy Debates and Gender Roles

Dorothy E. McBride, Florida Atlantic University and Janine A. Parry, University of Arkansas

Pb: 978-0-415-80452-3; \$39.95 \$31.96

Visit us at

Booths 101-103

to receive a

20% Discount

Routledge... think about it

1.800.634.7064 • www.routledge.com/politics

Rowman & Littlefield Publishers

New Titles for Your Classroom or Bookshelf



Religion and Politics in the United States

SIXTH EDITION
By Kenneth D. Wald and Allison Calhoun-Brown



American Indian Politics and the American Political System


THIRD EDITION
By David E. Wilkins and Heidi Kivwetinepinesik Stark

Rebooting American Politics

The Internet Revolution
By Jason B. Gainous and Kevin M. Wagner

American Political Rhetoric

Essential Speeches and Writings On Founding Principles and Contemporary Controversies
SIXTH EDITION
Edited by Peter Augustine Lawler and Robert Martin Schaefer



Epic Journey

The 2008 Elections and American Politics
POST-2010 ELECTION UPDATE
By James W. Ceaser, Andrew E. Busch, and John J. Pitney, Jr.

James Madison Rules America

The Constitutional Origins of Congressional Partisanship
By William F. Connolly, Jr.



Redistricting

The Most Political Activity in America
By Charles S. Bullock III

An Atlas of the 2008 Elections

Edited by Stanley D. Brunn, J. Clark Archer, Stephen J. Lavin, Fred M. Shelley, Gerald R. Webster

Rethinking Social Inquiry

Diverse Tools, Shared Standards
SECOND EDITION
Edited by Henry E. Brady and David Collier

European Foreign Policies

Does Europe Still Matter?
Edited by Ronald Tiersky and John Van Oudenaren



The State of the Parties

The Changing Role of Contemporary American Parties
SIXTH EDITION
Edited by John C. Green and Daniel J. Coffey

Global Security in the Twenty-first Century

The Quest for Power and the Search for Peace
SECOND EDITION
By Sean Kay



Key States, High Stakes

Sarah Palin, the Tea Party, and the 2010 Elections
By Charles S. Bullock III

Contemporary Mexican Politics

SECOND EDITION
By Emily Edmonds-Poli and David A. Shirk




Transforming America

Barack Obama in the White House
Edited by Steven E. Schier

Seeking Security in an Insecure World

SECOND EDITION
By Dan Caldwell and Robert E. Williams, Jr.



Parties and Elections in America

The Electoral Process
SIXTH EDITION
By L. Sandy Maisel and Mark D. Brewer

Europe Today

FOURTH EDITION
Edited by Ronald Tiersky and Erik Jones

Presidential Elections

THIRTEENTH EDITION
By Nelson W. Polsby, Steven E. Schier, and David A. Hopkins

Russian Foreign Policy

The Return of Great Power Politics
SECOND EDITION
By Jeffrey Manikoff

Essential Supreme Court Decisions

Summaries of Leading Cases in U.S. Constitutional Law
FIFTEENTH EDITION
By John R. Vila

Globalization and Islamism

Beyond Fundamentalism
By Nevzat Soguk


STOP BY BOOTH #202 FOR A 30% CONFERENCE DISCOUNT!

WWW.ROWMANLITTLEFIELD.COM | 800-462-6420



LEXINGTON BOOKS

STOP BY BOOTH #204 FOR A 30% CONFERENCE DISCOUNT!



American Progressivism
A Reader
Edited by Ronald J. Pestritto and William Atto

The German Stranger
Leo Strauss and National Socialism
By William H.F. Altman

History of American Political Thought
Edited by Bryan-Paul Frost and Jeffrey Sikkenga

Recovering Reason
Essays in Honor of Thomas L. Pangle
Edited by Timothy Burns

The Idea of the Public Sphere
A Reader
Edited by Jostein Gripsrud, Hallvard Moe, Anders Molander, and Graham Murdock

Dred Scott and the Dangers of a Political Court
By Ethan Greenberg

Popular Leadership in the Presidency
Origins and Practice
By Karen S. Hoffman

Essays on Ayn Rand's Atlas Shrugged
Edited by Robert Mayhew

Same-Sex Marriage in the Americas
Policy Innovation for Same-Sex Relationships
Edited by Jason Pierceson, Adriana Platti-Crocket, and Shawn Schulenberg

Transparency and Secrecy
A Reader Linking Literature and Contemporary Debate
By Suzanne J. Piotrowski

The Pious Sex
Essays on Women and Religion in the History of Political Thought
Edited by Andrea Radesanu

Democratic Destiny and the District of Columbia
Federal Politics and Public Policy
Edited by Ronald Walters and Toni-Michelle C. Travis

Moral Argument, Religion, and Same-Sex Marriage
Advancing the Public Good
Edited by Gordon A. Bobst, Emily R. Gill, and Jason A. Pierceson

Almost Madam President
Why Hillary Clinton "Won" in 2008
By Nichola D. Gutgold
Foreword by Marie Cocco

Representation and Institutional Design
By Rebekah L. Herrick


How Not to Be Governed
Readings and Interpretations from a Critical Anarchist Left
Edited by Jimmy Casas Klausen and James Martel

The Religion Clauses of the First Amendment
Guarantees of States' Rights?
By Ellis M. West

Public Opinion in Alabama
Looking Beyond the Stereotypes
By Cal Clark and Don-Terry Voal

Alexis de Tocqueville and the Art of Democratic Statesmanship
Edited by Brian Danoff and L. Joseph Hebert, Jr.

The Quest to Understand Human Affairs: Volume 1
Natural Resources Policy and Essays on Community and Collective Choice
By Vincent Ostrom
Edited by Barbara Allen
Foreword by Elinor Ostrom



Debate. Participate. Advocate. Wadsworth Political Science



Increase Student Engagement with Aplia

Aplia for American Government...


...helps students learn the essential concepts of Political Science and apply them to real life through the use of interactive coursework that strengthens their critical thinking and comprehensive reading skills

Available NOW!



Janda | Berry | Goldman
The Challenge of Democracy: American Government in Global Politics, 11e
978-0-495-90618-6
© 2012

August 2011!



Geer | Schiller | Segal
Gateways to Democracy: An Introduction to American Government, 1e
978-0-618-90695-6
© 2012

August 2011!




Dautrich | Yalof
American Government: Historical, Popular, and Global Perspectives, 2e
978-0-495-79815-6
© 2012

August 2011!



Bardes | Shelley | Schmidt
American Government and Politics Today: The Essentials, 2011-2012
978-0-538-49719-0
© 2012

 **CourseReader** Your Reader. Your Way. www.cengage.com/coursereader

CourseReader allows you to create an easy-to-use, fully customized online reader in just minutes. Selections begin with an introduction and conclude with assessments to help students understand and analyze what they've read. Choose from thousands of *readings, articles, primary sources, and audio and video selections* from the award-winning **Gale** database for multiple course areas including **American Government, International Relations, and Comparative Politics.**

 **CourseReader**
American Government

 **CourseReader**
International Relations

 **CourseReader**
Comparative Politics

Stop by the Wadsworth booth at MPSA for a demo or to find out more!

MPSA 2011

Check out what's new at
Wadsworth, booths 203 and 205!

*Come by to meet the team and view a short demo of our
latest innovative learning solutions!*



WADSWORTH
CENGAGE Learning™


**At Wadsworth Political Science, we are committed to
publishing current, relevant programs that help instructors
create lively, engaging classrooms.**

Wadsworth, a part of Cengage Learning, publishes textbooks and programs for all of the course areas
in the Political Science curriculum.

CENGAGE **brain**.com

Where students can buy, rent, study, and save, all in one place!

NEW *from* NORTON



New Edition

American Politics Today

Second Edition

Full and Core Editions

WILLIAM T. BIANCO

DAVID T. CANON



2010 Election Update

American Government

POWER AND PURPOSE

Eleventh Edition

Full, Core, and Brief Editions

THEODORE J. LOWI

BENJAMIN GINSBERG

KENNETH A. SHEPSLE

STEPHEN ANSOLABEHRE



New Edition

Faultlines

DEBATING THE ISSUES
IN AMERICAN POLITICS

Third Edition

DAVID T. CANON

JOHN J. COLEMAN

KENNETH R. MAYER

Coming August 2011

The American Political System

KEN KOLLMAN

An analytical approach to American government, organized around empirical puzzles. Request your advance copy at the Norton booth.



New Edition

We the People

AN INTRODUCTION
TO AMERICAN POLITICS

Eighth Edition

Full, Shorter, and
Essentials Editions

BENJAMIN GINSBERG

THEODORE J. LOWI

MARGARET WEIR



New Edition

The Politics of Power

A CRITICAL INTRODUCTION
TO AMERICAN GOVERNMENT

Sixth Edition

IRA KATZNELSON

MARK KESSELMAN

ALAN DRAPER



New Edition

The Enduring Debate

CLASSIC AND CONTEMPORARY
READINGS IN AMERICAN POLITICS

Sixth Edition

DAVID T. CANON

JOHN J. COLEMAN

KENNETH R. MAYER



Readings in American Politics

ANALYSIS AND PERSPECTIVES

KEN KOLLMAN

NEW *from* NORTON



Join us for our 6th Annual Norton Keg Party
on Friday at 5:15 PM in Booth 615.



New

Introduction to Public Policy

CHARLES WHEELAN



New Edition

Constitutional Law and Politics

Eighth Edition

DAVID M. O'BRIEN



New Edition


Coming August 2011

Media Politics

A CITIZEN'S GUIDE

Second Edition

SHANTO IYENGAR



New Editions

Essentials of International Relations

Fifth Edition

KAREN A. MINGST

IVAN M. ARREGUÍN-TOFT

Essential Readings in World Politics

Fourth Edition

KAREN A. MINGST

JACK L. SNYDER

Coming Fall 2011

Campaigns and Elections

RULES, REALITY, STRATEGY, CHOICE

JOHN SIDES, DARON SHAW,

MATT GROSSMANN, AND KEENA LIPSITZ



New Edition

Analyzing Politics

RATIONALITY, BEHAVIOR,
AND INSTITUTIONS

Second Edition

KENNETH A. SHEPSLE



World Politics

INTERESTS, INTERACTIONS, INSTITUTIONS

JEFFRY A. FRIEDEN, DAVID A. LAKE,
AND KENNETH A. SCHULTZ



Third Editions

Essentials of Comparative Politics

PATRICK H. O'NEIL

Cases in Comparative Politics

PATRICK H. O'NEIL, KARL FIELDS,
AND DON SHARE

Essential Readings in Comparative Politics

PATRICK H. O'NEIL

RONALD ROGOWSKI



What does *student performance* mean in American Government?

✓ It means students actively and critically engage in discussing their government.

✓ It means a course in which students' opinions are well-formed and evidence-based.

✓ It means students coming to class prepared and demonstrating that through quizzes and exams.

This could be achieved through the first ever performance-based learning program, *American Democracy Now*. By helping students identify *what they know* and *what they don't know*, *American Democracy Now* focuses students on the learning objectives they need to master in order to achieve better performance.



AMERICAN DEMOCRACY NOW,
Second Edition

Brigid Callahan Harrison
Jean Wahl Harris

0-07-337907-7 | 2011 /
Hardcover / 768 pages

Available Now!

Stop by booth #304 to learn more about AMERICAN DEMOCRACY NOW and to browse our other new American Government titles



Connect
Learn
Succeed™

FOR MORE INFORMATION: Contact your local sales representative at 1-800-338-3987 or consult our website <http://catalogs.mhhe.com>

IDEAL FOR COURSE ADOPTION

M.E. Sharpe textbooks are
now available in e-editions

New
Political Marketing
Theoretical and Strategic Foundations
Wojciech Cwalina, Andrzej Falkowski, and Bruce I. Newman
352 pp.
978-0-7656-2910-6 PB \$44.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2919-7 \$27.95

The Politics of Disenfranchisement
Why Is It So Hard to Vote in America?
Richard K. Scher
216 pp.
978-0-7656-2736-0 PB \$27.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2738-4 \$14.95

Moral Controversies in American Politics
Fourth Edition
Raymond Tatalovich and Byron W. Daynes, Eds.
312 pp.
978-0-7656-2851-6 PB \$32.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2748-9 \$17.95

New York Politics
A Tale of Two States
Second Edition
Edward V. Schneider, John Brian Murtaugh, and Antoinette Pole
358 pp.
978-0-7656-2205-1 PB \$32.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2457-4 \$16.95

New
Accountable Governance
Problems and Promises
Melvin J. Dubnick and H. George Frederickson, Eds.
358 pp.
978-0-7656-2384-3 PB \$44.95

New
The State of Public Administration
Issues, Challenges, and Opportunities
Donald C. Menzel and Harvey L. White, Eds.
528 pp.
978-0-7656-2505-2 PB \$59.95
Sharpe E-text forthcoming

New
Public Administration
An Introduction
Marc Holzer and Richard W. Schwesler
512 pp.
978-0-7656-2120-7 PB \$99.95
Sharpe E-text forthcoming

New Edition
Dynamics of Leadership in Public Service
Theory and Practice
Second Edition
Montgomery Van Wart
528 pp.
978-0-7656-2365-2 HC \$99.95
Sharpe E-text forthcoming

Research Methods for Political Science
Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches
Second Edition
David E. McNabb
448 pp.
978-0-7656-2313-3 PB \$89.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2621-9 \$42.95

Research Methods for Public Administrators
Second Edition
Gail Johnson
296 pp.
978-0-7656-2312-6 PB \$62.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2500-7 \$29.95

Research Methods in Public Administration and Nonprofit Management
Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches
Second Edition
David E. McNabb
488 pp.
978-0-7656-1767-5 HC \$114.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2585-2 \$52.95

An Introduction to the Policy Process
Theories, Concepts, and Models of Public Policy Making
Third Edition
Thomas Birkland
368 pp.
978-0-7656-2532-8 PB \$36.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2533-5 \$21.95

Public Policy Making
Process and Principles
Third Edition
Larry N. Gerston
176 pp.
978-0-7656-2635-9 PB \$29.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2536-6 \$15.95

Energy for the 21st Century
A Comprehensive Guide to Conventional and Alternative Sources
Second Edition
Roy L. Nersesian
416 pp.
978-0-7656-2413-0 PB \$59.95
Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2683-7 \$31.95

Nation Building, State Building, and Economic Development
Case Studies and Comparisons
S.C.M. Paine, Ed.
344 pp.
978-0-7656-2245-7 PB \$35.95

Political Systems of East Asia
China, Korea, and Japan
Louis D. Hayes
288 pp.
978-0-7656-1786-6 PB \$29.95
Sharpe E-text forthcoming

POLITICAL MARKETING

THEORETICAL AND STRATEGIC FOUNDATIONS



The Politics of Disenfranchisement

Why Is It So Hard to Vote in America?



Public Administration

An Introduction



An Introduction to the Policy Process

Theories, Concepts, and Models of Public Policy Making



Third Edition

Thomas Birkland

368 pp.

978-0-7656-2532-8 PB \$36.95

Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2533-5 \$21.95

Public Policy Making

Process and Principles

Third Edition

Larry N. Gerston

176 pp.

978-0-7656-2635-9 PB \$29.95

Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2536-6 \$15.95

Research Methods for Political Science

Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches

Second Edition

David E. McNabb

448 pp.

978-0-7656-2313-3 PB \$89.95

Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2621-9 \$42.95

Research Methods for Public Administrators

Second Edition

Gail Johnson

296 pp.

978-0-7656-2312-6 PB \$62.95

Sharpe E-text: 978-0-7656-2500-7 \$29.95

Research Methods in Public Administration and Nonprofit Management

Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches

Second Edition

David E. McNabb

Sharpe E-Text Center To request a free online exam copy or to purchase 180-day online and download access, visit www.sharpe-e-text.com. E-texts are also available from Google, B&N, and various other e-bookstores.

Order your exam copies
at Booth #303

M.E. Sharpe

TO ORDER: Call 800-541-6563

Fax 914-273-2106

www.mesharpe.com




Paradigm Publishers




A New Publisher for Political Science

**“Not Your
Founding
Father’s
American
Government
Text”**

*Stop by the booth
to find out why and
to pick up your
complimentary copy.*



The Perfect Set of Supplements for All Your Courses



www.paradigmpublishers.com • Visit us in Booth #617

New from Princeton

A Behavioral Theory of Elections

Jonathan Bendor, Daniel Diermeier,
David A. Siegel & Michael M. Ting
Paper \$29.95

The Ethics of Voting

Jason Brennan
Cloth \$19.95

The New Global Rulers

The Privatization of Regulation in the
World Economy
Tim Büthe & Walter Mattli
Cloth \$27.95

Liberating Judgment

Fanatic, Skeptic, and John Locke's
Politics of Probability
Douglas John Gaus
Cloth \$45.00

Worse Than a Monolith

Alliance Politics and Problems of
Coercive Diplomacy in Asia
Thomas J. Christensen
Princeton Studies in International History & Politics
G. John Ikenberry & Marc Trachtenberg,
Series Editors
Paper \$24.95

Theories of International Politics and Zombies

Daniel W. Drezner
Paper with French folds \$14.95

Hard Line

The Republican Party and U.S. Foreign
Policy since World War II
Celia Dussel
Paper \$26.95

The Litigation State

Public Regulation and Private Litigation
in the United States
Scott Frisvold
Princeton Studies in American Politics: Historical,
International, and Comparative Perspectives
An Enterprise of Martin Sklar & Theda Skocpol,
Series Editors
Paper \$27.95

Rational Theory of International Politics

The Logic of Competition
and Cooperation
Charles L. Glaser
Paper \$27.95

Who Are the Criminals?

The Politics of Crime Policy from the
Age of Roosevelt to the Age of Reagan
John Hagan
Cloth \$29.95

Why Americans Don't Join the Party

Base, Immigration, and the Future
(of Political Parties) to Engage
the Electorate
Zoltan L. Hajnal & Tsewu Lee
Paper \$27.95

Liberal Leviathan

The Origins, Crisis, and
Transformation of the American
World Order
G. John Ikenberry
Princeton Studies in International History & Politics
G. John Ikenberry & Marc Trachtenberg,
Series Editors
Cloth \$35.00

Diaspora, Development, and Democracy

The Domestic Impact of International
Migration from India
Devesh Kapur
Cloth \$35.00

Thinking about Leadership

Nannerl O. Keohane
Cloth \$27.95

Partisan Balance

Why Political Parties Don't Kill the
U.S. Constitutional System
David R. Mayhew
Reynolds Lectures in Politics and Public Affairs
Cloth \$27.95

The Clash of Ideas in World Politics

Transnational Networks, States, and
Regime Change, 1510–2010
John M. Owen IV
Princeton Studies in International History & Politics
G. John Ikenberry & Marc Trachtenberg,
Series Editors
Paper \$29.95

Diversity and Complexity

Scott E. Page
Arrows in Conflict System
Paper \$19.95

Max Weber in America

Lawrence A. Scaff
Cloth \$35.00

The Real World of Democratic Theory

Jan Shapiro
Paper \$24.95

Not Even Past

Barack Obama and the Burden of Race
Thomas J. Sugrue
The Lawrence Stone Lectures
Cloth \$24.95

Politics and Strategy

Partisan Ambition and
American Statecraft
Peter Trubowitz
Princeton Studies in International History & Politics
G. John Ikenberry & Marc Trachtenberg,
Series Editors
Paper \$22.95

The Limits of Constitutional Democracy

Edited by Jeffrey K. Tulis &
Stephen Macedo
University Center for Human Values
Charles F. Beitz, Series Editor
Paper \$32.50

Religion and Democracy in the United States

Change or Opportunity?
Edited by Alan Wolfe &
Joe Katznelson
Co-published with the Russell Sage Foundation
Paper \$35.00

The Presidency of George W. Bush

A First Historical Assessment
Edited by Julian E. Zelizer
Paper \$29.95

Forthcoming

Winner of the 2010 Don R. Price Award,
American Political Science Association
Winner of the 2010 Marshall McLuhan
Outstanding Book Award,
Media Ecology Association
With a new afterword by the author

Delete

The Virtue of Forgetting
in the Digital Age
Viktor Mayer-Schönberger
Paper \$17.95

Push Out of Dixie

The Democratization of Authoritarian
Enclaves in America's Deep South
Robert Mickey
Princeton Studies in American Politics: Historical,
International, & Comparative Perspectives
Joe Katznelson, Martin Sklar, & Theda Skocpol,
Series Editors
Paper \$29.95

New in Paper

Smiling First

Preempting and Preventing in
International Conflict
Michael W. Doyle
Edited and introduced
by Stephen Macedo
University Center for Human Values
Charles F. Beitz, Series Editor
Paper \$17.95

With a new afterword by the author

The Weary Titan

Belton and the Experience of Relative
Decline, 1895–1905
Aaron L. Friedberg
Paper \$24.95

With a new afterword by the author

Uneasy Alliances

Race and Party Competition in
America
Paul Frymer
Princeton Studies in American Politics: Historical,
International, & Comparative Perspectives
Joe Katznelson, Martin Sklar, & Theda Skocpol,
Series Editors
Paper \$24.95

The Crisis of American Foreign Policy

Wilsonianism in the
Twenty-first Century
G. John Ikenberry, Thomas J. Klauk,
Anne-Marie Slaughter & Tony Smith
Paper \$17.95

When Brute Force Fails

How to Have Less Crime
and Less Punishment
Mark A. R. Kleiman
Paper \$22.95

Corporate Governance

Promises Kept, Promises Broken
Jonathan R. Macey
Paper \$24.95

On the Side of the Angels

An Appreciation of Parties and
Partisanship
Nancy L. Rosenblum
Paper \$24.95

Political Hypocrisy

The Mask of Power, from Hobbes to
Cowell and Beyond
David Runciman
Paper \$19.95

The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research Announces:



**Online data analysis created exclusively for our members!
Join today and get access to Immediate Data Analysis**

Fast, Easy, Broad Coverage!

Come visit us at booth #504 to see all that Roper Center has to offer!

2011 Call for Nominations

The Warren J. Mitofsky Award for Excellence in Public Opinion Research
Stop by and nominate your choice analyst. In the Exhibit Hall, Booth #504

www.RoperCenter.uconn.edu

ROPER
CENTER

PUBLIC OPINION ARCHIVES
Providing access to the world's voice since 1947

INTRODUCING THE WEBTEXT REVOLUTIONIZING AMERICAN GOVERNMENT



CENTRAL IDEAS IN AMERICAN GOVERNMENT

The first interactive, web-native text in political science distills American government into its most fundamental principles. Just \$50 for students.

See for yourself at
soomopublishing.com/centralideas

Interested in teaching online? Come to our round table discussion

“Teaching Political Science with the Web”

April 2nd at 2:40 PM

BOOTH #201



soomo
PUBLISHING

We innovate so you can educate.

Get Read. Publish With Springer.

- ▶ Expert guidance and personalized support
- ▶ Your content in every format:
Print, eBook, MyCopy
- ▶ Rapid distribution with global reach

springer.com/authors

STRATEGIC STUDIES QUARTERLY

CALL FOR ARTICLES

Strategic Studies Quarterly (SSQ) is the senior United States Air Force sponsored journal fostering intellectual enrichment for national and international security professionals. SSQ provides a forum for critically examining, informing, and debating national and international security matters. Contributions to SSQ will explore strategic issues of current and continuing interest to the US Air Force, the larger defense community, and our international partners.

Prospective authors should submit 5,000-15,000 word articles in a Microsoft Word-compatible format. Please send articles in electronically to strategicstudiesquarterly@maxwell.af.mil OR via regular mail (including a hard copy of the article and a disk) to:



Managing Editor

155 N Twining Street, Bldg 693

Maxwell AFB, AL 36112-6428

Phone: (334) 953-1108 • Fax: 953-1451





Website: <http://www.au.af.mil/au/ssq>

Free electronic subscription: <http://www.af.mil/subscribe>

POLITICAL SCIENCE

New from
Chicago



Chicago Studies in American Politics

WHY PARTIES?

A Second Look
John H. Aldrich
Paper \$22.00

NEWS THAT MATTERS

Television and American Opinion, Updated Edition
Shanto Iyengar
and Donald R. Kinder
Paper \$17.00

OBAMA'S RACE

The 2008 Election and the Dream of a Post-Racial America
Michael Tesler and David O. Sears
Paper \$18.00

Forthcoming in June

SELLING FEAR

Counterterrorism, the Media, and Public Opinion
Brigitte L. Nacos,
Yaeli Bloch-Elkon,
Robert Y. Shapiro
Paper \$24.00

SPECIALIZING THE COURTS

Lawrence Baum
Paper \$27.50

WORLD RULE

Accountability, Legitimacy, and the Design of Global Governance
Jonathan GS Koppell
Paper \$34.00

WHY IOWA?

How Caucuses and Sequential Elections Improve the Presidential Nominating Process
David P. Redlawsk, Caroline J. Tolbert, and Todd Donovan
Paper \$27.50

THE AMERICAN SUPREME COURT

Fifth Edition
Robert G. McCloskey
Revised by Sanford Levinson
Paper \$19.00

A SLAVEHOLDERS' UNION

Slavery, Politics, and the Constitution in the Early American Republic
George William Van Cleave
Cloth \$39.00

Forthcoming in May

ARISTOTLE'S NICOMACHEAN ETHICS

Aristotle
A new translation by Robert C. Bartlett and Susan D. Collins, with an Interpretive Essay, Notes, and Glossary
Cloth \$35.00

Forthcoming in May

HOBBS'S CRITIQUE OF RELIGION AND RELATED WRITINGS

Leo Strauss
Translated and Edited by Gabriel Bartlett and Svetlana Minkov
Cloth \$30.00

CUTTING THE FUSE

The Explosion of Global Suicide Terrorism and How to Stop It
Robert A. Pape and
James K. Feldman
Cloth \$30.00

IN SEARCH OF GOODNESS

Edited by Ruth W. Grant
Cloth \$29.00

Chicago Series on International and Domestic Institutions

SECURING APPROVAL

Domestic Politics and Multilateral Authorization for War
Terrence L. Chapman
Paper \$27.50

AFTER THE RUBICON

Congress, Presidents, and the Politics of Waging War
Douglas L. Kriner
Paper \$30.00

Forthcoming in May

THE JUDICIAL POWER OF THE PURSE

How Courts Fund National Defense in Times of Crisis
Nancy C. Staudt
Paper \$25.00

HYPERPOLITICS

An Interactive Dictionary of Political Science Concepts
Mauro Calise and
Theodore J. Lowi
Paper \$18.00

THE THEOLOGICAL BASIS OF LIBERAL MODERNITY IN MONTESQUIEU'S "SPIRIT OF THE LAWS"

Thomas L. Pangle
Cloth \$32.50

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE, 16TH EDITION

Cloth \$45.00

THE THINKING STUDENT'S GUIDE TO COLLEGE

75 Tips for Getting a Better Education
Andrew Roberts
Paper \$14.00

Journals featured at MPSA:

- Journal of Political Economy
- Journal of Human Capital
- Economic Development and Cultural Change
- Journal of Labor Economics

Visit booth #305 for a 20% discount
on these and related titles.



THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN PRESS

Ethnic Cues

The Role of Shared Ethnicity in Latino Political Participation
Matt A. Barreto

Responsive Democracy

Increasing State Accountability in East Asia
Jeeyang Rhee Baum

The Madisonian Turn

Political Parties and Parliamentary Democracy in Nordic Europe
Torbjörn Bergman and Kaare Strøm, editors

Interest Groups and Campaign

Finance Reform in the United States and Canada
Robert G. Boatright

Lifting the Fog of Peace

How Americans Learned to Fight Modern War
Janine Davidson

Presidential Elections, 1789-2008

County, State, and National Mapping of Election Data
Donald R. Deskins, Jr., Hanes Walton, Jr., and Sherman C. Puckett

Teaching about Religions

A Democratic Approach for Public Schools
Emile Lester

Governing the Global Polity

Practice, Mentality, Rationality
Iver B. Neumann and Ole Jacob Sending

Why They Die

Civilian Devastation in Violent Conflict
Daniel Rothbart and Karina V. Korostelina

Defacing Power

The Aesthetics of Insecurity in Global Politics
Brent J. Steele

When Protest Makes Policy

How Social Movements Represent Disadvantaged Groups
S. Laurel Weldon

The Games of July

Explaining the Great War
Frank C. Zagare

NEW IN PAPERBACK

Americans, Congress, and Democratic Responsiveness

Public Evaluations of Congress and Electoral Consequences
New and expanded edition
David R. Jones and Monika L. McDermott

Treaty Politics and the Rise of Executive Agreements

International Commitments in a System of Shared Powers
Glen S. Krutz and Jeffrey S. Peake

No Middle Ground

How Informal Party Organizations Control Nominations and Polarize Legislatures
Seth E.asket

Capitol Investments

The Marketability of Political Skills
Glenn R. Parker

FORTHCOMING

Tax Politics in Eastern Europe

Globalization, Regional Integration, and the Democratic Compromise
Hilary Appel

Secular Morality and International Security

American and British Decisions about War
Maria Fanis

Transforming Masculine Rule

Agriculture and Rural Development in the European Union
Elisabeth Prügl

Money, Mandates, and Local Control in American Public Education


Bryan Shelly

Greening China

The Benefits of Trade and Foreign Direct Investment
Ka Zeng and Joshua Eastin

KANSAS

**BOOTH
400**



Redeeming Democracy in America

Wilson Carey McWilliams
Edited with an introduction by Patrick J. Deneen and Susan J. McWilliams
American Political Thought
336 pages, 1 photograph, Cloth \$34.95

The Presidency of Theodore Roosevelt

Second Edition, Revised and Expanded
Lewis L. Gould
American Presidency Series
360 pages, 25 photographs, Cloth \$37.50, Paper \$24.95

The Deadlocked Election of 1800

Jefferson, Burr, and the Union in the Balance
James Roger Sharp
American Presidential Elections
248 pages, 8 illustrations, Cloth \$34.95

Presidential Leadership in Political Time

Reprise and Reappraisal
Second Edition, Revised and Expanded
Stephen Skowronek
240 pages, Cloth \$34.95, Paper \$17.95

Inside the Nixon Administration

The Secret Diary of Arthur Burns, 1969-1974
Edited by Robert H. Ferrell
144 pages, 14 photographs, Cloth \$24.95

What Really Happened to the 1960s


How Mass Media Culture Failed American Democracy
Edward P. Morgan
456 pages, 35 photographs, Cloth \$39.95

Horace Mann's Troubling Legacy

The Education of Democratic Citizens
Bob Pepperman Taylor
American Political Thought
192 pages, Cloth \$34.95

Understanding the Founding

The Crucial Questions
Second Edition, Revised and Expanded
Alan Gibson
American Political Thought
384 pages, Cloth \$34.95, Paper \$24.95



The President's Words

Speeches and Speechwriting in the Modern White House
Edited by Michael Nelson and Russell L. Riley
320 pages, Cloth \$39.95, Paper \$24.95

The Fate of Cities

Urban America and the Federal Government, 1945-2000
Roger Biles
464 pages, Cloth \$39.95

New in Paperback

By One Vote

The Disputed Presidential Election of 1876

Michael F. Holt
American Presidential Elections
314 pages, 18 illustrations, Paper \$19.95

Andrew Jackson and the Constitution

The Rise and Fall of Generational Regimes
Gerard N. Magliocca
198 pages, 19 photographs, Paper \$19.95

25% convention discount

KANSAS

Landmark Law Cases and American Society

Peter Charles Hoffer and N.E.H. Hull, series editors

The Supreme Court and Tribal Gaming

California v. Cabazon Band of Mission Indians

Ralph A. Rossum

216 pages, Cloth \$34.95, Paper \$16.95

The Detroit School Busing Case

Milliken v. Bradley and the Controversy over Desegregation

Joyce A. Baugh


248 pages, Cloth \$34.95, Paper \$17.95

Gibbons v. Ogden

John Marshall, Steamboats, and the Commerce Clause

Herbert A. Johnson

216 pages, Cloth \$34.95, Paper \$17.95



The Free Press Crisis of 1800

Thomas Cooper's Trial for Seditious Libel

Peter Charles Hoffer

168 pages, Cloth \$34.95, Paper \$16.95

Fugitive Slave on Trial The Anthony Burns Case and Abolitionist Outrage

Earl M. Maltz

192 pages, Cloth \$34.95, Paper \$17.95

The Sleepy Lagoon Murder Case

Race Discrimination and Mexican-American Rights

Mark A. Weitz

232 pages, Cloth \$34.95, Paper \$17.95

Roe v. Wade

The Abortion Rights Controversy in American History

Second Edition, Revised and Expanded

N. E. H. Hull and Peter Charles Hoffer

384 pages, Cloth \$39.95, Paper \$19.95


Bush v. Gore

Exposing the Hidden Crisis in American Democracy

Abridged and Updated

Charles L. Zelden

312 pages, Paper \$19.95



Introducing a new series:

Constitutional Thinking

Jeffrey K. Tulis and Sanford Levinson, series editors

Books in the series will develop constitutional theory beyond legalistic concerns by examining such matters as institutional development; public policy; and political behavior, culture, and theory. The editorial approach, then, favors a constitutional theory embracing the full range of activities relevant to the making, maintenance, and reform of constitutions and constitutional cultures.

Habeas Corpus in America The Politics of Individual Rights

Justin J. Wert

296 pages, Cloth \$34.95

Publisher of these series: American Political Thought • American Presidency Series • American Presidential Elections • Studies in Government and Public Policy • Landmark Law Cases and American Society • Constitutional Thinking • Modern First Ladies • CultureAmerica

University Press of Kansas

Phone (785) 864-4155 • Fax (785) 864-4586 • www.kansaspress.ku.edu





*Road to the White House: Faculty Seminar
Teaching the 2012 Presidential Election*

June 6-12, 2011 in Washington, D.C.






Final deadline – May 2, 2011

Register online: www.twc.edu/facultyseminar

The Aspen College Series

Your source for every subject under the law.



*Other titles available
in these areas:*

- Constitutional Law
- First Amendment
- International Law
- Introduction to Law
- American Courts
- And more...

Coming Soon: New Titles in Political Science

To request examination copies:

Call: 1.800.529.7545

Email: examcopy@wolterskluwer.com

Visit us online: www.aspenpublishers.com/college

PEARSON

NEW FROM PEARSON FOR 2011!



AMERICAN GOVERNMENT & POLITICS

Bennett, News: The Politics of Illusion, 9/e
©2012, 9780205082414

Benson, Clinkscale & Giardino, Lone Star Politics
©2011, 9780136057697

Calvi & Coleman, American Law and Legal Systems, 7/e
©2012, 9780205028184

*Coleman, Goldstein & Howell, Understanding American
Politics and Government, 2/e
©2011, 9780205806591

*Dye, Politics in America, 9/e
©2011, 9780205826094

*Edwards, Wattenberg & Lineberry, Government in
America: People, Politics, and Policy, 15/e
©2011, 9780205806379

*Fiorina, Peterson, Johnson & Mayer, The New American
Democracy, 7/e
©2011, 9780205780167

*Greenberg & Page, The Struggle for Democracy, 10/e
©2011, 9780205771295

Judd & Swanstrom, City Politics, 8/e
©2012, 9780205032464

Keith, Haag, Gibson & Robison, Texas Politics and
Government, 4/e
©2012, 9780205078639

*Magleby, Light & Nemacheck, Government by the People,
2011 Edition, 24/e
©2011, 9780205828401

Magleby, Light & Nemacheck, State and Local Politics:
Government by the People, 15/e
©2012, 9780205006397

Mason & Stephenson, American Constitutional Law:
Introductory Essays and Selected Cases, 16/e
©2012, 9780205108992

*O'Connor, Sabato & Yanus, American Government:
Roots and Reform, 2011 Edition, 11/e
©2011, 9780205771301

Rourke, You Decide! Current Debates in American Politics,
2011, 8/e
©2011, 9780205114894

Sabato, Pendulum Swing
©2012, 9780205098927

*Shea, Green & Smith, Living Democracy, 3/e
©2011, 9780205806713

Tannahill, Think American Government,
2011 Edition, 3/e
©2012, 9780205030156

Walton & Smith, American Politics and the African
American Quest for Universal Freedom, 6/e
©2012, 9780205079919

Woll, American Government: Readings and Cases, 19/e
©2012, 9780205116140

*Available in a variety of versions and formats. Please visit the
Pearson booth for more information.

INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

Bova, *How the World Works: A Brief Survey of International Relations*, 2/e

©2012, 9780205082407

Cohn, *Global Political Economy*, 6/e

©2012, 9780205075836

Goldstein & Pevehouse, *International Relations*, 10/e

©2012, 9780205059577

Goldstein & Pevehouse, *International Relations, Brief*, 6/e

©2012, 9780205059584

Keohane & Nye, *Power & Interdependence*, 4/e

©2012, 9780205082919

Nacos, *Terrorism and Counterterrorism*, 4/e

©2012, 9780205005802

Oatley, *Debates in International Political Economy*, 2/e

©2012, 9780205060610

Oatley, *International Political Economy*, 5/e

©2012, 9780205060634

Pease, *International Organizations*, 5/e

©2012, 9780205075874

Roselle & Spray, *Research and Writing in International Relations*, 2/e

©2012, 9780205060658

Roskin & Berry, *IR: The New World of International Relations*, 9/e

©2012, 9780205079490

Snow, *Cases in International Relations*, 5/e

©2012, 9780205005826

Viotti & Kauppi, *International Relations Theory*, 5/e

©2012, 9780205082933

COMPARATIVE POLITICS / AREA STUDIES

Andersen, Seibert & Wagner, *Politics and Change in the Middle East*, 10/e

©2012, 9780205082391

Draper & Ramsay, *The Good Society: An Introduction to Comparative Politics*, 2/e

©2012, 9780205082780

Dreyer, *China's Political System*, 8/e

©2012, 9780205005819

Kubicek, *European Politics*

©2012, 9780205562053

Payne & Nassar, *Politics and Culture in the Developing World*, 5/e

©2012, 9780205075911

Powell, Dalton & Ström, *Comparative Politics Today: A World View*, 10/e

©2012, 9780205109135

Powell, Dalton & Ström, *Comparative Politics Today: A Theoretical Framework*, 6/e

©2012, 9780205082865

Remington, *Politics in Russia*, 7/e

©2012, 9780205005796

INTRODUCTION TO POLITICAL SCIENCE / THEORY / METHODS

Baradat, *Political Ideologies*, 11/e

©2012, 9780205082384

Roskin, Cord, Medeiros & Jones, *Political Science: An Introduction*, 12/e

©2012, 9780205075942

Scott & Garrison, *The Political Science Student Writer's Manual*, 7/e

©2012, 9780205830121

PUBLIC POLICY / PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

Cropf, Kohler & Loutzenhiser, *The Public Administration Casebook*

©2012, 9780205607419

Dresang, *The Public Administration Workbook*, 7/e

©2012, 9780205019960

Riccucci, *Public Personnel Management: Current Concerns, Future Problems*, 5/e


©2012, 9780205012671

For more information about our full line of titles—as well as our online resources MyPoliSciLab and MyPoliSciKit—please stop by the Pearson booth, visit www.pearsonhighered.com/polisci, or contact your local Pearson Publisher's Representative at www.pearsonhighered.com/replocator.

New Titles from Liberty Fund



February 2011. 6 x 9. 811 pages.
Cloth. ISBN 978-0-86597-793-8.
\$30.00.
Paperback. ISBN 978-0-86597-794-5.
\$14.50.



March 2011. 6 x 9. 600 pages.
Cloth. ISBN 978-0-86597-786-0.
\$30.00.
Paperback. ISBN 978-0-86597-787-7.
\$14.50.



April 2011. 6 x 9. 500 pages.
Cloth. ISBN 978-0-86597-803-4.
\$30.00.
Paperback. ISBN 978-0-86597-804-1.
\$17.00.

Pennsylvania and the Federal Constitution, 1787-1788

Edited by John Bach McMaster and Frederick D. Stone

In *Pennsylvania and the Federal Constitution, 1787-1788*, John Bach McMaster, a professor of American history, and Frederick D. Stone, librarian of the Historical Society of Pennsylvania, assembled newspaper articles, editorials, and records about the debates in Pennsylvania's ratifying convention. In addition to speeches and essays by both supporters and opponents of the Constitution, noninterpretive editorial comments are also presented to introduce the documents and place them in the appropriate historical context.

The Man and the Statesman *The Correspondence and Articles on Politics*

By Frédéric Bastiat

Jacques de Guenin, General Editor

Introduction by Jacques de Guenin and Jean-Claude Paul-Dejean

Visit us at Booth #300

The Man and the Statesman is the first volume in Liberty Fund's new six-volume *The Collected Works of Frédéric Bastiat* series. This volume contains Bastiat's complete correspondence: 207 letters Bastiat wrote between 1819, when he was only 18 years old, until just a few days before his untimely death in 1850. This collection of Bastiat's correspondence, most of which has never before been translated into English, will provide a unique window into a long-forgotten world where opposition to war and colonialism went hand-in-hand with support for free trade and deregulation.


An Account of Denmark *With Francogallia and Some Considerations for the Promoting of Agriculture and Employing the Poor*

By Robert Molesworth

Edited and with an Introduction by Justin Champion

David Womersley, General Editor

The Liberty Fund edition of *An Account of Denmark*, with its related texts, is the first modern edition of Molesworth's writings. This volume presents not only *An Account*, a text that for most of the eighteenth century was recognized as one of the canonical works of Whiggism, but also his *Francogallia* and *Some Considerations for the Promoting of Agriculture and Employing the Poor*. Taken in their totality, these important texts encompass Molesworth's major political statements on liberty as well as his important and understudied recommendations for the application of liberty to economic improvement, all presented here with ample editorial apparatus to provide historical and contextual background for the reader.


Liberty Fund, Inc.

Phone: (800) 621-2736 (USA/Canada)
(773) 702-7000 (South America, Central America, Caribbean)
Fax: (800) 621-8476 (USA/Canada)
(773) 702-7212 (South America, Central America, Caribbean)
Email: orders@press.uchicago.edu
Web site: www.libertyfund.org

Promo Code: LFAMPS11

Overview of Sessions by Section

<p>SECT. 1</p> <p>Program Co-chairs Christoffer Green-Pedersen, Aarhus University Laura A. Reese, Michigan State University Tracy Sulkin, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign</p>	<p>1-104</p> <p>Challenges and Opportunities of Sub-national Data Collection and Analysis in Comparative Research (Co-sponsored with Comparative Political Institutions, see 6-101, and Comparative Political Behavior, see 7-100) <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am</i></p> <p>1-105</p> <p>Citizen-Government Linkages and Representation (Co-sponsored with Comparative Politics: Industrialized Countries, see 2-101) <i>Hancock Park, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am</i></p> <p>1-106</p> <p>How is President Obama Doing? (Co-sponsored with Presidency and Executive Politics, see 41-100) <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm</i></p> <p>1-107</p> <p>IPE and the Future of Global Economic Governance (Co-sponsored with International Political Economy, see 15-100) <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm</i></p> <p>1-108</p> <p>New Research on Gender in Political Psychology (Co-sponsored with Gender and Politics, see 30-100) <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am</i></p> <p>1-109</p> <p>Public Deliberation as a Means to Measure Public Opinion: A Critical Assessment (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-100, and Political Philosophy: Approaches and Themes, see 34-101) <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm</i></p> <p>1-110</p> <p>Robert H. Salisbury Memorial (Co-sponsored with Political Parties and Interest Groups, see 40-100) <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm</i></p> <p>1-111</p> <p>Teaching and the Marketplace (Co-sponsored with Teaching Political Science, see 57-104) <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am</i></p> <p>1-112</p> <p>The 111th Congress: Where the Democrats Went Wrong (Co-sponsored with Legislative Institutions, see 42-104) <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm</i></p>
<p>1-1</p> <p>Presidents and the "New" Party System in Historical Perspective (Co-sponsored with Politics and History, see 54-15, and Political Parties and Interest Groups, see 40-16) <i>Salon 2, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am</i></p> <p>1-2</p> <p>The Psychology of Misinformation and Misperceptions (Co-sponsored with Political Psychology, see 27-22) <i>Salon 2, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm</i></p> <p>1-3</p> <p>Incumbency Advantage in the U.S. States: Gerrymanders and Public Financing Effects (Co-sponsored with Representation and Electoral Systems, see 26-18) <i>Salon 2, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am</i></p> <p>1-4</p> <p>Voting Behavior and Campaigns at the Local Level: Exploring the Roles of Race and Polarization (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-21) <i>Crystal, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am</i></p> <p>1-5</p> <p>The Internet Shaping Political Behaviour? On-line Policy Information and Voting Advice Applications (Co-sponsored with Information Technology and Politics, see 39-6, and Voting Behavior, see 25-24) <i>Salon 10, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am</i></p> <p>1-6</p> <p>Protests and Authoritarian Politics (Co-sponsored with Conflict Processes, see 18-4) <i>LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm</i></p> <p>1-100</p> <p>Obama's Agenda and the Dynamics of U.S. Politics: Governing during Hard Times <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm</i></p> <p>1-101</p> <p>Bridging Micro and Macro in Framing Research <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm</i></p> <p>1-102</p> <p>From Papers to Articles: How to Publish in Political Science Journals <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm</i></p> <p>1-103</p> <p>What Drives American Politics: Differences in Economic Development, Racial-Ethnic Diversity, or Political Culture? <i>Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm</i></p>	<p>SECT. 2</p> <p>Comparative Politics: Industrialized Countries Philipp Rehm, The Ohio State University</p> <p>2-1</p> <p>Contextualizing Preferences <i>Burnham 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am</i></p> <p>2-2</p> <p>Redistribution Preferences in Comparative Perspective <i>LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm</i></p> <p>2-3</p> <p>Party Positions and Party Polarization <i>LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm</i></p>

2-4	Welfare States: Politics and Preferences Salon 10, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm	3-5	International Perspectives in Economic Development Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
2-5	Heterogeneity and Welfare Policies Clark 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am	3-6	Experiences in Economic Development Burnham 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
2-6	Economic Policy Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am		
2-7	Reform and Retrenchment Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm	4	Comparative Politics: Developing Countries
2-8	Politics and Well-Being Chicago, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm	4-1	Ben Smith, <i>University of Florida</i> Service Provision and Citizenship Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
2-9	Negotiating Institutional Change LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am	4-2	Women, Electoral Politics and Rights Salon 4, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
2-10	European Integration Price, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am	4-3	Parties and Elections I Salon 4, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
2-11	Making Governments Salon 3, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm	4-4	Parties and Elections II Buckingham, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
2-12	Breaking Governments LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm	4-5	Dominant Parties Indiana, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
2-13	Policy Innovation and Diffusion Salon 4, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am	4-6	Dynamics of Military Rule Ashland, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
2-14	Mobilization and Participation Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am	4-7	Development I Salon 10, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
2-15	Political Competition and Second Dimension Issues Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am	4-8	Elections and Voting Behavior Salon 2, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
2-16	Decentralization (Co-sponsored with Comparative Political Institutions, see 6-16) Clark 10, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm	4-9	Institutions and Ethnic Conflict Ashland, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
2-100	Valence (Co-sponsored with European Politics, see 8-100, and Voting Behavior, see 25-101) Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm	4-10	The Politics of Public Goods Provision I Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
2-100	Author meets critics: Silja Häusermann (2010): The Politics of Welfare State Reform in Continental Europe: Modernization in Hard Times. CUP. Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am	4-11	The Politics of Public Goods Provision II Monroe 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
2-101	Author meets critics: Jonathan Rodden (2011): The Long Shadow of the Industrial Revolution: Political Geography and the Representation of the Left Burnham 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm	4-12	The Politics of Public Goods Provision III Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
2-101	Citizen-Government Linkages and Representation (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-105) Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am	4-13	Islam and Politics Salon 4, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
		4-14	Rebellion Salon 5, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
3	Economic Development	4-15	The Politics of the Resource Curse Clark 10, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
3-1	Nancy S. Lind, <i>Illinois State University</i> Economic Development Around the World LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am	4-16	Sub-national Politics Clark 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
3-2	Global Economic Development Salon 8, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm	4-17	Sub-National Political Economy Clark 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
3-3	Theories and Policies of Economic Development Salon 4, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am	4-18	Extraction and Redistribution Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
3-4	Fiscal Economic Development Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm	4-19	China's Political Economy Indiana, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
		4-20	Politics in China LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
		4-21	Legacies of Colonialism Lagan, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
		4-22	Democracy and Democratic Consolidation in Africa and Asia Clark 9, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
		4-23	Clientelism and Corruption Price, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
		4-24	Ethnicity Policy and Ethnic Mobilization Indiana, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
		4-25	Ethnic Identity, Group Boundaries and Conflict Clark 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm

- 4-26 **Migrant and Diaspora Politics**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 4-27 **Elections, Constitutions and Democracy**
Bumham 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 4-28 **Development II**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 4-29 **Foreign Aid and Governance**
Lynn, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 4-30 **Social Movements and Contentious Politics**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 4-31 **State-Led Political Mobilization**
Monroe 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 4-100 **Author Meets Critics: James Mahoney's Colonialism and Postcolonial Development: Spanish America in Comparative Perspective (Co-sponsored with Politics and History, see 54-100, and Comparative Political Institutions, see 6-100)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 5 Comparative Politics: Transitions Toward Democracy**
Jørgen Elklit, Aarhus University
- 5-1 **Issues in Transition to Democracy**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 5-2 **Truth and Reconciliation Commissions: Experiences and Assessments**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 5-3 **Development in China**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 5-4 **Electoral Systems, Elections, and Democratization**
Price, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 5-5 **Linkage Between Transitional Developments in Different Countries**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 5-6 **Corruption and Democratic Development**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Tuesday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 5-7 **The Likelihood of Change in Authoritarian Regimes**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 5-8 **Socioeconomic Development and Democratization**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 5-9 **Military Regimes and Transition to Democracy**
Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 5-10 **Civil Society Organizations' Impact on Democratization**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 5-11 **Turnover and Democratization**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 5-12 **Constitution-making and Legislative Drafting**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 5-13 **Dominant Party Systems and Transition to Democracy**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 5-14 **The Development in Russia**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 5-15 **Influence from Abroad on Democratization**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 5-16 **Measures of Democracy and Related Issues**
Bumham 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 5-17 **Democratization, Political Business Cycles, and Pork Barrel Politics**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 5-18 **Political Elites and Democratization**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 5-19 **Voting in New Democracies**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 5-20 **The Development in Mexico**
Sandburg 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 5-21 **Institutional Impact on Democratization**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 5-22 **Resistance to Democratization**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 5-23 **External Threat and Democratization (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-11)**
Congress, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 6 Comparative Political Institutions**
Sona Golder, Penn State University
- 6-1 **Institutional Incentives Limiting/Promoting Human and Civil Rights**
Price, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 6-2 **Inter-branch Politics**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 6-3 **State Responsiveness and Policy Outcomes**
Madison, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 6-4 **Decision-making Institutions and Policy Choices**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 6-5 **Institutional Incentives and Policy Provision**
Bumham 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 6-6 **Regime Stability and Natural Resources**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 6-7 **Pre-electoral Coalitions in Presidential, Legislative, and Local Elections**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 6-8 **The Effects of Presidential, Semi-presidential, and Parliamentary Democracies on Stability and Performance**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 6-9 **Legislative Party Cohesion**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 6-10 **Coalition Governments I**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 6-11 **Coalition Governments II**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 6-12 **Regime Types and Political Outcomes**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 6-13 **Constraining Corruption**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 6-14 **Electoral Authoritarianism**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 6-15 **Federalism, Decentralization, and Ethnic Conflict**
Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm

- 6-16 **Decentralization (Co-sponsored with Comparative Politics: Industrialized Countries, see 2-16)**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 6-17 **The Effects of Electoral Rules and Party Characteristics on Political Outcomes**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 6-100 **Author Meets Critics: James Mahoney's Colonialism and Postcolonial Development: Spanish America in Comparative Perspective (Co-sponsored with Politics and History, see 54-100, and Comparative Politics: Developing Countries, see 4-100)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 6-101 **Challenges and Opportunities of Sub-national Data Collection and Analysis in Comparative Research (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-104, and Comparative Political Behavior, see 7-100)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 7 Comparative Political Behavior**
Zach Elkins, University of Texas, Austin
- 7-1 **Themes in European Comparative Political Behavior**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 7-2 **Latin American Political Behavior**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 7-3 **Religion in Comparative Politics**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 7-4 **Political Trust in Comparative Perspective**
Madison, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 7-5 **Political Violence**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 7-6 **Citizens' Understanding of Democracy**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 7-7 **Political Parties and Comparative Politics**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 7-8 **Methods in Comparative Political Behavior**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 7-9 **Inequality and Comparative Political Behavior**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 7-10 **Immigration and Political Behavior**
Indiana, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 7-11 **Comparative Political Participation**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 7-12 **Media**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 7-13 **Clientelism**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 7-14 **Economics and Voting Behavior in Comparative Politics**
Montrose 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 7-15 **Parties and Voters**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 7-16 **Ethnicity and Institutions**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 7-17 **Comparative Elections (Co-sponsored with Electoral Campaigns, see 22-14)**
Kimbell, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 7-100 **Challenges and Opportunities of Sub-national Data Collection and Analysis in Comparative Research (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-104, and Comparative Political Institutions, see 6-101)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 8 European Politics**
Lawrence Ezzow, University of Essex
- 8-1 **The European Parliament: Roll Call Voting and Campaigns**
Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 8-2 **The Welfare State in Europe**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 8-3 **Regional Variation in Europe and the EU**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 8-4 **Explaining Vote Share in Europe**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 8-5 **Policy and Its Implementation in the European Union**
Logan, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 8-6 **Theories of European Integration**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 8-7 **Extreme Right and Populist Political Parties in Europe**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 8-8 **Explanations of Public Support for the European Union (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-39)**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 8-9 **European Parliament Roll Call Voting (Co-sponsored with Legislative Institutions, see 42-30)**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 8-10 **Immigration and Europe (Co-sponsored with Ethnicity and Nationalism, see 21-12)**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 8-11 **The Determinants of Party Change and Its Consequences for Western Democracies**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 8-12 **Political Representation in the European Union (Co-sponsored with Representation and Electoral Systems, see 26-17)**
Chicago, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 8-13 **Political Representation across Europe**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 8-14 **Identity in Europe**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 8-15 **The European Union as a World Actor**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 8-16 **Europeanization**
Burnham 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 8-17 **Immigration and Integration as a Conflict between Security, Universalism, and Community: Combining Empirical and Normative Perspectives**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm

- 8-18** **Deindustrialization and the European Welfare State**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 8-19** **What Explains Public Support for the European Union?**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 8-20** **Human Rights and the European Union**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 8-21** **German Politics**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 8-22** **Political and Financial Institutions in Europe**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 8-100** **Valence (Co-sponsored with Voting Behavior, see 25-101, and Comparative Politics: Industrialized Countries, see 2-100)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 9** **Latin American and Caribbean Politics**
Vicente Ugarte, Colegio de Mexico
- 9-1** **Inequality and Social Policies in Latin America**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 9-2** **Organized Crime and Its Social Impacts**
Price, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 9-3** **International Relations and Migration**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 9-4** **Electoral Processes in Latin America**
Salow 7, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 9-5** **International Political Economy and Regional Integration**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 9-6** **Comparative Politics, Social Movements and Transitions to Democracy in Latin America**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 9-7** **Legislative Politics in Latin America**
Madison, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 9-8** **Social and Governmental Responses to Crime**
Logan, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 9-9** **Voting Behavior in Latin America**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 9-10** **Indigenous Political Mobilization**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 9-11** **Political Culture and Democracy in Latin America**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 9-12** **Gender and Politics in Latin America**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 9-13** **Party Systems in Latin American Countries**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 9-14** **Democratization Processes in Latin America**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- Sect. 10** **Asian Politics**
Megumi Nani, University of California, San Diego
- 10-1** **How the World Views China: Elites and Mass Opinions about its Rise**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 10-2** **Regime Survival in Authoritarian Systems: Evidence from China, Indonesia, and Philippines**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 10-3** **Political Incentives and Government Policy: Evidence from East Asia**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:15 pm
- 10-4** **Development and Governance in Contemporary Rural China**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 10-5** **Parties and Elections in Asia**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 10-6** **Political Participation in Asia**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 10-7** **Linking Politicians and Voters in South Asia**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 10-8** **Public Opinion in China: Does It Matter and Why?**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 10-9** **Unpacking China's Diplomacy**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 10-10** **Identity Politics in Asia**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 10-11** **New Take on Government-Business Relations in Asia**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 10-12** **Financial Crisis and Economic and Social Reforms in Asia**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 10-13** **Military and Civil Society in Asia**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 10-14** **Legislators and Executives in East Asia**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 10-15** **Media and Politics in Asia**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 11** **African Politics**
Leonardo Arriola, University of California, Berkeley
- 11-1** **Institutions and Markets in Africa**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 11-2** **Local Politics and Governance in Africa**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 11-3** **Competition and Dominance in African Party Systems**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 11-4** **Citizens and Politicians in African Governance**
Indiana, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 11-5** **Africa's Democratization Challenges**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 11-6** **State, Power, and Conflict in Africa**
Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 11-7** **Public and Private Strategies for Social Provision in Africa**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm

- Sect. 12 Politics of the Middle East**
Lisa Blaydes, *Stanford University*
- 12-1 Dominating Egypt: 30 Years under the Mubarak Regime**
 Montrose 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 12-2 Foreign Policy Formation in the Greater Middle East**
 Burnham 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 12-3 Islamism and Secularism in Contemporary Turkey**
 Montrose 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 12-4 Political Contestation in Iran**
 Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 12-5 America and Americans in the Greater Middle East**
 Montrose 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 12-6 Islamist Political Parties**
 Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 12-7 Israeli Domestic and Foreign Policy**
 Burnham 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 12-8 Liberalism, Participation and Trust in the Middle East**
 Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 12-9 Politics of the Persian Gulf**
 Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 12-10 Politics of the Arab-Israeli Conflict**
 Logan, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 12-11 Durable Authoritarianism in the Contemporary Middle East**
 Medinah Parkor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 12-12 Nationalism and Political Violence in the Greater Middle East**
 Medinah Parkor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 12-13 Islamic Ideas between Political and Market Liberalism**
 Cresthill, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 13 Politics of Communist and Former Communist Countries**
Li Bennich Björkman, *Uppsala University*
- 13-1 Economic Behavior and Development in Former Communist States**
 Salon 1, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 13-2 State and Society in China**
 Congress, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 13-3 Decentralisation and Bureaucratic Behavior in Contemporary China**
 LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 13-4 The State in Russia**
 Montrose 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 13-5 Oil, Gas and Political Leadership in Russia and Central Asia**
 Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 13-6 The Politics of Lustration and Judicial Developments in Central and Eastern Europe**
 Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 13-7 Support for Democracy and Value Patterns in Post-Communist Countries**
 Ashland, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 13-8 Parliamentary Behaviour in Post-Communist States**
 Indiana, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 13-9 Political Parties and Voting Patterns in Central Eastern Europe**
 Price, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 13-10 Protest Politics and Social Movements in China and Eastern Europe**
 Montrose 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 13-11 Understanding Corruption in Post-Communist States**
 Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 13-12 Gender, Electoral Politics and Policies in Central and Eastern Europe**
 Madison, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 13-13 International Relations and Foreign Policy in Russia and Eastern Europe**
 Ashland, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 13-14 States and Regimes in Central Asia the Former Soviet Union**
 Clark 9, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 13-15 Identity Constructions after the Fall of Communism**
 Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- Sect. 14 Comparative Political Economy**
Guillermo Rosas, *Washington University in St. Louis*
- 14-1 Political Economy of Property Rights**
 Montrose 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 14-2 Bureaucracy and Politics under Weak Institutions**
 Salon 6, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 14-3 Political Institutions and Markets as Complements**
 Montrose 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 14-4 Local Governance, Electoral Success, and the Resource Curse**
 Montrose 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 14-5 Globalization and the Welfare State (Co-sponsored with International Political Economy, see 15-23)**
 Burnham 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 14-6 Legislatures, Elections, and Fiscal Policy**
 Salon 7, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 14-7 Political Economy of Decentralization**
 Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 14-8 Political Economy of Financial Market Development**
 Kimball, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 14-9 Government Responses to Economic and Financial Crises**
 LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 14-10 Regime Types and Public Policy**
 Salon 6, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 14-11 Autocracies**
 Millennium Parkor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 14-12 Accountability Mechanisms and Policy Outputs**
 Millennium Parkor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am

- 16-3 **New Research on the Causes and Consequences of Interstate Rivalry and Protracted Conflict (Co-sponsored with International Security, see 17-24)**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 16-4 **Domestic Politics and International Conflict: Beyond Democracy (Co-sponsored with Conflict Processes, see 18-32)**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 16-5 **Understanding Public Opinion on War (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-41, and Foreign Policy, see 19-9)**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 16-6 **To Lead or Mislead: Elites, Information Asymmetries and International Conflict (Co-sponsored with Conflict Processes, see 18-33, and International Security, see 17-25)**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 16-7 **Foreign Aid: Crude Bribes or Incentives to Liberalize? (Co-sponsored with International Cooperation and Organization, see 20-18)**
Medison, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 16-8 **Domestic and International Constraints on Leadership Survival**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 16-9 **The Domestic Causes of International Conflict and Cooperation (Co-sponsored with Conflict Processes, see 18-34)**
Sakon 9, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 16-10 **International Organizations and Domestic Political Changes (Co-sponsored with International Cooperation and Organization, see 20-19)**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 16-11 **External Threat and Democratization (Co-sponsored with Comparative Politics: Transitions Toward Democracy, see 5-23)**
Congress, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 16-12 **The High and Low Politics of U.S. Foreign Policy (Co-sponsored with Foreign Policy, see 19-10)**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 16-13 **Understanding Democracy and Human Rights in Comparative and International Perspectives**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 16-14 **The Next Wave of Democratic Peace Research (Co-sponsored with Conflict Processes, see 18-35)**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 16-15 **The Transnational Diffusion of Norms**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 16-16 **Transnational Migration: The Politics of Threat and Protection**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 16-17 **Terrorism and the Diffusion of Fear**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 16-18 **Human Rights: The Transnational Dimension**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 16-19 **The EU and Domestic Politics**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 16-20 **Conflict, Leaders and Institutions**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 16-21 **Understanding the Life Cycle of States: From Birth to Death**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- Sect. 17 **International Security**
- 17-1 **Amy Oakes, *College of William and Mary***
Trends in Interstate War
Montrose 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 17-2 **Nuclear Weapons and Compellent Threats: A Quantitative Empirical Analysis, 1945-2001**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 17-3 **Power Transitions and the Potential for War**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 17-4 **Explaining the Outbreak of Interstate War**
Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 17-5 **Sources of International Peace**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 17-6 **Managing the Terrorist Threat**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 17-7 **Securing the Homeland: The Threat from Domestic Terrorism**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 17-8 **The Origins and Organization of Terrorist Movements**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 17-9 **Nuclear Weapons and Conflict Escalation**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 17-10 **Preventing the Spread of Nuclear Weapons**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 17-11 **Great Powers and Nuclear Proliferation**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 17-12 **The Problem of Civil War: Onset, Duration, and Intensity**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 17-13 **Creating a Lasting Peace: How to End Civil Wars**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 17-14 **Bargaining and Interstate Conflict**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 17-15 **The Future of Warfare**
Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 17-16 **Civil-Military Relations**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 17-17 **Human Security and International Relations**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 17-18 **Stabilizing the Middle East**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 17-19 **The Strength and Longevity of Alliances**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 17-20 **Case Studies in Alliance Behavior**
Burnham 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm

- 17-21 **Making and Remaking Alliances**
Logan, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 17-22 **Insurgencies and Counterinsurgencies**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 17-23 **Quantitative Approaches to the Study of Terrorism**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 17-24 **New Research on the Causes and Consequences of Interstate Rivalry and Protracted Conflict (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-3)**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 17-25 **To Lead or Mislead: Elites, Information Asymmetries and International Conflict (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-6, and Conflict Processes, see 18-33)**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 18 Conflict Processes**
- 18-1 **Examining Strategies of Terrorism**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 18-2 **Studies on the Steps to War**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 18-3 **Studies of Terrorism**
Congress, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 18-4 **Protests and Authoritarian Politics (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-6)**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 18-5 **What We Know about Protest and Repression**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 18-6 **Alliances and Conflict**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 18-7 **Modeling International Conflict**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 18-8 **Mediating Conflicts**
Congress, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 18-9 **Determinants of Civil War Onset**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 18-10 **Bargaining Theories of Civil War**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 18-11 **Audience Costs**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 18-12 **Analyzing Conflict with Experiments**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 18-13 **Foreign Aid and Conflict**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 18-14 **Borders, Territorial Issues, and Conflict**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 18-15 **Insurgency, Counterinsurgency, and Conflict**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 18-16 **The Role of Ethnicity in Conflict**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 18-17 **Climate Change, Weather Variability, and Conflict**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 18-18 **Combatant Mobilization and Conflict Expansion During Civil Wars**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 18-19 **Explaining Religious and Inter-Communal Violence**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 18-20 **Modeling International Conflict II**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 18-21 **Designing Peace Agreements**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 18-22 **Terminating Civil Wars**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 18-23 **Violence During Civil Wars**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 18-24 **Interventions Into Ongoing Conflicts**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 18-25 **Regions of Conflict**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 18-26 **Domestic Influences on International Conflict Onset**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 18-27 **Methods and Measurement in International Conflict Studies**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 18-28 **Rebel Organization and Behavior during Civil Conflict**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 18-29 **Reconciliation and Post-conflict Outcomes**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 18-30 **Examining Post-conflict Governance**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 18-31 **Understanding the Domestic Politics of Military Mobilization (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-2)**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 18-32 **Domestic Politics and International Conflict: Beyond Democracy (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-4)**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 18-33 **To Lead or Mislead: Elites, Information Asymmetries and International Conflict (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-6, and International Security, see 17-25)**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 18-34 **The Domestic Causes of International Conflict and Cooperation (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-9)**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 18-35 **The Next Wave of Democratic Peace Research (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-14)**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm

- Sect. 19 Foreign Policy**
David Brube, *University of Tennessee*
- 19-1 Foreign Policy: Alternatives to the Use of Force**
 Salon 8, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 19-2 Foreign Policy: Tactics and Technology**
 Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 19-3 Foreign Policy Decisionmaking**
 Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 19-4 Foreign Aid**
 Clark 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 19-5 The Use of Force**
 Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 19-6 Ideology and Foreign Policy**
 Salon 7, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 19-7 Leadership and Foreign Policy**
 Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 19-8 US Foreign Policy: New Challenges**
 Cresthill, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 19-9 Understanding Public Opinion on War (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-5, and Public Opinion, see 28-41)**
 Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 19-10 The High and Low Politics of U.S. Foreign Policy (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-12)**
 Burnham 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- Sect. 20 International Cooperation and Organization**
Jana von Stejn, *University of Michigan*
- 20-1 Cooperation as a Conflict Deterrent?**
 Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 20-2 Negotiating and Designing International Law**
 Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 20-3 International Law: Compliance and Effectiveness**
 Ashland, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 20-4 Inside International Organizations**
 Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 20-5 Non-governmental Organizations and International Cooperation**
 Salon 8, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 20-6 The ICC and International Politics (Co-sponsored with International and Comparative Law, see 43-3)**
 Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 20-7 Sharing Land, Water, and Air: The International Politics of Environmental Cooperation (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-19)**
 Price, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 20-8 Human Rights: The Causes and Consequences of International Cooperation**
 Marshfield, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 20-9 Regionalism: The Past or the Future of International Cooperation?**
 Montrose 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 20-10 Domestic Politics and International Cooperation**
 Montrose 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 20-11 The European Union in International Relations**
 Montrose 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 20-12 Questioning the Authority and Legitimacy of the ICC**
 Montrose 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 20-13 Striving for Good: What Role for Private Authority in International Politics?**
 Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 20-14 Choosing How and Where to Cooperate: Unilateralism, Bilateralism, and Multilateralism**
 Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 20-15 Large States, Small States, and the Politics of Cooperation in International Organizations**
 Montrose 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 20-16 Political Economy of the International Monetary Fund (Co-sponsored with International Political Economy, see 15-18)**
 Parker G, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:10 am
- 20-17 International Cooperation on Climate Change (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-3)**
 Logan, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 20-18 Foreign Aid: Crude Bribes or Incentives to Liberalize? (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-7)**
 Madison, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 20-19 International Organizations and Domestic Political Changes (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-10)**
 Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 21 Ethnicity and Nationalism**
Stephen Bloom, *Southern Illinois University*
- 21-1 Conflict Dynamics**
 Marshfield, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 21-2 Secession and Partition**
 Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 21-3 Ethnic, Civic and Stateless Nationalism**
 Montrose 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 21-4 Modeling Ethnic Conflict and Nationalism**
 Clark 10, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 21-5 Diaspora Identities and Politics**
 Buckingham, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 21-6 When Do Ethnicity and Nationalism Matter Politically?**
 Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 21-7 Representing the Nation**
 LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 21-8 The Politics of Language**
 Salon 12, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 21-9 Ethnic Parties and Party Systems**
 Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am

- 21-10 **Explaining and Promoting Tolerance**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 21-11 **Understanding Reactive Nationalism**
Indiana, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 21-12 **Immigration and Europe (Co-sponsored with European Politics, see 8-10)**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 22 Electoral Campaigns**
Sarah Fulton, Texas A&M University
- 22-1 **Information, Evaluation, Accuracy and Bias**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 22-2 **The Race to Replace Obama: Sizing up the 2012 Presidential Campaign**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 22-3 **News Media and Campaign Coverage**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 22-4 **Tea Party, Culture War and Contemporary Cleavages**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 22-5 **Advertising in Contemporary Campaigns**
Price, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 22-6 **Campaign Targeting and Voter Mobilization**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 22-7 **Campaigns in Macro-perspective**
Bunham 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 22-8 **Analysis of Contemporary Campaigns**
Chicago, 5th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 22-9 **Campaigns and Elections in Local Context**
Greenhill, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 22-10 **Campaigns Going Negative and Going Positive**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 22-11 **Money in Campaigns**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 22-12 **Candidate Messaging and Positioning**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 22-13 **Strategic Politicians (Co-sponsored with Legislative Campaigns & Elections, see 24-4)**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 22-14 **Comparative Elections (Co-sponsored with Comparative Political Behavior, see 7-17)**
Kimball 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 23 Turnout & Political Participation**
Melanie Springer, Washington University in St. Louis
- 23-1 **Participation in Local Elections**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 23-2 **What Motivates Campaign Contributions?**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 23-3 **The Internet and Political Participation**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 23-4 **Inequality in Political Participation**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 23-5 **Exploring Notions of Patriotism (Broadly Defined) (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-40)**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 23-6 **Social Capital and Civic Engagement**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 23-7 **Voting Costs and Incentives**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 23-8 **Partisanship and Participation**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 23-9 **Youth Voting**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 23-10 **New Frontiers for Research on Electoral Reform**
Bunham 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 23-11 **Exploring the Effects of Registration Reform**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 23-12 **Exploring the Effects of Socio-economic and Demographic Conditions on Participation**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 23-13 **Exploring Voter Mobilization, Engagement, and Participation**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 23-14 **Direct Democracy and Participation in the United States**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 23-15 **Campaign Dynamics in American Elections**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 23-16 **Reforming Electoral Processes**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 23-17 **Primary Elections and Reform (Co-sponsored with Voting Behavior, see 25-23)**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 24 Legislative Campaigns & Elections**
Mike Ensley, Kent State University
- 24-1 **Electoral Institutions in a Comparative Perspective**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 24-2 **Ideology and Policy in Primary Elections**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 24-3 **Campaign Strategy**
Chicago, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 24-4 **Strategic Politicians (Co-sponsored with Electoral Campaigns, see 22-13)**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 24-5 **Uncovering and Evaluating Campaign Effects**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 24-6 **Campaign Finance in Legislative Elections**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 24-7 **Party, Policy, and Voting**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 24-8 **Proximity Models: Moving beyond One Dimension**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 24-9 **The Politics of Midterm Elections**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 24-10 **Candidate Attributes in Congressional Elections**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 24-11 **Incumbency in Legislative Elections**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 24-12 **Parties and Institutions in Elections**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm

- 24-13 **Elections in the U.S. States (Co-sponsored with State and Intergovernmental Politics, see 46-4)**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 24-100 **Case Studies in the 2010 Congressional Elections**
Chicago, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 25 Voting Behavior**
Jeremy Pope, Brigham Young University
- 25-1 **Parties and Candidates in Europe**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 25-2 **Race in Elections**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 25-3 **Field Experiments in Voting and Registration**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 25-4 **Citizen Competence in Direct Democracy**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 25-5 **Voters and the Economy**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 25-6 **Campaign Effects**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 25-7 **Gender**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 25-8 **New Approaches to the Study of Electoral Choice**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 25-9 **Partisanship and Realignment**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 25-10 **New Approaches to Studying Identity in Voting**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 25-11 **Turnout: Measurement, Networks and Media**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 25-12 **Uncertainty and Voting**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 25-13 **Patterns of Voting in Local Elections**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 25-14 **Candidate Choice and Voting Strategies**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 25-15 **Voting Behavior across Europe**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 25-16 **Voters in the Obama Era**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 25-17 **German Political Behavior**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 25-18 **Survey Experiments**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 25-19 **Voting Strategies and Political Preferences**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 25-20 **Consumers and Economic Voting**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 25-21 **The Voting Experience**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 25-22 **Measuring Preferences**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 25-23 **Primary Elections and Reform (Co-sponsored with Turnout & Political Participation, see 23-17)**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 25-24 **The Internet Shaping Political Behaviour? On-line Policy Information and Voting Advice Applications (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-5, and Information Technology and Politics, see 39-6)**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 25-100 **The Intellectual Legacy of Herbert F. Weisberg in American Politics and Methodology**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 25-101 **Valence (Co-sponsored with European Politics, see 8-100, and Comparative Politics: Industrialized Countries, see 2-100)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 25-101 **Partisan Sorting**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 26 Representation and Electoral Systems**
Richard Matland, Loyola University, Chicago
- 26-1 **US Elections: Election Outcomes, Policy Outputs and Voter Reactions**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 26-2 **Party System Nationalization**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 26-3 **Duverger's Demise: Disconfirming Duverger's Dictate?**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 26-4 **Electoral System Effects and Representation of Group Interests**
Price, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 26-5 **Quasi-experimental Tests of the Political Consequences of Changing State Electoral Laws**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 26-6 **The Constituent-Representative Nexus: New Findings in the U.S. Context**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 26-7 **The Impact of Electoral Reforms on Legislative Behavior**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 26-8 **Changing the Electoral System: Legislators and Other Strategic Actors**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 26-9 **Descriptive Representation: Electoral System Effects and Legislative Responsiveness**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 26-10 **Electoral System Effects on Parties and Voters**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 26-11 **Mass-Elite Ties: Electoral System Impacts and Change over Time**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 26-12 **Representing Interests: Historical and Electoral System Effects**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 26-13 **Adapting to New Electoral Regimes: Parties, Legislators, and Voters**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm

- 26-14** **Electoral System Theory**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 26-15** **Majoritarian Electoral Systems: Beyond Two Party Competition and Governing Majority Outcomes**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 26-16** **Representation: Theory and Empirical Evidence**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 26-17** **Political Representation in the European Union (Co-sponsored with European Politics, see 8-12)**
Chicago, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 26-18** **Incumbency Advantage in the U.S. States: Gerrymanders and Public Financing Effects (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-3)**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 27** **Political Psychology**
Dona-Gene Mitchell, University of Nebraska
- 27-1** **Psychological Foundations of Political Participation**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 27-2** **The Affective Basis of Political Evaluations**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 27-3** **The Psychology of Attitudes toward Immigration**
Price, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 27-4** **National Identities and Ideology in Comparative Perspective**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 27-5** **Genetics and Politics**
Chicago, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 27-6** **Biology, Psychology and Politics**
Chicago, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 27-7** **Causes and Political Consequences of Emotional Reactions**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 27-8** **The Effects of Emotions on Political Attitudes and Behavior**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 27-9** **Personality as a Mediator of Political Attitudes and Behavior**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 27-10** **Gender Stereotypes and Citizen Evaluations**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 27-11** **The Psychological Underpinnings of Racial Stereotypes**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 27-12** **Social Networks and Deliberation**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 27-13** **The Political Impact of Racial and Religious Identities**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 27-14** **Political Attitudes and Behavior in Times of Crisis**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 27-15** **The Dynamics of Information Processing**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 27-16** **The Effects of Partisanship on Perceptual Biases**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 27-17** **Political Psychology in Comparative Perspective**
Salon 17, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 27-18** **Citizens and Elites: Exposing the Basis of Political Attitudes and Behavior**
Kinball, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 27-19** **Political Cognition**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 27-20** **Connecting Behavioral Economics and Political Psychology**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 27-21** **Understanding the Development and Effects of Social Identities**
Madison, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 27-22** **The Psychology of Misinformation and Misperceptions (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-2)**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 27-23** **Ideological Constraint (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-19)**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 27-24** **Networks, Neighborhoods, and Opinion (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-1, and Political Networks, see 72-6)**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 28** **Public Opinion**
Martin Johnson, University of California, Riverside
- 28-1** **Networks, Neighborhoods, and Opinion (Co-sponsored with Political Psychology, see 27-24, and Political Networks, see 72-6)**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 28-2** **The Foundations of Policy Preferences: New Experimental Evidence**
Chicago, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 28-3** **Contemporary Attitudes toward Immigration**
Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 28-4** **Deliberation, Learning, and Participation**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 28-5** **Economic Attitudes and Perceptions**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 28-6** **Climate Change and Environmental Opinions (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-18)**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 28-7** **Health and Health Care Reform**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 28-8** **Attitudes about Immigration and Immigrants**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 28-9** **Economic Class and Opinions**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 28-10** **Inequality and Opinion Stratification**
Madison, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm

- 28-11 **Institutional Expectations and Legitimacy**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 28-12 **Understanding and Measuring Political Knowledge**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 28-13 **Misinformation**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 28-14 **Evaluating Leaders (Co-sponsored with Presidency and Executive Politics, see 41-16)**
Price, 5th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 28-15 **Diversity, Disagreement and Social Trust**
Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 28-16 **Information Effects**
Martinsfield, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 28-17 **Framing Effects: Rights and Values**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 28-18 **Strategic Partisan Rhetoric**
Burnham 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 28-19 **Ideological Constraint (Co-sponsored with Political Psychology, see 27-23)**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 28-20 **Evaluating Political Parties**
Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 28-21 **Policy Responsiveness**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 28-22 **Religious Tolerance**
Madison, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 28-23 **Deservingness and Social Services (Co-sponsored with Race, Class, and Ethnicity, see 31-19)**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 28-24 **Values and Economics**
Logan, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 28-25 **Political Values and Principles**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 28-26 **Attitudes toward Welfare Spending**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 28-27 **Reasoning about Political Institutions**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 28-28 **Respondents and Interviewers (Co-sponsored with Methodology, see 38-16)**
Chicago, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 28-29 **Distinguishing Parties and Candidates**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 28-30 **Responsibility Attribution**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 28-31 **Public Opinion across Time**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 28-32 **Group-based Attitudes**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 28-33 **Judgments about War and Conflict**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 pm
- 28-34 **Polarization**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 28-35 **Opinion Change and Stability**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 28-36 **Efficacy**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 28-37 **Measuring Complex Concepts (Co-sponsored with Methodology, see 38-17)**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 28-38 **Attitudes about Foreign Policy**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 28-39 **Explanations of Public Support for the European Union (Co-sponsored with European Politics, see 8-8)**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 28-40 **Exploring Notions of Patriotism (Broadly Defined) (Co-sponsored with Turnout & Political Participation, see 23-5)**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 28-41 **Understanding Public Opinion on War (Co-sponsored with International Relations and Domestic Politics, see 16-5, and Foreign Policy, see 19-9)**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 28-100 **Public Deliberation as a Means to Measure Public Opinion: A Critical Assessment (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-109, and Political Philosophy: Approaches and Themes, see 34-101)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- Sept. 29** **Mass Media and Political Communication**
Stefaan Walgrave, *University of Antwerp*
- 29-1 **Media Framing (and Its Effects)**
Price, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 29-2 **Media and Citizen Participation**
Chicago, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 29-3 **Political Websites 2.0**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 29-4 **Setting the Agenda of the Public and Politics**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 29-5 **Speeches, Addresses, and Rhetoric**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 29-6 **The Content, Impact, and Antecedents of News Websites**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 29-7 **Political Actors in the News**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 29-8 **Media and Citizen Deliberation**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 29-9 **Bad Media**
Indiana, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 29-10 **Media Coverage of Electoral Campaigns and Its Effects**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 29-11 **Media Bias and Objectivity**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 29-12 **Laughing with Politics**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 29-13 **Rhetoric in Political Science**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 29-14 **Blogs, Facebook and Twitter**
Chicago, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 29-15 **Media, War and Conflict**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 29-16 **Press/Politics Relations**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 29-17 **Media Effects**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm

- 29-18 Media, Polls, and Public Opinion**
Monrose 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 29-19 Media and Identity Formation**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 29-20 Selective Media Exposure**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 29-21 Fiction and Its Political Effects**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 29-22 Explaining Media Coverage**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 29-23 Media Coverage of the Economy**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 29-24 Political TV Debates and Interviews**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 29-25 User-generated Political Content**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 29-100 Authors Meet Critics: 'The Decline of the Death Penalty and the Discovery of Innocence' (CUP, 2008) by Frank R. Baumgartner, Suzanna De Boef, and Amber Boydston**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 30 Gender and Politics**
Jill Greenlee, Brandeis University
- 30-1 Getting Into the Game and Moving Up: Women in Government**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 30-2 Candidate Emergence**
Wilcox, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 30-3 Dimensions of the Gender Gap**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 30-4 Gender and Local Politics**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 30-5 Gender and the U.S. Congress**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 30-6 Gender Quotas**
Buenham 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 30-7 Institutional Structure and Representation**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 30-8 State Policy Outcomes and Gender**
Chicago, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 30-9 Women and the Executive Branch**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 30-10 Substantive Representation and Gender**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 30-11 Comparative Family Public Policy**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 30-12 Political Psychology and Gender**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 30-13 Campaign Politics and Competition**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 30-14 Pluralism and Equality**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 30-15 Exploitation, Violence and Gender**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 30-16 Legal Change and Gendered Implications**
Madison, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 30-17 Institutions and Actors: Equality, Representation and Gender**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 30-18 Political Engagement and Gender**
Logan, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 30-100 New Research on Gender in Political Psychology (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-108)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 31 Race, Class, and Ethnicity**
Yvette Alex-Assensoh, Indiana University
- 31-1 Race, Ethnicity and Media Politics**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 31-2 Immigration, Race and Politics**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 31-3 Racial Politics: A View From States and Localities**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 31-4 Conceptualizations of Race, Color and Gender in American Politics**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 31-5 Politics of Geographical Space**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 31-6 Mapping the Rules of Race in American Politics**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 31-7 Triangulation, Racialization and Postracialism**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 31-8 The Complexities of Religion, Race and Ethnicity**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 31-9 Race beyond American Borders**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 31-10 The Complexities of Identity Politics**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 31-11 Latino Politics**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 31-12 Multiracial Politics**
Burnham 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 31-13 Race, Citizenship and Identity**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 31-14 Ethnicity, Race and Political Behavior**
Monrose 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 31-15 Race and Representation**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 31-16 Political Incorporation, Empowerment and Governance**
Indiana, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 31-17 Race in the Age of Obama**
Madison, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 31-18 Stability and Change in Policy and Movement Politics**
Monrose 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 31-19 Deservingness and Social Services (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-23)**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm

- Sect. 32 Foundations of Political Theory: Ancient**
David Williams, *University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point*
- 32-1 Early Christian and Non-Western Theory**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 32-2 Eros and Justice in Plato's Political Philosophy**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 32-3 Aristotelian Perspectives on Modern Politics**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 32-4 Aristotle**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 32-5 Philosophy, Poetry, and Politics in the Ancient World**
Montrose 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 32-6 Xenophon and Socrates**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 32-7 Plato on Friendship, War, and the Foreigner**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 32-8 Plato on Money, Legislation, Difference, and Knowledge**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 32-9 Roman Political Thought**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 32-100 Desealing Devin Stauffer's The Unity of Plato's Gorgias**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 32-101 Sara Minnison's Plato's Democratic Entanglements - 10th Anniversary**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 32-102 Roundtable on John Zumbrennen's Silence and Democracy**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 32-103 Elizabeth Markovits's "The Politics of Sincerity"**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 33 Foundations of Political Theory: Pre- and Early Modern**
Bethina Koch, *Virginia Tech University*
- 33-1 Disputing Citizens' Rights and Maintaining Order**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 33-2 Medieval Theory and Modern Statecraft**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 33-3 Language, Metaphor, and Law in Medieval Political Thought**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 33-4 Was Spinoza Intolerant of Atheists or of the Religious? (Co-sponsored with Political Philosophy: Approaches and Themes, see 34-21)**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 33-5 Machiavelli in Context (Co-sponsored with Political Philosophy: Approaches and Themes, see 34-22)**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 33-6 Implications of French and German Enlightenment Thought**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 33-7 Legitimacy and Rights**
Montrose 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 33-8 Rousseau Reconsidered**
Millenium Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 33-9 International (Economic) and Comparative Approaches to Premodern Political Theory**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 33-10 (American) Republican and Constitutional Theory**
Parker G, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 33-11 Hobbes in Comparison (Co-sponsored with Political Philosophy: Approaches and Themes, see 34-23)**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 33-12 Religious and Anti-religious Thought**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 33-13 Power and (Individual) Freedom**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 33-100 Michael Frazer's The Enlightenment of Sympathy: Justice and the Moral Sentiments in the Eighteenth Century and Today (Co-sponsored with Political Philosophy: Approaches and Themes, see 34-100)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 34 Political Philosophy: Approaches and Themes**
Eric MacGilvray, *The Ohio State University*
- 34-1 Rhetorical Studies and Political Theory**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 34-2 Deliberation and Democratic Legitimacy**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 34-3 Methods of Political Theory**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 34-4 Whose Rights? Which Liberty?**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 34-5 John Stuart Mill: Approaches and Themes**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 34-6 John Rawls: Approaches and Themes**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 34-7 Identity, Difference, and Belonging**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 34-8 Reconsidering the Relationship between Liberty, Regime, and Foreign Policy**
Buraham 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 34-9 Punishment and Liberalism in Theory and in Practice**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 34-10 The Philosophy and Rhetoric of Violence in Political Foundings**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 34-11 Power, Authority, Judgment**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 34-12 Democracy and Contingency**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 34-13 Nietzsche and Heidegger**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 34-14 The Ties that Bind**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am

- 34-15** **Modernity and Time**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 34-16** **18th-century Political Thought: Approaches and Themes**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 34-17** **Political Theory and Political Economy**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 34-18** **Sovereignty and Post-sovereignty**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 34-19** **Political Reproduction**
Congress, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 34-20** **Genealogies of Black Politics**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 34-21** **Was Spinoza Intolerant of Atheists or of the Religious? (Co-sponsored with Foundations of Political Theory: Pre- and Early Modern , see 33-4)**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 34-22** **Machiavelli in Context (Co-sponsored with Foundations of Political Theory: Pre- and Early Modern , see 33-5)**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 34-23** **Hobbes in Comparison (Co-sponsored with Foundations of Political Theory: Pre- and Early Modern , see 33-11)**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 34-100** **Michael Frazer's The Enlightenment of Sympathy: Justice and the Moral Sentiments in the Eighteenth Century and Today (Co-sponsored with Foundations of Political Theory: Pre- and Early Modern , see 33-100)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 34-101** **Public Deliberation as a Means to Measure Public Opinion: A Critical Assessment (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-109, and Public Opinion, see 28-100)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 35-7** **Arendt, Tocqueville, and the Democratic Polity**
Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 35-8** **Capitalism, Democracy, and Justice**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 35-9** **Whitman, Wilson and the American Liberal Tradition**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 35-10** **Founders and Foundings**
LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 35-11** **Rhetoric and Reform in the Age of Jackson**
Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 35-12** **Leadership, Representation, and Liberal Democracy**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 35-13** **Equality, Accountability, and Deliberative Democracy**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 35-100** **Roundtable: Mark Bevir's Democratic Governance**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 36** **Contemporary Political Theory**
Chad Lavin, Virginia Tech University
- 36-1** **On Oakeshott**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 36-2** **Politics of Popular Culture**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 36-3** **On Posthuman Democracy**
Logan, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 36-4** **Narratives on the Overdetermination of Crisis**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 36-5** **Borders of Democracy**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 36-6** **Debating Deliberation**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 36-7** **Vital Politics**
Indiana, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 36-8** **Cosmopolitan Visions**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 36-9** **The Labors of Intellectuals**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 36-10** **Politics at the Margins**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 36-11** **Political Responsibility**
Indiana, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 36-12** **Perspectives on Gender and Family**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 36-13** **Methods of Political Theory**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 36-14** **Thinking beyond Liberalism**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 36-15** **New Thoughts about an Old Economy**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 36-16** **States of/and Sovereignty**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 36-17** **The Presence of the Past**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 36-18** **Theory and Practice of Dissent**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm

- 36-19 Political Economies of the Subject**
Madison, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 36-20 Ethics of Recognition and Care**
Logan, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 36-21 Extremism**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 36-22 On Religious and Secular Reasons**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 36-23 Theories and Justice**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- Sect. 37 Formal Modeling**
Jon Egala, New York University
- 37-1 Campaign Messages and Voting**
Logan, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 37-2 Nation-Building and Shared Values**
Sandberg 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 37-3 Primaries**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 37-4 Elections**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 37-5 Identity, Assimilation and Prejudice**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 37-6 Behavioral Models**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 37-7 Policy-making**
Burnham 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 37-8 Learning and Dynamics**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 37-9 Spatial Models**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 37-10 Government**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 37-11 Incentives**
Burnham 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 37-12 Contested Power and Conflict**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 37-13 Information and Fraud**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 37-14 Bureaucracies**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 37-15 Instability and Conflict**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 37-16 Law and Governance**
Logan, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 37-17 Clientellism, Justice and Security**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 37-18 Investment, Funding and Party Formation**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 38 Methodology**
Jason Seawright, Northwestern University
- 38-1 Causal Models and Inference**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 38-2 Challenges of Conducting Field Research in Political Science**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 38-3 Causal Inference with Experiments**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 38-4 Advances in List Experiments**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 38-5 Causal Inference with Experiments and Natural Experiments**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 38-6 Text and Discourse in Quantitative Methods**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 38-7 Methods in the Study of Legislatures**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 38-8 New Research on Multilevel Modeling**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 38-9 Bayesian Applications in Political Science**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 38-10 New Developments in Survey Methods**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 38-11 Models of Causation for Political Science**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 38-12 Measurement and Scaling**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 38-13 Qualitative and Multi-Method Research**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 38-14 Innovative Methodological Approaches in Public Administration (Co-sponsored with Public Administration, see 53-4)**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 38-15 New Methodologies and Data in IPE (Co-sponsored with International Political Economy, see 15-7)**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 38-16 Respondents and Interviewers (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-28)**
Chicago, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 38-17 Measuring Complex Concepts (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-37)**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 38-100 Graduate Methods Training in the Potential Outcomes Era: Moving Beyond Regression?**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- Sect. 39 Information Technology and Politics**
Helen Margetta, University of Oxford
- 39-1 Understanding Political Behaviour in the Internet Era**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 39-2 Internet Activism and Political Participation**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 39-3 Citizens, Representatives and Social Media**
Chicago, 5th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 39-4 Digital Government and Political Participation**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 39-5 Digital Governments: Openness, Trust and Transparency?**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 39-6 The Internet Shaping Political Behaviour? On-line Policy Information and Voting Advice Applications (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-5, and Voting Behavior, see 25-24)**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am

- 39-100** **The Internet Generation: Engaged Citizens or Political Dropouts?**
Spre Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 39-101** **Political Science 2.0: Tools for Political Scientists (Co-sponsored with Teaching Political Science, see 57-100)**
Spre Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 40** **Political Parties and Interest Groups**
Scott Ainsworth, University of Georgia
- 40-1** **New Trends in Comparative Party Politics**
Clark T, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 40-2** **Money Allocation Strategies in Elections**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 40-3** **Party and Faction in Legislatures**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 40-4** **Polarization and Regionalism**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 40-5** **Strategic Concerns for Parties**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 40-6** **Strategic Concerns for Interest Groups**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 40-7** **Groups, Parties, and Public Policy**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 40-8** **Issues, Agendas, and the Interest Group Environment**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 40-9** **Emergent Political Forces and Their Effects on Political Parties**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 40-10** **Parties and Voters**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 40-11** **Interest Groups and Issue Agendas**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 40-12** **Legislators and Presidential Politics**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 40-13** **Organization and History in Interest Group Studies**
Logan, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 40-14** **The Implications of History for Party Emergence**
Dearborn 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 40-15** **Party Structures in Parliaments**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 40-16** **Presidents and the "New" Party System in Historical Perspective (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-1, and Politics and History, see 54-15)**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 40-17** **The Impact of Amicus Curiae Participation in Courts (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-7)**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 40-100** **Robert H. Salisbury Memorial (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-110)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 41** **Presidency and Executive Politics**
Matt Beckmann, University of California, Irvine
- 41-1** **Presidential Nomination and Senate Confirmation**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 41-2** **Presidential-Congressional Interactions (Co-sponsored with Legislative Institutions, see 42-19)**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 41-3** **Controlling the Bureaucracy**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 41-4** **Perspectives on the Obama Presidency**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 41-5** **Unilateral Presidential Action and Influence**
Madison, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 41-6** **Presidential Leadership in Times of Crisis**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 41-7** **The Modern Wartime Presidency**
Price, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 41-8** **Historical Research on Presidential Power (Co-sponsored with Politics and History, see 54-16)**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 41-9** **Presidents, Parties, and Elections**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 41-10** **Presidential Action (and Inaction) in Environmental Policy (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-16)**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 41-11** **Signing Statements: Posturing or Policymaking?**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 41-12** **Presidents' Influence on Public Opinion**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 41-13** **Veto Threats: Politics and Policy**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 41-14** **Presidential Rhetoric**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 41-15** **Dynamic Effects of Presidential Leadership (Co-sponsored with Politics and History, see 54-11)**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 41-16** **Evaluating Leaders (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-14)**
Price, 5th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 41-17** **Congressional-Presidential Relations (Co-sponsored with Legislative Institutions, see 42-16)**
Monrose 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 41-100** **B. Dan Wood's The Myth of Presidential Representation**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 41-100** **How is President Obama Doing? (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-106)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm

41-101 **Presidents and Military Command (Co-sponsored with Politics and History, see 54-101)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm

Sect. 42 Legislative Institutions

Sean Theriault, University of Texas, Austin
42-1 **Individual Legislator Actions in Congress**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am

42-2 **Legislator Actions in Comparative Legislatures**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm

42-3 **Congressional Oversight**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am

42-4 **The Filibuster**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm

42-5 **Moving Up or Moving Out in Congress?**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am

42-6 **The Power of Party Leaders**
Burnham 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm

42-7 **Assessing Legislator Preferences**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am

42-8 **How Structures Affect State Legislatures**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm

42-9 **Congressional Polarization**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am

42-10 **Internal Resources in Congress**
Madison, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm

42-11 **Constituency Interests in Congress**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm

42-12 **The Legislative Process**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm

42-13 **Minority Groups in the Legislature**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm

42-14 **Moderate Legislators in a Partisan Congress**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am

42-15 **Policymaking in State Legislatures**
Montrose 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am

42-16 **Congressional-Presidential Relations (Co-sponsored with Presidency and Executive Politics, see 41-17)**
Montrose 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am

42-17 **The Rulemaking Burdens of Congress**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am

42-18 **Congressional Committees at Work**
Montrose 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm

42-19 **The Look of Congressional Committees**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm

42-20 **Agenda Control in the Legislative Process**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm

42-21 **The Role of Money and Interest Groups in the Legislative Process**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm

42-22 **How Congressional Decisions Are Communicated**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm

42-23 **Legislative Decisions**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm

42-24 **Decisions about Structure in Comparative Legislatures**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm

42-25 **Lawmaking in Comparative Legislatures**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am

42-26 **Preferences in Comparative Legislatures**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm

42-27 **Electoral Consequences in Comparative Legislatures**
Salon 1, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm

42-28 **The Senate**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am

42-29 **Presidential-Congressional Interactions (Co-sponsored with Presidency and Executive Politics, see 41-2)**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am

42-30 **European Parliament Roll Call Voting (Co-sponsored with European Politics, see 8-9)**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm

42-100 **Early Reviews of the 112th Congress**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am

42-101 **Obama and a Republican House**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am

42-102 **Recent Developments in the Senate**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm

42-103 **Using Political Science to Understand the Democrats' Surge and Decline**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am

42-104 **The 111th Congress: Where the Democrats Went Wrong (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see I-112)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm

Sect. 43 International and Comparative Law
Karen Alter, Northwestern University

43-1 **Non-Governmental Organizations in Developing and Enforcing the Law**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am

43-2 **Constructing Interests: The Role of Law in Defining Individual and State Interests**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am

43-3 **The ICC and International Politics (Co-sponsored with International Cooperation and Organization, see 20-6)**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm

43-4 **Judicial Independence in Comparative Context (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-6)**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am

43-5 **The Consequences of Judicial Institutions in Comparative Context (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-18)**
Madison, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am

43-6 **Judicial Decision Making in Comparative Context (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-19)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am

43-7 **Judicial Politics Around the Globe (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-1)**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am

- 43-8 **Human Rights and Humanitarianism through a Legal Lens (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-8)**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 43-9 **Legal Theory and Practice in War and Conflict (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-1)**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 43-100 **Authors Meet Critics: Elkins, Ginsburg and Melton's The Endurance of National Constitutions, Hirsch's Constitutional Theocracy and Jacobsen's Constitutional Identity (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-100, and Judicial Politics, see 45-103)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- SECT. 44 Law and Jurisprudence**
Wendy Martinek, *SUNY, Binghamton*
- 44-1 **Legal Theory and Practice in War and Conflict (Co-sponsored with International and Comparative Law, see 43-9)**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 44-2 **Religious and Expressive Freedom: The First Amendment in Theory and Practice**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 44-3 **Originalism, Originalists, and Natural Law**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 44-4 **Federalism Old and New**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 44-5 **Judicial Review**
Montrose 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 44-6 **Process and Procedure in the Federal Courts (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-32)**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 44-7 **Constitutionalism and Constitutional Construction**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 44-8 **Human Rights and Humanitarianism through a Legal Lens (Co-sponsored with International and Comparative Law, see 43-8)**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 44-9 **Race, Ethnicity, Gender, and Sexuality in the Courts**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 44-10 **Aspects of Court Administration (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-2)**
Logan, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 44-100 **Author Meets Critics: The Nature of Supreme Court Power, by Matthew Hall (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-101)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 44-100 **Authors Meet Critics: Elkins, Ginsburg and Melton's The Endurance of National Constitutions, Hirsch's Constitutional Theocracy and Jacobsen's Constitutional Identity (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-100, and International and Comparative Law, see 43-100)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 44-101 **Author Meets Critics: Anna Law's The Immigration Battle in American Courts (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-104)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 44-102 **Author Meets Critics: Greenhouse and Siegel's Before Roe vs. Wade (Co-sponsored with Judicial Politics, see 45-105)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- SECT. 45 Judicial Politics**
Brandon Bartels, *George Washington University*
- 45-1 **Judicial Politics Around the Globe (Co-sponsored with International and Comparative Law, see 43-7)**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 45-2 **Aspects of Court Administration (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-10)**
Logan, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 45-3 **Judicial Politics Without (Subfield) Borders**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 45-4 **Theoretical Perspectives on Legal Doctrine**
Logan, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 45-5 **The Strategies and Effects of Lawyers in High Courts**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 45-6 **Judicial Independence in Comparative Context (Co-sponsored with International and Comparative Law, see 43-4)**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 45-7 **The Impact of Amicus Curiae Participation in Courts (Co-sponsored with Political Parties and Interest Groups, see 40-17)**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 45-8 **Judicial Activism, Regime Politics, and the U.S. Supreme Court**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 45-9 **Analyzing Rules and Norms on the U.S. Supreme Court**
Green Park Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 45-10 **External Constraints on Supreme Court Decision Making**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 45-11 **The Law and Politics of Mandatory Minimum Sentencing**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 45-12 **Public Perceptions of Courts**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 2 at 12:45 pm

- 45-13 Court Decisions, Impact, and Public Discourse**
Monroe 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 45-14 Opinion Assignment and Authorship in Courts**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 45-15 Issues, Dynamics, and the U.S. Supreme Court**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 45-16 Courts and the Bureaucracy**
Indiana, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 45-17 Courts in Separation-of-Powers Contexts**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 45-18 The Consequences of Judicial Institutions in Comparative Context (Co-sponsored with International and Comparative Law, see 43-5)**
Madison, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 45-19 Judicial Decision Making in Comparative Context (Co-sponsored with International and Comparative Law, see 43-6)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 45-20 Decision Making on the U.S. Courts of Appeals**
Burnham 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 45-21 Decision Making in Trial Courts**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 45-22 Methodological Innovations and Issues in Judicial Politics**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 45-23 The Role of Political and Institutional Context on State Supreme Court Decision Making**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 45-24 Race, Gender, and Judging**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 45-25 Issues, Actors, and Rules in the U.S. Courts of Appeals**
Price, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 45-26 External Influences in State Supreme Court Decision Making**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 45-27 Judicial Elections: Explaining Voting Behavior, Campaigns, and Outcomes**
Salon 8, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 45-28 Analyzing Judicial Elections**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 45-29 Choosing and Assessing Federal Judicial Nominees**
Burnham 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 45-30 The Politics of Federal Judicial Appointments**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 45-31 Institutional Change in State Court Systems**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 45-32 Process and Procedure in the Federal Courts (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-6)**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 45-100 The Obama Judiciary at Midway**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 45-101 Author Meets Critics: The Nature of Supreme Court Power, by Matthew Hall (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-100)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 45-102 Evaluating How Judges Are Selected in the U.S.: Exploring the Normative Implications of Empirical Research**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 45-103 Authors Meet Critics: Elkins, Ginsburg and Melton's *The Endurance of National Constitutions*, Hirschl's *Constitutional Theocracy* and Jacobsen's *Constitutional Identity* (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-100, and International and Comparative Law, see 43-100)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 45-104 Author Meets Critics: Anna Law's *The Immigration Battle in American Courts* (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-101)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 45-105 Author Meets Critics: Greenhouse and Siegel's *Before Roe vs. Wade* (Co-sponsored with Law and Jurisprudence, see 44-102)**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 46 State and Intergovernmental Politics**
- 46-1 Juliet Gainsborough, *Bendley College***
Designing and Reforming State Institutions
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 46-2 Direct Democracy and State Politics**
Parlor G, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 46-3 Measuring Key Concepts: Culture, Opinion, and the South**
Salon 6, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 46-4 Elections in the U.S. States (Co-sponsored with Legislative Campaigns & Elections, see 24-13)**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 46-5 Economic Impacts of State Policy**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 46-6 The Effects of State Legislative Professionalism**
Kimball, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 46-7 Explaining Policy Choices in the U.S. States (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-20)**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 46-8 Parties and Partisanship in the U.S. States**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 46-9 Policy Diffusion in the U.S. States**
Salon 2, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 46-10 Legislators: Why They Do What They Do**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 46-11 Linking Citizens, Legislators, and Public Policy in the U.S. States**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm

- 46-12 **Federalism in Theory and Practice**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 46-13 **Partners or Protestors: State Government and Federal Policy (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-21)**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 46-14 **Policy Outcomes: Explaining State Variation**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 46-15 **Explaining Policy Choices in the U.S. States II**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 46-16 **Local Government in Intergovernmental Context (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-20)**
LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 46-17 **Governors and State Politics**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:35 am
- 46-18 **External Actors and Exogenous Forces in State Policy Adoption (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-4)**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 46-19 **Addressing Fiscal Challenges in the U.S. States (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-12)**
Price, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 46-20 **Tackling Social Problems in Multilevel Political Contexts (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-17)**
Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 46-21 **Perspectives on Taxing and Spending Policy at the National and Subnational Level (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-7)**
Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 47 Urban and Local Politics**
Sarah Reckhow, Michigan State University
- 47-1 **Global Cities and Place Identity**
Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 47-2 **Urban Education Politics and Policy**
Chicago, 5th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 47-3 **Social Capital and Neighborhood Organizations in Urban Governance**
Price, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 47-4 **Climate Change Policy at the Local Level (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-17)**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 47-5 **From Parks to Potholes: The Politics of Local Services**
Madison, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 47-6 **The Politics of Urban Infrastructure and Growth Management**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 47-7 **Urban Economic Development**
Clark 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 47-8 **Fiscal Stress and Local Budget Challenges**
Salon 3, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 47-9 **Urban Political Geography: New Perspectives on Mobility and Attachment to Place**
Logan, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 47-10 **Intergovernmental Relations and Local Government Structure**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 47-11 **Local Participatory and Direct Democracy**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 47-12 **Public Administration and Urban Governance (Co-sponsored with Public Administration, see 53-18)**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 47-13 **Public and Private Leadership in Local Economic Development**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 47-14 **Politics of Local Planning**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 47-15 **Political Efficacy, Representation, and Involvement: Assessing the Role of Local Context**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 47-16 **Neighborhood Governance: Social Capital and the Management of Environmental and Economic Challenges in Local Communities (Co-sponsored with Public Administration, see 53-7)**
Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 47-17 **Local Climate and Energy Policy (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-2)**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 47-18 **Local Resilience in the Face of Environmental Change (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-5)**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 47-19 **Municipal Environmental Politics and Policy (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-9)**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 47-20 **Local Government in Intergovernmental Context (Co-sponsored with State and Intergovernmental Politics, see 46-16)**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 47-21 **Voting Behavior and Campaigns at the Local Level: Exploring the Roles of Race and Polarization (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-4)**
Crystal, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 47-22 **Urban and Local Policy and Politics (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-15)**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 47-100 **GSEs and Housing Finance (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-100)**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm

- Sect. 48 Comparative Public Policy**
Michael Howlett, *Simon Fraser University*
- 48-1 Banking Policy: From Global Financial Centres to Microfinance**
 Monroe 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 48-2 Participation and Policy Responsiveness: Understanding National and Sectoral Variations**
 Montrose 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 48-3 Comparative Healthcare Reforms: U.S., UK, China, Vietnam, Zambia**
 Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 48-4 The Financial Crisis and Globalization**
 LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 48-5 Education Policy: Tuition Fees and Vocational Training in Comparative Perspective**
 Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 48-6 Evidence and Policy Design: Energy, Environment and Education**
 Parlor G, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 48-7 Agenda-setting: Parties, Priorities and Political Attention**
 Ashland, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 48-8 Improving Public Service and Administration: Anti-corruption, Informality and Decentralization Reforms**
 Burnham 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 48-9 The Challenges of Policy Making and Implementation Systems in Newly Independent States**
 Sandburg 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 49 Health, Education & Social Policy**
Robert Henry Cox, *University of Oklahoma*
- 49-1 Innovations in Social Policy**
 Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 49-2 Assessing Educational Quality and Performance**
 Salon 3, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 49-3 Comparing Health Policy in Western Democracies**
 Burnham 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 49-4 Health Care in Russia and Other Transition Countries**
 Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 49-5 Rural and Community Health Programs**
 Crystal, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 49-6 School Choice**
 LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 49-7 Immigration and Immigrant Minorities in Health and Education**
 Salon 6, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 11:45 pm
- 49-8 The Health of Children**
 Salon 1, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 49-9 Politics of Health Reforms in the United States**
 Salon 5, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 49-10 State-level Health Care in the U.S.**
 Salon 2, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 49-11 Achievement in Education**
 Indiana, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 49-12 Issues in Health Administration**
 Indiana, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 49-13 Policy Responses to HIV/AIDS**
 Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 49-14 Is Health Reform Sustainable: Implementation Problems and Issues**
 Salon 6, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 49-15 Health Care and Social Problems**
 LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 49-16 The Policy Politics of Contemporary Education Challenges (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-2)**
 Salon 8, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 50 Public Policy**
Joshua Sapotichne, *Michigan State University*
- 50-1 Knowledge, Well-Being, and Choice in Models of Policymaking**
 Burnham 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 50-2 The Policy Politics of Contemporary Education Challenges (Co-sponsored with Health, Education & Social Policy, see 49-16)**
 Salon 8, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 50-3 New Directions in Agenda-Setting Research: Public Mood, Political Conflict, and Issue Dimensionality**
 Salon 7, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 50-4 External Actors and Exogenous Forces in State Policy Adoption (Co-sponsored with State and Intergovernmental Politics, see 46-18)**
 Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 50-5 Policy Learning and Diffusion of Innovation**
 Clark 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 50-6 Coalitions in Comparative Contexts: Analyzing Belief Systems, Strategies, and Policy Change**
 Burnham 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 50-7 Perspectives on Taxing and Spending Policy at the National and Subnational Level (Co-sponsored with State and Intergovernmental Politics, see 46-21)**
 Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 50-8 New Perspectives on Policy Networks**
 Marchfield, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 50-9 Focusing Events in the Policy Process: Disaster, Crisis, and Policy Responsiveness**
 Salon 1, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 50-10 The Politics of Energy Policy in the U.S. and Abroad (Co-sponsored with Environmental Politics and Policy, see 51-20)**
 Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 50-11 Policy Implementation and Administrative Policymaking**
 Burnham 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am

- 50-12 **Addressing Fiscal Challenges in the U.S. States (Co-sponsored with State and Intergovernmental Politics, see 46-19)**
Price, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 50-13 **Regulatory Institutions in the Policy Process**
Salon 10, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 50-14 **Ideas, Institutions, and the Processing of Policy Problems**
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 50-15 **Urban and Local Policy and Politics (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-22)**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 50-16 **Race and Gender in the Policy Process**
Pulcor G, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:10 am
- 50-17 **Tackling Social Problems in Multilevel Political Contexts (Co-sponsored with State and Intergovernmental Politics, see 46-20)**
Medinah Pulcor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 50-18 **State Electoral Politics and Voting Policy**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 50-19 **Policy Process Models in the Global Context**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 50-20 **Explaining Policy Choices in the U.S. States (Co-sponsored with State and Intergovernmental Politics, see 46-7)**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 50-21 **Partners or Protestors: State Government and Federal Policy (Co-sponsored with State and Intergovernmental Politics, see 46-13)**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 50-100 **GSEs and Housing Finance (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-100)**
Clark 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:15 pm
- SECT. 51 Environmental Politics and Policy**
Graeme Auld, Carleton University
- 51-1 **American Environmental Politics and Policy**
Salon 4, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 51-2 **Local Climate and Energy Policy (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-17)**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 51-3 **International Cooperation on Climate Change (Co-sponsored with International Cooperation and Organization, see 20-17)**
Logan, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 51-4 **NGO Strategy**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 51-5 **Local Resilience in the Face of Environmental Change (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-18)**
Clark 10, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 51-6 **Interest Group Influence on Policy Making**
Monrose 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 51-7 **Energy Policy**
Beckingham, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 51-8 **Public Opinion and the Environment**
Monrose 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 51-9 **Municipal Environmental Politics and Policy (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-19)**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 51-10 **Implementing Environmental Policy**
LaSalle 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 51-11 **Collaborative Environmental Governance**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 51-12 **Framing Environmental Problems**
Price, 5th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 51-13 **Climate and Energy Politics**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 51-14 **Dynamics of Sustainable Development**
Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 51-15 **Equity and Justice in Environmental Politics**
Dearborn 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 51-16 **Presidential Action (and Inaction) in Environmental Policy (Co-sponsored with Presidency and Executive Politics, see 41-10)**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 51-17 **Climate Change Policy at the Local Level (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-4)**
Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 51-18 **Climate Change and Environmental Opinions (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-6)**
Salon 5, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 51-19 **Sharing Land, Water, and Air: The International Politics of Environmental Cooperation (Co-sponsored with International Cooperation and Organization, see 20-7)**
Price, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 51-20 **The Politics of Energy Policy in the U.S. and Abroad (Co-sponsored with Public Policy, see 50-10)**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- SECT. 52 Bureaucratic Politics**
Sean Gathard, University of California, Berkeley
- 52-1 **Formal and Empirical Frontiers of Bureaucratic Politics**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 52-2 **Leadership and Accountability**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 52-3 **Fault Lines: Interagency and Intergovernmental Interactions**
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 52-4 **Politics of the Regulatory Process**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 52-5 **The Political Environment of Bureaucracies**
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 52-6 **Politics and Personnel**
LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 52-7 **Principals and Agents**
Salon 9, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm

- Sect. 53 Public Administration**
Kelly Leroux, University of Illinois, Chicago
- 53-1 Perceptions of Policy Legitimacy and Agency Behavior**
 Indiana, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 53-2 Government-Nonprofit Networks and Social Service Delivery Systems: Challenges of Accountability and Performance**
 Clark 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 53-3 Representation and Diversity in American Government: New Scholarship**
 Chicago, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 53-4 Innovative Methodological Approaches in Public Administration (Co-sponsored with Methodology, see 38-14)**
 Salon 10, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 53-5 Accountability and Performance in Public Organizations: Effects of Managers and Implications for Management**
 Millennium Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 53-6 Interlocal Contracting, Cooperation, and Collaboration**
 Congress, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 53-7 Neighborhood Governance: Social Capital and the Management of Environmental and Economic Challenges in Local Communities (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-16)**
 Grant Park Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 53-8 Politics of Budgeting in State and Local Government**
 Kintell, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 53-9 Leveraging Technology to Increase Participation and Performance of Public and Nonprofit Organizations**
 Wilson, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 53-10 Administrative Institutions and Active Representation**
 Salon 2, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 53-11 New Directions in Public Service Motivation Research**
 Burnham 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 53-12 Job Satisfaction, Rewards, and Performance: New Insights into Old Issues of Public Personnel Management**
 Salon 12, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 53-13 Principal-Agent Problems and the Quest for Accountability in Privatization and Contracting**
 Madison, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 53-14 Exercising Discretion in Local Level Policy Implementation**
 Salon 1, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 53-15 Leadership and Managerial Stability in Public Organizations**
 Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 53-16 Examining the Impact of Organizational Design, Workload, and Institutional Policies on Public Personnel**
 Congress, 3rd floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 53-17 Gender Equity and Diversity in Public Employment**
 Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 53-18 Public Administration and Urban Governance (Co-sponsored with Urban and Local Politics, see 47-12)**
 Salon 4, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- Sect. 54 Politics and History**
Daniel Galvin, Northwestern University
- 54-1 Building Gender Equality**
 Montrose 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 54-2 Paths of Institutional and Policy Development**
 Burnham 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 54-3 Territorial Politics and State Building in the U.S.**
 Montrose 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 54-4 The Rise of the "New Right"**
 Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 54-5 Conditions of Citizenship in the U.S.**
 Burnham 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 54-6 Origins and Development of Policy Regimes**
 Burnham 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 54-7 The Underpinnings of Civil Rights Politics**
 Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 54-8 The American Founding: Alternatives and Unintended Consequences**
 Burnham 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 54-9 Hidden Spheres of Policymaking in the U.S.**
 Buckingham, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 54-10 Pathologies of Democracy in Comparative and Historical Perspective**
 Medinah Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 54-11 Dynamic Effects of Presidential Leadership (Co-sponsored with Presidency and Executive Politics, see 41-15)**
 Salon 8, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 54-12 America on the World Stage**
 Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 54-13 What Holds Together the Union?**
 LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 54-14 Structure and Change in U.S. Political Parties**
 Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 54-15 Presidents and the "New" Party System in Historical Perspective (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-8, and Political Parties and Interest Groups, see 40-16)**
 Salon 2, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 54-16 Historical Research on Presidential Power (Co-sponsored with Presidency and Executive Politics, see 41-8)**
 Sandburg 5, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm

- 54-100** **Author Meets Critics: James Mahoney's *Colonialism and Postcolonial Development: Spanish America in Comparative Perspective* (Co-sponsored with Comparative Political Institutions, see 6-108, and Comparative Politics: Developing Countries, see 4-100)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 54-101** **Presidents and Military Command (Co-sponsored with Presidency and Executive Politics, see 41-101)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 54-102** **Opposition Disloyalty and Illegitimacy in American Political Development**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- Sect. 55** **Political Anthropology & Sociology**
Najib Hourani, Michigan State University
- 55-1** **Constructions of Gender**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 55-2** **Neo-liberalism, Marginality, Resistance**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 56** **Politics and Religion**
Kees Van Kersbergen, Aarhus University, Denmark
- 56-1** **Religious Mobilization and Political Participation in America**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 56-2** **Curriculum and Culture Wars: When and Where is Religion Appropriate in Public Schools?**
Marshfield, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 56-3** **Politics, Philosophy, and Religion in Medieval Islamic and Jewish History**
Sandburg 4, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 56-4** **Political Theory and Political Theology**
Sandburg 7, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 56-5** **Politics and Religion: The Case of Turkey**
Clark 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 56-6** **Prosperity Gospel and Politics**
Monroe 5, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 56-7** **Religion and Public Policy**
Paetec G, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 56-8** **Radicalism, Extremism, and Reconciliation in Religious Politics**
LaSalle 5, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 56-9** **Religion, Political Attitudes, and Public Opinion**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 56-10** **Political Parties, Interest Groups, and Religion**
Clark 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 56-11** **The Catholic Church in Political Theory and Practice**
Cresthill, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 56-12** **Islam and Politics**
Clark 9, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 56-13** **Religion and Contentious Issues in America**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 56-14** **Religion, Leadership, and Political Preferences in America**
Monroe 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 56-15** **Secular, Liberalizing, Spiritual America?**
Salon 7, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 56-16** **Muslims and Politics in America**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 57** **Teaching Political Science**
Jeff Bernstein, Eastern Michigan University
- 57-1** **Perspectives on Civic Education and Engagement**
Minntrose 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 57-2** **Teaching Political Science through Unconventional Media**
Ashland, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 57-3** **Considering Curricular and Department-level Issues**
Burnham 1, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 57-4** **Simulations and Games as Tools for Teaching Political Science**
Wilson, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 57-5** **Innovative Classroom Teaching**
Dearborn 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 57-6** **How Students Learn**
Buckingham, 5th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am
- 57-100** **Political Science 2.0: Tools for Political Scientists (Co-sponsored with Information Technology and Politics, see 39-101)**
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 57-101** **Teaching Political Science with the Web**
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 57-102** **Promoting Student Learning and Scholarship through Undergraduate Research Journals**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- 57-103** **Political Science and the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 57-104** **Teaching and the Marketplace (Co-sponsored with Program Co-chairs, see 1-111)**
Water Tower Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 58** **Methodology Posters**
Christian Brautig, University of Toronto
- 58-200** **Methodology Posters**
Grand, 4th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 59** **American Politics Posters**
Scott Melnick, Bucknell University
- 59-200** **American Politics Posters I**
Grand, 4th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 59-201** **American Politics Posters II**
Grand, 4th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm

- Sect. 60** Comparative Politics Posters
Matthew Winters, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
- 60-200** Comparative Politics Posters I
Grand, 4th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 60-201** Comparative Politics Posters II
Grand, 4th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:25 pm
- Sect. 61** International Relations Posters
Michael Greig, *University of North Texas*
- 61-200** International Relations Posters I
Grand, 4th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 61-201** International Relations Posters II
Grand, 4th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- Sect. 62** Public Policy/Public Administration Posters
Graeme Boushey, *San Francisco State University*
- 62-200** Public Policy/Public Administration
Grand, 4th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- Sect. 63** Undergraduate Research Posters
Hunter Bacon, *Elon University*
- 63-200** Undergraduate Poster I
Grand, 4th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- 63-201** Undergraduate Posters II
Grand, 4th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 63-202** Undergraduate Posters III
Grand, 4th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- Sect. 64** Midwest Women's Caucus
Candice Orthals, *Pepperdine University*
- 64-100** Assessing the Obama Administration: Women in Politics, Policy, and the Courts (Co-sponsored with Leadership and Politics, see 67-100)
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 64-101** Choosing Service in the Profession and the University: How It Can Enhance Your Career (Co-sponsored with Midwest Latina/a Caucus, see 69-100)
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 64-102** Gender in the Subfields of Political Science: Innovative Research and Its Classroom Uses
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm
- 64-103** Taking Full Advantage of Innovations in Teaching and Technologies
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 64-104** Outstanding Professional Achievement Award of the Midwest Women's Caucus for Political Science
Hoore, Lobby Level, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 65** Society for Greek Political Thought
Carson Holloway, *University of Nebraska, Omaha*
- 65-1** Poetry, History, and Statesmanship
Indiana, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 65-2** Classical Approaches to Civic Virtue
Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm
- 65-3** Philosophy and the City
Madison, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 66** Caucus for LGBT Political Science
Jason Pierceson, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
- 66-1** New Empirical Studies on LGBT Politics
Parlor C, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 66-2** Legal and Theoretical Perspectives on the Politics of Sexuality
Crystal, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 66-3** The Global Politics of Sexuality
Congress, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 67** Leadership and Politics
Heather McDougall, *Global Inst. for Leadership & Civic Development*
- 67-1** Makings of 'Great Leadership': Tactics, Practices, and Opting Out
Salon 12, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 67-100** Assessing the Obama Administration: Women in Politics, Policy, and the Courts (Co-sponsored with Midwest Women's Caucus, see 64-100)
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- Sect. 68** Caucus for New Political Science
James Simmons, *University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh*
- 68-1** Political Discourse and Social Policy
Kimball, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 68-2** Political Economy
Sandburg 8, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- Sect. 69** Midwest Latina/a Caucus
José D. Villalobos, *University of Texas at El Paso*
- 69-1** Latina/a Perceptions, Voting, and Representation
Chicago, 5th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 69-100** Choosing Service in the Profession and the University: How It Can Enhance Your Career (Co-sponsored with Midwest Women's Caucus, see 64-101)
Hancock Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- Sect. 70** Midwest Caucus for Public Administration
Celia L. Provost, *University College, London*
- 70-100** Public Services in an Economic Downturn
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 70-101** Regulatory Competition: Causes and Consequences
Spire Parlor, 6th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am

- Sect. 71 Politics, Literature, and Film**
Flagg Taylor, Skidmore College
- 71-1 Popular Culture and Contemporary Political Themes: From Springsteen to Wall-e**
 Sandburg 6, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm
- 71-2 Science and the Imagination in the Modern Republic: Literary Investigations**
 Salon 4, 3rd floor, Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am
- 71-3 Political Themes in American Literature: Brackenridge, Irving, Twain and Emerson**
 Parlor G, 6th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm
- 71-4 Mad Men: Political and Social Themes**
 Clark 3, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 71-5 Postmodern Explorations of Literature and Film**
 Clark 9, 7th floor, Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am
- 71-6 Politics and Aesthetics in the Theater**
 Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am
- 71-7 Love, Tragedy, and Conscience: Literary Explorations of Modern Politics**
 Burnham 3, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 71-8 Princes, Philosophers, and Literary Critics: From Machiavelli and Shakespeare to Edmund Wilson and Lionel Trilling**
 Sandburg 2, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- 71-9 Tyranny, War, and Resistance on Screen and Stage**
 LaSalle 4, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm
- 71-10 Immortal Longings and Political Passions: The Wisdom of William Shakespeare**
 Salon 3, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am
- Sect. 72 Political Networks**
Brendan Nyhan, University of Michigan
Nils Ringe, University of Wisconsin
- 72-1 Local and Urban Policy Networks**
 LaSalle 2, 7th floor, Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am
- 72-2 Observational and Experimental Studies of Interpersonal Networks**
 Chicago, 5th floor, Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm
- 72-3 Interest Group Networks**
 LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm
- 72-4 Legislative and Party Networks**
 LaSalle 1, 7th floor, Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm
- 72-5 Political Networks in International Politics**
 Kimball, 3rd floor, Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm
- 72-6 Networks, Neighborhoods, and Opinion (Co-sponsored with Public Opinion, see 28-1, and Political Psychology, see 27-24)**
 Salon 8, 3rd floor, Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am
- Sect. 73 Political Theory Posters**
Chad Lavin, Virginia Tech University
- 73-100 Theory Posters**
 Grand, 4th floor, Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am

MPSA

Midwest Political Science Association

69th Annual National Conference

March 31 - April 3, 2011

Thursday, March 31 at 8:30 am

1-1 Presidents and the "New" Party System in Historical Perspective

Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Nicole Mellow, *Williams College*
nmellow@williams.edu

8:35 Presidential Partisanship Reconsidered: Eisenhower, Nixon, Ford, and the Rise of Polarized Politics

This paper develops theoretically grounded expectations of how presidents are likely to act under different conditions and uses this framework to uncover consequential uses of partisanship in earlier periods that have long been overlooked.
Daniel Galvin, *Northwestern University*
galvin@northwestern.edu

8:50 Barack Obama, the Democratic Party, and the Evolution of the American Party System

We view Obama's presidential leadership through the lens of the modern presidency's evolving relationship with the political parties. Our analysis shows that Obama has attempted to walk the fine line between post-partisanship and partisanship.

Sidney M. Mink, *University of Virginia*
smink8@virginia.edu
Jesse Hessler Rhodes, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
jh Rhodes@polsci.umass.edu

9:05 Continuity and Change in Party Organization

Organizational theories that highlight the impact of strategic choice increase our understanding of party dynamics along with normalizing political parties as normal organizations.

Mildred A. Schwartz, *New York University*
mildred@uic.edu

9:20 The Presidential-House Election Connection, 1900-2010

An analysis of the relationship of presidential - House election results from 1900-2010. This relationship was strong, declined, and then returned because presidents led change away from House result, and not vice versa.

Jeff M. Stonecash, *Syracuse University*
jstoneca@syr.edu

9:35 Disc., John J. Coleman, *University of Wisconsin*
ccoleman@polisci.wisc.edu

Disc., Nicole Mellow, *Williams College*
nmellow@williams.edu

9:55 Audience Discussion

2-15 Political Competition and Second Dimension Issues

Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Abdulkader Slimo, *Indiana University*
kslimo@indiana.edu

8:35 Marginalized But Not Powerless: The Opposition to the Ahmadiyah Mosque in Halimpepet, Germany

This paper examines the debate over a mosque in eastern Germany as an instance of grassroots civic action in a post-communist society. It analyzes local-level politics, dynamics of marginalization, and the contested forms of political power.

Catherine L. Corliss, *Brown University*
clcorliss@brown.edu

8:50 Nordic Populism: Changes Over Time and Space. A Comparative and Retrospective Analysis of Populist Parties in the Nordic Countries From 1965-2012

Populist parties have been part of the Nordic political scene for several decades and constitute a real challenge to the other parties. To capture the changes in Nordic populism over time and space is best done through a comparative analysis.

Björn Fryklund, *Malmö University*
bjorn.fryklund@mah.se

9:05 Political Parties and the 'Immigration Issue': (Non-) Competition Around Issue Ownership in Swedish Parliamentary Elections, 1991-2010

How has ownership competition over the 'immigration issue' played out in Swedish politics? Can it help to explain the success of the radical right in the 2010 election?

Poohus Odmalm, *University of Edinburgh*
poohus.odmalm@ed.ac.uk

9:20 Party Competition Over the Environment in Britain, France and Germany: Assessing for Conflict and Consensus in the Politicization of Environmental Issues by Mainstream Parties

This paper studies how dominant parties have politicized environmental issues in Britain, France, Germany since 1979. It

	uses the Comparative Agendas Project dataset to test how important and conflictual competition over the environment is. <i>Stéphane Parisien, CEE, Solennes-Pa, Paris simpensio@yahoo.fr</i>				to show that the introduction of modern banking practices in poor communities influences political attitudes and behavior. <i>Nancy Hite, Yale University nancy.hite@yale.edu</i>
9:35	Disc., Abdelkader Siano, Indiana University asiano@indiana.edu Disc., Koen Vossen, Leiden University kvossen@fsw.leidenuniv.nl	9:59			Politics Within and Outside the State: Reconsidering State-Centric Theory and Policy in Africa and the Middle East Both political science and the development policy community regard the state as the central actor affecting outcomes in society. Based on evidence from Africa and the Middle East, this paper offers an empirical challenge to this view of politics. <i>Stephen N. Ndjegwa, The World Bank sndegwa@worldbank.org Eden M. Lust, Yale University ellen_lust@yale.edu</i>
9:55	Audience Discussion				
3-1	Economic Development Around the World				
Room	Lesalle 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am				
8:30	Chair, Justin Earl Lance, College of Charleston jlancej@cofc.edu				
8:35	Global Economy: New Actors and New Perspectives Benjamin R. Barber wrote a book Jihad vs. McWorld in 1996, believes that Jihad is an antithesis of McWorld. McWorld believes in extreme commercialism while Jihad believes in extreme gory revolution, both are against the essence of democracy. <i>Rana Eljaz Ahmad, University of the Punjab, Lahore Pakistan ransejaz786@yahoo.com</i>	9:11			Rain and Aridness Countries: Similar Security Challenges in Distant Critical Sub-Regions The paper attempts to answer these questions by providing a comprehensive analysis of outcomes and performance of human development measures in each sub region in comparative perspective to their respective neighboring countries. <i>Asa Toster, Michigan State University toster@msu.edu Rajpal Vika, University of the South Pacific rajvika@usp.ac.fj</i>
8:50	Examining Institutional Constraints on Chinese Resource Investments in Sub-Saharan Africa Institutional and constructivist frameworks are used to explain a number of high profile Chinese investments in Sub-Saharan African extractive resources. Investments from Chinese SOEs are contrasted with Chinese private sector enterprises. <i>John Howard Blog, Heidelberg College jblog@heidelberg.edu Stephen Cecchi, Rhodes College cecchi@rhodes.edu</i>	9:23			Democracy, Policies, and Inequality: Effects and Consequences in the Developing World How does democracy affect income inequality? I find that a democracy is likely to be associated with lower inequality and that policies tend to decrease inequality in new democracies but they do not play a role in outcomes across non-democracies. <i>Das Jia Yi, Korea University jiajia@hanmail.net Jun Hee Woo, Korea University junwoo@hanmail.net</i>
9:05	Wherefore Foreign Aid? Reconceptualizing the Effect of Official Development Assistance This paper examines the relationship between foreign aid and the adoption of non-liberal economic policies. <i>Nwita Chacha, University of Georgia nwchacha@uga.edu Adam Pawlik Kryzaniak, University of Georgia kryzaniak@uga.edu</i>	9:35			Discussion: TBA
9:20	China's Economic Miracle: Successes Amidst Glaring Hazards By early 2010, China supplanted Japan as the world's second largest economy. This proposed paper analyzes and investigates China's unique experience with economic restructuring. <i>Victoria Montzopoulos, University of Detroit, Mercy armstrav@odmercy.edu Raphael Shen, University of Detroit, Mercy shenr@odmercy.edu</i>	9:55			Audience Discussion
9:35	Disc., Justin Earl Lance, College of Charleston jlancej@cofc.edu				
9:55	Audience Discussion				
4-7	Development I				
Room	Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am				
8:30	Chair, TBA				
8:35	Should Countries Engage in a Race to the Bottom? The Effect of Public Sector Size on FDI Most studies of the race to the bottom examine the effects of investment on social spending. But are investment flows in fact conditioned by state spending? We reverse the model to test the effects of spending on FDI in the developing world. <i>Douglas Hecock, Bucknell University douglas.hecock@bucknell.edu Eric M. Jepsen, University of South Dakota eric.jepsen@usd.edu</i>	4-22			Democracy and Democratic Consolidation in Africa and Asia Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am Chair, Todd Eisenstadt, American University tesensta@american.edu
8:47	Political Engagement, Social Change and the Political Economy of Financial Modernization: Evidence from the Philippines Evidence is presented from an economic field experiment, qualitative interviews, international survey data and case study work	8:35			Consolidating Democracy in Africa: Between Credible Elections and Government of National Unity This discusses the formation of Governments of National unity in Africa and the need to allow more political elite access to political power through the conduct of credible elections in order to consolidate democracy. <i>James Ibrahim Apom, Benue State University, Makurdi, Nigeria jimapan@btinternet.com</i>
		8:50			Testing Social Capital Theory in Pacific Asia This paper aims to explore how valid Putnam's social capital theory is in the context of Pacific Asia. Furthermore, are there conditions that hold the theory more applicable in certain settings? <i>Kai-Ping Hwang, University of Texas, Austin hwang_kaiiping@hotmail.com</i>
		9:05			Developing Democratic Consolidation as a Concept: Insights From the Developing World In this paper, I investigate how the concept of democratic consolidation has been traditionally employed by assessing its analytical power vis-a-vis sub-Saharan African democracies. I find the concept unable to explain consolidation in those cases. <i>Joel Olufofote, Southern Illinois University jolufofote@yahoo.com</i>
		9:20			Social Networks, Interpersonal Trust, and Support for Democracy in East Asia By using survey data from Asia Barometer, this study investigates the effects of social networks and interpersonal trust on public

- support for democracy in three East Asian countries – Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan.
 Chair, Ching-Fang Wang, *University of Houston*
 chingfang.wang@gmail.com
- 9:35 Disc., Michelle T. Kuenzi, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
 michelle.kuenzi@unlv.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 5-2 **Truth and Reconciliation Commissions: Experiences and Adjustments**
 Room Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 Chair, Ginger Leigh Elliott-Teague, *University of Oklahoma*
 gelliott@ou.edu
- 9:35 **The Subjects of Truth: Truth Commissions' Intended and Unintended Effects in Chile and Peru**
 Drawing upon research in Chile and Peru, I argue that truth commissions produce unanticipated effects beyond their mandates, such as civil society mobilization, the de-legitimation of political actors, and the creation of new public spaces.
 Chair, Oscar Bakken, *Yale University*
 oscar.bakken@yale.edu
- 9:55 **Truth and Reconciliation Commissions: A road to trust and democracy or just another dead end?**
 This paper analyzes the relationship between Truth and Reconciliation Commissions and the growth of procedural and substantive democracies, as well as civil society in states recovering from civil wars, violent conflicts and human rights abuses.
 Chair, Julie Ann Kell, *Sagehen Valley State University*
 jpkbun2@hotmail.com
- 9:15 Disc., Shannon I. Smithey, *Westminster College*
 smithesi@westminster.edu
- 9:35 Audience Discussion
- 6-1 **Institutional Incentives Limiting or Promoting Human and Civil Rights**
 Room Price on the 5th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 Chair, David B. Thelen, *Kennesaw State University*
 thelenb@kennesaw.edu
- 8:35 **Asylum Levels Constrained Through Receiving State Constraints: The Case for a Bivectoral Model**
 This work develops and presents the case for a bivectoral model useful to an understanding the distribution of asylum seekers, both in raw numbers and as a percentage of all internally displaced persons among receiving states.
 Chair, Sean Christopher Anderson, *University of Texas, San Antonio*
 scanderson@utsa.edu
- 8:47 **The Unexpected Effects of Elections on Repression**
 One dominant narrative explaining the robust negative relationship between democracy and state repression is that elections induce better behavior on the part of leaders. I show that elections can encourage rather than discourage repression.
 Chair, Dave A. Armstrong, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
 armstrodd@uwm.edu
- 8:59 **The Death Penalty in Three Former English Colonies**
 This paper is an inquiry into the death penalty in three former English colonies: Australia, Canada, and the U.S. This work looks at the differing paths these nations have taken and the events that triggered their current death penalty policy.
 Chair, Tara Marie Blanton, *West Virginia University*
 tmb2296@yahoo.com
- 9:11 **Comparing Civil-Related Democracies: State Policies Toward Muslim Minorities in the West**
 This paper compares the policies of Western countries toward their Muslim minorities.
 Chair, Serdar Kaye, *Simon Fraser University*
 skay299@sfu.ca
- 9:23 **The Role of National Human Rights Institutions in Asian Pacific Countries from 1991 to 2008**
 The paper explores the effect of the national human rights institutions in Asian-Pacific countries from 1991 to 2008. I expect that establishment of the institution and the age of institution are important factors to improve human rights conditions.
 Chair, Sejin Moon, *Western Michigan University*
 mujpol@gmail.com
- 9:35 Disc., David B. Thelen, *Kennesaw State University*
 thelenb@kennesaw.edu
- 9:55 Disc., Feryal Marie Cherif, *University of California, Riverside*
 cherif@ucr.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 7-2 **Latin American Political Behavior**
 Room Salvo 5 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 Chair, Achim Kemmerling, *CEU Budapest*
 Kemmerlinga@ceu.hu
- 8:35 **Instability, Governmentality, and Political Resistance in Colonial Minas Gerais, Brazil**
 This paper examines how a pernicious tax scheme levied on mining profits in colonial Minas Gerais, Brazil led to the development of popular animosity toward the government that carried through to the independence period.
 Chair, Matthew M. Barton, *University of Chicago*
 bartonm@uchicago.edu
- 8:47 **The World Economic Crisis and Political Attitude Change Among Latin Americans**
 We explore how Latin America's recent economic shock affected democratic norms. Using the 2008 & 2010 LAPOP national sample surveys of 22 countries we test macro and micro hypotheses about the impact of economic change on key citizen attitudes.
 Chair, John A. Booth, *University of North Texas*
 boothj@unt.edu
- 8:59 **Much Ado about Nothing? Latin America's Left Turn and the Variability of Left-Right Self-Placement**
 Building on the approach by Alvarez and Brinks for American public opinion and using data from the Latin American Public Opinion Project, this study explores the predictability of left-right positions of voters in Latin American countries.
 Chair, Jutta Harbers, *University of Amsterdam*
 j.harbers@uva.nl
- 9:11 **Invalid Ballots and Electoral Competition: Analyzing the Number of Candidates in Argentina's Subnational Elections**
 The levels of invalid voting will have an effect on party competition in the district level in the next electoral period producing an increase in the number of parties competing in the lower chamber elections.
 Chair, Marco Robert Steenberg, *University of Bern*
 marco.steenbergen@ipw.unibe.ch
- 9:23 **Brazil's Female Candidates and the Communities That Bring Them to Power: Electoral Success and Failure for Women in the 2010 National and State Elections**
 This study examines factors shaping the performance of women candidates in Brazil's 2010 elections for the national Congress and the country's 27 state-level legislatures.
 Chair, Catherine De Vries, *University of Amsterdam*
 c.e.devries@uva.nl
- 9:23 **Brazil's Female Candidates and the Communities That Bring Them to Power: Electoral Success and Failure for Women in the 2010 National and State Elections**
 This study examines factors shaping the performance of women candidates in Brazil's 2010 elections for the national Congress and the country's 27 state-level legislatures.
 Chair, Mark Setzler, *High Point University*
 msetzler@highpoint.edu

- 9:35 **Disc., Dorothea Casanich, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
 dcasanich@illinois.edu
Disc., Francois Gelineau, Université Laval
 francois.gelineau@pol.ulaval.ca
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-21 **German Politics**
 Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Markus Wagner, University of Vienna**
 markus.wagner@univie.ac.at
- 8:35 **The German Party System Since Reunification**
 Prior to reunification German politics were dominated by three bourgeois parties, the CDU/CSU, SPD and FDP. Reunification and demoralization of the Greens fragmented the political arena, complicating coalition building on state and national levels.
 Lowell W. Culver, *Reunited*
 yardob@aol.com
- 8:55 **The New Ideological Centrist Among German Artists After World War II**
 Examines the ideology fashioned by German artisanal leaders after World War II, a mix of Catholic social teaching and free market liberalism that enabled this important group to overcome its earlier antagonism to industrial capitalism and democracy.
 Frederick Louis McKittrick, *Neomensch University*
 fmcKittr@munmouth.edu
- 9-15 **Leanne from Obama? German Online Campaigns in the National Elections 2002-2009**
 This paper examines the influence of Barack Obama's innovative online approach on the e-campaigns of German parties. The study is based on a longitudinal comparison of their web activities in three National Elections from 2002 to 2009.
 Eva Johanna Schweitzer, *University of Mainz, Germany*
 eva.schweitzer@web.de
- 9:35 **Disc., Markus Wagner, University of Vienna**
 markus.wagner@univie.ac.at
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-7 **Legislative Politics in Latin America**
 Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Gilles Serra, CIDE**
 gilles_serra@yahoo.com
- 8:35 **Outsider Presidents and Executive-Legislative Conflicts in Latin America, 1980-2010**
 This paper studies the impact of outsider presidents on executive-legislative relations in Latin America. The results suggest that when an outsider is in office the likelihood of conflict between the two branches of government increases considerably.
 Miguel Carreras, *University of Pittsburgh*
 carreras_miguel@hotmail.com
- 8-47 **Dimensions of Conflict and Lawmaking in the Brazilian Congress**
 This paper examines lawmaking in the Brazilian Congress by paying close attention to various dimensions of conflict
 Thekla Hirak, *University of Texas, ATexas*
 thirak@otep.edu
 Lucio R. Renna, *University of Brasilia*
 lucio.renna@unb.br
- 8-59 **Alliance for Progress? Multilateral Ambitions and Patterns of Cosponsorship in the Argentine House**
 With whom legislators with progressive ambition collaborate in bill drafting? Using a social networks approach, I evaluate patterns of cosponsorship of deputies with subnational executive goals and assess their strategic legislative cooperation.
 Juan Pablo Milazzo, *University of New Mexico*
 jmilazzo@unm.edu
- 9-11 **The Political Gap Revisited**
 I examine the relationship between chief executives' legislative passage rates and social unrest. The analysis indicates that governability is best served when the opposition has the chance of occasionally defeating the executive in the legislature.
 Sebastian M. Saiegh, *University of California, San Diego*
 ssaeigh@ucsd.edu
- 9:23 **Why Are Structural Reforms Delayed? The Case of PEMEX and the Oil Industry in Mexico**
 We develop a theory of bargaining in Congress to explain the gridlock of major structural reforms. The theory is illustrated with discussions to reform the oil industry in Mexico during 2008. Why could political parties not agree on deeper changes?
 Gilles Serra, *CIDE*
 gilles_serra@yahoo.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Juan Pablo Milazzo, University of New Mexico**
 jmilazzo@unm.edu
Disc., Sebastian M. Saiegh, University of California, San Diego
 saiegh@ucsd.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-1 **How the World Views China: Elites and Mass Opinions about its Rise**
 Room Kumbak on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Benjamin Joseph Dart, University of Iowa**
 benjamin-dart@uiowa.edu
- 8:35 **Contemporary Sino-Southeast Asian Relations**
 This paper examines contemporary Sino-Southeast Asian relations in the context of rising Chinese power. Examining security, economic, and transnational issues, the paper looks at areas of conflict and cooperation.
 Paul Bolt, *United States Air Force Academy*
 Paul.Bolt@usafa.edu
- 8:50 **Why Do Domestic Factors Inhibit Further Integration between Taiwan and China?**
 Increasing interactions in various fields seem to lead the cross-Strait relationship toward a positive direction. This paper holds a more pessimistic view and argues that domestic factors will impede further integration between Taiwan and China.
 De-Yuan Kao, *Boston University*
 taiwanmofa@yaho.com.tw
- 9:05 **China's Peace Equation: Ruling China and Security in East Asia**
 This study examines the impact of rising China on East Asian security through an integrative model of peace equation which integrates system, process, and actor related variables to see the impact in a comprehensive way.
 Hoehul Lee, *University of Incheon*
 hoehul@incheon.ac.kr
- 9:20 **What Affects International Public Opinion About China?**
 As China becomes a formidable economic and military power, many scholars are paying attention to how China is perceived by foreign publics. This paper attempts to identify the determinants of foreign publics' perception about China.
 Tao Xie, *Beijing Foreign Studies University*
 taowebfso@gmail.com
 Benjamin I. Page, *Northwestern University*
 b-page@northwestern.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Howard Bartlett Samborn, Virginia Military Institute**
 sambornhb@vmi.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 11-6** **State, Power, and Conflict in Africa**
Room Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Tobias Hagmann, *University of California, Berkeley/University of Zurich, Switzerland*
 tobias.hagmann@geo.uzh.ch
- 8:35** **Towards a Classification of Civil-Military Relations in Sub-Saharan Africa**
 This paper identifies the factors that are relevant to healthy civil-military relations in sub-Africa and surveys the progress toward implementing democratic patterns of civil-military relations in the region.
 Robert J. Griffiths, *University of North Carolina, Greensboro*
 rjgriffi@uncg.edu
- 8:55** **State Failure and Formation: a comparison of sub-national Somali statehood after 1991**
 Comparison of sub-national Somali statehood, accounting for dynamics of state failure and formation in the weakly institutionalized political entities of Somaliland, Puntland, central Somalia, Ethiopia's Somali region and Northeast Kenya.
 Tobias Hagmann, *University of California, Berkeley/University of Zurich, Switzerland*
 tobias.hagmann@geo.uzh.ch
- 9:15** **Loyalty Choices, Structural Constraints, and Cross-National Empirical Patterns of African Military Coups**
 This paper argues that the initial choice made by post-independence African leaders—whether to build military loyalty on the basis of exclusive ethnic ties or inclusive civic-nationalism—affected later military pre-dispositions toward seizing power.
 Kristen Angela Harkness, *Princeton University*
 kharknes@princeton.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Cameron G. Thies, University of Iowa**
 cameron-thies@uiowa.edu
- 9:55** Audience Discussion
- 12-2** **Foreign Policy Formation in the Greater Middle East**
Room Burtham 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, ZB4
8:35 **Can Borderless Brotherhood be Realized? A Discussion on Turkish-Syrian Case**
 The main aim of the paper is to discuss the political, strategic, economic and social initiatives in Turkish-Syrian relations that have the potential of carrying the two countries' relationship beyond territorial borders.
 Samed Gulden Ayman, *Marmara University*
 guldenayman@gmail.com
- 8:50** **The Turkish-Israeli Relations Under the AKP Administration**
 This paper aims to examine how the fundamental changes in the Turkish domestic politics and the Turkish-American relations have contributed to the deterioration of the Turkish-Israeli relations.
 Ali Demirdas, *University of South Carolina*
 demirdas@mailbox.sc.edu
- 9:05** **Syrian Foreign Policy after the Cold War: Challenges and Commitments**
 In the work, it is attempted to analyze Syrian politics in the aftermath of the Cold War from a theoretical framework. It is analyzed if there has been any change to occur in the Syrian politics after the Cold War.
 Duygu Dersan Ozkan, *Ankara University*
 duyguozkan@yahoo.com
- 9:20** **Fatwas, Foreign Policy and Official Islam**
 This paper is about the relationship of "Official Islam", or the state-supported religious establishment, to foreign policy as a way to understand the role of Official Islam as an important player in domestic politics of the Arab world.
 Lawrence Peter Rubin, *Georgia Institute of Technology*
 lawrence.rubin@isa.gatech.edu
 Michael D. H. Robbins, *University of Michigan*
 mrobbinm@umich.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Carol R. Strong, University of Arkansas at Monticello**
 strong@uamont.edu
- 9:55** Audience Discussion
- 13-2** **State and Society in China**
Room Congress on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Wu Zhang, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
 zhangwu3@yahoo.com
- 8:35** **The Politics of Soft Repression in Contemporary China**
 Chinese authorities frequently resort to a soft approach which relies on interpersonal posture to suppress popular protest. This paper draws on interviews to reveal how this approach works and why it often fails.
 Yanhua Deng, *Southwestern University of Finance and Economics*
 deng1999@gmail.com
- 8:50** **China's Developing Civil Society: An Analysis of U.S. Environmental NGOs**
 Environmental consequences to three decades of rapid economic growth have pushed Beijing to seek assistance from outside. This work targets NGOs role, asking what obstacles exist to non-governmental organizations forming and operating in China.
 Michael M. Gunter, *Rollins College*
 mgunter@rollins.edu
 Ariane Rosen, *Rollins College*
 arosent8@gmail.com
- 9:05** **Institutionalizing Political Entry into the CCP Politburo: A Qualitative Comparative Analysis of Hu's Leadership**
 Based on the perspective of tournament mobility model, this paper constructs an institutional logic for political entry into the CCP Politburo, and proposes several explanatory indicators to explain the political advancement of power competitors.
 Hsin-lan Huang, *National Taiwan Normal University*
 huang@ntnu.edu.tw
- 9:20** **The Horizontal and Vertical Relationships Between the Government and Social Actors in Hong Kong**
 On surface the Hong Kong government seeks horizontal partnerships with various social actors; yet vertical patron-client relationships between the two are observed. The paper examines the reasons for and the impact of what the government does above.
 Hsiung-Law, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
 lawhlp@cuhk.edu.hk
- 9:35** **Disc., Kiril M. Buzait, University of North Carolina, Pembroke**
 kiril.buzait@unp.edu
- 9:55** Audience Discussion
- 14-1** **Political Economy of Property Rights**
Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Matthew Fells, *Oakland University*
 fells@oakland.edu
- 8:35** **Family Matters: Politically Connected Companies in Italy, 1994-2006**
 Analyzing how the Italian stock market reacted to elections and cabinet appointments, we show that companies connected through family relations to the governing political coalition experience abnormally positive stock returns.
 Raffaele Asquer, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 raffasquer@gmail.com
- 8:55** **Redistribution and Political Bargaining in Uganda's Land Law**
 In this paper, I analyze contracting behavior between the Buganda Kingdom and the Uganda government in the 1995 Constitution subsequent land laws. These negotiations reflect the interests of contracted and emerging political actors.
 Ryan Gibb, *University of Kansas*
 gibb.ryan@gmail.com

- 9:15 **Distortions: Towards a Fair Comparison of Economic Performance between a Planned Economy and a Market Economy**
This paper compares the macroeconomic effects of institutional distortions associated with centrally planned economies and of the tax distortions associated with market economies in a unifying dynamic general equilibrium framework.
Chi-Wa Yuen, *University of Hong Kong*
cwyuen@hku.hk
- 9:35 **Disc.**, Matthew Falls, *Oakland University*
falls@oakland.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 15-1 **Trade Policy: Voters, Lobbying, and Preferences**
Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
Chair, Mariada Medina, *Washington University, St. Louis*
marjamedina@gmail.com
- 8:30 **Incentives to Lobby: A Text Analysis Approach to Fast Track Authority**
Using Ways and Means committee hearings from the 103rd Congress, we use content analysis to study the shift in trade-related lobby before and after the expiration of Fast Track Authority.
Arliana Crespo-Tenorio, *Washington University, St. Louis*
acrespo@artsci.wustl.edu
Elizabeth Rose, *Washington University, St. Louis*
erose127@gmail.com
- 8:50 **Fairness, and Trade Policy Preferences: An experimental examination of sincere fair trade preferences.**
This paper provides a psychological theory of sincere fair trade preferences. Through a laboratory experiment it is found that, when exposed to information about low labor standards abroad, thinking about fairness decreases support for free trade.
Edible Hearn, *Florida State University*
ehearn@fsu.edu
- 9:05 **Picking up Signals in Noisy Environments: Why Voters Respond (Or Don't Respond) to Price Increases**
This article presents a model in which the decision of a consumer to punish their elected representative is moderated by the ability of the consumer to "hear" the price increase among the "noise" of ambient price changes.
Joe Wolberg, *University of North Carolina*
poljww@uncg.edu
- 9:20 **Economic Decline and Changing Trade Preferences**
This paper examines whether or not states increase protectionist policies during times of economic decline.
Laura D. Young, *Purdue University*
ldyoung@purdue.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.**, Mark S. Manger, *London School of Economics*
m.s.manger@lse.ac.uk
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 15-18 **Political Economy of the International Monetary Fund**
Room Parlor C on the 6th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
Chair, Martin S. Edwards, *Simon Hall University*
martin.edwards@shu.edu
- 8:35 **IMF Lending and Privatization: A Global Sample**
This paper tests the hypothesis that increased exposure to IMF lending has resulted in increased levels of privatization. It is the first paper that tests this hypothesis on a global sample and utilizes a variety of statistical methods.
David Thomas Doyle, *Dublin City University*
david.doyle@dcu.ie
Michael Breen, *Dublin City University*
michael.breen@dcu.ie
- 8:50 **Who Reveals? Transparency and the IMF's Article IV Consultations**
How do we explain variations in the cross-national level of transparency? Our work explores the decision of countries to release the results of their annual consultations with the International Monetary Fund. Research supported by NSF SES-0960422.
Martin S. Edwards, *Simon Hall University*
martin.edwards@shu.edu
- 9:05 **Financial Reforms in Developing Countries: IMF, Foreign Aids, and Domestic Political Institutions**
This paper aims to examine the impact of IMF programs on financial policy reforms and how these IMF effects on financial policies are conditioned by foreign aids and domestic political institutions.
Sawa Onori, *International Christian University*
sawasonori@gmail.com
- 9:20 **Evaluating the Effect of IMF Conditionality Design: A Revision to the Catalytic Effect of IMF Program**
The paper examines the catalytic effect of IMF program. The main claim is that not only the signing of IMF program, but also the design of IMF conditionality should affect financial inflows to a program participating country.
Byungwon Woo, *Oakland University*
byungwon.woo@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc.**, Martin Christoph Steinward, *Suny Brook University*
martin.steinward@stonybrook.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 16-1 **The Politics of Soft Power**
Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
Chair, Lindsay P. Cohen, *University of Northern Iowa*
lindsay.cohn@alumni.duke.edu
- 8:35 **From Charismatic to Patriotic: Socializing Impacts of Military Organizations**
The United States hosts a variety of forums where soldiers from different countries interact, forming friendships as well as social networks. I show how these networks constitute an important aspect of US military soft power.
Carol Adkinson, *Durham University*
carol.adkinson@alumni.duke.edu
- 8:50 **The Domestic Politics of International Religious Debatation**
What explains support among states for United Nations resolutions banning religious defamation? This paper uses a quantitative analysis of UN votes to demonstrate the role of state-religion connections and domestic politics in voting behavior.
Peter S. Heame, *Georgetown University*
psh22@georgetown.edu
- 9:05 **The Use of Religious Rhetoric, Symbolism and Institutions in Russian Foreign Policy**
This paper analyzes the domestic and international context and pressures surrounding the increasing use of religion as a soft power tool in Russian foreign policy and diplomacy.
Jennifer Murray Keat, *Baylor University*
jennifer_murray@baylor.edu
- 9:20 **The Rise and Fall of U.S. and China's Soft Power During the Bush Administration**
This study will examine perception of U.S. and China's influence across forty plus countries across the globe by using the Gallup International surveys from 2000 to 2007.
Myunghee Kim, *University of Central Florida*
myunkim@mail.ucf.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.**, Lindsay P. Cohen, *University of Northern Iowa*
lindsay.cohn@alumni.duke.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 17-17 **Human Security and International Relations**
 Room Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Megumi Nishimura, *Ritsumeikan University*
 mn23021@law.ritsumei.ac.jp
- 8:35 **Gender, Weapons, and War: The Effects of Armed Conflict and Weapons Transfers on Gender Equality**
 Examines the effect of conflict and arms transfers on gender equality
 Matthew Moore, *University of Missouri*
 mmoore09@gmail.com
 Melissa A. Connolly, *University of Missouri*
 mac3g4@mizzou.edu
- 8:55 **Military Intervention as a Response to Refugee Influx?**
 This paper studies military intervention by non-Great-Powers and assesses to what degree refugee influx from neighboring countries influences this decision.
 Navaz Musahid, *University of Rochester*
 nmusahid@gmail.rochester.edu
- 9:15 **In Search of an Altering Regional Order: Reflection on Human Security for Islamic Cultural Zones (ICZ) in Southeast Asia**
 This paper explores the theoretical and conceptual basis of human security and its relevance to the discourse and management of security in Southeast Asia
 Jenajaw Soong, *National Cheng Kung University*
 jenajaw@mail.ncku.edu.tw
 Yu-Tai Tsai, *National Cheng Cheng University, Taiwan*
 tsaitai@gmail.com
- 9:35 Chair, Megumi Nishimura, *Ritsumeikan University*
 mn23021@law.ritsumei.ac.jp
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 17-18 **Stabilizing the Middle East**
 Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Mustafar Khan, *University of Delaware*
 mkhan@udel.edu
- 8:35 **Third Party State-Building in Occupied Territories**
 The paper examines international state-building efforts under occupation. It finds that when nation-building efforts precede the transfer of coercive capacity to the indigenous population, state-building is more likely to be successful.
 Keith Gardner, *Yale University*
 keith.gardner@yale.edu
 Harris Mylonas, *George Washington University*
 mylonas@gwu.edu
- 8:55 **Iranians and the Bomb: Ties from the Demand Side**
 We develop and test a set of hypotheses drawn from security, domestic politics, and norms models of the demand for nuclear weapons that explain variation in Iranian individual-level attitudes toward developing nuclear weapons and leaving the NPT.
 Christine Falc, *Georgetown University*
 ccf33@georgetown.edu
 William J. Miller, *Southeast Missouri State University*
 wmillier@semo.edu
 Karl Keckenhöfer, *University of Akron*
 kck@uakron.edu
- 9:15 **Iran's Façade Duality: A Phenomenological Account of the Being in Multiplicity**
 We examine Israel as an ideal case of a nation-state experiencing simultaneous dual façade movement. Zionist policy on Palestine in general and East Jerusalem in particular limits the political options of Israel as a state.
 Robert Lee Oprisko, *Purdue University*
 roprisko@purdue.edu
 Josh Caplan, *Purdue University*
 josh.a.caplan@gmail.com
- 9:35 Chair, Dan Lindley, *University of North Iowa*
 dlindley@uni.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 18-1 Room **Examining Strategies of Terrorism**
 9:30 Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 Chair, Paul Gill, *Pennsylvania State University*
 pag25@psu.edu
- 8:35 **The Evolution of Left-Wing Terror in the Colombian Internal Armed Conflict**
 This paper examines the use of terror as a strategy on the part of two Colombian guerrilla groups: The Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) and the National Liberation Army (ELN)
 Andrea E. Feldman, *Universidad Católica de Chile*
 afeldman@puc.cl
- 8:55 **Suicide Attacks - Opportunistic Tactic or Strategic Calculation?**
 This paper is a re-examination of the 'strategic logic of suicide attacks'. We pose the question: Should suicide attacks be seen as an opportunistic tactic rather than an outcome of a highly organized campaign?
 Sarat Krishnan, *University of Texas, Austin*
 sarat_krishnan@mail.utexas.edu
 Ami Pedahzur, *University of Texas, Austin*
 pedahzur@mail.utexas.edu
 Bobby Jenkins, *University of Texas*
 bobbyjenkins@mail.utexas.edu
- 9:15 **The Influence of Terrorist Tactics During the First and Second Chechen War on the General Course of Confrontation Between Russian Federation and Chechen Separatists**
 This paper focuses on terrorist acts conducted by Chechen insurgents and also draws specific attention to the hostage taking. I argue that this form of terrorism was the most effective in altering the general course of war.
 Ivan Medynskiy, *Kazan State University*
 medynskiy@ksu.edu
- 9:35 Chair, Paul Gill, *Pennsylvania State University*
 pag25@psu.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 18-18 Room **Combating Mobilization and Conflict Expansion During Civil Wars**
 8:30 Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 Chair, Jeffrey Dixon, *Texas A&M University Central Texas*
 dixon@wardata.org
- 8:35 **Implications of US Funded NGOs Activities in Civil Wars**
 This study explores if state funded NGOs humanitarian activities in civil wars in which the state funder has undertaken a military intervention influences civil war duration.
 Michelle Lorene Keck, *University of Texas, Brownsville*
 michelle.keck@utb.edu
- 8:50 **Ethnic Mobilization, Government Repression, and Civil War**
 This paper presents a theoretical and empirical model to systematically evaluate the direct and reciprocal effects of state repression and ethnic mobilization on civil war.
 Volker Krause, *Northern Arizona University*
 volker.krause@ucr.edu
 Susumu Suzuki, *Wayne State University*
 susumu@wayne.edu
- 9:05 **Coalition Formation among Warring Groups During Civil War**
 This article examines what explains the coalition formation of warring groups during civil war.
 Sanhee Park, *Florida State University*
 sp04g@fsu.edu
- 9:20 **Third Parties and the Expansion of Civil Wars: The Case of the Second Congo War**
 This paper applies a realist framework to explain why and when non-belligerent states join civil wars. It argues that information asym

- be revealed in order to convince states which initially remained on the sidelines to join the conflict.
Zachary Shulkey, *Hunter College, CUNY*
zshulkey@hunter.cuny.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Jeffrey Dixon, *Texas A&M University, Central Texas*
dixon@ward14.org
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-1 **Cooperation as a Conflict Deterrent?**
Room 8:30
Chair, Randall Blanes, *Brigham Young University, Hawaii*
blanes@byuh.edu
- 8:35 **Peace Agreements and the Durability of Intra-state Peace.**
Why does peace fall apart within months after some intra-state peace agreements and last indefinitely after others? The paper evaluates the effect of the design quality of the peace agreement on the durability of peace.
Ranaq Badran, *SUNY, Binghamton*
rbadran1@binghamton.edu
- 8:50 **Meltdown Moments and Dangerous Drift: Latent Pressure and Protester Values**
Our paper uses quantitative data and tests our theoretical propositions at multiple levels of analysis.
Charles R. Boehmer, *University of Texas, El Paso*
crboehmer@utep.edu
David H. Sacko, *United States Air Force Academy*
david.sacko@usaafa.edu
- 9:05 **From Nationhood to Neighborhood: Complex Interdependencies' Role in Long-Standing Peace Between Secessionist Dyads**
This paper creates an empirical model to argue that long-term peace between secessionist dyads is dependent upon the existence of "complex" interdependence in the region.
Joel Keith Day, *University of San Diego*
joelkday@sandiego.edu
- 9:20 **UN Intervention and Rebel Killing**
We explore if UN peacekeeping missions affect the ability of rebel groups to target civilian populations.
Megan L. Shannon, *University of Mississippi*
mshannon@olemiss.edu
Jacob Dandel Kattuman, *University of Mississippi*
kattuman@olemiss.edu
Lisa Helfman, *Uppsala University*
lisa.helfman@per.uu.se
- 9:38 **Disc.** Vanessa Ann Leffler, *University of Iowa*
vanessa-leffler@uiowa.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 12-1 **Information, Evaluation, Accuracy and Bias**
Room 8:30
Chair, Maria Laura Suduloh, *University of Amsterdam*
m.l.suduloh@uva.nl
- 8:35 **The Individual-Level Effects of Presidential Conventions on Candidate Evaluations**
Post-convention change in opinion regarding presidential candidates is usually studied at the aggregate level. We take an individual-level look and conclude that conventions exercise multiple, independent effects on opinion that can mask each other.
Joseph Carr, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
jcarr4@uwm.edu
Aaron Waisetschek, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
acw@uwm.edu
- 8:47 **Political Scandals and Voting Behavior: The Effectiveness of Scandals as an Election Theme**
We conduct an election survey in North Carolina to evaluate the effectiveness of making political scandals an election theme. We measure voters' accuracy and knowledge about recent scandals in order to estimate their influence on voting behavior.
Michael D. Cobb, *North Carolina State University*
mike_cobb@ncsu.edu
Andrew J. Taylor, *North Carolina State University*
andrew_taylor@ncsu.edu
- 8:50 **Year Accuracy in the 2008 Presidential Election: How Salience of the Issue and Party Identification Impact Perceptions of Candidates**
Analyzing the 2008 election this study shows how voters are generally accurate in their perceptions of the candidates position on the issues but psychological processes can cause inaccuracies.
Derek John Glasgow, *University of Kansas*
dek863@ku.edu
- 9:11 **Media Bias and Its Effects on Vote Choices: The Impact of the Media Performance of Parties and Candidates on Voting Decisions in the 2008 Austrian Election**
This paper examines the effects of media portrayals of political protagonists on vote choice by linking media biases towards front-runners and their parties (weighted by media exposure) with the recipients' voting decisions.
Guenter Lengauer, *University of Innsbruck, Austria*
guenter.lengauer@iugm.at
David Johnson, *University of Vienna*
david.johnson@univie.ac.at
- 9:23 **What's With the Attitude? Exploring How Negative Affect Influenced Political Attitudes and Vote Choices in the 2004 and 2008 Presidential Elections**
This paper endeavors to understand the contexts in which specific dimensions of emotion played a major function in forming voter attitudes and vote choices in the 2004 and 2008 Presidential elections.
Heather Elaine Yates, *University of Kansas*
hey@ku.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Michael D. Cobb, *North Carolina State University*
mike_cobb@ncsu.edu
Disc. Elizabeth Nicole Siman, *University of California, Davis*
esiman@ucdavis.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-1 **Participation in Local Elections**
Room 8:30
Chair, Mariona Tomas, *University of Barcelona*
marionatomas@ub.edu
- 8:35 **Does Off-Cycle Election Timing Help City Employee Unions? Evidence From City Governments in California**
This paper uses data on city election timing, employment, and expenditures to test whether off-cycle city election timing enhances the degree to which municipal employee unions influence city election outcomes and policymaking.
Sarah F. Anzia, *Stanford University*
sanza@stanford.edu
- 8:50 **Elect Your Own Employer? Election Timing and Interest Group Advantage: Evidence from a Natural Experiment in Michigan**
It is often posited that organized interests have broader influence in local elections marked by low turnout. We assess this claim with quasi-experimental data from Michigan following their mandated change in school board election timing in 2004.
Michael Thomas Hartney, *University of Notre Dame*
mthartney@nd.edu
Thomas Julian Wood, *University of Chicago*
tomw00d@uchicago.edu
- 9:05 **Public Participation, Procedural Fairness and Evaluations of Local Governance: The Moderating Role of Uncertainty**
I examine perceptions of fairness in relation to public input processes used by a local government. Results suggest that public input is seen as fair and that fairness judgments drive ratings of government performance for low-knowledge individuals.

- Mitch Hertan, *University of Nebraska*
mahertan@unbraska.edu
Lisa Pytko-Zillig, *University of Nebraska*
lpytko@unbraska.edu
Alan J. Tomkins, *University of Nebraska*
atomkins@unbraska.edu
Joseph Harman, *University of Nebraska*
joe.harman@holmail.com
- 9:20 **Descriptive Representation and Participation Among Blacks, Latinas and Women in the 21st Century**
We analyze data from the 2005 National Politics Study to determine whether Blacks, Latinas and women who are descriptively represented by their local mayors are stimulated to greater levels of participation.
Davin Laster Phoenix, *University of Michigan*
dphoenix@umich.edu
Vincent L. Hutchings, *University of Michigan*
vincenth@umich.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Beth Glasberg, *University of Connecticut, Stamford***
bglasb@aol.com
Disc., Amber Wichowsky, *KU University*
amber.wichowsky@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 24-1 **Electoral Institutions in a Comparative Perspective**
Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Yael Shomer, *Tel Aviv University***
yshomer@gmail.com
8:35 **Electoral Incentives and the Provision of Constituency Service**
We examine the relationship between the provision of constituency service and legislators' electoral environments using a field experiment in the Texas and Minnesota state legislatures.
Kyle Anthony Dropp, *Stanford University*
kdropp@stanford.edu
Zachary Przewozka, *Stanford University*
zprz@stanford.edu
- 8:47 **Legislative Turnover in the Canadian House of Commons, 1867-2008**
In this study, we analyze elections and parliamentary careers in the Canadian House of Commons between 1867 and 2008 to explain why this country has one of the highest legislative turnover rates in the world.
Jean-Francois Godbout, *University of Montreal*
jean-francois.godbout@umontreal.ca
Eric Bélanger, *McGill University*
eric.belanger3@mcgill.ca
- 8:59 **The Time for Turnover is Over: An Argument for Mixed-Member Proportionality in Mexico**
In response to recent calls for political reform, we propose an alternative to Mexico's current mixed-member system and demonstrate the flexibility of such systems in addressing the problems affecting governability and legislative accountability.
Raul Cipriano Gonzalez, *CIDE*
raul.gonzalez@cide.edu
Caitlin Milazzo, *University of California, Davis*
ccmilazzo@ucdavis.edu
- 9:11 **Cultivating Votes in Rural Chile**
Formal theory predicts that the government will allocate targetable resources to "swing districts". The paper adapts the definition of "swing district" to multimember districts and tests these theories using data from Chilean elections.
John B. Lindregan, *Princeton University*
jbl@princeton.edu
Joaquín Pablo Conroy-González, *Universidad de Chile*
jpc@udd.cl
- 9:23 **Who is Relected in Korea?: Changing of Relection Factors Since 2004**
This explores whether legislators' better performance in the Assembly is closely related to the increasing possibility of reelection. Traditionally, Korean electorates have tended to focus on party belonging rather than legislators' performance.
Hwanhee Noh, *Seoul National University*
hwanhee.noh@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Yael Shomer, *Tel Aviv University***
yshomer@gmail.com
Disc., Michael A. Thraasher, *University of Plymouth*
mthraasher@plymouth.ac.uk
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 25-103 **Partisan Sorting**
Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
This roundtable will discuss Matthew S. Levendusky's recent book *The Partisan Sort: How Liberals Became Democrats and Conservatives Became Republicans*, an account of how Americans have reformed themselves into more coherent political coalitions
Chair Morris P. Fiorina, *Stanford University*
mfiorina@stanford.edu
Panelist Laura Stoker, *University of California, Berkeley*
stoker@societies.berkeley.edu
Thomas M. Carney, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
carney@unc.edu
Edward G. Carmines, *Indiana University, Bloomington*
carmines@indiana.edu
James Allen Simmons, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
jalsimon@email.unc.edu
Matthew S. Levendusky, *University of Pennsylvania*
mlvendo@sas.upenn.edu
- 26-8 **Changing the Electoral System: Legislators and Other Strategic Actors**
Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Ignacio Lago, *Universitat Pompeu Fabra***
ignacio.lago@upf.edu
8:35 **Electoral Reform and Institutional Change: Bridging the Divide**
The paper examines how a dialogue between the two bodies of literature can improve our empirical and theoretical understanding of electoral system change and, more broadly, institutional change.
Nina Steneosova Bernatchez, *University of Virginia*
nsb4e@virginia.edu
- 8:50 **The Strategic Dimension of Electoral System Design**
Acknowledging a mutual relationship between electoral institutions and party systems, this paper will argue that electoral strategies are an important factor to explain the origins and change of electoral systems.
Oll Hellmann, *University of Durham*
oll.hellmann@durham.ac.uk
- 9:05 **Taking the Lead: Constituency-Level and Rhetorical Determinants of MP Votes on Electoral Reform**
We examine the determinants of MP votes on democratic electoral reform in the UK during the 19th century.
Scott Moser, *University of Oxford*
scott.moser@politics.ox.ac.uk
Andrew Reeves, *Burow University*
areeves@bu.edu
- 9:10 **Impediments and the Third Wave? Analyzing the Causes of Electoral Reform in New Democracies**
This paper examines the causes of electoral reform in Latin American and former communist countries by using some dynamic econometric specifications.
Pedro Rivera, *European University Institute*
Pedro.Rivera@eui.eu

- 9:35 **Disc., Michael Marsh, Trinity College, Dublin**
dmmarsh@trinc.edu
Disc., Jessica Robleson Preece, Brigham Young University
jessica_preece@byu.edu
- 9:45 **Audience Discussion**
- 27-1 **Psychological Foundations of Political Participation**
Room Burnham 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Dana Griffin, University of Nebraska, Lincoln**
dgriffin3@unl.edu
- 8:35 **The Social Benefits of Voting and Conspicuous Evidence from Survey Experiments**
How do the social benefits of political choices influence turnout and partisanship? Using experimental data we compare these benefits with those associated with other behaviors that might affect social status.
Alan Gerber, Yale University
alan.gerber@yale.edu
Conor M. Dowling, Yale University
conor.dowling@yale.edu
David Doherty, Yale University
david.doherty@yale.edu
Gregory A. Huber, Yale University
gregory.huber@yale.edu
- 8:55 **The Causes, Consequences, and Measurement of Perceived Political Control**
This paper extends psychological concept of perceived control to the political realm and shows that individuals' belief that they can affect political outcomes fundamentally structures when, how, and in what ways they will participate.
Jacob Michael Montgomery, Duke University
jmm61@duke.edu
- 9:15 **Political Values and Social Capital**
This paper investigates the relationship between several key political values - such as individualism and egalitarianism - and the presence of social capital at an individual level.
Jonathan Curtis Young, West Virginia University
Jonathan.Young@mail.wvu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Daniel E. Bergan, Michigan State University**
bergan@msu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-1 **Networks, Neighborhoods, and Opinion**
Room Sabon 8 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, John E. Tranter, University of Illinois, Springfield**
jtran8@uis.edu
- 8:35 **Introducing New Variables into the Measurement of Social Network Effects**
The paper uses four social network characteristics simultaneously to isolate separate network effects in the prediction of political attitudes and behavior.
Elif Ersoz, California Polytechnic State University
eersoz@calpoly.edu
- 8:47 **Social Capital and Social Networking Sites: Relationships and Attitudes**
This presentation surveys Kent State University students to determine whether social capital is created through the use of Facebook and other social networking sites. If social capital is found, does it conform to the typology created by Putnam?
James Michael McQuinn, Kent State University
jmcquinn@kent.edu
- 8:59 **Neighborhood Effects on Political Attitudes**
This study develops spatial autoregressive models of political attitudes.
Dorothy Morgan, University of Texas, Austin
dmorgan@mail.utexas.edu
- 9:11 **Political Discussion and Social Hierarchy: An Experimental Study**
We seek to test whether this beneficial impact of political discussion holds in hierarchical groups. To do so, we randomly assigned 300 people to discussion groups. We find that political discussion is beneficial only without group hierarchy.
Sean Riebeck, Georgia State University
sriebeck@gsu.edu
- 9:23 **My Social Lives and My Policy Beliefs**
This study explores how specific policy beliefs are influenced by individuals' various levels of general social trust and multi-dimensional social networks.
Hyun Jung Yoo, Texas State University
cayw35@gmail.com
Renee J. Johnson, Kent State University
rjohn14@kent.edu
David M. Hodge, University of Florida
dhodge@polsci.ufl.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Kim Madsen Sørensen, Aarhus University**
ks@ps.au.dk
Disc., John E. Tranter, University of Illinois, Springfield
jtran8@uis.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-16 **Information Effects**
Room Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, John G. Bullock, Yale University**
john.bullock@yale.edu
- 8:35 **Informed Citizens: Information Effects and Support for the Iraq War**
Using the 2004 Annenberg National Election Survey, larger and more politically significant information effects, across multiple demographic groups, emerge for opinions on the Iraq War, the issue respondents identified as the most important problem.
Leslie Ann Craggell, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
lcraggell2@uiuc.edu
- 8:50 **Evaluating the Impact of Information on Preferences toward Federal Indian Policy in America**
Using data from a randomized survey experiment, we test the effects of information on support for tribal sovereign rights and federal Indian programs and services. We observe differential impacts of both information and ideology across issue areas.
Thaddeus W. Cooper, University of Oklahoma
tcooper13@ou.edu
Alisa Hicklin, University of Oklahoma
ahicklin@ou.edu
Tyler Johnson, University of Oklahoma
tyferjohnson@ou.edu
- 9:05 **Uninformed but Optimized Voters**
Past research suggests that uninformed voters have underlying opinions that are as stable as those of informed voters. I test whether that holds in a large representative survey.
Peter Foley, California Institute of Technology
foley@caltech.edu
- 9:20 **Policy Performance and Public Support: Results From a Survey Experiment**
Using two large survey experiments, this paper examines how information about the outcomes of a particular policy affect citizen support for and attitudes toward that policy.
Andrew Kelly, University of California, Berkeley
andrewpekelly@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Carl Lucas Palmer, University of Notre Dame**
Carl.L.Palmer.56@nd.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 29-14 **Blogs, Facebook and Twitter**
 Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Magdalena E. Wojcieszak, *IE University Segovia, Spain*
 magdalena.wojciezszak@ie.edu
- 8:25 **To What Degree Does the Political Blogosphere Represent or Distort—the Voice of the Electorate?**
 This paper uses the first truly representative sample of active, English-language political bloggers to measure their demographics, political engagement, and attitudes towards political leaders, social groups, and important current issues.
 Abe Gong, *University of Michigan*
 agong@umich.edu
- 8:50 **Support for Civil Liberties in the Age of Social Media**
 I find a strong correlation between activity on Facebook and blogs and support for civil liberties, particularly the right to privacy and freedom of expression. This pattern suggests that technology may alter American attitudes on democratic values.
 Nathaniel Swigger, *Ohio State University, Newark*
 swigger.1@osu.edu
- 9:05 **Who is Following Me?: An Analysis of Candidate Egocentric Networks on Twitter in the 2010 Midterm Elections**
 With data containing the network of 409 political candidates running for seats in the 2010 midterm elections and their followers on Twitter, we analyze the characteristics of these candidates' egocentric networks and the clustering of followers.
 Ming Wang, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 mwang24@wisc.edu
 Alexander Hanna, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 ahanna@ssc.wisc.edu
 Ben Stern, *University of Wisconsin*
 bsstern@wisc.edu
 JungHwan Yang, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 jyung66@wisc.edu
 Michael Muen, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 muen@wisc.edu
 Young Hye Kim, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 ykim5@wisc.edu
 Dhyan V. Shah, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 dshah@wisc.edu
- 9:20 **Information Diffusion and (Co)Memes: Sampling, Linking and the Politics of Discourse**
 The blogger ethos of linking and sampling is changing the way content is created and diffused. The emphasis has shifted from new content to content created elsewhere. This paper explores the implications of this process for political discourse.
 Brooke Wonders, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
 Brooke.Wonders@gmail.com
 Nancy A. Wonders, *Northern Arizona University*
 Nancy.Wonders@asu.edu
 Frederic L. Solop, *Northern Arizona University*
 Fred.Solop@asu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Robin E. Best, *University of Missouri*
 bestre@missouri.edu
- 9:36 Audience Discussion
- 30-5 **Gender and the U.S. Congress**
 Room Salem 7 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Margaret A. Carno, *Rhode College*
 carnem@rhodes.edu
- 8:35 **International Gender Relations: Why do U.S. Members of Congress Sponsor Women's Issues Bills in U.S. Foreign Policy?**
 A study of the 110th Congress that tests which (institutional, individual, and district factors contribute to likelihood of sponsoring a US foreign policy bill targeting women
 Sara Angevine, *Rutgers University*
 sarangevine@gmail.com
- 8:47 **A Duration Analysis of Congressional Widow's Careers**
 Using a semi-parametric Cox Model, this paper examines the duration of widow's careers in the United States House of Representatives.
 Brian J. Fogarty, *University of Missouri, St. Louis*
 fogartyb@umsl.edu
 Fariba Jalalal, *University of Missouri, St. Louis*
 jalalal@umsl.edu
 Chad A. Hankinson, *University of Missouri, St. Louis*
 hankinsonc@umsl.edu
- 8:59 **Gender and Legislative Behavior: Representing "Women" and "Men" in the U.S. Congress, 2005-2010**
 This paper explores male and female legislative behavior and its impact on policy-making on behalf of men and women, respectively. It focuses on how the interests of each group are framed in policy proposals.
 Mona Lena Krook, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 mlkrook@wustl.edu
- 9:11 **Gender Diversity and the Representation of Women's Political Interests in Congress**
 We examine whether greater gender diversity in Congress has increased congressional responsiveness to women's issues. Using House and Senate data on congressional hearings, we find the House is more responsive to gender diversity than the Senate.
 Michael D. Minta, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 mminta@wustl.edu
 Brooke Thomas Allen, *University of Michigan*
 brooketh@umich.edu
- 9:23 **Making Policy in the New Senate Club: Gender Differences in Legislating on Women's Issues in the U.S. Senate**
 This paper examines sponsorship and cosponsorship activity in the Senate to determine if female senators are more likely to pursue legislation related to feminist and social welfare issues.
 Michele L. Swers, *Georgetown University*
 mlsw47@georgetown.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Jennifer L. Lawless, *American University*
 lawless@american.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 31-7 **Triangulation, Racialization and Postcolonialism**
 Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Sherrow O. Pinder, *California State University, Chico*
 spinder@chico.edu
- 8:35 **Hiding in Plain Sight or Plain in Hindsight?: Identifying and Overcoming the Blanks of Non-falsifiable Claims About Race and Conservatism in Post-1970s America**
 Research linking race to conservatism makes falsifiable claims about national politics and policy in the 1950s-70s but frequently non-falsifiable claims about the 1970s-on. These are vulnerable to skepticism and dismissal. This paper offers remedies.
 Gerard Alexander, *University of Virginia*
 gax8@virginia.edu
- 9:47 **Where is the Line? Intersectional Whiteness and the End of Rights**
 The close connection between whiteness and rights will be explored, arguing that it will only be when rights as whiteness in the contemporary U.S. context are dismantled that the liberatory actualization of rights can be realized.
 Vincent Jurgunas, *Ohio University*
 jurgunas@ohio.edu
- 8:59 **The Influence of Policy Entrepreneurs on Modern ("Coded") Racial/Ethnic Triangulation (1965-present)**
 I examine actions of policy entrepreneurs in fostering policies which influenced "modern" (post-1965) triangulation among certain U.S. racial/ethnic groups. I also address the ramifications of these policies on relationships among these groups.
 Athena M. King, *University of South Carolina, Columbia*
 Kingam@mailbox.sc.edu

- 9:11** **Dimensions of Safety and Vulnerability in White America**
 In this paper, I examine the racialized safety and vulnerability of White Americans, and also explore how feelings of and awareness about one's safety and vulnerability are cultivated in a white supremacist context.
 Tebanus Lopez, *Ohio University*
 lopezc@ohio.edu
- 9:23** **Brainization and the Exorcism of Mexican and Latino Migrant Resistance in the United States**
 This paper contends that the migrant rights movement of 2006 was not distinct from previous historical struggles of Mexicans and Latinos in the United States, rather resistance has been a constitutive feature of their everyday life in the US.
 Albert Poole, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 alberpoole@ucla.edu
- 9:35** **Disc.** Hyung Lee Park, *Jackson State University*
 hyung.l.park@jstsu.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 32-103** **Elizabeth Markovits's "The Politics of Sincerity"**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 This roundtable will gather scholars from philosophy, political science, and communications to discuss Elizabeth Markovits's recent book, "The Politics of Sincerity."
Chair Joel Alden Schlosser, *Deep Springs College*
 schlosser@deepsprings.edu
Panelist Elizabeth K. Markovits, *Moore Holyoke College*
 ekmarkovits@gmail.com
 Robert Harrison, *Northwestern University*
 robert.harrison@northwestern.edu
 John Zumbrozza, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 zumbrozza@wisc.edu
 Stephen Salkever, *Bryn Mawr College*
 ssalkeve@brynmawr.edu
- 33-6** **Implications of French and German Enlightenment Thought**
Room Cresthill on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair**, John Christian Eusebio, *University of California, Riverside*
 johnl@ucr.edu
8:35 **Montesquieu's Constitution of Liberty**
 Paper focuses on the relationship between the liberty of the constitution and liberty of the citizen in Montesquieu's Spirit of the Laws in order to show how Montesquieu blends elements of ancient and modern constitutionalism in his political theory.
 Jordan Bryce Barkalow, *Bridgewater State University*
 jrbarkalow@bridgew.edu
8:55 **Reading Kant During the Great War**
 In my paper, I analyze the ways in which Kant's writings on international peace were read and used by those authors in Great Britain and the United States whose plans for international organization informed the creation of the League of Nations.
 Joseph Stanley Kochanek, *Harvard University*
 kochanek@fas.harvard.edu
9:15 **Disc.**, Lee Ward, *University of Regina*
 lee.ward@uregina.ca
9:35 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-4** **Whose Rights? Which Liberty?**
Room LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair**, Barbara Beckins, *Goethe University, Frankfurt*
 Barbara_Beckins@Brown.edu
8:35 **What the Left Has Left Behind**
 This essay explores the political consequences of contemporary left thinkers who ignore or misrepresent the classical and religious sources of civil liberty and justice in the American political experience.
 Edwige Bartky, *Indiana University-Purdue University, Fort Wayne*
 bartky@ipfw.edu
8:55 **Libertarian Moral Agency - Self-Ownership and the Justification of Human Rights**
 Nozickian libertarianism is much maligned, but contains a strong core of individual liberty and rights. If Nozick had properly understood the implications of his form of liberty, he would have a strong justification for human rights.
 Gavin MacGregor Cleaver, *Cardiff University*
 cleaverg@c.ac.uk
9:15 **Benjamin Constant and the Birth of Modern Freedom**
 My paper develops a novel reading of Benjamin Constant's idea of freedom and its connection to his endorsement of government neutrality and economic laissez-faire.
 Genevieve Rousseleire, *Princeton University*
 grousech@princeton.edu
9:35 **Disc.**, Barbara Beckins, *Goethe University, Frankfurt*
 Barbara_Beckins@Brown.edu
9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-14** **The Tea that Bind**
Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair**, S. Adam Seagrave, *University of Notre Dame*
 sseagrav@nd.edu
8:35 **From Individuals to Citizens: Enhancing the Bonds of Citizenship Through Religion in Locke's Political Theory**
 In this paper, I reexamine Locke's use of religion in his political theory, as found in the Two Treatises and the Letter Concerning Tolerance. I argue that his argument rests on secular principles but results in a non-secular state.
 Elissa B. Albate, *Susquehanna University*
 elzab@susqu.edu
8:55 **Political Moderation and the Family in the Works of Aristotle, Burke, and Tocqueville**
 The family serves as a moderator of political excess by providing a buffer between the individual and the community. This link is explored through the works of Aristotle, Burke, and Tocqueville.
 Lauren Hall, *Rochester Institute of Technology*
 lshggs@rit.edu
9:15 **Gods Among Us: Civil Religion and Legitimacy**
 Civil religion is the spiritual conceptual glue that holds societies together: the functional equivalent of religion. This article seeks to refine the definition, then apply it to several states to establish their legitimacy and thereby durability.
 Mark Bennett McNaught, *Université Rennes II, France*
 markmcnaught@yahoo.com
9:35 **Disc.**, S. Adam Seagrave, *University of Notre Dame*
 sseagrav@nd.edu
9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-9** **The Labors of Intellectuals**
Room Burnham 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair**, William Winstead, *George Washington University*
 wwinstead@earthlink.net
8:35 **Emmanuel Levinas and the Milan Women's Bookstore Collective on Maternal Ethics and Feminist Politics**
 This paper attempts to offer a unique theory of feminist praxis based on the politics of "entrustment" by the Milan Women's Bookstore Collective as well as the ethical theory of Emmanuel Levinas.
 Kevin Duong, *Middle Tennessee State University*
 kevinduong@utk.edu
8:55 **The Mass Strike Debate: Luxemburg, Kautsky, Pannekoek on the Nature of Democracy and the Modern Political Party**
 The mass strike debate in the SPD was over party tactics, but also over the relationship between mass organizations and democracy.

- party rank and file to the leadership, and the party to non-party activists - a debate about modern political parties.
Peter A. Lavenda, *SUNY Albany*
PL3116@albany.edu
- 9:15 **The Agency of the Intellectual: Politics and Independence in the Lives of Ignazio Silone**
I examine the legacy of Ignazio Silone, one of the most controversial Italian intellectuals of the last century. Silone's peculiar story serves as a kaleidoscope through which the figure of the "independent" and "critical" intellectual can be gauged.
Estimote Saccarelli, *San Diego State University*
esaccare@mail.sdsu.edu
- 9:35 **Discussion, TP4**
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-10 **Politics at the Margins**
Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, David S. Western, *Polynesian University*
David.Western@valpo.edu
- 8:35 **The Participation Paradox: Conceptual Challenges to Tribal Sovereignty**
This paper develops a typology of American Indian political participation and examines how increasing participation in U.S. electoral politics challenges accepted definitions of tribal sovereignty.
Koualia Kealer-Mata, *University of San Francisco*
koualia@gmail.com
- 8:50 **Communities of the Court: The Political Economy of the Same-Sex Rights**
Through the analyses of recent radical theorists (Rancière, Barbone, Žižek), I examine the role of visibility in the creation of an economically unproductive same-sexers vis-à-vis the emergent emergence of an exploited reproductiveized class.
Ryan Kasha, *University of Guelph*
rkasha@uoguelph.ca
- 9:05 **Identity, Migration, and Contested Spaces: Istanbul as a European Capital of Culture**
Inspired by the designation of Istanbul as a European Capital of Culture, this paper explores Istanbul as a liminal space. In particular, my focus is on what the hybridity or liminality of spaces in Istanbul can tell us about modernity and identity.
Brian Mello, *Muhlenberg College*
bmello@muhlenberg.edu
- 9:30 **Superfluities, Human Rights and the State: Arendt's Answers to Questions of Famines, Narcos Violence and Illegal Immigration in a Globalized World**
This paper shows how Hannah Arendt's disturbing notion of superfluities and her critique of human rights are highly applicable to the often violent problems globalization has brought to the borders of North America - with worrying consequences.
Emma R. Norman, *University of the Americas, Puebla*
politics.policy@ipostec.org
- 9:35 **Disc., Brian Duff, University of New England**
brduff@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 37-1 **Campaign Messages and Voting**
Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Terun Dewani, *London School of Economics*
t.dewani@lse.ac.uk
- 8:35 **A Game Theoretic Model of Campaign Strategy and A Test Using Data from Latin America**
The author provides a formal model of campaign tone and tests using a new dataset of political messages from 15 Latin American countries.
Scott W. Desposata, *University of California, San Diego*
swd@ucsd.edu
- 8:50 **An Experimental Study of Strategic Voting: Costless or Costly Voting Mechanism**
A laboratory voting game is designed to examine voting behavior across different voting mechanisms. The theory for costless voting mechanism is derived from strategic voting literature while the one for costly case, from Krishna and Morgan (2008).
Sui Tak Kim, *University of Pittsburgh*
suk36@pitt.edu
Sourav Bhattacharya, *Northwestern University*
sourav@kellogg.northwestern.edu
John Duffy, *University of Pittsburgh*
jduffy@pitt.edu
- 9:05 **On the Rational Choice Theory of Voter Turnout**
I model an election in which there is aggregate uncertainty about the popularity of each candidate, where voting is costly, and where participants are asymmetrically motivated. I predict significant turnout under reasonable parameter configurations.
David P. Myatt, *University of Oxford*
david.myatt@economics.ox.ac.uk
- 9:20 **Coagulated and Market Structure**
In a common-values election with two candidates voters receive a signal about which candidate is superior. Information is provided on an imperfectly competitive market. This paper studies how market structure affects the efficiency of the decision.
Matteo Triouf, *Universidad de Chile*
mtriofai@di.uchile.cl
- 9:35 **Disc., Greg Cline, Grand Valley State University**
clinegr@gvsu.edu
Disc., Joseph McMurray, *Brigham Young University*
jcmurray@byu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 38-1 **Causal Models and Inference**
Room Dearborn I on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Arthur Spirling, *Harvard University*
aspirling@gov.harvard.edu
- 8:35 **Synthetic Matching for Causal Effects: A Multivariate Reweighting Method to Produce Balanced Samples in Observational Studies**
A reweighting method for creating balanced samples to estimate treatment effects. The method obviates the need for continual balance checking and active searching over propensity score models that may stochastically balance the covariates.
Jens Habermeller, *Harvard University*
jhabermeller@gmail.com
- 8:55 **Missing Treatment Data and Statistical Identification: Introducing Moment Bounds to Political Science**
Details how to compute bounds on treatment effects when there is missing treatment data.
Paul Daniel Foote, *University of Michigan*
poodpd@umich.edu
Walter R. Mebane, *University of Michigan*
wmebane@umich.edu
- 9:15 **Matching for Interaction Effects**
Most existing matching methods are difficult to use for estimating and testing causal interactions. This paper shows how to circumvent these difficulties by using coarsened exact matching to estimate a local average interaction effect.
Jason Seawright, *Northwestern University*
j-seawright@northwestern.edu
Thomas John Leeper, *Northwestern University*
leeper@u.northwestern.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Donald P. Green, Kofi University**
donald.green@kofi.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 40-15 Party Structures in Parliaments**
Room LaSalle I on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Russel LA, University of Wisconsin-Madison**
 rlacour@hotmai.com
- 8:35** **We Seem to have Drifted Apart: The British Conservative Party and the American Republican Party's Special Relationship in the Cameron Era**
 Compares the Conservatives' 2010 campaign and subsequent governing coalition with the Republican party's 2010 campaign and subsequent governing position (to be determined) to evaluate the special relationship between the two parties.
 Robin Kolodny, Temple University
 rkolodny@temple.edu
 Tim Bale, University of Sussex
 T.P.Bale@sussex.ac.uk
- 8:55** **Making Parties Work: Anti-Defection Laws Around the World**
 This paper provides a comparative assessment of the distribution and the conditions for the adoption of anti-defection laws around the world.
 Csaba Nikolovyi, Concordia University
 csaba@alcor.concordia.ca
- 9:15** **Party Disappearance in Western European and Anglo-American Democracies**
 This paper examines party disappearance in Western European and Anglo-American democracies using event history models. The sample includes lower house elections from 1890-2009 in 15 countries.
 Jennifer K. Smith, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee
 jksmith@uwm.edu
 Stefan J. Wojcik, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee
 sjwojcik@uwm.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Marc Andre Bédet, University of British Columbia**
 mbedet@interchange.ubc.ca
Disc., David Nachman, Interdisciplinary Center, Herzliya
 davidn@idc.ac.il
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 41-12 Presidents' Influence on Public Opinion**
Room Salon I on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Shirley A. Warchaw, Gettysburg College**
 swarchaw@gettysburg.edu
- 8:35** **The Effect of Presidential Communications on the Attentive Audience**
 I show that following most speeches, public opinion moves in the direction of the presidents' policy preferences and that this effect is strongest among those who watch the presidents' speech.
 Aaron Civant, University of Wisconsin, Madison
 civant@polisci.wisc.edu
- 8:50** **The Learning Presidents: Do Presidents Learn from the Public's Reactions to Their Responsiveness?**
 This study argues that presidents are more likely to respond to the public when the public correctly punishes or rewards presidential inresponsiveness and responsiveness.
 Han Soo Lee, University of Iowa
 hansoo-lee@uiowa.edu
- 9:05** **State of the Union Addresses: Presidential Agenda Setting and the Influence of Public Opinion**
 This paper analyzes State of the Union speech content (1993-2010) in order to measure presidential responsiveness to public opinion and the presidency's affinity for agenda setting.
 Marty Nadey, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
 marty.nadey@gmail.com
- 9:20** **Going Partisan/Going Algorithm: The Effect on Political Opinions**
 Using an experimental design with a control group we test the effect of the President going partisan and going bipartisan on political opinions.
- Ken Todra, University of Houston**
 ktodra@uh.edu
Brandon Rattigheus, University of Houston
 brattigheus@uh.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Brendan J. Doherty, United States Naval Academy**
 bdoherty@usna.edu
Disc., Casey Bk Dominguez, University of San Diego
 caseydominguez@sandiego.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 42-1 Individual Legislator Actions in Congress**
Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Michael S. Lynch, University of Kansas**
 mlynch@ku.edu
- 8:35** **Can Learning Constituency Opinion Affect How Legislators Vote? Results From a Field Experiment**
 We present the results of a field experiment in New Mexico in order to isolate the effect of constituent opinion on legislative voting. For the experiment we shared district-specific survey results with a randomly selected half of the legislature.
 Daniel M. Butler, Yale University
 daniel.butler@yale.edu
- 8:47** **A Field Experiment on Legislators' Home Styles: Service vs. Policy**
 We conducted a field experiment involving roughly 1,000 letters sent by actual individuals to nearly 500 different legislative offices in order to test whether legislative offices prioritize service over policy.
 Daniel M. Butler, Yale University
 daniel.butler@yale.edu
 Jeremy C. Pope, Brigham Young University
 jpope@byu.edu
 Christopher Karpowitz, Brigham Young University
 ckarpowitz@byu.edu
- 8:59** **Political Investing: The Common Stock Investments of Members of Congress, 1804-1998**
 We investigate investments disclosed by members of Congress between 2004 and 2008 and find mediocre overall performance (contrary to prior findings) but some evidence that members take advantage of political knowledge.
 Andrew Eggers, Yale University
 aeggers@gmail.com
- 9:11** **Accusations of Scandal and Legislator Reputations in the House of Representatives, 1977-2009**
 This paper examines the impact of scandal on House legislator reputations.
 Matt Gross, University of Tennessee
 mgross2@utk.edu
- 9:23** **Political Economy of Immigration: Determinants of Preferences Over Immigration**
 I look at the voting behavior of the U.S. Congress on issues concerning free trade, immigration and social conservatism. I show how and to what extent economic and/or non-economic determinants impact legislators' attitudes towards immigration.
 Zaruhi Sahakyan, University of Illinois
 sahakyan@illinois.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Michael S. Lynch, University of Kansas**
 mlynch@ku.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**

- 45-1 **Judicial Politics Around the Globe**
 Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Tracy L. R. Lightcap, *LaGrange College*
 TLIGHTCAP@LAGRANGE.EDU
- 8-35 **Do Court Cases Have an Effect on Reducing Offences Based on Prejudice in Society?: An analysis of Crime Under India's Prevention of Atrocities Act**
 The paper seeks to identify the effect of legal cases and decisions on the level of recorded crime (as related to prejudice in society) through an analysis of crime recorded under India's Prevention of Atrocities Act.
 Dhya Guru Rajan, *Duke University*
 dg86@duke.edu
- 8-47 **The Rise of India's Judiciary as a Key Political Actor**
 This paper presents a formal model to explain the rise of India's Judiciary as a co-equal partner in shaping India's future. The theoretical prediction is matched against recent history of the Supreme Court of India.
 Krishna Latha, *Indian Institute of Management, Kozhikode*
 krish.latha@gmail.com
- 8-59 **Judicial Appointment: Canadian Style**
 A critical overview of the current judicial appointment procedures of the various Canadian jurisdictions, culminating in reform proposals based on a consideration of recent experiences in comparable countries.
 Peter James McCormick, *University of Lethbridge*
 mccormick@uleth.ca
- 9-11 **Jurisprudence and Protection of Second-Generation Positive Rights by the Constitutional Court of Ukraine**
 Protection of human rights is a source of juristicocracy. Courts in most nations tend to refrain from expansive interpretations of social rights. The CC of Ukraine stands in opposition to this trend engaging in the enforcement of distributive justice.
 Andriy A. Melnychuk, *National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy*
 auro4316@gmail.com
 Marcy Lazowy, *National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy*
 mao4316@gmail.com
- 9-23 **Party Capability Theory and the Judicial Committee of the House of Lords: The Barrister's Role**
 Building on the work of Galanter (1974) and Atkins (1991), we go one step further and apply Party Capability Theory to the Judicial Committee of the House of Lords (UK), but focus on the characteristics of barristers, rather than case parties.
 Nicholas A. Montano, *University of South Carolina*
 nmontano@mailbox.sc.edu
 Benjamin J. Kassow, *University of South Carolina*
 kassow@mailbox.sc.edu
- 9-35 **Disc., Tracy L. R. Lightcap, LaGrange College**
 TLIGHTCAP@LAGRANGE.EDU
 Disc., Rodella Manassa, *Sewanee: University of the South*
 rmanassa@sewanee.edu
- 9-55 **Audience Discussion**
- 45-17 **Courts in Separation-of-Powers Contexts**
 Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Dawn M. Chutkan, *Cornell University*
 dm066@cornell.edu
- 8:35 **Congress, Jurisdiction, and Judicial Agenda-Setting**
 An important and oft overlooked implication of Congress's enumerated power to establish and change the jurisdiction of the federal courts relates to questions of how the agendas of the federal courts change over time.
 Seth W. Greenleaf, *University of Washington*
 sg2@u.washington.edu
- 8:50 **The Justices' Fan Mail: Correspondence With Supreme Court Justices**
 We posit that correspondence received by justices from political leaders sheds light on the continuity between the judiciary and the legislative or executive branches. Ultimately, this advances our understanding of the justices' strategic calculations.
 Ryan Krug, *George Washington University*
 rkrug@gwmall.gwu.edu
 Paul J. Wahlbeck, *George Washington University*
 wahlbeck@gwu.edu
 Abigail T. Sze, *George Washington University*
 abizee@gmail.com
- 9:05 **Statutory Invalidation, Remedial Discretion, and Strategic Judicial Behavior: Supreme Court of Canada Under External Constraints**
 The paper extends the separation-of-powers approach to the workings of the Canadian Supreme Court. It shows that patterns of remedial decision making, in addition to patterns of statutory invalidation, are indicative of strategic judicial behavior.
 Vuk Radmilovic, *University of Toronto*
 vuk.radmilovic@utoronto.ca
- 9:20 **The Mainstreaming of Judicial Countermajoritarianism**
 This paper develops and tests a theory of public support for judicial review of statutes created by elected legislatures.
 James R. Rogers, *Texas A&M University*
 rogers@politics.tamu.edu
 Joseph D. Uva, *Texas A&M University*
 juva@politics.tamu.edu
- 9-35 **Disc., Dawn M. Chutkan, Cornell University**
 dm066@cornell.edu
- 9-55 **Audience Discussion**
- 46-1 **Designing and Reforming State Institutions**
 Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Celia Carroll Jones, *Hampden-Sydney College*
 ccarr01@hsc.edu
- 8:35 **Ethics Laws and Administration in State Public Employee Retirement Systems (PERS)**
 State Public Employee Retirement Systems (PERS) have particular ethics issues within their pension fund administration. What are the statutory configurations in all fifty State PERS regarding ethics laws, policymaking and administration?
 Heidi H. Franco, *University of Utah*
 hfranco@utmsi.com
- 8:55 **Searching Elections or Sincere Reform?: Causes and Consequences of Pennsylvania Reform Legislation**
 Scholars of state politics have recently noted the curious resurgence in efforts to reform state governments. This paper seeks to address the role of reform efforts of legislators on state legislative elections.
 Jay Thomas Jennings, *Temple University*
 jaythomasjennings@yahoo.com
 Nathan Strader, *Temple University*
 nathanstrader@tyndco.com
 Michelle J. Atherton, *Temple University*
 mjathen@temple.edu
- 9:15 **Parallel Jurisdictions, Oversight Capability and Administrative Review: US State Legislative Committees and Administrative Agencies**
 This paper maps the extent to which legislative committee jurisdictions are organized in a manner that parallels executive agencies and assesses the degree to which various organizational attributes are associated with oversight capability.
 Nancy Martoredo, *University of Dayton*
 Nancy.Martoredo@notes.udayton.edu
 Ronald D. Hedlund, *Northwestern University*
 r.hedlund@nwu.edu
 Keith E. Hamm, *Rice University*
 harram@rice.edu

- 9:35 **Disc., Thomas Langston, Tulane University**
langston@tulane.edu
- 9:35 **Audience Discussion**
- 47-1 **Global Cities and Place Identity**
Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Alexander R. Dawoody, Marywood University**
adarwoody@marywood.edu
- 8:35 **Shifting Scales, Contentious Actors: Politics of the Urban Peer in Globalizing Istanbul**
This paper examines the mobilization of scalar shifts as a key strategic instrument in the making of Istanbul as a global city and interrogates the dynamics of scale and representation in urban peer's contestation to these radical reconfigurations.
Mert Arslanalp, *Northwestern University*
mertarslanalp2011@u.northwestern.edu
- 8:55 **Capital City Cultures: The Discursive Politics of State Transformation in Vienna and Berlin**
The discursive politics constructing cultural projects in Vienna and Berlin stress urban diversity as local source of institutional change. The case of capital cities embeds urban politics in comparative state-transformation.
Monika De Bruant, *University of New Orleans*
mdebruant@uno.edu
- 9:18 **Marrakesh: Commodifying the Orientalist Dream**
What place identity is projected to promote Marrakesh as a tourist city? And how do its promoters "interpret the city's essence" to tell tourists what to expect and how to feel?
Khadija Maath, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
kmaath2@uic.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Melissa Jeanette Schrier, Georgetown College**
Melissa_Schrier@GeorgetownCollege.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 48-1 **Banking Policy: From Global Financial Centres to Microfinance**
Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Harold R. Chornay, Concordia University, Montreal**
hrcornay36@gmail.com
- 8:35 **Policy Change Through International and Domestic Pressures: Issue and Policy Dynamics in the Swiss Banking Sector, 1977-2010**
Do external and domestic pressures lead to different patterns of agenda-setting and policy choice? In order to theoretically and empirically tackle this question, this paper focuses on four banking issues in Switzerland by resorting to mixed methods.
Ray Gava, *University of Geneva*
ray.gava@unige.ch
- 8:55 **Race for the Money: International Financial Centre in Asia**
This paper explores the emerging competition to become Asia's next great financial center.
Darryl Stuart Jarvis, *National University of Singapore*
darryl.j Jarvis@nus.edu.sg
- 9:15 **Microfinance and Policy Transfer: Possibilities and Problems**
The adoption of microfinance programs can be problematic because the policies are often not tailored to the countries specific economic, political, and social circumstances. Policy transfer is the key to microfinance transplantation.
Lindsay Alice Jouben, *West Virginia University*
lindsay.jouben@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Cheng-Lang Wang, National University of Singapore**
polwet@nus.edu.sg
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 49-1 **Innovations in Social Policy**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Marc Merrellitz, University of Pennsylvania**
mmerrell@sas.upenn.edu
- 8:35 **Scientific Communities, Local Tobacco Control Coalitions, and Tobacco Control Policy: Investigating Bottom-Up Influences on Social Change and the Policy Process**
We evaluate the role of federally-funded coalitions in advancing comprehensive tobacco control policies (CTCP). We hypothesize that coalitions facilitated early local/state adoption of CTCP by influencing both the policy process & social attitudes.
Stephanie J. Friebel, *West Virginia University*
sfriebel@hsc.wvu.edu
Donley T. Studbar, *West Virginia University*
dstudbar@wvu.edu
- 8:55 **The AIDS Epidemic and Social Welfare: Public and Private Sector Responses in South Africa and Botswana**
Southern Africa's AIDS pandemic is widely considered one of the world's worst. This paper examines public and private sector responses to the AIDS epidemic in Botswana and South Africa, and the implications for the welfare regime in each instance.
Anfalouette Handley, *University of Toronto*
ahandley@utoronto.ca
- 9:15 **Disc., Jeffrey Drope, Marquette University**
jeffrey.drope@marquette.edu
- 9:35 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-1 **Knowledge, Well-Being, and Choice in Models of Policymaking**
Room Burnham 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Nicola A. Alexander, University of Minnesota**
nalexand@umn.edu
- 8:35 **Welfare as Happiness**
This paper argues that human welfare is constituted by positive feeling rather than by preference-satisfaction or by objective criteria. We thus advocate crafting public policy based on well-being analysis instead of cost-benefit analysis.
John Bronsteen, *Loyola University, Chicago*
johnbronsteen@gmail.com
Jonathan Masur, *University of Chicago*
jmasur@gmail.com
Christopher Buccafusco, *Kent College of Law, Chicago*
cbuccafusco@gmail.com
- 8:50 **The Effect of Protected Group Status on Entry into Public Sector Employment: Evidence From a Natural Experiment**
I deploy an instrumental variable design that enlists the Vietnam draft lottery in order to estimate the effect of veteran status on an individual's choice between public and private sector employment after the Vietnam conflict.
Tim Johnson, *Stanford University*
tjnj@stanford.edu
- 9:05 **Revisiting the Pasteur's Quadrant 30 Years After the Bayh-Dole Act: Understanding, Use, and Beyond**
This study revisits Donald Stokes' Pasteur's Quadrant and examines how much it guides academic research activities.
Seobo Lee, *University of West Georgia*
slee@westga.edu
- 9:30 **Volunteer Stream Quality Monitoring in the River Rabbit Watershed: The Problematic Role of Citizen-Scientists**
An explanation of methods for improving the quality of data produced by volunteer macroinvertebrate collection, to more accurately assess water quality, for environmental evaluation and policy purposes. Co-author J. Martin directs one such program.
Jim Martin, *Adrian College*
jmartin@adrian.edu
James E. Hanley, *Adrian College*
jhanley@adrian.edu

- 9:35 **Disc., Nicola A. Alexander, University of Minnesota**
naalexand@umn.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-19 Room**
Policy Process Models in the Global Context
Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Bruce McDonald, Indiana University, South Bend**
brucecd@iusb.edu
- 9:35 **Effects of Policy Shocks on Bribery: Experimental Evidence from the U.S. and Pakistan**
This paper implements bribery in the laboratory as a repeated three person sequential game. Using a within-subjects design, we implement a policy shock on bribery by allowing third party punishment for a limited time.
Shebryar Bakarr, University of Texas, Dallas
sbakarr@utdallas.edu
Catherine C. Eckel, University of Texas, Dallas
cec052000@utdallas.edu
- 8:47 **Traditional Institutions and Agenda Setting: The Case of the Adoption of the Ghana Education Trust Fund**
This paper applies the agenda-setting theory to examine the role of traditional rulers in the educational policymaking of Ghana, West Africa.
Edward Branya, West Virginia University
ebranya@gmail.com
- 8:59 **Policy Making in the Global Environment: Porous Borders but Strong National Systems?**
To help understand the juxtaposition of national and global policy making and media systems, this research develops a model to compare the media coverage of climate change over time and between nations in terms of framing and sourcing of news.
Abigail Jones, George Washington University
abbyaj@yahoo.com
- 9:11 **A Preliminary Comparative Analysis of Public Policy-making in Two of the GCC Countries: Qatar and Kuwait**
This paper analyzes policymaking in two of the GCC countries: Qatar and Kuwait. It examines the process and participants in order to generate a general model while considering the country's political traditions and recent political developments.
Hiba M. Kholif, American University of Beirut
hk39@aub.edu.lb
- 9:23 **An Exploratory Study on International Collaboration and its Role in Research Trajectory: Evidence from U.S.-China Collaboration in Nanotechnology**
Utilizing the turnover of keywords, this study develops a new method tracking the shift of research streams, and tests it within the context of U.S.-China collaboration in nanotechnology.
Li Tang, Georgia Institute of Technology
gt@8474@mail.gatech.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Luciana Nogueira Dar, University of California, Riverside**
luciana.dar@ucr.edu
- Disc., Alexandra Mallett, Carleton University**
alexandra_mallett@carleton.ca
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-1 Room**
American Environmental Politics and Policy
Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Maholley D. Allen, California State University, Chico**
mdallen@csuchico.edu
- 9:35 **The Greening of Federalism**
Federal and state law and courts play an increasing role in the nation's climate change debate. This paper will look at the intersection of federal and state climate change policies, focusing on the legal obstacles to addressing these issues.
Marita J. Adams, California State University, Los Angeles
mradams2@calstatela.edu
- 8:50 **Ideology, Rationality, and Environmental Foreign Policy: Explaining Congressional Votes on U.S. International Environmental Protection Efforts**
Does ideology or economic cost/benefit analysis best explain why members of Congress vote as they do concerning the inclusion environmental protection issues in U.S. foreign policy?
Timothy Michael Kulevet, Rutgers University
tkulevet@rci.rutgers.edu
- 9:05 **Presidential Leadership in Climate Policy: A Look at the Obama Years**
The paper analyzes the political leadership exercised by President Obama on climate change mitigation.
Daniel E. Marlen, University of Central Florida
danielmarlen@yahoo.com
- 9:20 **Green vs. Green: The Political, Administrative, and Legal Pitfalls in Green Energy Production**
Renewable energy has been promoted as the future of energy production. However, these sources face their own set of political and legal challenges. This paper explores how environmental law challenges the development of alternative energy.
Randy T. Skatston, Utah State University
randy.skatston@usu.edu
Brian Carl Steed, Utah State University
brian.steed@usu.edu
Ryan Meritt York, Utah State University
ryan.york@usu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Adam Joseph Ramsey, Harvard Business School**
aramo@hbs.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 52-1 Room**
Formal and Empirical Frontiers of Bureaucratic Politics
Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Stuart V. Jordan, University of Rochester**
sjordan@email.rochester.edu
- 8:35 **The Adoption of Merit Recruitment in 19th and 20th Century European and US State Bureaucracies**
This paper empirically tests the claim that governments are likely to recruit bureaucrats using meritocratic methods when the politically marginalized are skilled. Data are drawn from 19th and early 20th century European countries and U.S. States
James Richard Hollyer, New York University
james.hollyer@nyu.edu
- 8:55 **Exercising Influence? An Analysis of the Effect of EPA's Political Appointments**
How successful are political appointees at influencing bureaucratic activity? This paper leverages vacancies in political appointments to conduct an empirical test of the influence of appointees on bureaucratic outputs.
Rachel Augustine Potter, University of Michigan
rapotter@umich.edu
- 9:15 **Blame Games: Electoral Control and Bureaucratic Performance**
Voters' beliefs and reelection strategies affect the way politicians deal with bureaucrats. We show that shirking is contagious from politicians to bureaucrats, but not vice-versa. Politicians blame and fire bureaucrats after poor performance.
Rainer Schwabe, Northwestern University
rschwabe@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Stephens Lavetta, University of Colorado, Boulder**
stephane.lavetta@colorado.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 53-1 Perceptions of Policy Legitimacy and Agency Behavior**
 Room Indiana on the 3rd floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Anthony Kassebert, Florida State University
 ak056@fsu.edu
- 8:35 The Public's Understanding of Terrorism as a Hazard: Perceived Risk, Potential Behavior and its Implications for Homeland Security Policy and Management Practices**
 We model potential behavioral responses by the general public to several distinct terrorist attack scenarios, in comparison to other more familiar hazards, and examine the implications for homeland security policy choices and management practices.
 Brian J. Gerber, University of Colorado, Denver
 brian.gerber@ucdenver.edu
 Heather Knuffke, University of Colorado, Denver
 heather.knuffke@ucdenver.edu
- 8:47 Individual Value Change and Compliance Attitudes Among the Young: Post-Materialism and Tax Morale**
 Literature on tax compliance has found that younger individuals report lower willingness to comply with their taxes - Tax Morale - than older ones. We hypothesize that these differences arise out of different values being upheld by the youth
 Gabriel Leonardo, Georgia State University
 pedgmb@langate.gsu.edu
- 8:59 Preferences and Perceptions: How Risk Tolerance and Perceived Policy Legitimacy Influence the Effectiveness of Smoking Regulation**
 This paper will examine individual responsiveness to social regulation by exploring how personal risk preferences and attitudes of policy legitimacy influence reactions to new smoking regulation.
 Michael J. Licari, University of Northern Iowa
 michael.licari@uni.edu
- 9:11 Examining Agency Capture Through a Principal-Agent Lens: The Case of the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill**
 Using principal-agent theory as our framework, we examine the links between citizens, the MMS, BP, and BP's partners in the drilling operation. We find that principal-agent theory provides important insights into the problem of agency capture.
 Kathryn A. Neill, Old Dominion University
 kneill001@odu.edu
 John Charles Morris, Old Dominion University
 jcmorris@odu.edu
- 9:23 Adoption of Hazard Adjustments by Large and Small Organizations: Who is Doing the Talking and Who is Doing the Walking?**
 This study examines the relationship between active and passive hazard adjustments and organization size. The result shows that large organizations adopt more active and passive hazard adjustments than small organizations.
 Abdul-Akeem Sadig, Indiana University-Prater University, Indianapolis
 asadig@iupui.edu
- 9:35 Disc., Gary Johnson, Weber State University**
 garyjohnson@weber.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion**
- 54-1 Building Gender Equality**
 Room Monroe 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Sandra L. Suarez, Temple University
 suarezs@temple.edu
- 8:35 Fighting for Woodrow Wilson: Suffragists, Anti-Suffragists and the 19th Amendment**
 The opponents of woman suffrage worked tirelessly in 1916-17 to prevent President Wilson from coming out in support of the 19th Amendment. They were ultimately unsuccessful, but their efforts helped to reshape the debate over women's right to vote.
 Beth Anne Behn, United States Military Academy
 behn.behn@usma.edu
- 8:55 Women's Work: Labor, Identity, and the Campaign for Comparable Worth**
 This paper examines the campaign for "comparable worth" in the 1980s, which offered a more robust vision of the longstanding feminist goal of "equal pay" and an opportunity to bridge the class/identity divide in American politics.
 Jenny Stepp Breen, University of Pennsylvania
 jstepp@pas.upenn.edu
- 9:15 The Cold War Roots of Gender Equality in Higher Education: Policy, Politics, and the National Defense Education Act of 1958**
 After the Soviet Union's Sputnik launch, U.S. policymakers passed the National Defense Education Act of 1958, which provided need-based loans to students. This paper considers the politics surrounding the NDEA's development and its effects for women.
 Deanna Rose, Cornell University
 der33@cornell.edu
- 9:35 Disc., Sandra L. Suarez, Temple University**
 suarezs@temple.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion**
- 56-1 Religious Mobilization and Political Participation in America**
 Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Paul A. DJupe, Denison University
 djupe@denison.edu
- 8:35 Beyond the Pews: The Effect of Religiosity on Political Gender Role Socialization**
 Research has indicated the relationship between strength of religiosity and the desire for women to focus on childrearing, rather than the workplace. We hypothesize that as the strength of religiosity increases support for women in politics decreases.
 Gayle Alberda, Wayne State University
 galberda@gmail.com
 Jennie Sweet-Cushman, Wayne State University
 ec7077@wayne.edu
- 8:47 Behavior, Belief, or Belonging? Value Matching Analysis to Examine the Relationship between Religion and Voting Behavior**
 Using the technique of propensity score matching this paper evaluates the relationship between three measures of religiosity - behavior, belief, and belonging - and voter turnout/vote choice in presidential elections since 1964.
 Ryan P. Burge, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale
 rburge@siu.edu
- 8:59 American Atheists: The Potential Political Activism of an Invisible Demographic**
 This paper explores the liberty of conscience issues specific to nonbelievers and argues in favor of an untapped resource among American atheists ripe for political mobilization.
 Gabriel Seth Hudson, George Mason University
 ghudson@gmu.edu
- 9:11 Mobilizing Christians for Wars Without End: Religion and the Cold War-War on Terror Analogy**
 This paper discusses the Cold War-War on Terror analogy that President Bush pronounced after 9/11, with a focus on the role religion played in administrative efforts in the past and recent present to mobilize the populace for a 'war without end'
 Andrew James Schlemmer, Grand Valley State University
 schlemm@gvsu.edu
- 9:23 Prayers, Participation, and Prosperity: The Impact of Wealth on Religion in Political and Civic Engagement**
 This paper examines the interaction between wealth, social class, and religious behaviors (both public and private) in understanding how these factors impact the civic and political engagement of Americans.
 Patrick Lee Schoettmer, University of Notre Dame
 pschoett@nd.edu

9:35 **Disc., Melissa M. Deckman, Washington College**

mdeckman2@washcoll.edu

9:55 **Audience Discussion**

71-8 **Princes, Philosophers, and Literary Critics: From Machiavelli and Shakespeare to Edmund Wilson and Lionel Trilling**

Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 8:30 am

8:30 **Chair, Carl Scott, Skidmore College**

csco11@skidmore.edu

8:35 **Henry V: Shakespeare's Machiavellian Moment**

Shakespeare portrays Henry V as an ethically ambivalent prince, ambitious, but adhering to a well-defined moral framework. Henry's flexible style is a sophisticated example of unlightened leadership during periods of social and political change.

Jerry E. Herbel, *Georgia College and State University*

jherbel@gcsu.net

8:47 **The Character of Philosophy in *Timon of Athens***

This paper explores the ways in which *Timon of Athens* builds on themes evident in Plato's *Symposium*. In analyzing the degradation of philosophy by comparing Apemantus and Socrates, one gets a better idea of the similar degradation in political life.

Daryl W. Kaytor, *Carleton University*

darylwk@gmail.com

8:59 **Shakespeare's *Civ* in Speech: On the Political Dimension of *Titus Andronicus***

Examines Shakespeare's political thought in *Titus Andronicus*.

The play surveys the themes of ancient political philosophy and presents an ethical teaching on the best conceivable life for individuals in modernity.

Stephanie Murphy, *University of North Texas*

bonesmurphy2@gmail.com

9:11 **Literary Criticism, Cultural Studies, and Business Culture**

Literary criticism will not regain its importance in the general culture until it is written once more by critics whose assumptions about the world and human life are not entirely at odds with those numerous Americans who are not English professors.

James Seaton, *Michigan State University*

seaton@msu.edu

9:23 **Mandragola as a Machiavellian Prince**

The full extent of Mandragola's power as a didactic tool is realized when one recognizes that each major character serves as an example of some Machiavellian principle.

Travis S. Smith, *Northern Illinois University*

trv.smth79@gmail.com

9:35 **Disc., Carl Scott, Skidmore College**

csco11@skidmore.edu

Disc., Lee Trappanier, *Saginaw Valley State University*

ltrappan@svsu.edu

9:55 **Audience Discussion**

Thursday, March 31 at 10:25 am**1-4 Voting Behavior and Campaigns at the Local Level: Exploring the Roles of Race and Polarization**

Room Crystal on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Michael W. Wagner, *University of Nebraska*
 mwagner3@unl.edu

10:30 Post-Race White? Anxiety and the (re)Emergence of White Politics in Black Political Spaces

What role does emotion and affective states like anxiety play in facilitating racial cross-over voting among Blacks in majority-minority spaces?

Nyoma N. Crawford, *Ohio State University*
 crawford.574@polisci.osu.edu

10:45 Race, Inequality, Fragmentation, and Southern Exceptionalism: An Exploration of the Causes of Metropolitan Political Polarization in the 2000 Election

Using precinct-level level data from the 2000 presidential election, this paper explores the causes of metropolitan political polarization. Katherine Levine Elstete, *Harvard University*
 klevine@fas.harvard.edu

11:00 Why "Machine vs. Reform" Has Not Withered Away: Regime Conflict After the First (and Second) Black Mayor

Racial unity characterizes the first Black mayoral victory. Where that breakthrough is not rolled back, African American candidates structure electoral choice along Machine vs. Reform lines, even in a post-machine age.

Rich Keiser, *Carleton College*
 rkeiser@carleton.edu

11:15 Campaign Advertising in Mayoral Elections: Different Roles, Different Candidates, Different Strategies

We examine tv ads from mayoral elections in 35 cities from 2003-05. Our analysis focuses on how factors unique to local elections affect strategy. Such factors include run-off elections, the absence of party labels, and candidates' race or gender.

Timothy B. Krabe, *University of New Mexico*
 tkrabe@unm.edu

David B. Holian, *University of North Carolina, Greensboro*
 dbholian@uncg.edu

11:30 Disc., Michael W. Wagner, *University of Nebraska*
 mwagner3@unl.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion

2-100 Author meets critics: Silja Häussermann (2010): The Politics of Welfare State Reform in Continental Europe: Modernization in Hard Times. CUP

Room Sage Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
 This is an author-meets-critics event of the above mentioned book.

Chair Silja Häussermann, *University of Zurich*
 silja.hauessermann@ipz.uzh.ch

Panelist Mark Vail, *Tulane University*
 mvail@tulane.edu
 Alan M. Jacobs, *University of British Columbia*
 jacobs@politics.ubc.ca
 Christopher J. Anderson, *Cornell University*
 christopher.anderson@cornell.edu
 Pablo Beramendi, *University of Oxford*
 pablo.beramendi@univ.ox.ac.uk
 Sara Watson, *University of British Columbia*
 watsos.sara@gmail.com
 Alexander Kub, *Juan March Institute*
 akub@jmmarch.es

4-16 Sub-national Politics

Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Tullia G. Falletti, *University of Pennsylvania*
 falletti@sas.upenn.edu

10:30 Health Under Federalism: Subnational Elite Electoral Incentives and the Building of State-Level Healthcare Institutions in Brazil

This paper analyzes the construction of public healthcare institutions in three Brazilian states. It finds that state-level healthcare frameworks vary widely due to political elite responses to patterns of subnational political competition.

Jorge Antonio Alves, *Brown University*
 Jorge_Alves@Brown.edu

10:45 Does Decentralization Improve Government Accountability? Citizen Attitudes Following Decentralization in Colombia

Using survey data from 2004-06, we demonstrate that more decentralized Colombian departments are seen as having more accountable government even after controlling for individual and department level predictors of accountability.

Maria Eschobar-Lemmon, *Texas A&M University*
 eschobar@polisci.tamu.edu

Ashley Dyan Ross, *Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi*
 ashleydross@gmail.com

10:54 From the Bottom Up: Accounting for Subnational Variation in Latin American Political Culture

Given the gross inequalities and divergent political environments found subnationally throughout the developing world, we argue that national level measures of political culture are inadequate and oftentimes misleading.

Brian Matthew Faughnan, *Vanderbilt University*
 brian.m.faughnan@vanderbilt.edu

Mason Wallace Moseley, *Vanderbilt University*
 mason.w.moseley@vanderbilt.edu

11:06 Decentralization and Local Government - Finding a 'Fit for Purpose' Model for Ghana

Decentralization and local government is said to be one of the essential ingredients to Ghana's democratization process as well as its economic and social reform agenda. This paper compares local government structures in Europe with Ghanaian model.

Fofie Ovwuor-Pofie, *Ghana Institute of Public Administration*
 fofie@giempa.edu.gh

11:18 Fragile Accountability: The Limitations of Institutional Reform in Mexican States

Analysis of institutional reforms aimed to improve political accountability of Mexican state governments. Research shows a limited effect given a weak ability to adjust administrative processes and coordination problems among disparate institutions.

Alejandra Rios-Cazares, *CIDE*
 alejandra.rios@cide.edu

11:30 Disc., Tullia G. Falletti, *University of Pennsylvania*
 falletti@sas.upenn.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion

5-3 Development in China

Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Dajiang Lou, *Rutgers University*
 dlou@rutger.edu

10:30 Changing Political Systems in China since the 1990's

The author challenges existing studies on Chinese political system by providing facts, reexamining the theories that shaped the misunderstanding of a changed China, and proposing a new model for understanding modern democracy.

Shitao Hill Huo, *University of Wisconsin, Baraboo/Sauk*
 Shitao.huo@uwec.edu

10:42 Internet and New Dimensions of Political Participation in Urban China

This paper examines political participation in urban China with the emergence of the Internet. Four hypothetical questions are advanced

- in terms of "high-politics" issues, hierarchical relationships, political rights, and the traditional culture.
 Waiwen Shi, *Wayne State University*
 bs1087@wayne.edu
- 10:54 **When Do Autocrats Build Less Corrupt Courts: Sub-National Evidence From China**
 When do autocrats build less corrupt courts? Drawing on a cross-sectional data set of 102 Chinese counties, this paper seeks to address this puzzle in the Chinese context.
 Yuhua Wang, *University of Michigan*
 wangyh@umich.edu
- 11:06 **Solidarity in Formation?: A Study of Opinions and Collective Identity Among Chinese Social Entrepreneurs**
 This paper examines the political reflections and the sense of collective identity among NGOs staff, activists, and other social entrepreneurs in China.
 Fengshi Wu, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
 wufengshi@cuhk.edu.hk
- 11:18 **Regime Inclusion, Social Control and the Resilience of Authoritarian Rule: The United Front in Post-Mao China**
 This paper seeks to explore the Chinese Communist Party's United Front as a social control mechanism in post-Mao China and expect to answer why an extensive regime inclusion network is crucial for the persistence of authoritarian rule in China today.
 Xiaojun Yan, *University of Hong Kong*
 xyj@hku.hk
- 11:30 **Disc., Melissa Calk, University of Wisconsin, Madison**
 cal2@wisc.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-21 **Institutional Impact on Democratization**
 Room Sandberg 7 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Rutledge F. Tinsley, Arkansas State University**
 rtinsley@astate.edu
- 10:30 **Taking Back Reform: Institutional Reversibility and the Pace of Democratization**
 When do dictators introduce democratic institutions? I test for the two-way causality that emerges from the existing sequentialist theories and a new rational expectations theory of institutional reversibility using an interdependent duration model.
 Aya Kechil, *University of Illinois*
 akchil2@illinois.edu
- 10:45 **Opportunity Makes a Thief: Political Opportunity Structures and Constitutional Revision in Semi-Presidential Systems**
 Semi-presidential regimes carry unique dangers. Where parliaments are fractionalized and unable to cooperate and where presidents have key powers to issue decrees, institute states of emergency, or propose referenda.
 Israel Marques, *Columbia University*
 im2219@columbia.edu
- 11:00 **The Impact of Parliamentary Balance of Power and Party Size on Poland's Constitutional Consolidation, 1991-1997**
 I examine the impact of parliamentary balance of power and party size on changes in the institutional powers of Poland's central state institutions in its early post-Communist democratic development.
 Curtis M. Simon, *Mt. San Antonio College*
 curtissimon2000@aol.com
- 11:15 **Judges and Democracy in South Africa**
 Analysis of the contributions that the decisions of the South African Constitutional Court have made in the country's transition to democracy since 1994.
 Shannon E. Smiley, *Westminster College*
 smeshesi@westminster.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Michael Tager, Marquette College**
 utagerm@marquette.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-2 **Inter-branch Politics**
 Room Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Erika Moreno, Creighton University**
 erikamoreno@creighton.edu
- 10:30 **Delegated by Design: The Process and Politics of Constitution-Making**
 This paper examines how the process and politics of constitution-making influences the extent of the formal delegation of power by drafters to the judiciary and independent agencies such as human rights and electoral commissions.
 Justin Blount, *University of Illinois*
 jblount2@illinois.edu
- 10:43 **Judicial Reform and Court Curbing in Comparative Perspective: Preliminary Evidence from Five Latin American Countries**
 This project explores judicial reform projects comparatively, using a new data set of legislative initiatives to reform high courts (1995-2010) in five Latin American countries.
 Amanda Driscoll, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 adriscollwusrl@gmail.com
- 10:54 **Autonomy and Influence: A Comparative Theory of Legislative Power**
 This research provides a theoretically derived comparative framework for understanding legislative power across time and political systems based on an the institutional and individual autonomy of the legislature and its members.
 Amie Kruppel, *University of Florida*
 kruppel@ces.ufl.edu
- 11:06 **A Transaction Cost Approach to Ministerial Selection in Latin America**
 We argue that presidents will choose to appoint non-partisan ministers when the delegation to parties poses the risk of agency problems and the capacity of parties to commit credibly decreases. We test our theory on Latin American data.
 Cecilia Martínez-Gallardo, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 cmg2@unc.edu
- 11:18 **Veto Bargaining and the Line-Item Veto**
 We extend models of veto bargaining to capture the variation resulting from the existence of line-item vetoes and line-item overrides. We test the new implications of the model using data on Argentina between 1983-2010.
 Gheta Sim, *University of Illinois*
 gsim@uiuc.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Erika Moreno, Creighton University**
 erikamoreno@creighton.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Joseph L. Staatz, University of Minnesota, Duluth**
 jstaatz@d.umn.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-5 **Institutional Incentives and Policy Provision**
 Room Burnham 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Eric Magar, Instituto Tecnológico Autonomo de Mexico**
 emagar@iam.mx
- 10:30 **Teachers, Unions, and Students: The Political Determinants of the Distribution of Education Spending in Young Democracies**
 In this paper, I analyze the consequences of the collective action capacity of teachers, students and parents, and their interaction with political parties in competitive elections on the allocation of educational spending in Mexico.
 Marco Antonio Fernandez, *Duke University*
 marco.fernandez@duke.edu

- 10:45 **Party Systems, Policymaking Process and the Evolution of Developmental Coalitions: Comparing Evidence from Korea and Taiwan**
This paper analyzes the long-term transformation of technology policy networks in Korea and Taiwan. It hypothesizes that technology policies are the outcomes of complex interpersonal exchanges among political actors.
Yongjoon Jo, *Indiana University*
yojo@indiana.edu
- 11:00 **Political Institutions and the Efficiency of Public Good Provision: The Case of Education**
In this paper we employ recent empirical techniques to estimate the efficiency of public investment in education and to explore the role of particular features of political institutions in explaining differences found across countries.
Fabiana Vatasques Marzullo, *Inter-American Development Bank*
fabmaran@iadb.org
- 11:15 **Election Timing, Distributive Politics, and Incentives for Anti-Crime Spending**
We model spending on punishment vs prevention of crime as a function of electoral and distributive incentives. These two explanations of the paper indicate incarceration rates in the US are not exceptional, but the product of institutional features.
Amos Boyd Hale Worthington, *University of Michigan*
abhwa@umich.edu
Sharon McGillivray, *University of Michigan*
smcgiliv@umich.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Francisco Javier Aparicio, CIDE**
javiecaparicio@cide.edu
Disc., Eric Mager, Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México
emager@itam.mx
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-1 **Themes in European Comparative Political Behavior**
Room 10:25 **Chair, Mark N. Franklin, European University Institute**
mark.franklin@eui.ac.uk
- 10:30 **Analyzing Icelandic Support for EU Membership**
Even during economic crisis, the economic determinants of Icelandic individual attitudes toward European Union membership are almost entirely mediated by political and cultural determinants.
K. Amber Curtis, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
amber.curtis@colorado.edu
Joseph Jupille, *University of Colorado*
jupille@colorado.edu
- 10:42 **Comparative Contexts: The Effects of Political Disagreement on Citizen Engagement in Three Countries**
This paper investigates the way in which partisan discord in different social contexts (the household, municipality, electoral district and region) influences individual level political engagement in Germany, Switzerland and the UK.
Jennifer Fitzgerald, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
jennifer.fitzgerald@colorado.edu
K. Amber Curtis, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
amber.curtis@colorado.edu
- 10:54 **Feedback in the EU Advocacy System**
This paper tests whether legislative outcomes impact interest group populations.
Laila Fatemah Sorurihaskibi, *University of Houston*
laila@uhs.edu
- 11:06 **A European Identity: Not for Every European?**
Nation-builders once forged national identities by force. In the West today, people may choose freely to identify with any number and combination of political groups — be they ethnic, national, or supranational. What factors determine these choices?
Matthew Allen Spears, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
mspears5@illinois.edu
- 11:18 **Perceptions of Justice and Crime Among Muslim Minorities in Europe**
Using "At Home in Europe" project survey data collected across 11 cities in Europe, we investigate the perceptions of crime and justice among Muslim minorities in Europe and compare them to African Americans' perceptions in the US.
Basak Yavcan Ural, *University of Pittsburgh*
hay4@pitt.edu
Jon Hurwitz, *University of Pittsburgh*
hurwitz@pitt.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Guillermo Rico, Universitat Pompeu Fabra**
gwillom.nco@upf.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-1 **The European Parliament: Roll Call Voting and Campaigns**
Room 10:25 **Chair, David Rossbach, Chatham University**
drossbach@chatham.edu
- 10:30 **The Duration of EU Legislative Decisions-Making as a Measure of the Influence of the European Parliament**
I explore how the duration in the adoption of legislation relates to the influence of the EP in EU decision-making. An spatial model of influence of the EP is applied against data on duration and volume of EU legislation in different policy areas.
Cesar García Pérez de León, *Centre d'Etudes Européennes*
cesar.garcia@cepe.univ-paris1.fr
- 10:50 **Complicated Behavioral Conditions and Their Consequences on Roll Call Votes of the European Parliament**
This paper explores the effects of complicated behavioral conditions for the members of the European Parliament. Effects of two major conditions such as the structure of party affiliations and characteristics of legislative issues are analyzed.
Jeonghwa Han, *Seoul National University*
jhan72@gmail.com
- 11:10 **Changing for What? Electoral Campaigns' Development and Their Effectiveness in the Case of the 2009 EU Election**
The 2009 EU Candidate Study gathered for the first time a large dataset that allows us to investigate EU candidates campaigns' depth and to empirically assess the extent to which they really are "second order campaigns".
Marie-Laure Sudulich, *University of Amsterdam*
m.l.sudulich@uva.nl
Matthew Wall, *Trinity College, Dublin*
wallm@tcd.ie
- 11:30 **Disc., Catherine De Vries, University of Amsterdam**
c.e.devries@uva.nl
- 11:50 **Disc., David Rossbach, Chatham University**
drossbach@chatham.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-18 **Deindustrialization and the European Welfare State**
Room 10:25 **Chair, Klaus Schubert, University of Muenster**
klaus.schubert@uni-muenster.de
- 10:30 **Lesson II: Managing Deindustrialization in Britain and Germany**
This paper seeks to explain the political strategies associated with the loss of manufacturing industries and the adoption of service-oriented economies in Britain and the Federal Republic of Germany since the 1960s.
Gregory Bald, *Western Illinois University*
gbald@georgiawest.edu
- 10:50 **EU Modelled Information as a Guide for National Welfare Reformers**
The paper focuses on a comparative assessment of the way foreign models have been presented in the media and in the parliamentary

- debates surrounding the recent welfare reforms in France and Portugal.
Helene Caine, Harvard University
 helene@fas.harvard.edu
- 11:10 **A Systems Model of Worker Mobility Forecasts between the CEE/CU and EU15 Countries**
 An issue during the accession preparations of the CEE/CU to the European Union in the previous decade was the volume of expected worker migration. The results of a systems model of this question suggest that this concern may have been overblown.
Chris Orsney, Indiana University-Purdue University, Fort Wayne
 orsneyc@ipfw.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Klaus Schubert, University of Munster**
 klaus.schubert@uni-muenster.de
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-1 **Inequality and Social Policies in Latin America**
 Room 10:25 **Burlington on the 5th floor, Thur at 10:25 am**
Chair, Nathan J. Kelly, University of Tennessee
 Nathan.J.Kelly@gmail.com
- 10:30 **The Elderly Population and the Size and Composition of Local Government in Brazil**
 Our results (FEV estimation) show that the elderly population is associated with smaller local government size, investment, wage expenditure, and social expenditure (including education, health, transportation, and housing).
Paulo R. Arvate, Getulio Vargas Foundation/Michigan State University
 paulo.arvate@fgv.br
- 10:40 **Partisanship and Inequality in Latin America's Leftist Era**
 The resurgence of the left has reopened important questions about the consequences of partisan government in contemporary Latin American democracies. The paper assesses how partisanship shapes economic inequality in the world's most unequal region.
Paul T. Bellinger, University of Missouri
 ptbell@missouri.edu
- 10:50 **Institutional, Rationalist, and Constructivist Approaches to the Study of Labor Politics in Latin America**
 My paper is a critical review of literature on labor politics in Latin America from the institutional and rationalist perspectives. I argue that a different perspective is needed to incorporate an emphasis on state intervention.
Jorge Alberto Fernandez Ortega, New School for Social Research
 fernj141@newschool.edu
- 11:00 **Market Conditioning, Redistributive, and Income Inequality in Latin America and the Caribbean**
 This paper presents a cross-national analysis of the determinants of income inequality in Latin America and the Caribbean.
Nathan J. Kelly, University of Tennessee
 Nathan.J.Kelly@gmail.com
Jana Morgan, University of Tennessee, Knoxville
 janamorgan@utk.edu
- 11:10 **Populism, the Welfare State and No Middle Ground? Why There is no Stable Welfare State Equilibrium in Latin America**
 The paper presents a model to explain why there is an 'oscillation' between radical market liberalism and populist redistribution in many Latin American countries. Public opinion data and anecdotal evidence corroborates key aspects of this model.
Ashia Kemmerling, CEU, Budapest
 Kemmerlinga@ceu.hu
- 11:20 **Assertive Social Rights Litigation in Latin America: Theory and Empirical Strategy**
 This paper offers a theoretical approach to these issues, and devises a research strategy to systematically assess social rights litigation and outcomes in the High Courts of several Latin American countries.
Kaui Alberto Sanchez Urbibarrat, Tulane University
 mscheru@tulane.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Paulo R. Arvate, Getulio Vargas Foundation/Michigan State University**
 paulo.arvate@fgv.br
Disc., Carole Elisabeth Boubling, University of Colorado, Boulder
 carwb@colorado.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-15 **Media and Politics in Asia**
 Room **Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am**
Chair, Eunja Chl, Korea University
 chl@korea.ac.kr
- 10:30 **Technological Environments: The Role of Civil Society in China's Engagement with the World**
 civil society, the Internet, foreign policy, China
Sheng Ding, Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania
 shengding_research@gmail.com
- 10:45 **Explaining U.S.-India Bilateral Relations: Impact of Consumerist Middle Class of India, 1998-2008**
 Explains why national interests of India converged with U.S. interests, which led to dramatic improvement in bilateral relations 1998-08. To assess Indian consumerist middle class influence on bilateral relations, uses newspaper content analysis.
Sibhaji Kumar, Ohio State University
 kumar.140@osu.edu
Mangesh Sawant, Columbia University
 mangesh_sawant@yahoo.com
- 11:00 **Mediated Politics in Taiwan: Political Talk Shows and Democracy**
 Through the spread and overlap of media, particularly Taiwan's television talk shows, any political event can easily develop into a heavily mediated political issue. This study will explore the role of the media in Taiwan's democratic institutions.
Wei-Chia Lee, Wake Forest University
 leewei@wfu.edu
- 11:15 **The Impact of the Electronic Media on the Modern Indian Voter: A Study of the Post-Liberalization Era**
 The paper focuses on the impact of the electronic media on voting behavior in India in the post-liberalization era. It also sees if newer forms of political participation through blogging etc. have influenced voting behavior in any significant way.
Chandrika Roy, Kent State University
 croy@kent.edu
Sayantani Sathpathi, University of Oklahoma
 Sayantani.Sathpathi.1@ou.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Jennifer Russell, University of Texas, Austin**
 jennifer.russell@mail.utexas.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 13-1 **Economic Behavior and Development in Former Communist States**
 Room **Sakoa 1 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am**
Chair, Dilyana S. Duvanova, SUNY, Buffalo
 duvanova@buffalo.edu
- 10:25 **Chair, Dilyana S. Duvanova, SUNY, Buffalo**
 duvanova@buffalo.edu
- 10:30 **Estimating Policy Positions in Personalized Politics: Measuring the Median Voter Through Wordcores**
 Using Russia in 2008-10 as an example of a polity with a high degree of personalization and unclear distribution of executive authority, we estimate and compare policy positions of its President and Prime Minister using wordcore techniques.
Alexander Barant, Dublin City University
 alex.barant@dcu.ie
Slava Mishaylov, University College, London
 v.mishaylov@ucl.ac.uk

- 10:40 National Subversion of Supranational Goals: EU Structural Funds, Coalition Governments, and Ethnic Minorities**
This paper compares the distribution of EU Structural Funds to municipalities in Bulgaria and Latvia and tests economic and political explanations for the differences in funding within and across these countries.
Stephen Rhyne Bloom, *Southern Illinois University*
bloom@siu.edu
Vladilava Petrova, *Southern Illinois University*
vpetrova@siu.edu
- 10:50 The Influence of Soviet-era Economy in Transition on the Establishment of Public Relations Industry in Russia**
In this paper, we argue that the legacy of the Soviet Union had a considerable impact on the development of the Public Relations industry in Russia.
Maria Fedorova, *Indiana University*
maria_fedorova717@hotmail.com
- 11:00 Collusion or Competition? Financial Development in Transition**
The variation in financial structures in Eastern Europe is a function of a variation in the barriers to foreign investments. Reform strategies yielded clientelistic and open financial systems. The paper presents quantitative and case-study evidence.
Jana Gritterova, *University of California, Riverside*
jana.g@ucr.edu
- 11:30 The Interaction of Cabinet Instability and International Pressure: An Examination of Russia's Relations With the International Financial Institutions**
This paper analyzes the relationship of Russia with the IMF, World Bank and EBRD to better understand the interaction of international factors on the regime change process with the domestic factors of cabinet instability.
Jennifer E. Romina, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
jeromine@illinois.edu
- 11:30 Pathways into Weakness: Enterprise-level Determinants of Union Marginalization in Central and Eastern Europe**
The paper examines enterprise-level determinants of labor weakness in Central and Eastern Europe by analyzing historically contingent decisions made by union leaders in response to incentives offered by governments and considers future alternatives.
Alexandra Steadler Lee, *University of Richmond*
asteadle@richmond.edu
Vera Trappmann, *Universität Oldenburg*
vera.trappmann@uos.de
- 11:30 Disc., Simona Kustec Lipicer, University of Ljubljana**
simona.kustec-lipicer@fdv.uni-lj.si
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 14-2 Room**
10:25 Bureaucracy and Politics under Weak Institutions
Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, Scott Gehlbach, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
gehlbach@polisci.wisc.edu
- 10:30 Bureaucratic Discretion, Regulatory Uncertainty, and Political Institutions: An Examination of Private Investment Across Russia's Regions**
Using an original dataset of Russian regional legislation, I find that where political institutions discourage democratic political competition, greater bureaucratic discretion in regulatory laws correlates with lower levels of private investment.
Catherine H. Beazer, *Ohio State University*
beazer.1@osu.edu
- 10:45 Political Competition Without Elections: A Model of Nondemocratic Politics**
In nondemocratic politics, incumbency advantage is the ability to repress opponents to increase the chance of political and personal survival. We show that repressions are likely to be persistent and that such regimes show low economic performance.
Georgy Egorov, *Kellogg School of Management*
g-egorov@kellogg.northwestern.edu
Koushanika Sarda, *New Economic School, Moscow*
ksarda@gmail.com
- 11:00 Why Do Bureaucrats Comply? Electoral Manipulation and Legitimacy Under Weak Institutions**
How do rulers get bureaucrats to comply? We focus on the role of electoral manipulation in convincing bureaucrats that the ruler will remain in power in weak institutionalized environments.
Scott Gehlbach, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
gehlbach@polisci.wisc.edu
Alberto Simpser, *University of Chicago*
asimpser@uchicago.edu
- 11:15 Why Do Weak States Prefer Prohibition to Taxation?**
Why do weak states prefer prohibition to taxation? We provide a positive theory showing that keeping an undesirable good illegal is more efficient than legalizing and taxing it, even if producers of prohibited goods bribe state enforcers.
Jaba Nyé, *George Mason University*
jnye@gmu.edu
Desiree Desierto, *University of the Philippines*
desireedesierto@gmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., Ethun Batwo De Masquita, University of Chicago**
blm@uchicago.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 15-2 Room**
10:25 Individual Responses to Globalization
Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, David Andrew Slager, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
dasinger@mit.edu
- 10:30 A Critical Test at Last: How Important are Industry Effects for Attitudes Towards Trade and Immigration?**
We explore the impact of people's sector of employment on their policy preferences on trade and immigration. We present evidence from a unique study of workers in twelve industries that vary in key dimensions of exposure to foreign competition.
Jens Haldenrøder, *Harvard University*
jhdaldenr@fas.harvard.edu
Michael J. Hoxby, *Harvard University*
hoxby@fas.harvard.edu
Yotam Margalit, *Columbia University*
ym2297@columbia.edu
- 10:40 Relative Poverty and Risk: An Aspiration-Based Model of Vulnerability**
What is the impact of inequality and perceived inequality? Through an experiment conducted in Nepal, I find that a surge in perceived relative poverty can shift people toward risky decisions in a way that creates a potential for exploitation.
Cecilia H. Ma, *Stanford University*
ma_cecilia@gsi.stanford.edu
- 10:54 Who Cares About Globalization? Matched Survey of Legislators and Voters During the Financial Crisis**
Using a matched survey of legislators and voters conducted in Japan during the current recession, this paper investigates what determines the congruence between legislators' and voters' policy positions on globalization.
Megumi Naoi, *University of California, San Diego*
mnaoi@ucsd.edu
- 11:05 French Regim: Nationalism and Consumer Preferences Prior to the 2003 Iraq Invasion**
That nationalism influences economic choices is widely suggested but difficult to demonstrate. We provide novel evidence of nationalist sentiment revealed in consumer behavior.
Sonal S. Pandya, *University of Virginia*
spandya@virginia.edu
Robert Urbatsch, *Iowa State University*
rurbat@iastate.edu

- 11:19** **Are Policy Makers Out of Step With Their Constituency When It Comes to Immigration?**
Using a new dataset of immigration polls across liberal democracies over the last 50 years and data on immigration policies, we examine how well public opinion correlates with policy.
Margaret E. Peters, *Stanford University*
mpeters@stanford.edu
Alexander Moss Tabk, *Stanford University*
polisci@tabk.us
- 11:30** **Disc., David Andrew Sloger, Massachusetts Institute of Technology**
dsloger@mit.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 15:19** **Domestic Politics of International Trade**
Room
10:25
10:30
10:42
10:54
11:06
11:18
11:30
11:50
- Burnham 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chase, Tobias Hofmann, *College of William & Mary*
hofmannb@gmail.com
- Still Privileging Industry: The Impact of Industrial Geography and Institutional Structure on U.S. AD/CVD Decisions**
This paper examines the role of bureaucratic agencies in refereeing the opposing interests of domestic industries, foreign policy objectives, and the political priorities of Congress and the President to produce AD/CVD policy outcomes.
Jeremy Coddell, *Washington University, St. Louis*
jccoddell@go.wustl.edu
- Does Compensating Those Harmed Increase Support for Trade? An Experimental Test of the Embedded Liberalism Thesis**
We test the argument that compensating those who lose from trade can increase support for free trade using a survey experiment in the U.S. We find that knowledge of compensation does increase support among some respondents.
Sean D. Ehrlich, *Florida State University*
sehrlich@fsu.edu
Eddie Ebeara, *Florida State University*
eebeara@fsu.edu
- Take the Money and Run: Why Governments Protect Declining Industries**
Why do governments support declining industries? The model in this paper connects the lobbying advantages of declining industries to their ability to signal that they will expand jobs in a district in return for tariffs.
Lacey M. Goodhart, *Columbia University*
lmg2005@columbia.edu
- Compensating the Losers: Evidence of Policy Responses to Globalization From Congressional Votes**
We examine pairs of roll call votes on trade policy and spending on Trade Adjustment Assistance that occur in the same or adjacent Congresses. Legislators representing more exporters are more likely to vote for both liberalization and compensation.
Stephanie J. Rickard, *London School of Economics*
stephanie.rickard@gmail.com
- Trade Policy, Competition Policy, and the Majoritarian Protectionist Bias**
Reconciling Grossman & Helpman (2005) and Rogowski & Kayser (2002), this paper presents a unifying framework linking electoral systems to the choice of policy alternatives between trade protection and competition policy.
Weiyi Shi, *University of California, San Diego*
wshi@ucsd.edu
- Disc., Tobias Hofmann, College of William & Mary**
hofmannb@gmail.com
- Audience Discussion**
- 16-2** **Understanding the Domestic Politics of Military Mobilization**
Room
10:25
10:30
10:45
11:00
11:15
11:30
11:50
17-3
Room
10:25
10:30
10:50
11:10
11:30
- Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, Michael Horowitz, *University of Pennsylvania*
horow@mss.upenn.edu
- Markets and Manpower: The Political Economy of Contemporary Military Service**
Under what conditions is institutionalized conscription rational? Can it be used to help post-conflict stabilization? We test the relative weights and interactions of security, economic, and social factors in a state's choice of manpower systems.
Lindsay P. Cohen, *University of Northern Iowa*
lindsay.cohen@unioi.duke.edu
Nathan Toronto, *School of Advanced Military Studies*
nathan.toronto@us.army.mil
- Campaigning for Handcuffing: Domestic Political Constraints on Military Posture Towards China**
This paper analyzes support for increased military spending among citizens in China's neighbors in light of attitudes towards China, domestic policy preferences, and potential policy concessions from China.
Res W. Douglas, *Princeton University*
resdouglass@gmail.com
Matthew Incentappa, *Princeton University*
mattincent@princeton.edu
- Optimal Defense Policy Under Domestic Constraints, 1815-1914**
This paper uses a unique historical data set to examine choices made by European governments from 1815 to 1914 on military expenditures. It considers both spending sufficiently for defense and negotiating demands for domestic constitutional reforms.
John Andrew Sincelar, *California Institute of Technology*
jsincelar08@mit.edu
- Energy Price Variation, Domestic Politics and Grand Strategy in Soviet Union and Russia, 1973-2008**
Energy price variation alters level of resources available to state leaders for domestic purposes, which influences selection of grand strategy that in turn influences domestic politics. Proposition tested against Soviet Union/Russia, 1973-2008.
Yuvraj Weber, *University of Texas*
yweber@mail.utexas.edu
- Disc., Michael Horowitz, University of Pennsylvania**
horow@mss.upenn.edu
- Audience Discussion**
- Peter Transitions and the Potential for War**
Room
10:25
10:30
10:50
11:10
11:30
- Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, Alex Weisiger, *University of Pennsylvania*
weisiger@sas.upenn.edu
- The Rising Challenger's Preliminary Battle Toward Hegemonic War**
I will deal with the effects of a third actor functioning as an "obstructor" to the rising challenger, which brings about a delay in the timing of the challenge against the leading state to carry out the "Preliminary Battle" for "Hegemonic War".
Doyoung Lee, *Korea University*
ugepdr33@gmail.com
- Power and Preferences: Belief Formation and Signals of Future Intentions During Power Transitions**
This paper models how declining states update their beliefs about the future intentions of rising states during power transitions, arguing that the operation of signaling mechanisms suggested in the existing literature is contextually bounded.
Brandon K. Veder, *University of Virginia*
bky5q@virginia.edu
- Disc., Alex Weisiger, University of Pennsylvania**
weisiger@sas.upenn.edu
- Audience Discussion**

- 17-19** **The Strength and Longevity of Alliances**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Maria do Céu Pinto, Universidade do Minho**
 ocupato@seg.uminho.pt
- 10:30** **Washington's War on Terror in South Asia: With or Without Pakistan, a Chilling Act?**
 Despite a decade of the ongoing War on Terror, several developments focus on the stronghold of militancy in Pakistan. This raises an important question: to what extent can US rely on its (Dwelling ally?)
 Vandana Bhatta, *University of Alberta*
 vbhatta@ualberta.ca
- 10:42** **Involuntary Cooperation: Coercion, Asymmetry, and Dominance in Alliance Politics**
 The aim of this paper is to examine the role of coercion in alliance politics. More specifically, this study was undertaken to identify operationalizable coercive factors and to understand how these factors lengthen the duration of an alliance.
 Pei-Shue Hsieh, *University of Nebraska-Lincoln*
 Edward.Hsieh0219@gmail.com
- 10:54** **Opportunistic Violation in Crises?: A Test of Alliance Termination**
 This article aims to focus on violations in the study of alliance termination unlike the previous work analyzing alliance as an aggregated unit and illustrate their opportunistic violation for their own survival at a higher level of external threats.
 Azusa Katagiri, *University of Tokyo*
 azusa.katagiri@gmail.com
- 11:06** **Best Friends Forever? Re-examining Why Alliances End During War**
 Which states make the best allies? Existing studies of alliance performance during war study all types of alliances. This study focuses on defensive alliances exclusively. The results challenge existing ideas of which states make the best allies.
 John Nathaniel Lee, *Florida State University*
 jal00@fsu.edu
- 11:18** **Alliance Duration and the Construction of Peace**
 This paper updates and extends both Reed (1997) and Bennett (1997) with the recently released alliance data (Gibler and Sarkotes, 2004) and provides evidence for an overlooked correlate of alliance duration.
 David H. Sacko, *United States Air Force Academy*
 david.sacko@usaafa.edu
 Jeremy Lloyd, *United States Air Force Academy*
 jerry.lloyd@usaafa.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Zachary Shirkley, Homer College, CUNY**
 zshirkley@homer.cuny.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 18-2** **Studies on the Steps to War**
Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, John A. Vasquez, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
 vasqueja@uiuc.edu
- 10:30** **Steps to Peace: Getting to Peace After the Guns Fall Silent**
 Once two states have gone to war, what are the steps they take to achieve peace again? What is the probability of relapsing back into war from negative or positive peace? I identify the steps that lead to negative and positive peace.
 Alejandra Balas, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 balasandrea1981@yahoo.com
- 10:42** **China's Rise and Its Militarized Interstate Dispute Behavior, 1949-2001**
 This paper analyzes the empirical patterns of Chinese conflict propensity in 1949-2001. It casts doubt on some prevalent realist and liberal arguments about the determinants of Chinese conduct, while providing support for the steps-to-war theory.
 Xiaoting Li, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 li62@illinois.edu
- 10:54** **When Do Hardliners Want War? A Steps To War Theory of Militarization**
 This paper adds a source of preferences and signaling mechanism for hardliners. Hardliners prefer coercive tools in which they have comparative advantage, and see changes in military personnel as a signal of hardliner dominance in rival state.
 Genady Rudkevich, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 rudkevich@illinois.edu
- 11:06** **Structural Facilitating Factors and Dyadic Peace: An Empirical Assessment, 1816-2001**
 This paper conducts an empirical assessment of the pacific effect of three structural factors - great power managerial alliance, system democratization and systems peace institutionalization - on the escalation of dyadic disputes to war, 1816 - 2001.
 Konstantinos Travlos, *University of Illinois*
 travlos1@illinois.edu
- 11:18** **No Alliances Really Matter?**
 A data-based analysis of whether the presence of defensive alliances reduce the probability of war.
 John A. Vasquez, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 vasqueja@uiuc.edu
 Matt Powers, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
 mpowers@uic.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Brian Lee, University of Iowa**
 brian-lee@uiowa.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 18-19** **Explaining Religious and Inter-Communal Violence**
Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Frank Vaughan, West Virginia State University**
 fvaughan@wvstateu.edu
- 10:30** **Religion and Violence in Sub-Saharan Africa: Results From a New Database, 1990-2008**
 This paper reports the findings of a newly compiled data base of all African countries for the period 1990-2008. The database includes novel aspects such as parallel ethno-religious identities, interreligious clashes and inter-religious networks.
 Matthias Hoeschele, *German Institute of Global and Area Studies*
 hoeschele@giga-hamburg.de
 Johannes Vuellers, *German Institute of Global and Area Studies*
 vuellers@giga-hamburg.de
- 10:50** **The Role of Psychological Motivations in Protracted Social Conflict: A Comparative Analysis**
 While psychological motivation is addressed in protracted social conflict (PSC), it is not listed as a necessary condition. This qualitative project justifies the inclusion of psychological motivation as a necessary condition to PSC theory.
 Melissa M. C. Beaudoin, *University of South Carolina*
 mmebeaudoin@gmail.com
- 11:10** **Robust or Lethargic: How do Intercommunal Wars Persist?**
 This paper seeks to explain why states sometimes refrain from using force to end intercommunal wars on their own territory. A series of simple games suggest that governments which claim to be unable to stop the fighting are usually lying.
 Jeffrey Dixon, *Texas A&M University, Central Texas*
 dixonj@wtamu.org
- 11:30** **Disc., Frank Vaughan, West Virginia State University**
 fvaughan@wvstateu.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 10-2** **Negotiating and Designing International Law**
Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Konstantinos Magliveras, University of the Aegean**
 magliveras@uoi.com
- 10:30** **A Strategic Theory of Regime Complexity**
 Why are some regimes fragmented, others integrated? Previous work suggests that spillovers lead to issue linkage and integration.

- But our formal model predicts this only for negative spillovers. Case studies support the predictions.
Tina Johnson, Princeton University
 tina.l.johnson@gmail.com
Johannes Urpelainen, University of Michigan
 jupelai@umich.edu
- 10:45 **Of Courts and Guns: A Theory of Integrating and Separating Institutions**
 We devise a model in which actors choose an integration institution or a separating institution to structure their interaction. Analyses of a variety of empirical phenomena across historical and contemporary contexts show the model's utility.
Moonhawk Kim, University of Colorado, Boulder
 moonhawk.kim@colorado.edu
Michael Scott Wolford, University of Colorado, Boulder
 scott.wolford@colorado.edu
- 11:00 **The State Executive and the Non-Binding Signature of Environmental Treaties: Eco-Policy-Seeking and the International Community**
 This paper presents and tests three explanations for the attachment of non-binding signatures to environmental treaties.
Justin Leineweber, Trinity College, Dublin
 jleinweber@gmail.com
- 11:35 **The Rational (Re)Design of International Institutions: Distribution Problems and the Renovation of International Organizations**
 Quantitative investigation of the role of distribution problems in institutional redesign, focusing on type and timing of redesign across multiple issue areas.
Leanne C. Powner, American University
 lpowner@american.edu
- 11:50 **Disc., Autumn Leckwood Payton, European University Institute**
 payton.79@eu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 21-2 **Secession and Partition**
 Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, TBA
- 10:25 **The Great Race to Independence: Stronger Secessionist Aspirations in Quebec, Scotland, Flanders, and Catalonia**
 This paper investigates the "Great Race to Independence" between Quebec, Scotland, Flanders, and Catalonia, and how new and innovative attempts to agitate for independence are challenging the status quo in a number of advanced democratic states.
Glen Dwyer, Kent State University
 gdwyer@kent.edu
- 10:45 **Explaining Variation in the Outcomes of Territorial Practices**
 This paper shows that integrating the domestic variation in the view of the appropriate homeland into the analysis of the ability of partition to resolve conflict helps resolve the empirical confusion over this question.
Nadav Shalef, University of Wisconsin
 shalef@wisc.edu
- 11:00 **Strategic Secession: Group Coordination and State Repression**
 This paper analyzes a formal model and generates insights about the conditions under which ethnic groups will coordinate to secede from the state as well as the conditions under which the state will respond with repression rather than concessions.
Jagrupa Rao Waddell Beke, Princeton University
 jwaddell@princeton.edu
- 11:15 **International Crisis and Militant Non-State Actors: Rebel Group Mobilization and Crisis Management**
 Do rebel groups cause interstate violence? This study assesses the continued relevance of ethnicity as an explanation of violent crisis management in light of recent arguments that focus instead on information asymmetry and commitment problems.
Eugene Walton, Duke University
 ewalton@duke.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Brian D. Shoup, Mississippi State University**
 bds223@msstate.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 22-12 **Candidate Messaging and Positioning**
 LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Matthew L. Bergbower, Indiana State University**
 matthew.bergbower@indstate.edu
- 10:30 **An Issue Appeals More Effective When a Candidate Has a Positive Reputation on That Issue?: An Experimental Test**
 I use an experimental design to test whether respondents will view a mock congressional candidate more favorably when her occupational background matches the issue focus of a campaign message.
Brian Arboore, John Jay College, CUNY
 barboore@jjay.cuny.edu
- 10:42 **The Price of Campaign Dialogue**
 Using 2000 & 2004 presidential advertising data, as well as survey data from the National Annenberg Election Study, this study develops a dynamic measure of campaign dialogue to determine how it affects voter knowledge.
Keena Lipitz, Queens College, CUNY
 klipitz@qc.cuny.edu
- 10:54 **Being the Most Conservative: Ideological Positioning and Campaign Strategy in a Multi-Candidate Republican Primary**
 How do candidates in a six-way primary election position themselves ideologically? Do candidates race to the extreme wing of their party? How do candidates differentiate themselves from others in a crowded field?
Chapman B. Rackway, Fairleigh State University
 crackwa@fhsu.edu
- 11:06 **How Voters React to Group-Based Appeals**
 We use a survey experiment to determine whether (and the conditions under which) group-based appeals increase support among members of the targeted group and when such appeals alienate citizens who are not part of the targeted group.
Brian F. Schaffner, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
 b.f.schaffner@gmail.com
Elton Heck, Harvard University Department of Government
 bemb@fas.harvard.edu
- 11:14 **Politics, Perceptions, and Perceptions: Re-examining the Effects of Candidate Messages in Presidential Campaigns**
 Combining panel data on voters with market-level measures of issue advertising, I show that campaign effects in presidential elections are more common and diverse than previously thought.
Andrew Thornton, New York University
 thorniaa@nyu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Tetuya Matsubayashi, University of North Texas**
 tmatsubayashi@unt.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-3 **The Internet and Political Participation**
 Knottball on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Costas Panagopolos, Fordham University**
 costas@post.harvard.edu
- 10:30 **Clicking Your Way to the Polls: The Internet and Political Participation, 1996-2008**
 The Internet reduces costs of political participation. Internet variables are related to distinct types of political participation. Ultimately, this paper finds that some individuals may in fact click their way to the polls.
Sky Lee Attman, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee
 slattman@uwm.edu
- 10:45 **Varieties of Online Political Participation and Their Relationship to Traditional Forms of Civic Engagement**
 We introduce a typology of online political behavior and use it to consider how different types of online political participation are related to more traditional forms of offline civic engagement.

- Christopher Karpowitz, *Brigham Young University*
ckarpowitz@byu.edu
David S. Lawson, *University of Wisconsin*
dslawson@gmail.com
- 11:00 **Extending Democracy: Have Online Social Networks Merged the Effect of Interpersonal Networks and Mass Media on Voting Behavior?**
The authors explore the relationship between mass media, interpersonal networks and voting participation to determine the impact of the effects of online social networks on individual vote choice.
Jamie Nicole Smith, *University of Oklahoma*
jamie.n.smith-1@ou.edu
Haley Murphy, *University of Oklahoma*
haleychristinemurphy@ou.edu
- 11:15 **Facebook Mobilization Experiments: The Effect of Computer-Mediated Peer Relationships on Turnout**
An experiment conducted through Facebook measures the ability of peer relationships to increase civic participation through social media interactions alone.
Holly Treast, *Georgia State University*
htreast@student.gsu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Costas Panagopoulos, *Fordham University***
costas@post.harvard.edu
Disc., Betsy Sinclair, *University of Chicago*
betsy@uchicago.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 24-5 **Uncovering and Evaluating Campaign Effects**
Room LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chris, Sean F. Evans, *Utah University***
sean@u.utah.edu
- 10:30 **The Effects of Economic Changes on State Legislatures, 1831-1914**
This study looks at how party seat swings in state legislatures were influenced by state and regional economic changes prior to a strong national interest in controlling financial activity.
Adam Sander Chamberlata, *Coastal Carolina University*
schamber@ccou.edu
Nicholas Lafayette Pyeatt, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
npyeatt@email.unc.edu
- 10:42 **The Political Geography of Congressional Elections**
We re-estimate standard congressional election regressions using a Geographically Weighted Regression. Preliminary results indicate non-stationarity in the coefficients and less correlation in the residuals.
Michael H. Crespin, *University of Georgia*
mrespin@uga.edu
David Damesfal, *University of South Carolina*
damesfal@gmail.com
- 10:54 **The Campaign Dynamics of Response Latencies: Evidence from Two Rolling Cross-Section Surveys**
Based on two rolling cross-section surveys, we test how response latencies evolve in the course of campaigns. Can we trace campaign effects on such an implicit level?
Thorsten Faas, *University of Mannheim*
thorsten.faas@uni-mannheim.de
Jochem Mayerl, *University of Stuttgart*
jochem.mayerl@sowi.wiwi-stuttgart.de
- 11:06 **Why Do Malfeasant Politicians Maintain Political Support? Testing the "Uninformed Voter" Argument**
Paper examines how a hypothetical change in voter awareness impacts the support for US legislators in both House and Senate charged with corruption in the period 1968-2002, relative to their clean counterparts. Substantial linear effects are found.
Marko Klejan, *New York University*
mk3296@nyu.edu
- 11:18 **Self-Financing, Corruption, and Election Outcomes**
This paper argues that the effect of Congressional candidates' self-financing on the outcomes of general elections is moderated by each state's level of corruption.
Chang Haeseung Song, *SUNY at Stony Brook (Stony Brook University)*
csong@ic.stonyb.edu
Jayou Lim, *SUNY at Stony Brook (Stony Brook University)*
jilim0502@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Michael Peter Bobic, *West Virginia Wesleyan College***
bobic_m@wvwc.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 25-2 **Race in Elections**
Room Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Jason Alan McDaniel, *San Francisco State University***
mdaniel@sfsu.edu
- 10:30 **Race and Class in Urban Democratic Primaries**
We use ecological inference to assess the roles of class and race in the Democratic primaries for the Illinois 1st congressional district in 2000 and the Washington D.C. mayoralty in 2010.
Ryan D. Enos, *Harvard University*
renos@ucla.edu
Benjamin E. Lauderdale, *Harvard University*
blauderdale@gov.harvard.edu
- 10:42 **Urban Voters, Racial Attitudes, and Context: A Multilevel Analysis of the 2005 Los Angeles Mayoral Election**
An analysis, using a multilevel random intercept logistic regression model, of how context alters the effects of racial attitudes and other individual-level variables on vote choice in the 2005 Los Angeles mayoral election.
Jason Alan McDaniel, *San Francisco State University*
mdaniel@sfsu.edu
- 10:54 **Symbolic Racism, Attitudes Towards Immigration and Electoral Choice in America, 2008**
This study attempts to bring forth a fresh perspective on how political choices are driven by personalized attitudes and symbolic perceptions. We examine voter's preferences from multiple aspects of personality that may influence political choice.
Curtis McDowell, *University of Texas, Dallas*
curtis.mcdowell@sbcbglobal.net
- 11:06 **The Comparative Racial Group Evaluations Theory: A General Framework for the Effect of Racial Attitudes on Candidate Evaluation**
What impact do racial group evaluations have on electoral behavior? We present the Comparative Racial Group Evaluations Theory, which suggests that electoral context conditions the effect of racial group evaluations in important ways.
Spencer Pispis, *University of Michigan*
spispis@umich.edu
Yoon Krupnik, *Indiana University*
ykrupnik@indiana.edu
- 11:18 **The Formation of African American Southern Party Partisanship After the Civil War: Evidence in Presidential and Congressional Elections, 1868-1928**
Using election results and public policy data, we will determine how early African American party behavior was formed, follow it through to the disenfranchisement period, and construct an empirically based portrait of racial political partisanship.
Hanes Walton, *University of Michigan*
hwaltonjr@umich.edu
Sherron C. Puckett, *Walton, Destins and Puckett*
sherron.puckett@comcast.net
Donald R. Deskins Jr., *University of Michigan*
dr.deskins@comcast.net
Josephine A. V. Allen, *Cornell University*
ja7@cornell.edu

11:30 **Disc., Thomas Holbrook, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee**
 holbrook@uwvm.edu
Disc., Thomas Holbrook, UofW, Milwaukee
 holbrook@uwvm.edu

11:50 **Audience Discussion**

25-21 **The Voting Experience**

Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, Roderick Bell, College of DuPage
 rodbell@gmail.com

10:30 **The Priming Effects of Polling Places: A Regression-Discontinuity Analysis of the Priming of Vote Choices in Direct Democracy**

Does the type of building that polling stations are located in affect the decisions of voters? This study analyzes the priming effects of polling places located in churches on voters' decisions.

Rachel A. Allard, Georgia State University
 rallou1@student.gsu.edu
Jeffrey Glas, Georgia State University
 jglas1@student.gsu.edu

10:45 **Inside the Mind of a Voter? What Citizens Think About When They Stand in the Polling Booth**

This paper presents the first results of an 8-country comparative mass survey in electoral psychology focusing on how citizens' view the experience of voting, what they think about in the polling booth, and their electoral memory.

Michael Bruiter, London School of Economics and Political Science
 m.bruiter@lse.ac.uk
Sarah Harrison, London School of Economics
 s.harrison@lse.ac.uk

11:00 **Causes and Consequences of Spoiled Ballots Among Francophone Canadians**

Despite using Canadian ballots, they are spoiled at twice the rate in Francophone areas as other areas. This is true in Quebec and New Brunswick and partly true in Ontario. This paper documents the patterns and analyzes factors in ballot spoilage.

Tony L. Hill, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
 tlh@alum.mit.edu

11:15 **Overseas Voter Satisfaction In 2010**

In 2010 there were many changes in the election law landscape for overseas and military voters, which were intended to make voting easier for these citizens. But were they successful? Are voters more satisfied with the process than in previous years?

Claire M. Smith, Overseas Vote Foundation
 claire@overseasvotefoundation.org
Thad Edward Ball, University of Utah
 tedball@gmail.com

11:30 **Disc., Joseph Quinn Monson, Brigham Young University**
 Quinn.Monson@byu.edu

Disc., Barbara Normander, University of Arizona
 normande@u.arizona.edu

11:50 **Audience Discussion**

26-2 **Party System Nationalization**

Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, Matthew Kerby, Memorial University of Newfoundland
 kerbym@mun.ca

10:30 **Computers, Gerrymandering, Compression: The Nationalization of Legislative Collaboration**

This paper analyzes the nationalization of collaborative policy effects among lawmakers. In doing so, we distinguish the nationalization of electoral competition and the nationalization of legislator's policy intent.

Ernesto R. Calvo, University of Houston
 ecalvo@uh.edu
Marcela Leliaz, Universidad de San Andres
 mleliaz@udesa.edu.ar

10:45 **Party Nationalization and Responsible Fiscal Management in Latin America: Fiscal Policy Outcomes in Chile, Brazil, and Colombia**

I study the effects of party nationalization on responsible fiscal management in Latin America.

Nester Camilo Castaneda-Angarita, University of Pittsburgh
 ncastaneda@gmail.com

11:00 **Party System Nationalization and Governmental Spending Priorities**

Party system nationalization can occur because constituents are homogeneous across districts or because parties tailor their appeals to diverse constituencies across district. The explanation for nationalization will influence spending priorities.

Blain F. Crisp, Washington University, St. Louis
 crisp@wustl.edu

Joshua David Potter, Washington University, St. Louis
 jdpotter@arts.wustl.edu

Santiago Olivella, Washington University, St. Louis
 olivella@wustl.edu

11:15 **Measuring Party System Nationalization: A Cautionary Tale from Chile**

We use a theoretical discussion and a case study of Chile to demonstrate the types of bias that different nationalization measures introduce.

Scott Morgenstern, University of Pittsburgh
 smorgen@pitt.edu

Peter M. Stavella, Wake Forest University
 stavellpm@wfu.edu

John Polga-Nedzovic, University of Pittsburgh
 jpolga@gmail.com

11:30 **Disc., Noam Lupu, Princeton University**
 nlupu@princeton.edu

11:50 **Audience Discussion**

17-17 **Political Psychology in Comparative Perspective**

Room Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, John Althaus, Western Illinois University
 jalthaus@wiu.edu

10:30 **Universal Human Values and American Political Partisanship**

This paper presents results from a national survey experiment and several laboratory studies to show how universal human values (Schwartz 1992) relate to candidate evaluations, partisanship and voting.

Christopher David DeSante, Duke University
 cdd11@duke.edu

10:45 **Corrective Mistrust: Cognitive Dissonance and Political Attitudes in Sweden and the United States**

Cognitive dissonance theory predicts that voting makes people more positive toward the party or candidate they have voted for. In contrast to earlier studies I find no effect of voting on political attitudes using data from Sweden and the U.S.

Mikael Elinder, Uppsala University
 mikael.elinder@ncl.uu.se

11:00 **Moral Conviction, Ideology, and Israelis' Public Policy Preferences in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict**

The current research indicated that Israelis on the left and right preferred different policy preferences in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict only when their positions on the conflict were high (rather than low) in moral conviction.

Gregory Scott Morgan, University of Illinois, Chicago
 gmorgan2@uic.edu

Linda J. Skitka, University of Illinois, Chicago
 lsitka@uic.edu

Eran Halperin, IDC, Herzliya
 eranh75@hotmail.com

Michael Reifler, University of Minnesota
 mife014@umn.edu

- 11:15** **Determinants of Social Trust in a Longitudinal Study of Romanian Youth**
Using panel survey data, we investigate the determinants of generalized trust among Romanian high school students. We show that associations and income inequality shape trust but also students gravitate toward the mean that exists among colleagues.
Paul E. Swan, *University of North Dakota*
paul.swan@und.edu
Gabriel Bodescu, *Babes-Bolyai University*
gabodescu@msu.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Delia Damitrescu, Université du Montréal**
delia.damitrescu@umontreal.ca
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 28-4** **Deliberation, Learning, and Participation**
Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Alexander R. Dawoody, Marywood University**
adawoody@marywood.edu
- 10:30** **Role of Citizen Participation in Comprehensive Planning: Is It really Feasible?**
Comprehensive planning is a complicated & nuanced process; while citizen involvement is encouraged it shouldn't be an after-thought or patchwork of events. This study looks at citizen participation in City of Cincinnati's comprehensive plan process.
Deepika Andasavappa, *University of Cincinnati*
andasavd@mail.uc.edu
- 10:45** **Processes for Discursive Democracy in the U.S.: An Analysis of the Healthcare Debate as Argued in Selected Newspapers**
Using a discursive democracy framework, this study explores aspects of the recent healthcare debate as argued in editorials in selected newspapers and discusses the implications of this debate for the prospect of discursive democracy in the US.
Eric W. Kusler, *George Mason University*
ekusler@gmx.net
- 11:00** **Deliberation and Learning: Evidence from Deliberative Polls**
Many people learn a lot from deliberating about a policy issue, but not every one. Our question here, addressed with data from 17 Deliberative Polls, is who learns how much.
Robert C. Luskin, *University of Texas, Austin*
rluskin@mail.utexas.edu
James S. Fishkin, *Stanford University*
fishkin@stanford.edu
Nate Kim, *Stanford University*
exnikim@stanford.edu
Gaurav Sewl, *Stanford University*
good07@gmail.com
- 11:15** **How Can You Say That?: Deliberation and Learning of Arguments**
Deliberation is commonly thought to increase people's awareness of both supporting and opposing arguments. But who learns, and who doesn't learn, them? We examine these questions with data from a Deliberative Poll (DP) in Northern Ireland.
Gaurav Sewl, *Stanford University*
good07@gmail.com
- 11:30** **Disc., Katherine Cramer Walsh, University of Wisconsin**
kwalsh2@wis.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 28-17** **Framing Effects: Rights and Values**
Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Steven Greene, North Carolina State University**
steven.greene@ncsu.edu
- 10:30** **How Strategic Framing Alters the Effect of Values on Opinions: Evidence from a Multi-Method Study of the Framing of Gay Rights Issues among Black and White**
Using news media content analysis, campaign members, journalistic accounts of campaign strategies, survey and experimental data, we show how the framing of gay rights issues affects the values people bring to bear on their opinions about gay rights.
Jason Ganoza, *University of Louisville*
jason.ganoza@louisville.edu
Laurie A. Rhodebeck, *University of Louisville*
l.rhodebeck@louisville.edu
- 10:45** **Issue Frames and Cues in Context: Who Pays Attention to the Content of Extreme Speech?**
Media coverage of a free speech controversy often focuses on who is being said and in what context. We find that direct exposure to alternate issue frames can motivate individuals to consider salient contextual elements of a given dispute.
Robert A. Hinckley, *UNY, Potsdam*
hinckr1@potdun.edu
Thomas Knecht, *University of Denver*
tknecht@westmont.edu
- 11:00** **Partisan Justice: Framing Effects and Support for Incarceration**
Electoral Maintenance
This paper examines results from embedded experiments on surveys based upon national samples to investigate the effects of framing cues on voter intimidation and registration fraud on public assent to investigative action.
Tyron N. King-Meadows, *University of Maryland, Baltimore County*
tkingmea@umbc.edu
- 11:15** **Negative About Negativity: Public Opinion and the Framing of Negative Campaigning**
By asking neutrally-worded survey questions about negative campaigning, we show that previous studies have tended to overestimate voter anger toward negativity in campaigns.
Kyle Matton, *University of Iowa*
kyle-matton@uiowa.edu
David P. Redlawsk, *Rutgers University*
REDLAWSK@RUTGERS.EDU
- 11:30** **Disc., Justin H. Phillips, Columbia University**
jhp7421@columbia.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 28-31** **Public Opinion across Time**
Room Burnham 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Benjamin I. Page, Northwestern University**
b-page@northwestern.edu
- 10:30** **The dimensionality of British Public Opinion, 1945-2010**
This research applies the methodology laid out in James Stimson's Public Opinion in America to assess the dimensionality of British public opinion, using longitudinal evidence between 1945 and 2010
John Barile, *University of Essex*
j.barile@essex.ac.uk
James Allen Stimson, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
jstimson@unc.edu
Sebastian DeDeplaine Avellaneda, *University College, Dublin*
sebastian.delleplaine@ucd.ie
- 10:45** **Stability and Change: Intolerances of Communists**
This research addresses the issue of stability and the interrelationship of social, political, and psychological characteristics with intolerance for allowing a suspected communist to hold public office.
April Clark, *Purdue University, Calumet*
april.clark@calumet.purdue.edu
- 11:00** **Media Coverage and the Dynamics of Immigration Attitudes in the U.S.**
What forces drive pro- and anti-immigrant sentiment over time in the U.S.? I argue that, among other factors, shifts in media coverage about immigrants over time are crucial in determining the trajectory of public opinion about immigration.
Paul M. Kellstedt, *Texas A&M University*
kellstedt@polisci.tamu.edu

- 11:15 **The Change-People Dynamics of Mass Public Opinion: Explaining the Rise in Punitive Sentiment, 1991-2006**
This research develops and tests a theory that explains how the effectiveness of the determinants of public opinion can change in response to salient political events thereby leading to sustained equilibrium shifts in public opinion.
Mark D. Raminet, *Arizona State University*
mark.raminet@asu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Matthew J. Lebo, Stony Brook University**
mlebo@stonybrook.edu
Disc., Greg McAvoy, University of North Carolina, Greensboro
gmcavoy@uncg.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-1 **Media Framing (and Its Effects)**
Room Price on the 5th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Rune Slothuus, Aarhus University**
slothuus@ps.au.dk
- 10:30 **Framing the Big 5: The Role of Personality in Framing Effects**
How is personality related to the results of classic framing studies? The influence of the Big 5 personality traits on succumbing or resisting the framing effect is analyzed using data from a new experiment based on classic framing studies.
Kristen D. Anderson, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
kd.anderson@huskers.unl.edu
- 10:42 **The Revolution Will Be Televised: Dissolves the Tea Party Rebellion and the Curious Case of Health Care Reform**
This paper examines the role of the mass media in framing the Tea Party, and how Tea Party and Republican messages related to health care are covered in mass media outlets.
Anthony Ross DiMaggio, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
adimg2@uic.edu
- 10:54 **The Effects of Media Dramatization on the (De-)Mobilization of Voters: The Impact of Media-Created Framing Voting Turnout in the 2008 Austrian Parliamentary Election**
This paper investigates the relevance of media framing effects on campaign involvement and electoral turnout by analytically contrasting levels of media dramatization (weight by media exposure) with the recipients' propensity of participation.
Guenther Leogauer, *University of Innsbruck*
guenther.leogauer@iuhk.ac.at
Iris Hoeller, *University of Innsbruck*
iris.hoeller@iuhk.ac.at
- 11:06 **Telling Stories: The Effect of Narrative Framing on Civility and Attitudes Toward Same-Sex Marriage**
Our study explores the effects of narrative framing on attitudes toward same-sex marriage. Using a web-based experiment, we show that narratives make perceptions of gay people more civil and increase favorable attitudes toward same-sex marriage.
Patrick R. Miller, *Duke University*
millerpr@gmail.com
Pamela Z. Conover, *University of North Carolina*
conover@email.unc.edu
- 11:18 **Intellectually Disabled: The Effect of Elite Disagreement on Media Framing of Supreme Court Decisions**
This paper adds to the literature on framing by exploring the conditions under which frames are initially picked up on by the media. It examines Supreme Court decisions, finding that the extent of elite disagreement strongly impacts media framing.
Michael Zilla, *University of Michigan*
zillam@umich.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., David Nicholas Hopmann, University of Southern Denmark**
dnh@sam.sdu.dk
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-24 **Political TV Debates and Interviews**
Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Heather Kristen Evans, Sam Houston State University**
hkr002@shsu.edu
- 10:30 **Televised Debates Come to the UK: 2010 Prime Minister Debates**
Uses Functional Theory to analyze the content of the Once 2010 British Prime Minister debates.
William Lynn Bennett, *Ohio University*
beno1rw@ohio.edu
Jennifer Melissa Beaulieu-Bryan, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
jbeno12@uic.edu
- 10:50 **Party Leadership and Party Support: Nick Clegg and the 15 April Debates**
This paper examines whether the recent introduction of campaign debates to the 2010 British election affected the role of leadership in voter's decisions. I find that the effect of leadership changes most for Nick Clegg, the leader of the LibDem.
Emily Clough, *Newcastle University*
emily.clough@ncl.ac.uk
- 11:10 **The Ideal Image: Candidate Spouse Interviews in Popular Media Sources**
The candidate wife has the potential to be a valuable campaign asset, but just how important is her role? Systematic content analysis of spousal rhetoric in popular media interviews sheds light onto her changing roles in presidential campaigns.
Abigail Marguerite Vanborn, *North Central College*
amvanborn@nccol.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Glenn W. Richardson, Kutztown University of Pennsylvania**
richardg@kutztown.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-1 **Getting Into the Game and Moving Up: Women in Government**
Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Mirya R. Bolman, Florida Atlantic University**
mbolman3@fa.edu
- 10:30 **Moving On Up: Hispanic Women in the Federal Texas Judiciary**
This essay focuses on the political incorporation of Hispanic women to the federal bench in Texas.
Sharon Ann Navarro, *University of Texas, San Antonio*
Sharon.Navarro@utsa.edu
- 10:50 **Watch Out, Boys! We're Not Only Ladies, We're Politicians: Women's Ascension to Elected Office, 1855 to 1945**
This paper examines the factors that motivated women during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries to run for public office at the state and national levels and what conditions led to their electoral successes.
Karen Paulgett Owen, *University of Georgia*
kpowen@gmail.com
- 11:10 **Surrounding Women of Color Legislators: A Initial View from the States**
This paper offers an initial investigation into the presence of women of color in U.S. state legislatures.
Bethi Scala, *St. Joseph's University*
bscala@sju.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Michael D. Minta, Washington University, St. Louis**
mminta@wustl.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 31-16 **Political Incorporation, Empowerment and Governance**
Room Indiana on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Zoltan Hajnal, University of California, San Diego**
ZHAIJNAL@UCSD.EDU
- 10:30 **The Substantive Effects of Descriptive Representations Support for Gay Rights among Minority Members of Congress**
Members of majority-minority districts are faced with a conundrum: how can they work to advance civil rights when their constituents

- oppose policies that extend civil rights to other disadvantaged groups like gays and lesbians?
Ben Bishin, *University of California, Riverside*
 bishin@ucr.edu
Andrea Silva, *University of California, Riverside*
 andrea.silva@gmail.com
- 10:45 **Decline of the City: Rise of the Black Mayor: A Historical Analysis of Race and Local Electoral Politics**
 An analysis of the conditions under which the elections of black mayors are successful in the post-civil rights era, and the pattern of governance challenges they faced while in office.
Christina M. Green, *Fordham University*
 cgreen@fordham.edu
Dorian Tod Warren, *Columbia University*
 dtw2288@columbia.edu
- 11:00 **Contextual Influences on the Political Incorporation of Asians: Evidence from the Bay Area**
 I examine the effects of local context on the political incorporation of Asians in the Bay Area.
Loan Le, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 lle@polisci.ucla.edu
- 11:15 **Do Black Mayors Improve Black Employment Outcomes? Evidence from Large U.S. Cities" (with John Nye and Thomas Stratmann)**
 Do Black Mayors Improve Black Employment Outcomes? Evidence from Large U.S. Cities" (with John Nye and Thomas Stratmann)
Ulla Rainer, *George Mason University*
 urainer@gmu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.**, **Eric L. McDaniel**, *University of Texas*
 emcdaniel@mail.utexas.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 13-1 **Disrupting Citizens' Rights and Maintaining Order**
 Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chait, John H. Parham, *Saginaw Valley State University*
 jhparham@sbcglobal.net
- 10:25 **All the World is Nothing: The Themes of Nothing and Being Nothing in Shakespeare's Richard III**
 In this paper, I consider voluntaristic, nihilistic, and intellectualist interpretations of Shakespeare's prince. I contrast Richard III's return to an imagined natural order with Henry V's realistic return, and compare Kleist's Kestianism.
Christopher Barker, *Harvard University*
 cbarker@gov.harvard.edu
- 10:45 **Liberty Contested: The 1776 British Debate on the Meaning of Freedom and its Relation to Democratic Participation**
 In 1776, the British philosopher Richard Price argued that the freedom of citizens and political institutions depends on democratic participation. The paper analyzes some of the arguments employed in the ensuing debate on freedom and democracy.
Yitshak Elzerai, *Plymouth University*
 elzerai@plymouth.ac.uk
- 11:00 **Reexamining Political Participation in Rousseau's Political Thought: Does Citizens' Political Participation Include Public Discussion and Debate?**
 Commentators have interpreted that citizens are not allowed to engage in discussion and debate in public assembly in Rousseau's Social Contract. However, authors dispute this negative interpretation and argue that citizens are allowed to do so.
Jung In Kang, *Sogang University*
 jkang@sogang.ac.kr
Hyunghak Kim, *Sogang University*
 hokscape@freecell.com
- 11:15 **Uncovering the Qualities of the Sovereign: Thomas Hobbes and Political Skill**
 This paper describes Thomas Hobbes's description of the personal qualities that are advantageous for a sovereign to possess. While these qualities are not apparent in famous treatises, they are described in his more obscure writings.
Adam George Yokas, *Loyola University Chicago*
 ayokas@luc.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.**, **Jeffrey Church**, *University of Houston*
 jchurch@uh.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-1 **Rhetorical Studies and Political Theory**
 Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, **Peter Augustinus Lawler**, *Berry College*
 plawler@berry.edu
- 10:25 **10:30 Can Political Theory Be Changed by Taking Up Rhetoric?**
 Political theorists are constrained by their membership in a particular interpretative community that limits their understanding of rhetoric—and thus of both politics and theory. I show how those constraints can be relaxed or avoided.
Robert Hariman, *Northwestern University*
 rhariman@northwestern.edu
- 10:50 **Ordinary Rhetorical Craft: Official Political Culture**
 I explore the relevance of Søren Kierkegaard's notion of "clutter" (småk) and Martin Heidegger's theory of "idle talk" (Gerede) to contemporary democratic discussion and debate.
Samuel McCormick, *Purdue University*
 samuel-mccormick@purdue.edu
- 11:10 **Disc.**, **Bryan Gasman**, *Yale University*
 bryan.gasman@yale.edu
- 11:30 **Audience Discussion**
- 35-100 **Roundtable: Mark Bevir's Democratic Governance**
 Hargett Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
 A roundtable on Mark Bevir's important new work on the challenges to democracy posed by new models of governance.
Chair, **Mark J. Kaswan**, *Rutgers University*
 mkaswan@gmail.com
- 10:25 **Panelist**, **Frederick Lee**, *Pitzer College*
 fred_lee@pitzer.edu
Mark Bevir, *University of California, Berkeley*
 mbevir@berkeley.edu
Elizabeth Ben-Israel, *Albion College*
 ebenishaj@albion.edu
- 36-2 **Politics of Popular Culture**
 Salco 4 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, **Sushanta Chatterjee**, *Augustana College*
 sushanta@augustana.edu
- 10:25 **10:30 Everyday Rebels: Using Tocqueville to Argue the Need for a Revitalization of American Society and Democracy Through Art**
 Employing Tocqueville's assertions of the need for religion in American democracy, this work argues that in contemporary life, there is a similar political and societal need for greater support of public art projects.
Diana Z. Boros, *St. Mary's College of Maryland*
 dboros@stmarysmd.edu
- 10:42 **Fashion, Tradition, and Democracy**
 This paper will analyze the tensions among fashion, tradition, and democracy. Fashion can undermine democracy or promote it, depending on democracy's relationship with tradition.
Joshua I. Miller, *Lafayette College*
 millerj@lafayette.edu
- 10:54 **Vampire Politics (Or, There's a Sucker Born Every Minute): What Horror Movies Imply About Populist Politics**
 Movie vampires clarify populism, even though populism champions little people against elites while vampires are Nietzschean Overmen, who leap beyond humans. The essay analyzes vampire takes on populist truth, feeling, recycling, and mobilizing.

- John S. Nelson, University of Iowa**
john-nelson@uiowa.edu
- 11:06 No Country for Old Men: Conna McCarty and the New World Order**
This paper will explore No Country for Old Men as a commentary on what may be called "the new world order."
Peter Schultz, Assumption College
pschultz@assumption.edu
- 11:18 Street Art and the Uses of Public Space**
The essay will use debates over street art to examine the contested meanings of "the public", the use of public space, and the possibilities for political action under contemporary conditions.
Brian A. Weiner, University of San Francisco
weinerb@usfca.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Matthew Hamilton Bowker, Merrimack College**
mhb34@cedaillle.edu
- Disc., James Sven Josephson, Bridgewater College**
josephs@bridgewater.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 37-3**
Room Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Thur M 10:25 am
- 10:25 Chair, Rafael Hortala-Vallve, London School of Economics**
r.hortala-vallve@lse.ac.uk
- 10:30 Parties, Primaries, and Endogenous Valence**
I investigate (1) the effect of different nomination rules (open primaries, closed primaries, handpicking by a party leader) on the nominees' characteristics (ideology, valence, and ideological variance); and (2) the effect of these rules on welfare.
Agustin Casas, Northwestern University
acasas@u.northwestern.edu
- 10:45 A Theory of Learning and Coordination in the President[al] Primary System**
Presents a theory of coordination and voter learning in presidential primaries. Estimates the model using the 2008 Democratic primaries, and uses simulations to determine the effects of different temporal organizations of the primary process.
Martin K. Polborn, University of Illinois
polborn@uiuc.edu
- 11:00 Super Tuesday: Campaign Finance and the Dynamics of Sequential Elections**
In a model of primary elections in which campaigns are costly and provide information to voters about candidate quality, an electoral calendar resembling Super Tuesday calendars maximizes the ex-ante probability of selecting the best candidate.
Rainer Schwabe, Northwestern University
r.schwabe@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 11:15 The Effect of the Competitiveness of Primary Elections on Polarization**
We explore the theoretical conditions for primaries to be polarizing. We find circumstances where primaries lead to divergence. But if one of the parties displays low competitiveness, divergence might be biased left or right, or might disappear
Gilles Serra, CIDE
gilles_serra@yahoo.com
- 11:30 Disc., Rafael Hortala-Vallve, London School of Economics**
r.hortala-vallve@lse.ac.uk
- Disc., William Minozzi, Ohio State University**
minozzi.1@osu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 38-2**
Challenges of Conducting Field Research in Political Science
- Room** Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Thur M 10:25 am
- 10:25 Chair, Trish Ann Gibson, Indiana University**
tgibson@indiana.edu
- 10:30 Non-Interactive Data Collection Techniques**
This paper surveys non-interactive strategies for collecting data while doing field research. We evaluate each technique, show how data collected via each can inform the research process, and address issues researchers face when employing each.
Diana Kaptezevski, University of California, Irvine
dkapte@uci.edu
- Ben Reed, University of California, Santa Cruz**
bread@ucsc.edu
- 10:45 Operation in the Field: Managing Research, Managing People**
This paper discusses the challenges and strategies of implementing fieldwork plans. This paper surveys both the logistical and substantive challenges, and contends that scholars must be Project Manager and Principal Investigator simultaneously.
Lauren M. MacLean, Indiana University
macleanl@indiana.edu
- Ben Reed, University of California, Santa Cruz**
bread@ucsc.edu
- 11:00 Regimes, Riots, and Randomization: The Politics of Fieldwork in Contemporary Kenya**
This paper uses 12 months of multi-method fieldwork in Kenya as the basis for examining the relationship between social scientific research, our substantive understanding of political phenomena, and our ethical commitments as researchers.
Ryan Matthew Shely, Harvard University
ryanshely@gmail.com
- 11:15 Embedded, Positioning, and Self-Presentation: Informant Perceptions and Field Research in Southern Africa**
Our data, I contend, is shaped not only by the questions we ask and how we ask them, but by who we are, or are perceived to be. This paper examines how researchers' embodiment, position, and self-presentation influence the data informants provide.
Robin L. Turner, Butler University
robinl71@gmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., Trish Ann Gibson, Indiana University**
tgibson@indiana.edu
- Disc., Chadler Ortells, Pepperdine University**
ortells@pepperdine.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 40-1**
Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Thur M 10:25 am
- 10:25 Chair, Karys Claire Long, Université libre de Bruxelles**
klong@ulb.ac.be
- 10:30 Explaining the Electoral Emergence of Radical Parties: Contingent Conditions and Bilateral Opposition**
Radical politics is an enduring feature of post-communist politics; yet its causes remain disputed. The paper proposes a theory that links ethnic politics, party competition and the political economy of redistribution.
Lenka Buzhikova-Siroky, Duke University
ls111@duke.edu
- 10:45 Although International, Still Domestic: Transnational Activism of Czech Social Movement Organizations**
The article focuses on the transnationalization of social movement organizations in the CR. It shows 1) what the level of transnationalization of these organizations is, 2) what types of action are used and 3) why some of them act transnationally.
Ondrej Cisar, Masaryk University
cisar@fas.muni.cz
- Katerina Vrablikova, University of California, Irvine**
kvrablik@uci.edu

- 11:00 **This Party is Going Global: Transnational Party-Building in Croatia and Serbia**
A growing number of political parties in emigration states have organized abroad to solicit the resources of emigrant communities. This paper looks at party development in Serbia and Croatia to assess decisions to internationalize parties.
Sarah Elizabeth Carding, *University of California, Berkeley*
scarding@berkeley.edu
- 11:15 **Parties and Information Cues in Central and Eastern Europe**
The present paper evaluates if and how political parties can make citizens more informed by analyzing their capacity to supply cues to their supporters. Thus it adds a new dimension in explaining the variance of individual level political information.
Sebastian Adrian Popa, *Central European University*
popa_sebastian@ceu-budapest.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Csaba Némethy, Concordia University**
csaba@atoln.concordia.ca
Disc., Katerina Vrabelkova, University of California, Irvine and Masaryk University
kvrabelk@uci.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 41-1 **Presidential Nomination and Senate Confirmation**
Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Brian Robert King, Mustangum University**
bking@mustangum.edu
10:30 **Government of Vietnam? Analyzing Appointee Position Vacancies, 1989-2009**
Using a unique dataset spanning two decades of Senate-confirmed agency appointments (1989-2009), this project develops a comprehensive empirical analysis of appointee position vacancies.
Sang Chul, *Virginia Tech University*
sang@vt.edu
Patrick S. Roberts, *Virginia Tech University*
robertsp@vt.edu
Matthew M. Doll, *Virginia Tech University*
mdoll@vt.edu
- 10:42 **Presidential Appointment Power and Its Ideological Influence on the Supreme Court**
My research examines presidential appointment power and its influence on the Supreme Court by estimating and comparing ideal points of a retiring justice and its replacement by the president since 1956.
Josephson Eno, *University of Texas, Austin*
silverbell@mail.utexas.edu
- 10:54 **Political Screening and Presidential Appointment of Bureaucratic Leaders in U.S. Federal Government Agencies**
We both advance and test a "political screening" theory of presidential appointments to U.S. federal agencies from 1977-2009 with a novel database constructed by the authors.
George A. Krause, *University of Pittsburgh*
gkrause@pitt.edu
Anne Joseph O'Connell, *University of California, Berkeley*
aoconnell@law.berkeley.edu
- 11:06 **The Logic of Collective Inaction: Conversational Delay in Executive Nominations**
This paper investigates senatorial delay of executive nominations as an instance of inter-branch conflict over the control of bureaucratic agencies. Specifically, it tests hypotheses considering the differential value of time for each branch.
Ira Ostrander, *Washington University, St. Louis*
ira.ostrander@gmail.com
- 11:18 **Presidential Patronage Strategies in Subcabinet Nominations, 1961-1988**
This study examines presidential appointments to the subcabinet with the goal of delivering patronage to partisans and interest groups. It also examines the relationship between the goals of patronage and policy in making subcabinet appointments.
Kevin James Parsons, *Minnesota State University*
kevin.parsons@mnstate.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Carlos Enrique Díaz-Ruílo, Harvard University**
carlos@alumni.ksg.harvard.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 42-15 **Policymaking in State Legislatures**
Room Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Cynthia E. Newton, Norwich University**
cnewton1@norwich.edu
10:30 **The Effects of Institutional Variation on Legislative Output at the State Level**
This study examines the effects of state-level institutional variation in both the legislative and executive branches on the overall legislative output.
William L. Hardec, *American University*
wlhardec@gmail.com
- 10:42 **Safe Haven Legislation in Colorado: An Examination of Agenda Denial Strategies**
Safe Haven laws were passed in all 50 states, 1999-2008. Aggregately, the swift spread of SHL shows that legislators acted in a bipartisan fashion. However, Colorado is an outlier. This study examines agenda denial strategies used by Colorado legislators.
Orilia Ionescu, *University of Arkansas, Fayetteville*
oiancu@uark.edu
- 10:54 **How Courts Affect Statutory Discretion Across the U.S. States**
Legislators use statutory language to accept or control bureaucratic policymaking. I argue from a separation of powers approach that state legislatures consider likely future judicial action when choosing levels of statutory control.
Robert J. McGrath, *University of Iowa*
robert-mcgrath@uiowa.edu
- 11:06 **California Legislative Budget Gridlock and Ballot Box Redding**
California legislature has had partisan budget battles resulting in failing to adopt a budget on time. This paper examines legislative paralysis and explores conceptual reasons for legislative gridlock and policy options to correct the problem.
Allen K. Settle, *California Polytechnic State University*
asettle@calpoly.edu
- 11:18 **Does Electoral Competition Ease Legislative Gridlock? An Empirical Analysis of the California Assembly, 1992-2008**
Two recently proposed constitutional amendments in CA seek to cultivate legislative flow by increasing electoral competition. Using state-level data, this paper examines the relationship between close elections and cooperative behavior.
Douglas Spencer, *University of California, Berkeley*
dspencer@berkeley.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Cynthia E. Newton, Norwich University**
cnewton1@norwich.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 44-1 **Legal Theory and Practice in War and Conflict**
Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Paul E. Parker, Truman State University**
parker@truman.edu
10:30 **Killing To Prevent Rape? Just War Theory Revisited**
This paper attempts to ground Just War Theory on a firmer basis than its traditional articulation. It argues that crimes of non-recognition such as slavery or rape provide an appropriate characterization of national self-defense.
Richard Alan Arnold, *Mustangum University*
rarnold@mustangum.edu
- 10:50 **Moral Discourse and the Cognitive Foundations of Legal Constraints on the Use of Force**
Taking inspiration from recent advances in the study of moral psychology, in this essay I develop an account of moral judgment

	that outlines the cognitive foundations of moral discourse and the laws of war. David Jeffords Truven, <i>Ohio State University</i> truven.6@osu.edu		Mahmoud Hamed, <i>Drake University</i> mahmoud.hamed@drake.edu
11:10	Disc., Paul E. Parker, <i>Furman State University</i> parker@furness.edu	10:54	The Effects of Judicial Independence and Legitimacy on Human Rights in Africa This paper examines the influence of judicial independence and legitimacy on the development of human rights litigation in several countries across Africa. Kirk A. Randozza, <i>University of South Carolina</i> kandozza@mailbox.sc.edu Ashley C. Burnside, <i>University of South Carolina</i> burnside@email.sc.edu
11:30	Audience Discussion		
45-2	Aspects of Court Administration Logan on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am		
10:25	Chair, Mark S. Hurwitz, <i>Western Michigan University</i> mark.hurwitz@wmich.edu		
10:30	The Effect of Civil Procedure Rules on Case Processing and Disposition Using data from federal and state courts in Oregon, the paper is a comparative analysis of the effects of differences in the rules for civil procedure on the processing and disposition of civil cases. Stephen Daniels, <i>University of Denver/American Bar Foundation</i> sdaniels@abfn.org	11:06	Role of Judiciary in Political Development: The Bangladesh Perspective The proposed study examines the role of the judiciary in the political development of a country, with a special focus on Bangladesh. Harish Or Rashid, <i>University of Dhaka</i> dram_001@yahoo.com
10:45	Redistricting Judicial Districts A key element of court administration is courts' jurisdiction. This paper presents census data to document the increasingly unequal judicial district populations in Illinois, and explores issues associated with redistricting geographical boundaries. Barbara Hayler, <i>University of Illinois, Springfield</i> bhayler@uis.edu Stephen Schneidly, <i>University of Illinois Springfield</i> sschne3@uis.edu Yi-Sz Lin, <i>University of Illinois Springfield</i> ylin43@uis.edu	11:18	Judicial Structure and Economic Growth: A Comparative Evaluation This study evaluates the differential effect of judicial independence and judicial review on economic growth cross-nationally by looking at 116 countries over a span of 12 years. Kathryn Sill, <i>Pacific Lutheran University</i> silk@plu.edu
11:00	Immigration Crimes and Federal Court Caseload Focusing on five judicial districts handling 70% of federal immigration crimes, this paper addresses what factors contribute to variation in the outcomes and caseload distribution in these districts. Lydia Brustkier Tiede, <i>University of Houston</i> lbiede@uh.edu	11:30	Disc., Stefanie Ann Lindquist, <i>University of Texas</i> slindque@law.utexas.edu Disc., Stephanie L. Silbey, <i>Furman University</i> stephanie.silbey@furman.edu
11:15	Keeping En Banc Activity on Track: The Role of the En Banc Coordinator Evaluation of the work of the Ninth Circuit's en banc coordinator, a judge who supervises communication when the court considers whether to hear a case en banc. Stephen L. Wasby, <i>SUNY, Albany</i> wasb@albany.edu	11:40	Audience Discussion
11:30	Disc., Lisa M. Holmes, <i>University of Vermont</i> Lisa.M.Holmes@uvm.edu Disc., Mark S. Hurwitz, <i>Western Michigan University</i> mark.hurwitz@wmich.edu	46-2	Direct Democracy and State Politics Parlor C on the 6th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
11:50	Audience Discussion	10:25	Chair, John F. Melver, <i>University of Colorado</i> John.melver@colorado.edu
45-19	The Consequences of Judicial Institutions in Comparative Context Madison on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am	10:30	Income Inequality and Support for Tax Reform: Washington's Initiative 1098 We examine whether views toward income inequality affect public support for WA Prop. 1098, which would impose a tax on high-income families while reducing property taxes. Our findings contribute to the literature on inequality and direct democracy. William W. Franko, <i>University of Iowa</i> william-franko@uiowa.edu Caroline J. Tolbert, <i>University of Iowa</i> caroline-tolbert@uiowa.edu
10:25	Chair, Stephanie L. Silbey, <i>Furman University</i> stephanie.silbey@furman.edu	10:42	Where Did They All Go? Testing the Conventional Wisdom That Proposition Support Invariably Declines Conventional wisdom holds that support in polling for propositions in California almost never goes up. Using data from 1947-2007, this paper tests the wisdom, and investigates who, if anybody, tends to change their minds. Matthew G. Jarvis, <i>California State University, Fullerton</i> mjjarvis@fullerton.edu
10:30	The Pro-empirya Power of Abstract Review: The Impact of Constitutional Courts on Legislative Agenda In this paper I argue that newly established courts endowed with the power of abstract review are in fact less limited in their ability to pursue their policy goals in abstract review cases than in concrete review cases. M. Adriana Buliga-Stoian, <i>Eastern Connecticut State University</i> adriana.buliga@gmail.com	10:54	Governors, Legislators and the Bully Bully Box: Playing Legislative Games With the Initiative and Referendum Governors author their own citizen initiatives for a variety of reasons, for one, to circumvent state legislatures. State legislatures have a similar path open to them (under some state legislative rules) in the form of the legislative referendum. Tom L. Hubbock, <i>University of Oxford</i> tom.hubbock@bac.ox.ac.uk
10:42	The Impact of Judicialization: the Council of State and Political Accountability in Egypt This paper investigates the role the administrative judiciary plays to advance social, economic, and political rights in a political system that is marked by overwhelming presidential powers that overshadow all state institutions.	11:06	Analyzing the Impact of the Citizen Initiative on State Fiscal Policy Many studies have found that initiative states have lower levels of taxes and spending than non-initiative states. This study will attempt

- to explain this phenomenon by analyzing fiscal trends from both initiative and non-initiative states.
 Michael J. New, *University of Alabama*
 mnew@bama.ua.edu
- 11:18 **The Straight Deceit: The Difficulty in Evaluating Initiative Voter Behavior Across States**
 Using evidence from marijuana ballot initiatives, I find that partisanship is not always the most significant determinant of vote choice and that voting patterns show important variations across states.
 Joseph Robinson, *Michigan State University*
 rob1011@msu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Shauna Reilly, Northern Kentucky University**
 reillys3@nku.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 47-2 **Urban Education Politics and Policy**
 Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Sarah Reckhow, Michigan State University**
 reckhow@msu.edu
- 10:30 **The Impact of Education Reforms: Are Magnet and Charter Schools Holding Students While Harming Other Schools?**
 We examine the impact of the presence of magnet and charter schools in school districts on the distribution of resources and outcomes across other campuses within school districts.
 William Curtis Ellis, *Auburn University, Montgomery*
 wellis3@auburn.edu
 Bradley Moody, *Auburn University, Montgomery*
 bmoody2@msu1.auburn.edu
 Sara Hughes, *Auburn University, Montgomery*
 shughes10@auburn.edu
- 10:42 **Redeveloped Schools in a Post-PICS Era**
 Amid controversy, Nashville schools eliminated tracing and reassigned students to neighborhood schools in an effort to improve academic achievement. I examine the factors leading to this decision using comparative theoretical analysis.
 Maida A. Flach, *Vanderbilt University*
 maida.flach@vanderbilt.edu
- 10:54 **Partisanship in Local Elections: Estimates From Unconventional School Board Elections**
 We exploit the use of cross-listing in Pennsylvania school board elections to explore the effects of partisanship in local elections. Using a regression discontinuity design, we show a large causal effect of party endorsements on election outcomes.
 Marc Meredith, *University of Pennsylvania*
 marcmer@sas.upenn.edu
 Jesse A. Grissom, *University of Missouri*
 GrissomJA@umkc.edu
- 11:06 **Public School Engagement and the Political Socialization of Latinos**
 Using data from the Latino National Survey (2006) we examine Latino civic participation and explore how school-related engagement may serve as a "springboard" for other forms of political and civic engagement for Latinos.
 Marlon Orr, *Brown University*
 Marlon_Orr@brown.edu
 Kenneth Wong, *Brown University*
 Kenneth_Wong@brown.edu
 Emily Farris, *Furman University*
 emily.farris@furman.edu
 Domingo Morel, *Brown University*
 domingo_morel@brown.edu
- 11:18 **The Ambitious Local Politician**
 This paper provides longitudinal analysis regarding candidates for School Boards, predicting the candidates likely to exhibit progressive, static, and discrete ambivalence. Using new data collected from 2010, the authors quantitatively test hypotheses.
 Brian D. Foster, *University of Southern Indiana*
 bfoster@usi.edu
 Paul B. Raymond, *University of Southern Indiana*
 praymond@usi.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Sarah Reckhow, Michigan State University**
 reckhow@msu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 49-10 **State-level Health Care in the U.S.**
 Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Stephen Weinberg, SUNY, Albany**
 sweinberg@albany.edu
- 10:30 **Stakeholder Analysis of Adoption and Implementation of Statewide Smoke-Free Policy in a Tobacco-Producing State: Tennessee Non-smokers Protection Act**
 Garbage can approach to policymaking was used to conduct stakeholder analysis of the 2007 Tennessee smoke-free policy. The health concerns of tobacco use, political will, and influence of health groups, led to the adoption of the policy.
 Haull M. Mamudu, *East Tennessee State University*
 mamudu@etsu.edu
 Yi He, *East Tennessee State University*
 hey001@etsu.edu
 Sreedhras P. Veeranki, *East Tennessee State University*
 veeranku@etsu.edu
 Elaine Boone, *East Tennessee State University*
 booneg@etsu.edu
 Sumati Dadkar, *East Tennessee State University*
 dadkar@goldmine.etsu.edu
- 10:45 **The Effects of State Health Insurance Mandates on Premiums and Employer Coverage of Health Benefits**
 This study aims to demonstrate whether or not there is a relationship between the prevalence of insurance mandates and the costs of premiums and the percentage of benefits covered by employers.
 Maureen Rand Oakley, *Mount Saint Mary's University*
 oakley@msmary.edu
 Scott T. Gibson, *Haywood County Government*
 sgibson@haywoodcountync.gov
- 11:00 **Measuring Organizational Leadership and Organizational Performance: Empirical Analysis of Hospital Industry in Tennessee**
 Measuring hospital performance has become an important topic and it is important to properly measure hospital performance in order to evaluate the impact of policies on the hospital industry.
 Chul-Young Roh, *East Tennessee State University*
 roh@etsu.edu
 Joabo Lee, *University of Idaho*
 joahol@uidaho.edu
 Jae Moon, *Texas A&M University*
 jmoon@tushschonl.tamu.edu
- 11:15 **Measuring the Sentinel Effect: A Randomized Intervention by the NYS Medicaid Inspector General**
 In 2010, the NYS Medicaid Inspector General randomly warned pharmacies about suspect billing practices, while reserving a control group. The randomization was designed to study the sentinel effect on neighboring and same-chain pharmacies.
 Stephen Weinberg, *SUNY, Albany*
 sweinberg@albany.edu
 Saratu Grace Nafziger, *New York State Office of Medicaid IG*
 saratu.nafziger@omig.ny.gov
- 11:30 **Disc., Nathan Myers, Indiana State University**
 nathan.myers@indstate.edu
- 11:50 **Disc., Andrew Vicua, University of Houston, Victoria**
 vicuaa@uhv.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

50-2 The Policy Politics of Contemporary Education Challenges

Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair Mary D. Bruce, *Governors State University*
 m-ctahk@govst.edu

10:30 Putting Your Money Where Your Mouth Is: Political Rhetoric and Education Funding Policy in the North Star State, 1990-2010

The purpose of this paper is to explore the relationship between values, political rhetoric, educational funding, and policy priorities. It combines school finance theory and a political economic framework as a way of exploring these themes.
 Nicola A. Alexander, *University of Minnesota*
 naalexand@umn.edu

10:42 Higher Education Institutions Matter: The Redistributive Implications of Institutional Financial Aid

Public universities can deny services on the basis of citizen's academic qualifications, and create barriers to entry by practicing price discrimination. Here, I explore how institutions redistribute public resources across different student groups.
 Luciana Nogueira Dae, *University of California, Riverside*
 luciana.dae@ucr.edu

10:54 More Aid About Nothing?: Undocumented Students and Student Performance

Using multi-year data, we examine the relationship between undocumented students and a variety of student performance indicators. We find little evidence that undocumented students are associated with poor performance at the district level.
 Daniel P. Hawes, *Kean State University*
 dhawes2@kean.edu
 Gregory C. Hill, *Boise State University*
 greghill@boisestate.edu

11:06 Are School Boards a Democracy First?

In most American public school districts, elected school boards play a vital role in decision-making. Some stakeholders question if boards are fulfilling their representative role. This research investigates how stakeholders perceive these boards.
 Casey Ellen Welis, *California Polytechnic State University*
 cewelis@calpoly.edu

11:18 Open Enrollment Policies and Individual Student Achievement Outcomes

In this paper we use individual student-level data from Colorado to examine the relationship between open enrollment policies and individual student achievement.
 John P. Witte, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 witte@facstaff.wisc.edu
 Leahy E. Lavery, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 lavery@u.wisc.edu
 Deven Carlson, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 decarlson@wisc.edu

11:30 Disc., Marilyn Klotz, SUNY, Geneseo

klotz@geneseo.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion

51-2 Local Climate and Energy Policy

Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair Teedong Lee, *City University of Hong Kong*
 teedong.lee@cityu.edu.hk

10:30 How Regional Networks and Local Political Institutions Influence Local Government Strategies for Energy Sustainability

Investigating the role of regional networks and local political institutions on government strategies for energy sustainability promises to make a unique contribution to several literatures.
 Jungah Haq, *Florida State University*
 jha70e@fsu.edu
 Richard Felock, *Florida State University*
 rfelock@fsu.edu

10:45 Sustainable Expenditure Tradeoffs Between Climate Protection Programs and Other Governmental Services in Municipal Government

This study uses a seemingly unrelated regression model to examine expenditure tradeoffs between climate protection programs and other governmental services at the municipal level.
 Nathan Jerome Brantel, *Florida State University*
 njf06d@fsu.edu

11:00 Words and Deeds: A comparison of the factors influencing the proposition and implementation of GHG-reducing initiatives in US cities

Original nation-wide data on municipal GHG-reducing actions is used to test theories of local political decision-making and determine how the factors that influence policy adoption correspond to those that influence implementation on this issue.
 Rachel M. Krause, *University of Texas El Paso/Indiana University*
 rmkrause@utep.edu

11:15 Emergent Reality or Chimerical Policy Goals: Analyzing the Effectiveness of Municipal Action on Climate Change in North America

North American cities increasingly justify their climate change initiatives on the basis that reduced GHG emissions and economic growth are positively correlated. This paper examines this proposition and analyzes the policy outcomes in six cities.
 Ajay Sharma, *University of Western Ontario*
 asharma4@uwo.ca

11:30 Discussion, TBA

11:50 Audience Discussion

53-2 Government-Nonprofit Networks and Social Service Delivery Systems: Challenges of Accountability and Performance

Room Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair Steven A. Andrew, *University of North Texas*
 ssa0001@dent.mindspring.com

10:30 Unshaking Act? A Look at Climate and Subgroups of the Nonprofit and For-Profit Sectors

The increasing trend of interlocking directorates may be eroding nonprofit principles. This study uses network analysis and interlocking directorates between top corporations and nonprofits to assess if the lines between the two sectors are blurring.
 Steven Grant, *SUNY, Albany*
 grants26@gmail.com

10:45 Values vs. Values: Demonstrative Capacity in Public Administration Networks

Analysis of original survey and interview data demonstrates differences in the approaches used by governments and nonprofit organizations in demonstrate performance in providing services to women aged 65 and older.
 Kathleen Hale, *Auburn University*
 halekay@auburn.edu
 Kellee Conby, *Auburn University*
 kconoby@auburn.edu
 Mitchell Brown, *Auburn University*
 brown11@auburn.edu

11:00 Documenting "New Governance": The Changing Nature of Governmental Boundaries Resulting From Professional Licensing

Using data on occupational licensing in Indiana this research examines how and why "new governance" forms are emerging. The net effect of the state's changing role is that more aspects of citizens' well-being have shifted to nongovernmental entities.
 Deanna Mulberta, *Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis*
 dmulberta@iupui.edu
 Craig Smith, *University of Arizona*
 crsmith@email.arizona.edu

- 11:15** **Beyond Structure: Informal Accountability between Networks**
This paper examines a relatively unexamined area of accountability in public administration: informal accountability of organizational actors within contract networks. A comparative case study design examines county-wide networks in three states.
Barbara Raszek, University of Kansas
braszek@ku.edu
Kelly Lemoine, University of Illinois, Chicago
klemo@uic.edu
Jocelyn M. Johnson, American University
jocelyn@american.edu
Jeanette Blackmar, University of Kansas
blackmar@ku.edu
Jaclyn Schade, American University
jschade@american.edu
Robin Kempf, University of Kansas
rkempf@ku.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Morgen Johnson, University of Hawaii, Manoa**
morgen.johnson@hawaii.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 54-5** **Conditions of Citizenship in the U.S.**
Room 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Chair, Saladin Malik Amber, Lehigh University
sma409@lehigh.edu
- 10:30** **Traces of Democratic Exclusion and Inclusion in the Antebellum United States: Conditional Politics, the Suffrage, and Institutional Orderings of Citizenship**
Paper uses historical analysis and a suffrage reform dataset to explore trajectories of exclusion in antebellum US. Exclusion and inclusion result from the same processes, a dynamic explained by the institutionalization of coalitional arrangements.
David Alexander Bateman, University of Pennsylvania
batemad@uic.sas.upenn.edu
- 10:45** **Erasing the Outside In: Institutions of Rectification**
The institutional process by which citizenship is extended to excluded groups reflects differences in the way their exclusion is understood and effected. We illustrate this through the contrasting cases of African Americans and Native Americans.
John Mark French, University of Illinois, Chicago
jffrench@uic.edu
Heidi Lynn Lawson, University of Illinois, Chicago
heidilyn.lawson@gmail.com
- 11:00** **Conditional Welcome: Intersections Among Citizenship, American Public Opinion and Immigration Policy**
We take a historical perspective to understand the interdependent relationship among race, immigration and citizenship and how this evolving relationship influences American opinion on immigration today.
Jane Y. Joo, Rutgers University
joo@usc.edu
Natalie Muspacka, Tufts University
nmuspack@tufts.edu
- 11:15** **Citizenship, Democratic Theory, and the Place of Non-Citizen Soldiers, Alien Veterans, and their Families in the United States**
Less than 40% of non-citizens acquire citizenship while on active duty. This finding and the implications for liberal and democratic theory are explored. Defense officials have administratively resolved some cases; others await disposition.
Jennifer R. Lamm, University of Texas, Austin
jenniferlamm@mail.utexas.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Saladin Malik Amber, Lehigh University**
sma409@lehigh.edu
Disc., Lynda Dodd, City College of New York, CUNY
ldodd@ccny.cuny.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 56-2** **Curriculum and Culture Wars: When and Where is Religion Appropriate in Public Schools?**
Room Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Thur at 10:25 am
10:29 **Chair, Ted G. Jelen, University of Nevada, Las Vegas**
tjelen@unlv.nevada.edu
10:30 **Evolution, Creationism, and the Battle to Control America's Classroom**
The teaching of evolution has long been a source of political and religious conflict in the United States. Using our original national survey of high school biology teachers, we investigate the conflict at both the state and local levels.
Michael Berkman, Pennsylvania State University
mhb1@psu.edu
Eric Platzer, Pennsylvania State University
expl2@psu.edu
- 10:45** **Religious Literacy in Public Schools: Teaching the Bible in America's Classrooms**
This paper examines the Biblical elective movement in public schools, its motives and ties to various political interest groups, its political strategies and its prospects for success.
Melissa M. Deckman, Washington College
mdeckman2@washcoll.edu
- 11:00** **Beyond Democracy and Liberal Education**
Should education in pluralist democracies root out illiberal ideas and practices if they are fundamental to the way of life parents desire for their child or if the ideas and practices are essential to the survival of a community?
Kenneth Godwin, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
godwin1@gmail.com
- 11:15** **Below the (Bible) Belt: Religion and Sexuality Education in American Public Schools**
Abstinence-only sexuality education programs politically trumped comprehensive programs during the 2000s, despite broad popular and empirical support for comprehensive programs. How and why did religiously motivated advocates win so broadly?
Mark Carl Row, Georgetown University
mark.carl.row@gmail.com
- 11:30** **Disc., Elissa B. Albate, Susquehanna University**
elb2@susqu.edu
Disc., David Campbell, University of Notre Dame
Dave_Campbell@nd.edu
Disc., Clyde Wilcox, Georgetown University
wilcox@georgetown.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 57-102** **Promoting Student Learning and Scholarship through Undergraduate Research Journals**
Room West Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 10:25 am
Explores the use of undergraduate research journals as a tool for promoting student learning and enhancing research and writing skills. Participants will discuss how they have used undergraduate journals to enhance student learning and scholarship.
Chair, Mark Marland, Xavier University
mariano@xavier.edu
Panelist, Fiona Buckley, University College, Cork, Ireland
fbuckley@uc.ie
Ronald B. Rapoport, College of William & Mary
rrapo@wm.edu
Christine L. Nemachek, College of William & Mary
clnema@wm.edu
Richard C. Wilmer, Creighton University
wilmer@creighton.edu

58-200 **Maths/Statistics Posters**

Room Grand on the 4th floor, Thur at 10:25 am

Post 1 **Analysis of the Structure of U.S. International Merchandise Trade: Implications for Economic Groupings and Political Alliance Formations**

The study identifies trading cliques, core-periphery divides, among other structural trade relations that may offer some explanations for the emergence and large of some economic and political groupings. Christopher Ugochukwu Akujorobi, *University of Houston*
christopherakujorobi@yahoo.co.uk

Post 2 **Social Methods: Modeling for Fisheries Management, Preventing Policy Conflicts**

Steepest Pacific Salmon decline is a source of conflicts. Fisheries management and policy depend on sound modeling and forecasting, an elusive reality lately. New social methodologies around ANT and modeling research may help prevent conflict.

Don Badolatta, *University of British Columbia*
badolatta@comubus-grp.com
Janelle Currie, *Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada*
Janelle.Currie@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Brian Ma, *University of British Columbia*
brianoma@gmail.com
Nebo Banjar, *Simon Fraser University*
nebo_banjar@sfu.ca

Post 3 **The InFallen Map: A Visual Method for Obtaining Statistics for Poll Data Using Only Computer Mouse Movements**

An InFallen map displayed values for 10 variables for 634 respondents in a public opinion survey to show 6340 data points on a computer screen together with a geographical map of respondents. Computer mouse movements gave statistics for the data.

David P. Fan, *University of Minnesota*
dfan@umn.edu

Post 4 **Modelling Singularities of Resistance: The Student Movements of the 60's as Agents of Resistance to the Power Network**

Postmodernism sees resistance replacing revolution as a strategy to replace the existing power structure. Yet few efforts have been undertaken to model this empirically. This project seeks to model the formation and maintenance of a singularity.

Peggy Ann James, *University of Wisconsin, Parkside*
james@uwsp.edu
Clinton James Roselle, *University of Wisconsin, Parkside*
cjrroselle@yahoo.com

Post 5 **Legislative Voting Analysis in Multidirectional Perspective**

This study shows the relationships of PACs with the U.S. senators' voting behaviors can be explained by network analysis, some of which cannot be explained by regression analysis, an existing main methodology in the voting behavior studies.

Das Jeong Kim, *Ohio State University*
kim.2769@osu.edu

Post 6 **Space or Hierarchy? How is Context Influencing My Data?**

This poster looks at two different types of models for studying contextual effects.

Peter Costover Mohanty, *University of Texas, Austin*
pete.mohanty@gmail.com

Dorothy Morgan, *University of Texas, Austin*
dmorgan@mail.utexas.edu

Disc., Robi A. Regan, *Duke University*
robi.regan@gmail.com

Seeth B. Benjarela, *Japan Local Government Center*
luoiuuuuuuuu@hotmail.com

Post 8 **Big Cities, Local Politics and the Aristotelian Civic Friendship**

In this paper, I argue that we need a new and much more localized political thought and terminology for big cities where everyday life has increasingly been captured, shaped and turned into an abstractly conceived and lived surreal lives.

Sergiu Seydita Cebl, *Kadir Has University*
sergiuseydita@yahoo.com

Post 9 **Disabled Subjectivity: An Accretion Account of Political Agency**

This article criticizes dominant conceptions of agency that hinge legitimacy on self-sovereignty. Relying on the actions of disabled self-advocates and Hannah Arendt's work, I argue that agency can be reconceptualized as spontaneous public action.

Stacy Clifford, *Vanderbilt University*
stacy.a.clifford@vanderbilt.edu

Post 10 **Republican Character: From Machiavelli's Prince to the U.S. Constitution**

In this paper we argue that the federalists were influenced by an understanding of Machiavelli's character of the Prince, and how this influence can account for the republican structure of the U.S. Constitution.

Evan Gallo, *West Virginia University*
egallo1@gmail.com

Post 11 **Liberalism Without Liberals? Using Democratic Theory and British Pluralism to Defend Liberal Rights**

Liberalism seeks to preserve value pluralism but relies on an overarching conception of the good to get the project off the ground. Here, I assess democratic theory and British pluralism as ways to justify liberal rights while avoiding this impasse.

Abraham Singer, *University of Toronto*
absinger@utoronto.ca

Post 12 **Justifying Property Rights and Kant's Religion**

I apply the logic in Kant's writings on religion to justifying property and territorial rights, drawing links between how certain one has to be to destroy one another based on historical knowledge.

Timothy Wallgren, *University of Frankfurt*
tpw2001@columbia.edu

Post 13 **Why Should I Respect You?: A Critique and a Suggestion for the Justification for Mutual Respect in Contractualism**

This essay is a discussion about the justification of contractualists for the priority of the duty of mutual respect over other values. It shows the problems of the orthodox justification and suggests how contractualists can avoid this problem.

Baldwin Wong, *Lundun School of Economics and Political Science*
b.w.wong@lse.ac.uk

Disc., Julie V. Kuhlken, *Mercatorita University*
jkuhlken@podomaleccollins.com

Disc., Timothy Wallgren, *University of Frankfurt*
tpw2001@columbia.edu

73-200 **Theory Posters**

Room Grand on the 4th floor, Thur at 10:25 am

Post 7 **The Rule of Law as the Source of Liberal Democratic Theory's Morality**

The paper reviews the thinking behind Hobbes's *Leviathan*, showing the extent to which he places the Rule of Law as the source of the authority for State. It goes on to define that authority squarely as moral.

Thursday, March 31 at 12:45 pm**1-100 Obama's Agenda and the Dynamics of U.S. Politics: Governing during Hard Times**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Leading experts on American politics and the Obama presidency analyze policy accomplishments, shortfalls, and ongoing battles.

Chair Thekla Skocpol, *Harvard University*
skocpol@fas.harvard.edu

PARALLEL Lorraine McDannell, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
mcdannell@polsci.ucsb.edu
Dorian Ted Warren, *Columbia University*
dww226@columbia.edu
John Skrentay, *University of California, San Diego*
jskrentay@ucsd.edu
Suzanne Mettler, *Cornell University*
sm24@cornell.edu

2-2 Redistribution Preferences in Comparative Perspective

Room LaSalle J on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair Jason E. Jordan, *Florida State University*
jordanje@colostate.edu

12:50 Income and Political Preferences

We offer a new research design, employing height as an instrumental variable for income, in order to identify the effect of income on political preferences.

Raj Arumanchalam, *University of Michigan*
arumanch@umich.edu

Sara Watson, *Ohio State University*
watson.584@osu.edu

1:05 When Does Income Matter for Redistribution and Why?

We develop an argument about the differences in the structure of taxes and transfers at work in different public insurance systems and preferences for redistribution.

Pablo Beramendi, *University of Oxford*
pablo.beramendi@univ.ox.ac.uk

Phillip Rehm, *Ohio State University*
rehm.ph@gmail.com

1:20 The Conditional Effects of Immigration on Attitudes Towards Welfare and Redistribution

Argues that immigration has competing implications for attitudes about the welfare state depending on the size of the cultural gap between natives and immigrants in a given country.

Brian Burgooon, *University of Amsterdam*
B.M.Burgooon@uva.nl

1:35 Explaining the Political Consequences of Inequality: Relative Income Effects, Altruism, and Group Heterogeneity in Western Europe

Why is it that in some countries the rich are much more likely to support redistribution than in others? This paper provides an explanation that puts together material self-interest and altruism. It uses data from the European Social Survey.

David Neede, *University of Oxford*
david.neede@politics.ox.ac.uk

1:50 Disc., Larry M. Bartels, Princeton University

2:10 Audience Discussion

4-3 Parties and Elections I

Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair Erkin M. Kalaycioglu, *Sabanci University*
kalayci@sabanciuniv.edu

12:50 Vote Brokerage under the Secret Ballot: An Outcome Contingent Model of the Controlled Vote

Explores how vote brokerage may persist under the secret ballot. Using a model of outcome-contingent contracts, the paper describes the conditions under which material inducements offered by a broker will have a large impact on electoral returns.

Daniel Willard Gigerich, *University of Virginia*
dwg4c@virginia.edu

Lola Fernando Medina, *University of Virginia*
lfmedina@vmarch.es

1:00 Presidential Influence on Partisan Discipline in Congress: Evidence From South Korea

This paper explores the impact of presidency on the policy making process in congress. While party matters in the process, presidency discourages building floor leadership for the ruling party.

Sukjae Hur, *Korea University*
hokemwork@korea.ac.kr

1:10 Splitting Alone or Together? Party Switches, Factions and Coalitions in Turkey, 1991-2002

We analyze factional splits. Both individual level variables such as the legislators' local government experience and party level factors such as the geographical distribution of the party's constituency are likely to affect splits and switches.

Onge Kemaloglu, *Sabanci University*
ogekemah@sabanciuniv.edu

Sabri Saryal, *Sabanci University*
sabri@sabanciuniv.edu

1:20 Party Responsiveness to the Collective Judgment of the Electorate: The Case of Presidential Popularity in Latin America

I propose a competence-response model, where parties' electoral outcomes under presidential systems are a product of how the party responds to the public's opinion of the president. The results suggest that responsiveness pays off for parties.

Seonghui Lee, *Rice University*
seonghui.lee@rice.edu

1:30 Vote Demands and Personalistic Parties: Party Switching and Legislator Voting in the Philippines House of Representatives, 1997-2007

This paper aims to explain party switching and legislative voting in an extremely pork-oriented, personalistic context—the post-Marcos Philippines.

Joe H. Shin, *University of California, Los Angeles*
joe.shin@ucla.edu

1:40 Machine Politics in Comparative Perspective: Argentina, Mexico, and Peru

This paper builds a theory about the persistence and demise of machine politics in new democracies through a detailed study of clientelistic parties in Argentina, Mexico, and Peru.

Mariela Szwareberg, *University of Chicago*
mariela@uchicago.edu

1:50 Disc., Douglas Heacock, Bucknell University

2:10 Audience Discussion

4-19 China's Political Economy

Room Indelan on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair Cheryl L. Brown, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
cbrown@uncc.edu

12:50 Another Dilemma for China?: An Empirical Study of China's FDI Regulation

This study empirically examines FDI regulations by Chinese government from 1978 and makes a comparison between both Chinese government's FDI promoting policies and FDI regulating policies.

Fel He, *Korea University*
felhe40639@hotmail.com

1:05 Central Control vs. Decentralization in Authoritarian Systems: Public Goods Provision in China

Based on an original dataset and in-depth case studies, this paper shows how ambitious provincial officials in China follow Beijing's preferences to push for local social spending in order to impress Beijing and improve their chances for promotion.

- Derek Tid-wel Liu, University of California, San Diego**
derek.tlw@gmail.com
- 1:20 Historical Origin of Local State Production in China**
This paper will empirically measure the informal faction ties of political elites in various levels of governments, and probe into the historical causes of heterogeneous capability of predatory states in the local states of China.
Mingxing Liu, China Institute for Education Finance Research
mingxingliu@pku.edu.cn
Victor C. Shih, Northwestern University
vshih@northwestern.edu
Dong Zhang, Northwestern University
dongzhang2010@gmail.com
- 1:35 Transnational Authoritarianism? An Analysis of Political and Economic Barriers to Greater Government Transparency in China**
Chinese cities with weaker budgets (poor towns) or more-concentrated industrial bases (company towns) tend to resist implementing new centrally-mandated environmental disclosure requirements.
Peter L. Lorenzen, University of California, Berkeley
lorenzpe@berkeley.edu
Pierre R. Lantery, Yale University
pierre.lantery@yale.edu
- 1:50 Disc, Yukun Wang, University of Michigan**
wangyk@umich.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 5-5 Linkage Between Transitional Developments in Different Countries**
Room Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Charles Ali Tompkins, University of Michigan
khang@umich.edu
- 12:50 From Moscow to Baghdad: Mutual Causality and Interconnectedness in the Emergence of New Global Dynamics**
This paper analyzes the causal events between the former Soviet Union and Iraq from the perspective of Lorenz's butterfly effect. By bridging the two analyses, the paper illustrates the morphing of mutual causality in current global affairs.
Alexander R. Dawoody, Marywood University
adawoody@marywood.edu
- 1:10 References, Linkages, Leverage, and Identity: Empirically Testing the Impact of Cross-National Influence on Democratization, 1816-2008**
This study develops explicit measures of cross-national democratic influence to test theories of diffusion, modeling, and identity. Related tests define the effects of cross-national influence on regime transition outcomes from 1816 to 2008
Byron P. Kennedy, University of Houston
bkennedy@uh.edu
- 1:30 Timing of Democratization and Politics of Transitional Justice: Do Late Comers Achieve More? Experiences From Five Asian Countries**
This study discusses the way timing of democratization influences processes of transitional justice with comparison of five Asian countries: Indonesia, Nepal, South Korea, Taiwan and Thailand.
Jiwan Suh, Ohio State University
suhjiwan@gmail.com
- 1:50 Disc., Kertjo Michalak, Zeppelin University**
Kertjo.Michalak@web.de
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 5-6 Corruption and Democratic Development**
Room Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Todd Eisenstadt, American University
eisensta@american.edu
- 12:50 Decentralization, Political Corruption and Democratic Accountability**
This paper examines political consequences of decentralization based on newly collected decentralization data in 135 countries and outlines its positive effects on reducing political corruption and improving democratic accountability.
Jae Yeon Jung, New York University
jy220@nyu.edu
- 1:02 Political-level corruption as a determinant of discretionary policy-making**
Using a novel measure of political corruption and a new dataset, I find evidence that political-level corruption influences the amount of authority delegated to the public administration in the ten new European Union member states in Eastern Europe.
Matthew W. Loftis, Rice University
matthewloftis@rice.edu
- 1:14 Corruption and Bureaucratic Punishment in Young Democracies**
I examine conditions under which young democracies are likely to establish and maintain a bureaucracy free of corruption, and offer a theory of when bureaucratic 'cleanliness' matters for democratic consolidation, and when it should have no effect.
Shawn McGirt, University of Michigan
smcgirt@umich.edu
- 1:26 Corruption in Europe: The Impact of EU 'Political Conditionality' on Governance**
In this paper we consider the possibility for EU to influence the levels of corruption in the new former communist member states. We find the success of political conditionality in lowering the levels of corruption in Central and Eastern Europe.
Cristina Eckerlin-Nicolescu-Waggoner, University of California, Riverside
cniec001@ucr.edu
Shawn Bowler, University of California, Riverside
shawn.bowler@ucr.edu
- 1:38 Corruption, an Enemy of Civil Society: The Case of Case of Post-Communist Europe**
Investigating the role political corruption has on civic engagement in post-communist Europe.
Patty Zakaria, Wayne State University
pz1156@wayne.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Rustem Nuriev, State University, Higher School of Economics**
nuriev@hshe.ru
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 6-3 State Responsiveness and Policy Outcomes**
Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Marcia Grimes, University of Gothenburg
marcia.grimes@pol.gu.se
- 12:50 Chasing the Improbable Conditions: Can We Have Effective Anti-Corruption Agencies?**
The paper raises the question of whether and when we should expect governments to create effective anti-corruption agencies. I also reassess some assumptions of the anti-corruption agencies literature and provide a quantitative test of my argument.
Diana Maria Brandtner, SUNY, Binghamton
dbrandt1@binghamton.edu
- 1:02 Nothing on the Move or Just Going Private? Exploring the Reasons for the Freeze of Child- and Elder-care Policies and the Development of Care Markets in Italy in a Conservative Perspective**
This paper asks why the newly emerging seeds for personal care services do not foster the expansion of care policies in Italy and,

- more specifically, why care is "going private" instead of "going public"
Barbara Da Rold, *Utrecht University*
b.da.rol@uu.nl
Stefania Sabatini, *University of Milan, Bologna*
stefania.sabatini@unimib.it
- 1:14 **State Responsiveness: The Politics of Healthcare in China, Russia and U.S.**
This research is intended to be a comparative case study of the politics of healthcare in China, Russia and US. Using the case of the healthcare reform, it compares state responsiveness in authoritarian, hybrid and democratic systems.
Alexander Nikolaevich Korolev, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
korol2@uambler.ru
- 1:26 **The Adverse Effects of Sunbathing: A Field Experiment Testing the Impact of Transparency on Delegate Performance in an Authoritarian Assembly**
Through a randomized experiment on Vietnamese National Assembly delegates, we find that transparency has no direct effect on representation. Furthermore, delegates subjected to a high intensity of treatment actually curtail representation.
Edmund J. Malesky, *University of California, San Diego*
emalesky@ucsd.edu
- 1:36 **Responsiveness as the source of social legitimacy of elections and parties**
This article analyzes to what extent elections connect social preferences with the alternatives presented by political parties and in which level does the institutional structure that makes that connection possible in Mexico and Chile, exist
Irene Manóvil de Hayas, *FLACSO, México*
imano@flacso.edu.mx
- 1:50 **Disc., Agnes Cornell, University of Gothenburg**
agnes.cornell@pol.gu.se
Disc., Marica Grimes, University of Gothenburg
maricagrimes@pol.gu.se
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-3 **Religion in Comparative Politics**
Room
12:45 **Chair, Paul M. Kellstedt, Texas A&M University**
pkellsted@polisci.tamu.edu
- 12:50 **Changing Civilizations and the Faith Factor: The Christian-Muslim Divide in Public Opinion in Post-9/11 Western Europe**
Drawing on data from the 2006 Pew Research Center Global Attitudes Project, we show that self-professed Christians and Muslims in Great Britain, France, Germany, and Spain hold very different views on a number of public policy issues.
Jody Baumgartner, *East Carolina University*
jodyb@jodyb.net
Eric Carrizo-Kretschmer, *Trinity Washington University*
ecarrkret@yahoo.com
- 1:05 **God Save Democracy?: Public Opinion and State Secularism**
What explains public opinion trends regarding the appropriate relationship between religion & state? Cross-national public opinion indicates that more religious voters are more supportive of democracy, while also suspicious of some liberal rights.
David Buckley, *Georgetown University*
db23@georgetown.edu
Hesham Sallam, *Georgetown University*
sallam@gmail.com
- 1:20 **Abortion Attitudes and Conservative Policies: Public Opinion on Abortion in Poland, El Salvador, and the United States**
This paper will compare abortion attitudes in three nations in which abortion policies have become more conservative during the past two decades: Poland, El Salvador, and the United States.
Ted G. Jelen, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
tjelen@unlv.nevada.edu
- 1:35 **What is the Source of Religiosity Effects on Left-Right Ideology? Secularization, Religious Markets, or None of the Above?**
Examines the interactive effects of secularization and supply-side religiosity variables on the relationship between religiosity and left-right ideology.
Christopher Raymond, *University of Missouri*
crray03@mail.missouri.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Victoria A. Shinneman, New York University**
vrs281@nyu.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-17 **Immigration and Integration as a Conflict between Security, Universalism, and Community: Combining Empirical and Normative Perspectives**
Room
12:45 **Chair, Gary P. Freeman, University of Texas, Austin**
gpfreema@ustin.utexas.edu
- 12:50 **Liberal Nationalism vs. Constitutional Patriotism: An Empirical Assessment of National Membership**
This paper examines how political and cultural patriotism are related to people's perceptions of national membership.
Gal Arbelly, *University of Notre Dame*
garely@pnh.baifa.ac.il
- 1:05 **Executive-Judicial Relations in the European Union: The European Commission Before the Court of Justice**
This paper focuses on the growing relevance of litigation in policy development in the EU and asks under what conditions proceedings before the European Court of Justice offer a possibility for the EU's executive to bypass the legislature.
Andreas Hofmann, *University of Cologne*
andreas.hofmann@uni-koeln.de
- 1:20 **Examining Interactions through the Lens of Health: Policy Responses in Europe to Migrants Living with HIV/AIDS**
Policy responses to migrants living with HIV/AIDS illuminate barriers to their integration in terms of the restrictions and inequalities faced in the areas of entry, prevention, diagnosis, and care in certain European countries.
Patrick R. Ireland, *Illinois Institute of Technology*
pireland@iit.edu
- 1:35 **How Does Public Opinion on Immigration Relate to Security, Universalism, and Community?**
This paper tests the extent to which conflict between security, universalism, and community, explains cleavages over public opinion on immigration in Europe and compares this framework to existing models from comparative politics and sociology.
Peter Cathar Moltanly, *University of Texas, Austin*
pete.moltanly@gmail.com
- 1:50 **Disc., Gary P. Freeman, University of Texas, Austin**
gpfreema@ustin.utexas.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-14 **Democratization Processes in Latin America**
Room
12:45 **Chair, Raul Alberto Sanchez Urribarré, Tulane University**
sanchezu@tulane.edu
- 12:50 **Adding Democratic Governance?: The Role of USAID in Promoting Democratic Local Governance in Guatemala and Bolivia**
The efforts of USAID to strengthen democratic local governance in Guatemala and Bolivia are analyzed and compared. While USAID soundly theorizes democratic local governance, project design, implementation and evaluation neglect political factors.
Brendan Brink-Halloran, *Virginia Tech University*
brendan.brinkhalloran@gmail.com

- 1:05 **Hope for a Better Future: The Effectiveness of International Law on Human Rights- Lessons from Peru**
Based on a qualitative survey of law on human rights on the trial of former Peruvian president Alberto Fujimori, the present study argues that international law remains a principal force in trying human rights violations.
Nuala Pfitzner Carranza Ko, *Purdue University*
ncarranza@purdue.edu
- 1:20 **Revised Dictators? The Election of Ex-Authoritarians in New Democracies**
This paper analyzes the electoral performance of ex-military regime candidates for public office in 12 countries in Latin America.
Brett Jefferson Kyle, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
bjkyle@wisc.edu
- 1:35 **Continuity and Change in Patterns of Governance in Latin American Democracies**
This paper attempts a preliminary assessment of changes & continuities hypothesized to have affected the capacities of Latin American democratic states to steer their respective societies, and suggests a typology of emerging patterns of governance.
Javier Vasquez-D'Elia, *University of Pittsburgh*
javiervasquezdella@gmail.com
- 1:50 Disc., Steven Lynn Taylor, *Troy University*
staylor@troy.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 10-5 **Parties and Elections in Asia**
Room Sandberg 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Amanda Malloaga Mendoza, *University of the Philippines*
amendaza@up.edu.ph
- 12:50 **Pedigree and Presidential Patronage in Philippine Congressional Elections**
This paper studies the extent to which political pedigree or access to presidential patronage influences the electoral fate of Philippine legislative candidates. It probes this in light of the weak institutionalization of Philippine parties.
Luisita Margarita Cordero, *University of California, Los Angeles*
lcordero@ucla.edu
- 1:02 **What Issues Structure Party Competition in East Asian Democracies? A Comparative Study of Five Countries**
This paper explores issue and value dimensions which distinguish major parties in five East Asian democracies, emphasizing cross-national similarities in preferences that suggest possibilities and limitations of delineating party families in Asia.
Willy Jou, *University of California, Irvine*
wjou@uci.edu
- 1:14 **Partisan Effects of Voter Turnout in Korean Elections**
By employing 12 post-election survey data sets in Korea, we reported nonvoters' electoral choices and analyzed the possible impact of high turnout on vote share of political parties in Korea.
Junhan Lee, *University of Indiana*
junhanlee@hotmail.com
Wonjae Hwang, *University of Tennessee*
whwang@utk.edu
- 1:26 **Popular Elections and Political Rights: A Study of the Procedures and Outcomes of Community Elections in Urban China**
This study is interested in the grassroots election in urban China. Based on fieldwork in urban China in 2009, this study is interested in the procedure of grassroots community elections, voter turnout and competitiveness of community elections.
Dingqi Lou, *Rider University*
dlou@rider.edu
- 1:38 **Conflict Structure and Rationality in Politicians' Party Switching Decisions: The Political Realignment in Japan**
The paper will examine the individual politicians' party switching decision making processes and compare election results by categorizing politicians according to the patterns of conflict structures in preferences, using the Japanese cases in 1990s.
Su Kyong Yun, *University of Tokyo*
suwon_yun@hotmail.com
- 1:50 Disc., Adam Ziegfeld, *Wofford College, Oxford*
adam.ziegfeld@wofford.nc.ac.uk
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 11-1 **Institutional and Ideology in Africa**
Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Tyson Roberts, *University of California, Los Angeles*
tr22@ucla.edu
- 12:50 **The End of the Washington Consensus and Political Change: The Case of Tanzania and the Tanzania Coffee Industry Act, 2001**
In 1993 Tanzania moved away from a state-controlled coffee market in favor of a liberalized market system. But after only eight years the Tanzanian government re-imposed substantial regulations in the market due to elite political conflict.
Marvell Brooks, *Virginia Wesleyan College*
mbrooks@vwc.edu
- 1:05 **Conflicts and Influence in Malawi's Agricultural Policy Reform**
We identify conflict lines among politicians and stakeholders regarding agricultural policy reform in Malawi. Applying policy network analyses we test empirically to what extent communication facilitates a dampens or sharpens existing conflicts.
Eva Krumpal, *University of Kiel*
ekrumpal@uni-kiel.de
Christian Henning, *University of Kiel*
chenning@ne.uni-kiel.de
- 1:20 **Institutional Determinants of Business Creation in the Formal or Informal Market in Madagascar**
This paper tests two rival hypotheses about the roles of formal and informal institutions on business creation using the case of Madagascar. Do institutions have an individual effect or combined effect on formal or informal business creation?
Joel Ravetoharimamy, *Western Michigan University*
joelluc.ravetoharimamy@wmich.edu
- 1:35 **Explaining Institutional or Political Change in Africa: Ideas, Interests, Institutions, and Intertemporality (I4I)**
Why, how and when an institutional or political change occurs in Africa? By examining the African strategies for economic development, this article gives an original answer, analyzing the role of ideas, interest, institutions, and intertemporality.
Landy Signe, *Stanford University*
landysigne@gmail.com
- 1:50 Disc., Tyson Roberts, *University of California, Los Angeles*
tr22@ucla.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 12-3 **Islamism and Secularism in Contemporary Turkey**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Bülent Baskan, *Georgetown University, Qatar*
bulentbaskan@qstb00.com
- 12:50 **Do You Represent My Voice?: NGOs, IOs and the State in Addressing the Needs of the Kurdish IDPs in Turkey**
Paper studies the confrontation between the Turkish state, NGOs and IOs by discussing the issues around Turkey's internal displacement issue and Kurdish question, actors' perspectives on the policies related to the issue.
A. Behal Celik, *Sabanci University*
bcelik@sabanciuniv.edu
- 1:02 **Markets, Elites and the Process of Democratic Consolidation in the Middle East: The Case of Turkey**
In this research we develop and test Stepan and Lind's (1996) consolidation theory using the case of Turkey.

- Bilal Ciplak, *Florida International University*
bciplak@yahoo.com
Recent: *Stanykududilav, Florida Atlantic University*
rcshyidru@fau.edu
- 1:14 **For the Love of God and Patronage: The Politics of the Justice and Development Party of Turkey**
The paper analyzes activities of parliamentarians and local branches to study the electoral strategies of the Islamist Justice and Development party, the democratically elected governing party in Turkey since 2002.
Ebru Erdem-Akay, *University of California, Riverside*
eedem@ucr.edu
- 1:26 **Politics in Turkey: Consolidation of Mass Politics vs. Fragmentation in Elite Consensus**
Based on survey results and 2010 referendum data, we try to find if there is consolidation in the Turkish party landscape, and fragmentation of the political center between the globally oriented liberal elites and defensive nationalists.
Evren Cemil Wiltaz, *Todd University, Auburn*
evren@polsci.umass.edu
Dave Wilke, *Hacettepe University*
dave@davwilke.com
- 1:38 **Kama's Home: The Current Transformation of the Turkish Democratic Model**
The Current Transformation of the Turkish Democratic Model
Ladim Yazdhan, *Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University*
ladim.yazdhan@gmail.com
- 1:50 Disc., Etem Karakoc, *Pennsylvania State University*
ekarakoc@psu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 14-5 **Globalization and the Welfare State**
Room Burnham J on the 7th floor, Thurs 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Scott R. Powell, *Ohio State University*
powell.413@osu.edu
- 12:50 **Corporate Capital and the Race to the Bottom: An Empirical Analysis of the Welfare State in the Age of Globalization**
This research shows that economic globalization produces a downward effect on welfare expenditures when national capital becomes transnational - a finding consistent with Marxist theory.
Hosang Anthony Bartlow, *University of Kentucky*
pasca1@uky.edu
Hansbeom Jeong, *University of Kentucky*
hjeon2@uky.edu
- 1:02 **Revisiting the Globalization-Welfare Nexus: A Multi-level Data Analysis, 1970-2005**
This study investigates the relationship between globalization and welfare in a multi-level model that combines country and individual-level analysis covering 18 advanced countries, 1970-2005.
Eunyoung Ha, *Cleveland Graduate University*
Eunyoung.Ha@cgu.edu
Dong-Wook Lee, *Cleveland Graduate University*
dong-wook.lee@cgu.edu
Puspita Debiata Amri, *Cleveland Graduate University*
puspa.amri@gmail.com
- 1:14 **Welfare State Retrenchment, Globalization, and the Strategy of Right Governments**
This paper examines the effect of ideological positions of opposition parties on social welfare spending in OECD countries. I claim right parties' choice on welfare retrenchment is conditioned by the strengths and ideological positions of left parties.
Sung Min Han, *Michigan State University*
han44n3@msu.edu
- 1:26 **Globalization and the Welfare State: Direct and Mediating Effects of the Informal Labor Force on Social Spending**
The paper investigates the relationship between economic globalization, informal economy and social welfare expenditures in Latin America and OECD countries between 1989 and 2009.
Santiago Lopez Cariboni, *University of Essex*
slopez@essex.ac.uk
- 1:38 **Globalization, Immigration and Welfare Spending in Twenty OECD Countries, 1975-2008**
This paper discusses the impact of immigration on the welfare state utilizing data from 20 OECD countries, 1975-2008. I find immigration and domestic institutions interactively affect welfare spending. The effect varies in different welfare programs.
Ping Xu, *Louisiana State University*
pxu5@lsu.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Achim Kemmerling, *CEU, Budapest*
Kemmerlinga@ceu.hu
Disc., Scott R. Powell, *Ohio State University*
powell.413@osu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 15-3 **Political Economy of Foreign Aid**
Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Thurs 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, David Leblang, *University of Virginia*
leblang@virginia.edu
- 12:50 **Clowns to the Left of Mr. Johnson to the Right: How Donor Partisanship Shapes the Allocation of Foreign Aid**
We find an effect of donor government ideology on aid flows, but it is different in major power donors than in smaller donors. Right governments in small donors cut aid budgets; in major powers they reallocate aid flows for more strategic purposes.
Sarah Blodgett Bermeo, *Duke University*
sarah.bermeo@duke.edu
Dustin H. Tingley, *Princeton University*
dtingley@princeton.edu
David Leblang, *University of Virginia*
leblang@virginia.edu
- 1:05 **Donor Proliferation, Aid Shocks, and Political Stability**
Donor proliferation potentially reduces the exposure to negative aid shocks. This paper investigates theoretically and empirically how and when this helps to decrease political instability, counteracting negative effects of donor proliferation.
Martin Christoph Steinwandl, *Stony Brook University*
martin.steinwandl@stonybrook.edu
- 1:20 **Splitting the Checks: Counterpart Commitments in World Bank Projects**
Using an original dataset, we look at the variation in counterpart commitments made by aid-receiving countries across a sample of World Bank projects from the 1990s and 2000s, testing both supply-side and demand-side hypotheses.
Matthew S. Winters, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
maw22@onlumbia.edu
Jachyn D. Strickfield, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
jstrev2@uiuc.edu
- 1:35 **Corrosion and Conditionality: Do Oil and Aid Affect Democracy Differently?**
Foreign aid, oil revenue, democratization, economic crisis, conditionality, government spending, poverty
Joseph Wright, *Pennsylvania State University*
jgw12@psu.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Drew Mercedes Girard, *Georgetown University*
dgm04@georgetown.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion

- 16-3 **New Research on the Causes and Consequences of Interstate Rivalry and Protracted Conflicts**
 Room: Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Michael Colaresi, Michigan State University
 colaresi@msu.edu
- 12:50 **Political rivalry, economic partners: The domestic politics of the People's Republic of China's trade with Japan, 1958 - 1962**
 The paper looks into why nations decide to end hostile relations by establishing trade agreements with former adversaries, and investigates the role of domestic political institutions of authoritarian regimes in formulating foreign economic policy.
 Jeehye Kim, Harvard University
 jhkim@fas.harvard.edu
- 1:05 **The India-Pakistan Conflict: Seeds for Conflict Resolution**
 This research examines the prospects for conflict resolution between India and Pakistan in light of the 2008 Mumbai attacks. These attacks reinforce the urgency for both to seek mechanisms for conflict management that can achieve positive peace.
 Eric Bruce Royer, Webster University, St. Louis
 ericroyer78@webster.edu
- 1:20 **A New Path to Rivalry? Explaining the Onset of Strategic Rivalries**
 This paper develops and tests a new explanation for the onset of strategic rivalries based on the effects of incomplete democratic transitions.
 Douglas Hamilton Spence, University of Tennessee
 dspence7@utk.edu
- 1:35 **Two-Level Path Dependency: Domestic Political Inertia and Enduring Rivalry Maintenance**
 My paper presents a model of enduring rivalry maintenance. The model demonstrates that because of de-synchronization of systemic imperatives and domestic politics, the enduring rivalries tend to perpetuate themselves over time.
 Chaekwang You, Ohio State University
 youcwk@yaleo.co.kr
- 1:50 Disc., Michael Colaresi, Michigan State University
 colaresi@msu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 16-10 **Human Rights: The Transnational Dimension**
 Room: LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Michelle Allenbecker, George Washington University
 mallendo@gwu.edu
- 12:50 **Poverty, Drugs, and 'Bad' Policy: The Current Dilemmas of Mexico-U.S. Migration**
 The present paper analyzes the current dilemmas of Mexico-U.S. migration, including drug trafficking, gang violence, and human rights abuses.
 Guadalupe Correa-Cabrera, University of Texas, Brownsville
 guadalupe.correacabrera@utb.edu
- 1:10 **Non-Governmental Organizations and the International Human Rights Regime: Challenging the State-Centric Framework of International Law**
 This paper examines the causal and legal significance of the role of NGOs in the development and enforcement of the international human rights legal and normative regime.
 Judith Keer, Georgetown University
 jk17@georgetown.edu
- 1:30 **The Impact of International Human Rights NGOs: Case Study of Capital Punishment System in Japan**
 This paper critically examines an impact of international human rights NGOs in domestic human rights policy through the case study of the capital punishment system in Japan.
 Mika Obama, Loughborough University
 mmo04@lboro.ac.uk
- 1:50 Disc., Michelle Allenbecker, George Washington University
 mallendo@gwu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 17-4 **Explaining the Outbreak of Interstate War**
 Room: Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, John Mueller, Ohio State University
 bbbb@osu.edu
- 12:50 **The International Impact of Domestic Revolution**
 This paper demonstrates the impact of domestic revolutions on international security. Revolutionary states are more likely to have militarized conflicts, to be targeted for economic sanctions, and to break alliances.
 Jeff Colgan, American University
 colgan@american.edu
- 1:02 **The Two Swords: Religion-State Connections and Interstate Disputes**
 What explains the variation in religion's effects on interstate crises? This paper explains this through the level of religion-state connections in the states involved in the conflict through a quantitative analysis of interstate crisis behavior.
 Peter S. Heame, Georgetown University
 psh22@georgetown.edu
- 1:14 **Neoclassical Realism, Strategic Culture, and the Iraq War**
 Building on the work of Colin Ducek, this paper contends that the United States chose to invade Iraq based on neoclassical realist security concerns that were constrained and channeled by the Exceptionalist logic of American strategic culture.
 Taby Lee Lantierbach, Purdue University
 taly5190@hotmail.com
- 1:26 **Do Weapons Matter? A Practical and Ethical Evaluation of New Weapons Technologies**
 How and under what conditions do new weapons technologies help cause conflicts? What ethical considerations do new weapons technologies impose?
 Dan Lindley, University of North Dakota
 dlindley@und.edu
- 1:38 **Ideology and War**
 This paper assesses the relationship between political ideology and the probability and nature of war.
 Alex Weisger, University of Pennsylvania
 weissiger@sas.upenn.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Michael J. Reese, University of Chicago
 mreese@uchicago.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 19-5 **The Use of Force**
 Room: Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, David Brub, University of Tennessee
 dbrub@utk.edu
- 12:50 **Who Divers and Why? Regime Type, Domestic Unrest, and Leader Regime**
 This paper focuses on the influence institutions characteristicly present in or missing from specific types of regimes has on leader selection among three response options to domestic unrest: granting policy concessions, repression, or diversion.
 Norris Feeney, University of Tennessee, Knoxville
 nfeeney@utk.edu
- 1:05 **Diversionsary Military Actions?: American Military Strikes on Grenada and Iraq**
 Using a two part model, this research examines American military action in Grenada in 1983 and Iraq in 1996 to determine whether or not these strikes appear to be diversionsary in nature.

- Ryan C. Hendrickson, Eastern Illinois University**
rhendrickson@eiu.edu
Brett M. Hall, Eastern Illinois University
bhall@eiu.edu
Nathan Polak, Eastern Illinois University
nopolak@gmail.com
- 1:20 Honor Among Elites: Self-Defense, Retribution, and Support for War**
This paper examines whether the values of the Southern "culture of honor" influence support for the use of force among American foreign policy elites.
Rachel M. Stein, Stanford University
rmstein@stanford.edu
James Gally, Stanford University
jgally@stanford.edu
- 1:35 Stupid Is A Stupid Doer: Muftah Omar, Milosevic, Saddam, Ahmadinejad and the Limits of America's post-Cold War strategic coercion**
This study draws on American intervention in in the Gulf Crisis, Bosnia, Haiti, Kosovo, and in Afghanistan to explain America's propensity for the use of coercive statecraft and to highlight the limits of strategic coercion.
Shah M. Turzi, Bradley University
turzi@bunmail.bradley.edu
- 1:50 Disc., David Brulo, University of Tennessee**
dbrulo@utk.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 20-3 Room 12:45**
12:50 International Law: Compliance and Effectiveness
Ashland on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chale, Cristiane Carreira, University of Sao Paulo
cc498@uvsu.edu
- 12:50 International Treaty Compliance: Evidence From Public Health**
This research quantifies the political and economic variables that are shaping countries' decisions to comply with their explicit obligations to the WHO's first international health treaty, the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control.
Jeffrey Drope, Marquette University
jeffrey.drope@marquette.edu
- 1:05 Compulsory Contingency, and Compulsory Paradoxical Explanations for State Compliance Behaviors**
This paper reports results of a study investigating probability for state compliance and describes influence of two variables on compliance patterns—state levels of freedom and state power status—and considers which of these explains more behavior.
Diana L. O'Bryan, Miami University
doyandl@miachin.edu
- 1:20 Explaining Variation in Grants by the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria: Statistical Insights from a Principal-Agent Perspective**
Variation in grants by the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria is explained within a principal-agent framework of aspirational decision-making, utilizing a database containing 840 successful and unsuccessful grants from 2002 to 2010.
Patrick Thelmer, Trinity College, Dublin
pthelmer@trinity.ie
- 1:35 International Law and Public Attitudes Toward the Use of Torture: Some Experimental Evidence**
This paper uses two experiments embedded in national surveys to estimate the causal effect of international law on public support for the use of torture.
Geoffrey Wallace, University of Kentucky
geoffrey.wallace@uky.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Lucie Spasibekova, Inwonsgangni Institute/Leiden University**
lspasibek@gmail.com
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 22-2 Room 12:45**
12:50 The Race to Replace Obama: Sizing up the 2012 Presidential Campaign
LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chole, David P. Redlawsk, Rutgers University
REDLAWSK@RUTGERS.EDU
- 1:02 Internet Salience in Presidential Nomination Campaigns**
We examine how candidates' expenditures, media coverage, and poll standings contribute to their overall internet presence in the 2008 presidential primary campaigns.
Dino Christenson, Boston University
dinopo@bu.edu
Corwin D. Smidt, Michigan State University
smidtc@msu.edu
Costas Panagopoulos, Fordham University
costas@post.harvard.edu
- 1:02 Why Obama and Huckabee Won the 2008 Iowa Caucuses: Lessons for the 2012 Presidential Nomination Contest**
Barack Obama beat Hillary Clinton, Mike Huckabee beat Mitt Romney. Why did the upstart win and the on-the-favorite lose the first-in-the-nation Iowa Caucuses in 2008, and what does that tell us about 2012?
Christopher C. Hull, Georgetown University
bullc@georgetown.edu
- 1:14 When Factions Decide: How Presidential Candidates Win Nominations Without Momentum**
With an eye toward 2012, an examination of three decades of presidential primary exit-polling data to determine effects of party-faction "friction" on candidates' "momentum" after primaries commence.
Dante J. Scala, University of New Hampshire
dante.scala@unh.edu
- 1:26 Front Runners and Also Rans: Early Identification of New Hampshire Primary Winners**
This paper examines candidates who are identified as early favorites in New Hampshire, how successful they have been in winning the primary, and what factors are most important to their success.
Andrew E. Smith, University of New Hampshire
andrew.smith@unh.edu
- 1:38 Candidate Endorsements in Presidential Nominations: Centric Choices but Not Median Voters See Diane Stebbins and Chris Hull**
This paper analyzes candidate endorsements by US legislators. While federal legislators tend to endorse candidates in the ideological center of their party, the endorsement patterns are not consistent with the median voter logic.
Wayne P. Steger, DePaul University
wsteger@depaul.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Arthur C. Paulson, Southern Connecticut State University**
paulsona@southernct.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 23-4 Room 12:45**
12:50 Inequality in Political Participation
Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chole, Barbara Sgouraki Kinsey, University of Central Florida
bkinsey@mail.ucf.edu
- 12:50 Political Efficacy, Social Class and the Decision to Vote**
This paper re-examines how political efficacy is measured and why it is the most trusted source for why voter turnout has remained to comparable rates of the 1960 presidential elections. It takes into account political interest, trust, and the mind.
Zulma T. Blair, Medgar Evers College
zblair@meecuny.edu
- 1:05 Explaining Unequal Participation: The Differential Effects of Winter Weather on Voter Turnout**
We identify a potentially significant cause of lower levels of turnout among low-income voters: namely, that exogenous income shocks in January can decrease turnout in the following November, but only among low-income voters.

- DAVIN HYUN-SIANG JIA, Massachusetts Institute of Technology**
 hjia@mit.edu
Kristin Loose, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
 loosek@mit.edu
- 1:20 **What, if Anything, Should Be Done?: Procedural Reforms and Inequality of Political Voice**
 This paper reviews a large number of procedural arrangements and political reforms with potential consequences for the amelioration of the inequalities resulting from unrepresentative character of politically active individuals and organizations.
Kay L. Schlotzmann, Boston College
 kschl02@bc.edu
Sidney Verba, Harvard University
 SVERBA@HARVARD.EDU
Henry E. Brady, University of California, Berkeley
 hbrady@berkeley.edu
Shauna Shames, Harvard University
 shawanda@gmail.com
- 1:35 **A More Unequal Electorate? Income Inequality in Voter Turnout, 1994-2008**
 I measure income inequality in voter registration and turnout to gauge whether the class skew of the electorate has grown over time. I show that more robust get-out-the-vote efforts have helped to reduce income bias in the electorate.
Amber Wichowsky, Yale University
 amber.wichowsky@gmail.com
- 1:50 **Disc., Zuleika T. Bhui, Modjeska Evers College**
 zbhui@modj.evs.edu
Disc., Barbara Spearth Kinsley, University of Central Florida
 bkinsley@mail.ucf.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 75-1 **Parties and Candidates in Europe**
 Room 1 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair, David Fortmann, Universität Mannheim
 fortmann@uni-mannheim.de
- 12:50 **The Right Look: Conservative Politicians Look Better and Their Voters Reward It**
 We examine how candidate appearance and beauty premiums in politics differ between Conservatives and Social Democrats.
Niklas Bengtsson, The Ratio Institute
 niklas.bengtsson@ratio.se
Henrik Jordahl, Research Institute of Industrial Economics
 henrik.jordahl@ifn.se
Panu Poutvaara, University of Munich
 panu.poutvaara@gmail.com
- 1:02 **Economy or Ideology? Diagnosing the Sources of Change in Turkish Voting Behavior, 2002-2007**
 This article uses survey data from 2002 and 2007 general elections to evaluate the relative merits of the sources of change in Turkish voting behavior.
All Çarkoğlu, Koc University, Istanbul, Turkey
 aarkoglu@ku.edu.tr
- 1:14 **Examining the Causal Mechanisms Linking Education and Political Participation**
 Previous research claiming that there is a direct causal link between education and political participation overestimates the effect of education by failing to take social network centrality into account.
Mikael Persson, University of Gothenburg
 mikael.persson@pol.gu.se
- 1:26 **An Empirical Test of a Computational Spatial Model of Voters, Politicians and the Media**
 This paper presents a test of a computational model of the interrelationship between voters, candidates and the media in multi-party systems. Using EVS data and data on media systems in Europe, we test the hypotheses that follow from the model.
Martijn Schoonvelde, Stony Brook University
 mschoonvelde@gmail.com
Roland Kuppe, Stony Brook University
 roland.kuppe@stonybrook.edu
- 1:35 **Citizen Support for the European Union and Participation in European Parliamentary Elections**
 This paper analyzes the impact of citizens support for the European Union and participation in European Parliamentary Elections and finds that higher support for EU membership triggers higher turnout.
Daniel Stockemer, University of Ottawa
 dstockem@webp.uottawa.ca
- 1:50 **Disc., Paul Whiteley, University of Essex**
 whiteley@essex.ac.uk
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 26-7 **The Impact of Electoral Reforms on Legislative Behavior**
 Room 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair, Gail McElroy, Trinity College, Dublin
 mcelroy@tcd.ie
- 12:50 **How Mixed-Member Electoral Systems Affect Legislative Behavior: Evidence From the 17th National Assembly of the Republic of Korea, 2004-8**
 Unlike An and Hix (2010), this article analyzes the roll-call voting behavior in the 17th National Assembly of the Republic of Korea (2004-8), using the standard assumptions from the broader literature on electoral systems.
Jihyeok Jung, Rice University
 jihyeok.jung@gmail.com
- 1:10 **Candidate Selection Procedures, Electoral Rules, and Legislative Party Voting in Mixed Electoral Systems Countries**
 Candidate selection procedures and electoral rules interact to create incentives for party loyalty among legislators. To supplement my previous qualitative research, I present a cross-national quantitative analysis of legislative voting.
Jessica Robinson Preece, Brigham Young University
 jessica_preece@byu.edu
- 1:30 **The Legislative Effects of Electoral Mandates**
 Using a natural experiment from Hungary this paper reports that legislators become significantly more constituency oriented when the electoral system changes from PR to SMD, but an opposite change produces no effect.
Margit Tavlas, Washington University, St. Louis
 mtavlas@wustl.edu
Santiago Olivella, Washington University, St. Louis
 olivella@wustl.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Pamela Edwards-Ham, Saginaw Valley State University**
 pjedward@svsu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 27-3 **The Psychology of Attitudes toward Immigration**
 Room 1 on the 5th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair, Sergio C. Wais, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
 swais2@unl.edu
- 12:50 **Framing National Identity: The Media's Role in Determining "Who Counts as an American"**
 Does media framing help define the boundaries of the national group? The results of an experiment using inclusive, exclusive, and mixed frames in newspaper articles on immigration and citizenship are reported.
Cathy Jacobs, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
 cjacobs@huskers.unl.edu
- 1:02 **It's Always About Race: Tolerance for Immigrants in Small Towns Undergoing Rapid Diversification**
 This paper examines attitudes toward immigration among native-born residents in small towns that have recently undergone rapid diversification. Tolerance toward immigrants in small towns is significantly related to negative attitudes about Blacks.

- J. Celeste Lay, *Tulane University*
jlay@tulane.edu
- 1:14 **Black Ice? Race and the Political Psychology of Implicit Bias**
This paper examines racial differences in the psychology behind implicit bias as U.S. immigration policy judgments.
Efrén Osvaldo Pérez, *Vanderbilt University*
efren.o.perez@vanderbilt.edu
- 1:36 **American Identity Threatened: Illegality and Preferences for Restrictive Immigration Policies in the U.S.**
Using an original nationally representative sample collected in August of 2010, I find support for the hypothesized effects of illegality and threatened American identity upon support for restrictive federal immigration policies in the United States.
Allison Shortle, *Ohio State University*
shortle.1@polisci.osu.edu
- 1:38 **Who are the Huddled Masses? Assessing the Effects of Positive and Negative Frames on Perceptions of Immigrant Threat to American Public Opinion**
We explore two questions: the salience of different types of immigrants' economic threat (taking jobs versus absorbing government services), and the effects of framing immigration in a positive or a negative way on threat perception.
Matthew Wright, *Harvard University*
Matthew_Wright@hks.harvard.edu
Jack Citrin, *University of California, Berkeley*
gcjacks@berkeley.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.** Matthew James Hayes, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
mehayes2@uiuc.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 27-18 **Citizens and Elites: Exploring the Basis of Political Attitudes and Behavior**
Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair:** Beth Miller, *University of Missouri, Kansas City*
millorb@umkc.edu
- 12:50 **Don't Ask, Can't Tell: Introspection and Party Cues**
Can citizens report accurately on the origins of their attitudes? In three online experiments, we find that citizens are unaware of the effects of party labels on their policy attitudes.
Daniel E. Bergan, *Michigan State University*
bergan@msu.edu
- 1:05 **Reason-Giving in Political Decision-Making**
How adept are people at explaining the reasons behind their political choices? Data from a decision-making experiment administered to elected officials and everyday citizens reveals wide variation in how people justify their choices to others.
Dana Griffin, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
dgriffin3@unl.edu
- 1:20 **Getting Answers Without Asking Questions: The Practicality of an Auditory IAT**
We combine IAT and interactive voice response (IVR) (agency measures) to develop an auditory version of the IAT. We demonstrate the equivalence of traditional visual and our auditory IAT, and report the results of the first telephone-administered IAT.
Aleksander Kaluzhkevich, *Rice University*
aleksks@rice.edu
James Hedrick, *Rice University*
james.j.hedrick@rice.edu
- 1:35 **Dirty Politics With a Clean Conscience: Rationalizing Corruption**
We present new data sampling corrupt politicians and the rationalizations they use to account for their actions, based on a survey, interviews, and public records. Several models of rationalization are tested.
- Chad Reeter, *George Washington University*
reeter@gwu.edu
- Allen Gansett, *George Washington University*
allen.gansett@gmail.com
- 1:50 **Disc.** Christopher Michael Federico, *University of Minnesota*
federico@umn.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-5 **Economic Attitudes and Perceptions**
Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair:** Mendi Bailey, *Valdosta State University*
mbbailey@valdosta.edu
- 12:50 **Do personal spending habits predict economic issue preferences?**
Personal attitudes toward spending and saving money are associated with generosity in an economic game scenario, and there is an interaction between ideology and spending habits when predicting preferences for government expenditures.
Amanda Balzer, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
amanda_balzer@huskers.unl.edu
- 1:05 **Local and State Economies and National Economic Evaluations**
How are national economic perceptions affected by local and state economic conditions? We investigate the influence of countervailing conditions on the partisan bias in economic perceptions, economic uncertainty and the postholbrook/sociotropic debate.
Bryan J. Detrey, *Oklahoma State University*
Detrey@gmail.com
Harvey D. Palmer, *SUNY, Buffalo*
hpalmer@buffalo.edu
- 1:20 **The Effect of Politics and Public Opinion on Consumer Behavior**
This paper explores the effect of changes in the political environment and public opinion on personal consumer expenditures, a major engine of economic growth.
Ellen Meredith Key, *Sony Brook University*
ellen.key@sonyob.edu
- 1:35 **Political Understanding of Economic Crisis**
This paper studies small group conversations observed during the recent economic recession to examine the process by which people make sense of the economy and how they use these perceptions to in turn interpret policies and candidates.
Katherine Craver Walsh, *University of Wisconsin*
kwalsh2@wisc.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.** Kent Tedin, *University of Houston*
ktedin@uh.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-18 **Strategic Partisan Rhetoric**
Room Dearborn 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair:** J. Charles Strachan, *Central Michigan University*
strachjc@cmich.edu
- 12:50 **Framing Effects Experiment: The Impact of Conflicting Intra-Party Cues**
Using experimental data, I examine the impact of conflicting party cues on voters' responses to questions about stem cell research, immigration, and free trade.
Anne Clamer, *University of Maryland*
aclamer@gvpr.umed.edu
- 1:05 **Are Party Cues More Powerful Than Ideology?**
In several experiments, I test the extent to which partisans follow party cues when forming policy preferences, even when the party position contradicts the individual's ideology and the party's traditional stance.
Jonathan McDonald Ladd, *Georgetown University*
jml29@georgetown.edu

- 1:20 **Do Party Elites Lead Public Opinion? The Cases of Tony Blair and Ronald Reagan**
 We argue that political elites lead voter's preferences due to parties' incentive to alter public opinion and voters' informational shortcomings. We provide robust evidence from Blair's "New Labour" and Reagan's "conservative revolution" leaderships.
 Sebastian Lavezzolo, *New York University*
 slp126@nyu.edu
 Luis Oriola, *University of Girona, Spain*
 luio.oriola@udg.edu
- 1:35 **Reaching Beyond the Core Base: Can Political Parties Win Policy Support by Framing Issues?**
 What rhetoric makes political parties persuasive? This experimental study illuminates how parties can win policy support from non-partisan voters by using different kinds of issue frames, designed to speak to the values of these voters.
 Ross Stothaus, *Auburn University*
 stothaus@ps.auburn.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.**, Phillip O. Paulino, *University of North Texas*
 paulino@unt.edu
Disc., J. Cheryl Strachan, *Central Michigan University*
 strachjc@cmich.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-20 **Evaluating Political Parties**
 Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair**, Arnold F. Shapiro, *Lawrence University*
 arnold.shapiro@lawrence.edu
- 12:50 **Discredited Politics and Party Identification**
 This paper develops a simple conceptual framework that predicts that the partisans' subjective attachment to political parties becomes stronger as the opinion climate towards political actors within a polity becomes increasingly negative.
 Matthias Andre Bergstedt, *University of Michigan*
 bergstedt@umich.edu
- 1:02 **Disappearing Intransigibles in the Party Identification Scale**
 Instead of viewing "leaners" in the party ID scale as a single group, we examine the differences between Democrat and Republican "leaners," and attempt to explain why intransigibles across party ID have begun to disappear among Republicans.
 Drew Karbowiak, *University of Missouri*
 dkarbowiak@mail.missouri.edu
- 1:14 **The Impact of Perceptions of Group Association and Influence on Partisan Attitudes**
 This paper examines the ways in which individuals' attitudes toward subgroups associated with the two major political parties in the U.S. impacts their partisan attitudes.
 Erin McAdams, *College of Charleston*
 mcadamee@cofc.edu
- 1:26 **Parties Cause Partisanship?**
 I find a small but significant causal effect of the number of seats a party holds in legislatures on the partisanship of individuals.
 Scott Moser, *University of Texas, Austin*
 smoser@ustin.utexas.edu
- 1:38 **Movement in Partisan Policy Mood: Party Mood Reaction to Economic Performance and Policy Enactments**
 This study creates a measure of public policy mood by party for Democrats, Republicans, and Independents. I use these data to test how party policy preferences move in regard to economic performance and policy enactments.
 Gregory Joseph Wolf, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 gregwolf@email.unc.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.**, Tyler Johnson, *University of Oklahoma*
 tylerjohnson@ou.edu
Disc., Kyle L. Saunders, *Colorado State University*
 Kyle.Saunders@ColoState.EDU
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-2 **Media and Citizen Participation**
 Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair**, Sean Richey, *Georgia State University*
 srichey@gsu.edu
- 12:50 **Mass Media, Environmental Policy, and Citizen Engagement**
 This paper uses case study and survey data to propose that the technical aspect of environmental policies, along with patterns of mass media coverage can help explain why citizens are not involved in local environmental policy decisions.
 Debra Crow, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 debra.crow@colorado.edu
 J. Richard Stevens, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 rich.stevens@colorado.edu
- 1:05 **News Media and Political Participation**
 What effect does access to biased information have on political participation? To answer this question, I examine the introduction of the Fox News Channel into American media markets during the 2000 presidential and 2002 U.S. congressional elections.
 Dimitri Kelly, *University of Wisconsin*
 dkelly@wisc.edu
- 1:20 **The Power of Political Boycott in Online Communities and Blogs: Exploring Online Political Debaters on the Korean Government's Beef Trade Policies with the U.S.**
 This study explores online political debaters' gratifications in using online communication to facilitate their boycott activities and their perceptions of the effectiveness of the two-way, internet-based communication.
 Jae Hee Park, *University of Tennessee*
 angel76@gmail.com
 Daniel M. Haygood, *Elon University*
 dhaygood@elon.edu
 Hyun Jaeng Yoo, *Texas State University*
 cm035@gmail.com
- 1:35 **Voters in Context: How Market-level Media Adoption Patterns Influence Individual Political Involvement**
 This study examines whether and how a variety of market-level media adoption characteristics (such as cable penetration, internet penetration, and DVR penetration) affected individual political involvement in the 2008 presidential election.
 Ming Wang, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 mwang24@wisc.edu
 Jess Gabay, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 gabay@wisc.edu
 Melissa Gottlieb, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 mrgottlieb@wisc.edu
 Stephanie Edgerly, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 edgerly@wisc.edu
 Young Mee Kim, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 ymkim5@wisc.edu
 Dhavan V. Shah, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 dshah@wisc.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.**, James Benjamin Thybor, *Georgia State University*
 jstybor27@gsu.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-18 **Media, Polis, and Public Opinion**
 Room Macross 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair**, Justin W. Holmes, *University of Northern Iowa*
 justin.holmes@uni.edu
- 12:50 **When Do Polis Affect Polis? Partisanship, Issue Importance, and the Effects of Exposure to Mass Opinion Data on Attitudes**
 We conduct an experiment to test the hypothesis that exposure to mass opinion data through the media makes respondents more likely to show bandwagon effects by expressing the most popular opinion from recently viewed polling data.
 Edward Matthew Barnicki, *University of Georgia*
 ebarnicki@uga.edu

- 1:05 **Representation, Selection Bias, and Media Reporting on Mass Shootings**
We examine the prevalence and effects of the media organizations reporting news with a blend of aggregate-level survey results and in-depth interviews of the respondents from the survey who agree to speak to reporters for attribution.
Benjamin Gaskins, Florida State University
bgaskins@fsu.edu
Jason Barabas, Florida State University
jason.barabas@fsu.edu
- 1:20 **Media Effects: The Threshold of Presidential Approval Change**
Growing evidence from lab experiments to statistical studies on media consumption and public opinion show that people are, on average, influenced by the media. I examine how much the news media affects the approval rate of President G.W. Bush.
Gino Joseph Totz, Wayne State University
gtotz2@yahoo.com
- 1:35 **Polls and (Un)responsiveness to Presidential Speeches and Political Reporting**
In this paper, I develop a theory about the prevalence of inferences to responsiveness, unresponsiveness, and polls in presidential speeches and political reporting, with particular attention to differences according to issue domain.
Bas W. Van Doorn, College of Wooster
bvandoom@wooster.edu
- 1:50 **Doc., Christian Elmblad-Frestökær, University of Southern Denmark**
cel@sam.sdu.dk
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-2 **Candidate Emergence**
Wilson on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair, Tracy Osborn, University of Iowa
tracy-osborn@uiowa.edu
- 12:45 **Out of the Kitchen and Into the State House...or Senate: The Impact of Leadership Development and Campaign Training Programs on Women Vying for and Winning State Legislative Office**
My research considers the impact that leadership development and candidate training programs, PACs, and programs committed to supporting and encouraging women have on the number of women vying for and winning state legislative office.
Julie Carlisle, Idaho State University
carlisle@isu.edu
- 1:05 **Liberal Feminists, Mama Grizzlies and Business Tycoons: Democratic and Republican Women Candidates in the 2010 Elections**
This paper analyzes all of the 2010 women congressional candidates to see in what ways the candidates, paths to power, and success rates of Republican and Democratic women are similar and different.
Laurel E. Elder, Norwich College
elderl@norwich.edu
Barbara C. Burnell, Northern Illinois University
bburnell@niu.edu
Brian P. Frederick, Bridgewater State College
brian.frederick@bridgew.edu
- 1:20 **Women and Men Who Run for U.S. Congress: Do Their Qualifications Differ?**
This research explores gender differences in the qualifications of US Congressional candidates by examining the qualifications of all women who ran for US Congress in 2004, 2006, and 2008, and the qualifications of their opponents.
Carly Hayden Foster, Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville
chayde@siue.edu
- 1:35 **The Intersection of Traditional Family Roles and Political Ambition: A Re-Evaluation**
Based on new data from the 2010 Citizen Political Ambition Study, we argue that the effect of family roles on candidate emergence is more complex than previously thought, but still serves as a major impediment to full gender equality in politics.
Jennifer L. Lawless, American University
lawlessj@american.edu
Richard L. Fox, Loyola Marymount University
richard.fox@lmu.edu
- 1:50 **Doc., Melody Crowder-Meyer, Sewanee: University of the South**
macrowde@sewanee.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 31-2 **Immigration, Race and Politics**
Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair, Shanna Pearson-Markowitz, University of Rhode Island
shannapm@gmail.com
- 12:50 **Voting Behavior of Caribbean Americans in Brooklyn**
While majorities of blacks in this country are of African American heritage, Caribbean blacks do exist and can be a potent voting bloc. Does their ethnicity influence their voting behavior?
Beth Ginsberg, University of Connecticut, Stamford
bethg@uconn.edu
- 1:00 **The Voters Made Me Do It? Constituency Opinion and Position Taking on Immigration**
Using data from the 109th Congress on immigration related bills, I examine whether constituency opinion is a factor in legislative position-taking decisions. Results shed light on the debate surrounding the reasons for cosponsoring legislation.
Nicole Kalaf-Hughes, University of California, Davis
ngkalaf@ucdavis.edu
- 1:10 **The Impact of Pre-Immigration Factors on the Long-term Political Behavior of Ethnic Minority Citizens**
Survey data are used in conjunction with country level datasets to show that pre-immigration factors such as purpose of migration and beliefs about politics formed in the homeland affect the long-term political behavior of ethnic minority citizens.
Daniel Lim, University of California, Los Angeles
daniel.lim@ucla.com
- 1:20 **Moved by Illegality? Experimental Approaches to Public Opinion on Immigration**
We explore whether the public makes meaningful distinctions between legal and illegal immigration when it comes to policy preferences using data generated from survey experiments.
Jennifer L. Merolla, Claremont Graduate University
jennifer.merolla@cgu.edu
S. Karthick Ramakrishnan, University of California, Riverside
karthick@ucr.edu
- 1:30 **Policy Climates, Enforcement Rates, and the Differences in the Migratory Behavior of Legal and Illegal Immigrants in the U.S.**
We examine the role subnational policies and spatial variations in policy enforcement on the migratory behavior of legal and illegal immigrants. We find that negative policy contexts actually encourage illegal migrants increase their length of stay.
Rene Rubando Rocha, University of Iowa
rene-rocha@uiowa.edu
Daniel P. Hawes, New State University
dhawes2@kent.edu
Allsa Hicklin, University of Oklahoma
ahicklin@ou.edu
- 1:40 **The Politics of Confinement: Historical Transformations in the Immigration Detention System in the United States**
This survey of recent transformations in law and policy governing immigration detention seeks to situate these changes in the context of their historical development and to suggest an analytic lens for assessing the "politics of containment."

- Jacqueline Vump *New School for Social Research*
vumpj294@newschool.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Debra Thompson** *Harvard University*
dthompson@guv.harvard.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 32-102 Roundtable on John Zumbrennen's Silence and Democracy**
- Room** Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
A round table on John Zumbrennen's book, *Silence and Democracy* (SLNY, 2008), three speakers will address the work, a significant contribution to scholarship both on Thucydides and on democratic theory.
- Chair** Rachel Marie Timpler, *Goucher College*
rachel.timpler@goucher.edu
- Panelist** John Zumbrennen, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
zumbrennen@wisc.edu
Paul E. Kirkland, *Carthage College*
pkirkland@carthage.edu
Christina Tarnopolsky, *McGill University*
christina.tarnopolsky@mcgill.ca
Beth N. Jaffe, *Bowdoin College*
bjaffe@bowdoin.edu
- 34-2 Deliberation and Democratic Legitimacy**
- Room** Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Michael A. Nehls**, *Ohio State University*
nehls.1@osu.edu
- 12:50 **How Deliberative are Deliberative Opinion Polls?**
The aim of this paper is to empirically track the content and the dynamics of of citizen deliberation on integration in a pan-European Deliberative Poll (Europollis).
Andre Baechtiger, *University of Lucerne*
andre.baechtiger@unilu.ch
Marlene Gerben, *University of Bern*
marlene.gerben@ipw.unibe.ch
- 1:05 **Concepts of "the" Public Interest and Political Representation**
The meaning of "the" public interest is explored in relation to "decentral representation," a concept to be used in studying public interest groups and comparative political parties.
Andrew S. McFarland, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
amc1ark@uic.edu
- 1:20 **Authority, Expertise and Democratic Political Judgment**
This paper questions the distinction between "an" authority and "in" authority by focusing on the exercise of political judgment in the constitution of authority. It aims to show how and when public consensus can be productive of expert authority.
Alfred Moore, *University of British Columbia*
a.moore@ubc.ca
- 1:35 **The Implicit Normativity of Political Decision Interactions**
If one understands political decisions as normatively binding, the question arises how this bindingness is produced. The paper analyzes how agents create a common normative basis in face-to-face interactions by performing political practices.
Tanja Pritzeloff, *University of Bremen*
t.pritzeloff@gmx.de
- 1:50 **Disc., Frank Lovett**, *Washington University, St. Louis*
franklov@wustl.wustl.edu
Disc., Peter C. Stone, *Tulane University*
stone1936@gmail.com
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-10 The Philosophy and Rhetoric of Violence in Political Foundings**
- Room** Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Andrea Radasanu**, *Northern Illinois University*
a_radasanu@hotmail.com
- 12:50 **Democracy Wasn't Built in a Day: What Modern Democracies Can Learn From Montesquieu's Spirit of the Laws**
Fledgling democracies often fall victim to civil war, brutal divisions, or worse. By looking back to Montesquieu's understanding of foundings, contemporary democracies can gain useful insights about initiating peaceful democratic transitions.
Sarah Burns, *Claremont Graduate University*
sarah.burns@cgu.edu
- 1:05 **Speech and Spectacle: Rousseau's Understanding of the Emotions During the Birth of Nations**
This paper addresses Rousseau's understanding of the relationship between emotion and speech in the founding of political communities, with special reference to the place that emotional reactions to violence occupy in this understanding.
Michael N. Di Gregorio, *McMaster University*
digregm@mcmaster.ca
- 1:20 **Machiavelli on Rhetoric and Violence in Republican Foundings**
Machiavelli advises his readers that swift and brutal violence is essential in the founding of a republic. This paper examines the role of Machiavelli's advice to would-be republican founders in his broader project and its contemporary relevance.
Marco Paoletti, *University of Texas, Austin*
marco.paoletti@morionline.ca
- 1:35 **The Role of Violence in Ancient Political Foundings: A Discourse on Plato's Laws**
The presence of violence in political foundings has permeated political philosophy from the very beginning. Plato's *Laws* offers keen insight into the crucial and even desirable role of violent acts in the legislation of new constitutions.
Eva Popova, *Michigan State University*
popovaev@msu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Andrea Radasanu**, *Northern Illinois University*
a_radasanu@hotmail.com
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 35-1 Tolerance, Justice, and Democratizing Institutions**
- Room** Cecil Hall on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Michael McKeon**, *Barry University*
mckeonm@mail.barry.edu
- 12:50 **Intercity Boundaries and Identities: Late Liberalism's Troubled Obligations during the War on Terror**
Taking the recent attempted bombing of New York City's Times Square as its point of departure, this paper utilizes threats of modern warfare and terrorism to critique - and reevaluate - liberalism as an organizing political principle in the West.
Kathryn A. Heard, *University of California, Berkeley*
kheard@berkeley.edu
- 1:10 **Liberating Toleration: Liberal Toleration Must Rescue Itself by Rediscovering its Skeptical Roots**
Liberal thought has recently developed, under assault from different critiques, that threaten to hollow its principled toleration. This paper urges a return to liberalism's skeptical roots as a solid foundation for discriminating toleration.
Shefali Misra, *St. Michael's College*
smisra@smcvt.edu
- 1:30 **Disc., Christopher D. Buck**, *St. Lawrence University*
chuck@stlaw.edu
- 1:50 **Audience Discussion**

- 36-3 On Posthuman Democracy**
 Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair: John S. Nelson, *University of Iowa*
 john.nelson@uiowa.edu
- 12:50 Feminist Transnationalism and the Question of the Animal**
 In my paper, I study Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari's "becoming animal" and seek to draw out its implications for feminist transnational and post-colonial politics.
Sushmita Chatterjee, Augustana College
 sushmita@augustana.edu
- 1:02 Human Rights and the Three Senses of Humanity**
 Through critical engagements with the writings of contemporary human rights theorists, John Dewey, and Hannah Arendt, this paper attempts to illuminate three senses of humanity that inform human rights theory and practice.
John Dobard, University of Chicago
 jdobard@uchicago.edu
- 1:14 Nietzschean Friends and Neighbors: Aesthetic Politics and Conflicted Co-Existence**
 This paper identifies two types of relationships between the self and the other in Nietzsche's work and argues that this distinction is crucial to post-Nietzschean theories of agonistic democracy.
Megan Gallagher, University of California, Los Angeles
 mgallagher@ucla.edu
- 1:26 On Ecological Citizenship**
 According to environmental philosophy, ongoing changes in our relation to nature require us to revise the notion of citizenship, and to consider the notion of an ecological citizenship that can be productively combined with citizenship in a nation.
Julie V. Kuhlen, Macquarrie University
 jkuhlen@pedemalescellars.com
- 1:38 The Impersonal Political: Sovereignty and the Limits of the Human Person in Espinosa and Derrida**
 Espinosa and Derrida challenge the complicity between sovereign authority and the human person. The result of these challenges is a re-configuration of humanity and political authority along the lines of impersonality.
Diego H. Rossetti, Northwestern University
 d-rossetti@northwestern.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Heather Anne Brown, Purdue University**
 habrown@purdue.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 36-18 Theory and Practice of Disaunt**
 Room Barnham 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair: Brian Mello, *Muhlenberg College*
 bmello@muhlenberg.edu
- 12:50 The Role of Chaos in Asian Socialist Thought**
 This paper examines Asian socialist political thought's lack of a notion of chaos. The attempts by Asian socialist thinkers to explain contingency in their rigorously deterministic ideologies results in accepting a radical form of voluntarism.
Phillip W. Gray, United States Coast Guard Academy
 phillip.w.gray@gmail.com
- 1:05 Dignified Rebellion: the Potential Individual's Challenge to Sovereignty**
 Dignity represents a challenge to sovereignty both as a rejection of the laws and norms of the group and as a funt from which competitive norms and laws may spring forth. By competing with the sovereign, dignity is a form of rebellion.
Robert Lee Oprisko, Purdue University
 ropriskn@purdue.edu
- 1:20 One is not Born Free: Thinking Freedom With Hannah Arendt and the American Revolutionaries**
 In this essay I argue that Hannah Arendt's concept of Freedom offers a better understanding of the significance of contemporary democratic practices at the grassroots level. I place particular emphasis in the performative aspect of her theory.
- Elio Fabiola Orzuco, University of Massachusetts, Amherst**
 orzucoem@polsci.umass.edu
- 1:35 Contesting the Public Space in Turkey: New Social Movements and Nationalism**
 This paper examines the relationships between the public sphere, ethnic pluralism and nationalism in modern Turkey.
Biliter Tenen, Southern Illinois University
 bhtenr@siu.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Brian Mello, Muhlenberg College**
 bmello@muhlenberg.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 38-7 Methods in the Study of Legislatures**
 Room Sabon 2 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair: William G. Jacoby, *Michigan State University*
 wjacoby@msu.edu
- 12:50 Another Way: A New Approach for Estimating Ideal Points Comparable across Time and Chambers**
 Existing methods for estimating ideal points restrict how legislators move over time. We use sponsorship data to make the agendas comparable, doing away with the use of legislators as anchors. We find that legislators respond to electoral dynamics.
Nicoly Annusson, University of Rochester
 nannusson@mail.rochester.edu
- 1:00 Measuring Party Influence on Legislative Agendas with "Noisy" Data: The Case of the U.S. House, 1789-2010**
 We propose formal statistical tests of theories of majority party gatekeeping, show that existing measures of gatekeeping yield biased estimates, and examine the evolution of agenda control in Congress.
Joshua Clinton, Vanderbilt University
 jush.clinton@vanderbilt.edu
- 1:10 Evidence of Strategic Lobbying in the U.S. House of Representatives**
 I find evidence that lobbies give campaign contributions strategically by targeting the pivotal legislators in the US House of Representatives. One standard of pivotality predicts an increase of 41,000 per Representative.
Carlos R. Lever, Boston de Mexico
 carloslever@gmail.com
- 1:30 The President's 'Yes' on Roll Calls**
 The president's ideal point is estimated using both public positions and final action decisions. However, the underlying model of choice presented allows for differences between legislative voting and the decision to sign/veto a bill.
Jonathan Paul Olmsted, University of Rochester
 jolmsted@gmail.com
- 1:40 Open Secret: Voting Reform, Executive Dominance and Parliamentary Responsiveness in Britain, 1832-1918**
 Using a comprehensive new data set of constituency results and speeches, we consider the responsiveness of legislators and the legislature to electoral reform in Victorian Britain.
Arthur Spirling, Harvard University
 asirling@gov.harvard.edu
- 1:40 Measuring Individual Delegate Positions at the Constitutional Convention of 1787**
 We estimate the ideological positions of both individual delegates and state delegations at the Constitutional Convention.
Andrew Eggers, Harvard University
 aeggers@fas.harvard.edu

	Shawn Tracer, <i>University of Minnesota</i> stracer@umn.edu	40-6 Room	Issues, Agendas, and the Interest Group Environment
	Jeremy C. Pope, <i>Brigham Young University</i> jpope@byu.edu	12:45	Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
1:50	Disc., Ryan Bakker, <i>University of Georgia</i> rbakker@uga.edu	12:50	Chair, Daniel M. Butler, Yale University daniel.butler@yale.edu
2:10	Audience Discussion		
39-2 Room	Internet Activism and Political Participation		
12:45	Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm		
	Chair, Robin Karakaya Polat, <i>Isik University</i> rpolat@isikun.edu.tr	1:10	Interest Groups in the U.S. Budget Making Process: Points of Access, Methods of Lobbying, Modification of Structure.
12:50	The Boundless Boundaries of Social Media and Political Participation: Understanding the Relationship of Student Connectedness and Social Networking		The main point of the paper is to highlight the modification of interest groups structure in the US budget process
	This paper explores the results of a study about college students, social networking, and civic engagement		Sergey S. Kostyrev, <i>Russian Academy of Sciences</i> sergey.kostyrev@fulbrightmail.org
	Jo Arney, <i>University of Wisconsin, La Crosse</i> arneyjo@gmail.com		Rebooting the Deck, or Realigning the Shoe? Policy Domain Emergence and Government Demand for Lobbyists Before and After September 11
	Julie L. Kildahl, <i>University of Wisconsin, La Crosse</i> kildahl.julie@uwlax.edu		Does the emergence of a new policy domain shift the focus of established groups, or does it initiate the mobilization of new ones? This paper tests the impact of the new homeland security policy domain on the distribution of lobbying activities.
1:02	Controlling the Political Sphere: Evaluating the Interactivity and Democratization of Online Political Communication Activity	1:30	The Differential Response of Generalist and Specialist Organizations to Competitive Exclusion in Interest Communities
	This study evaluates how the Internet is affecting political communication in America by using survey and interview data to examine how Internet users choose to utilize online political communication tools and how political elites try to direct them.		We examine how interest community density affects interest organizations' membership numbers and legislative agendas through competitive exclusion. We focus on differences in these effects between generalist and specialist organizations.
	Ben Epstein, <i>Graduate Center, CUNY</i> benepstein55@gmail.com		David L. Lowery, <i>University of Leiden</i> dlowery@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
1:14	An Obama Effect? The Internet, Young Adults and Political Engagement		Justin Kirkland, <i>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill</i> jkirkla@email.unc.edu
	Based on unique survey data of a diverse group of young adults from Spring 2009, we consider the relationship between online and offline political engagement around the 2008 presidential elections.		Virginia Gray, <i>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill</i> vgray4@email.unc.edu
	Ezter Hargittai, <i>Northwestern University</i> mpea@hargittai.com		Jeffrey J. Harden, <i>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill</i> jjharden@unc.edu
	Aaron D. Shaw, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i> adshaw@berkeley.edu	1:50	Disc., Daniel M. Butler, Yale University daniel.butler@yale.edu
1:26	Mobilizing or Reinforcing? A View from the U.S. Presidential Election 2008: The Use of Internet for Civic Engagement	2:10	Audience Discussion
	This study explores the impact of Internet use for civic engagement. This study finds both mobilizing and reinforcing effects of Internet, especially online social networking use, on civic engagement from the 2008 U.S. President Election.	41-14 Room	Presidential Rhetoric
	Byoung Joou Kim, <i>Indiana University-Purdue University, Fort Wayne</i> kimby@ipfw.edu	12:45	Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
1:38	The Urban Geography of Broadband: Cities and Regions	12:50	Chair, Mary Ann Borrelli, Connecticut College mborco@conncoll.edu
	We estimate technology access and use for the 50 largest U.S. cities and metropolitan areas, examining factors associated with lower rates of broadband use and greater metropolitan inequalities.		Sounding Presidential: Framing and Political Leadership in Presidential Speeches
	Karen A. Mossberger, <i>University of Illinois, Chicago</i> mossberg@uic.edu		This study examines how President Obama frames domestic policy issues. Using a new data set, we examine two hundred speeches to better understand the use of Presidential frames and their role leading a nation.
	William W. Franko, <i>University of Iowa</i> william-franko@uiowa.edu		Louis Fucilla, <i>Indiana University, Bloomington</i> lfucilla@indiana.edu
	Caroline J. Tolbert, <i>University of Iowa</i> caroline-tolbert@uiowa.edu		Trent Aaron Eggers, <i>Indiana University</i> teggers@indiana.edu
1:50	Disc., David Aaron Karpf, <i>Brown University</i> davekarpf@gmail.com	1:05	I Like Your Style: Presidential Rhetoric and the State of the Union Address
2:10	Audience Discussion		This paper examines the political rhetoric of the US Presidency using statistical and content analysis of the State of the Union address.
			Kate Kruger, <i>University of Maryland, College Park</i> kkruger@cypl.umd.edu
		1:20	The Rhetorical Presidency or the "Qualified Passive" Power? The "qualified passive" power - presidential speechmaking - is a constitutional development best understood in relation to the legislative authority and the separation of powers.
			Patrick Robert O'Brien, <i>University of Illinois, Chicago</i> obrien.patrick@gmail.com

- 1:35 **The Ideological Presidency: Institutional Power and the Post-Modern President**
 This paper argues that the modern presidency thesis does not accurately reflect current presidential politics. We argue that ideology determines congressional relations and the larger the president's coalition the stronger the ideological pull.
 Eric Paul Svetstein, *University of Texas, Austin*
 ericsvetstein@mail.utexas.edu
 Samuel West, *University of Texas, Austin*
 samuelwest@mail.utexas.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Anna Cavari, University of Wisconsin, Madison**
 cavari@polisci.wisc.edu
Disc., Justin S. Vaughn, Cleveland State University
 j.s.vaughn@csuohio.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-4 **The Filibuster**
 Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Jason A. McDonald, West Virginia University**
 jason.mcdonald@mail.wvu.edu
 12:50 **Republican Spots and Omnibus Spending Bills: How the 109th Changed Appropriations**
 A case study of congressional appropriations, 1977 - 1984, indicates that omnibus bills arose as a way for a diverse Republican Senate majority to deter filibusters by liberal Republicans and ensure passage of federal spending bills.
 Peter Hanson, *University of Denver*
 peter.hanson@du.edu
- 1:05 **Why Do Moderate Senators Support the Filibuster?**
 If policy entrepreneurs have agenda setting power (either formal or informal), centrist Senators want to give blocking rights to noncesarians. This forces policy entrepreneurs to develop legislation that is moderate and/or high quality.
 Alexander V. Hirsch, *Stanford Graduate School of Business*
 ahirsch@stanford.edu
 Kenneth W. Shotts, *Stanford University*
 kshotts@stanford.edu
- 1:20 **Buying a Filibuster: Campaign Donations and Obstructing Legislation**
 If interest groups reward senators that promote their issue agenda, they also likely reward senators that actively obstruct threats to that agenda. This suggests key players in a filibuster should receive larger than expected benefits from groups.
 Mark Owens, *University of Georgia*
 mowens1@uga.edu
- 1:35 **The Filibuster Rule's Effect on Policy Proposals in the U.S. Senate**
 We test the hypothesis that the filibuster rule leads the Senate to pass bills which are non-median and on the minority party's side of the policy space.
 Brian Webb, *Georgia State University*
 bwebb3@gsu.edu
 Jeffrey Lazarus, *Georgia State University*
 jlazarus@gsu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Justin Ryan Grimmer, Stanford University**
 jgrimmer@stanford.edu
Disc., Tracy Marie Roof, University of Richmond
 troof@richmond.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-26 **Preferences in Comparative Legislatures**
 Room LeSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, David Fisk, University of California, San Diego**
 dfisk@ucsd.edu
 12:50 **Quantifying the Effect of Immigrant Background on the Attitudes of MPs in the British House of Commons and the Italian Chamber of Deputies**
 This paper presents a comparative investigation of the link between the social background of immigrant national politicians and their political attitudes in the contexts of Great Britain and Italy.
 Carolina Plescia, *Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland*
 plescia@tcd.ie
 Mjehaa) Courmay, *Trinity College, Dublin*
 courmay@tcd.ie
- 1:05 **Do Electoral Rules Affect Politicians' Policy Positions? A Quantitative Analysis of Biographical Texts of District and List Members in the German Bundestag**
 This paper applies quantitative text analysis to analyze biographical descriptions in order to inspect if members elected from single-member districts take less extreme policy positions than members elected under proportional representation.
 Markus Stepha Tepe, *University of Oldenburg*
 markus.tepe@uni-oldenburg.de
 Kamil Michal Marekiewicz, *University of Oldenburg*
 kamil.marekiewicz@uni-oldenburg.de
- 1:20 **Agenda, Setting and Preferences: Case of Chile, 2008-2010**
 Using a formal model and data of legislation, legislators and public opinion collected in Chile, this presentation examines what variables affect the decisions in the legislative agenda, what influences legislators' decisions and voting preferences.
 Patricio Eugenio Valdivieso, *Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile*
 pvaldivi@puc.cl
- 1:35 **On Ideology and Disent: Analyzing Preferences and Voting Behaviour in the European Parliament**
 This paper analyses the relationship between Members of the European Parliament (MEPs) ideological preferences and their voting behaviour. Item-Response Theory is employed to estimate MEPs' ideological preferences from interview data.
 Tiro Veer, *University of Mannheim*
 veertiro@aol.com
- 1:50 **Disc., David Fisk, University of California, San Diego**
 dfisk@ucsd.edu
 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 44-9 **Race, Ethnicity, Gender, and Sexuality in the Courts**
 Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Judith A. Baer, Texas A&M University**
 j-baer@tamu.edu
 12:50 **The Atonement and Civil Rights Theory**
 Legal theorists often use the Christian Atonement as a model for civil justice and reparations for slavery; however, before the Atonement can be used as a model, atonement theory must be reconciled with contemporary standards of justice.
 Major G. Coleman, *SUNY, New Paltz*
 colemanmaj@newpaltz.edu
- 1:05 **The Indian Child Welfare Act in the U.S. District Courts and the U.S. Courts of Appeals**
 This paper will examine litigation involving the Indian Child Welfare Act in the U.S. District Courts and the U.S. Courts of Appeals.
 John C. Kilwein, *West Virginia University*
 jkilwein@wvu.edu
- 1:20 **Unsettled: How Black Farmers Have Fared Better Than American Indians in Class Action Lawsuits Against Federal Agencies**
 What explains different outcomes in class action suits against federal agencies? Legal claims and remedies, the strategies of the

	agencies, and congressional sensitivities to constituents are analyzed in suits by Black Farmers and American Indians. Paul E. Parker, <i>Duquesne State University</i> parker@duquesne.edu	45-22	Methodological Innovations and Issues in Judicial Politics
1:35	<u>Sell a Hollow Hope?: Legislative vs. Judicial Strategies for Gay/Lesbian Rights, 2003-2011</u> Rosenberg's "The Hollow Hope" argued that judicial strategies for social change are doomed to failure. This paper will evaluate that argument in light of recent court decisions and legislative action on gay marriage, adoption, and military service. Steve Sanders, <i>University of Michigan</i> stevesan@umich.edu	Room 12:45	Salon 8 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm Chair, Mark A. Randazzo, <i>University of South Carolina</i> randazzo@mailbox.sc.edu
1:50	Disc., Judith A. Beer, <i>Texas A&M University</i> j-beer@tamu.edu Disc., Chris Stangl, <i>West Chester University</i> cstangl@wcupa.edu	12:50	<u>Ideological Estimates of State Supreme Court Judges From Campaign Finance Data</u> Using campaign finance data to identify scaling of MCMC scores for state supreme court judges on non-unanimous cases, we create new ideological estimates for these judges that are more precise than existing measures but also comparable across states. Adam Banka, <i>New York University</i> ab454@nyu.edu Michael J. Woodruff, <i>New York University</i> woodruff@nyu.edu
2:10	Audience Discussion	1:02	<u>Measuring Ideology at Lower Federal Courts</u> We examine several different specifications of ideology and find that the use of categorical measures provide no less explanatory power than continuous measures and can more accurately depict the impact of ideology on judicial decision-making. Maxwell H. H. Muk, <i>John Jay College, CUNY</i> mmak@jjay.cuny.edu Chris D. Johnson, <i>Stony Brook University</i> johnrd1@gmail.com Andrew Heath Sidman, <i>John Jay College, CUNY</i> asidman@jjay.cuny.edu
45-3	<u>Judicial Politics Without (Subfield) Borders</u>		
Room	Burnham 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm		
12:45	Chair, Brandon L. Bartels, <i>George Washington University</i> bartels@gwu.edu		
12:50	<u>The Limits of the International Criminal Court: Credible Commitments, Selection, and Enforcement</u> We challenge recent work claiming the ICC is a deterrent against atrocities as states enter the ICC as a credible commitment to the rule of law. We reanalyze evidence for the credible commitment argument and find little support for these arguments. Terrence Chapman, <i>University of Texas, Austin</i> t.chapman@jso.uin.texas.edu Stephen Chaudoin, <i>Princeton University</i> stephen.chaudoin@gmail.com		
1:02	<u>Partisan Politics, Public Opinion and the Supreme Court</u> We study the determinants of public opinion about the Supreme Court. We compare the effects of judicial decisions with the effects of partisan politics on public opinion about the Court. Tom Clark, <i>Emory University</i> tom.clark@emory.edu Jonathan P. Kastellec, <i>Princeton University</i> jkastel@princeton.edu	1:14	<u>Reading the Tea Leaves: Analyzing the Q&A of Supreme Court Oral Arguments</u> This paper uses automated content analysis techniques to look at the exact language that Supreme Court Justices use in the course of their questioning during oral arguments. Maya Seo, <i>Harvard University</i> mse@fas.harvard.edu
1:14	<u>Citizenship Rules and National Unity in Divided States</u> We analyze the effect of inclusive citizenship and cultural rights on the attitudes of minority citizens in multiethnic democracies. Zachary Elkins, <i>University of Texas, Austin</i> zelkins@ustin.utexas.edu	1:26	<u>Politics, Precedent, and Power</u> Uses citations between cases to generate estimates of judge ideology and opinion content on the U.S. Courts of Appeals and tests theories of hierarchical and horizontal precedent. Ian Salam, <i>University of Rochester</i> ian.salam@gmail.com
1:26	<u>Judicial Review and the Protection of Minorities</u> We examine the possibility that judicial review weakens the security of the rights of minorities using a formal model of lawmaking and election. Justin Fox, <i>Yale University</i> justin.fox@yale.edu	1:39	<u>Profiles in Judging: An Empirical Perspective on the Judging Style in the United States Courts of Appeals</u> Using cluster analysis to determine judging styles based upon measures of judicial ideology, conservatism, independence, and partisanship, the study identifies and analyzes twelve major styles of judging exhibited in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. Corey Rayburn Yung, <i>John Marshall Law School</i> ryung@jmls.edu
1:39	<u>Clarity and Consequences: A Study of Doctrinal Vagueness and its Consequences on the Inter-American Court of Human Rights</u> This paper seeks to explain why the Inter-American Court of Human Rights develops more or less clear remedies for state violations of individual rights. It also considers the consequences of clarity for state compliance. Jeffrey K. Staton, <i>Emory University</i> jeffrey.staton@emory.edu	1:50	Disc., Qian Li, <i>University of Central Florida</i> qianli@mail.ucf.edu Disc., Kirk A. Randazzo, <i>University of South Carolina</i> randazzo@mailbox.sc.edu
1:50	Disc., Brandon L. Bartels, <i>George Washington University</i> bartels@gwu.edu Disc., Stephen A. Simon, <i>University of Richmond</i> esimon@richmond.edu	2:10	Audience Discussion
2:10	Audience Discussion	46-3	<u>Measuring Key Concepts: Culture, Opinion, and the South</u>
		Room	Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
		12:45	Chair, Jeffrey Kraus, <i>Wagner College</i> jkraus@wagner.edu
		12:50	<u>Small Area Estimation from Multiple Observations</u> We show how to estimate local level attitudes, preferences or behavior from national random samples, by combining census and aggregate data and conceptualizing the objective as a type of missing data problem, in the presence of measurement error. James Hooper, <i>Pennsylvania State University</i> jeh20@psu.edu Eric Plotzer, <i>Pennsylvania State University</i> epl2@psu.edu

- 1:02 Using Proposition Votes to Estimate District Preferences on Issues**
This paper uses district-level returns for proposition votes in California, Washington, and North Dakota to estimate district preferences and assess representation in those state legislatures.
Matthew G. Jarvis, *California State University, Fullerton*
mj Jarvis@fullerton.edu
Stephen J. Stambough, *California State University, Fullerton*
sstambough@fullerton.edu
- 1:14 American State Cultures: From Uni- and Multi-Dimensional Measures to a New Bio-Political Theory**
We show why Lieske's new vector measure of state culture is a much better predictor of representative indicators of state performance than other commonly used measures. We also test a new bio-political theory of state government and politics.
Joel A. Lieske, *Cleveland State University*
j.lieske@csuohio.edu
- 1:26 Newspapers as Reflections of State Political Culture**
Based on evidence drawn from an era before public opinion polls, this paper examines whether American newspapers reflect state political preferences against plausible alternative explanations of editorial content.
John P. McIver, *University of Colorado*
john.mciver@colorado.edu
- 1:39 Defining The South (Is Not a Straightforward Matter)**
This paper offers a critical analysis of how scholars define "the South," urging for a more rigorous method. Although the South is often defined as the eleven secession states this definition is too narrow, at least for work on voting and elections.
Melanie J. Springer, *Washington University, St. Louis*
mspringer@wustl.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Boris Shor, University of Chicago**
bshor@uchicago.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 46-12 Federalism in Theory and Practice**
LaSalle 1 on the 1st floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair, Jason Sorens, *SUNY, Buffalo*
jsorens@buffalo.edu
- 12:50 States' Rights in the 21st Century: Case Studies From Virginia and Arizona**
This paper reviews the apparent resurrection of state rights in the 21st century. Using Virginia and Arizona challenges to federal law as case studies, I examine how underlying intergovernmental relations are being redefined by state officials.
Celia Carroll Jones, *Hampden-Sydney College*
ccarroll@hsc.edu
- 1:05 When Federalism Is Not Enough? Intergovernmental Cooperation in Six Federal Democracies**
The paper analyzes the historical evolution of intergovernmental dynamics in six federal democratic countries: Brazil, India, Malaysia, Mexico, South Africa and Spain.
Helder Ferreira De Almeida, *European University Institute*
helder.ferreira@eui.eu
- 1:20 Education Reform and Modern Federalism: The Case of Central Falls, Rhode Island**
Using unique data from a state wide survey of Rhode Island and interviews with school, community and political leaders, we examine how local political arrangements affect the extent of federal intervention at local schools.
Domingo Morel, *Brown University*
domingo_morel@brown.edu
Jennifer Cavin Cassidy, *Brown University*
jocassid1@gmail.com
- 1:35 Grants-in-Aid, State Spending, and Governorial Credit Claiming in the U.S. Federal System**
This paper tests assumptions that undergird the theory of competitive federalism by exploring the relationship between federal grants, state spending, and credit claiming by American governors in State of the State speeches between 2000 and 2008.
Sean C. Nicholson-Crotty, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
nicholsonscrotty@missouri.edu
Tucker C. Staley, *University of Missouri*
tcs302@missouri.edu
Mark L. Riebeck, *University of Missouri*
mlr7a1@mail.mizzou.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Jason Sorens, SUNY, Buffalo**
jsorens@buffalo.edu
- Disc., Jim L. Tan, University of Hawaii, Manoa**
jlltan@hawaii.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 50-3 New Directions in Agenda-Setting Research: Public Mood, Political Conflict, and Issue Dimensionality**
Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Chair, Donald P. Haider-Markel, *University of Kansas*
dmarkel@ku.edu
- Room 12:45 Public Policy and the Public Mood**
An analysis of the linkages between Samson's public mood and Baumgartner's Policy Agendas project, based on a newly constructed public mood dataset specific to scores of individual policy domains.
Frank R. Baumgartner, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
frankb@unc.edu
James Allen Stimson, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
jstimson@email.unc.edu
Mary L. Ackerson, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
mlackins@email.unc.edu
K. Elizabeth Coggins, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
ecoggins@email.unc.edu
- 12:50 Public Policy and the Public Mood**
An analysis of the linkages between Samson's public mood and Baumgartner's Policy Agendas project, based on a newly constructed public mood dataset specific to scores of individual policy domains.
Frank R. Baumgartner, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
frankb@unc.edu
James Allen Stimson, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
jstimson@email.unc.edu
Mary L. Ackerson, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
mlackins@email.unc.edu
K. Elizabeth Coggins, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
ecoggins@email.unc.edu
- 1:02 Intra-Issue Variation in Agenda Setting**
Each issue area in U.S. politics has multiple facets, a feature acknowledged but not extensively analyzed in agenda setting literature. This paper moves toward a systematic explanation of intra-issue variation in agenda presence over time.
Jacqueline Chhibrodhyay, *Harvard University*
jchhibro@fas.harvard.edu
- 1:14 Organismic Political Conflict, Politics and Policymaking**
I assess how the organization of political conflict affects the processing of issues in American politics. I relate different types of political conflict to different patterns of policy change and reform sustainability.
Ashley Elizabeth Joehnen, *University of Washington*
aej9@u.washington.edu
- 1:26 A Day Late and A Dollar Short: An Informational Model of American Economic Policymaking**
The research agenda presented here presents a framework for understanding how information processing by partisan actors across institutional venues can influence the economic policymaking process.
Harry Pump, *University of Washington*
bpump@u.washington.edu
- 1:38 Navigating the Dimensions of Policy Agendas**
We ask whether the outputs of political institutions cover distinct policy spaces. Using a time series factor analysis model, we ask whether different stages of the policymaking process project all policy issues along a similar basic dimension.
Samuel Workman, *University of Texas, Austin*
samuel.workman@gmail.com
Christian Breunig, *University of Toronto*
c.breunig@utoronto.ca
- 1:50 Disc., Donald P. Haider-Markel, University of Kansas**
dmarkel@ku.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**

- 90-19 State Electoral Politics and Voting Policy**
Room Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Matthew Grossmann, Michigan State University
 matt@msu.edu
12:50 Audience Discussion
- 12:50 A Multilevel Analysis of State Referenda on Same-Sex Marriage**
 Between 1998 and 2009 thirty-four states held referenda on same-sex marriage. All but one resulted in prohibition. We analyze an array of socio-economic, political, and cultural variables to explain the pattern of opposition to same-sex marriage.
 Richard E. Matland, Loyola University, Chicago
 rmatlan@luc.edu
 Raymond Tatalovich, Loyola University, Chicago
 rtatalo@luc.edu
 Dana Wendell, Loyola University, Chicago
 dwendell@luc.edu
- 1:05 The Citizen Initiative, State Ideology, and Abortion Policy**
 This paper will analyze the effect that the citizen initiative has on abortion policy in the 50 states. Since abortion policy is rarely changed by successful initiatives, attention will be paid to how the initiative may be having an indirect effect.
 Michael J. New, University of Alabama
 mnew@bama.ua.edu
- 1:20 Time to Move: Overseas and Military Voter State Policy Innovation**
 This paper takes a closer look at the extensive voting policy movement that took place in 2009 and 2010 in the states. Why were some states more aggressive in passing reform than others?
 Claire M. South, Overseas Vote Foundation
 claire@overseasvotefoundation.org
- 1:35 The Conditional Effects of Legislative Professionalism on Party Influence in Election Reform Policy Making**
 This paper examines how differing levels of state legislative professionalism affect the relationship between partisan control of state legislatures and election reform policy-making.
 Matthew Wisniewski, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee
 wisnief2@uwm.edu
- 1:50** Disc., Matthew Grossmann, Michigan State University
 matt@msu.edu
2:10 Audience Discussion
- 51-14 Dynamics of Sustainable Development**
Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Joshua Gogo, University of Port Harcourt
 deregogo@gmail.com
12:50 The Environmental Trajectories of Developing Countries
 Environmental outcomes will increasingly depend on developing countries as they grow in wealth and population. This paper examines how their environmental trajectories compare to those of industrialized countries, focusing on how trade affects them.
 Michael Aklin, New York University
 michael.aklin@nyu.edu
- 1:10 Measuring Transboundary Freshwater Conflict Severity: Structural vs. Behavioral Indices in Africa**
 This project compares two measures of severity for transboundary freshwater conflicts - an index based on the behavior of riparians and a newly-developed index that uses structural features of the water resource and riparian group.
 Jason Brozek, Lawrence University
 jason.brozek@lawrence.edu
- 1:30 Do the Strong Receive What They Can, While the Weak Receive What They Must?: Price Makers and Price Takers in Environmental Aid**
 This paper develops and tests a contractual model of environmental aid allocation. Allocation outcomes are driven by donor interests and donor understanding of recipient need; they are also mediated by recipient power.
 Chris Marcoux, College of William and Mary
 cmarcoux@wm.edu
- 1:50** Disc., Kend Fuentes-George, College of William and Mary
 kfuentes@wm.edu
2:10 Audience Discussion
- 54-101 Presidents and Military Command**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 Examination and critique of doctrines of command in light of experience from the Polk Administration to the Obama Administration. Analysis from theoretical concepts of political science, and operational experience in Government.
 Chair, Matthew Holden, University of Illinois, Springfield
 mhold3@uiis.edu
Panelist Philip Wisseberg, University of Illinois, Springfield
 pwasse1@uiis.edu
 Ronald Thomas, United States Navy Retired
 rthomas@aol.com
 Todd Lowery, Hilldale College
 tlowery@icloud.com
 Michael C. Desch, University of Notre Dame
 mdesch@nd.edu
- 56-4 Political Theory and Political Theology**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Gordon A. Baber, Chapman University
 gbaber@chapman.edu
12:50 New Atheism, Liberalism and Public Deliberation
 Here I explore the political and philosophical implications of the epistemological and political commitments of the New Atheists for liberal democracy, and in particular for what counts as legitimate dialogue within the public square.
 Michael Edwin Bailey, Berry College
 mbailey@berry.edu
- 1:09 John Owen and the Theological Foundations of Religious Liberty**
 Locke's argument for the freedom of conscience in his Letter Concerning Toleration emerges from a fifty-year debate among English Calvinists and Anglicans concerning an individual's relationship to God.
 Benjamin Lynnard, Illinois Institute of Technology
 blynnard@gmail.com
- 1:20 Religion in Modern Societies as Challenge for Critical Theory: Critical Remarks on Habermas' Approach to Religion**
 Religion becomes increasingly relevant in various political settings. What can Critical Theory contribute to debates and social processes related to this phenomenon? To discuss this issue, this paper will focus on Habermas' recent work on religion.
 Samuel F. Mueller, New School for Social Research
 samuel.f.mueller@gmail.com
- 1:35 Of Revivah and Blets: Political Theologies of Freedom from the Pilgrimage to the Prison**
 When freedom isn't a matter of choice, but a matter of being chosen, the question of who decides who is free is not only political, it's deeply theological. What of the theological still remains within secular sites of spontaneous political action?
 Jasmine Syedullah, University of California, Santa Cruz
 jsyedull@scsu.edu
- 1:50** Disc., Gregory Alan McBrayer, Gettysburg College
 gmcbrayer@gvc.ncwd.edu
2:10 Audience Discussion
- 62-200 Public Policy/Public Administration**
Room Grand on the 4th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
Pool 1 Rules of the Road?: An Impact Study of Automotive Laws Aimed to Alter Behavior in Society
 An impact study that examines and measures how automotive laws, mandatory seatbelt and motorcycle helmet laws, intended

to alter and/or change the behavior of society are received, their effectiveness, and why they are more effective in some states.
Eberley Nicole Adams, West Virginia University
eadams2@mix.uwo.edu

Post. 2 Housing Policy, the Elderly, and Aging Baby Boomers: A Case Study of the Memphis Metropolitan Statistical Area

Like many regions, the Memphis MSA finds itself unable to meet the housing and service needs of its elderly population and retiring baby boomers. This project recommends housing policies intended to inform decision-makers and service providers.
Donald Anthony, Vanderbilt University
donald.anthony@vanderbilt.edu

Post. 3 The Poor, the Rich, and Service Learning Programs

This paper discusses problematic aspects of the "rich" helping the "poor" through the "helping dynamic," with reference to a specific case of one university's international service-learning program.
Nancy Bertaux, Xavier University
bertauxn@xavier.edu
Kathleen Smythe, Xavier University
smythek@xavier.edu

Post. 4 Setting the Record Straight: Frame Contestation as an Advocacy Strategy

This paper examines the use of frame contestation strategies by advocacy groups engaged in policy contests. Through three case studies of environmental policy, I analyze how policy advocates confront competing frames offered by policy opponents.
Jessica Boscarino, Marist College
Jessica.Boscarino@Marist.edu

Post. 5 The Role of Bureaucracy During the War on Terror

The Bush Administration made specific alterations to the military bureaucracy during the War on Terror. These alterations changed the course of the war, foreign relations and the lives and careers of U.S. soldiers.
Susan Denise Brace, University of Toledo
sbrace30@hotmail.com

Post. 6 Environmental Policy Support in the American States

The central question addressed in this study is why states vary in their level of environmental program support. A time-series, cross-section analysis is conducted using an additive scale of environmental program support as the dependent variable.
Rebecca Bromley-Trujillo, Michigan State University
rbromley4@msu.edu

Post. 7 Span of Control in Korean Quasi-government Organizations

This paper seeks to generalize the literature on organizational structure to examine the determinants of span of control among 101 quasi-governmental organizations in South Korea.
Ohbel Cheon, Texas A&M University
ohbel1000@tnc.tamu.edu
Young Huh Cheon, Seoul National University
yhcuhun1@gmail.com

Post. 8 EU Points of Single Contact: New Challenge for European Public Administrations

Points of single contact are leading EU to radical change in licensing of new businesses. Providers have single point through which complete all procedures. Administrations are stripped of authorization powers. User's self-declaration is enhanced.
Giovanni Colasu, University of Cagliari, Italy
gcolasu@unica.it

Post. 9 Reducing Human Suffering: Compassion in Social Policy

We provide a comparative policy analysis of the Medicare Hospice Benefit, Violence Against Women Act, and Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to examine how public policy addresses human suffering through a compassionate response.
Mary Collins, Boston University
mcollins@bu.edu
Sarah Corlington, Boston University
sparing@bu.edu
Kate Cooney, Boston University
kcooney@bu.edu

Post. 10 Institutional Academic Freedom and the Threat of Higher Education Reform

Higher education reform has seen many recent proposals emerge from the federal and state governments. Many of these proposals call for more governmental regulation, greater centralization, and less academic freedom for the institution.
Jon Karl Delinger, Wayne State College
jdeling1@wsu.edu

Post. 11 Moving Out, not Moving On: Decisionmaking and Mobility for Students in STEM Fields from Village Backgrounds

This mixed methods study will identify a choice model for secondary students from village backgrounds in Bangladesh as they pursue different paths in leaving a sample of ancestral villages to pursue STEM educational careers.
Jennifer DeBoer, Vanderbilt University
j.deboer@vanderbilt.edu

Post. 12 Interactions of Different Levels of Government Spending for Higher Education: Federal Academic Earnings and State Funding

Using a unique panel dataset (1991-2003), this study considers the relationship between federal academic earnings and three types of state spending for higher education – general appropriations, capital outlays, and student financial aid.
Jennifer A. Delaney, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
jdelaney@illinois.edu

Post. 13 Micro Changes in Minute Amounts of Time: How Race to the Top is Reshaping Education Policy

Historically education policies fall under the purview of individual states; yet the federal government's involvement is increasing, most recently with Race to the Top. I interview legislators to examine how RUT influenced state policy-making.
Maide A. Finch, Vanderbilt University
maide.finch@vanderbilt.edu

Post. 14 Money, Power and Politics: Examining Social Equity and Economic Empowerment in the United States of America

The purpose of this research is to examine the concept of economic empowerment and its impact on social equity.
Cryshanna A. Jackson, Youngstown State University
cajackson@ysu.edu

Post. 15 A Comparison of Preference Programs for Minority and Disadvantaged Contractors in U.S. Government

The small and disadvantaged contractor preference program has been a stalwart of U.S. government procurement for many years. Why do DOD policy programs specific for the agency and state and local government programs with similar purposes fail?
Mary Margaret Johnson, Wilanova University
mchcontracts@aol.com

Post. 16 Leading Attraction to Selection: Comparative Research on Extrinsic Motivation Factors Between Korea and Japan

This study aims to find macro factors such as economic, political and institutional changes that affect the preference of public service by comparing the changes of attraction to public service in Korea and Japan.
Gongrak Kim, Yonsei University
gkr263@gmail.com
Shane Byungwoo Cho, Georgia State University
bchu2@student.gsu.edu

Post. 17 Rural-Urban Disparities in Health Status and Outcomes in New York State Counties

Employing the National Center for Health Statistics six-level urban-rural classification scheme, this paper analyzes disparities in health status and outcomes across New York State counties.
Mardlyo Klutz, SUNY Geneseo
klutzm@geneseo.edu

Post. 18 Employment Prospects for Current TANF Recipients in Upstate New York

This research examines the likelihood that all current adult recipients will find employment in their local region. It then compares average wages to a variety of measures of self-sufficiency.

Marilyn Kline, SUNY, Geneseo
kline@geneseo.edu

- Post. 19 **Do Extracurricular Activities Matter? Examining the Relationship Between the Availability of School Based Extracurricular Activities and Student Success at the Elementary School Level**
Using secondary data from public schools in California, this research aims to investigate the relationship between the availability of school-based extracurricular activities and various measures of student success at the elementary school level
Cecilia Macchiavello, *California Polytechnic State University*
cmacchiavello131@gmail.com
- Post. 20 **Determinants of Charter County Decisions: An Event History Analysis of Florida Counties**
This study uses an event history analysis of Florida counties to investigate the determinants of a county to adopt a charter.
Bruce McDonald, *Indiana University, South Bend*
bruceacd@iush.edu
Carl Gabriel, *College of Coastal Georgia*
cgg03v@csu.edu
- Post. 21 **Immigration Judges: A Matter of Law, or a Matter of Discretion?**
Models whether extralegal factors play a role at an Immigration Judge's decision-making process in asylum cases.
Kristen Ng, *SUNY, Buffalo*
kng5@buffalo.edu
- Post. 22 **Turkeys for Christmas? Can Rational Actor Models Explain Self-censoring Government Agencies? Examining Rational Actor Models and Their Policy Implications Using the Hearing Aid Council as a Case Study**
The Hearing Aid Council is one of the few agencies to have abolished itself. This poster examines its work in light of models of self-interested civil servants and identifies implications for the UK Government's 'horizon of the quagmire'.
Chris O'Leary, *Queen Mary College, University of London*
c.oleary@qmul.ac.uk
- Post. 23 **Economic Segregation and Policy: Mechanisms of Income Inequality's Effect on Health**
Studies the effect of income inequality on health outcomes in the context of economic segregation.
Chinyere Onwualu Onwuka, *Florida State University*
conwual1@fsu.edu
- Post. 24 **Do People Trust What They See or What They Want to See?: People's Political Distrust of Public Policy in South Korea**
This paper analyzes the origin and intensification of people's distrust of the government's public policy in South Korea by examining the change of public opinion over two policies of the present government and using public-opinion data sets.
Eyesha Park, *Seoul National University*
babcua@gnu.ac.kr
- Post. 25 **Governance and Front-Line Bureaucrats: On Government, Governance and "Self-ies-arriving" Among Civil Servants**
The phrase "from government to governance" refer to changes in the relationship between state and society. The aim of this paper is to enhance our understanding of the role of front-line bureaucrats in this changed "ecology of power".
Michael John Sevd, *Luleå University of Technology*
mikael.sevd@lth.se
Sverker C. Jagers, *Luleå University of Technology*
sverker.jagers@pul.lu.se
- Post. 26 **Is Decentralization of Governance Good For Citizens: Evidence From Countries of Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union**
This paper studies the effects of decentralization of governance on the provision of public services, using a sample of former socialist countries for 2000-2006.
Ramziya Shakhieva, *Georgetown University*
rsbakiro@gmu.edu

- Post. 27 **The Changing Politics of Economic Development in Boston andoughkeeps, New York: Revisiting Regime Theory**
This paper examines regime theory within the context of small city economic development and raises the important question of who influences the policy agenda. A qualitative analysis of field interviews from two small cities is explored.
Edward Summers, *Montclair College*
Edward.Summers@montclair.edu
- Post. 28 **Public Administration: An Inefficient Pursuit and Why Public Law Makes it So**
This paper addresses how public law often leads to inefficient public administration. Court cases and political events from past and current Presidential administrations are analyzed. Arguably, it cannot be resolved given our Constitutional design.
Morris Taylor, *Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville*
morstyk@siue.edu
- Post. 29 **Decentralization and Public Service Provision: A Recipe for Citizen Satisfaction or Frustration?**
Does decentralization matter for citizen satisfaction of public service provision? I argue that while decentralization affects the efficiency of services, it has no effect on how citizens perceive them.
Brianna Thomas, *Texas A&M University*
breaeta@politics.tamu.edu
- Post. 30 **CERCLA: The Political Economy of Environmental Protection**
The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, or Superfund, was intended to curb the industry disposal of hazardous materials. Today, the Fund is exhausted and enforcement has become highly litigious.
Salvador Tolentino, *California State University, Chico*
stolentino@mail.csuchico.edu
- Post. 31 **Beyond Firefighters: Community Service in Adolescence: Persistence in Voluntary Service Through Early Adulthood**
This study identifies group-based trajectories of voluntary activity in adolescence and young adulthood and characteristics that influence membership in each group.
Dora-Yun Yang, *Cornell Mellon University*
doyunyang@andrew.cmu.edu
Disc., Graeme Thomson Boushey, *University of Michigan*
gboushey@umich.edu
Disc., Jason Alan McDaniel, *San Francisco State University*
mcdaniel@sfsu.edu
Disc., Gordon Shockley, *Arizona State University*
shockley@asu.edu
Disc., James Stoutenborough, *Texas A&M University*
jstoutenborough@tushschool.tamu.edu
Disc., Robert S Wood, *University of North Dakota*
rwood@und.edu

64-100 **Assessing the Obama Administration: Women in Politics, Policy, and the Courts**

- Room Hancock Hall on the 6th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
This roundtable examines the impact of the Obama administration on women in politics, gender policy, and the courts. It offers current events analyses of the Obama administration that are informative as well as useful for teaching purposes.
- Chair Amy G. Mazur, *Washington State University*
amazur@wsu.edu
- Panelists Megan Francis, *Pepperdine University*
megan.francis@pepperdine.edu
Michelle Lynn Wade, *Northwest Missouri State University*
mwade@nwmissouri.edu
Heather McDougall, *Global Institute for Leadership and Civic Development*
director@globalleaders.info
Brigid R. Harvillat, *Montclair State University*
bramsack@mail.montclair.edu

- 66-1** **New Empirical Studies on LGBT Politics**
 Room Porlor G on the 6th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair: Kenneth Sherrill Hunter College, CUNY
 kenneth.sherrill@hunter.cuny.edu
- 12:50** **Is Voting "Gay"? The Determinants of Voting Among Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Voters**
 This paper explores the influence of sexual orientation on vote choice by analyzing responses from the ANES 2008 pre-election survey. I explore the relationship between sexual orientation, partisanship, and state preference, and high profile issues
 Melissa Canille Bulce, University of Tennessee
 melissabulce@yahoo.com
- 1:02** **The Dynamics of Subconstituency Politics: Voting on Gay Rights in the House of Representatives**
 I investigate the role of representing subconstituencies on the voting behavior of House members. I find that regardless of the representative's party affiliation, as a subgroup increases, the likelihood of representing their policy desires increase
 Andrew Ryan Flores, University of California, Riverside
 aflores17@ucr.edu
- 1:14** **State DOMAs: Voting on Marriage**
 What impacts how large a margin of victory that a ballot initiative to ban gay marriage will have? Are diminishing margins of victory an indication that times are changing or that different types of states are enacting DOMAs now than in the past?
 Jessica Leyer Gracey, University of Missouri, St. Louis
 jlgracey@umsl.edu
- 1:24** **The Role of Cohort Replacement in Rising Support for Lesbian and Gay Rights**
 Using data from 150+ surveys, we examine how opinions on gay sex, marriage equality, and LGB teachers vary by year of birth and assess the relative importance of cohort replacement and individual attitude change in rising support for gay rights.
 Gregory B. Lewis, Georgia State University
 glewis@gsu.edu
 Jason Thomas Edwards, Georgia State University
 jedwards516@student.gsu.edu
- 1:38** **Are The Gays OK? The Effect of Sexual Orientation, Race and Other Core Characteristics on The Valuation Of Orientation Identification**
 This paper examines the effect of a range of variables on the extent to which respondents value their sexual identity (gay, straight, mixed). Many variables influence, abuse effects cause gays to value their core identity slightly less than straights
 Wilfred Reilly, Southern Illinois University
 wreilly2003@yahoo.com
- 1:50** Disc., Charles Anthony Smith, University of California, Irvine
 casmith@uci.edu
- 2:10** Audience Discussion

Steven P. Dobransky, Kent State University
 sdobrans@kent.edu

- 1:20** **Beyond Biocentrism to Bio-Technocentrism: Deep Ecology and Wall-e**
 This paper examines various strains of political/environmental philosophy in the recent Pixar movie Wall-e. It considers how Wall-e breaks Deep Ecological paradigms in delivering its message to mass audiences.
 Edmond David Chally, Ferrum College
 chally@ferrum.edu
- 1:35** **Bruce Springsteen: Individuality and Community in Post-1960s America**
 An examination of the music of Bruce Springsteen, whose critique of American society in the 1970s and 1980s mirrors those made in social science texts such as Bowling Alone and Habits of the Heart. Springsteen's recent work will also be discussed
 Jason Post Stonerook, University of Maryland
 stonja01@gluthec.edu
- 1:50** Disc., Rodney Andrew Carverth, Finchburg State University
 rodcarverth@fscmail.com
- Disc., Lily J. Goren, Carroll University
 lgoren@carrollu.edu
- 2:10** Audience Discussion

- 71-1** **Popular Culture and Contemporary Political Themes: From Springsteen to Wall-e**
 Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Thur at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair: Lily J. Goren, Carroll University
 lgoren@carrollu.edu
- 12:50** **Kill Them All: Spartacus without Ideals?**
 This paper examines the political portrayal of Spartacus in the Starz Network's 2010, 13-part mini-series, Spartacus: Blood and Sand.
 John R. Bakula, Central Michigan University
 rbakula@jao.com
- 1:05** **World Government and the Perceptual Influences of Media Sources: The Role of Science Fiction in Forming Conceptions of a New World Order**
 The paper analyzes a number of key television and movie portrayals of a future international order. The paper examines primarily the conceptions of world government as portrayed in Star Trek, Star Wars, and Babylon Five

Thursday, March 31 at 2:40 pm

1-103 **What Drives American Politics: Differences in Economic Development, Racial-Ethnic Diversity, or Political Culture?**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Three revisionist theories stand in opposition to standard pluralist theories of American politics. Proponents debate why differences in development, diversity, or culture are better able to explain differences in American government and politics.

Chair Joel A. Lieske, *Cleveland State University*
j.lieske@csuohio.edu

Panelist John Kincaid, *Lafayette College*
kincaid@lafayette.edu
Caroline J. Tolbert, *University of Iowa*
caroline.tolbert@uiowa.edu
Thomas R. Dye, *Florida State University*
tdrdye@fsu.edu
Susan A. Macmanus, *University of South Florida*
samacmanus@usf.edu

2-3 **Party Positions and Party Polarization**

Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Oriit Kedari, *Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
okedari@mscc.huji.ac.il

2:45 **Do Voters Have a Choice?: Establishment Party Polarization and Support for Non-Establishment Parties in 13 Western Democracies**

This paper develops and tests the argument that the polarization of establishment parties affects the number of votes cast for non-establishment parties.

Robin E. Best, *University of Missouri*
bestre@missouri.edu

3:00 **Party Policy Positions in Newfoundland and Labrador: Expert Survey Results in the Buildup to the 2011 Provincial Election**
The results of an expert survey on party policy in Newfoundland and Labrador are presented. We also present the results of a second identical survey completed by political science students at Memorial University to compare with the experts.

Matthew Kerby, *Memorial University of Newfoundland*
kerbym@mun.ca

Kelly R. Biddock, *McGill University*
khliddock@mun.ca

3:15 **Using Computer-Assisted Content Analysis to Estimate the Policy Ideal Points of Members of Parliament and Cabinet Ministers in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and the United Kingdom**

Computer-assisted content analysis is used to transform speeches made by MPs into multi-dimensional estimates of policy ideal points. These estimates are then used to elucidate the factional structure of major political parties in four nations.

William Craig Meddaugh, *Rice University*
meddaugh@rice.edu

3:30 **Perceptions of Party Polarization: Explaining Individual-Level Variation**

Individuals differ in how polarized they think parties are. Using CSES data and individuals' polarization perceptions, this paper proposes that perceived polarization can be explained by an individual's ideological politicization and systemic trust.

Markus Wagner, *University of Vienna*
markus.wagner@univie.ac.at

3:45 Disc., Rohit Kohli, *Temple University*
rkohli@temple.edu
Disc., Swan McGraw, *University of Notre Dame*
mcgraw.4@nd.edu

Disc., Markus Wagner, *University of Vienna*
markus.wagner@univie.ac.at

4:05 Audience Discussion

3-2 **Global Economic Development**

Room Salon 8 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Itai Sened, *Washington University, St. Louis*
csened@wustl.edu

2:45 **Widening and Focusing Country-Risk Analysis: Expanding the Possibilities of State-Level Investigation**

This paper introduces the Robinson Country Risk Index, which incorporates four main dimensions, 122 countries, and 6 years of data. We argue that the RCR1 offers a dynamic new tool for researchers and a robust alternative measure of development.

Christopher Brown, *Georgia State University*
polcbr@langate.gsu.edu

Tanner Cavusgil, *Georgia State University*
tiscav@langate.gsu.edu

3:00 **Politics of Poverty: The MDGs and Abandoning Peace for Development**

This paper questions the relationship between peace and poverty by tracing the evolution of the UN agencies' work in developing countries following the adoption of the UN Millennium Declaration and the almost exclusive emphasis on poverty reduction.

Martina Kanec, *New School for Social Research*
martina.kanec@gmail.com

3:15 **Corruption, Income Inequality and Inequity: Experimental and Cross-National Survey Evidence**

We analyze the effect of income inequality and earned income in an experimental setting. The game setup is similar to an incomplete information Ultimatum game. The experimental results are complemented with cross-national survey results.

Reuben Kline, *European University Institute*
reubkline@gmail.com

3:30 **Assessing the Moderating Effects of Regime Type on Leadership Transition Induced Policy Volatility**

Using the death of leaders as a natural experiment, we assess the effects of exogenous leadership transitions on policy and growth volatility. Moreover, we explore the moderating effects of regime types on this relationship.

Xin Sun, *Northwestern University*
xinsun2013@u.northwestern.edu

Christoph G. Nguyen, *Northwestern University*
christoph.nguyen@u.northwestern.edu

3:45 Disc., Itai Sened, *Washington University, St. Louis*
csened@wustl.edu

4:05 Audience Discussion

4-1 **Service Provision and Citizenship**

Room Sandberg 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Lily Tsai, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
ltsai@mit.edu

2:45 **Which Public Services Matter Most to African Citizens? Public Goods Provision and the Legitimacy of the African State**

The paper uses survey data from 17 African countries to identify which public services and what aspect of their delivery matters in making the state legitimate in the eyes of its citizens.

Michelle D'Arcy, *University College, Dublin*
michelle.zislind.darcy@gmail.com

2:57 **Citizenship Practices in Comparative Perspective: Delhi, Mexico City, and São Paulo**

We compare everyday citizenship practices to obtain access to legally-instituted-for public goods and services across three mega-

- 3:09 **3:09** **Making Claims: Public Service Provision & Citizen-State Relations in Rural India**
Citizens of India engage in diverse claim-making practices – direct and indirect – when seeking public services. Why do different people engage the state differently? What are the consequences of this engagement for evolving notions of citizenship?
Gabrielle Kaye Krinks-Walser, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
gabikaya@gmail.com
- 3:11 **It Takes a Village: The Non-State Provision of Social Welfare and the Consequences for Citizenship in Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire**
This paper reveals how surprising differences in the non-state provision of social welfare by family, friends and community-based organizations has important consequences for the exercise of citizenship in similar regions of Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire.
Lauren M. MacLean, *Indiana University*
maclanl@indiana.edu
- 3:33 **Rights for the Voiceless: Exploring how the Indian State and Civil Society Implement Universal Primary Education Policy**
In the context of low state capacity and entrenched social inequality, primary education is implemented most effectively when state agencies display strong bureaucratic commitment and inclusive civil society groups foster local collective action.
Akshay Mangla, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
amangla@mit.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Kate Baldwin, Princeton University**
kabaldwi@princeton.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 4-8 **Elections and Voting Behavior**
Room 2:40 **Chair, Mariela Szwarcberg, University of Chicago**
mariela@uchicago.edu
- 2:45 **Fiscal Accountability and Voting Behavior: Evidence from Kenyan Local Authorities**
We develop a theory of voting behavior under decentralization. Using yearly budget and electoral data from Kenya, we find evidence of electoral accountability at the local level and demonstrate that voters reward census fiscal management policies.
Nicole Bosoff, *University of California, San Diego*
nbosoff@ucsd.edu
Brigitte Zimmerman, *University of California, San Diego*
bzimmer@ucsd.edu
James Long, *University of California, San Diego*
jlong@ucsd.edu
- 3:55 **Information Dissemination and Local Government Electoral Returns: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Mexico**
Does information about local government's performance spark participation in local elections? We present experimental evidence on the demobilizing effect of exposing corruption in the 2009 municipal elections in three Mexican states.
Ana Lorena De La O, *Yale University*
ana.delao@yale.edu
- 3:05 **Turkey's 2007 Referendum: A Curious Case of Endogenous Institutional Change**
This paper tests hypothesis on endogenous institutional change, analyzing patterns of support among individual citizens and organized groups for a 2007 referendum in Turkey that mandated the direct election of the country's President.
Barry Steven Levitt, *Florida International University*
levittb@fiu.edu
Bilal Ciplak, *Florida International University*
bilal_ciplak@yahoo.com
- 3:15 **The Role of the Strategic Voter in the Controversial Presidential Election of 2006 and That of 2000 in Mexico**
The aim of this paper is to examine how voters' strategic coordination affected PAN's victories in the 2000 and 2006 Mexican presidential elections. I use the Mexico 2000 Panel Study data, waves 1 and 3 (Lawson 2000 and 2006).
Clemente Quiñones, *Georgia Gwinnett College*
cquinone@ggc.edu
- 3:25 **The Personality of Politicians**
We provide a formal model where the strength of political parties affects the beliefs of the people who run for, and win, office. We present evidence consistent with theory from the US, UK, Canada and Italy.
Erik Christopher Snowberg, *California Institute of Technology*
snowberg@caltech.edu
- 3:35 **Voter Turnout and Distributive Politics in India, 1975-1995**
Almost all studies find support for the swing or coot voter model in distributive politics. But they miss the point that a ruling party aims to win the next election by turning out more voters. This paper validates this with evidence from India.
Tham Chee Tay, *University of California, Los Angeles*
thamchee.tay@gmail.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Sanjay Parikh, Washington University, St. Louis**
soparikh@wustl.edu
Disc., Erik Christopher Snowberg, *California Institute of Technology*
snowberg@caltech.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-7 **The Likelihood of Change in Authoritarian Regimes**
Room 2:40 **Chair, Alexander R. Dowd, Maryland University**
ardowd@marywood.edu
- 2:45 **The Case of the Constitutional Change in Turkey: Democratization or Party System Cartelization?**
Conducting a historical analysis on the changing relations between party and state, I argue that the new constitution introduces an intermediary phase for the evolution of a cartel party system in Turkey, not straight democratization.
Pelin Ayan, *Anglo-American University*
pelin.ayan@aauni.edu
- 3:00 **The Effect of State Capacity on Authoritarian Resiliency**
This paper tests the effect of state capacity on the likelihood of authoritarian regimes to transition to democracy.
Daniel William Kathy, *Georgia State University*
dkathy1@gsu.edu
- 3:15 **Breaking the Weakest Link: An Analysis of How Social Media Falls Short in the Organization of Anti-Authoritarian Resistance**
Using a rational choice paradigm, I examine the limits of Social Media as an organizing tool against authoritarian regimes. I use surveys and interviews to investigate the success of a Social Media campaign against a notorious case of oppression.
Eric C. Schwartz, *Appalachian State University*
poliscamedia@gmail.com
- 3:30 **Democracy and Islam: Sharia Law as Obstacle**
No full-blown democracy exists in any Islamic state. Islam avoided or ignored the impact of the Renaissance, Reformation, and the Enlightenment. The result is the current and almost medieval prevalence of political authority in Islamic countries.
Martin Slann, *University of North Carolina, Pembroke*
martin.slann@uncp.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Hootan Shambayati, Florida Gulf Coast University**
hshambayati@fgcu.edu
Disc., Martin Slann, *University of North Carolina, Pembroke*
martin.slann@uncp.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**

- 6-4 **Decision-making Institutions and Policy Choices**
 Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Thomas F. Koening, *University of Mannheim*
 koening@uni-mannheim.de
- 2:45 **Saint Parties Change: Party Institutionalization and Legislative Organization in the Polish Legislature** 3:15
 Using 11,000 roll calls and 4241 bills submitted to the Sejm, we find that once a well organized governing coalition agrees on delegating power to the speaker, and disciplined in voting behavior, parties in government can reduce opposition influence.
 Royce A. Carroll, *Rice University*
 rcarroll@rice.edu
 Monika A. Nalepa, *University of Notre Dame*
 mnalepa@nd.edu
- 3:00 **Federalism, Higher Education Systems and the Politics of Human Capital Accumulation** 3:30
 Do federal systems matter in countries' decision to invest on higher education? We examine the policy effects of collection action problems under federalism and their distributional consequences through higher education spending.
 Luciana Nogueira Dar, *University of California, Riverside*
 luciana.dar@ucr.edu
 Dong-Wook Lee, *Clemson Graduate University*
 dong-wook.lee@cgu.edu
- 3:15 **Constitutional Foundation of EU-Protectionist Theory and Empirical Evidence From the CAP** 3:45
 Using a legislative bargaining model for agricultural policy we prove that the constitutional organization of decision making affects policy choices. Empirical results are based on a dynamic panel model using data from 60 countries since 1961.
 Christian Hennig, *University of Kiel*
 chennig@ae.uni-kiel.de
 Eva Krumpal, *University of Kiel*
 ekrumpal@ae.uni-kiel.de
- 3:30 **More Than Just Window-Dressing?: The Role of Human Rights Ombudsman Offices in Latin America** 4:05
 This paper examines the effectiveness of Human Rights Ombudsman offices on the protection of human rights using data from Latin American, 1950-2006. We find that Ombudsmen lack independence and have been unsuccessful in curbing human rights abuses.
 Erika Moreno, *Creighton University*
 erikamoreno@creighton.edu
 Richard C. Witmer, *Creighton University*
 witmer@creighton.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Thomas F. Koening, University of Mannheim**
 koening@uni-mannheim.de
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-4 **Political Trust in Comparative Perspective**
 Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Hyeok Young Kwon, *Korea University*
 hkwon@korea.ac.kr
- 2:45 **Complexity Breeds Suspicion: The Impact of Institutional Clarity on Citizens' Confidence in Democratic Representation** 3:15
 We use Eurobarometer surveys and data on institutional complexity to examine how transparency in decision-making affects the public's trust in both the national and EU-level political systems across the EU-27.
 Nicholas Clark, *Indiana University*
 nijclark@indiana.edu
 Dan E. Millerov, *Indiana University*
 dmillerov@indiana.edu
- 3:00 **Trust in Government Dynamics** 3:45
 The paper examines the dynamics of trust in government in the Czech Republic. We develop a formal model of trust dynamics based on public expectations and link it with empirical tests using monthly data for the period between 1996 and 2008.
 Eva Coffey, *University of Houston*
 eva.coffey@gmail.com
 James Grunato, *University of Houston*
 jgrunato@central.uh.edu
- 3:15 **Inequality and Political Trust: Evidence from East Asian New Democracies** 4:05
 This paper examines the effect of income inequality on citizens' political trust in East Asian new democracies. Using AsiaBarometer data, we find evidence for the trust-eroding effect of inequality in Korea and Taiwan.
 Hyeok Young Kwon, *Korea University*
 hkwon@korea.ac.kr
 Eunja Chk, *Korea University*
 chyale@korea.ac.kr
- 3:30 **Linking attitudes to behaviour: How social and political trust relate to electoral and non-electoral types of political participation** 3:45
 This paper investigates the relationship between different types of social and political trust and voting and other forms of political participation with a particular focus on the equality of democratic representation.
 Sonja Zmerli, *Göteborg University, Frankfurt*
 zmerli@p.gu-darmstadt.de
- 3:45 **Disc., Paul Matthew Lovelace, University of Mississippi**
 pmlovelo@olemiss.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-3 **Regional Variation in Europe and the EU**
 Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Olesya Tkacheva, *University of Rochester*
 otkachev@umich.edu
- 2:45 **Why Do Some Regions in Europe Have Higher Quality of Government?** 3:05
 We look at national and regional variation of quality of government in the EU. We test our theory that historical constraints on executives in the 19th century matter today, for which we find support using new, regional-level data.
 Nicholas Charrow, *Göteborg University*
 nicholas.charrow@pol.gu.se
 Victor Lapuente, *Göteborg University*
 victor.lapuente@pol.gu.se
- 3:05 **The Regional Differences between Voter and Party Attitudes towards Multiculturalism** 3:25
 The paper deals with the relationship between voter and party position on multiculturalism. We argue that 'country' as the unit of analysis is not helpful in exploring the variations. We include a regional level in our analysis to solve this problem.
 Martin Einar Hansen, *University of Vienna*
 martin.hansen@univie.ac.at
 Sylvia Kritzinger, *University of Vienna*
 sylvia.kritzinger@univie.ac.at
- 3:25 **Linking the EU to Its Citizens – Relevance of Interest Representatives in Brussels** 3:45
 This paper examines the relationship between the representation of regional interests at the EU level and positive citizen attitudes towards the EU, using elite interviews and public opinion data to measure policy congruence at the regional level.
 Anna Maria Olson, *American University*
 anna.olson@american.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Brooke Luetger, University of Mannheim**
 brooke.luetger@uni-mannheim.de
- 4:05 **Disc., Olesya Tkacheva, University of Rochester**
 otkachev@umich.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**

- 9-2 **Organized Crime and Its Social Impacts**
 Room Price on the 9th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Victor Javier Hinojosa, Baylor University
 victor_hinojosa@baylor.edu
- 1:45 **Violent Crime and Democratic Governance: The Case of Kidnapping in Mexico**
 Violent crime is of growing concern in many of the new democracies in Latin America. Kidnapping is an especially significant form of crime in the case of Mexico. The paper examines theoretical issues of organized crime in relation to kidnapping.
 John J. Bailey, Georgetown University
 baileyj@georgetown.edu
- 2:55 **Paramilitary Terrorism In Colombia**
 This paper provides a theoretical and empirical discussion of the use of terrorism by Colombia's paramilitary groups. Focusing on the period of 1995-2005, the paper examines their strategic use of massacres and forced disappearances.
 Victor Javier Hinojosa, Baylor University
 victor_hinojosa@baylor.edu
- 3:05 **Confronting the Crime Crisis: Examining Nicaragua's Resilience to the Central American Crime Epidemic**
 How has Nicaragua escaped the Central American security trap? This paper relies upon field work and survey data to examine Nicaragua's comparatively low crime rate and the links between victimization, fear of crime and support for democratic norms.
 Mary Fran T. Malone, University of New Hampshire
 Mary.Malone@unh.edu
- 3:15 **Spatio-Temporal Dynamics of Drug Violence in Mexico**
 If organized criminals are primarily economic oriented and act in the underground, why does organized-crime violence suddenly escalate to levels that equate those of large-scale political conflict such as civil wars?
 Javier Cuervo, University of Notre Dame
 jcuervo@nd.edu
- 3:25 **Why Has Mexico Become So Violent? Drug Markets, Politics and the Mechanics of Mexican Corruption**
 Using game theory, field research and an unedited dataset of city-by-city drug consumption/violence, I show that drug violence has increased because of (a) political decentralization, and (b) the emergence of a domestic demand for illegal drugs.
 Viridiana Rios, Harvard University
 vrios@fas.harvard.edu
- 3:35 **Indigenous Women, Territoriality and Armed Conflict in Colombia**
 This paper centers on new forms of territoriality/locality that are (re)shaping agency and ethnic and gender identity processes among the Pasto and Awaraco women as a product of armed conflict in Colombia.
 Marcela Tovar Restrepo, Queen's College, CUNY
 mavar1967@yahoo.com
- 3:45 **Disc.** John J. Bailey, Georgetown University
 baileyj@georgetown.edu
 Disc. Carlos Guevara Mann, University of Nevada, Reno
 guevara@unr.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 12-4 **Political Contestation in Iran**
 Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Mohammad Seyed Mussavi Rizal, George Mason University
 msassavi@gmu.edu
- 2:45 **(Libertarian+Foundational) Revolutions: Hannah Arendt, and the Influence of the Iranian Revolution on the Lectures of Michel Foucault**
 A paper in three parts that deals first with Foucault's 1978 editorials of liberation, then an Arendtian theory of revolution, concluding with insights into the influence of the Iranian Revolution on the Foucault's later lectures.
 Clifford Devin Deaton, University of Illinois, Chicago
 cdeaton@uic.edu
- 2:57 **From Thin to Thick Citizenship: Case Study of Iranian 2009 Presidential Election**
 The politics of internet use in Iran and the current Persian blogosphere will be considered in relation to the ways in which modern small media has paved the way for so called Iranian green movement before and after election in June 2009.
 Nazanin Ghavanizad, University of Toronto
 nazanin.ghavanizad@utoronto.ca
 Arash Fatahifard, York University
 arash121@yahoo.ca
- 3:09 **Islamic Activism Reconsidered: Why Revolution in Iran and not in Turkey?**
 This article examines and compares the Islamic resurgence movements in Iran between the 1950s to the revolution of 1979 and in Turkey from the 1950s to the present.
 Semra Karalpakli, Jedditepe University
 seginny@gmail.com
- 3:21 **Another Shade of Green: The Reformists' Democratic Challenges to Autocracy in the Islamic Republic of Iran**
 This paper discusses the Iranian reform movement and the challenge it poses to democratic change.
 Ayresia Elizabeth Kojewit-Santche, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
 Asatchi@unlv.nevada.edu
- 3:33 **Ideology and the Iranian Revolution**
 Studies the role of ideology in the Iranian Revolution. Argues that: 1- Khomeini's theory of Islamic State played a causal role by expanding the set of alternatives to the status quo, and 2- an ideological change is an intellectual innovation/shock.
 Mehdi Shadmehr, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
 mshadmeh2@uiuc.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Mohammad Seyed Mussavi Rizal, George Mason University
 msassavi@gmu.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 14-4 **Legal Governance, Electoral Success, and the Resource Curse**
 Room Monrose 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Lucas Gonzalez, Catholic University of Argentina
 University of San Martin
 gonzalez.90@uq.edu
- 2:45 **Oil Booms and Electoral Success: Explaining the Resurgence of the PRI**
 I track the resurgence of the PRI to their ability to acquire and exploit a greater share of national petroleum revenues from the recent oil boom. This is deepening Mexico's dependence on oil revenues at a time when reserves are drying up.
 Matthew Alan Johnson, University of Texas, Austin
 matthewjohnson11@gmail.com
- 3:05 **The Resource Curse: Assessing the Role of State Ownership of Oil Industry on Political Corruption**
 Using a random effects multilevel model, we examine a sample of 43 oil producing countries to test whether or not public ownership of oil industries directly influences the degree of political corruption in countries with significant oil sectors.
 Amalia Mens-Mora, University of Houston
 amynia99@yahoo.com
 Veronica Caro, University of Houston
 VEROWALEY@HOTMAIL.COM
- 3:25 **A Sub-national "Resource Curse"? The Political Effects of Non-Tax Sources of Revenue in Mexico**
 Why do sub-national units in the same country differ in terms of democracy and development? We argue that revenue derived from external sources is a major driver of sub-national heterogeneity, and test this idea on panel data for Mexican states.

- Alberto Simposi, *University of Chicago*
 asimpson@uchicago.edu
 Juan Fernando Ibarra Del Cuelo, *University of Chicago*
 jibarra@uchicago.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Lucas González, *Catholic University of Argentina/University of San Martín***
 gonzalez.90@uad.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 15-4 **Globalization and Domestic Politics**
 Room Congress on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Andrew Kerner, *University of Michigan***
 ankerner@umich.edu
- 2:45 **Change Patterns of Income Inequality According to Domestic Institution Under Trade Liberalization: England and France, 1790s – early 2000s**
 This study examines the influence of domestic institution on income inequality under trade liberalization in England and France during the last 20 years and reveals that it played a pivotal role in varying income inequality among countries.
 Eejeung Kim, *Seoul National University*
 hkim518@gmail.com
- 3:00 **International Trade Liberalization and Its Uneven Effects on Domestic Inequality**
 This study addresses international trade liberalization and its uneven effects on domestic inequality. While in high income countries increased trade openness has led to decreasing inequality, low income countries have had the opposite experience.
 Lauren J. Peritz, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 ljp22@ucla.edu
- 3:15 **The Politics of Crisis and Economic Reform: Examining the Rise of Neoliberal Economic Reform in India in 1991**
 I look at the process of economic reforms in countries facing economic crisis. Specifically, the role of international institutions in adoption of policy, and the role of state elites and domestic politics in the continuation of reforms in India.
 Viren Piprani, *George Washington University*
 pipraniv@gwu.edu
- 3:30 **Dynamic Model Specification in the Globalization-Welfare State Nexus**
 This paper examines the dynamic relationship between globalization and the welfare state. I use data from 18 OECD countries to demonstrate that the influence of trade and capital openness on taxation has varied across time.
 Scott R. Powell, *Ohio State University*
 powell.413@osu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Qiang Zhou, *University of Chicago***
 qiang@uchicago.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 3:00 **FDI Exposure and Opinions on Globalization: Evidence from Ukraine**
 Using customized, original survey data from Ukraine, this paper examines the influence of FDI exposure on individual and sociotropic assessments of the effects of globalization.
 Caleb Bentley, *University of California, San Diego*
 cbentley@ucsd.edu
- 3:15 **Why Are Minorities More Supportive of Globalization?**
 How do minorities perceive globalization? Do they show similar reactions to globalization as main ethnic groups or display different attitudes toward globalization?
 Ekrem Karakas, *Pennsylvania State University*
 ekarakas@psu.edu
- 3:30 **Who Welcomes Globalization?: Inequality Aversion, Upward Mobility, and Attitudes Toward Globalization**
 Some claim that globalization destabilizes developing societies by increasing income inequality. This paper examines how individuals' tolerance for inequality affects their attitudes toward globalization, depending on the society's upward mobility.
 Susanto Riba, *Stanford University*
 sribah@stanford.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Stephen Cecchetti, *Rhodes College***
 cecchetti@rhodes.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 16-4 **Domestic Politics and International Conflict: Beyond Democracy**
 Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Raul Grijalva González, *Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas***
 raul.gonzalez@cide.edu
- 2:45 **Party System Stability and Conflict Initiation within Democracies**
 This paper investigates the relationship between party system stability and the likelihood of conflict initiation with democracies.
 Lance Young Hunter, *Texas Tech University*
 young.hunter@ttu.edu
 Joseph W. Robbins, *Shepherd University*
 jrobbins@shepherd.edu
- 2:57 **Accountable to Whom? Candidate-Centered Systems and Conflict Initiation Within Democracies**
 This research examines how candidate centered electoral systems affect the likelihood democracies will initiate conflict with other states.
 Lance Young Hunter, *Texas Tech University*
 young.hunter@ttu.edu
 Joseph W. Robbins, *Shepherd University*
 jrobbins@shepherd.edu
- 3:09 **Domestic Opposition, Ideology, and International Crises**
 In international crises, does opposition support or oppose government's threat to use force? I develop a crisis bargaining model with ideologically different parties, and create a dataset to estimate impact of ideologies on opposition behavior.
 Galymzhan Kirbasov, *SUNY, Binghamton*
 gkirbas3@binghamton.edu
- 3:21 **Power-Sharing and Accountability: The Institutionalization of Political Responsibility in War**
 I argue that power-sharing is the mechanism that underlies the relationship between democracy and war. I find that regardless of regime type, war propensity depends on the degree to which policy-making power is shared between governing individuals.
 Shawn L. Ramirez, *University of Rochester*
 sramwz@mail.rochester.edu

- 3:33 **Democratic Accountability in International Relations: Domestic Pressures and Constraints for Coercive Foreign Policies in Inter-State Crises**
I experimentally test under which circumstances democratic leaders are held accountable for foreign policy commitments and actions in times of international crises.
Catarina Pamela Thomson, *Texas A&M University*
catarina.thomson@polisci.tamu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Andrew J. Easterink, University of North Texas**
ajeaster@unt.edu
Disc., Raul Cipliano Gonzalez, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas
raul.gonzalez@cide.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 17-5 **Sources of International Peace**
Room
2:40 **Chair, Wallace J. Thies, Catholic University of America**
wthies@cua.edu
- 2:45 **Unilateralism, Alliances and Credible Threats: The Boomerang Effect of Unilateralism in International Crises**
This paper shows that while unilateral behavior increases the likelihood of a peaceful settlement of international crises, it also makes a future crisis more likely by undermining the credibility of alliances with which belligerents are affiliated
Shoko Kobuna, *University of Virginia*
sk3dd@virginia.edu
- 3:00 **Is There a Norm? How Military Aid and Arms Transfers Undermine the Democratic Peace**
The purpose of this paper is to examine the effects of military aid and arms transfers on Militarized Interstate Disputes (MID), and try to demonstrate that military interests have higher priority than democratic norms.
Huilang Luan, *Northern Arizona University*
luanhb@gmail.com
- 3:15 **Blaky Business: Testing Whether Blak Assertion Due to Institutional Constraints Explains the Democratic Peace**
This paper examines the institutional constraints explanation for the democratic peace using tests involving interaction terms. My results suggest that institutional constraints provide at best a partial explanation for the democratic peace
Rosemarie McMahon, *University of Wisconsin*
rmcmahon@wisc.edu
- 3:30 **Financing Peace? Sovereign Credit and International Crises**
Does access to credit affect a state's propensity to enter militarized disputes? This paper seeks to generalize previous credit access theories and determine how a state's credit access will affect its crisis decision-making.
Patrick E. Shea, *Rutgers University*
pshea@edem.rutgers.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Jeff Colgan, American University**
colganj@american.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-4 **Protests and Authoritarian Politics**
Room
2:40 **Chair, Milan Svobik, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
msvobik@illinois.edu
- 2:45 **Rethinking the Democratic Advantage: A Comparative Study of Audience Costs in Democracies and Dictatorships**
Assesses effect of anti-foreign protests in dictatorships, relative to such protests in democracies and statements of support by political opposition in democracy, using original dataset based on ICB dataset
Alexandre Debs, *Yale University*
alexandre.debs@yale.edu
Jeanne Chen Weiss, *Yale University*
jweiss@gmail.com
- 3:00 **Dynamics of Political Interaction at the Revolutionary Moment**
This paper is a study of the strategic interaction among the major political actors at a revolutionary moment: i.e. the military, the incumbents, and the opposition. Historical and statistical data are used to examine the dynamic model.
Navid Hassanpour, *Yale University*
navid.hassanpour@yale.edu
- 3:15 **Electoral Elections and Post-Electoral Protests in Competitive Electoral Autocracies**
The paper presents a formal model and quantitative evidence on the relationship between electoral fraud and the occurrence and success of post-electoral protests in competitive electoral autocracies.
Patrick Michael Kuhn, *University of Rochester*
pkuhn@gmail.rochester.edu
- 3:30 **The Calculus of Dissent: Non-Democratic Elections, Informants, and Post-Election Political Stability**
I present an informational model of how electoral process affects post-election political stability and test its implications on two cross-national datasets and a qualitative work on regional elections in post-communist Russia.
Arturas Reznas, *Duke University*
ar71@duke.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Peter L. Lorenzen, University of California, Berkeley**
lorenzpe@berkeley.edu
Disc., Milan Svobik, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
msvobik@illinois.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-21 **Designing Peace Agreements**
Room
2:40 **Chair, Brian Lai, University of Iowa**
brian-lai@uiowa.edu
- 2:45 **The Demise of Peace Treaties in Interstate War**
This paper illustrates and then examines why states have virtually ceased using formal peace treaties to conclude interstate war.
Tanisha Fozal, *Columbia University*
mf2001@columbia.edu
- 3:00 **Designing Peace-Making Mechanisms: A Strategic Model of Conflict Management Forum Selection**
This project explores conflict management forum selection with a mechanism design framework, exploring why states use certain strategies in dispute settlement
Vanessa Ann Letter, *University of Iowa*
vanessa-letter@uiowa.edu
- 3:15 **A Dataset of Political Power-Sharing Bargaining in Civil War**
This article provides an overview of the main features of a new dataset on political power-sharing bargaining
Sunhee Park, *Florida State University*
sp14g@fsu.edu
- 3:30 **Shared Victory: States, Rebels, and the Determinants of State Concessions**
A rationalist explanation of the factors that influence the generosity of state concessions in negotiated settlements ending civil wars is tested. Tobit and logit models are used to analyze civil wars occurring from 1945 to 2007.
Frank Vaughan, *West Virginia State University*
fvaughan@wvstate.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Brian Lai, University of Iowa**
brian-lai@uiowa.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 20-4 **Inside International Organizations**
Room
2:40 **Chair, Tom Johnson, Princeton University**
tana.ljohnson@gmail.com
- 2:45 **Political Agreements to International Organizations**
We develop a formal model to show when politicians allocate effective bureaucrats to international organizations.

- Julia Gray, University of Pittsburgh**
jgray@pitt.edu
- Shane Killian, University of Pittsburgh**
killian2@pitt.edu
- 3:00 OPEC: Cooperation Without Enforcement**
This paper examines why OPEC, an enduring case of international cooperation, fails to have at its heart the enforcement mechanisms that rationalist models view as the central foundation for international cooperation.
Robert Kuzick, Purdue University
rkuzick@purdue.edu
- 3:15 International Organizations to Combat Symmetry: The IAEA and NATO**
IOs may be designed to preserve the balance of bargaining leverage among partners. Whether this contrived symmetry lowers or raises the transactions costs of cooperation depends on the distribution of relationship-specific investments.
Chad Reector, George Washington University
crector@gwu.edu
- 3:30 International Organizations and the Transaction Costs of Multilateral Negotiations**
This paper examines the ways in which international organizations decrease the transaction costs associated with international multilateral agreement negotiations.
Nicole M. Simonelli, Purdue University
nsimonel@purdue.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Tina Johnson, Princeton University**
tina.l.johnson@gmail.com
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 21-3 Ethnic, Civic and Stateless Nationalism**
Room 5 on the 7th floor. Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Faith Balci, University of Utah
f.balci@utah.edu
- 2:45 Discourses on Ethnic and Civic Nationalism from the Perspectives on Kurdish Political and Cultural Demands**
The literature on nationalism deals dichotomously with two types of nationalism, ethnic and civic nationalism. From this theoretical perspective, Turkey's Kurdish question emphasizes different approaches to applying Kurdish demands.
Faith Balci, University of Utah
f.balci@utah.edu
- 3:05 Stateless Nationalism**
The author intends to look into the problematic case of stateless nations mostly from a theoretical angle but also by analyzing three specific instances of stateless nations, i.e. the Kurds, the Palestinians and the Tibetans.
Anne-Sophie Bentz, University Toulouse, Capitole
anne-sophie.bentz@graduate.inp-ut.fr
- 3:25 Discussion, 7&4**
- 3:45 Audience Discussion**
- 21-4 Tea Party, Culture War and Contemporary Cleavages**
Room 7 on the 3rd floor. Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Mikael L. Pelz, Hendrix College
pelz@hendrix.edu
- 2:45 Strategic Politicians, the Great Recession, and the Tea Party Movement: Evaluating the 2010 Midterm Elections**
This paper will analyze the results of the 2010 midterm elections, identify electoral trends and themes, and consider the implications of the results for the 2012 presidential election.
Jamie L. Carson, University of Georgia
carson@uga.edu
Stephen Pettigrew, University of Georgia
stephenp@uga.edu
- 2:57 The Geography of Tea**
Using the precise point coordinates of over 8,000 Tea Party Events of the 2010 campaign cycle, this paper examines the geography of tea party activism during the election.
Wendy K. Tam Cho, University of Illinois
wendycho@uiuc.edu
Daron Shaw, University of Texas, Austin
dshaw@evs.in.utexas.edu
Jim Gimpel, University of Maryland, College Park
jgimpel@gvpl.umd.edu
- 3:09 Sharpening the Wedge: The Sophistication of Culture War Rhetoric in Congressional Campaigns**
If culture war divides represent a new political cleavage, then we should expect to find that congressional campaigns attempt to take advantage of or account for these new blocs of "orthodox" and "progressive" voters in their messaging strategies.
Christopher Hare, University of Georgia
christopherhare@gmail.com
- 3:21 Is Partisan Polarization Good or Bad for Democracy?**
Using data from my new survey of the 2008 electorate, this paper first documents a large and growing cleavage in American politics over the role of government, and then assesses the significance of these findings in light of 2010 electoral campaigns.
Lorraine C. Minnie, Barnard College
lcm25@columbia.edu
- 3:33 The Dynamics of Modern Realignment: Examining the Role of Campaign Messages in the Realignment Process**
Despite decades of research, scholars have limited knowledge on the active agents in realignments. This paper traces the realignment of evangelical Protestants and military officers to assess the role of political parties in the realignment process.
Mikael L. Pelz, Hendrix College
pelz@hendrix.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Brian Arbour, John Jay College, CUNY**
barbour@jjay.cuny.edu
Disc., Robert M. Stern, Rice University
STERN@RICE.EDU
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 23-6 Social Capital and Civic Engagement**
Room 6 on the 3rd floor. Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Sean Richey, Georgia State University
srichey@gsu.edu
- 2:45 Capitalize Civic Duty of Political Engagement**
In this study, I argue that democratic attitude is a part of civic duty to promote political participation. As citizens are cognitively, affectively, and civically engaged in democracy, they take part in politics.
Yongho Cho, University of Missouri
ycho62@mail.missouri.edu
- 3:00 The Effect of Social Capital on Voter Turnout: Evidence from Saint's Day Election in Mexico**
We exploit a natural experiment to test the effects of social capital on turnout in Mexico. Contrary to observational results, we find that an exogenous increase in social capital around the time of an election actually decreases voter turnout.
Anthony Fowler, Harvard University
fowler@fas.harvard.edu
Matthew D. Atkinson, University of California, Los Angeles
matthowa@ucla.edu
- 3:15 Examining the Democratic Phoenix Hypothesis: The United States in Comparative Perspective**
This historical and cross-national analysis indicates that participatory expansion research has been overly optimistic regarding the potential of expanded forms of participation to compensate for the declining engagement of American citizens.

	<p>Jennifer Ober, Harvard University ober.jennifer@gmail.com Michael Shalev, Hebrew University michael.shalev@gmail.com</p>		<p>Michael A. Thacker, University of Plymouth mthacker@plymouth.ac.uk Colin Rallings, University of Plymouth crallings@plymouth.ac.uk Mary Shears, University of Plymouth mshears@plymouth.ac.uk Galina Borisyuk, University of Plymouth gborisyuk@plymouth.ac.uk</p>
3:30	<p>Do Civic Involvement, Efficacy, and Trust in Government Increase Political Interest? Estimating the Effect of Endogenous Predictors on Political Interest When a political experience is voluntary, the people who volunteer are usually already politically interested. If true, this casts doubt on claims that membership or participation in many organizations strengthens civic involvement Markus Pfler, Princeton University mpfler@princeton.edu</p>	3:45	<p>Disc., Hukrie Han, Harvard University hhan@fas.harvard.edu Disc., Kristin Kanthak, University of Pittsburgh kanthak@pitt.edu</p>
3:45	<p>Disc., Christopher Karpowitz, Brigham Young University ckarpowin@byu.edu Disc., Sean Riehey, Georgia State University sriehey@gsu.edu</p>	4:05	<p>Audience Discussion</p>
24-3	<p>Campaign Strategy Chicago on the 5th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm</p>	25-4	<p>Citizen Competence in Direct Democracy Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm</p>
3:40	<p>Chair, Kristin Kanthak, University of Pittsburgh kanthak@pitt.edu</p>	2:40	<p>Chair, Harvey J. Tucker, Texas A&M University h-tucker@tamu.edu</p>
2:45	<p>The Selective Attribution of Partisanship: A Look Inside the Black Box of Candidate Strategy This paper examines why, given the rarity with which candidates identify their partisanship on campaign websites, some candidates choose to affiliate with the party's name under whose banner they are running. Joshua Blank, University of Texas, Austin joshblank@gmail.com</p>	2:45	<p>The Impact of Campaign Spending on Correct Voting Does money amount to information that voters use to improve the translation of preferences into votes or does money hoodwink the voter into casting ballots against their interests? Mike Blader, Stanford University mblader@stanford.edu</p>
2:57	<p>Can't Get on the News? Get on Twitter Increasingly, members of Congress are turning to Twitter and other alternative media to communicate with constituents. We argue that members of Congress are more likely to turn to Twitter if they have trouble attracting traditional media coverage. Adam R. Brown, Brigham Young University brown@byu.edu David S. Larsen, University of Wisconsin dslassen@gmail.com</p>	3:00	<p>Gresham's Law of Cue-Taking: How Citizens Respond to Conflicting Information I conduct laboratory experiments in which subjects are exposed to conflicting cues before making decisions. My results show that subjects make worse decisions with conflicting cues than with only one cue, primarily when they are unsophisticated. Cheryl Boudreau, University of California, Davis cboudreau@ucdavis.edu</p>
3:09	<p>Technological Development of Congressional Candidate Web Sites, 2002 - 2008 The Internet has offered political candidates a new way to campaign. The authors use data from four election cycles to investigate the factors that lead candidates to use or avoid particular technological features. James N. Druckman, Northwestern University druckman@northwestern.edu Michael D. Parkin, Oberlin College michael.parkin@oberlin.edu Martin J. Kifer, High Point University mkifer@highpoint.edu</p>	3:15	<p>Trained by Initiative: How Familiarity Helps Voters in Initiative States Process Campaign Information Our research shows that voters in initiative states are more capable of processing campaign information. In particular, we find that initiative state voters are more likely to use cues to overcome framing effects when compared to other voters. Craig Michael Barnett, Appalachian State University cbarnettm@appstate.edu Vladimir Kogan, University of California, San Diego vkogan@ucsd.edu</p>
3:21	<p>The Electoral Utility of a Broad Campaign Scope This paper seeks to explain why some political candidates narrow their platform focus to one or a few issues while others cast their net more broadly. Matthew T. Pietryka, University of California, Davis mtpietryka@ucdavis.edu</p>	3:30	<p>Re-Examining the Benefits and Costs of Campaign Finance Disclosure in Direct Democracy Evaluates the importance of campaign finance disclosure for citizen competence Jeff Milys, University of Missouri MILYJO@MISSOURI.EDU</p>
3:33	<p>Candidate Campaigning in Low Information Elections: Evidence from Local Election Candidates in the UK Using pooled data from annual postal surveys of candidates contesting local elections we consider how an individual's personal investment in campaigning activity relates to their prospects of winning and the circumstances of their selection</p>	3:45	<p>Disc., Frederick J. Boehmke, University of Iowa frederick-boehmke@uiowa.edu Disc., Justin Levitt, Loyola Law School justin.levitt@lls.edu</p>
		4:05	<p>Audience Discussion</p>
		25-9	<p>Partisanship and Realignment Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm</p>
		2:40	<p>Chair, Hans Walter, University of Michigan hamonjr@umich.edu</p>
		2:45	<p>The Making of Political Generations: Partisanship and Ideology Across Western Democracies Cross-national age-cohort-period analysis of partisan generations. Anja Neundorff, University of Oxford anja.neundorff@nuffield.ox.ac.uk Karl Smith, University of Siena ksmith@unisi.it</p>
		3:40	<p>The New Deal Realignment in Real Time An analysis of 170 surveys between 1937 and 1952 shows that it was not the Great Depression or the New Deal but the wartime</p>

- experience and the postwar prosperity that gave the Democratic Party its overwhelming hold on the American electorate.
Helmut Norpoth, *Stony Brook University*
 helmu.norpoth@stonyb.edu
Clara Hesseang Suang, *SUNY Stony Brook*
 clara.h.suang@gmail.com
Andrew Heath Sidman, *John Jay College, CUNY*
 asidman@jjay.cuny.edu
- 3:15 **Strong Parties and a Nationalized Electorate: A Realization and Extension of Bartels' "Electoral Continuity and Change, 1868-1996"**
 In "Electoral Continuity and Change, 1868-1996", Larry Bartels adapts an OLS model to measure party level, sub-national, and regional level forces from aggregate level measures of vote choice. I validate Bartels' measures and extend his analysis.
John Robert Shrode, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 jshrode@ucla.edu
- 3:30 **Declining Declines: California's Decline to State Voters**
 This paper tests hypotheses on the political preferences and characteristics of voters that decline to state a political party when registering to vote in California. It is based on a new survey of 4000 respondents, taken before the 2010 election.
John Andrew Sinclear, *California Institute of Technology*
 JSinclear@caltech.edu
Michael Alvarez, *California Institute of Technology*
 ma@lss.caltech.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** **Paul A. Beck**, *Ohio State University*
 beck.9@osu.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 26-3 **Duverger's Demise: Disconfirming Duverger's Dilem?**
 Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair**, **Ken Kollman**, *University of Michigan*
 kkollman@umich.edu
- 2:45 **The M+1 Rule and Political Knowledge: Evidence From Contemporary Representative Elections**
 This paper tests generalizations on Duverger's Law. Specifically, it models variation in the extent to which the M+1 rule holds at the district level as a function of voter knowledge about the relative viability of parties.
Jay K. Dow, *University of Missouri*
 jdowj@missouri.edu
- 3:00 **Decided to Compete: Contamination Effects and Parties' Strategic Entry in Adverse Contexts**
 Empirical evidence has shown parties present candidacies when nonviable calling into question the Duvergerian theories. I argue that it is the superposition of electoral arenas what fosters this behaviour. I test it empirically in Canada and Spain.
Marc Galais, *Universitat Pompeu Fabra*
 marc.galais@upf.edu
- 3:15 **Social Diversity Affects the Number of Parties Even Under First Past the Post Rules**
 Using district-level data for both electoral results and ethnic diversity, we show that diversity has similar effects on the number of parties under FPTP rules as under PR rules.
Robert G. Moser, *University of Texas, Austin*
 rmoser@jstut.utexas.edu
Ethan Schelmer, *University of California, Davis*
 eschelmer@ucdavis.edu
Celina Nabezzo, *University of California, Davis*
 cnabezzo@ucdavis.edu
- 3:30 **Constituency-Level Electoral Patterns in the United Kingdom: A Violation of Duverger's Law**
 We evaluate two-party local competition in the UK by whether support for parties finishing third or worse in the district is statistically indistinguishable from 0. Using this criteria, the UK deviates from Duverger's law at the district level.
Laura B. Stephenson, *University of Western Ontario*
 lstepbe@uwo.ca
Matthew Singer, *University of Connecticut*
 matthew.m.singer@uconn.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** **Ken Kollman**, *University of Michigan*
 kkollman@umich.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 27-4 **National Identities and Ideology in Comparative Perspective**
 Room Dunham 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair** **John E. Tranter**, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
 jtrantr@ui.edu
- 2:45 **The Determinants of Inclusive Identity Choice**
 This paper analyzes the causes and conditions of superordinate identification using Afrobarometer survey data from South Africa to test conjunctive effect on identity choice.
K. Amber Curtis, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 ambecurtis@colorado.edu
- 2:57 **Europeans in the Mirror: Results From a New Mass Survey on European Identity and European Elections in 27 States**
 This paper presents the first results of the largest-ever survey on European identity conducted in 2009 in the 27 EU member states with 32000 respondents. We explore whether citizens feel European, what it means to them, how it impacts their behaviour.
Sarah Harrison, *London School of Economics*
 s.l.harrison@lse.ac.uk
Michael Bruter, *London School of Economics and Political Science*
 m.bruter@lse.ac.uk
- 3:09 **Preferences for Redistribution and Local Identity**
 Using British survey data we show that a sense of belonging to one's nation and identification with one's local community both predict individuals' preference for redistributive policy, but only national identification mitigates the effect of income.
David Claud Martin, *Stony Brook University*
 david.martin@stonybrook.edu
Joshua Matthew Johnson, *Stony Brook University*
 jmj7658@gmail.com
- 3:21 **Territorial Identity and the Transmission of Left-Right Orientations Within the Family**
 Family transmission of ideological positions is examined in the fairly unusual context in which the left-right cleavage is cross cut by a strong center-periphery cleavage closely linked to the existence of contending national identities.
Guillermo Rico, *Universitat Pompeu Fabra*
 guillem-rico@upf.edu
Kent Jennings, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
 jennings@polsci.ucsb.edu
- 3:33 **Made in the USA? Explaining Immigrants' Imported Ideology**
 Using an experimental design, this paper seeks to understand how immigrants' pre-migration ideological predispositions serve as a heuristic by which these individuals anchor and adjust their ideological predispositions in the new polity.
Sergio C. Wais, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
 swais3@unl.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** **Jessie M. Miller**, *University of Minnesota*
 jmillerc@umn.edu
Disc. **Elizabeth Suhay**, *Lafayette College*
 suhay@lafayette.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**

- 28-6 Room 2:40** **Climate Change and Environmental Opinions**
Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Michael D. Jones, *Harvard University*
jonem1@gmail.com
- 2:45** **A Serious Problem or Just a Bunch of Hot Air? The Role of Scientific Knowledge in Climate Change Opinion Formation**
This paper demonstrates that public opinion on the causes and consequences of climate change is conditional on respondents' beliefs about scientists and on their exposure to science media.
Kathel Baird, *Michigan State University*
bairdk@msu.edu
- 3:00** **The Influence of Local Environmental Quality on Environmental Attitudes**
This study examines the relationship between local environmental quality and support for environmental protection using a nationwide survey of U.S. adults.
Bradford H. Bishop, *Duke University*
bradford.bishop@duke.edu
- 3:15** **U.S. Public Views of Climate Engineering and Energy/Environmental Policy**
This study reports the results of a Government Accountability Office (GAO) survey and focus groups that examine public awareness of and views on climate engineering and other energy and environmental policy options.
Virginia Chanley, *U.S. Government Accountability Office*
chanleyv@gao.gov
Greg Wilmoth, *U.S. Government Accountability Office*
wilmothg@gao.gov
Ann Ivellise Aviles, *U.S. Government Accountability Office*
avilesa@gao.gov
- 3:30** **Public Opinion on Climate Change: How Views of Science and Knowledge Influence Views of Environmental Scientists**
This paper examines sociodemographic factors, ideology, views of science and knowledge as influences on public opinion about environmental scientists on climate change in the US general public using a path model.
Sandy Marquart-Pyatt, *Michigan State University*
marquartl@msu.edu
- 3:45** **Disc., David Koslosky, Georgetown University**
dtk74@georgetown.edu
Disc., Justin Abbott Tucker, *California State University, Fullerton*
jstucker@fullerton.edu
- 4:05** Audience Discussion
- 28-23 Room 2:40** **Deservingness and Social Services**
Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Alan M. Jacobs, *University of British Columbia*
jacobs@politics.ubc.ca
- 2:45** **Multiracial Identity and Race Policy Attitudes**
What is the relationship between multiracial heritage and perceptions of racial public policies? In what extent do mixed-race, White-Black individuals support or oppose policies intended to assist racial minorities?
Lauren Diane Davenport, *Princeton University*
ldavenport@princeton.edu
- 2:57** **Misrepresentations of Black Poverty: The Influence of Exaggerated Estimates on Support for Redistributive Policies**
To what extent do beliefs about the percentage of blacks among the poor and unemployed influence policy preferences for redistributive policies?
Stacey Greene, *University of California, Los Angeles*
sgreene@ucla.edu
- 3:09** **Immigration and the Mediating Role of Public Opinion on Support for Welfare**
Examines the impact of immigration on predispositions that are mediating variables influencing welfare attitudes.
Jason Eugene Kehrberg, *University of Kentucky*
jkehrberg@uky.edu
- 3:21** **Am I My Brother's Keeper? Epidemics, Agenda-Setting, and Public Support for Health Policy Interventions**
Using embedded experiments in an online survey, we examine whether public support for health interventions depends on who is affected (children or elderly; Whites or African Americans) and what the illness is (diabetes, depression, obesity, H1N1).
Taekyu Lee, *University of California, Berkeley*
taekulee@berkeley.edu
Eric L. McDaniel, *University of Texas*
emcDaniel@mail.utexas.edu
Alex Stross, *University of California, Berkeley*
alexstross@berkeley.edu
- 3:33** **Why Are the American Rich So Supportive of Downward Economic Redistribution?**
I show that the American rich are surprisingly supportive of downward economic redistribution. Furthermore, sympathy for the poor is responsible for their generosity. These findings contradict existing explanations for the American welfare state.
Spencer Platon, *University of Michigan*
spiaton@umich.edu
- 3:45** **Disc., Royan L. Flye, Ohio State University**
rflye@ucl.edu
Disc., Gary M. Segura, *Stanford University*
segura@stanford.edu
- 4:05** Audience Discussion
- 29-4 Room 2:40** **Setting the Agenda of the Public and Politics**
Soudburg 7 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Craig Leonard Briant, *Virginia Tech University*
cbriant@vt.edu
- 2:45** **Mass Mediated Rebellion: Coverage of the Tea Party and Health Care and the Effects on Public Opinion**
This paper, drawing from past research on the nature of media coverage of health care and the Tea Party, examines the role of media in influencing public opinion on influencing opinions of social movements and health care reform.
Anthony Ross DiMaggio, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
adimagg2@uic.edu
- 3:05** **The Conflictual Nature of Issue Evolution: Using Evolutionary Factor Analysis to Understand the Shifting of Debate in Congressional Hearings**
This research examines how political conflict is associated with the evolution of issue frames by executing an evolutionary factor analysis on Congressional hearing data.
Michael W. Czescazynski, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
mikegrua@huskers.unl.edu
Sarah Michaels, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
smichaels2@unl.edu
- 3:25** **The Prime-Time Terror Threat: The Effects of Repeated Exposure**
An examination of the political impact of repeated exposure to prime-time dramatic portrayals of terrorism, including agenda-setting and priming effects, as well as a look at the potentially desensitizing impact of prime-time portrayals of terrorism.
Timothy Hill, *Dome College*
tim.hill@dome.edu
- 3:45** **Disc., Amber Klein Boydston, University of California, Davis**
a Boydston@ucdavis.edu
- 4:05** Audience Discussion

- 30-13 Campaign Politics and Competition**
 Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Beekle Soola, *St. Joseph's University*
 bsoola@sju.edu
- 2:45 **Level Playing Field or Whole New Ballgame?: Gender and Independent Expenditures in Campaigns for the U.S. House, 2002-2010**
 Using time series data from 2002 to 2010, this analysis examines whether equivalently situated male and female candidates are subjected to equivalent independent expenditures and whether the impact of this spending is equally beneficial.
 Margaret A. Carne, *Rhodes College*
 carnem@rhodes.edu
- 2:57 **More Than Manum Graculis: Gender and Campaign Strategy in 2010 Statewide Races**
 Campaigns are gendered institutions whereby gender influences campaign strategy and decision-making. In this paper, I ask candidates and campaign practitioners from 2010 statewide races where, when, and in what ways gender functions in campaigning.
 Kelly E. Dittman, *Rutgers University, New Brunswick*
 kdittman@eden.rutgers.edu
- 3:09 **So Many Open Doors?: An Examination of Women Candidates and Campaign Strategies in State Judicial Elections**
 In the present study we utilize a primary survey and content analysis to examine the campaign strategies and electoral success of women candidates in state judicial contests.
 Phillip R. Hardy, *Benedictine University*
 phardy@ben.edu
 Mary Barbara Walsh, *Elmhurst College*
 walshm@elmhurst.edu
 Teri J. Walker, *Elmhurst College*
 twalker@elmhurst.edu
- 3:21 **Making the VOICE of the Common Sense Minnesota More Heard: Conservative Women Get Organized**
 Through content analysis, I explore the potential impact of a new statewide organization dedicated to electing conservative women to office.
 Angela High-Pippert, *University of Saint Thomas*
 ahighpippe@stthomas.edu
- 3:33 **A Mom First and a Candidate Second: Gender Differences in Candidate Self-Presentation of Family**
 This paper examines the campaign websites of men and women congressional contenders in 2004-2010 in order to discover gender differences in candidate self-presentation of family.
 Britany L. Stalsburg, *Rutgers University*
 britany.stalsburg@hoitmail.com
- 3:45 Disc., Michele L. Swers, *Georgetown University*
 mlsw7@georgetown.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 31-3 Racial Politics: A View From States and Localities**
 Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Christopher Stout, *Wellesley College*
 cstout2@wellesley.edu
- 2:45 **Multicultural Context and Its Effect on Minority Policy Attitudes**
 This paper assesses how state racial context affects minority political views. We examine the role that racial context plays in shaping Black, Asian and Latino ideological self-placement and attitudes towards various policies.
 Marisa A. Abramo, *University of California, San Diego*
 maabramo@ucsd.edu
 Zubin Hujnal, *University of California, San Diego*
 ZHAJNAL@UCSD.EDU
- 3:00 **The Path to Political Incorporation: Place and Race Matter**
 The cases in political incorporation literature cover a narrow areopolitical context. What are the different paths that cities take toward incorporation? Place shapes the path to incorporation significantly more than the current literature suggests.
- 3:15 **Immigrant Context and State Policy on Immigration and Education**
 In this project, I look to see whether states with proportionally larger and faster growing immigrant populations are more likely to initiate policies that are more punitive toward immigrants and are less likely to invest in education.
 Zubin Hujnal, *University of California, San Diego*
 ZHAJNAL@UCSD.EDU
- 3:30 **Immigration Policy in the U.S. States: A test of three models**
 I examine three explanations for the introduction and passage of punitive immigration policies in the U.S. States. The findings indicate that location, economic factors, and racial empowerment are critical to explaining the passage of these policies.
 Shanna Pearson-Merkowitz, *University of Rhode Island*
 shannapm@gmail.com
- 3:45 Disc., Gerard Alexander, *University of Virginia*
 gsa8b@virginia.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 32-1 Early Christian and Non-Western Theory**
 Room Monroe 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Michael Locke McLendon, *California State University, Los Angeles*
 mmclend2@calstatela.edu
- 2:45 **The State of Nature in Cooperative Political Thought: Approaches From Classical Chinese, Indic, and Islamic Traditions**
 This paper takes the concept of the 'State of Nature' as conceptualized by Hobbes and seeks to find parallels or alternatives in non-Western philosophical traditions. Accordingly, it contributes to research agendas in comparative political thought.
 Jon D. Carlano, *University of California, Merced*
 jcarlano3@umerced.edu
- 3:05 **An Aristotelian Approach to International Security**
 Our conceptions of security are commonly anchored on Thucydides. And they dominate the broad "realist" tradition of IR theory. I argue for a radically different notion of security for today's globalized world anchored on St. Augustine.
 Thomas E. Doyle, *University of Denver*
 tdoyle7@du.edu
- 3:25 **Bearing the Sword and the Book: St. Paul as Poet-Legislator**
 St. Paul's attributes, the sword and the book, are crucial to understanding the Apostle's poetic, anti-philosophical and transvaluative dimensions.
 Benjamin West, *University of Maryland*
 bwest1@cpd.umd.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Donald J. Maletz, *University of Oklahoma*
 djmaletz@ou.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 33-2 Medieval Theory and Modern Statecraft**
 Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Bettina Koch, *Virginia Tech University*
 dr.bettina.koch@jinh.net
- 2:45 **Ancient and Medieval Antecedents of Reason of State: Skepticism and Pragmatism**
 'Reason of state' theory attempts a unique combination of positions on the two big questions of ethical theory, claiming that justice should be prioritized over interest and that the cosmopolitan life is preferable to the private life.
 Jason S. Malley, *Oklahoma State University*
 malleyj@okstate.edu
- 3:00 **John of Paris on Property and Political Power**
 A discussion of John of Paris's political and economic thought with a consideration of his place in the development of capitalism as well as an analysis of various interpretational difficulties.

3:15	<p>Gerson Moreno-Riano, <i>Reggio University</i> gmoreno@reggio.edu</p> <p>Dante's Imperial Road Leads to... Constantinople?: The Internal Logic of the Monarchia I examine Dante's critique of the Duration of Constantine and related topics in the Monarchia in order to argue that, by his own logic, the legitimacy of a universal Roman Empire resides</p> <p>Cary J. Nederman, <i>Texas A&M University</i> nederman@polisci.tamu.edu</p>	3:00	<p>Criminal Law and (Prosecutorial) Discretion Liberals hold that non-arbitrariness is necessary to the legitimacy of criminal law, but generally regard prosecution in any given case as a permission only. This paper considers non-arbitrariness arguments against (prosecutorial) discretion.</p> <p>Jonathan R. Bruno, <i>Harvard University</i> jbruno@fas.harvard.edu</p>
3:30	<p>The Idea of Empire in Late Medieval Political Thought The concept of empire during 1300-1540, with emphasis on 1300-1350. Interaction between papal monarchist and world empire (Dante, etc), and new trends in William of Ockham.</p> <p>Thomas Renns, <i>Sagehen Valley State University</i> renns@svsu.edu</p>	3:15	<p>Meeting the Challenge of Philosophical Anarchism This paper critically appraises philosophical anarchism's challenge to political obligation. I contend that philosophical anarchism requires a better response than existing arguments provide, even though it is not itself a satisfactory alternative.</p> <p>Emily Hallock, <i>University of California, Los Angeles</i> hallock@ucla.edu</p>
3:45	<p>Dice., <i>Gianluca Briguglia, EHESS Paris</i> gianluca.briguglia@gmail.com</p> <p>Dice., <i>Kevin Gullfloy, Carroll University</i> kgullfloy@carrollu.edu</p>	3:30	<p>Compensation for Historical Injustices: A Critique of Two Widely-used Arguments: Promises and Benefits Should compensation be offered to descendants of deceased victims of past wrongs? This paper aims to examine two arguments for compensation: promises and contracts between states, and that contemporary societies benefit from past wrongs</p> <p>Nahshan Perez, <i>Boston University</i> nahshannp@gmail.com</p>
4:05	<p>Audience Discussion</p>	3:45	<p>Dice., <i>Avia Pasternak, University of Essex</i> avia.pasternak@gmail.com</p>
3-4-3	<p>Methods of Political Theory</p>	4:05	<p>Audience Discussion</p>
Room	<p>LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm</p>	36-4	<p>Narratives on the Overdetermination of Crisis</p>
2:40	<p>Chair, <i>Eric A. Macgilvray, Ohio State University</i> macgilvray.2@polisci.osu.edu</p>	Room	<p>LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm</p>
2:45	<p>Rethinking Governmentality: Towards Genealogies of Governance Foucault used the term governmentality after shifting from Foucaultian archaeology to historicist genealogy. But commentators say governmentality is entangled with structuralism. This paper offers a resolutely genealogical theory of governmentality.</p> <p>Mark Bevir, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i> mbevir@berkeley.edu</p>	2:40	<p>Chair, <i>Diana Z. Barros, St. Mary's College of Maryland</i> dbarros@stmarys.edu</p>
3:00	<p>Humanist Liberalism Against the Ascendancy of Rights How the opposing forms of liberal theorizing that emerged with Charles Taylor and Rawls in the late 20th C grew out of the earlier opposition of interpretive humanist liberals against modern empiricists in the shared context of analytic beginnings.</p> <p>Naomi Chok, <i>University of Alabama</i> nchok@ua.edu</p>	2:45	<p>Mad Money or Moribund Madness? The Precariousness of Financialized Everyday Life [Neoliberalism is the "financialization of everything," then this must include facets of everyday life. This paper explores cultural formations that create a whirlwind of opportunity that compel people to participate in such financialization.</p> <p>Robert E. Kinich, <i>Virginia Tech University</i> ekinich@vt.edu</p>
3:15	<p>Political Theory, History and Truth Political theorists usually assume that they have to be historically accurate. Drawing on the three uses of history for the present developed by Quentin Skinner, I argue that theorists can learn from the past even as they get it wrong.</p> <p>Yaseha Mouk, <i>Harvard University</i> ymouk@fas.harvard.edu</p>	3:00	<p>Ideological Attachments and Crisis: Mattias Zizek and Vedolone This paper examines how the Left's response to the economic crisis is subsumed within the liberal-democratic understanding of the economy, and how ideological attachment serves to reify this predicament.</p> <p>Matthew Nash, <i>Colorado State University</i> mash@colorado.edu</p>
3:30	<p>Politics, Ontology, Narrative This paper brings together the recent turns to ontology and narrative in political theory, and suggests how each can provide what the other lacks.</p> <p>Jacob Schiff, <i>University of Toronto</i> j.schiff@utoronto.ca</p>	3:15	<p>The Political Discourse of the Foreclosure Crisis: A Close Look at the TARP Field Hearings This paper applies Critical Discourse Analysis to examine the discourse production on the foreclosure crisis during the TARP Congressional Oversight Panel Hearings.</p> <p>Roque Martin Saavedra, <i>Virginia Tech University</i> rsaavedra@vt.edu</p>
3:45	<p>Dice., <i>Eric A. Macgilvray, Ohio State University</i> macgilvray.2@polisci.osu.edu</p>	3:30	<p>Sustaining a Crisis of Waste: Narratives of production, consumption, and disposal in a capitalist economy This paper will trace the narrative of the crisis of waste discourse, identify resulting policy narratives and solutions, and evaluate these solutions within the context of structural environmental degradation.</p> <p>Sarah Surak, <i>Virginia Tech University</i> ssurak@vt.edu</p>
4:05	<p>Audience Discussion</p>	3:45	<p>Dice., <i>Niebelus Jeremiah Kiersey, Ohio University, Chillicothe</i> kiersey@ohio.edu</p>
35-2	<p>Political Obligation</p>	4:05	<p>Audience Discussion</p>
Room	<p>Sandberg 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm</p>	3:45	<p>Dice., <i>Niebelus Jeremiah Kiersey, Ohio University, Chillicothe</i> kiersey@ohio.edu</p>
2:40	<p>Chair, <i>Avia Pasternak, University of Essex</i> avia.pasternak@gmail.com</p>	3:45	<p>Is Citizenship Species? An Examination of Civic Obligation This paper develops a method of distinguishing the obligations of citizenship from broader moral duties. It uses this method as a platform for reconsidering what tasks are candidate political obligations, and how they may be distributed.</p> <p>Brookes Brown, <i>Princeton University</i> bbrown@princeton.edu</p>

- 36-23 Theories and Justice**
Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, David M. Spear, *California State Polytechnic University, Pomona*
 dmrspeak@csupomona.edu
- 2:45 The Equilibrium of Rawlsian Justice Without the Use of a Veil of Ignorance**
 I model Rawls' difference principle as the core of a game and achieve his equilibrium with self-interested agents informed of their positions in society, and also show that Nozick's progression of the state witnesses a Rawlsian redistributive scheme.
 Cyrus Aghamolla, *Stanford University*
 Aghamolla_Cyrus@gsb.stanford.edu
- 3:05 The Burden of a Rawlsian Ethos of Justice**
 For Rawls, the societal ethos and the institutional principles of Justice must not be misaligned. I propose an ethos that resolves the tension between the two kinds of principles of Justice in order to account for the Rawlsian conception of right.
 Morgan Alyssa Bennett, *Ohio University*
 Morgan.Alyssa.Bennett@gmail.com
- 3:25 What Counts as Arbitrary Power?**
 This paper discusses the meaning of arbitrariness specifically as it relates to civic republican accounts of freedom from domination. It defends a procedural view of arbitrariness, in contrast with the more common substantive views.
 Frank Lovett, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 flovett@aruc1.wustl.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Gur Hirshberg, Georgetown University**
 gah2@georgetown.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 37-5 Identity, Assimilation and Prejudice**
Room Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, John B. Lundregan, *Princeton University*
 jhl@princeton.edu
- 2:45 A Theory of Discrimination and Assimilation**
 A theory of the incentives that immigrants and minorities face to assimilate into mainstream society, and the incentives to discriminate against those who seek to integrate, with an application to the "acting white" phenomenon.
 Jon K. Egusa, *New York University*
 egusa@nyu.edu
- 3:00 To Whom Is Obama Still Black? The Persistence of Prejudice in Rhetoricive Contexts**
 A new model clarifies how racial prejudice affects evaluations of President Obama. It complements and extends experimental and meta-analytic work on the same topic. It shows how big evaluative differences emerge amongst similarly prejudiced people.
 Arthur Lupia, *University of Michigan*
 lupia@umich.edu
- 3:15 The Rule of Passing in Civil War**
 Our model finds that increasing the identifiability of the ethnic minority can either increase or decrease both conflict and persecution, depending on the relative ability of the rebel group to mobilize support.
 Christine Mele, *Florida State University*
 csm07@fsu.edu
 David A. Siegel, *Florida State University*
 dsiegel@fsu.edu
- 3:30 Love Thy Immigrants: A Complementarity Theory of Immigration and Taxation Policies**
 TBA
 Massimo Morelli, *Columbia University*
 mm3331@columbia.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Eric Dickson, New York University**
 eric.dickson@nyu.edu
- Disc., Elizabeth Maggie Peam, Washington University, St. Louis**
 peam@wustl.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 38-3 Causal Inference with Experiments**
Room Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Adam Nathaniel Glynn, *Harvard University*
 aglynn@iq.harvard.edu
- 2:45 Beyond LATE: A Simple Method for Recovering Sample Average Treatment Effects**
 We provide a new method, inverse compliance score weighting, that will allow researchers to easily estimate the Sample Average Treatment Effect even in the presence of two-sided noncompliance.
 Peter Michael Aronow, *Yale University*
 peter.aronow@yale.edu
 Allison Jean Sovey, *Yale University*
 allison.sovey@yale.edu
- 3:00 Generalizing Experimental Results**
 We derive the conditions under which it is possible to generalize sample average treatment effect estimates to target populations and compare the performance of a number of estimators in this context using simulated and real data.
 Donald P. Green, *Yale University*
 donald.green@yale.edu
 Holger Lutz Kern, *Yale University*
 holger.kern@yale.edu
- 3:15 Generalizing and Stabilizing the Augmented Inverse Propensity Weighted Estimator**
 In this study, we generalize the augmented inverse propensity weighted estimator to multichotomous and continuous treatment regimes.
 Dominik Hängartner, *University of Bern*
 hangartner@ipw.unibe.ch
 Ryan T. Moore, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 rtm@wustl.edu
- 3:30 Weighing and Augmented Weighting for Causal Inference with Missing Data: New Directions**
 I present new concepts and methods for inverse-probability weighting (IPW) and imputation-based "augmented" inverse probability weighting (AIPW) to adjust for missing data in causal analyses.
 Cyrus D. Small, *Columbia University*
 cds81@columbia.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Jake Bowers, University of Illinois**
 jwbowers@illinois.edu
- Disc., Adam Nathaniel Glynn, Harvard University**
 aglynn@iq.harvard.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 39-100 The Internet Generation: Engaged Citizens or Political Dropouts?**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 The roundtable addresses the question posed in Henry Milner's 2010 book of the same title. Panelists tend to take somewhat different positions on this question. This should lend itself to a stimulating and valuable discussion.
Chair Henry Milner, *University of Montreal*
 henry-milner@umontreal.ca
Panelist Robert C. Luskin, *University of Texas, Austin*
 rluskin@mail.utexas.edu
 David Campbell, *University of Notre Dame*
 Dave_Campbell@nd.edu
 Karen A. Monrberger, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
 monrberg@uic.edu

- 40-3 **Party and Faction in Legislatures**
 Room Burnham 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Robert C. Boatright, *Clark University*
 rboatright@clarku.edu
- 1:45 **Who Leads Whom? Interest Groups, Congress, and the Dynamics of Political Rhetoric**
 Examines the flow of right-wing interest groups and Republicans in Congress to see who is setting the messaging tone and agenda.
 Justin W. Holmes, *University of Northern Iowa*
 justin.holmes@uni.edu
 Christopher James Galdieri, *University of Minnesota*
 cgaldieri@umn.edu
- 3:00 **Investing in Agreement: Party Organization, Leadership Change, and Policy Positions**
 In this paper, I elaborate existing arguments about party organization and examine it by focusing on the influence of rank-and-file politicians in policy decision making of political parties.
 Weiwei Hu, *SUNY, Binghamton*
 whu2@binghamton.edu
 William B. Heller, *SUNY, Binghamton*
 wbheller@gmail.com
- 3:15 **Party Facets and Party Policy Positioning**
 In this paper, I build on the existing studies on party factions. I examine the role of factions in competition for the party leadership and, consequently, the establishment of party policy positions.
 Weiwei Hu, *SUNY, Binghamton*
 whu2@binghamton.edu
- 3:30 **Accounting for Accountability: A Re-examination of Political Parties in the United States Congress**
 This paper will examine party effects in the U.S. Senate. It will argue that contrary to conventional wisdom, parties allow the Senate to maintain its legislative productivity in a procedurally permissive and ideologically polarized environment.
 James Ian Wallner, *Catholic University of America*
 jameswallner@gmail.com
- 3:45 Disc., Sarah Anderson, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
 sanderson@hron.ucsb.edu
 Disc., David A. Hopkins, *Ruston College*
 david.hopkins@rc.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 41-11 **Signing Statements: Posturing or Policymaking?**
 Room Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, R. Steven Daniels, *California State University, Bakersfield*
 rdaniels@csusb.edu
- 2:45 **The Influence of Statements of Administration Policy and Presidential Signing Statements on Congressional Oversight**
 We explore the sensitivity of Congress to SAPs and signing statements in the struggle over policy implementation. We argue that these forms of presidential signaling heighten oversight by Congress.
 Scott Alasworth, *University of Georgia*
 saasworth@uga.edu
 Laura L. Rice, *Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville*
 lance@siue.edu
 Ken Moffett, *Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville*
 kmoffet@siue.edu
 Brian M. Harward, *Allegheny College*
 bharward@allegheny.edu
- 2:57 **The Imperial President? Signing Statements as a Form of Unitary Executive Power**
 This paper examines presidential usage of signing statements. Why do presidents choose to exercise this particular unilateral power? Under what conditions are signing statements most likely to be issued? And what role does Congress play here?
 Joshua Kennedy, *University of Colorado*
 joshua.kennedy@colorado.edu
- 3:09 **Who's Listening? The Rhetorical Presidency and Media Coverage of the Signing Statement**
 We examine media coverage of the signing statement by the three major networks and CNN, how it is presented, and how coverage has changed from 1981-2010. The findings have important implications for the rhetorical presidency.
 Bryan W. Marshall, *Miami University*
 bmarshall@muhio.edu
 Christopher S. Kelley, *Miami University*
 kelleycs@muhio.edu
 Derrita J. Watts, *Miami University*
 watsdy@muhio.edu
- 3:21 **Considering the Court: Effects on the President's Use of Signing Statements**
 This paper examines the Court's constraint on the use of presidential signing statements, finding that the president is less likely to issue statements raising constitutional objections when he is ideologically further from the Court.
 Shreya Throver, *Purdue University*
 shthrover@purdue.edu
- 3:33 **The Timing of Presidential Signing Statements**
 Using the signing statements from President Herbert Hoover to President Barack Obama, this paper compares the rate of signing statements usage and the factors that influence their usage throughout a president's term.
 Emily O. Winters, *University of Georgia*
 ewinters@gmail.com
 Carol Parker Reeves, *University of Georgia*
 creeves@uga.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Michael Edwin Bailey, *Berry College*
 mbailey@berry.edu
 Disc., Anthony Madonna, *University of Georgia*
 ajmadonna@uga.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 42-6 **The Power of Party Leaders**
 Room Burnham 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Laurel M. Harbridge, *Northwestern University*
 l.harbridge@northwestern.edu
- 2:45 **Deliberation and Partisanship in the U.S. House of Representatives**
 This paper examines patterns of one-party lawmaking over the last three decades, identifying the conditions that encourage House majority leaders to take control of the legislative process and exclude the minority party from bill development.
 William Bendix, *University of British Columbia*
 wbendix@interchange.ubc.ca
- 2:57 **Information Control: Leadership Power in the U.S. House of Representatives**
 Information is a source of power for legislative leaders in the U.S. House. The typical MC relies on their leaders for information about legislation. This arrangement empowers leaders, allowing them to pursue their goals and lead the Congress.
 James Michael Curry, *University of Maryland*
 jcurry@gvpl.umd.edu
- 3:09 **Understanding Power in Congress**
 A theoretical study of personal power and influence in the U.S. Congress. Topics include lawmakers' desire for power, sources of power, strategies to obtain and expand influence, and the implications of these strategies for congressional politics.
 Matthew N. Green, *Catholic University of America*
 GREENM@cua.edu
- 3:21 **Minority Party Legislators and the Declining Importance of Parties Over Time**
 Based on a panel analysis of party voting, I find that majority party legislators tend to vote less with their party as their seniority increases. These results may have implications for previous theories about parties in Congress.

- 3:33 **Emily K. Lynch, Ohio State University**
lynch.379@polisci.osu.edu
- 3:33 **Partis, Party Unity, and Conditional Party Government**
Examines variables associated with conditional party government (CPG) theory in the House of Representatives from the 15th to 110th congresses. CPG does NOT apply to both parties equally and does not have uniform effects over time.
Christopher Raymond, *University of Missouri*
cbradd@mail.missouri.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Laurel M. Harbridge, Northwestern University**
l-harbridge@northwestern.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 4:27 **Electoral Consequences in Comparative Legislatures**
Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Osnat Aldras, *Western Galilee College*
osnatok@bezeqint.net
- 2:40 **2:45** **A Disadvantaged Incumbency?: A Study on the Re-Election of Municipal Congresses in China**
This study is interested in the re-election of municipal congresses in China. With the interview data and congressional documents collected in local congresses, we found re-election rate in local congresses is lower than conventional wisdom expects.
Dilong Lou, *Fudan University*
dilou@fudan.edu
- 2:57 **Representation Politics and Legislator Re-Electability in Kenya: The Case Of Akele and Bondo Constituencies**
The study explores the representational role of legislators with a view to informing the variance in representational expectations between the electorate and the representative and how this influences re-election of legislators in Kenya.
Julius David Mbeya, *University of Nairobi*
wambeya@yahoo.co.uk
- 3:09 **The Effect of Ambidextrous Legislatures on Growth and Investment**
Scholars claim that authoritarian legislatures promote growth; yet few distinguish between legislatures elected in competitive and uncompetitive elections. This paper examines how different "types" of legislatures affect growth and investment.
Amranda Rizkallah, *University of California, Los Angeles*
amranda.rizkallah@gmail.com
- 3:21 **Primary Challenges and Legislative Behavior: Linking Institutional Activity to the Electoral Environment of Politicians**
Examine the extent to which primary election challenges affect legislative behavior. Using behavioral indicators from the 105th-110th congresses, I find that primary challenges drive House members to alter their legislative behavior in Congress.
Cary Schmitt, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
cschmitt@uiuc.edu
- 3:33 **Party Unity in the Swiss Parliament: The Electoral Connection**
This study investigates the connection between legislative and electoral politics in Switzerland.
Denise Traher, *University of Geneva*
denise.traher@unige.ch
Simon Hug, *University of Geneva*
simon.hug@unige.ch
Pascale Salerda, *University of Geneva*
pascale.salerda@unige.ch
- 3:45 **Disc., Osnat Aldras, Western Galilee College**
osnatok@bezeqint.net
Disc., Jean-Francois Godbout, *University of Montreal*
jean-francois.godbout@umontreal.ca
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 44-2 **Religious and Expressive Freedom: The First Amendment in Theory and Practice**
Saulsbury 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Major G. Coleman, *SUNY New Paltz*
colemanm@newpaltz.edu
- Room 2:40
2:45 **Religious Liberty as Moral Right and as Political-Legal Right**
Why should political communities, acting on the basis of sound political reasons, protect religious liberty? To what ends ought this protection extend? How best might the three branches of government protect this right? These questions are answered.
Ryan T. Anderson, *University of Notre Dame*
randers7@nd.edu
- 3:00 **What's Wrong With Endorsing Religion?**
I argue for modifications to the much maligned Endorsement Test. Once so modified, defenders of the Endorsement Test can refute its most persistent criticisms.
Russ Astoria, *University of California, Berkeley*
rastoria@berkeley.edu
- 3:15 **Untangling the First Amendment: Toward a New System of Freedom of Expression**
In an attempt to untangle the confusion inherent in much of First Amendment jurisprudence, this paper will deconstruct prior justifications for free expression in an attempt to create a new, and more comprehensive, theory of free expression.
Julie Michelle Silverbrook, *College of William & Mary*
jmsilverbrook@gmail.com
- 3:30 **Permissible Yet Inadvisable: The Competing Theoretical Visions Present in *Christian Legal Society v. Martinez***
This essay examines and contextualizes the Supreme Court's recent *Christian Legal Society v. Martinez* decision by focusing not only on the competing readings of the First Amendment, but also on the underlying clash of liberal values.
Chris Stangl, *West Chester University*
cstangl@wcupa.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., John C. Blakeman, University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point**
john.blakeman@uwsp.edu
Disc., Steve Sanders, *University of Michigan*
stevesan@umich.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 45-20 **Decision Making on the U.S. Courts of Appeals**
Burnham 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Chair, Donald R. Songer, *University of South Carolina*
dsonger@sc.edu
- Room 2:40
2:45 **Legal Development and Citation Practices on the U.S. Courts of Appeals**
This paper provides a systematic analysis of how circuit judges cite and legally interpret existing opinions both within and outside of their home circuit.
Ryan Christopher Black, *Michigan State University*
rblack@msu.edu
- 3:00 **Strategy, Certainty, and the Decision to Dissent on the U.S. Courts of Appeals**
This research examines strategic decision making on the courts of appeals by explicitly incorporating the notion of certainty into a judge's decision to dissent for the purpose of inviting en banc review.
Bethany Blackstone, *University of North Texas*
bethany.blackstone@unt.edu
Paul M. Collins, *University of North Texas*
pmcollins@unt.edu
- 3:15 **The Effect of Rules and Norms on Judicial Decision-Making: The Ninth Circuit and Immigration Cases**
The paper investigates the effect of the internal adjudicative procedures of the Ninth Circuit on legal outcomes in immigration cases.
Anna Law, *DePaul University*
alaw1@depaul.edu

- 3:30 Institutions and Legal Consistency in the U.S. Courts of Appeals**
The Courts of Appeals have developed a variety of internal reforms, rules and practices designed to help maximize uniformity in application of the law. This paper examines the effects of these institutions on legal consistency within the circuits.
Joshua A. Strayhorn, Emory University
jstrayh@emory.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Donald R. Songer, University of South Carolina**
dsonger@usc.edu
Disc., Stephen L. Wasby, SUNY, Albany
wasby@albany.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 45-102 Evaluating How Judges Are Selected in the U.S.: Exploring the Normative Implications of Empirical Research**
Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
Discussion and evaluation of how judges are selected at the state and federal levels by scholars actively engaged in empirical research on judicial selection. Exploration of institutional reforms for selecting judges of the highest quality.
Chair Brandon L. Bartels, George Washington University
bartels@gwu.edu
Panelist Jeffrey A. Segal, Stony Brook University
jeffrey.segal@stonybrook.edu
Nancy Scherer, Wellesley College
mscherer@wellesley.edu
Melinda Gann Hall, Michigan State University
hallme@msu.edu
Chris W. Bonneau, University of Pittsburgh
cwboneau@gmail.com
Lawrence Baum, Ohio State University
baum.46@osu.edu
- 46-4 Elections in the U.S. States**
Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Timothy David Werner, Grinnell College
werner@grinnell.edu
3:45 The State Of Small Donors: How Small Donors Changes the Race and Ethnic Composition of the Donor Pool
Much was made of the 2008 Small Donors as a new wave of political participation. This paper explores the composition of the donor pool to see if small donors are demographically different from large donors.
Perry William Brasse, American University
pbrasse@alumia.albany.edu
- 2:57 Have Gubernatorial Elections Become More Autonomous?: Party Competition Between the State and the National Levels**
By examining open-ticket voting between the President and governor, this project discusses the patterns for party competition between the state and national levels and the possibility for multiple-partisanship
Yujin Kim, University of Wisconsin, Madison
yujkim@wisc.edu
- 3:09 The Battle for Survival: Legislative Campaign Committees in the 2010 New York State Senate Elections**
The paper will examine the place of the Republican and Democratic State Senate campaign committees play in the 2010 election. The committees' roles in candidate recruitment, fundraising and political strategy will be examined.
Jeffrey Kraus, Wagner College
jkraus@wagner.edu
- 3:21 Gubernatorial Control Effects in State Legislative Elections: A Re-examination**
I analyzed state legislative elections in ten states, over two election cycles, using district-level data. Using this data, I identify the strength of gubernatorial control while controlling for a multitude of other variables.
Matthew Joseph Leag, University of Houston
mjleag@uh.edu
- 3:33 Primary Election Selection: A Study of the States**
This paper seeks to explain reasons for the selection of different types of primaries across the states.
Shauna Reilly, Northern Kentucky University
reillys3@nku.edu
Ryan York, Georgia State University
ryork1@student.gsu.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Joshua J. Dyck, SUNY, Buffalo**
jdyck@buffalo.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 47-12 Public Administration and Urban Governance**
Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Allen B. Berlehy, University of Northern Iowa
A.Berlehy@uni.edu
2:45 Impounded Justice: An Analysis of the Enforcement and Adjudication of Vehicle Impoundments in Chicago Between 2005 and 2009
In this paper we argue that when vehicle impoundment schemes lack certain judicial and procedural safeguards, they impose unique costs on the community, undermine civil liberties, and create distorted incentives for law enforcement agencies
Christopher Dinkels Berk, University of Chicago
chrisberk@uchicago.edu
Gabriel Muchless, University of Chicago
gmuchless@uchicago.edu
- 2:57 Local Governing Board Member Perceptions of Managerial Effectiveness: How We Perceive Ourselves Influences How We Perceive Others**
This paper explores how local governing board officials perceive their group behavior, and subsequently, how these perceptions influence their attitudes toward the effectiveness of their professional administrative staff.
Gerald T. Gabriel, Northern Illinois University
ggabrie@niu.edu
- 3:09 Examining Local Legislative Professionalism**
This paper extends research on legislative professionalism to local legislatures (e.g., city councils and school boards) by developing measures of local legislative professionalism and examining the variation in professionalism across localities.
Jason A. Grissom, University of Missouri
jgrissom1a@missouri.edu
James R. Harrington, University of Missouri
jrh155j@mail.mizzou.edu
- 3:21 An Examination of Professional Government in Wisconsin – C-1 vs. C-2 Forms**
This paper explores the reasons that council-manager government in Wisconsin is below the national average while professional government including city administrators is equal to the national level. The reasons for adoption of the forms are examined.
Karl Nollenberger, University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh
collengh@uwosh.edu
- 3:33 Elected vs. Appointed Policymakers: Evidence from City Treasurers**
This paper compares public officials who are selected and held accountable in very different ways - bureaucrats and politicians - for the case of city treasurers. The results demonstrate that appointive treasurers reduce a city's cost of borrowing.
Alex Whalley, University of California, Merced
awhalley@ucmerced.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Jared R. Carr, Wayne State University**
jcarr@wayne.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**

- 48-5 **Education Policy: Tuition Fees and Vocational Training in Comparative Perspective**
 Room Modinah Park on the 6th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, M. Ramesh, National University of Singapore
 mramesh@nus.edu.sg
 2:45 **Needs of Vocational Education and Training and Higher Education**
 The paper analyzes the nexus between vocational training and higher education in Switzerland, Austria and Germany. The different ways of permeability are discussed and the influence of employers' interest in reforms in these three countries.
 Rita Nikolai, Social Science Research Center
 Rita.Nikolai@wzb.eu
- 3:05 **Cost-Sharing in Post-Secondary Education: Considering Provincial-Level Government Tuition Policy Formation in Canada**
 This paper investigates the policy formation process of tuition policy change in higher education in Canada. We examined the important field of analysis. We draw upon alternative theories of policy formation for comparative analysis.
 Deanna Leslie Barr, Simon Fraser University
 dbarr@sfu.ca
 Iris Geva-May, Simon Fraser University
 igevamay@sfu.ca
- 3:25 **Learning and Public Policy: School Feeding and the World Food Programme**
 This case study uses the World Food Programme's school feeding projects to examine the micro-foundations of the learning mechanism of policy diffusion.
 Jennifer Celis Rutledge, John Jay College, CUNY
 jrutledge@jjc.cuny.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Rita Nikolai, Social Science Research Center**
 Rita.Nikolai@wzb.eu
Disc., M. Ramesh, National University of Singapore
 mramesh@nus.edu.sg
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 49-11 **Achievement in Education**
 Room Indians on the 3rd floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Jan Karl Dalgner, Wayne State College
 jkdalg1@wsc.edu
 2:45 **Equity for Whom? Examining the Effects of Social Construction and Policy Design**
 This work examines how group social constructs affect policy design and outcomes for two distinct classes of students: the academically gifted and intellectually challenged.
 K. Jarée Capers, Texas A&M University
 jarce@politics.tamu.edu
- 2:57 **Phoning the Seeds for NCLB: Goals 2000 and Latino Influence and Reaction**
 The Department of Education under Clinton proposed Goals 2000, aimed at improving standards and accountability. How Latino members of Congress and interest groups informed and reacted to these policies in the 1990s will be the subject of this paper
 Jason P. Casellas, University of Texas, Austin
 casellas@mail.utexas.edu
- 3:09 **Early Childhood: Assessing Needs, Integrating Efforts and Targeting Programs for Children at Risk**
 A local geographic analysis of early childhood risk factors informs the limits of federal and state level impact studies that quantify pre-kindergarten program performance based on standardized tests in elementary school.
 Eileen Robertson-Rehberg, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
 eileen.rehberg@waldclearing.com
- 3:21 **Winning the Race: Characteristics of Race to the Top Applicants**
 Investigates significant differences in state characteristics among finalists, non-finalists, and winners in Race to the Top's first two rounds using logistic regression.
 Jeffrey W. Snyder, Michigan State University
 snyde117@msu.edu
 Justin L. Judy, Michigan State University
 Judyjust@msu.edu
 Andrew M. Saultz, Michigan State University
 saultzam@msu.edu
- 3:33 **The Achievement Gap: Examining Current Policy Pitches and the Possible Contribution of Environmental Toxins to Persistent Underachievement in Colorado Schools**
 We examine the assessment tools in Colorado schools to identify mechanisms that diminish the achievement gap. In addition, we suggest that environmental toxins create hindrances to learning, weakening the corrective power of assessment policy.
 Dawn Rose Wilson, University of Colorado
 dawn.r.wilson@colorado.edu
 Ann Leon, University of Colorado
 annleon@colorado.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Susan Moffitt, Brown University**
 Susan_Moffitt@brown.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-4 **External Actors and Exogenous Forces in State Policy Adoption**
 Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Graeme Thomson Boushey, University of Michigan
 gboushey@umich.edu
 2:45 **Latent Policymaking and the Anticipation of Policy Change: An Examination of the Repeal of Prohibition and Abortion**
 We examine prohibition and abortion as examples of states engaging in "latent" policymaking in anticipation of policy change. Latent policies are laws that are enacted but not enforceable because they conflict with existing national policy.
 Jolly Ann Emrey, University of Wisconsin, Whitewater
 emreyj@uwv.edu
 Susan M. Johnson, University of Wisconsin, Whitewater
 johnsonsm@uwv.edu
- 2:57 **Federal Level Political Factors in Relation to State-Federal Policy Diffusion**
 An analysis of how federal level political environments affect state to federal policy diffusion.
 Justin E. Hegy, Western Illinois University
 justinhegy@gmail.com
- 3:09 **State Financed Policy Entrepreneurs and Organizational Diffusion: The Influence of the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws on State Policy Adoption**
 The NCCUSL is a state financed organization that drafts state policies. This project seeks to determine if it represents a new form of policy diffusion and identify its role as entrepreneur.
 Kellee J. Kirkpatrick, University of Kansas
 kellee@ku.edu
 James W. Stoutenborough, Texas A&M University
 jstoutenborough@bushschool.tamu.edu
- 3:21 **The Political Economy of Casino Gambling in Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, and Kansas**
 Comparative analysis of the politics surrounding efforts to legalize casino gambling in four border states, developments following the policy decision, and policy conflicts after the establishment of the casino industry.
 Claude Louchomme, University of Nebraska, Kearney
 klouchomme@unk.edu

- 3:33 **How the Hell Can They Get That? Anti-Immigrant Hatred and American Identity in Neighborhood Associations**
This study examines anti-immigrant hostility and the social construction of "American" identities in local neighborhood associations.
Michelle Lyone Wade, *Northwest Missouri State University*
mwade@nwmisssou.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Graeme Thomson Boushey, *University of Michigan*
gboushey@umich.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-3 **International Cooperation on Climate Change**
Logan on the 3rd floor, Thur on 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Konuralp Pamukcu, University of Phoenix, Chicago**
konuralp@email.phoenix.edu
- 2:45 **Has Annex 1 Led to a Split Between Developed and Developing Countries? Determinants of Coalitions in the UN Climate Negotiations**
This paper analyzes how much the categorization of parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change as Annex 1 and non-Annex 1 countries has contributed to the split between developed and developing countries in the climate negotiations.
Paula Castro, *University of Zurich*
castro@ipw.uzh.ch
Katharina Michaelowa, *University of Zurich*
kath.michaelowa@ipw.uzh.ch
Lyndi Hoernlein, *University of Bayreuth*
lenahoernlein@gmail.com
- 3:00 **A Foreign Policy of Middle Power in the Climate Change Regime: A Case Study of the Republic of Korea**
This paper examines three models in a theory of middle power foreign policy by explaining South Korea's climate change policies, and it concludes that a behavioral model is better fitted than a hierarchical or a functional model in this case.
Chun Song Lee, *Center for International Studies*
truthhunter@umich.edu
- 3:15 **Global Climate Politics in the Shadow of Free-Riding**
In this paper, we argue that including dominant countries in the climate regime is more important than widening the number of member states.
Jeeyoung Park, *SUNY, Stony Brook*
Jeeyoung.Park@stonybrook.edu
Kiyoung Chung, *University of Maryland, College Park*
kryoung.chung@gmail.com
- 3:30 **The Role of the Privileged Group in International Environmental Regimes**
I formulate the relationship between the existence of the privileged group and the compliance of international environmental regimes.
Ahnra Wu, *Seoul National University*
ahnra.wu@gmail.com
- 3:45 **Disc.** Edward W. Schwartz, *Florida Atlantic University*
schwartz@fau.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 53-4 **Innovative Methodological Approaches in Public Administration**
Salon 1D on the 3rd floor, Thur on 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Richard Felock, Florida State University**
rfelock@fsu.edu
- 2:45 **Take the Money and Run: Expanding Fiscal Federalism and Goal Congruence Theories to Single-Shot Games Utilizing a Bayesian Multivariate Frailty Model**
We investigate cities' delay in expending stimulus grant funds to account for goal congruence and compliance in single-shot games. A Bayesian multivariate frailty model is used to decompose time and state specific effects.
- Anthony Kestelert, *Florida State University*
yjk051@fsu.edu
Kaifeng Yang, *Florida State University*
kyung@mailer.fsu.edu
Jessica Terman, *Florida State University*
jmt07e@fsu.edu
Richard Felock, *Florida State University*
rfelock@fsu.edu
- 3:00 **Panel Data and Public Finance: Differentiating the Short and Long Terms**
We consider techniques for distinguishing short-run and long-run influences of covariates on outcomes in panel data settings.
Robert W. Walker, *Washington University, St. Louis*
rwalker@wustl.edu
Skip Krueger, *University of North Texas*
skip.krueger@unt.edu
- 3:15 **Network Structures of Interlocal Finance in Georgia: A Spatially Integrated Approach**
This study employs spatial data analysis to examine patterns and trends of interlocal finance (both revenue and expenditure) in Georgia during 1984-2003.
Jerry Zhao, *University of Minnesota*
zjzhao@umn.edu
- 3:30 **Separating Rare Events from Censored Data in Missing Public Health Administration Data: A Sequential MCMC Approach**
A sequential MCMC approach is employed to deal with missing data problems in public health data. Building on multiple imputation methods, this approach incorporates a likelihood threshold to separate informative censoring from real rare-event values.
Ling Zhan, *Texas A&M University*
lingzhan@polisci.tamu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Evan J. Ringquist, *Indiana University*
eringquist@indiana.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 54-3 **Territorial Politics and State Building in the U.S.**
Monroe 3 on the 7th floor, Thur on 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, David F. Ericson, George Mason University
dfericso2@gmu.edu**
- 2:45 **The Several Foundings of the Western States**
Analysis of the founding constitutional conventions, and the constitutions they wrote, of the eleven western states.
Amy Bridges, *University of California, San Diego*
abridges@ucsd.edu
- 2:57 **Lines in the Sand: The politics of territorial division in the American west, 1789-1912**
This paper examines the politics of dividing territories in the American west, at both the local and congressional level.
Matthew Glassman, *Congressional Research Service*
mglassman@crs.loc.gov
- 3:09 **Sovereignty, Settler-Colonial State Building, and the Creation of the U.S. Department of the Interior**
Argues that U.S. state building be studied within the context of settler colonialism, particularly struggles over sovereignty and territory. Treats the creation of the U.S. Department of the Interior as an important part of U.S. state building.
Christina L. McElberry, *New School for Social Research*
c_mcelberry@yahoo.com
- 3:21 **Exploring the Role of Sectionalism in Congressional Roll Call Votes, 1885-1907**
This paper examines through statistical regression the role that sectionalism played in impacting congressional vote choice during selected sessions of the House of Representatives in the period 1885-1907.
Amy Semet, *Columbia University*
as22110@columbia.edu

3:33 **The European East and the American West: The Process and Outcomes of Enlarging Political Institutions**
A comparison of EU enlargement and Westward American expansion in terms of institutional actors, roles, processes, and outcomes. Analysis of a completed historical process is brought to bear on a similar contemporary process
Tristan Vellinga, *University of Florida*
tristanvellinga@gmail.com

3:45 **Disc., David F. Ericson, George Mason University**
dericso2@gmu.edu
Disc., Paul Frymer, Princeton University
pfrymer@princeton.edu

4:05 Audience Discussion

56-57 **Politics and Religion: The Case of Turkey**
Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Muqtedar Khan, University of Delaware**
mkhan@udel.edu

2:45 **Multiculturalism Gone Bad? Nationalism And Identity In Religious Studies Textbooks For German Turkish Children**
This paper explores how the textbooks used in the voluntary religious studies courses for Turkish children in Germany frame the German Turkish experience in terms of fear and vulnerability and fear, and how these books promote Turkish nationalism.
R. Ertaç Altınay, *New York University*
rea270@nyu.edu

3:00 **Transformation of the Islamic Party In Turkey: From Refah Party to AKP: Revisiting the Role of Repression**
This paper analyzes the role of repression exerted by the state actors in the transformation of the Islamic political party in Turkey in the late 1990s and early 2000s.
McNell Ersoy, *George Mason University*
ersoymcne@gmail.com

3:15 **Popular Legitimacy of Turkey's Democratic Regime Institutions and the Impact of Islamist Voting Support and Religiosity on This**
This paper will examine the popular legitimacy that the main regime institutions in Turkey's democracy and the electoral process receive. Specifically, it is interested in monitoring the impact of Islamist voting support and religiosity on this.
Mark Axel Herrang, *University of Essex*
mh278@essex.ac.uk

3:30 **Being European? Being Muslim: International Relations and Contending Forms of Muslim Presence in the West**
This paper addresses the role of religion in shaping international politics with special emphasis on the relationship between the Islamic nation of "umma" (community) and secular politics in Europe
Ahmet Selim Tekkulluğu, *Boston University*
atekelli@bu.edu

3:45 **Disc., Richard Patrick Hestly, California State University, Long Beach**
rhestly@csulb.edu

4:05 Audience Discussion

59-200 **American Politics Posters I**
Room Grand on the 4th floor, Thur at 2:40 pm

Post. 1 **District Characteristics and the Decision to Pursue Legislative Pork**
Using an original data set on earmark requests by members of the House, I examine the consequences of district geography and population patterns on the decision of House members to seek localized distributive benefits.
Richard James Anderson, *University of Michigan*
richjand@umich.edu

Post. 2 **Get Pork?: The Impact of Bringing Home the Bacon on Incumbent Electoral Success**
This research examines the impact of pork barrel projects on incumbents' electoral success in the 2010 congressional elections. The work is unique due to its use of new pork data made available by the House Leadership and Open Government Act of 2007.
Philip Ardota, *Appalachian State University*
ardoinpj@appstate.edu

Joseph Petrack, *Appalachian State University*
petrackjb@emailappstate.edu
Travis Hagner, *Appalachian State University*
hagner@emailappstate.edu

Post. 3 **We Can Vote, But Why? Should We Vote?: An Assessment of Student Voting in College Towns**
This research examines attitudes & assessment of Party leaders in 121 college towns regarding the impact of student voting. Additionally, we examine precinct results in each of the towns to estimate the impact of student voting on local elections.
Mike Best, *Appalachian State University*
bestm3@appstate.edu
Charles Scott Bell, *Appalachian State University*
hellesc@appstate.edu
Michael Matthew Ragoczin, *Appalachian State University*
ragoczinom3@appstate.edu

Post. 4 **Successful Campaign Practices in Urban Elections**
This paper is a qualitative study of campaign practices in four highly competitive city council primary races in the same city. The results will reflect the changing nature of campaigning at the local level.
Stephen C. Brooks, *University of Akron*
shrooks@uakron.edu

Post. 5 **Accessibility of General Beliefs and Change in Attitude Consistency**
This study explores the relationship between the accessibility of general beliefs by the media and the changes in attitude consistency of the mass public using survey data and content analysis of the news articles.
Seoyoon Choi, *Northwestern University*
seoyoonc@gmail.com

Post. 6 **Politics of Disasters: Modeling Presidential Response Time to Natural Disasters in the United States, 1960-2005**
Cox regression models reveal partisanship of the president, his public approval, term in office, governor's partisanship, and organizational independence of the implementing agency affect president's considerations in response to disasters quicker.
Zahid Azeem Choudhury, *University of Iowa*
mzahidul-choudhury@uiowa.edu

Post. 7 **Media Exposure and Political Knowledge in the 2008 Presidential Election Campaign: Evidence From the NES Panel**
This study employs the 2008 NES panel dataset in order to explore the relationship between various types of mediated information and the acquisition of political knowledge during the 2008 presidential election campaign.
Jeff R. Dewitt, *Kennesaw State University*
jrdewitt@kennesaw.edu

Post. 8 **Seniority and Support for Government Spending in the U.S. House: Is There a Culture of Spending?**
In this paper we develop a model to test Payne's (1991) "culture of spending" thesis relating to the effects of seniority on fiscal liberalism as congressional roll-call behavior, using data for the 97th to 110th Congresses.
James C. Garand, *Louisiana State University*
jgarand@lsu.edu
Renée Renegar, *Louisiana State University*
rreneg1@lsu.edu
Rebekah M. Myers, *Louisiana State University*
myers6@lsu.edu

- Post. 9 Conversation Circles in the Context of Campaigns and Elections**
Explores conversation circles in campaigns and elections and how they help develop message and GOTV.
Gail E. Carbrandt, *University of Akron*
gpc@uakron.edu
- Post. 10 Political Influence on U.S. Newspapers**
We use new historical data on U.S. newspapers, 1869-2004, coupled with two natural experiment research designs, to test whether elected officials influence the ownership of the press.
Matthew Gentzkow, *University of Chicago*
gentzkow@uchicago.edu
Nathan Petek, *University of Chicago*
nathan.petek@chicago.boothe.edu
Jesse M. Shapiro, *University of Chicago*
jesse.shapiro@chicago.boothe.edu
Michael Sinkovics, *Harvard University*
msinkovs@fas.harvard.edu
- Post. 11 Affective Responses to Implicit Signals of Contempt in Political Debates**
Using the Facial Action Coding System (FACS) we discern whether politicians signal contempt for their opponents. We then employ a laboratory experiment to assess how potential voters respond to more or less contemptuous politicians.
Rebecca J. Hannagan, *Northern Illinois University*
rhannaga@niu.edu
Megan Geyer, *Northern Illinois University*
megan.geyer@yahoo.com
- Post. 12 A Parsimonious, But Contextualized Model of Certiorari Votes**
This paper/poster will fashion a middle ground between existing certiorari vote models that range in parsimony from views associated with simple and familiar terms to the complex and highly-contextualized process model developed by H.W. Perry.
Bridget Hester, *University of Mississippi*
bhester@olemiss.edu
Charles E. Smith, *University of Mississippi*
psmith@cypruspress.olemiss.edu
- Post. 13 Perceptions of Corruption and Political Participation: Probing the Logic of the Supreme Court to the Test**
I argue that, despite decades of Supreme Court arguments to the contrary, perceptions of corruption in politics are at worst a weak, inconsistent influence on political participation, calling into question widespread fears of its impact on democracy.
John Matthew Idarola, *University of Texas, Austin*
john.idarola@gmail.com
- Post. 14 Neighborhoods with Streets Named for Martin Luther King**
We find that streets named after MLK are concentrated in southern, formerly confederate, states. Not all neighborhoods containing a street named after MLK are predominantly black, although the majority are.
Eric Karzenberger, *University of North Texas*
erickarzenberger@hotmail.com
- Post. 15 Does Identity Matter?**
This paper is an attempt to highlight some of the key shortcomings of the theory of identity in economics, in particular the depiction of identity as an exogenous characteristic of individuals and the omission of the role of social interactions.
Zoska Kozan, *University of Cambridge, King's College*
zk219@cam.ac.uk
- Post. 16 Unequal Opportunities?: Politics on Television in Light of Citizens United and the Political Advertising Provisions of the Communications Act**
The political broadcasting rules of the Communications Act of 1934, along with media developments and reduced calls for free airtime legislation, will likely heighten the skewing effect on political debate feared by critics of Citizens United.
LIM Levi, *University of Miami*
llevi@law.miami.edu
- Post. 17 Teachers, Traders, Soldiers, Vote: Expatriate Mobilization in U.S. Presidential Elections**
A single-case study of a crucial industrialized democracy with increasingly open voting institutions for 6-7 million overseas voters.
Matthew Alexander Lieber, *Beloit College*
lieberm@beloit.edu
- Post. 18 At the Appointed Hour: Representation and Senate Appointments**
This poster explores the roll call behavior of appointed senators to assess the representation provided by a unique unelected group.
Timothy R. Lynch, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
trlynch@uwm.edu
- Post. 19 Uncertain Terms: Appellate Court Responsiveness and Realignments on the Supreme Court**
If uncertainty exists regarding the future ideological composition of the Supreme Court, then appellate panels' reliance on current SC preferences in search and secure decisions will become more randomized.
Alyx Mark, *George Washington University*
alyxmark@gmail.com
- Post. 20 Accidental Universalism**
I construct a model of legislative bargaining where spending must be allocated by formula, and derive implications for the size and structure of winning coalitions. I structurally estimate the model's parameters in a sample of federal spending bills.
Gregory Martin, *Stanford University*
gmartin@stanford.edu
- Post. 21 Motivated Misperception? Party, Education, Fox News and Belief in "Death Panels"**
Analysis of Pew survey data found motivated misperception about "death panels" among Republicans. Fox News use was related to misperception across the political spectrum and among the more educated, who otherwise were less likely to believe.
Patrick C. Meirick, *University of Oklahoma*
meirick@ou.edu
- Post. 22 Tweeting in Defeat: How Candidates Concede in 140 Characters**
This paper will examine how defeated politicians in Congressional, Senatorial, and gubernatorial races in the 2010 cycle conceded through the web service Twitter.
Michael Mirer, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
mirer@wisc.edu
Dharan V. Shah, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
dshah@wisc.edu
Leticia Bode, *University of Wisconsin*
lbode@wisc.edu
- Post. 23 All the Republican Ladies, Now Put Your Hands Up: The Effect of Gender on Ideology in Roll-Call Votes**
Republican women in the House had long been more moderate than their male colleagues. Over time, the distinction has gotten increasingly narrower. This paper examines these trends – both the magnitude of the distinction and the explanation for it.
Megan Michelle Moeller, *University of Texas, Austin*
earleimgname@gmail.com
- Post. 24 Presidential Signing Statements: An Analysis of Institutional and Individual Patterns Since 1963**
This paper explores how institutional and personality factors could have influenced the use and content of presidential signing statements since 1963.
Joyce L. Nelson, *University of Nebraska*
jnelson@hawaii.com
- Post. 25 Speaker Pelosi: An Examination of Legislative Success**
This paper examines how Speaker Nancy Pelosi attempts to push her own agenda items (particularly child welfare legislation) through Congress in comparison to the success of such legislation under previous Speakers.
Caitlin O'Grady, *University of Oklahoma*
cogrady@gmail.com

Post 26 Shining the Agenda: How Anxiety-Producing Rhetoric by the President Impacts Issue Attention

Past work has examined whether the president has the capacity to direct public attention to issues by increasingly mentioning them in statements. Talking about an issue repeatedly is not necessarily enough, leaders must use specific rhetorical forms.

Christopher Olds, *Texas A&M University*
colds1@publrics.tamu.edu

Post 27 From the Closet to the Ballot: Measuring the Viability of Gay and Lesbian Office Seekers and the Impact of Sexuality and Party

I explore how gender stereotypes affect the perceptions of homosexual political candidates. Previous research has sought to answer this question, but failed to examine the effects of party affiliation on voter attitudes.

Johnathan Caleb Peterson, *Northwestern Illinois University*
jpetersun10@niu.edu

Post 28 Paradoxes of the Roll Rate: When Party Power Isn't

This paper shows how the validity of the roll rate as an estimator of majority party agenda control depends upon the structure of legislator preferences. Majority party agenda control will be systematically over-estimated in certain contexts.

Jesse T. Richman, *Old Dominion University*
jrichman@odu.edu

Post 29 Faith, Federalism, and the Constitution: Violating Liberty in Church/State Doctrine

This poster explores reform of the establishment clause to allow greater latitude among states to shape religion policy in ways more closely attuned to their respective state constitutions and the popular character of their religious identities.

David Kurt Ryden, *Hope College*
ryden@hope.edu

Michael Dirksen, *Hope College*
michael.dirksen@hope.edu

Post 30 Campaign Funding Disclosures and Mass Political Attitudes

Using doctored ads, I test whether funding disclosures in political advertisements affect political efficacy and trust in government. The experiment has both immediate policy implications and broader implications about campaign finance and democracy.

Mike Nanos, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
mnanos@mit.edu

Post 31 The Effect of Institutions on Social Policy Feedback Processes

This project is an examination into how institutions affect the way citizens react to government policies. Different institutional organs of social policy are expected to matter in how public opinion responds to policies that are passed.

Jeremy Alfred Berna, *Florida State University*
jrs07z@fsu.edu

Post 32 Agenda-Setting and Framing in the Massachusetts Senatorial Special Election

An examination of agenda-setting and framing influences in the Mass Special Senatorial Election of 2010

James Shanahan, *Boston University*
shanahan@bu.edu

Tommy Vigil, *Boston University*
tvigil@bu.edu

Post 33 About Face: Toward a Theory of Civil-Military Relations Using the Principal Agency Theory

The resignation of General Stanley McChrystal as Commanding General of US Forces Afghanistan reopens questions about civil-military relations. Principal agency theory may expedite an understanding of civil-military relations today.

Randall D. Swain, *Eastern Kentucky University*
randall.swain@eku.edu

Post 34 The Relative Importance of Individual-Level Factors that Influence Opinion of the Presidency: Obama vs. Bush: A Q-Methodological Study

Little attention has been paid to the question of the relative importance of the individual level factors that influence public

opinion of the presidency. We will determine such as regards Obama and Bush using Q-methodology as our investigatory tool.

Sharon A. Sykora, *Slippery Rock University*
Sharon.Sykora@sr.edu

Rachel Matthews, *Slippery Rock University*
sharon.sykora@sr.edu

Seib Thomas Sykora-Hodak, *Slippery Rock University*
sharon.sykora@sr.edu

Post 35 Prior Experience Predicts Presidential Performance

We compare presidents' prior work experiences in their performance as president. We find that certain experiences, such as serving as governor, positively predict presidential performance. These results contrast with those from prior studies.

Joseph K. Uscinski, *University of Miami*
uscinski@miami.edu

Arthur Simon, *University of Miami*
asimon@mail.miami.edu

Post 36 Presidential Leadership in U.S. Financial Institutions Regulation and Reform

This is an overview of presidential leadership in financial institutions reform covering the period, 1930-2010.

John Woolley, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
woolley@polsci.ucsb.edu

Post 37 Does Size Matter? Studying Representative Committees

The membership of legislative committees is a key element in the direction that the process takes. We test the self-selection hypothesis of committee selection and developed a set of expectations regarding the ideological makeup of committees.

Mark Wrighton, *University of Southern Mississippi*
marqusw@yahoo.com

Geoffrey D. Peterson, *University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire*
petengdf@uwec.edu

Post 38 The Effects of Calling for Resumes to Fill Aldermanic Positions Within the City Council of Chicago

In 2010, the Chicago city council found itself with two aldermanic vacancies (Ward 1 and Ward 29). Mayor Richard M. Daley decided that he would be taking resumes from interested parties.

Melissa Marie Zauda, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
mizzauda@gmail.com

Disc., Scott R. Melnick, *Bucknell University*
smelnick@bucknell.edu

Disc., Jesse T. Richman, *Old Dominion University*
jrichman@odu.edu

Disc., Mark Wrighton, *University of Southern Mississippi*
marqusw@yahoo.com

6B-1 Political Discourse and Social Policy

Room
2:40
Chair, Deva R. Woody, *New School for Social Research*
woodyd@newschool.edu

2:45 With Friends Like These... Proposing a Test for Policy-Based Evidence Making: A Case Study of the Don't Ask Don't Tell Review

A content analysis of the memorandum to review the Don't Ask Don't Tell policy will link objects and descriptors in the memo to actions by opponents of LGBT rights and then use findings to propose a test in identify policy based evidence making.

Stephen Edward McMillin, *University of Chicago*
smcmill@uchicago.edu

3:00 Mass Media and the Origins of Our War-Like Political Discourse

This paper draws on my Nov. 2010 book ("What Really Happened to the 1960s?") to examine the way in which two structural features of mass media have contributed to a war-like political discourse in contemporary American society.

Edward P. Morgan, *Lehigh University*
epm2@lehigh.edu

- 3:15 **Howard Zinn: Legacy for a New Generation in Political Science**
 This study explores Howard Zinn's contribution to American political discourse. The paper analyzes Zinn's approaches to activism, academia, and as a popular historian to assess his influence today and beyond.
 Adam M. Silver, *Boston University*
 adammsilver@gmail.com
 Stephen Bird, *Clarkson University*
 sbird@clarkson.edu
 Joshua Yesnowitz, *Boston University*
 jyesnow@bu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Gregory Koger, University of Miami**
 gregory.koger@gmail.com
 Disc., Nils Ringe, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 ringe@wisc.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 3:30 **Ensuring Viability and Legitimacy for the Social Sciences: Arguments for a National Social Science Foundation Proposal in the 90th Congress**
 This paper draws on the hearings of Senator Fred Harris's (D-OK) subcommittee, from 1967, to recover what I believe are the most important arguments made both by social scientists and public officials in favor of establishing and NSSF
 Josh Varnell, *Western Michigan University*
 josh.varnell@wmich.edu
 Emily J. Hauptmann, *Western Michigan University*
 emily.hauptmann@wmich.edu
- 3:45 **Discussion, TBD**
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 7:1-3 **Interest Group Networks**
 Room LaSalle 1 on the 1st floor, Thur at 2:40 pm
 Chair, Nils Ringe, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 ringe@wisc.edu
- 2:40 **Chair, Nils Ringe, University of Wisconsin, Madison**
 ringe@wisc.edu
- 2:45 **Organized Opposition: The Anti-Federalist Political Network**
 We examine the spread of Anti-Federalist newspaper essays to assess whether the opponents of ratification were in fact less organized than the Federalists.
 Michael J. Faber, *Duke University*
 m.faber@duke.edu
 Robt A. Ragan, *Duke University*
 rob.ragan@gmail.com
- 2:57 **The Formation of Collaboration Networks in Different Policy Sectors**
 The paper analyzes to what degree rationalist, conceptualist, institutionalist, power-dependence, or sectoralist arguments help explain the formation of collaboration networks among collective political actors.
 Manuel Fischer, *University of Geneva*
 manuel.fischer@unige.ch
- 3:09 **Network Methods for the Discovery of Advocacy Coalitions**
 We propose and test several network-theoretic methods that may be used to empirically identify advocacy coalitions within policy networks.
 Adam Douglas Henry, *West Virginia University*
 adam.henry@mail.wvu.edu
 Karla Ingold, *Swiss Federal Institute of Technology*
 kingold@ethz.ch
- 3:21 **Strategic Spending in Voting Competitions With Social Networks**
 I present a model where persuaders target voters who influence each other on a social network. In the unique strategic spending equilibrium, persuaders target voters with a high eigenvector influence. I then test the model with data on lobbying.
 Carlos R. Lever, *Boston University*
 carloslever@gmail.com
- 3:33 **Behavioral Implications of Group Interlock Among Interest Groups in Manufacturing-Related Issues**
 I will use network analysis to investigate the behavioral implications of interorganizational linkages among interest groups, specifically the relationship between an interest group's network centrality and its coalition activities.

Thursday, March 31 at 4:35 pm

1-102 Front Papers to Articles: How to Publish in Political Science Journals

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Editors from American Journal of Political Science, Journal of Politics and Policy will discuss (1) how their journals solicit and review manuscripts and (2) how authors can increase their chances for acceptance

Chair Cyrus Ernesto Zirakzadeh, *University of Connecticut*
 Cyrus.Zirakzadeh@uconn.edu

Panelist William Mishler, *University of Arizona*
 mishler@email.arizona.edu
 Jan Leighley, *University of Arizona*
 leighley@american.edu
 Rick K. Wilson, *Rice University*
 rkwi@rice.edu

2-4 Welfare States: Politics and Preferences

Room Sakon 10 on the 1st floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair Brian Burgooon, *University of Amsterdam*
 B.M.Burgooon@uva.nl

4:40 Different Risks – Different Politics: How and When Class Politics Still Matter

Class politics is believed to be important for the old politics of welfare expansion and less so for the new politics of retrenchment. We suggest that the degree of class politics depends on the social distribution of risks different programs address.

Mikael Haggren Kilgaard, *University of Southern Denmark*
 mik@sun.sdu.dk

Christian Elmehed-Prætorius, *University of Southern Denmark*
 ceh@sun.sdu.dk

5:00 Party Competition, Electoral Support, and Welfare Policies

Political scientists have overlooked the dynamic distributive nature of welfare policies. How do parties decide policy decisions that pit supporters against each other? And when do groups change their support and back a different party?

Christian S. Lipsmeyer, *Texas A&M University*
 lipsmeyer@tamu.edu

5:20 Religion and Demand for Social Protection: An Empirical Assessment of the Substitution Hypothesis

We use data from the latest round of the European Social Survey to analyze the relationships between religious beliefs, perceived and realized risk of income loss, and demand for social insurance and income redistribution.

Maria Elena Sandovic, *Lamar University*
 maria.sandovic@lamar.edu

Heanling Finaeraa, *NOVA*
 hfu@nova.no

Ole Lethaug, *Norwegian University of Science and Technology*
 Ole.Lethaug@svt.ntnu.no

Disc., Gregory Buhl, *Western Illinois University*
 gb5@georgiown.edu

Disc., Brian Burgooon, *University of Amsterdam*
 B.M.Burgooon@uva.nl

6:00 Audience Discussion

2-12 Breaking Governments

Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair Despina Alexiades, *University of Pittsburgh*
 dalexia@pitt.edu

4:40 Policy Initiation and Inaction in Parliamentary Democracies

We present a new model of policy-making in parliamentary democracies and introduce a strategic policy initiation stage prior to the legislative process. We test the implications of our model using new data from a comparative legislative database.

Serra Bernabay, *University of Mannheim*
 bernabay@uni-mannheim.de

Thomas E. Koenig, *University of Mannheim*
 koenigt@uni-mannheim.de

Sven-Oliver Probst, *University of Mannheim*
 probstsch@uni-mannheim.de

4:33 Determinants of Opposition Fragmentation: Parliamentary Rules and Opposition Strategies

I argue and provide evidence that deliberative parliamentary rules (that allow opposition parties to have greater influence on the policy making process) lead to higher levels of opposition fragmentation.

Ko Maeda, *University of North Texas*
 ko@unt.edu

5:10 Government Stability and Alternation of Ruling Parties in Power

Ruling party stability and government stability coexist in some democracies. This study examines the relationship between ruling party stability and government stability using a sample of 50 parliamentary democracies between 1952 and 1999.

Misa Nishikawa, *Baylor State University*
 mnishikaw@bsu.edu

5:25 Party Leadership Replacement in Advanced Democracies

This paper constructs a decision-theoretic model to address why and when parties replace their leaders. With supporting data from the UK and Netherlands, it explains why parties sometimes keep an unpopular leader but sometimes replace a popular one.

Florence Sa, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 florence@ucla.edu

Disc., Despina Alexiades, *University of Pittsburgh*
 dalexia@pitt.edu

6:00 Audience Discussion

4-4 Parties and Elections II

Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair Rachel Beatty Riedl, *Northwestern University*
 r-riedl@northwestern.edu

4:40 Explaining the Persistence of Weakly Institutionalized Party Systems With Evidence from Peru

Why have some countries succeeded in institutionalizing stable party systems while others have failed? Evidence is provided from a cross-national comparison and then applied to the case of Peru.

Craig Allen Garcia, *University of Notre Dame*
 cgarc46@nd.edu

4:52 Partisan Ties in Local and Legislative Elections: A Comparison of Chile and Turkey

Using electoral data from two unitary developing countries, Chile and Turkey, the paper explores whether incumbency at the local or national government entails advantages for parties at different levels of elections.

Orge Kemahoglu, *Sabanci University*
 orgekemah@sabanciuniv.edu

5:04 The Effect of Partisanship on Citizen-Politician Linkages in sub-Saharan Africa

This paper seeks to explain the variation in citizen-politician linkages in sub-Saharan Africa by examining the impact of partisanship.

Nicholas Nathan Kerr, *Michigan State University*
 kerrnicb@msu.edu

Danielle Carter, *Michigan State University*
 cartel63@msu.edu

5:16 The Impact of Party Law on Political Competition and Representation in South Asia

I propose to examine the consequences of party law in Afghanistan, Pakistan and India on political competition and representation taking into account the extent of regime-development, state-formation and the timing of the law's enactment in each case.

Mariam Mufti, *Johns Hopkins University*
 mariam_mufti@hopkiel.com

- 5:28** **Criminality and the Incumbency (Dis)Advantage to Incumbent Candidates and Parties**
This paper uses a regression discontinuity design to assess the impact of opposition candidates with criminal records on the incumbency (dis)advantage for both candidates and parties.
Devesh K. Tiwari, University of California, San Diego
devesh.tiwari@gmail.com
- 5:40** **Disc., Ozge Kemsahoglu, Sabanci University**
ozgekemah@sabanciuniv.edu
Disc., Clemente Quiñones, Georgia Gwinnett College
cquiñone@ggc.edu
Disc., Rodrigo Velazquez, Universidad Iberoamericana
rvelazquez@gmail.com
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 4-20** **Politics in China**
Room LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, TBA**
4:40 **The Loose Duck Status in Non-Democracies: The Mandatory Retirement Age and Politicians' Policy Preferences in China**
The paper creates an original dataset and shows that provincial leaders in China may still focus on public goods provision—even when approaching the retirement age of 65—in order to secure better retirement jobs at the local level.
Derck Tai-wai Liu, University of California, San Diego
dercktaiw@gmail.com
- 5:00** **Discussion, TBA**
5:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-8** **Socioeconomic Development and Democratization**
Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Howard Bartlett Sanborn, Virginia Military Institute**
sanbornhb@vmi.edu
4:40 **Peasant Uprisings and Bourgeois Rule: Decolonization and Democratization in India**
Using the effects of uneven development and economic fragmentation on mass mobilizations in large agrarian countries, I explain why post-transition regimes do not optimally benefit the masses. I test the theory via a historical analysis of India.
Vishal Banerjee, Indiana University, Bloomington
vbanerje@indiana.edu
- 5:00** **Economic Development, Violent Leader Removal, and Democratization**
For 1875-2004, this paper shows that economic development both reduces violent leader removal and makes democracy more likely following such violence. This accounts for why development affects democratic stability more strongly than democratization.
Michael K. Miller, Princeton University
mktmwo@princeton.edu
- 5:20** **Learning Democracy: Education and the Fall of Authoritarian Regimes**
This paper examines how education impacts democratization. While a plethora of work alludes to this link, few have articulated a theory or provided empirical tests to explain the link between these factors. The authors seek to fill this gap.
Howard Bartlett Sanborn, Virginia Military Institute
sanbornhb@vmi.edu
Clayton L. Dyson, University of Kentucky
clayton.dyson@uky.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Xiaojun Yao, University of Hong Kong**
xyao@hku.hk
6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-12** **Constitution-making and Legislative Drafting**
Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Melissa Jeanette Scheler, Georgetown College**
Melissa_Scheler@GeorgetownCollege.edu
4:40 **Legislative Drafting Institutions: Effects on Interest Articulation and Legislative Responsiveness in Transitional Democracies**
Although legislative drafting rules have escaped the attention that other features of legislative organization have received, drafting processes produce the text—and often the substance—of legislation and affect representation and responsiveness.
Laura C. Lucas, Boston University
lucasc@bu.edu
- 5:00** **Transitions Toward Democracy: Strategic Constitutional Choices in an Autocracy: The 1988 Constitution in Chile**
Drawing on the example of the "Pinochet constitution" we look into the possibility for an autocrat to select a constitution that is accepted by a succeeding democratic constitutional assembly as a blueprint for negotiations on constitutional reform.
Kolja Michalski, Zeppelin University
Koljamichalski@web.de
Gerald Peck, National University of Ireland, Galway
gp@geraldpeck.de
- 5:20** **Constitutional Coups: Adding Executive Power in Latin American Democracies**
This paper measures the level of executive power in new Latin American constitutions. It explores the conditions under which presidents gain power in constitutional democracies.
Katja Neuman, University of California, Irvine
katjan@uci.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Robert Nakamura, SUNY Albany**
rn382@csc.albany.edu
Disc., Julie Ann VanDusky-Allett, Binghamton University
jvandej1@binghamton.edu
6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-5** **Political Violence**
Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Julie M. F. Wood, Arson University for Women**
juliewood@gmail.com
4:40 **Islam, Jihad, Deterrence and the New Terrorism**
WMD proliferation, as a facet of international relations, has taken on a subject of intense global concern. A number of groups pursue the technology for weapons of strategic purpose for the revolution they see as necessary to a new North/South world.
Robert Joseph Castellani, Las Riber Community College District
rjcast@arr.net
- 4:55** **Fears of Terrorism and Attitudes toward Immigration**
We argue that citizens who have significant fears about a terrorist attack in their country will have more negative attitudes about immigrants than those individuals who do not fear a terrorist attack as much.
Karl Kaltenthaier, University of Akron
kek@uakron.edu
William J. Miller, Southeast Missouri State University
wmiller@semo.edu
- 5:10** **Terrorism and Democracy in Latin America**
We examine fear of terrorism, broadly defined, in Latin America. Using data from the 2010 AmericasBarometer survey, we show that worry about terrorism varies by individual and country factors and negatively affects support for democracy.
Jennifer L. Merolla, Cleveland Graduate University
jennifer.merolla@cgu.edu
Elizabeth Zechmeister, Vanderbilt University
liz.zechmeister@vanderbilt.edu
Jorge Daniel Morales, Vanderbilt University
d.morales@vanderbilt.edu

- 5:25 **Why do People Participate in Contentious Politics? A Cognitive Approach**
 In this paper, we propose a theoretical model which integrates cognitions in the process of getting involved in protest politics. Thereby, we try to estimate its impact regarding well-known structural and objective factors (e.g. networks).
 Gian-Andrea Mosch, *University of Lausanne*
 gianandrea.mosch@unil.ch
 Florence Passy, *Institute of International and Political Science, Lausanne, Switzerland*
 f.passy@unil.ch
- 5:40 Disc., Steven P. Dobransky, *Kean State University*
 sdobran@kean.edu
 Disc., Carol Strong, *University of Arkansas at Monticello*
 strong_templ@uamcatedu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 8-4 **Explaining Vote Share in Europe**
 Room LaSalle J on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Nathalie Giger, *University of Mannheim*
 nathalie.giger@mzr.uni-mannheim.de
- 4:40 **Incumbency Disadvantage? Explaining Vote Share Loss by Governing Parties**
 This paper uses data from European national elections to study the causes of vote share loss by governing parties. Using party level data, we estimate the relative importance of economic factors, swing, clarity of responsibility, and years in office.
 Kathleen Bawn, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 kbawn@polisci.ucla.edu
 Zeynep Samer-Tapou, *Vanderbilt University*
 z.samer@vanderbilt.edu
- 4:55 **Economics, Institutions, or Attitudes? Explaining Nationalist Party Electoral Outcomes in Western Europe**
 This paper tests what factors are correlated with electoral success of nationalist parties in 15 West European states. Variables accounting for economic, institutional, and attitudinal contexts will be tested using OCA and multivariate regression.
 Sarah Pearl Clayman, *American University*
 sarahclayman@gmail.com
- 5:10 **Explaining the Decline in Economic Voting in Europe: Maastricht, the ECB and the Blame Shifting in National Elections**
 This paper explores the decline in economic voting in Europe since the 1990s, arguing that the move to a highly independent European Central Bank explains the differential magnitude of these changes and provides evidence of an electoral mechanism.
 Caspario Rose Grafstrom, *University of Michigan*
 cgrafstr@umich.edu
- 5:25 **Explaining the Dimensionality of Party Politics in Europe**
 We seek to explain why the number of dimensions varies across different European states, focusing on cleavages, new issues, demographic change, and the strategic behavior of mainstream parties as key explanatory hypotheses.
 Seth K. Jolly, *Syracuse University*
 skjolly@maxwell.syr.edu
 Ryan Bakker, *University of Georgia*
 rbakker@uga.edu
 Jonathan T. Polk, *University of Georgia*
 jmpolk@uga.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Nathalie Giger, *University of Mannheim*
 nathalie.giger@mzr.uni-mannheim.de
 Disc., Zachary David Greene, *University of Iowa*
 zacgreene@gmail.com
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 9-6 **Comparative Politics, Social Movements and Transitions to Democracy in Latin America**
 Room Mansfield on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Graciela Villarreal, *St. Norbert College*
 graciela.villarreal@snbc.edu
- 4:40 **Social Capital, Democracy, and Political Participation in the Mexican States**
 This article analyzes the relationship between social capital and democracy in the Mexican states and attempts to find the relationship between the different dimensions of social capital and the political participation in Mexico.
 Reynaldo Yuzuan Ortega Ortiz, *El Colegio de México*
 yortega@colmex.mx
 Fernando Soriano, *El Colegio de México*
 fsoriano@colmex.mx
- 4:55 **Determinants of Gender Differences in Political Participation: The Effects of Economic Inequality and NGOs on Turnout in Guatemala**
 I examine patterns of political participation and characteristics of civil society within Guatemala. I focus on differences in rates of turnout for men and women at the municipal level to specify the conditions under which NGOs support democracy.
 Lindsey Elias Richardson, *University of Colorado*
 lrichardson210@gmail.com
- 5:10 **The Contemporary Characteristics of Interest Group Systems in Latin America: A New Era or More of the Same?**
 A comparison of interest group systems in Latin America before and after the mid-1980s to assess if the contemporary era is a new one, particularly the role of groups in the consolidation of democracy, or simply a variation on earlier eras.
 Clive S. Thomas, *University of Alaska, Juneau*
 CSThomas@uas.alaska.edu
- 5:25 **Torture Survivors of Argentina's Military Regime: The Interplay of Democracy, Political Conditionality, and Political Will**
 The recent prosecution and conviction of various Argentine officials responsible for torturing civilians during the country's Military Era can be attributed to the democratic opening, the growth of civil society, and political conditionality.
 Graciela Villarreal, *St. Norbert College*
 graciela.villarreal@snbc.edu
 Patricia Issa, *Argentinian Human Rights Organization*
 p4001tea@yahoo.com.ar
- 5:40 Disc., John A. Booth, *University of North Texas*
 booth@unt.edu
 Disc., Patricia Rodriguez, *Ithaca College*
 prodmguez@ithaca.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 10-6 **Political Participation in Asia**
 Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Yukiko Amakawa, *Teikyo University*
 yukiko.amakawa@teikyo.ac.jp
- 4:40 **Changing Dynamics of Public Participation and Representation in Reform China: A Case Study on Public Hearings**
 State-society relations and political participation mechanisms at local level in reform China
 Ceren Ergenc, *Bozsun University*
 cergenc@bu.edu
- 4:52 **New Civil Society Activism and Democracy in Korea: Middle Class Framing and the After Neoliberal Reform**
 This paper traces the interaction between civil society and grassroots mobilization directed at government policies. I will show the effects of the fragmentation of the middle class on democracy and its implications for the future of democracy.
 Yoo Sun Jung, *Purdue University*
 jung10@purdue.edu

- 5:04 **Nationalism vs. Constitutional Patriotism: The Article Nine Association and the Japanese Constitution**
A study of the network of over 1,000 autonomous "Article Nine Associations" that formed in Japan between 2003 and 2010. Facing the threat of constitutional revision, these citizen groups organized to defend the "peace plank" of the Constitution.
Benjamin A. Peters, *Miyazaki International College*
bpeters@sky.miyazaki-mic.ac.jp
- 5:16 **The Changing Politics of Signifying Popular Protest in China: An Analysis of Protest Discourse in Fins**
Based on fieldwork interviews and both quantitative and qualitative analyses of news media reports this paper documents and seeks to explain significant shifts in the representation of popular protest in the Chinese public sphere over recent years.
H. Christoph Steinhardt, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
steinhardt@cuhk.edu.hk
- 5:28 **A New Generation?: Political Participation of Young Citizens in Hong Kong**
This paper examines the levels and patterns of political participation of youth citizens in Hong Kong who are the major forces of the recent local new social movements. It then explores their newnesses and examines the driving forces behind them.
Ying Xia, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
xiaying62@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Discussion, TBA**
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 13-3 **Decentralisation and Bureaucratic Behavior in Contemporary China**
Room LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Anna Nikolaeva-Clegg, Austin Peay State University**
gregga@apsu.edu
- 4:40 **Making Decentralization Authoritarianism Work: The Petition System in China**
This paper explores the effect of the petition system on the survival of China's decentralized authoritarianism
Jing Chen, *Princeton University*
jingchen@princeton.edu
- 4:55 **Local Corruption and Decentralization in the Transformation of China**
The paper will study the causal relationship of local corruption and decentralization in China in the last thirty years by conducting two case studies. The effect of conference of villager representatives to prevent corruption is examined
Yufan Hao, *University of Missouri*
yhao@umuc.mo
Abraham Welton Liu, *University of Missouri*
a_s_lewis@hotmail.com
- 5:10 **The Role of Disruptive Power and Economic Opportunity Structures in Movement Outcomes: The Case of Resistance to Demolitions in China**
China is experiencing a protest wave against demolitions. This paper argues that variations in the outcomes of protests against demolitions depend upon the ability of evictees to mobilize interdependent power and economic opportunity structures.
Christopher Heurlid, *University of Washington*
cheurlid@u.washington.edu
- 5:25 **The System of Urban Administrative Enforcement in China: Origin and Operation**
The paper will analyze the causes, process and the operation of the system of Urban Administrative Enforcement in China. The data were collected from more than 60 interviewees who included civil servants, journalists, and so forth.
Zhen Li, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
cuhkzhzhen@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Hsin-hao Huang, National Taiwan Normal University**
huang@ntnu.edu.tw
Disc., Wu Zhang, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
zhangwu3@yahoo.com
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 15-20 **Aid, Sanctions, and Conflict**
Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Gerry Gendin, Edinboro University of Pennsylvania**
prof@gendin.com
- 4:40 **Economic Sanctions and Human Rights: An Analysis of Conditional Enforcement Strategies in Latin America**
This paper addresses the consequences of economic sanctions for the protection of human rights in Latin America. I find empirical evidence that sanctions do improve the level of protection in countries that were not targeted
Cristiane Carsetta, *University of Sao Paulo*
cc496@nyu.edu
- 5:00 **Are Smart Sanctions Really Smart? A Comparative Study of Targeted Sanctions and Comprehensive Sanctions**
This is the first empirical study comparing the efficacy of targeted sanctions to comprehensive sanctions, using the new Threats and Imposition of Economic Sanctions (TIES) dataset.
Priyaa Saharia, *University of Kentucky*
pri16076@gmail.com
Hrusee Anthony Bortlow, *University of Kentucky*
pasca1@uky.edu
- 5:20 **Disc., Byungwon Woo, Oakland University**
byungwon.woo@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Audience Discussion**
- 16-5 **Understanding Public Opinion on War**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Michael Tomz, Stanford University**
tomz@stanford.edu
- 4:40 **War or Punishment: The Effect of Retributive Rhetoric on Public Support for the Use of Force**
This paper presents a survey experiment examining the effect of retributive rhetoric on public support for the use of force
Rachel M. Stein, *Stanford University*
rstein@stanford.edu
- 5:00 **The Death of Public Knowledge in U.S. Foreign Policy: A Time Series Analysis**
Have differences between the mass public and elite opinion sharpened with the end of the Cold War and immersion in the "war on terror"? We provide a systematic cross-generational comparison.
Charles Teo, *Hunter College and Graduate Center, CUNY*
cteo@hunter.cuny.edu
James A. Nathan, *Auburn University, Montgomery*
jnathan12345@yahoo.com
- 5:20 **Disc., Jason Reiffler, Georgia State University**
poljar@langate.gsu.edu
Disc., Michael Tomz, *Stanford University*
tomz@stanford.edu
- 5:40 **Audience Discussion**
- 16-10 **International Organizations and Domestic Political Changes**
Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Gregory Curtis Dixon, University of West Georgia**
gdixon@westga.edu
- 4:40 **The Threats to State Compliance with International Law**
The creation of monitoring mechanisms of state behavior toward international law offers new opportunities to study the incentives behind state compliance with international treaties' obligations
Rose Abuel, *University of North Texas*
ra0111@unt.edu

- 4:35 Democracy for Export? What Types of International Organizations Are Best at Promoting Democratic Transitions in Member-States?**
This paper furthers the research on democratization-from-above by employing the insight from the work on rational design. I show that democratic transitions are best promoted by IOs with large scope, strong enforcement, and high centralization.
Olga V. Chyzh, University of Iowa
olga-chyzh@uiowa.edu
- 5:10 Do Democracy Clubs Matter? The Effects of Regional Integration Associations on the Onset and Outcome of Coups d'Etat**
The ability of regional integration associations to thwart a coup attempt, and defeat a coup should one occur, depends upon both the democratic commitment of the preponderant member-state and its ability to influence other member-states.
Gasparré M. Gerné, University of Texas, El Paso
ggern@utep.edu
Taeke Hiroi, University of Texas, El Paso
thiroi@utep.edu
- 5:25 How Can International Organizations Be Used As Strategic Punishment Mechanisms?**
I present a formal model showing how major powers can use international organizations as reward/punishment mechanisms to deter opposition parties in other countries from mobilizing the popular public opinion against their international activities.
Ugar Ozdemir, Washington University, St. Louis
wozdemir@wustl.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Gregory Curtis Dixon, University of West Georgia**
gdixon@westga.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 17-2 Nuclear Weapons and Commitment Threats: A Quantitative Empirical Analysis, 1945-2001**
Room Salco 12 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Anne E. Sartori, Northwestern University
a-sartori@northwestern.edu
- 4:40 A Model of Arms Proliferation and Prevention**
We present a model of bargaining and war between two states where states can invest in developing a new technology to increase their power. We analyze conditions for war and arms control under various levels of investment efficiency and monitoring.
Muhammed Ali Buz, Harvard University
mbuz@gov.harvard.edu
André-Jacques Coe, Harvard University
aco3@fas.harvard.edu
- 4:55 Nothing to Fear But Fear Itself? Nuclear Proliferation and Executive War**
Investigates causes of low proliferation since the end of Cold War and the contemporary heightened fear of proliferation. Develops a formal model and case studies.
Alexandre Debs, Yale University
alexandre.debs@yale.edu
Nuno Monteiro, Yale University
nuno.monteiro@yale.edu
- 5:10 Nuclear Weapons and Commitment Threats: A Quantitative Empirical Analysis, 1945-2001**
This paper analyzes whether possessing nuclear weapons increases the effectiveness of compelled threats in international politics. It shows that countries possessing the bomb make more threats, but their threats are no more likely to be successful.
Matthew Fuhrman, University of South Carolina
fuhrman@mailbox.sc.edu
Todd S. Sechser, University of Virginia
tsechase@virginia.edu
- 5:25 Conducting Limited Conventional Operations Against Nuclear-Armed States**
This paper examines the extent to which the spread of nuclear weapons to North Korea and, possibly, Iran is likely to complicate U.S. efforts to coerce these states' regimes.
T. Negeen Pegabi, Naval War College
npegabi@nwc.navy.mil
- 5:40 Disc., Anne E. Sartori, Northwestern University**
a-sartori@northwestern.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 17-6 Managing the Terrorist Threat**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Phillip W. Gray, Lingnan University
phillip.w.gray@gmail.com
- 4:40 Foreign Military Intervention, Foreign Aid, and Terrorism**
The paper argues that although foreign military intervention may increase the chance of terrorism, it may reduce the supply of terrorists in the long-run if foreign aid follows such military interventions.
Orlando Elin Danzell, Kansas State University
orlandez@ksu.edu
Emizet F. Kibangad, Kansas State University
emizetk@ksu.edu
- 4:55 Subsidal Foreign Aid? Assessing the Effects of Official Development Assistance on Terrorism**
Because economic desperation is suggested to influence the cost-benefit analysis of potential terrorists, foreign aid can be seen as a tool to affect terrorism. I investigate the effects of ODA on terrorism with a special focus on suicide attacks.
Brian Michael Feltham, University of Missouri
bmfelth@mail.mizzou.edu
- 5:10 The Evolution of Terror: Hints and the Demise of Terrorist Organizations**
The interaction between the US, a terror organization and a host to that group is modeled as a repeated game. In equilibrium, the terror group may strike US interests sufficiently early for the response of the US and the host to ensure its demise.
Catherine Langlois, Georgetown University
langloisc@georgetown.edu
- 5:25 Measuring Regime Type Vulnerability to Terror**
A reexamination of terrorist attack data accounting for under-reporting bias.
Jessica Kate Trolan Stell, University of Rochester
jkt19m@mail.rochester.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Walter Enders, University of Alabama**
wenders@aba.ua.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 17-20 Case Studies in Alliance Behavior**
Room Burnham 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Zachary Shirkley, Hunter College, CUNY
zshirkley@hunter.cuny.edu
- 4:40 Alliance in Flux: Turkey's Alliance Behavior From the Cold War to the Present, 1947-2010**
This paper represents the alliance behaviors of Turkey, starting from the Cold War and coming to the present.
Ilhan Aydemir, Ohio University
ia312509@ohio.edu
- 4:55 Security and the Rentier State: Oil, Bases, and US-GCC Relations**
This paper examines the security relationship between the US and the GCC states suggesting that the nature of the rentier state system, rather than regional power dynamics, makes the alliance stronger than many suggest.
Dina Marie Badie, University of Connecticut
dina.badie@uconn.edu

- 5:10** **Soft Bandwagoning and the Transatlantic Alliance**
This paper examines the trend of "soft bandwagoning" with the United States by states surrounding Russia and the ramifications for the European Union's common foreign and security policy.
Zachary Selden, University of Florida
zselden@ufl.edu
- 5:25** **Hidden China Factor: South Korea's Missile Defense Strategy and the U.S.-South Korean Alliance**
This paper explains why South Korea has avoided missile defense and employed a bifurcated alliance strategy toward the United States.
Hyun Joo Yoo, Trinity University
hy226@georgetown.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., William J. Thies, Catholic University of America**
wthies@cus.edu
- 6:00** Audience Discussion
- 18-5** **What We Know about Protest and Repression**
Sandburg 2 on the 1th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
- 4:35** **Chair, Ronald A. Francisco, University of Kansas**
ronfran@ku.edu
- 4:40** **Repression, Reforms and Communal Violence**
We argue that communal violence is more likely in countries with a repressive state, and in particular, during the implementation of political reforms. This argument is investigated using new global data on instances of communal violence, 1984-2009.
Kristine Eck, Uppsal University
kristine.eck@pcr.uu.se
Joakim Kreutz, Uppsal University
joakim.kreutz@pcr.uu.se
- 4:58** **David Snyder's Research Agenda and Cumulative Knowledge in Protest and Repression**
Snyder presented 5 shortcomings in conflict research in 1978: (1) no logically complete theory; (2) mismatched data; (3) theory tested narrowly; (4) no micro-macro linkage; and (5) what happens after conflict? The paper evaluates our progress.
Ronald A. Francisco, University of Kansas
ronfran@ku.edu
- 5:10** **Repression or Not: Physical Integrity Rights in Contemporary Democracies**
The paper examines physical integrity rights violations in contemporary democracies. It is argued that the predominant approach of the repression literature cannot account for the occurrence of many of these violations in democratic societies.
Peter Haschke, University of Rochester
peter.haschke@rochester.edu
- 5:25** **Nonviolent Protest: Stylized Facts, Existing Data, and Research Opportunities**
Systematic research on nonviolent dissent is largely nascent. This essay takes stock of what we know, and maps the terrain of the work that is needed to advance our understanding.
Will H. Moore, Florida State University
will.moore@fsu.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Emily Hencken Ritter, University of Alabama**
emily.ritter@ua.edu
- 6:00** Audience Discussion
- 18-22** **Terminating Civil Wars**
Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
- 4:35** **Chair, Megan L. Shannon, University of Mississippi**
mshannon@olemiss.edu
- 4:40** **Procurine Peace After Prolonged War**
This study explores how international organizations (IOs) influence the duration of military conflict and subsequent peace. As a result, this study provides a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of IOs on conflict processes.
Daina Chibze, Rice University
d.chibze@rice.edu
- 4:52** **Negotiating a Lasting Peace: A Comparative Analysis of Angola's Civil War Settlements**
This paper examines why some civil wars end with a successfully negotiated peace agreement, while in other cases, combatants return to war. My research suggests that social networks and spoilers were central in the success/failure of peace in Angola.
Ramona Galloanes, University of Florida
rgalloanes@yahoo.com
- 5:04** **Private Soldiers and Africa: Implications for Ending Civil Wars**
A quantitative analysis of Private Military Contractors and Mercenaries and their effects on civil war duration in nine African countries.
Seth H. Lovén, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
greshwhitehear311@gmail.com
- 5:16** **International Insurance: Exploring Why Militant Groups Participate in Elections as Part of a Peace Agreement**
Militant group electoral participation constitutes a mechanism for overcoming commitment problems that otherwise make civil war termination difficult. Evidence from comparative case study and analysis of a new cross-national dataset offers support.
Alla Michela Mutahack, Stanford University
mutahack@stanford.edu
- 5:28** **One Step Does Not Fit All: Civil War Termination and Differences Among Authoritarian Regimes**
Different types of authoritarian regimes experience different types of civil war terminations. I find that civil wars against personalist regimes are unlikely to end in a negotiated settlement. The opposite is true for non-personalist regimes.
Jessica Maves, Pennsylvania State University
jessica.maves@psu.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Megan L. Shannon, University of Mississippi**
mshannon@olemiss.edu
- 6:00** Audience Discussion
- 19-6** **Ideology and Foreign Policy**
Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
- 4:35** **Chair, Philip Arena, State University of New York, Buffalo**
parena@buffalo.edu
- 4:40** **Congress and Foreign Policy Legislation**
This study analyzes factors that influenced the foreign policy voting record of members of the House of Representatives and the Senate during the Clinton and Bush administrations.
Ron D. Gellony, University of Akron
gellony@uakron.edu
Lauren E. Hardlag, University of Akron
leh11@ops.uakron.edu
Dewayne L. Lucas, Mohawk and William Smith Colleges
lucas@hws.edu
- 4:52** **The Forgotten House? The Role of the U.S. House of Representatives in the Treaty Implementation Process**
Using original data collected on treaty implementation legislation, we study the factors that influence U.S. House participation in the treaty implementation process vis-à-vis the U.S. Senate.
Walt Jankowski, University of Oklahoma
jank1385@ou.edu
Clara S. Krutz, University of Oklahoma
gkrutz@ou.edu
Jeffrey Peake, Bowling Green State University
jpeake@bgsu.edu
- 5:04** **Exploring the Direct and Indirect Impact of Ideology on Foreign Policy Attitudes**
This paper explores how an individual's foreign policy ideology influences preferences on foreign policy issues, and also shapes how individuals incorporate external information, such as elite cues and environmental context, into their preferences.
Nicholas Fred Martin, University of Iowa
nicholas-martin@uiowa.edu

- 5:16 **Communism and the Rise of Ideological Partisanship in the United States**
This paper explores the roots of ideology-based partisanship in the emergence of right-wing anti-communism. It makes a "second-image reversed" argument about how communism specifically could shape domestic political dynamics in a powerful way.
Paul T. McCartney, *Powder Mill University*
pmccartney@powdermill.edu
- 5:28 **A Defense Transformation? The Conservative Government and Canadian Defense Policy**
When Stephen Harper was elected PM in 2006, he announced that the military would be a priority for the new government. This paper will argue that rather than transforming defense policy, Harper has largely continued the previous government's approach.
Andrew Richter, *University of Windsor*
arichter@uwindsor.ca
- 5:40 Disc., Phillip Arena, *State University of New York, Buffalo*
porena@buffalo.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 20-18 **Domestic Politics and International Cooperation**
Montross 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair, Geoffrey Wallace, *University of Kentucky*
geoffrey.wallace@uky.edu
- 4:35 **Regime type and international cooperation: An analysis of bilateral agreements (1950-2000)**
Paper examines the propensity of two democracies to enter into an agreement with one another using data collected from the United Nations Treaty Series.
Christopher Brown, *University of Pennsylvania*
chbrown@sas.upenn.edu
- 5:00 **The International Legislative Process as International Cooperation: Parliamentary Diplomacy in Europe and Latin America**
International cooperation have been changed by the inclusion of new dimension through the gaining powers of regional parliaments in the Europe Union and Mercosur. Two level games theory is used to explain the new powers of parliamentary diplomacy.
Clicerio Krupp de Luz, *University of São Paulo*
cizeroluz@gmail.com
- 5:20 **We Never Make the Same Deal Twice: The Politics of Negotiating Accession to International Organizations**
This paper investigates why there exists such extreme variation in accession to IOs. It demonstrates that the variation in accession is a result of the strength of the applicant state's domestic coalition and the composition of IO gatekeepers.
Janine Elizabeth Sealera, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
jsealera2@illinois.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Geoffrey Wallace, *University of Kentucky*
geoffrey.wallace@uky.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 21-10 **Explaining and Promoting Tolerance**
LeSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair, Alysia M. Lowe, *Carlow University*
amlowe@carlow.edu
- 4:40 **The Determinants of Tolerance**
Tolerance of religious, ethnic, racial and philosophical differences constitutes the essence of an open society. Drawing data from different points in time, we build a stochastic causal model of the variation in levels of tolerance.
Alan Arwine, *Texas Tech University*
arwine@suddenlink.net
Lawrence F. Mayer, *Texas Tech University*
clark.mayer@ttu.edu
- 4:52 **Voicers or Losers?: Understanding Suffrage Expansion to Immigrants in Europe**
This project analyzes extension of voting rights to immigrants in Europe and provides an alternative argument which focuses on interaction of voters and non-voters and explains the process through their interdependent relationship.
Yüksel Alper Koceli, *Binghamton University*
alpercevit@gmail.com
- 5:04 **Ethnic Parties and the Rise of Nationalism and Right-Wing Populism in Central and Eastern Europe**
This paper explores the rise of nationalism and right-wing populism in Central and Eastern Europe, arguing that the presence and success of nationalist parties in this region is due largely to the presence and success of ethnic minority parties.
Donald Koen, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
koejd@uiuc.edu
- 5:16 **Funded Projects under the EU's Pilot Program for Roma Inclusion: A Transatlantic Perspective on the Use of Deliberating Processes**
Through the construction of an assessment tool to analyze EU funding for the Decade of Roma pilot programs, we examine the possibilities for best practices that utilize deliberative processes developed and used in both the U.S. and the E.U.
Alyson M. Lowe, *Carlow University*
amlowe@carlow.edu
Sandi DiMola, *Clarkson University*
sdimola@clarkson.edu
- 5:28 **Constraining Intolerance in Europe: Analyzing Political Capital, Social Capital and Progress Towards the European Union Goals for Social Tolerance**
Social intolerance in the European Union analyzed using 6-waves of surveys, 1990-2008. The 2-level analysis focuses on the constraining effect of state political capacity on forms of social capital, and therefore on social intolerance.
Peter A. Noordijk, *Portland State University*
noordijk@psu.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Benjamin Joseph Durr, *University of Iowa*
benjamin-durr@uiowa.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 23-5 **Exploring Notions of Patriotism (Broadly Defined)**
Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair, John P. Toden, *Drake University*
john.toden@drake.edu
- 4:35 **How Attachments to the Nation Shape Political Participation: A Cross-National Analysis**
This paper addresses the ways in which different types of attachment to the nation shape political participation across countries.
Gal Arbeli, *University of Notre Dame*
garieli@poli.hawaii.edu
- 4:40 **Political Exhibitions, Behavioral Patriotism, and Neighborhood Context**
An analysis of spatial patterns and predictors of flag and lawn-sign display.
Zachary Elkins, *University of Texas, Austin*
zelkins@utustin.utexas.edu
Brian J. Gaines, *University of Illinois*
bjgaines@illinois.edu
- 4:55 **Civic Engagement and Patriotism**
We seek to reexamine the relationship between civic engagement and patriotism. We show support for a theorized endogenous relationship between these beliefs and actions using a structural equation model of survey data from six national sample surveys.
Sean Richey, *Georgia State University*
srichey@gsu.edu

- 5:25 **Does Voting Mean the Terrorists' Wife? Analyzing the Effects of Terrorist Activity on Voter Turnout Levels**
Numerous terrorism studies find that democracies are ideal targets for terrorists. One explanation for this rests on the fact that voters will respond to terrorist activities; yet this assumption has not been tested. This study addresses this void.
Joseph W. Robbins, *Shepherd University*
jrobbins@shepherd.edu
Gregg R. Mitteray, *Texas Tech University*
gmitteray@ttu.edu
Lance Young Hunter, *Texas Tech University*
youngbawer@ttu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Eline Adriane de Rooij**, *University of Oxford*
eline.derooij@nu.ox.ac.uk
Disc., Jacob Robert Nelhaisel, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
nelhaisel@wisc.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 24-12 **Parties and Institutions in Elections**
Room Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Cary R. Covington**, *University of Iowa*
cary-covington@uiowa.edu
- 4:40 **Redistricting Institutions, Partisan Tides, and Congressional Turnover**
This paper uses a simulation model and empirical evidence from three mid-decade congressional wave elections to generate insight into how redistricting institutions influence congressional seat volatility and turnover.
Nicholas Michael Goedert, *Princeton University*
ngoedert@princeton.edu
- 4:55 **Measuring Partisan Bias in Single-Member District Electoral Systems**
Partisan symmetry has become the standard measure of partisan bias in SMD systems. In this paper I cast serious doubt on this concept as a measure of partisan gerrymandering and suggest alternatives.
Eric M. McGhee, *Public Policy Institute of California*
mcghee@ppic.org
- 5:10 **Redistricting and Turnout in Black and White**
We use individual-level data on the registered voter population in Georgia to evaluate the participatory effects of redistricting in the 2006 U.S. House elections.
Seth C. McKee, *University of South Florida, St. Petersburg*
smckee@mail.usf.edu
M. V. Head, *University of Georgia*
mh@uga.edu
Danny Hayes, *American University*
dhayes@american.edu
- 5:25 **The 17th Amendment and the Partisan Composition of the U.S. Senate**
Develops a model that links the partisan preferences of voters with the composition of the U.S. Senate and tests whether the 17th amendment materially affected the partisan composition of the Senate.
Charles H. Stewart, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
cstewart@mit.edu
Wendy J. Schiller, *Brown University*
wendy_schiller@brown.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Michael W. Tollos**, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
mtollos@uwm.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 25-5 **Voters and the Economy**
Room Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Erik Christopher Snowberg**, *California Institute of Technology*
esnowberg@caltech.edu
- 4:40 **The Sallience of the Economy in Presidential Elections**
In presidential elections with an incumbent seeking reelection, candidates advantaged by national economic conditions are not always more successful influencing voters' considerations of them than their opponents.
Matthew Childers, *University of California, San Diego*
mchilders@gmail.com
- 4:52 **Portrait of an Economic Voter, 1960-2008**
This paper analyzes individual-level heterogeneity in economic voting over eight U.S. elections. We find the influence of retrospections on vote choice to be powerfully moderated by political orientation, especially among in-partisans and independents.
Austin Hart, *University of Texas, Austin*
austinhart.ut@gmail.com
Lance Matthew Vandenberg, *University of Texas, Austin*
lmvandenberg@mail.utexas.edu
- 5:04 **Should Obama's Victory in 2008 Look More Like FDR's in 1932?**
Although Obama won the presidency by a comfortable margin in 2008, given the state of the economy, the unpopularity of the outgoing president, and inconclusive war should he have won by a much wider margin?
Walter W. Hill, *St. Mary's College of Maryland*
wwhill@smcm.edu
- 5:16 **Voters' Asymmetric Responses to the Economy: Evidence From Time-Series Analysis**
Prospect theory suggests that humans pay more attention to losses than to comparable gains. Using time-series data from various countries, this study provides evidence of voters' asymmetric responses to economic reality.
Robert Kappe, *Stony Brook University*
roland.kappe@stonybrook.edu
- 5:28 **What do Voters Believe about the Economy?**
Using a novel survey experiment, we test whether voters believe in the Phillips curve, the basis for much of the theoretical work on economic voting. We also determine voters' beliefs about the effect of government spending on unemployment.
Erik Christopher Snowberg, *California Institute of Technology*
esnowberg@caltech.edu
Stephen Anselbehner, *Harvard University*
sda@gov.harvard.edu
Marc Meredith, *University of Pennsylvania*
mmeredith@sas.upenn.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Jeff Milyo**, *University of Missouri*
MILYOJ@MISSOURI.EDU
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 26-4 **Electoral System Effects and Representation of Group Interests**
Room Price on the 5th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Henry A. Kim**, *University of Arizona*
h21kim@email.arizona.edu
- 4:40 **Electoral Systems and District Magnitude: Beyond the Median**
I show that since most countries have many, not one, district magnitudes, much is lost by the common practice of characterizing an electoral system by the median magnitude. I propose examining properties of the distribution of magnitudes instead.
Orin Kedar, *Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
okedar@mscc.huji.ac.il
- 4:55 **Participation and Representation of Senior Citizens**
Recent surveys on political participation have revealed that seniors are in many respects politically engaged. However, it remains

- unclear how senior citizens are represented and how this is affected by the degree of their political participation.
Kathrin Kissau, Swiss Foundation for Research in Social Sciences
 kathrin.kissau@fors.unil.ch
- 5:10 **Green Institutions: Electoral Systems, Parties, and Environmental Impact**
 Electoral systems, and by extension party systems, influence policy outcomes in relation to a country's impact on the environment.
Nathan James Mauldin, University of Missouri, Columbia
 njm4c@mail.missouri.edu
- 5:25 **Ties That Bind: District Magnitude's Relationship with Political Efficacy and Government Satisfaction**
 This paper theorizes that smaller district magnitudes create closer ties between voters and politicians. Utilizing survey data from forty countries I analyze how district magnitude may impact political efficacy and government satisfaction.
Lisey Ann Moddelmog, University of Kansas
 liseym@ku.edu
- 5:40 **DHe., Ollie Hellmann, University of Durham**
 ollie.hellmann@durham.ac.uk
- DHe., Heather M. Stahl, University of California, Santa Barbara
 hstahl@polsci.ucsb.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 27-5 **Genetics and Politics**
 Chicago on the 5th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 Chair, Kevin B. Smith, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
 ksmithf@unl.edu
- 4:35 **The Heritability of Political Trust in Similar Democracies**
 We analyze the question of the basis of political trust using twin data from United States and Denmark. The results show that political trust has a substantial heritable component of a remarkably similar magnitude in the two dissimilar democracies.
Peter Thibbet Dinesen, University of Southern Denmark
 pdinesen@gmail.com
Robert Klemmensen, University of Southern Denmark
 rkl@isdm.sdu.dk
Asbjørn Sørensen Nørgaard, University of Southern Denmark
 an0@sam.sdu.dk
Pete K. Hatemi, University of Iowa
 phatemi@gmail.com
- 4:55 **Explaining the Variance Differences of Political Knowledge for Men and Women: A Twin Study**
 We demonstrate how twin studies help us understand sex differences in variance. Using the Minnesota Twins Political Survey we demonstrate that the larger variance of political knowledge for women is predominantly attributable to genetic effects.
Levente Littvay, Central European University
 levente@litvay.hu
Rebecca J. Hannagan, Northern Illinois University
 rhannaga@niu.edu
- 5:10 **Genetic and Environmental Influences on In-group and Out-group Attitudes**
 This study examines the relevant contributions of genetics and the environment to in-group and out-group attitudes.
Beth Miller, University of Missouri, Kansas City
 millereb@umkc.edu
Andrew J. W. Colvettick, Knox College
 acolvetti@knox.edu
- 5:25 **Sense of Control and Volition: A Genetically Driven Relationship**
 We propose and test a causal path linking genes and (omni) vs personality traits.
Paul Thomas Welch, Central European University
 welch_paul@cen-hudapest.eu
Levente Littvay, Central European University
 levente@litvay.hu
- 5:40 **Disc., Brad Verhulst, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics**
 brad.verhulst@psi.vmi.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 27-20 **Connecting Behavioral Economics and Political Psychology**
 Room
 Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Thu at 4:35 pm
 Chair, Christopher W. Lurmer, University of Northern Iowa
 christopher.lurmer@uni.edu
- 4:35 **The Value of "Sociality" in the Facebook Environment in Comparison With Face to Face Interactions: Laboratory Experiments**
 The paper investigates the effect of "sociality" on individual decision-making in the Facebook environment and in classic laboratory setting. The main goal is to test if it is exhibited differently in social networks as opposed to the real life.
Evgeniya Lubimova, University of Oregon
 evgeniya@uoregon.edu
Mikhail Myagkov, University of Oregon
 temp5379@temp.com
- 4:48 **The Price of Cooperation: Psychological Differences Among Players in Public Goods Games**
 We examine whether humans exhibit particular cognitive abilities for processing information about cooperation using an online survey experiment. We test whether subjects who are more cooperative in public goods games remember "cheaters" better.
Eric Oliver, University of Chicago
 coliver@uchicago.edu
Chad Levinsan, University of Chicago
 elevinson@uchicago.edu
- 4:55 **Experiments in Behavioral Economics: Testing the Coase Theorem**
 We propose experimental tests of the Coase Theorem: i.e., if transaction costs are low, the assignment of property rights doesn't matter because the rights will inevitably end in those rights being transferred to the person who values it the most.
Jeffrey A. Segal, Stony Brook University
 jeffrey.segal@stonybrook.edu
Ben Woodson, Stony Brook University
 benjamin.woodson@gmail.com
- 5:10 **A Formal Model of Social Blame in Political Context**
 We present a formal model of political blame attribution that explores how individual blame attribution is aggregated into social blame, and explores the implications of institutional design and social cleavages on the way social blame is shaped.
Kaanan Sultzeana-Kenan, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
 msolke@huji.ac.il
Lior Sheffer, Hebrew University
 liorshaffer@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., David James Hendry, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
 hendry2@illinois.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 28-11 **Institutional Expectations and Legitimacy**
 Room
 Pavilion 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 Chair, Robert Thomson, Trinity College, Dublin
 thomsonr@tdc.ie
- 4:35 **What Citizens Want From Whom: Explaining Public Attitudes Towards Federalism**
 Citizens expect universal enforcement of their moral standards. Thus, moral conviction on an issue leads individuals to desire federal responsibility. Moreover, state responsiveness does not attenuate this effect.
Scott Clifford, Florida State University
 sac081@fsu.edu

<p>4:55 Room 4:35 4:40 5:04 5:16</p>	<p>Trust in Government and Local Governmental Services: Understanding Public Attitudes toward Service Delivery in a Municipality Drawing on a random sample, this paper explores the links between trust in government and citizen preferences for local governmental services. Findings suggest that trust predicts support for services across three broad areas of service delivery Mitch Herliak, <i>University of Nebraska</i> mherliam1@unbrasku.edu</p> <p>How Citizens' Beliefs About Policy-Making Venues Shape Their Support for the Policies Those Venues Produce? We design and administer an original survey experiment to understand what citizens want from various policy-making venues (courts, legislatures, and ballot initiatives) and how those preferences shape their support for a specific policy. Michael H. Murakami, <i>University of Illinois, Chicago</i> murakami@uic.edu</p> <p>Allison Laura Gash, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i> aligash@gmail.com</p> <p>Determinants of Public Perception of Corruption in East Asia By using the Asian Barometer Surveys the proposed paper explores whether exposure to mass media, cognitive capacity, involvement in political-client networks, and attachment to traditional cultural values are related to perception of corruption. Chang-Min Park, <i>Korea University</i> umpark@korea.ac.kr</p> <p>Yongjae Chang, <i>Kumoh University</i> yjchang71@gmail.com</p> <p>Disc., Shaun Bowler, <i>University of California, Riverside</i> shaun.bowler@ucr.edu</p> <p>Disc., Stephen August Jessor, <i>University of Texas</i> sjessee@mail.utexas.edu</p> <p>6:00 Audience Discussion</p> <p>Measuring Complex Concepts Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm Chair, Greg M. Shaw, <i>Illinois Wesleyan University</i> gshaw@iwiu.edu</p> <p>Pro Life? Pro Choice? Pro Form? American Opinion on the Abortion Issue Conventional terms do not reflect the complexity of the profile of attitudes toward abortion. Opinion is more nuanced and moderate among nearly every segment of the population. A scale more adequately describes abortion opinion than a dichotomy James W. Endersby, <i>University of Missouri</i> endersby@missouri.edu</p> <p>John R. Petrocik, <i>University of Missouri, Columbia</i> petrocikj@missouri.edu</p> <p>An Experimental Assessment of Extreme Response Style This study derives measures of extreme response style (ERS) using patterns of response to American National Election Studies (ANES) items. I leverage existing random assignment in the ANES to obtain experimental effects of item format on ERS Pete Jason Engle, <i>University of Wisconsin</i> pjengle@wisc.edu</p> <p>An Experiment on the Relationship between Policy Preferences and Ideology Using a survey experiment, this paper demonstrates how standard ideology questions can be adapted to measure social and economic dimensions of ideology and provide a more complete picture of the relationship between ideology and policy preferences Natalie M. Jackson-Billie, <i>University of Oklahoma</i> nackensjackson@ou.edu</p> <p>Measuring Value Choices: Are Rank Orders Valid Indicators? Rank-ordered values have long been used to summarize individual value choices. This paper uses data from the 2006 CCES to see whether rank orders really are valid and reliable representations of pairwise choices between core values</p>	<p>William G. Jacoby, <i>Michigan State University</i> jacobw@msu.edu</p> <p>5:28 The Role of Politics in Implicit and Explicit Attitudes About Mental Illness This project uses an experiment derived from contemporary mental health politics to examine the role that three political mechanisms might play in mitigating or exacerbating negative implicit and explicit attitudes about mental illness. Monique L. Lytle, <i>Vanderbilt University</i> monique.lytle@vanderbilt.edu</p> <p>5:40 Disc., Matthew Berent, <i>Stanford University</i> mab@matberent.com</p> <p>Disc., Greg M. Shaw, <i>Illinois Wesleyan University</i> gshaw@iwiu.edu</p> <p>6:00 Audience Discussion</p> <p>29-6 Room 4:35 4:40 5:00 5:20 5:40 6:00</p> <p>The Content, Impact, and Antecedents of News Websites Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm Chair, Lutz W. Erbring, <i>Free University of Berlin</i> erbring@yahoo.com</p> <p>The Political Consequences of a Diverse Electorate Online This paper tests if individuals of different racial and ethnic groups use the Web differently for political information and what the effects of online news consumption are. Mada Suzanne Kleinberg, <i>Rutgers University</i> mkleinb@eden.rutgers.edu</p> <p>Interactive C-SPAN: The Design, Functionality and Application of an IT Prototype for Political News This paper describes the creation of an interactive television application designed specifically for political news Brandon C. Waite, <i>Hull State University</i> bcwaite@hsu.edu</p> <p>Vinayak Tankabe, <i>Ball State University</i> VTANKSAL2@bsu.edu</p> <p>Daniel Cooper, <i>Ball State University</i> dmcooper@bsu.edu</p> <p>Drudge's World? The Drudge Report's Influence on Media Coverage Using vector autoregression to analyze the data derived from a detailed content analysis of print and broadcast media stories, I find that the Drudge Report exerted a fairly limited impact on the media's coverage of most issues Kevin Wallsten, <i>California State University, Long Beach</i> kwallste@csulb.edu</p> <p>5:40 Disc., Magdalena E. Wojcieszak, <i>IE University, Segovia, Spain</i> magdalena.wojcieszak@ie.edu</p> <p>6:00 Audience Discussion</p> <p>29-19 Room 4:35 4:40</p> <p>Media and Identity Formation Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm Chair, Rui Alexandre Novais, <i>University of Porto</i> rui.novais@gmail.com</p> <p>Real America vs. Washington Insiders: Using Social Labels to Foster Group Identity and Affiliation in Political Discourse We use concept analysis to determine if political discourse has become increasingly focused on fostering a narrow group identity and group affiliation and less focused on cultivating a broadly shared national identity Janie Diets, <i>Alma College</i> diets@alma.edu</p> <p>William A. Gordon, <i>Alma College</i> gordon@alma.edu</p> <p>5:00 The Relationship between Media Consumption, Religiosity, and Political Views on Tolerance of Muslim Extremism Using General Social Survey data, this study examines how news media consumption, religiosity, and political ideology affect tolerance of a group which, with good reason, has become a frequent topic in the media: Muslim extremists.</p>
--	---	--

- Courtney Nicole Johnson, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
cjohnson@wisc.edu
- 5:29 **Conceptual Holidays, Contested Identities: Analysis of the Presentations of the Nevruz Celebrations in the Zaman Newspaper**
Nevruz celebrations in Turkey have been always marked by contradictory political messages. This paper traces the changes and similarities in the framing of the Nevruz celebrations in the Zaman newspaper from 1992 until present.
Leylan Taseh, *Columbia College, Chicago*
lanson.uscb@comcast.net
- 5:40 **Disc.**, Vanessa B. Beasley, *Vanderbilt University*
vanessa.b.beasley@vanderbilt.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-3 **Dimensions of the Gender Gap**
Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair**, Jill Suzanne Greenlee, *Brandeis University*
greenlee@brandeis.edu
4:40 **Regional Differences in the Gender Gap in Voting**
We propose that the voting gap between women and men changes in reaction to the past behavior of these groups. We find that before 1980 men move in opposition to southern women; after 1980 women drive the gap as men follow the past behavior of women.
Mary-Kate Lizotte, *Birmingham-Southern College*
mklizotte@yahoo.com
Andrew Hapth Sideman, *John Jay College, CUNY*
asideman@jjay.cuny.edu
- 4:55 **Gender Differences in Perceptions of What is Torture and Support for Torture**
Women are more likely than men to believe that recent "interrogation techniques" like water-boarding are torture. Generally, women are less likely to support the use of torture. Differences in compassion values mediate this gender gap.
Mary-Kate Lizotte, *Birmingham-Southern College*
mklizotte@yahoo.com
- 5:10 **Are Latinos more "Pro-Iraq" Than Latinos? Evidence From the 2006 Latino National Survey**
This paper extends research on the foreign policy gender gap to Latinos using data from the 2006 Latino National Survey. Specifically, we analyze Latino and Latina attitudes on a question asking whether the U.S. should keep military troops in Iraq.
Rachel Vanessa Ward, *Pitzer College*
rvansack@pitzer.edu
Adrian Pantoja, *Pitzer College*
adrian_pantoja@pitzer.edu
- 5:25 **The Gender Gap Among Latinos in the U.S.**
This paper analyzes whether a gender gap is present between Latino men and women in the United States in regard to partisanship and political attitudes.
Elizabeth Reni Wemling, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
ewemling@unc.edu
- 5:40 **Disc.**, Christina Walbrecht, *University of Notre Dame*
Walbrecht.1@nd.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 31-4 **Conceptualizations of Race, Color and Gender in American Politics**
Room Sakin 2 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair**, Gladys L. Mitchell-Walshour, *Johns Hopkins University*
gmitch20@jhu.edu
4:40 **Naming and the Making of Blackness**
This paper combines philosophy and social science research to present and evaluate a new definition of blackness, one focused on naming, dialogue and moments of race creation.
Peter Geller, *Harvard University*
geller@fas.harvard.edu
- 4:55 **Black Women, Work, and Welfare in the Age of Globalization**
This paper examines the unequal impact of workfare on black single mother welfare recipients. My aim is to offer a new perspective on the ethical question of the rights to welfare for all members of society including poor black women.
Sherrow O. Pinder, *California State University, Chico*
spinder@csuchico.edu
- 5:10 **The Intersection of Ethnicity, Race, and Gender: Differences in Latino Public Opinion**
This article examines the intersection of ethnicity, gender, and race in Latino public opinion using the 2006 Latino National Survey.
Alysa Kai Stokes-Brown, *Bucknell University*
a.stokes-brown@bucknell.edu
- 5:25 **Does Skin Color Shape Individuals' Perceptions of Commonality With Other Racial and Ethnic Groups?**
In this paper we explore the effect of individual perceptions of skin color on perceptions of commonality between and among Whites, Blacks and Latinos.
Betha Cutler Wilkinson, *Wake Forest University*
wilkbeth@wfu.edu
James C. Geraud, *Louisiana State University*
jogera@lsu.edu
Johnnie Dunaway, *Louisiana State University*
jdunaway@lsu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc.**, Suzanne M. Marilley, *Capital University*
smarille@capital.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 33-100 **Michael Frazer's 'The Enlightenment of Sympathy: Justice and the Moral Sentiments in the Eighteenth Century and Today'**
Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair**, Michael Leo Frazer, *Princeton University*
mlfrazer@princeton.edu
This roundtable brings together a number of scholars of political thought to offer their comments on Michael Frazer's new book, *The Enlightenment of Sympathy: Reflective Sentimentalism in the Eighteenth Century and Today*.
4:40 **Chair**, Chad Flanders, *St. Louis University*
cflanders@slu.edu
4:45 **Panelist**: Andrea Radzianu, *Northwestern Illinois University*
aradzianu@niu.edu
Rachel Zuckerl, *Northwestern University*
r-zuckerl@northwestern.edu
Michael Leo Frazer, *Princeton University*
mlfrazer@princeton.edu
Chad Flanders, *St. Louis University*
cflanders2@slu.edu
Emily C. Neel, *University of Chicago*
eneel@chicago.edu
- 34-5 **John Stuart Mill: Approaches and Themes**
Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair**, John Medearis, *University of California, Riverside*
medearis@ucr.edu
4:40 **Bedfellows: Nietzsche and John Stuart Mill**
This paper gives an insight into the similarities between the thought of Friedrich Nietzsche and John Stuart Mill.
Dawn Bersch, *Glendale Community College*
dbersch2@gmail.com
- 4:52 **Natural Rights, Ethical Objects: The Incentives Argument on Millian Grounds**
Reconciling the traditional utilitarian justification for intellectual property in terms of John Stuart Mill's utilitarianism, I respond to common criticisms of the Incentives Argument and outline a Millian intellectual property regime.
Michael Fulginiti, *Tulane University*
mfulgou@tulane.edu

- 5:04 **From the Principle of Utility to the Principle of Liberty to Positive Law: A Taxonomy of Normative Categories in J.S. Mill**
This paper situates the Principle of Liberty between the larger Principle of Utility and the much smaller scope of positive law, clarifying its applications in the process. Diagram included.
Sujith Shashi Kumar, *DePaul University*
ubersujith@gmail.com
- 5:16 **Mill and the Limits of Free Expression**
In this paper, I present a new interpretation of Mill's commitment to nurturing societal excellences as a way both to take each other's rights to self-determination seriously and remain ever so watchful concerning the dangers of free expression.
Michael McKeon, *Barry University*
mckeon@mail.barry.edu
- 5:28 **Our 'Can't Do' Self: Critical Reflections on the Problem of Legislative Dysfunction**
We face a democratic crisis in the U.S. Congress is unable to deal with critical problems that are not immediately threatening. I draw on J.S. Mill and Manour Ojann to diagnose a main cause of this crisis and to offer insights into how to resolve it.
Andrew F. Smith, *Drexel University*
af57@drexel.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Mark J. Kaswan, Rutgers University**
mjkaswan@gmail.com
Disc., John Medearis, University of California, Riverside
medearis@citrus.ucr.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 36-5 **Borders of Democracy**
Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, John C. Evans, University of Wisconsin, River Falls**
john.evans@uwrf.edu
- 4:40 **Political Community of Post-national "States": The Persistence of Exclusion in Contemporary German Citizenship**
This paper looks to contemporary claims about the emergence of post-national forms of citizenship and challenges their salience in the context of the EU by examining the persisting challenges posed by exclusionary conceptions of membership.
Kiran Banerjee, *University of Toronto*
kbanerj@uchicago.edu
- 4:55 **Immigrants and Political Inclusion**
In this paper, I will try to uncover the tensions created by the official definition of immigrants as one single group and group's own dynamics by putting emphasis on Young's structural inequality thesis and the theories of ethnic identity.
Gulay Ugur Goksel, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
gokselg@colorado.edu
- 5:10 **Democracy at the Border: Migration, Contestation, and Affected Interests**
This essay argues that the competing claims of both citizens and immigrants may be mediated within a unified democratic framework of immigration regulation.
Joseph Lampert, *Northwestern University*
j-lampert@northwestern.edu
- 5:25 **The Legal Theology of Sanctuary: Giorgio Agamben, Walter Benjamin, and Ebrin Apellano**
An analysis of the phenomenon of sanctuary in light of the legal theologies of Agamben and Benjamin, and an examination of the case of undocumented immigrant Ebrin Apellano, who took up sanctuary in a Chicago church in 2006 and 2007.
Mina Suk, *Kaplan University*
mina.suk@valpo.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Bettina Schels, Stonehill College**
bscholz@stonehill.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 36-19 **Political Economies of the Subject**
Madison on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Chad Lavitt, Virginia Tech University**
lavitt@vt.edu
- 4:40 **Foucault, Gender and the Family: Possibilities for Resistance to Biopower**
While Foucault's commentaries on the intersections of gender, the family and disciplinary power are relatively brief and abstract, they point toward a better understanding of women's status today and possible means of resistance.
Heather Anne Brown, *Purdue University*
hahbrown@purdue.edu
- 5:00 **Subjectification and Subjectivation in Arendt and Rancière**
I compare the aesthetic politics of Hannah Arendt and Jacques Rancière and argue that both theories rest on resisting the "subjectification of the real" by calling for a process of "subjectivation".
James Sven Josephson, *Bridgewater College*
josefsa@bridgewater.edu
- 5:20 **Retail Therapy in the Dragon's Den: Entrepreneurial Culture and Ireland's Response to the Financial Crisis**
Focusing on the case of the Irish response to the global financial crisis, this paper addresses recent discussions in IR theory concerning what Jessop has referred to as the "extra-scientific features" of contemporary political economy
Nicholas Jeremiah Kiersey, *Ohio University, Chillicothe*
kiersey@ohio.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Bruce Anli, Susquehanna University**
anli@susq.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 37-6 **Behavioral Media**
Salon 8 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Thomas R. Palfrey, California Institute of Technology**
trp@less.caltech.edu
- 4:40 **Struggles Over Symbolic: Political Strategies for Emancipation and Resistance**
The paper presents a behavioral game-theoretic model of the strategic dynamics underlying struggles over social identity symbols, such as the hijab, during intergroup conflicts.
Eric Dickson, *New York University*
eric.dickson@nyu.edu
- 4:55 **Formal Behavioralism**
In this paper (based on a book manuscript) I propose an alternative approach to formal modeling that integrates the rigor of formal theory with the empirical insights of modern behavioralism.
Daniel Diermeier, *Northwestern University*
d-diermeier@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 5:10 **Revised Preferences and Aspirations in Warm Glow Theory**
In this paper we develop an ordinal warm glow model and show that it has empirical content when aspirations are (partially) observable or ordered. We combine survey with choice data to identify some aspirations directly and predict behavior.
Timothy J. Feddersen, *Northwestern University*
tjfed@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- Alvaro Sandrud, *Northwestern University*
sandrud@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- Vadim Cherepanov, *University of Pennsylvania*
vadimc@sas.upenn.edu
- 5:25 **Wise, Conventional or Wise and Conventional: A Model of Incomplete Information, Commonality Preferences, and Learning from Others**
We elaborate a model in which actors can learn from others and in which they may prefer actions which are common irrespective of quality. We investigate how information and commonality, which may complement or conflict, affect decision making.

- David M. Glick, *Dartmouth College*
david.m.glick@dartmouth.edu
C. Daniel Myers, *Princeton University*
cdmyers@princeton.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Tarun Dewan, *London School of Economics***
t.dewan@lse.ac.uk
Disc., Michael M. Ting, *Columbia University*
mmt2033@columbia.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 38-4 **Advances in List Experiments**
Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, James Kuklinski, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign***
jkuklinsk@illinois.edu
4:40 **Statistical Analysis of List Experiments**
We develop a suite of new statistical methods for list experiments. Our methods can help researchers detect a failure of list experiments, conduct multivariate regressions, and adjust for the potential violations of standard assumptions.
Greene Blair, *Princeton University*
gblair@princeton.edu
Keruke Lam, *Princeton University*
kmlam@Princeton.edu
- 4:55 **Cherishable, Vote Buying, and Vote Selling: Evidence From a List Experiment in Lebanon**
Vote buying is ordinarily difficult to study due to its sensitivity. I use an augmented list experiment to examine the phenomenon in Lebanon, and find dramatic differences between who is willing to sell their votes and who merely admits it.
Daniel Constance, *University of Maryland*
daniel.constance@gmail.com
- 5:10 **Can List Experiments Provide Evidence for the Bradley/Wilder Effect?: Evidence From an Exit Poll Survey Experiment**
In this paper, we investigate whether the the Bradley/Wilder Effect still exists by analyzing the results from a survey experiment conducted on a 2008 Boston exit poll.
Adam Nathaniel Glynn, *Harvard University*
aglynn@iq.harvard.edu
D James Gredner, *Harvard University*
jgredner@law.harvard.edu
Kevin M. Quinn, *Harvard University*
kevin_quinn@harvard.edu
- 5:25 **Artificial Inflation or Deflation? Assessing the Item Count Technique**
We test the validity of the assumption required in surveys using the Item Count Technique (aka list experiments) that adding a fifth item does not itself alter responses by conducting a survey where the incidence of the behavior questioned is known.
David W. Nickerson, *University of Notre Dame*
david.w.nickerson@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Alexander L. Janos, *University of California, Berkeley***
ajanos@berkeley.edu
Disc., James Kuklinski, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
kuklinsk@illinois.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 40-4 **Polarization and Regionalism**
Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Jeff M. Stencelak, *Syracuse University***
jstencel@syrc.edu
4:40 **Regions of Power: An Assessment of Contemporary Party Regionalization**
Regionalization of party power is assessed by tracking changes in parties in the modern South. The fate of GOP is used to consider the question of when a national party may become so reliant on a single region as to cease to be a national party.
John M. Bruce, *University of Mississippi*
jbruce@olemiss.edu
- 5:00 **Parties, Ideology, and Geography in the 2010 Congressional Elections**
This paper analyzes the extent to which the 2010 elections furthered long-term trends of increasing party regionalism and ideological polarization in Congress.
David A. Hopkins, *Boston College*
david.hopkins@bc.edu
- 5:20 **The Effects of Party Polarization on Political Civility, Evaluation of Politicians, and Judgment of the Tone of Campaigns**
This study adds to the debate about whether the American public has polarized by studying different groups' view of politicians' willingness to compromise, acceptance of aggressive campaigns, and aversion to political incivility.
Michael Raymond Wolf, *Indiana University-Purdue University, Fort Wayne*
wolfm@ipfw.edu
Dan Shea, *Allegheny College*
dan_shea@allegheny.edu
J. Charles Strachan, *Central Michigan University*
strac1jc@cmich.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., John A. Clark, *Western Michigan University***
john.clark@wmich.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 41-4 **Perspectives on the Obama Presidency**
Room Burnham 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Steven E. Schlier, *Carleton College***
schlier@carleton.edu
- 4:40 **Nothing to See Here: The Extraordinarily Ordinary Election of 2008**
The elections of 2006 and 2008 seemed to suggest the emergence of a new Democratic majority and a return of public confidence in government. But the Democratic majority may be short-lived and talk of political realignment may have been premature.
Todd Eberly, *St. Mary's College of Maryland*
teberly@smcm.edu
- 4:52 **Obama and Congress: Debt or Defeat?**
Were President Obama's legislative successes the result of clever strategy or, as some critics claim, undue deference to congressional leaders?
Bertram Johnson, *Middlebury College*
bjohnso@middlebury.edu
- 5:04 **Obama's Supporters: Supporters, Allies, or Acclamations? Women, Minorities, and Gay**
This paper evaluates whether the strong support women, minorities, and gay groups provided Obama has been repaid in terms of policy payoffs.
Richard E. Matland, *Loyola University, Chicago*
matland@luo.edu
Andrea Walker, *Loyola University, Chicago*
awalker3@luo.edu
- 5:16 **The Barack Obama Presidency in Historical Context**
This paper will discuss the Barack Obama presidency as it has developed to date in a variety of historical contexts.
Nicol Rae, *Purdue International University*
nrn@piu.edu
- 5:28 **The Great Recession: Relief, Recovery, and Reform**
Reviews the economic policies of the Obama Administration to cope with The Great Recession, using FDR's categories of relief, recovery, and reform.
Raymond Tshabalala, *Loyola University, Chicago*
rtshab1o@luo.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Karen M. Hull, *Virginia Tech University***
khull@vt.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**

- 42-2** **Legislator Actions in Comparative Legislatures**
Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Simon Hug, University of Geneva**
 simon.hug@sange.ch
- 4:40** **Legislative Activity, Success and Productivity**
 We suggest a model for examining legislative activity including parameters such as legislation, parliamentary questions, motions for the agenda and one-minute speeches.
Onat Alduv, Western Galilee College
 onatald@westgalil.ac.il
- 4:55** **Gender and Legislative Participation in Mexico's Chamber of Deputies, 2000-2002**
 We compared access to leadership positions and committees, and the ability to sponsor as well as pass bills between male and female legislators in Mexico before and after the implementation of gender quotas.
Yann P. Kereval, University of New Mexico
 kerevaly@unm.edu
Loisa Atkeson, University of New Mexico
 atkeson@unm.edu
- 5:10** **Congressional Delegation in Mexico, from Hegemonic to Democracy**
 This paper compares legislative delegation from party leaders to caucus leaders in hegemonic and democratic Mexico, using the career backgrounds of PRI committee leaders to understand how extra-mural leaders control their caucus.
Joy K. Langston, CIDE (Centro de Investigacion y Docencia Economicas)
 joy.langston@cide.edu
Joy K. Langston, CIDE
 joy.langston@cide.edu
- 5:25** **Partisan and Personal Incentives in Parliamentary Speeches: A Computer Content Analysis**
 We argue that there are two distinctive incentives for delivering speeches in the chamber, and the context determines which is more salient. Analyses utilizing a new method in computer content analysis show support for this argument.
Ashaka Manu, Rice University
 manu@rice.edu
Shanta Matsumoto, Meiji University
 smatsumo@ccmfs.meiji-u.ac.jp
- 5:40** **Disc., Simon Hug, University of Geneva**
 simon.hug@unige.ch
Disc., Shane Martin, Dublin City University
 shane.martin@dcu.ie
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 42-18** **Congressional Committees at Work**
Room Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Jason Kelly, Princeton University**
 jpk2@princeton.edu
- 4:40** **Individual Committee Roles and Partisan Success in the Congressional Policy Process**
 Committees in Congress are not only subject to chamber rules, but to the rules of operation they adopt internally. Committee leadership can facilitate a process increasing the likelihood of policy success independent of chamber rules.
William Curtis Ellis, Auburn University, Montgomery
 wellis3@aum.edu
- 4:55** **Parties and Committee Contact in the U.S. Congress**
 The paper examines the conditional nature of party influence across time and committee context by considering the pre-floor decision making of parties and their leaders on all bills introduced in the House and Senate from 1947 to the present.
Charles J. Flouechiere, University of South Carolina
 flouechi@mailbox.sc.edu
- 5:10** **Pretended Legislation: A Model of Conference Committee Frequency**
 In this paper I present and test a model of conference committee frequency.
Daniel Blyth Magleby, University of Michigan
 dmagleby@umich.edu
- 5:25** **Prosecutors, Raiders, and Invaders: Committee Ambition and Jurisdictional Expansion**
 This paper provides a theoretical explanation and empirical test of how congressional committees act in strategic ways to maximize potential benefits from jurisdictional expansion.
Jason D. Mycoff, University of Delaware
 mycoff@udel.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Jason Kelly, Princeton University**
 jpk2@princeton.edu
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 45-4** **Theoretical Perspectives on Legal Doctrine**
Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Jonathan F. Kastellec, Princeton University**
 jkastell@princeton.edu
- 4:40** **A Theory of Opinion Writing in a Political Hierarchy**
 We develop a model in which a lower court writes an opinion consisting of a legal rule and a level of quality, that an upper court can review. The model yields new insights about the strategic incentives created by the judicial hierarchy.
Tom Clark, Emory University
 tom.clark@emory.edu
Clifford J. Carrubba, Emory University
 ccarrub@emory.edu
- 4:52** **Legal Doctrine and Self-Imposed Constraints: Examining the Supreme Court's Incentive to Issue and Follow Precedent**
 This paper focuses on the Supreme Court's incentive to implement and follow precedent that diverges from its ideal outcome. I argue that the law serves as a vehicle for the Court to achieve outcomes closest to its ideal point.
Meklaude Crad, Texas A&M University
 mcr00396@politics.tamu.edu
- 5:04** **The Perverse Effect of the Exclusionary Rule on Civil and Intellectual**
 The exclusionary rule is justified by judicial claims of how legal rules shape police and criminal behavior, but a formal model of these unstated assumptions shows that the rule does not make sense even on its own merits.
Yanja Jacobl, Northwestern University
 yjacob@law.northwestern.edu
- 5:16** **The Chief Just Counsel: A Details of Bush v. Gore Jurisprudence**
 Bush vs. Gore has been an integral part of an expansion of equal protection considerations with respect to election litigation, although the courts generally have not allowed it to expand beyond election related cases.
Charles A. Smith, University of California, Irvine
 csmithuci@gmail.com
- 5:28** **Learning by Review: Strategic Auditing by High Courts**
 A high court's desire to assess the effectiveness of legal rules stands in direct tension with its desire to review non-compliance. High court management of this tension partly explains high court affirmances and review of like-minded lower courts.
John Frederic Wilson, Emory University
 jwilson@emory.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Jonathan F. Kastellec, Princeton University**
 jkastell@princeton.edu
Disc., Mark Richards, Grand Valley State University
 hrybasu@gmail.com
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**

- 46-5 Room 4:35** **Economic Impacts of State Policy**
Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair, Richard Andrew McGowan, Boston College
mgoowan@bc.edu
- 4:40** **Right to Grow? The Political Economy of Right-to-Work and the Right-to-Work Laws, 1947-1954**
I employ calliper and genetic matching along with a series of placebo tests to estimate the causal impact of state right-to-work (RTW) law adoption on economic outcomes in the US South and Midwest between 1947-1954.
Lefteris Jason Anastasopoulos, University of California, Berkeley
janstas@berkeley.edu
- 4:52** **Taxes, Incentives, and Economic Growth: Assessing the Impact of Pro-Business Taxes on U.S. State Economies**
This paper examines the relationship between pro-business tax policies and economic growth for the U.S. states.
Kenneth J. Meyer, Texas A&M University
kmeyer@polisci.tamu.edu
Soleidad Ortiz, Texas A&M University
soleidadortiz@neo.tamu.edu
- 5:04** **Health Care and Economic Growth in the States**
We examine the relationship between health insurance coverage and income growth and employment at the state level.
Irwia L. Merritt, University of Maryland
imerritt@gvpl.umd.edu
Sharon Pearson-Merkowitz, University of Rhode Island
shannpoo@gmail.com
- 5:16** **The Changing U.S. Federalism Dynamic on State Political Economy: The Federal-State Political Nexus, Public Policies, and Economic Growth in the American States**
By observing American states, this paper argues that the major determinants on state economic growth can be best understood within the changing parameters of American federalism that present a political and policy interplay.
Graigian Shin, University of Missouri, Columbia
gshin@mizzou.edu
- 5:28** **Reducing Risk: The Effect of Tax and Expenditure Limitations on State Economic Volatility**
This paper examines the effect of state tax and expenditure limitations on state economic volatility looking at 49 states over a 28-year period.
Tucker C. Staley, University of Missouri
tcs202@mizzou.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Richard Andrew McGowan, Boston College
mgoowan@bc.edu
Disc., Michael J. New, University of Alabama
mnew@tamu.us.edu**
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 47-7 Room 4:35** **Urban Economic Development**
Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair, Laura A. Reese, Michigan State University
reese2l@wayne.edu
- 4:40** **Accounting for Boeing: Information Asymmetries and their Consequences in City-Business Bargaining**
I use a case study of Boeing's relocation to Chicago and a game theoretic approach to propose that, due to information asymmetries, the bargaining relationship between cities and businesses is closer to an auction than true bargaining.
Zachary Gebhardt, University of Illinois, Chicago
zgebha2@uic.edu
- 4:55** **Place-making for the Knowledge Economy: Lessons from Australian Cities for the American Midwest**
The paper explicates the most important components of Australian cities' race to the top. Australia presents a compelling framework for best practices in knowledge-based urban development (KBUD), providing lessons for the American Midwest.
- Richard W. Jelber, Grand Valley State University
jelber@gvsu.edu
Jesse Dutton, Grand Valley State University
jessedutton@gmail.com**
- 5:10** **Can Local Currencies Save Municipal Budgets?**
This paper estimates the pros and cons of operating a 'local currency' in a local government and community.
Barbara L. Neaby, Kent State University
bneaby@kentstate.edu
- 5:25** **Holding Their Feet to the Fire: Explaining Variation in City Governments' Use of Controls on Economic Development Subsidies**
The paper models differences in cities' use of policies (such as clawbacks) that add accountability to the city's provision of development subsidies. ICMA 2009 survey data are merged with other data to test a variety of hypotheses.
Elsie B. Sharp, University of Kansas
esharp@ku.edu
Kevin J. Mullikin, University of Kansas
kmullikw@ku.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Endley Terrence Jones, University of Missouri, St. Louis
terry.jones@umsl.edu**
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 49-3 Room 4:35** **Comparing Health Policy in Western Democracies**
Dorham 2 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
Chair, Lynn M. Sanders, University of Virginia
lms5a@Virginia.EDU
- 4:40** **Canadian Public Health Reform in the Wake of SARS**
Using three case studies, the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) outbreak, the listeriosis outbreak, and the H1N1 pandemic, this paper assesses the reforms introduced in the wake of SARS and the role of federalism in public health reform.
Lisa Gorman, Carleton University
gorman.lisa@gmail.com
- 4:55** **Addressing Social Ills: The Role of Government in Suicide**
This paper examines the impact of collectivistic, women-friendly policies and mental health spending on suicide rates in the United States and several European nations. We expect that suicide rates are lower in social welfare nations.
Tara Marie Hammen, West Virginia University
tmarie229@yahoo.com
Stavros Alex, West Virginia University
salex@mix.wvu.edu
Andrew Wassenaar, West Virginia University
awassenaar@email.wvuniversity.edu
- 5:10** **In Defense of the Public Interest: Negotiating the Relationship Among Self-Regulating Health Care Professions, Civil Society and the State**
This paper considers the relationship in practice among self-regulating health care professions, civil society and the state following the adoption of measures intended to improve public and state oversight of health professions in Ontario, Canada.
Julie M. Stamos, University of Guelph
stamosj@uoguelph.ca
- 5:25** **From 10 Queens and Knights to Knaves?: Choice Policies in Northern European Health Systems**
This comparative policy paper investigates the development of competition through choice policies in selected Northern European countries (England, Denmark, Sweden, the Netherlands).
Kasper Vrangbæk, Danish Institute of Governmental Research
lvn@diif.dk
- 5:40** **Disc., Michael Kelley Guenther, The Hastings Center
guntmacen@thehastingscenter.org**
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**

- 50-5 **Policy Learning and Diffusion of Innovation**
 Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Mads Leth Følsgaard Jacobsen, Aarhus University
 mads@ps.au.dk
- 4:48 **The Social Construction of Target Populations and the Diffusion of Innovations**
 This paper looks at patterns of diffusion of anti-crime innovations across the US States to explore how the social construction of target populations shapes the diffusion of innovations.
 Graeme Yvonne Boushey, University of Michigan
 gboushey@umich.edu
 Brian Goldstein, San Francisco State University
 bgoldst@sfmail.com
- 4:52 **Political Competition and the Diffusion of Social Policy in Brazil**
 Diverse in terms of population, poverty and economic levels many municipalities in Brazil decided to emulate the same social programs. The expansion of an identical policy model raises important questions for the public policy research agenda.
 Dearlison Bandeira Coelho, Federal University of Brasilia
 deasilsonb@unb.br
- 5:04 **Policy Diffusion and Innovations: Media and Experts in Colorado Recreational Water Rights**
 Using in-depth case study research, this paper analyzes the role of experts and local media coverage in the diffusion of a water rights policy innovation in Colorado communities, wherein media helped to expand the influence of experts.
 Deserei Crow, University of Colorado, Boulder
 deserei.crow@colorado.edu
- 8:16 **Policy Diffusion Across the Pacific: How U.S. Crime Policy Has Influenced Political Debate in New Zealand**
 The New Zealand "Sentencing and Parole Reform" Act (2010) was modeled after U.S. "three-strikes" and "truth-in-sentencing" laws. Political deliberation about the act focused on whether these policies have been effective in reducing crime in the U.S.
 Jennifer Edwards Walsh, Azusa Pacific University
 jwalsh@apu.edu
- 5:28 **Learning From Experience: The Effects of Open Enrollment on School Choice Adoption**
 I test empirically recent advances in theories on state policy adoption. I apply these theories to the adoption of legislation for charter schools and public voucher programs.
 Christopher John Westergren, University of Missouri
 cjwest@missouri.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Scott Lammotte, University of Oklahoma
 slammotte@ou.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 51-4 **NGO Strategy**
 Room Sandburg B on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, FBA
- 4:40 **Barriers to Knowledge: Transnational Networks and Environmental Advocacy in Egypt**
 This paper details the political barriers faced by a transnational network of activists advocating for biodiversity management in Egypt. Not only do activists have to contend with a closed political system, but an emervated environmental agency.
 Kemal Feras-Gorge, College of William and Mary
 kgeorge@wm.edu
- 4:55 **Environmental Entrepreneurship: How Environmental NGOs Adapt to Seismic Threats**
 We propose a Bayesian item response threshold model and put together a database that will allow us to understand how environmental NGOs and foundations march.
 Adam Joseph Ramey, Harvard Business School
 aramey@hbs.edu
 Lawrence Rothenberg, University of Rochester
 lea@mail.rochester.edu
- 5:10 **All Good Things Must Come to an End: The Tipping Point of ENGO Shaming and Blaming**
 The purpose of this paper is to examine whether environmental international non-governmental organizations (ENGOs) have an impact on the environment and how that impact is limited according to the strategy used.
 Cody Garrett Stelmets, Kansas State University
 scody@ksu.edu
 Amanda Marie Murdie, Kansas State University
 amurdie@ksu.edu
- 5:25 **Non-governmental Organizations and the Shrimp-Turtle Dispute at the World Trade Organisation**
 An examination of the role played by NGOs in the development of the shrimp-turtle trade dispute at the WTO: including both domestic actions that helped create the dispute and tactics once the dispute reached the WTO.
 Adam Van Lier, University of North Carolina, Greensboro
 avanlie@uncg.edu
- 5:40 Discussion, FBA
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 53-5 **Accountability and Performance in Public Organizations: Effects of Managers and Implications for Management**
 Room Malem Parlor on the 6th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Cynthia J. Bowling, Auburn University
 cbowlicj@auburn.edu
- 4:40 **Does Membership Have Its Benefits: The Impact of County Membership in Professional Organizations on Governmental Success**
 In this research, I develop a model that first tries to explain why governments join professional organizations like the National Association of Counties (NACo) and then whether membership actually will bring about greater performance.
 Ethan M. Berdek, Kansas State University
 emb111@ksu.edu
- 4:55 **The Influence of Middle Manager Quality on Networking Behavior**
 What effect do quality middle managers have on managerial networking behavior and its impact on performance?
 Morgan Johansen, University of Hawaii, Manoa
 morgan.johansen@hawaii.edu
- 5:10 **The Politics of Performance: The Impact of PART on Performance Management in Federal Agencies**
 We assess the impact of the Bush Administration's Program Assessment Rating Tool on the collection and use of performance information in federal agencies. We also estimate the extent to which political factors drove this impact.
 Stephanie Lavetta, University of Colorado, Boulder
 stephane.lavetta@colorado.edu
 Donald MaynRoth, University of Wisconsin, Madison
 dmayn@wisc.edu
- 5:25 **Accountability to Higher Education: Exploring Impacts on Management, Institutions, and Student Outcomes**
 This paper investigates whether (and to what extent) performance based accountability policies have influenced resource allocation priorities and student outcomes at public colleges and universities.
 Tom Rabovsky, University of Oklahoma
 trabovsky@ou.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Kelly Leroax, University of Illinois, Chicago
 kleroax@uic.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion

- 54-4 **The Rise of the "New Right"**
 Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 **Chair, Shamira Michal Gelbman, Illinois State University**
 sgelbman@ilstu.edu
- 4:40 **Blights: Economics, Race, and the Republican Party**
 This paper proposes a theory of the GOP rightward shift on race in the 1940s. Did the GOP's conservative economic views restrict its options on race? My findings show that the GOP was not as constrained by economics, as recent works suggest.
 Sara Butler, University of California, Los Angeles
 sbutler21@ucla.edu
- 4:55 **Spiro Agnew, The Forgotten American, and the Rise of the New Right**
 This paper examines Spiro Agnew with an eye toward enhancing our understanding of the rise of the New Right in the later 1960s and early 1970s. Agnew has received scant attention in spite of his considerable appeal to the "forgotten" or Americans.
 Peter B. Levy, York College
 plevy@ycp.edu
- 5:10 **Ideas, Coalition Building, and Political Development in the City: Urban Policy and Politics in the U.S. and the UK, 1976-2000**
 Neoliberal ideas shifted the trajectory of urban policy in the U.S. and the UK post-1976. I argue that such ideas interact with the logic of local coalition building to skew urban development in ways that have undermined cities' political capacity.
 Timothy Weaver, University of Pennsylvania
 tweaver@sas.upenn.edu
- 5:25 **The Alchemy of Goldwater: Foreign Aid and the Politization of the New Right, 1953-1964**
 This paper uses foreign aid to Vietnam as a lens to examine how the New Right Movement created an image of Barry Goldwater that differed markedly from the Senator himself, as a result of divergent intellectual and political influences.
 Matthew Yates, Ohio State University
 yates.128@osu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Julia Azari, Marquette University**
 Julia.Azari@marquette.edu
Disc., Shamira Michal Gelbman, Illinois State University
 sgelbman@ilstu.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 55-1 **Constructions of Gender**
 Room Cresthill on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 **Chair, MaryAnne Borwell, Connecticut College**
 mbor@conncoll.edu
- 4:48 **Anti-Gamla: The Politics of Sexual Harassment in Egypt**
 This paper will examine how the problem of sexual harassment is being transformed from a social ill to a byproduct of bad governance in public debates on the topics of women's rights and democracy.
 Emma Kathleen Deputy, University of Texas, Austin
 Emma.Deputy@gmail.com
- 4:52 **The Gendered Presidential Couple in Popular Culture**
 Popular culture continues to reinforce norms of a masculine president and feminine spouse. Shows such as *The West Wing*, *24*, and *Commander in Chief* portray presidents in masculine terms (even if women) and spouses as feminine (even if men).
 Karna S. Hoffman, Marquette University
 karnahoffman@marquette.edu
- 5:04 **I Vote Therefore I Am: Political Affiliates toward Gay and Lesbians as an Expression of Identity**
 This paper takes cultural and consumer indicators as predictors of attitudes toward policies dealing with gay and lesbian rights to determine whether political attitudes are related to a fundamental notion of personal identity.
 Andrew Bryce Myers, University of Kansas
 abryce@myers@gmail.com
- 5:16 **Beyond Tolerance: Same-sex Marriages and the American Professional Class**
 The paper argues that the degree to which American moral, legal, educational and political institutions have embraced same-sex marriage is explained by the extent to which they are dominated by the country's professional class.
 Daniel E. Paul, Williams College
 dpaul@williams.edu
- 5:28 **The Masculine and the Feminine: Ontology of Dichotomy Contributing to our Half-humanness**
 Drawing from diverse areas of research, this piece is an attempt to get at the foundations of gender differences, the public and private institutions that perpetuate them, and the de-humanizing effects they have on individuals, male and female alike.
 Nora Wull, University of Illinois, Chicago
 nwull17@uic.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., MaryAnne Borwell, Connecticut College**
 mbor@conncoll.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 56-6 **Prosperity Gospel and Politics**
 Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 4:35 **Chair, Andrew James Schlewitz, Grand Valley State University**
 aschlewit@gvsu.edu
- 4:48 **The Battle for Black America's Soul: Competing Theologies and the Future of Black Politics**
 This paper develops measurements of particular religious interpretations found in the Black religious community and examines how they correlate with values and policy preferences.
 Eric L. McDaniel, University of Texas
 emcdaniel@mail.utexas.edu
- 5:00 **God's Economics: Global Pentecostalism and the Prosperity Gospel**
 This paper analyzes the relationship between the prosperity gospel and economic attitudes.
 Almee Rolle, Georgetown University
 ar147@georgetown.edu
 Clyde Wilcox, Georgetown University
 wilcox@georgetown.edu
- 5:28 **Disc., Ross Astorla, University of California, Berkeley**
 rastorla@berkeley.edu
- 5:48 **Audience Discussion**
- 57-108 **Political Science 2.0: Tools for Political Scientists**
 Room Spire Parker on the 6th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 Advances in information technology on the Internet have not only transformed politics, but also research and teaching in political science. Roundtable panelists will discuss their projects and online resources for research and teaching.
Chair Janet M. Box-Steffensmeier, Ohio State University
 jsteffensmeier.2@osu.edu
Panelist Jerry Goldman, Northwestern University
 j-goldman@northwestern.edu
 Lynette Hoelter, University of Michigan
 lhoelter@is.umich.edu
 Charles L. Pryby, University of North Carolina, Greensboro
 pryby@uncg.edu
 Bryan D. Jones, University of Texas, Austin
 bdjones@utmsi.utexas.edu
 Craig Leonard Britton, Virginia Tech University
 cbritton@vt.edu

- 59-201 American Politics Poster II**
Room Grand on the 4th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
- Post. 1 Immigration Clarification: Discovering the True Sources of Americans' Anti-Immigration Sentiments Toward Hispanics**
 I explore attitudes toward Hispanic immigrants and immigration policy using survey-embedded experiments and a nationally-representative telephone sample of white adults (N=235).
Charles Scott Bell, Appalachian State University
 bellcs@appstate.edu
- Post. 2 Measuring Effects of Supreme Court Rulings: Affirmative Action after Grutter**
 Tests the assertion that Court rulings have little to no positive effect on social change.
Brenda Cheek-Lucas, Indiana University
 lucasb1@iuglobal.net
- Post. 3 If Only I Can, I Must: An Examination of the History, Consequences, and Use of the Presidential Pardon Power**
 Every year, millions of crimes are committed and thousands of people go to jail. So, why is it that only a very small percentage are fortunate enough to receive presidential pardons? Why do some presidents use this power to pardon more than others?
Tim Collins, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
 timcollins@unl.edu
- Post. 4 Do Senators Respond to Minority Voters?: Latino Turnout and Representation**
 We examine how Latino voter turnout influences Latino representation in the United States Senate. We test competing hypotheses: whether greater Latino turnout leads to better representation of their interests or whether a "backlash" occurs.
Jeffrey Alton Fiske, Clemson University
 jfiske@clemson.edu
James M. Avery, Richard Stockton College of New Jersey
 James.Avery@stockton.edu
- Post. 5 Measuring Life Experiences: Does the Interaction of Life-Cycle Events Affect Behavior?**
 This paper examines whether the influence of life-cycle events on political behavior is dependent upon both the order in which events occur and the timing of the events in one's life.
Craig Fritzell, University of Wisconsin, Parkside
 cfritzell@uwp.edu
- Post. 6 Interest Groups That Focus on Torture: The National Connection of Torture Treatment Programs and the Torture Abolition and Survivor Support Coalition**
 There are two major interest groups in the U.S. that educate and mobilize the public on officially-sanctioned torture in an effort to influence related policy. This paper details their policy niches, funding bases, and modes of interaction.
Maggalyn Ann Guzzo, University of Illinois, Chicago
 mguzzo2@uic.edu
- Post. 7 Barack Obama, the Aloha Zen President**
 Barack Obama is the most misunderstood president in American history. His personality, political philosophy (communarianism), and temperament are all derived from his adherence to the 12 principles of Hawaii's multicultural ethos.
Michael Heas, California Polytechnic University, Pomona
 mheas@cpu.com
- Post. 8 Rhetoric and Campaign Language: Explaining New Electoral Success of African American Politicians in Non-Minority Districts**
 This study examines the use of deracialized speech by African American politicians. I test whether or not successful African American politicians use deracialized speech more than unsuccessful ones.
Precheus Hall, Georgia State University
 phall1@gsu.edu
- Post. 9 Initiatives as Revealed Preferences: A Geographic Approach**
 How can we use initiative results to measure the representativeness of a districting plan? By using initiative results at the precinct level, we can use GIS tools to link district behavior to their members' voting patterns.
Justin Mark Levitt, University of California, San Diego
 jlevitn@ucsd.edu
- Post. 10 Tea Party Enthusiasm: A Story of Racial Threat?**
 This paper tests a racial threat hypothesis vis-à-vis Tea Party movement enthusiasm. It finds a positive relationship between changes in the density of racial minorities in a locale, and local Tea Party meeting attendance.
Jonathan Mumutok, Georgetown University
 jon.mumutok@gmail.com
- Post. 11 Property and Progress of Science and Arts**
 Discussion on a robust copyright system to promote individual autonomy and societal responsibility in the use and production of literary and artistic works. Paper explores the relationship between normative social orders and the legal system.
Allan Ng, Mississippi College School of Law
 ng@mc.edu
- Post. 12 New Media and the Institutional Presidency**
 Due to new media this paper hypothesizes a decrease in presidential/press contact. The hypothesis is examined by tracking presidential interviews, institutional changes during the Obama administration, and White House new media output.
Harold Nelson Omdorff, Miami University
 omdorffh@muohio.edu
- Post. 13 Republican and Democratic Elites on Climate Change: A Content Analysis of Leadership Positions**
 The paper examines if Republican and Democratic leaders' positions on climate change have changed or remained the same during the last ten years.
Jacob Solberg, Stony Brook University
 jacobsolberg@gmail.com
- Post. 14 Beware the Mama Grizzlies: The Rise of "Right-Wing Feminism"**
 What do we make of the rise of conservative female candidates across the American political landscape? This paper evaluates the rise of "mama grizzlies" and argues that it should be countered with robust feminist critique.
E. Howell Williams, New School for Social Research
 h.khowell.williams@gmail.com
Disc., Stephen C. Brooks, University of Akron
 sbrooks@uakron.edu
Disc., Patrick C. Melnick, University of Oklahoma
 melnick@ou.edu
Disc., Joseph E. Uscinski, University of Miami
 uscinski@miami.edu
- 64-104 Outstanding Professional Achievement Award of the Midwest Women's Caucus for Political Science**
Room Honore on the Lobby Level, Thur at 4:35 pm
 This roundtable presents the Outstanding Professional Achievement Award of the Midwest Women's Caucus to Amy G. Mazur. The award recognizes substantial contributions to scholarship and mentoring to women in the profession.
Chair Candice Ortobak, Pepperdine University
 cortsak@pepperdine.edu
Panelist Andrew M. Appleton, Washington State University
 appleton@wsu.edu
Frank R. Baumgartner, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 frankb@unc.edu
S. Laurel Weidon, Purdue University
 weidons@purdue.edu

- 65-1 **Poetry, History, and Statelessness**
 Indiana on the 3rd floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 Chair, Daniel Badi, *Wittenberg University*
 dbadi@wittenberg.edu
- 4:40 **Whose Enlightenment is the Right Enlightenment? What Cicero's De Legibus Can Teach Us About the Dispute Between Locke and Rousseau**
 This paper offers preliminary reflections on what Cicero, the first political philosopher to speak about natural law, can teach us about the differences between Locke and Rousseau and about contemporary disputes arising from those differences.
 Timothy William Caspar, *Hillsdale College*
 tcaspar@hillsdale.edu
- 4:52 **A Naturally Disruptive War: The Question of Nature in Thucydides' Political History**
 This paper focuses on the narrative report of those natural disasters that the actors of the History consider to be divine punishments in order to highlight their importance to the historiographical principles of Thucydides' political work.
 Bernard Joseph Dobski, *Assumption College*
 bdobski@assumption.edu
- 5:04 **After the Fall: Athenian Deliberative Democracy in Xenophon's "Hellenika"**
 Xenophon's "Hellenika" depicts Athenian democracy as a regime of extraordinary resilience in adversity, which quickly recovered political prominence after its defeat by Sparta - a restoration made possible by its capacity for political deliberation.
 David A. Gish, *College of the Holy Cross*
 dgish@holycross.edu
- 5:16 **Rhetoric, Ideology, and Conflict in Selected Classical Greek Dramas: The Search for Stability in the Polis**
 Engaging a dramaturgical frame, we employ selected Classical Greek dramas to explore how ideology and discourse provide the polis with a cultural matrix to develop strategies and courses of action that may achieve stability in an age of civil war.
 Erik W. Kuller, *George Mason University*
 kuller@cox.net
 Cecile L. McNeely, *George Mason University*
 mcneec@gmu.edu
- 5:28 **Sanctifying the Tongue and the Brain: Cicero's Return to Isocrates**
 Cicero attempted to solve the problem of tyranny by uniting oratory/ statecraft and philosophy. According to Cicero Socrates was responsible for separating the two. To sanctify them Cicero returned to the "older masters," especially Isocrates.
 Elizabeth A. L'Arrivee, *University of Notre Dame*
 emleod@nd.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Elliot Bartley, *Indiana University-Purdue University, Fort Wayne***
 bartley@ipfw.edu
Disc., Kevin M. Cherry, *University of Richmond*
 kevin.moore.cherry@gmail.com
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 71-3 **Political Themes in American Literature: Brackenridge, Irving, Twain and Emerson**
 Room 651 on the 6th floor, Thur at 4:35 pm
 Chair, Joseph H. Lane, *Emory & Henry College*
 jhlane@ehc.edu
- 4:40 **Liberty, Responsibility, and the American Revolution in Irving's *Rip Van Winkle***
 Through the story of the absentee Rip Van Winkle, Washington Irving reflects on the significance of the American Revolution and cautions against understanding liberty as escape from responsibility.
 Elizabeth Suzanne Amato, *Baylor University*
 Elizabeth_Amato@baylor.edu
- 4:55 **Republican Deferrals in Hugh Henry Brackenridge's "Modern Chivalry"**
 Brackenridge's "Modern Chivalry" is the attempt of a self-styled Jeffersonian republican to reconcile the republicanism of an early American classical education with frontier democracy.
 Bruce Edward Caswell, *Rowan University*
 caswell@rowan.edu
- 5:10 **Emerson's Democratic Pluralism in *Representative Men***
 In *Representative Men*, Emerson responds to Carlyle's anti-democratic occasionalism by marrying a Platonic model of the purpose of politics (excellence/wisdom) to an egalitarian transcendental ontology.
 Brian Flanagan, *American University*
 bflanagn@american.edu
- 5:25 **Mark Twain's *Tale of Today***
 A reading of Warner and Twain's *The Gilded Age* through a lens of Tocqueville shows the proclivity of Americans to embrace schemes privately and publicly due to the same restlessness, materialism, and fluid identity that makes America so dynamic.
 Andrew Scott Herzoff, *California State University, Sacramento*
 aherzof@csus.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Joseph H. Lane, *Emory & Henry College***
 jhlane@ehc.edu
Disc., James Seaton, *Michigan State University*
 seaton@msu.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion

Friday, April 1 at 8:30 am

- 1-105 Citizen-Government Linkages and Representation**
Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 How parties represent citizens is of central concern. This panel brings together scholars who have explored the topic from a variety of perspectives.
Chair Michael D. McDonald, *SUNY Binghamton*
 mdmcd@binghamton.edu
Panelist Bonnie M. Meguid, *University of Rochester*
 bonnie.meguid@rochester.edu
 Laurence Ezrow, *University of Essex*
 ezrow@essex.ac.uk
 Raymond Duch, *University of Oxford*
 raymond.duch@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
 Ori H. Kedar, *Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
 okedar@mscc.huji.ac.il
- 2-5 Heterogeneity and Welfare Policies**
Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair Vincent A. Mahler, *Loyola University, Chicago*
 vmahler@luc.edu
- 8-35 Social Heterogeneity, Political Competition and Attitudes Toward Economic Inequality**
 In this paper, I develop and test a theory of how the nature of political competition in a country interacts with social heterogeneity to produce between and within group comparisons with regard to economic inequality.
 Kent Frazier, *Duke University*
 keff3@duke.edu
- 8-50 Explaining Income Inequality: Testing Competing Explanations With Land Inequality Data**
 Why is Latin America more unequal and Asia more equal than our models predict? Understanding the role of agricultural inequality sheds light on this puzzle and explains why some societies are more equal and some less than the Kuznets curve predicts.
 Andrew S. Pennock, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 apennock@email.unc.edu
- 9-05 Welfare Policies and Muslims in Western Europe and the United States**
 Why have ultra-right wing politicians and activists in Western Europe long been accusing Muslim minorities of exploiting the welfare resources of their host countries, while such claims are largely absent in the American context?
 Abdulkader Sinno, *Indiana University*
 wsinnoo@indiana.edu
 Eren Tabari, *Kollier College*
 etabari@indiana.edu
- 9-20 Variations in Social Policy Extensions Across OECD Countries: Is Population Heterogeneity a Credible Explanation?**
 Using unbalanced panel data covering 1980 to 2005, this paper seeks to explain the roles of population heterogeneity in determining the form and magnitude of social policies across 17 OECD countries.
 Udaya H. Wagle, *Western Michigan University*
 udaya.wagle@wmich.edu
- 9-35 Disc., Michael Baggeres Kiltgaard, University of Southern Denmark**
 mbk@sam.sdu.dk
Disc., Vincent A. Mahler, Loyola University, Chicago
 vmahler@luc.edu
- 9-55 Audience Discussion**
- 4-5 Dominant Parties**
Room Indiana on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair Steve B. Leda, *Kent State University*
 lem@kenttown.edu
- 8-35 Victorious Rebels and the Lost Revolution: The Establishment of the Semi-Authoritarian PRI Regime in Mexico**
 We posit that uneven development in large agrarian countries advantages elite groups vis-à-vis mass groups during regime transition. In Mexico landowners and capitalists initially aided the masses, but allied to marginalize them in the new regime.
 Vyasbjit Banerjee, *Indiana University, Bloomington*
 vbanerje@indiana.edu
 Tribi Ann Gibson, *Indiana University*
 tagibson@indiana.edu
- 8-50 The Nature of Partisanship in Dominant Party Systems: Evidence From Botswana**
 Using a combination of Afrobarometer data, election data, census data, and party manifestos, this paper attempts to tease out the complexity of partisan attachments in Botswana's dominant party system.
 Stephanie M. Burchard, *Alice University*
 smburchard@alice.edu
- 9-05 Unified Goals?: Subnational Party Formation in Traditionally Closed National Systems**
 We apply existing guidelines for measuring the openness of party systems to electoral codes from all 32 Mexican states. Against common conceptualizations of Mexico as a closed party system, we show states differ dramatically in party formation rules.
 Matthew Cairns Ingham, *University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth*
 mingham@umassd.edu
 Imke Harbers, *University of Amsterdam*
 i.harbers@uva.nl
- 9-20 The Regime Party as an Instrument of Authoritarian Co-optation and Control**
 Only some dictatorships establish a ruling party that serves to create and maintain a loyal, popular base for the regime. I explain when and why a ruling party is a particularly effective tool of authoritarian control.
 Milan Svobik, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 msvobik@illinois.edu
- 9-25 Disc., Gary Goodman, Marshall Goldsmith School of Management**
 ggoodman2@galliant.edu
Disc., Adam Ziegfeld, Nuffield College, Oxford
 adam.ziegfeld@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
- 9-55 Audience Discussion**
- 5-20 The Development in Mexico**
Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair Bryan C. Williams, *Mercer University*
 williams_bc@mercer.edu
- 8:30 Detecting Fraud in Mexican Local Elections**
 This paper explores the scope of electoral fraud in Mexican gubernatorial elections during the 1995-2009 period.
 Francisco A. Cantu, *University of California, San Diego*
 fcantu@ucsd.edu
- 8:55 Eroded Unity and Clientel Migration: An Alternative Explanation of Mexico's Democratic Transition**
 The PRI remained as Mexico's dominant party until the mid-1990s thanks to its client unity. Once the 1996 electoral reform eroded its cohesion, many of its cadres and clientel machines migrated to other parties, leading to Mexico's democratization.
 Sebastián Garrido de Sierra, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 segasi@ucla.edu
- 9:15 The Institutional Quality of the Mexican Regime: A Clientelism Based Regime?**
 The conventional wisdom sets the 2000 presidential election as Mexico's transition to democracy. While party turnover is one

- indicator of the institutional quality of the regime, it should not be the single one. Clientelism is a critical component.
Patricia Mendoza, Claremont Graduate University
 patti_mendoza@comcast.com
- 9:35 **Discussion, JBA**
 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-6 **Regime Stability and Natural Resources**
 Room Crestbill on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Joel Sawat Selway, Brigham Young University**
 joel_selway@byu.edu
- 8:35 **Oil Impact on Corruption in Post-Communist Countries**
 Dependency of the nature of relationship between oil and gas revenues and corruption on the quality of institutions. A cross-national analysis with a focus on a post-communist region.
Nurjamil Kerimova Omarikayeva, University of Konstanz
 Nurjamil.Omarikayeva@uni-konstanz.de
- 8:55 **Fragile States, Stable Institutions—Dynamic Cycle in Chinese History: A Comparative Perspective**
 This paper attempts to address how the Central Autocratic System shapes the pattern of political stability of the Chinese states and the consistency of non-democratic regime in the Chinese history.
Gang Wang, University of California, Berkeley
 wanggang@berkeley.edu
- 9:15 **Reinstitutionalization Through Taxation: Business Politics in Transitional China**
 To explain local variations of institutional performance, I argue that a region's major industries, especially the mass mobility, shape the de facto power of private entrepreneurs to change the formal institution's effectiveness.
Chuangdong Zhang, University of Washington
 zhangcd@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Joel Sawat Selway, Brigham Young University**
 joel_selway@byu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-6 **Citizens' Understanding of Democracy**
 Room LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Sonja Zmerli, Goethe University, Frankfurt**
 zmerli@gg.ru-darmstadt.de
- 8:35 **Determinants of Heterogeneity in Citizens' Conceptions of Democracy**
 This paper seeks to examine what individual and contextual determinants explain cross-national variation in conceptions of democracy in Latin America.
Danielys Canache, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
 dcanache@illinois.edu
- 8:50 **Can Earthquakes Shake Public Support for Democracy? Evidence from the AmericasBarometer 2010 Chile Survey**
 We assess the public opinion consequences of Chile's magnitude 8.8 earthquake and aftermath using data from the 2010 AmericasBarometer survey and matching methods. We conclude the disaster decreased both specific and diffuse democratic support.
Ryan Edward Carlin, Georgia State University
 rcarlin@gsu.edu
- Gregory Love, University of Mississippi**
 gllove@olemiss.edu
- Elizabeth Zechmeister, Vanderbilt University**
 liz.zechmeister@vanderbilt.edu
- 9:45 **Hierarchical Model of Democratic Attitudes: Cognition, Affect, and Commitment**
 I argue that democratic attitude consists of three dimensions, cognition, affection, and cognition. I assessed democratic legitimacy across these three dimensions and found that those with strong democratic attitudes critically perceive their regime.
Youngho Cho, University of Missouri
 ycho62@mail.missouri.edu
- 9:20 **Does Political Knowledge Affect the Quality of Democracy? A Comparative Study**
 This paper aims to examine the relationship between political knowledge and the quality of democracy in a broad set of countries, taking into account the potential effect of different institutional characteristics.
Marco Lisi, University of Lisbon
 marcolisi@apo.pt
- 9:35 **Disc., Jia Lu, American University**
 jlu@american.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-5 **Policy and Its Implementation in the European Union**
 Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Ioannis Livanos, Northeastern University**
 i.livanos@neu.edu
- 8:35 **Does the EU Have a Transposition Problem? A Sector Analysis**
 A logit analysis conducted on an original dataset of EU directives shows significant variation in transposition rates between the sectors, suggesting transposition problems may be linked to factors other than country- or directive-specific issues.
Kristen L. Piquero, Georgetown University
 kpquero@gmail.com
- 8:47 **Policy Options Under Consideration: Cost-Benefit Analysis, Competition Impacts and Welfare Effects of Periodic Technical Inspections of Road Vehicles in the EU. Empirical Results**
 The periodic testing of road vehicles in the EU varies. Therefore 15 different testing cycles for passenger cars exist. With a cost-benefit approach the welfare effects of different testing cycles are estimated for Belgium and Germany.
Wolfgang Hermann Schulz, Freie Universität
 wh.schulz@wiwi-foel.de
- 9:59 **Harmonizing Traffic Safety in the European Union: The Role of Public Opinions and Interest Groups**
 We investigate variables within the member states of the EU that are hindering attempts to harmonize road safety policies in its member states. Particular emphasis is placed upon variables contributing to traffic fatalities and Eurobarometer data.
Kelly B. Shaw, Drake University
 kelly.shaw@drake.edu
- Lillard Richardson, University of Missouri**
 richardsole@missouri.edu
- 9:11 **EU Environmental Policies at the National Level: What Factors Ensure the Greatest Policy Convergence?**
 Competing explanations of EU sustainable development policy convergence are compared: institutional theories regarding the cost of compliance, governance theories addressing veto points, and pressure theories examining the impact of public opinion.
Kyle M. Smith, Purdue University
 smith443@purdue.edu
- 9:23 **The Rationale for Disputes Over Directive Implementation in the European Union**
 I use the results of interviews with legal experts of EU law as well as the results from an original dataset that contains the universe of directives to be implemented to evaluate the factors which cause implementation problems in the EU.
Martha S. Thomas, University of Vermont
 Martha.Thomas@uvm.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Ioannis Livanos, Northeastern University**
 i.livanos@neu.edu
- Disc., Adriana S. Petrescu, InnovationTech**
 apetrescu@alumnae.pitt.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 9-3 **International Relations and Migration**
 Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Carla Perez-Arcevalles, Rowan College**
 cperez@rowan.edu
- 8:35 **Mexican Immigration Policy: Changing Strategies and Contributing Factors**
 Mexican immigration policy has experienced a revolution in recent years to include components such as the protection of human rights and the promotion of legality, which is the result of many influencing factors, both domestic and international.
 Marcelle Beaulieu, Tulane University
 mbeaulie@tulane.edu
- 8:47 **Latin American Attitudes Toward China: The role of economics, politics, and class**
 We explore how Latin American export profiles, government and media portrayals of China, and class interests affect individual-level feelings toward China.
 Gregg B. Johnson, Kalpanatra University
 Gregg.Johnson@kalpa.edu
 Jesse T. Watson, Rochester Institute of Technology
 jtwatson@rit.edu
- 8:59 **Mexican Foreign Policy Towards Latin America in the Twenty-First Century**
 This paper analyzes Mexican Foreign Policy towards Latin America in the twenty-first century to demonstrate that President Calderon's strategy tries to restore the increasingly weak position of Mexico's leadership in the region.
 Luis Ochoa-Bibalo, Homestead University Autónoma de Puebla
 ochoabup@gmail.com
 Myrna Rodríguez-Aguilera, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla
 ochoabup@hotmail.com
- 9:11 **Institutional Effects and State Behavior: Measuring the Political Convergence of Latin American Countries in the United Nations**
 In the present paper we aim to evaluate the impact of Latin American regional institutions on promoting political convergence among its members in the United Nations General Assembly, using quantitative analysis to evaluate the votes, 1946-2010.
 Flavio Leao Pinheiro, University of São Paulo - USP, BRAZIL
 pinheiro.f@gmail.com
 Subayla Mohamed Khalil Wlana, Federal Fluminense University
 subaytkhalil@gmail.com
 Daniel Ricardo Carreira, Instituto de Estudos Sociais e Políticos da UERJ
 danric@uesp.br@gmail.com
- 9:23 **EL Colegio en U.S. Scholarship on U.S.-Latin American Relations: A Survey and Critique**
 This paper surveys US scholarship on US-Latin American relations from early Cold War years to the present, with a focus on the shifting prevalence and character of anti-imperialist arguments.
 Andrew James Schlewitz, Grand Valley State University
 schlewit@gvsu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Mario Esteban Carranza, Texas A&M University, Kingsville**
 mcar07@tamuk.edu
 Disc., Andrew James Schlewitz, Grand Valley State University
 schlewit@gvsu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-14 **Legislators and Executives in East Asia**
 Room Montrose 7 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, TSA**
- 8:35 **Two-Party Contest and the Politics of Electoral Reform: The Case of Taiwan**
 This paper examines how parties' strategies have led to electoral reforms in Taiwan in 2005. The in-depth case study of 2005 electoral reform in Taiwan sheds some light on the conditions under which reforms of electoral systems occur.
- Eunjin Chik, Korea University
 ehynke@koc.ac.kr
- 8:55 **How and Why Policy Entrepreneurship Turned Out to be a Crisis of Political Accountability?: A Case Study of the Adversarial Relationship Between Politicians and Bureaucrats in Policy-Making**
 This paper will analyze how and why a program of entrepreneurship turned out to be a crisis of political accountability.
 Wei Li, University of Hong Kong
 cwliwvons@hotmail.com
- 9:15 **Politics, Bureaucracy, and Fiscal Transfers: Explaining Fiscal Transfers From the Central Government to Japan's Prefectures**
 This paper examines the role of the Japanese bureaucracy in the formulation and allocation of fiscal policy. More specifically, it examines whether the bureaucracy is a dominant actor in the formulation and allocation of fiscal transfers.
 Taylor McMichael, Texas Tech University
 taylor.mcmmichael@ttu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Nathan F. Batto, Academia Sinica**
 nbatto@gsu.sinica.edu.tw
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 11-2 **Local Politics and Governance in Africa**
 Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Lauren M. MacLean, Indiana University**
 macleanl@indiana.edu
- 8:35 **International connections between political elites: Their effect on local development and voters' political choices**
 This paper studies whether relationships between chiefs and politicians affect development and elections in Zambia. The paper exploits the exogenous timing of chiefs' deaths to identify these effects.
 Kate Babbwin, Princeton University
 kabbwin@princeton.edu
- 8:50 **The Politics of Local Research Production: A Case Study of Ethnic Competition**
 Using field observations and job applicant data, I present a thick description of the politics of hiring research assistants in rural Malawi in the context of national ethnic competition.
 Kim W. Dione, Texas A&M University
 kdione@tamu.edu
- 9:05 **The Political Logic of Fiscal Distribution in a Single Party Dominant State: Transfers from the National Government to the Local Governments in South Africa**
 To test how the ANC allocates these transfers, I analyze transfers from 2003-2010. Initial results show no support for either a need-based or a punishment hypothesis, and instead suggest that the ANC employs a combination of core and swing rewards.
 Sarah Gray Knooren, University of California, San Diego
 sknooren@ucsd.edu
- 9:10 **Defining Success: Measurement Problems in the Study of Public Goods Provision**
 In weak states, how do we measure what constitutes effective social service provision? Since standard measures of effective service provision are unreliable indicators in situations of extreme poverty, I test a new, functional measurement instrument.
 Laura E. Seay, Morehouse College
 lseay@morehouse.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Eric J. Krauman, University of California, Los Angeles**
 krauman@ucla.edu
 Disc., Lauren M. MacLean, Indiana University
 macleanl@indiana.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 12-5** **America and Americans in the Greater Middle East**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Soula Alameer, University of Texas, Pan American**
 alameer@utpa.edu
- 8:35** **The Normalization of United States-Libya Relations (1990-2006)**
 In 2006, Libya and the United States restored full diplomatic ties. The events of September 11th, 2001 accelerated the normalization process between the two states at a rapid pace after Libya endured two decades of isolation.
Kelly Lynn Goss, DePaul University
 kelligoss@gmail.com
- 8:55** **Lobbying and the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict**
 Looks at Arab and Muslim American efforts to lobby foreign policy toward the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Based on chapter 4 of *The Arab Lobby and US Foreign Policy* (New York: Rowledge, 2010).
Khalil M. Murrar, DePaul University
 Khalil.Murrar@heartland.edu
- 9:13** **Causal Roots of Anti-Americanist Sentiments in the Persian Gulf in the Post-Saddam Era Within the Copenhagen School Theory**
 I will propose multidisciplinary approaches to study the causal roots of anti-Americanist sentiments in the Persian Gulf. In doing so I account better for the complexity of causes than previous research whilst accentuating the opinion polls.
Fatemeh Shayan, University of Tampere
 fatemeh.shayan@uta.fi
- 9:33** **Disc., Soula Alameer, University of Texas, Pan American**
 alameer@utpa.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 13-12** **Gender, Electoral Politics and Policies in Central and Eastern Europe**
Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Margit Tavits, Washington University, St. Louis**
 tavits@wustl.edu
- 8:35** **Candidate Quality and Women Representation in the European Parliament Elections: A Second-Order Dimension**
 By analysis of candidate quality and women representation across first- and second-order elections in the Czech Republic and Slovakia, the paper tries to uncover the second-order approach of political parties to European elections.
Jana Kovár, Metropolitan University, Prague
 jan.kovar@muup.cz
Kamil Kovar, University of Economics Prague
 shabato@gmail.cz
- 8:55** **Gender and Elections in Post-Communist Ukraine**
 We will show that a significant number of Ukrainian female candidates were affiliated with pro-government parties. These parties maintained privileged access to resources, which allowed candidates to overcome obstacles found in other contexts.
Frank C. Thomas, Texas Tech University
 frank.thomas@ttu.edu
Mikhail L. Rybalko, Texas Tech University
 mrl@oil.rybalko@ttu.edu
- 9:15** **The Long Path to Gender Equality: The Case of Post-Communist Central and Eastern Europe**
 This paper attempts to determine the trend in gender inequality in Central and Eastern Europe. It also examines how social factors such as level of education, wealth and mortality rates, family and household indicators affect gender inequality.
Maria Fatsarova, St. Catherine University
 tzintam@hotmail.com
- 9:35** **Disc., Daniel J. Beers, Knox College**
 dbeers@knox.edu
Disc., Erik S. Herron, University of Kansas
 eherron@ku.edu
Disc., Craig Ortney, Indiana University-Purdue University, Fort Wayne
 cortney@ipfw.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 14-6** **Legislatures, Elections, and Fiscal Policy**
Room Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Robert J. Franzese, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor**
 franzese@umich.edu
- 8:35** **What is the Causal Effect of Single-Party (Majority) Government on Fiscal Policy? Evidence From a Regression-Discontinuity Analysis**
 We use a natural experiment to examine the fiscal impact of the type of government. A regression discontinuity analysis in a large panel of parliamentary democracies shows that single-party government lowers the deficit by about 2 percentage points.
Michael Becker, Princeton University
 mbecker@princeton.edu
- 8:50** **Fiscal Policy Management in Latin America: Legislative Bargaining and Fiscal Policy Outcomes**
 I argue that characteristics (nature, size, and durability) of legislative government coalition explain the size and trend of budget balance in Latin America.
Néstor Camilo Costas-de-Aragona, University of Pittsburgh
 ncostasde@gmail.com
- 9:05** **Treated Politicians, Treated Voters: A Natural Experiment to Estimate Electoral Effects on Fiscal Expenditure**
 In Japan, the timing of a municipal election can be regarded as a treatment naturally and randomly assigned. We take advantage of this natural experiment and show that the municipal government's fiscal expenditure increases before their election.
Ken-iro Fukumoto, Gakushuin University
 Ken-iro.Fukumoto@gakushuin.ac.jp
Yusaku Horiochi, Australian National University
 yusaku.horiochi@anu.edu.au
- 9:20** **Fiscal Policy in Good Times and Bad Times: Endogenous Time Horizons and Pro-Cyclical Spending**
 This paper discusses how public spending responds to changes in the business cycle. We develop a political economy model of pro-cyclical spending and present an empirical application to the evolution of fiscal policy in Argentina, 1900-2004.
Pablo Martín Ploto, Columbia University
 pp2162@columbia.edu
Martin Jorge Ardana, Columbia University
 mja2126@columbia.edu
Santiago Miguel Ploto, West Virginia University
 smploto@mail.wvu.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Robert J. Franzese, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor**
 franzese@umich.edu
Disc., Stephanie J. Rickard, London School of Economics
 stephanie.rickard@gmail.com
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 15-6** **Political Economy of Exchange Rates and Monetary Institutions**
Room Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Jesse Russell, Seton Hall University**
 russelje@shu.edu
- 8:35** **Inflation, Uncertainty and the Decision to Devalue**
 In the face of large negative external shock, does inflation uncertainty matter when countries are deciding to devalue their currency?
Miriam Blazovich, Georgia State University
 econibx@langate.gsu.edu

- 8:47** **Political Determinants for Realignments of Fixed Exchange Rates**
This paper intends to examine the political determinants for the realignments of fixed exchange rates across the lifespan of EMS.
Cristian Bodea, Michigan State University
bodeac@msu.edu
Hajin-Hahn Park, Michigan State University
parkhs@msu.edu
- 8:59** **Social Trust and the Probability of Institutional Reform: The Case of Central-Bank Independence**
We study the effect of social trust on the probability of central-bank independence reforms. Theoretically, trust can have a negative or positive effect. Our empirical investigation uses a new dataset on central-bank reform in 132 countries.
Sven-Olov Daunfeldt, Raitis
sven-olov.daunfeldt@raio.se
Jürgen Hellmann, Umeå University
juergen.hellmann@econ.umu.se
Niclas Berggren, The Ratio Institute
niclas.berggren@ratio.se
- 9:11** **Speculative Sovereignty: Internet Effects on Currency Volatility**
This paper theorizes that Internet diffusion is correlated with currency price and volume volatility, and combines traditional statistical methods with market technical indicators to explore the correlation.
James D. Fielder, University of Iowa
james-fielder@iowa.edu
- 9:23** **Presidential Systems, Economic Structures, and Exchange Rate Volatility**
Presidential systems are susceptible to exchange rate instability if the economy is export-oriented. Empirical analysis shows.
Myunghwan Son, University of Missouri, Columbia
kalkus@gmail.com
- 9:35** **Disc., Ana Carolina Garriga, CIDE**
carogarriga@gmail.com
Disc., Jesse Russell, Seton Hall University
russelje@shu.edu
- 9:45** **Audience Discussion**
- 15-10** **Survey Research and Individual Preferences in IPE**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Jens Hahnmueller, Harvard University**
jhanmueller@gmail.com
- 8:35** **Included on the Rocks: The Political Economy of a Tivvle Vote**
We examine individual level determinants of the vote on the Tivvle referendum held in Iceland in March of 2010.
David Leblang, University of Virginia
leblang@virginia.edu
Joe Jupille, University of Colorado
Joseph.jupille@colorado.edu
- 8:50** **Does Economic Globalization Influence the U.S. Policy Mood?: A Study of U.S. Public Sentiment, 1954-2004**
Does economic globalization influence voter sentiment in the U.S.? We expect voters demand larger (smaller) government when the economic position of the US is weaker (stronger). We show that trade and financial flows strongly influence policy mood.
Erica L. O'Keefe, Nikeas Center for Globalization and Governance
eowen@georgetown.edu
Deanna F. Quinn, Georgetown University
QUINNDF@GEORGETOWN.EDU
- 9:05** **Individual Determinants of Foreign Aid Support: Do Individuals Think Like States?**
Do the determinants of individual support for foreign economic aid mirror the apparent motives behind state giving? Current theories on why states give aid include economic, strategic, and altruistic factors. I explore these at the individual level.
Lauren Rochelle Prather, Stanford University
lprather@stanford.edu
- 9:20** **Labor Mobility, Individual Trade Preferences, and Compensation Policy: Evidence From U.S. Survey Data**
This paper examines interindustry labor mobility (ILM), individual trade preference, and compensation policy. It uses US survey data to show higher ILM levels lead to more support of free trade and less support of broad compensation policies.
Qiang Zhou, University of Chicago
qiangz@uchicago.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Margaret E. Peters, Stanford University**
mepeters@stanford.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 16-6** **To Lead or Mislead: Elites, Information Asymmetries and International Conflict**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Sam R. Bell, Kansas State University**
sbell3@ks-state.edu
- 8:35** **Democracy and Diversionary War: Disentangling Diversionary Incentives in Parliamentary Democracies**
This article exploits the endogenous timing of elections and leader removal in parliamentary systems to examine the impact of international conflict on the tenure prospects of democratic leaders.
Brett Allen Casper, New York University
bac327@nyu.edu
- 8:50** **How "Local" is Domestic Politics? Democratic Politics and the Strategic Behavior of International Opponents**
We investigate if the domestic political conditions of a state affect the conflict behavior of international opponents. We address divergent conclusions from the literature on whether opponents act strategically or pursue dominant strategies.
Michael T. Koch, Texas A&M University
mtkoch@polisci.tamu.edu
Benjamin Thelen, Texas A&M University
btthelen@polisci.tamu.edu
- 9:05** **Radio Free USA: Elite Framing of Foreign Policy Issues and Its Implication for Democratic Security Behavior**
This paper presents experimental evidence that captures changes in voters' attitudes toward international conflict in response to mass media strategies consistent with prior United States attempts to manipulate public opinion.
Neill A. Mohammad, University of Michigan
neilla@umich.edu
- 9:20** **Risk of Military Defection and Foreign Conflict during Authoritarian Regime Crises**
Strains on military-government relations during authoritarian regime crises may lead the military to defect from the regime. The paper argues that hardliner incumbents may respond to the threat of defection by entering into a foreign conflict.
Susanne Mueller, University of Chicago
susannemueller@uchicago.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Sam R. Bell, Kansas State University**
sbell3@ks-state.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 17-7** **Securing the Homeland: The Threat from Domestic Terrorism**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Edna Mujica, Auburn University Montgomery**
emujica@aum.edu
- 8:35** **Domestic Versus Transnational Terrorism: Data, Decomposition, and Dynamics**
We separate the Global Terrorism Database (GTD) into transnational and domestic incidents. We investigate the dynamic aspects of GTD domestic and transnational terrorist incidents, based on the categorized data. Policy conclusions are drawn.

- Walter Enders, *University of Alabama*
wenders@aba.ua.edu
Todd Sandler, *University of Texas, Dallas*
tsandler@utdallas.edu
- 8:50 **Understanding Domestic Radicalism in the U.S.: The New Front in the Struggle Against Muslim Extremism**
The paper examines the efforts of the American security community to understand and tackle the emerging threat of Muslim radicalism in the US. The paper will help law-enforcement agencies in better understand and address the challenge.
Muqdeer Khan, *University of Delaware*
mkhan@udel.edu
Sara Chelabi, *University of Delaware*
chelab@udel.edu
- 9:05 **Peace and Right Innovation, Adaptation, and the Threat of WMD: Research from the American Radical Right**
This project seeks to identify the factors which prompt terrorist innovation and adaptation in order to determine the feasibility of a WMD attack from domestic right-wing extremists – in particular the American Christian Patriot movement.
Nina Kollars, *Ohio State University*
kollars.3@osu.edu
Paul D. Brister, *Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey*
pabrister@nps.edu
- 9:20 **The Evolution of Intelligence After 9/11: The Case of the Jihadist Threat in Portugal**
This paper will go through the most visible manifestations of jihadist terrorism recorded in Portugal since 9/11. It will also examine the Portuguese response to terrorism at the intelligence level, comparing it to that of other major countries.
Maria do Céu Pinto, *Universidade do Aveiro*
ceupm@feg.ua.pt
- 9:35 **Disc., Bernard J. Brister, Royal Military College of Canada**
Bernard.Brister@rmcc.ca
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-6 **Alliances and Conflict**
Room LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Karisha D. Bond, University of Maryland**
karisha@umd.edu
- 8:35 **Kenneth Waltz and the World War I Alliance System**
The paper uses primary source data from the run-up to World War I to challenge Waltz's assertion that in a multipolar world a smaller or equal power can drag another great power to war (i.e., what theorists have termed the "chain-gang phenomenon").
Nathan Gonzalez, *University of California, Los Angeles*
natzung@ucla.edu
- 8:55 **Alliance Treaty Obligations and War Intervention**
This study analyzes the effects of different alliance treaty obligations on states' war intervention behavior. As a result, this study provides a more comprehensive accounting of the effects of alliances on war.
Jesse C. Johnson, *Rice University*
jjohnson@rice.edu
- 9:15 **The Balance of Power Theory and a Missing Condition: The Function of Coordination in the Case of the Alliances That Successfully Prevented Hegemony in the Post-1672 European States-System**
Great powers threatened by the rise of a potential hegemon in a multipolar system face a collective action problem and fail to stop the challenger. They create a successful alliance when there is a great power with high level of security and wealth.
Jean-Bertrand Ribot, *Indiana University*
jribot@indiana.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Karisha D. Bond, University of Maryland**
Karisha@umd.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-23 **Violence During Civil Wars**
Room Bunting 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Kristine Eck, Uppsala University**
kristine.eck@pu.u.se
- 8:35 **If Civil War is Costly, Why Do the Poor Indulge in this 'Luxury'?**
A quantitative study of the causes of civil war, with a focus on measures of poverty and socioeconomic freedom. We investigate the time period 1985-2007.
Indra De Soyza, *Norwegian University of Science and Technology*
indra.de.soyza@ntnu.no
Tor Georg Jakobsen, *Norwegian University of Science and Technology*
torgej@mid.ntnu.no
- 8:47 **Grievance, Opportunity and Violence: Explaining Subnational Variation in Civil War**
This study extends the arguments of civil war onset to explain variation in levels of violence.
Wendy L. Hansen, *University of New Mexico*
whansen@unm.edu
Prakash Adhikari, *University of New Mexico*
prakash@unm.edu
- 8:59 **Commodity Price Cycles and Domestic Armed Violence**
Using resource curse literature as a point of departure, paper explores the relationship, if any, between resource price fluctuations, regime stability, and the likelihood of domestic armed violence.
Robert B. Pucker, *Pennsylvania State University*
rpucker@psu.edu
- 9:11 **The Medium- and Long-Term Consequences of Civil Wars on Citizens' Health Situations**
This paper focuses on the longer-term effects of civil wars on health situations both in the afflicted and the neighbouring countries. Correspondingly, the analysis also takes in account the spatial structure of diseases.
Susanna Shikama, *University of Konstanz*
susanna.shikama@uni-konstanz.de
Peter Eckert, *University of Konstanz*
peter.eckert@uni-konstanz.de
- 9:23 **When Do the People Back the Perpetrators? Popular Support for Violence During Civil Wars**
Using a survey conducted across twelve war-torn countries, this paper examines the macro and micro-level factors explaining popular support for the resort to various types of violence during civil wars.
Geoffrey Wallace, *University of Kentucky*
geoffrey.wallace@uky.edu
Jai Kwam Jung, *George Washington University*
jjung@gwu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Kristine Eck, Uppsala University**
kristine.eck@pu.u.se
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 20-5 **Non-governmental Organizations and International Cooperation**
Room Salvo 8 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Moonheek Kim, University of Colorado, Boulder**
moonheek.kim@colorado.edu
- 8:35 **Non-state actor legitimacy in international relations**
This paper takes initial steps towards a relational theory of advocacy-legitimacy in international relations, part of a broader theoretical exploration of the domestic sources of state compliance with international regulatory agreements.
Ellen Guterman, *Gleendon College, York University*
eguterman@gleendon.yorku.ca

- 8:55 **Global Civil Society on the March?: Explaining the Outcome of the Negotiations at the 2010 Review Conference of the Rome Treaty of the International Criminal Court**
The creation of the ICC is considered a global civil society triumph. However, a closer look at the Rome Treaty and subsequent debates reveals a number of setbacks for the Court's supporters. The culprit could be none other than the NGOs themselves.
Mediter Mirani, *George Washington University*
mediter.mirani@gmail.com
- 9:15 **When and Why Do IGOs Grant Formal Access Rights to NGOs?**
This paper explains why IGOs grant formal access rights to NGOs. IGOs act as principals and reach out to NGOs when cases hinder the IGO's mission. The theoretical argument is complemented by an original dataset of IGO-NGO access rights.
Kelsey Anne Vabulak, *University of Chicago*
kavabulak@uchicago.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Moqinawik Kim, *University of Colorado, Boulder***
moqinawik.kim@colorado.edu
Disc., Diana L. O'Bryan, *Miami University*
obryanl1@miamioh.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-6 **When Do Ethnicity and Nationalism Matter Politically?**
Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Stephen Rhyne Bloom, *Southern Illinois University***
bloom@siu.edu
- 8:35 **Dynamic Indicators of Unemployment and the Extreme Right Vote**
This paper tests the effect of varying levels of unemployment on the support for extreme right parties across Latvia's 118 municipalities in the 2010 parliamentary contest.
Stephen Rhyne Bloom, *Southern Illinois University*
bloom@siu.edu
- 8:50 **Discordant Ethnicity: Moderation in Ethnic Elections**
This paper analyzes patterns of support for multi-ethnic and non-nationalist parties using precinct-level electoral data from the 2006 and 2010 general elections in Bosnia and Herzegovina.
John W. Hulse, *James Madison University*
jhulsej@jmu.edu
- 9:05 **Nationalism and the Closed Economy**
This paper studies the dynamic process of unilaterally closing and then opening a national economy in a two-period game of national integration. The results are applied to the Chinese and Indian cases of successful liberalization.
Ishan Joshi, *Cornell University*
ishjosi101@gmail.com
- 9:20 **Making Majorities: The Politicization of Immigrant Identities by Majoritarian Parties in France**
I examine and test the determinants of variation in the identity categories the French Socialists and Gaullists highlight in their appeals to, and mobilization agendas, voters of immigrant descent over the period of 1990 through 2008.
Jennifer Miller, *University of Michigan*
milljean@umich.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Tony L. Hill, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology***
th@alum.mit.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-7 **Voting Costs and Incentives**
Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Brian J. Gales, *University of Illinois***
bgales@illinois.edu
- 8:35 **Incentives and Voter Turnout: A Randomized Field Experiment**
We provide incentives of varying values to randomly selected voters (with a no-incentive control group) to assess whether offering incentives for participation increases voter turnout.
Damon M. Cann, *Utah State University*
damon.cann@usu.edu
- 4:50 **The Rise of Peripheral Participants: What Happens When Participation is Made Easy**
The Rise of Peripheral Participants: What Happens When Participation is Made Easy
Elina Adriane de Rooij, *University of Oxford*
elina.derooij@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
- 9:05 **The Costs of Vetoes: Improving Specialization by Observing Multiple Cases**
Poll consolidation during the 2003 CA gubernatorial recall is leveraged as a natural experiment to provide insight into how the changes in the cost of voting affect political participation.
John E. McNulty, *SONY, Binghamton*
jmcnulty@binghamton.edu
Henry E. Brady, *University of California, Berkeley*
hbrady@berkeley.edu
- 9:20 **The Changing Context of Collective Action: Community Participation in Mexico, 2004-2008**
This paper explores the degree to which individual determinants of collective action remain stable or change over time and under different conditions using the case of community problem-solving in Mexico.
Reynaldo Tomas Rojo-Mendoza, *University of Pittsburgh*
rro11@pitt.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., David P. Myatt, *University of Oxford***
david.myatt@economics.ox.ac.uk
Disc., Raanan Sultzeanu-Kagan, *The Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
rsultze@huji.ac.il
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-4 **Strategic Politicians**
Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Jeffrey Kraus, *Wagner College***
jkraus@wagner.edu
- 8:35 **Strategic Retirement in the U.S. Senate, 1945-2011**
This paper examines the aggregate and individual level strategic and individual level non-strategic variables that influence Senate retirements out of public service and retirement to another political office.
Sean F. Evans, *Utah State University*
sevens@uu.edu
John W. Swain, *Governor State University*
jswain@govst.edu
- 8:50 **Factors Affecting Candidate Willingness to Run for Office in Future Elections**
A 2008 survey of over 800 US candidates for public office was analyzed to determine the contribution of demographic, political situation and campaign experience variables to positivity/negativity of campaign experience and willingness to run again.
James Hertog, *University of Kentucky*
jam.hertog@uky.edu
Robert Zuercher, *University of Kentucky*
Robert.Zuercher@uky.edu
James Human, *University of Kentucky*
j.human@uky.edu
- 9:05 **Competition Aversion and Candidate Entry**
Are some people inherently more likely to choose to run for election than others? We consider this question in the laboratory, giving subjects the opportunity to decide whether or not to run in an election to be a representative of their group.
Kurtis Kanthak, *University of Pittsburgh*
kanthak@pitt.edu
Jonathan Wood, *University of Pittsburgh*
wood@pitt.edu

- 9:20 **Progressive Ambition and The U.S. Senator: Timing a Bid for the White House**
This paper investigates the emergence calculus used by U.S. Senators when deciding when to run for the Presidency and how that calculus influences the rate of emergence.
Emily C. Warrles, *University of Georgia*
ecwarrles@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., David Weldon Thornton, Campbell University**
thornton@campbell.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 25-100 **The Intellectual Legacy of Herbert R. Weisberg In American Politics and Methodology**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
The broad influence of Herbert Weisberg on the study of surveys, methodology, public opinion and voting behavior as well as the frontiers of these fields are the focus of discussion.
Chair Janet M. Box-Steffensmeier, *Ohio State University*
steffensmeier.2@osu.edu
Panelist Dino Christenson, *Boston University*
dchristo@bu.edu
Clyde Wilcox, *Georgetown University*
wilcox@georgetown.edu
William G. Jacoby, *Michigan State University*
jacoby@msu.edu
Robert C. Luskin, *University of Texas, Austin*
rluskin@mail.utexas.edu
- 26-12 **Representing Interests: Historical and Electoral System Effects**
Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, James Adams, *University of California, Davis*
jadams@ucdavis.edu
8:35 **Decentralization, Electoral System and Welfare Spending**
Though decentralization is a democratic process, it doesn't always have a positive effect on democracy. The deeper it heads, the less welfare spending is made. Electoral systems affect this process by accelerating or mitigating welfare cuts.
Byoung Chung, *Korea University*
bivern1@korea.ac.kr
8:47 **Forgetting to Make Votes Count: The Role of Previous Democratic Experiences**
This paper argues that wasted votes in founding elections decrease when countries have democratic experience before the current democratic period. This argument is tested with national election results in 20 founding elections.
Ignacio Lago, *Universidad Pompeu Fabra*
ignacio.lago@upf.edu
8:59 **Decentralization and Ethnoregional Parties in National Elections**
Contrary to past findings, decentralization does not stimulate the growth of ethnoregional parties in national elections. Instead, their comparative success in ethnically decentralized countries stems from their strength prior to decentralization.
David Lublin, *American University*
dlublin@american.edu
9:11 **Preference Heterogeneity, Electoral Rules, and Party Systems: A Cross-National Study**
I investigate how preference heterogeneity of the voters are translated by the electoral rules into party systems. This is an attempt to link individual-level preferences (e.g. CSES) to cross-national comparative studies on electoral institutions.
Won-be Park, *Seoul National University*
wpark@snu.ac.kr
- 9:23 **Political Systems and the Options Voters Have In Elections: Majoritarian and Consensus Democracy and the Party Political Agenda Diversity**
This paper examines the breadth of choices offered by party systems to voters in different advanced democracies by taking the view that diversity of party agenda composition matters as much or more than diversity on dominant dimensions of competition
Brandon C. Zick, *Universiteit Antwerpen*
brandon.zick@ua.ac.be
9:35 **Disc., Jeffrey A. Karp, University of Exeter**
j.karp@exeter.ac.uk
Disc., Laura B. Stephenson, *University of Western Ontario*
lstephe8@uwo.ca
9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 27-6 **Biology, Psychology and Politics**
Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, John R. Hibbing, *University of Nebraska*
jhibbing@unl.edu
8:35 **Whom We Face: Predicting Winning Candidates With Biologically Inspired Artificial Vision Systems**
We have trained a computer model of the V1 region of the primate visual cortex that has performed very well at object recognition tasks to identify winning candidates with an accuracy of 70-80%.
Darren M. Schreiber, *University of California, San Diego*
dmschreiber@ucsd.edu
8:47 **Hot and Cold Political Selective Visual Acuity: What do eye searches reveal about political orientation?**
Hot and Cold Political Selective Visual Acuity happens when a visual image affectively influences viewer behavior. This research demonstrates that eye scan patterns indicate that people look at images based on their political orientation.
E. Hughes Shanks, *University of Nebraska*
eshanks@lincoln.ac.gov
8:59 **The Color of Politics: Detection of Antiretism as a Marker of Political Orientations**
How people smell other people may affect their political orientations. Thus, we test whether variations in people's detection of Androstrenone correlates with variations in their political beliefs.
Kevin B. Smith, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
ksmith1@unl.edu
John R. Alford, *Rice University*
jra@rice.edu
Carly Jacobs, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
cjacobs@huskers.unl.edu
Amanda Balzer, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
amanda.balzer@huskers.unl.edu
Michael W. Gruszczynski, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
mikewgruz@gmail.com
John R. Hibbing, *University of Nebraska*
jhibbing@unl.edu
- 9:11 **What Does Leadership Look Like?: Examining the Effect of Facial Features on Candidate's Leadership Ratings and Electoral Outcomes**
By collecting the average perceived difference of several facial features of political candidates, this study examines whether physical features play a significant role in a candidate's leadership ratings and overall electability.
Eric Westrich, *University of Memphis*
westrich@memphis.edu
9:23 **Orfalem, Obama and Prospective Political Judgments: The Nature and Psychology of Obama Approval**
We examine the biological predisposition of optimism and test its effect on government approval and political expectations.

- Tarah Williams, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
twillia3@uiuc.edu
- Mary R. Anderson, University of Tampa**
manderson@ut.edu
- Ryan T. Cragun, University of Tampa**
rcragun@tampa.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Jason Coonrod, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
jcoonrod2@illinois.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-33 **Judgments about War and Conflict**
Room 6
8:30 Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, **Richard Sobel, Northwestern University/Harvard University**
rsobel123@aol.com
- 8:35 **Paternalism, Nationalism, Internationalism and Attitudes Toward Nuclear Policy in the United States**
This study examines the relationship between attitudes of patriotism, nationalism, and internationalism and opinions regarding the proliferation and use of nuclear weapons among young adults in the United States.
Brian Robert King, Muskingum University
bking@muskingum.edu
Lindsay Wilson, Muskingum University
llwilson@muskingum.edu
- 8:50 **Why Are Republicans More Likely Than Democrats to Agree to Torture?**
Is Republicans' greater support for torture due to ideological differences or the need to defend the policies of a Republican administration? Will Obama's failure to investigate those behind torture lead to greater public support?
Gregory B. Lewis, Georgia State University
gbewis@gsu.edu
Jonathan Landon Miller, Georgia State University
jmliller79@student.gsu.edu
- 9:05 **Casualty Ratio Framing and Perceptions of Success in Afghanistan**
This paper extends the casualty ratio frame hypothesis of Boencher and Cobb (2006) from marginal casualties in a particular military conflict to cumulative casualties in a general military conflict, in particular, Afghanistan.
Alexander James Oliver, Boston University
ajoliver@bu.edu
- 9:10 **Rhetoric, Reality, and Public Opinion about Iraq**
Presidential rhetoric, media debate, and real-world conditions shape public opinion about Iraq. Theory anticipates these factors' conditional, across-time roles, and dynamic models provide empirical support.
Evita Parker-Stephen, Texas A&M University
eps@polisci.tamu.edu
Corwin D. Smith, Michigan State University
smidc@msu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Kartika Sasikumar, San Jose State University**
kartika.sasikumar@gmail.com
- Disc., Richard Sobel, Northwestern University/Harvard University**
rsobel123@aol.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-35 **Opinion Change and Stability**
Room 6
8:30 Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, **Shana Kushner Gadarian, University of California, Berkeley**
sgadarian@berkeley.edu
- 8:35 **Examining Intolerance of Anti-Religious Speech Over Time and Across Generations**
This research addresses the issue of stability over an individual's life course and the interrelationship of social, political, and psychological characteristics with intolerance of anti-religious speech.
- April Clark, Parker University, Coleridge**
april.clark@parker.edu
- 8:47 **Public Opinion and the New Generation Gap**
We explore age and its interactions with other demographic and political factors over recent decades in order to come to a fuller understanding of the emergence of the contemporary political generation gap and its implications for the future.
Steven Greene, North Carolina State University
seven.greene@ncsu.edu
Kyle L. Saunders, Colorado State University
Kyle.Saunders@ColoState.EDU
- 8:50 **Understanding Political Coordination: Discussion Disagreement and Variance in Opinion Stability**
Using survey data in conjunction with measures of discussion network disagreement, we explore the substance and consequences of opinion disagreement for individuals' opinion stability.
William P. Jaeger, University of Colorado, Boulder
william.jaeger@colorado.edu
Amad Edward Solbey, University of Colorado, Boulder
amad.solbey@colorado.edu
- 9:11 **Do People Know When Their Opinions Change?**
People know when their survey responses on an issue change over time. Most over-time opinion change is due to respondents' perceptions of changes in the context of an issue.
Dean P. Lacy, Dartmouth College
dean.lacy@dartmouth.edu
- 9:23 **Predispositions, Not Issues: Priming the Evidence Base and Against the Priming Hypothesis**
This paper develops a theory of when priming or opinion change occurs. I then utilize five panel studies to show how information primes stable predispositions, but leads respondents to change their opinions on less crystallized issue positions.
Michael Saul Teater, University of California, Los Angeles
mteater2@ucla.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Patrick J. Egan, New York University**
patrick.egan@nyu.edu
Disc., Shana Kushner Gadarian, University of California, Berkeley
sgadarian@berkeley.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-5 **Speeches, Addresses, and Rhetoric**
Room 6
8:30 Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, **Erin G. King, Grand Valley State University**
KingE@gvsu.edu
- 8:35 **Time to take a look at the media: How the media are covered in the State of the Union addresses from 1792 to 2011**
Time to take a look at the media: How the media are covered in the State of the Union addresses from 1792 to 2011
Shana Gusner, Central Michigan University
shanaera@cmu.com
- 8:55 **On the Use and Usefulness of Rights Rhetoric in American Public Policy**
This paper analyzes the use and usefulness of rights rhetoric in American public policy. I argue that the use of rights language by members of Congress hails conversation and damages the power of rights in the long-term.
Starr Powell, University of California, Irvine
sjpowell@uci.edu
- 9:15 **The Uses and Misuses of Analogical Reasoning in Foreign Policy Decision-Making**
While the uses and misuses of analogical reasoning in decision-making are well-theorized, metaphorical reasoning receives less attention. This paper uses a study of domino theory to argue that unrealistic metaphors mislead decision-makers.
Chana Solomon-Schwartz, George Washington University
csusa@gwu.edu

- 9:35 **Disc., David Zarefsky, Northwestern University**
d-zarefsky@northwestern.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 19-21 **Fiction and Its Political Effects**
Room **Marshall on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am**
8:30 **Chair, Timothy Hill, Duquesne College**
tim.hill@duquesne.edu
- 9:35 **Pop Culture and Shifting Public Understanding: James Bond, Masculinity and Gays in the Military**
This paper explores the James Bond film series as a source of shifting public understanding regarding federal policies regarding the inclusion of gays and lesbians in public service and the armed forces.
Susan Burgess, *Ohio University*
burgesss@ohio.edu
- 9:50 **Attitudes Towards Torture: Analyzing the Effect of the Show 24**
This paper tests whether watching a television show primarily about torture (24) can change the attitudes of the viewers about U.S. torture policy, thus extending our understanding of how entertainment media contributes to our political attitudes.
Heather Kristen Evans, *Sam Houston State University*
hke002@shsu.edu
- 9:05 **Rescuing Government?: Depiction of Military and Law Enforcement in Contemporary American Cinema**
Our research examines how contemporary American films have portrayed government as needing to be rescued by superheroes and other "larger than life" characters.
Michelle Paetz, *University of Dayton*
michelle.paetz@uodaysan.edu
Meggin K. Wardenment, *University of Dayton*
meg.wardenment@gmail.com
- 9:10 **Risk Analysis and the Construction of Disaster Movies**
This paper focuses on the impacts of disaster movies in shaping risk perception. It also sees how policy frames negotiate between risk analysis conducted through risk assessment and risk perception shaped by movies while formulating evacuation plans.
Janie Nicole Smith, *University of Oklahoma*
janie.n.smith-1@ou.edu
Sayantani Sanyal, *University of Oklahoma*
Sayantani.Sanyal-1@ou.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Thorsten Faas, University of Mannheim**
thorsten.faas@uni-mannheim.de
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-4 **Gender and Local Politics**
Room **Dearborn I on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am**
8:30 **Chair, J. Celeste Lay, Tulane University**
jlay@tulane.edu
- 8:35 **Candidate Recruitment and Party Networks: How the Beliefs and Behavior of Local Party Leaders Affect Women's Representation**
In this paper, I use new nationwide survey data to examine how local party leaders' beliefs and decisions about candidate recruitment influence the gender of candidates they run in local elections.
Mebody Crowder-Meyer, *Sewanee, University of the South*
mccrowde@sewanee.edu
- 9:47 **Assessing Gender Dynamics in Local Government**
The goal of this project is to assess the impact of gender composition. We test this notion via a large-scale field study in the State of Iowa following passage of HP243, requiring all boards and commissions to be "gender balanced."
Rebecca J. Hennagan, *Northern Illinois University*
rhennaga@niu.edu
Christopher W. Linnert, *University of Northern Iowa*
christopher.linnert@uni.edu
- 8:59 **Gender and Power in American Cities: Investigations of the Effect of Mayoral Gender on Deliberation, Representation, and Policymaking in U.S. Cities**
A thorough investigation into the effects of mayoral gender on deliberation, representation, and policymaking. Survey, interview, and policy data are used to examine ideas of deliberation and power in the local context.
Miryse R. Holman, *Florida Atlantic University*
mholman5@fau.edu
- 9:21 **Polarization in Roll Call Voting Among Women State Legislators**
This paper examines whether the increased polarization in roll call voting between Republican and Democratic women in Congress also applies to women in the state legislatures.
Tracy Osborn, *University of Iowa*
tracy-osborn@uiowa.edu
- 9:23 **Female Political Incorporation and Symbolic Policymaking in Cities**
The paper develops a theory of female political power in American cities and then investigates whether female officials influence the symbolic policy decisions made by any governments.
Adrienne Rachel Smith, *Emory University*
adrienne.smith@emory.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Karen M. Kaufmann, University of Maryland**
kkaufmann@syrrpl.umd.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 31-5 **Politics of Geographical Space**
Room **Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 8:30 am**
8:30 **Chair, Ryan D. Egan, Harvard University**
renos@oelsa.edu
- 8:35 **Mobilizing the Marginalized: An Experimental Intervention**
This paper utilizes results from a large-scale field experiment to identify pathways to mobilizing disadvantaged communities. The experiment is based on a mass mailing and tests the relative effects of social pressure and political information.
Janella D. Coleman-Mitchener, *University of Chicago*
janella@uchicago.edu
- 8:55 **Housing Discrimination Complaints in America: A Regional Analysis**
Research has shown that southern civil rights agencies are more likely than non-southern agencies to provide favorable outcomes in claims of racial discrimination in housing. Here we ask: Does this finding hold in other types of discrimination?
Charles M. Lamb, *SUNY, Buffalo*
clamb@buffalo.edu
Eric M. Wilk, *University of Georgia*
emwilk@uga.edu
Nicholas R. Sealbrook, *University of North Florida*
nsealbrook@unf.edu
- 9:15 **Push vs. Pull: The Interaction Of Minority Identification and Environment of Political Participation**
An examination of the opinion and participatory choices of suburban minorities.
Ernest B. McGowan, *University of Texas, Austin*
erogowan@mail.utexas.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Ray Block, University of Wisconsin, La Crosse**
blockray@uwlax.edu
- Disc., Francisco I. Pedraza, *Texas A&M University*
fpedraza@tamu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 32-2 Room 8:30**
8:34 **Eros and Justice in Plato's Political Philosophy**
 Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 Chair, Murray Straus Yuzwenka Bessette, Morehead State University
 murray.bessette@gmail.com
- 8:35** **Eros and Law in Plato's Symposium**
 This paper examines the complicated manner in which law, as it is presented by Aristophanes in Plato's Symposium, appears to both constrain and cultivate human erotic longing.
 Laverie Taylor Graham, University of Texas, Austin
 l.taylor.graham@gmail.com
- 8:47** **The Role of Beauty in Socrates' Self-Understanding in the Phaedrus and Symposium**
 Paper compares the role of beauty in a) Socrates' conversation with Diotima as presented in the Symposium and ii) the autobiographical section of the Phaedrus, two important accounts of Socrates' early thought.
 Ariel Heller, University of Texas, Austin
 ariel.heller@gmail.com
- 8:59** **Justice in the Criticisms as a Key to Justice in the Republic**
 The Criticisms asserts the claims of the city against the an or virtue of justice advocated by Socrates. Does the Republic fully develop a political code that accords with justice simply and can truly rule the city?
 Brad Jensen, Rose Institute for State and Local Government
 bjensen@rcms.edu
- 9:11** **Rhetoric and Knowledge in Plato's Phaedrus**
 This paper examines the character of philosophic knowledge and its relationship to rhetoric and politics in Plato's Phaedrus.
 Daniel O'Toole, University of Texas
 dotoole04@utmsi.edu
- 9:23** **On Hippodamias: The Classical Critique of Innovation in Aristotle's Politics and Plato's Laws**
 This paper treats the problem of political innovation by focusing on Aristotle's critique of Hippodamias in the Politics and Plato's plan for regime preservation in the Laws.
 John Matthew Peterson, University of Dallas
 jpeterson@udallas.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Marco Paoli, University of Texas, Austin**
 marco.paoli@utoronto.ca
- 9:54** **Audience Discussion**
- 33-8 Room 9:30**
9:30 **Rousseau Reconsidered**
 Millenium Pazor on the 6th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 Chair, Jeremy Anderson, DePaul University
 jeremy.anderson@dpaul.edu
- 9:35** **The Rediscovery of Nature in Rousseau's Emile**
 This paper asks three questions: first, why did Rousseau wish to rediscover nature? Second, how did he justify the idea that there is such a thing as "nature"? Third, in what ways must nature be supplemented by reason - and with what implications?
 Katerina Apostolides, University of Chicago
 kapostolides@uchicago.edu
- 8:47** **Lee Strauss's Rousseau**
 Considers Strauss's two major published statements on Rousseau alongside his 1962 course, given 9 years after the Natural Right and History chapter.
 Jonathan Marks, Ursinus College
 jmarks@ursinus.edu
- 8:59** **The Intersection of Theory and Practice in the Political Writings of Jean-Jacques Rousseau**
 I reconstruct Rousseau's positive theory of political association that is organic and self-correcting, where forward progress is possible. This confronts the traditional views of Rousseau stemming from a pessimist-idealist dichotomy.
 Sara L. Price, University of California, Davis
 sllprice@ucdavis.edu
- 9:11** **Civ Religion and Civic Republicanism in Rousseau**
 Jean-Jacques Rousseau is typically seen as one of the great critics of Enlightenment philosophy. This paper reconsiders his stance toward modernity by reexamining his account of the complex relation between civic republicanism and civil religion.
 Lee Ward, University of Regina
 lee.ward@uregina.ca
- 9:23** **The Problem of History and the Man-Citizen Dichotomy in Rousseau's Thought**
 By revisiting Rousseau's state of nature teachings, this paper attempts to make a novel connection between his diagnostic and prescriptive thought.
 Leslie Wee, University of Toronto / University of Hong Kong
 lesliewee@uicmail.com
- 9:35** **Disc., Michael J. Faber, Duke University**
 m.faber@duke.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 34-6 Room 8:30**
8:30 **John Rawls: Approaches and Themes**
 Sandberg 8 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 Chair, Sujith Shashi Kumar, DePaul University
 ubersujith@gmail.com
- 8:35** **Was Rousseau a Liberal? The (Un)conventional Partisan Perspective of Rawls's Lectures on the History of Political Philosophy**
 Rawls's Lectures depicts an unfamiliar Rousseau: one that has much in common with liberalism, as opposed to a thinker deeply critical of liberal, bourgeois values. What does this tell us about Rawls as an interpreter and as a normative theorist?
 Jeffrey Bercoxon, University of Toronto
 jeff.bercoxon@utoronto.ca
- 8:50** **Self-Determination as a Principle of Justice, Revisited**
 I argue, in light of Rawls' later philosophy and recent scholarship on Hegel's social and political philosophy, that self-determination is best understood as the recognition of one's identification with an ethical order (Sittlichkeit).
 Victoria Isabelle BURKE, Wilfrid Laurier University
 vburke@lhonmail.com
- 9:05** **The End of the Rawlsian Era? Amartya Sen and Serge-Christophe Kolm on Justice**
 The economists Amartya Sen and Serge-Christophe Kolm have proposed theories of justice that present some advantages over Rawls' theory. Justice consists in equalizing "capabilities" (Sen) or "capabilities" (Kolm), thus reconciling markets and justice.
 Laurent Dobuzinskii, Simon Fraser University
 dobuzinskii@sfu.ca
- 9:20** **Stability as a Test for Theories of Justice**
 This essay defends an empirical approach to the problem of whether a theory of justice such as Rawls' yields a stable, well-ordered society.
 Gerald Doppelt, University of California, San Diego
 gdoppelt@ucsd.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Rosanne Marie Kurtz, University of Illinois, Springfield**
 rkurtz3@uiw.edu
- Disc., Tanja Pitzel, University of Bremen**
 t.pitzel@iwi.uni-bremen.de
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 35-4 Room 8:30**
8:30 **Deliberation, Equality, and the U.S. Constitution**
 Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 Chair, Angelica Maria Bernal, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
 abernal@polsi.umass.edu
- 8:35** **A Closer Look at Popular Constitutionalism: Democratic Promise and Risk in Constitutional Foundations, Construction, and Reform**
 Explores the development and influence of popular constitutionalism from the Revolution through ratification and

- the Bill of Rights, examining civic influence on the ideals and institutionalization of popular sovereignty and inalienable rights.
Elizabeth Beaumont, *University of Minnesota*
beaumont@umn.edu
- 8:55 **Do We Expect Too Much From the Constitution?: On the Troubled Relationship Between Deliberative Democracy and Liberal Constitutionalism**
The paper concerns conflicts and contradictions in the most compelling accounts of the relationship between deliberative democratic theory and liberal constitutionalism. That constitutions are primarily democratic documents is difficult to sustain.
Sam Nelson, *University of Toledo*
sam.nelson@utoledo.edu
- 9:15 **The Greatest Trick: Analyzing the American Constitution Through the Lens of Rousseau's Social Contract and Dispute on Inequality**
An analysis of the US Constitution, using the lens of Rousseau's two social contract theories to provide a convincing examination of the founding fathers Madison and Jefferson, their intentions and visions for the new nation.
Sarah Ganz, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
sarah.ganz@umass.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Angélica María Bernal, University of Massachusetts, Amherst**
abernal@polsci.umass.edu
- Disc., Keith L. Dougherty, University of Georgia**
dougherk@uga.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 36-6 **Debating Deliberation**
Room LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, Katherine Gott, *Metropolitan State University*
katherine.gott@metstate.edu
- 8:35 **Too Polite for Democracy?: The Implications of Hierarchical Language Structures for Democratic Deliberation**
This paper considers the implications of hierarchical languages (e.g. Japanese) for the universality of deliberative theory. If every statement made implicitly denies the speakers' equality, is the requisite egalitarianism of deliberation possible?
Daniel Orion Davis, *Ohio State University*
davis.2197@osu.edu
- 8:50 **Has the Deliberative Model of Democracy an Epistemic Value?**
This paper has two aims. First I will present the key elements of the epistemic conception of deliberative democracy. Second I will show the challenges it faces, the counterfactual of its postulates and the problems of bias consensusism model.
Roberto García, *University Autónoma of Madrid*
roberto.garciaalonso@uam.es
- 9:05 **Deliberative Democracy with Reciprocal Non-Domination: Making Non-Domination A Regulatory Principle**
This paper seeks an alternative to the liberal theories of deliberative democracy, focusing on constructing reciprocal non-domination as a regulative principle that guides agonistic deliberation between peoples in conflict.
Jun-Hyeok Kwak, *Korea University*
junhwak@korea.ac.kr
- 9:20 **Inclusion and Deliberation: Three Dimensions of Democratic Inclusion**
Inclusion is the cornerstone of democratic deliberation. This study specifies three dimensions of inclusion (i.e. physical, symbolic and inter-perspective) and discusses the role of each dimension to promote and legitimize democratic deliberation.
The Joon Moon, *University of Wisconsin - Madison*
tmoo3@wisc.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Katherine Gott, Metropolitan State University**
katherine.gott@metstate.edu
- Disc., Thomas Meszaris, Central European University**
meszaris@ceu.hu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 37-7 **Policy-making**
Room Burnham 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, Alan E. Wiseman, *Vanderbilt University*
alan.wiseman@vanderbilt.edu
- 8:35 **Trust, Public Goods, and Politics of In-Competent Politicians**
This paper examines the equilibrium relationship between politics and the economy. We show how underlying characteristics of heterogeneous agents affect policy choices, voting behavior, the output of private and public sectors, welfare of voters.
Odham Camara, *University of Southern California*
ocamara@usc.edu
- 8:50 **The Incentives to Give Warnings: Unsettled Prevention vs. Costly Remediation**
We study the trade-off between prevention and remediation in a dynamic agency relationship between voters and a politician with private information about the severity of a problem affecting the economy.
Jean Guillaume Forand, *University of Rochester/University of Waterloo*
jgforand@yahoo.ca
- 9:05 **Costly Transparency**
Illustrates how feedback about the consequences of the policy choices of lawmakers can exacerbate pandering by incumbents.
Justin Fox, *Yale University*
justin.fox@yale.edu
- 9:20 **Reputation and Issue Salience in Repeated Elections with Specialized Candidates**
This paper studies a model of dynamic political competition between candidates who have different abilities in producing two durable public goods, and voters' demand for the two goods is affected by incumbent's performance.
Salvatore Nannari, *California Institute of Technology*
snannari@hse.caltech.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Gilles Serra, CYDE**
gilles_serra@yahoo.com
- Disc., Alan E. Wiseman, Vanderbilt University**
alan.wiseman@vanderbilt.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 37-1B **Investment, Funding and Party Formation**
Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:10 am
Chair, David M. Glick, *Dartmouth College*
david.m.glick@dartmouth.edu
- 8:35 **Endogenous Forms of Political Coalitions**
I propose a formal theory that unifies the study of political parties as pre-electoral coalitions formed between groups in a society, with the study of coalitions of parties as post-electoral coalition formation.
Peter Balascret, *Princeton University*
pbuisson@princeton.edu
- 8:50 **Searching for Policy Entrepreneurs Using a Two Stage Grantmaking Process**
Grantmakers seeking to fund social innovation are effectively looking for policy entrepreneurs. How much more effective may they be in locating them if they use a two stage grantmaking model?
Greg Cline, *Grand Valley State University*
clineg@gvsu.edu
- 9:05 **The Public Budget Game in Brazil: The Interplay Between Legislative and Executive in the Public Spend Formulation**
In this paper we focus on two aims. The first is to model the budgetary process in Brazil as an interplay between the Executive and the Legislature. Then, we propose a regression model to test the equilibrium derived from the formal model.

- Umberto Guerzler Mignozzini, *University of São Paulo*
 umberto.mig@gmail.com
 Pedro Ribeiro Neto, *Caixa Brasileira de Análise e Planejamento*
 pneto@gmail.com
 Manoel Galvão, *University of São Paulo*
 mcz.feu@gmail.com
- 9:10 **Politics, Instability, and International Investment flows**
 We present a theoretical model for the role of political instability as a choice between FDI and foreign portfolio investment. We find empirical confirmation for some of model's findings using firm-level international data.
 Maria Petrona, *New Economic School*
 petroviana@gmail.com
 Verónica Semerosa, *Yale University*
 veronica.semserosa@yale.edu
 Art Durnev, *McGill University*
 art.durnev@mcgill.ca
 Ruben Eskolopov, *Harvard University*
 renkolopov@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc.**, David M. Glick, *Dorchester College*
 davidan.glick@dorchester.edu
Disc., Marco Trionfi, *Universidad de Chile*
 mtrionfi@di.uchile.cl
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 38-6 **New Research on Multilevel Modeling**
 Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair**, Thomas Plumper, *University of Essex*
 t.plum@essex.ac.uk
- 8:35 **Addressing Potential Endogeneity in Multilevel Data Due to Variation in Observations Per Group**
 When utilizing nested data, substantial variation in the number of observations in each group can lead to unbalanced data. We offer two approaches for accounting for this, and provide a substantive example comparing the two approaches.
 Michael P. Fix, *University of South Carolina*
 mpfix1@gmail.com
 Lee Demetrius Walker, *University of South Carolina*
 WALKER23@CWM.SC.EDU
- 8:55 **Explaining Correlated Errors Across Multivariate Regressions Using Multilevel Modeling**
 This project will try to estimate a multivariate regression model which also specifies the error covariance to vary as a function of second-level variables.
 Miwa Nakajo, *Texas A&M University*
 mnakajo@politics.tamu.edu
- 9:15 **How Many Countries Do You Need to do Multilevel Modeling? A Monte Carlo Experiment Comparing Frequentist and Bayesian Approaches**
 The small number of countries usually used in comparative political research poses a problem for the validity of inferences from multilevel models. I present results from a Monte Carlo study comparing Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian approaches.
 David Stegmaier, *University of Mannheim*
 d.stegmaier@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc.**, Adam Joseph Ramsey, *Harvard Business School*
 aramsey@hbs.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 40-5 **Strategic Concerns for Parties**
 Room Salvo 3 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair**, Darren Halpern, *Arizona University*
 darren@ps.au.dk
- 8:35 **Explicate Party "Comebacks": Federalism, Ideological Clarity and Candidate Recruitment in American Party Competition**
 A formal model of party competition, in which national parties recruit candidates from sub-national pools, whose ideological characteristics vary. Results are applied to explain party recovery after electoral defeat in American politics.
 Charles Dorlman, *University of Michigan*
 cdorlman@umich.edu
- 9:50 **Breaking In or Shaking Things Up? Studying the Dynamics of Outsider Political Parties in Contemporary Democracies**
 Outsider parties face different strategic choices than those of the establishment. In order to gain access, the former must engage in radicalism. Using expert surveys, I analyse the characteristics of radical parties in contemporary democracies.
 Veejaya Haya, *University of California, San Diego*
 vhaya@ucsd.edu
- 9:05 **The Size of Electoral Districts and the Importance of Party Labels**
 This paper offers a systematic test for the thesis that candidates affiliate themselves with political parties in order to use party brand names for their electoral success by "manipulating" the importance of party labels for candidates in election.
 Tetsuya Matsubayashi, *University of North Texas*
 tmatsubayashi@unt.edu
 Takayoshi Uekawa, *University of Kochi*
 uekawa1@kochi-u.ac.jp
 Michiko Ueda, *California Institute of Technology*
 michiko@caltech.edu
- 9:20 **National Party Strategies in Local Elections: A Theory and Some Evidence From the Israeli Case**
 Why do national parties compete in local elections? In this paper we will use results of an analysis of Israeli ballot-box data from the 2006 national and the 2008 local elections to examine this question.
 David Nachmias, *Interdisciplinary Center Herzliya*
 davidna@idc.ac.il
 Maoz Rosenthal, *Interdisciplinary Center Herzliya*
 mrosenthal@idc.ac.il
 Hani Zubida, *Interdisciplinary Center Herzliya*
 hzubida@idc.ac.il
- 9:35 **Disc.**, Edwin Joseph William Camp, *Yale University*
 edwin.camp@yale.edu
Disc., Olga Shvetsova, *Stony Brook University*
 shvetsov1@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 41-1 **Presidential-Congressional Interactions**
 Room Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair**, Bryan W. Marshall, *Arizona University*
 bmarshall@arizona.edu
- 8:35 **Leading a Hand: A Closer Look at Inter-Branch Relations**
 This paper examines the special treatment, if any, that presidential agenda items receive in Congress. Using a new dataset, we move beyond simple vote margins and examine the type of agenda control exerted across time and institutional context.
 John H. Aldrich, *Duke University*
 aldrich@duke.edu
 Aaron S. King, *Duke University*
 aaron.king@duke.edu
 Francis J. Orlando, *Duke University*
 fo@duke.edu
 David W. Rohde, *Duke University*
 rohdw@duke.edu
- 9:50 **Beyond Pivotal Points: An Analysis of Vote Switching Between Final Passage and Veto Override Votes**
 Veto override attempts offer ideal opportunities to study presidential influence in Congress. This paper investigates which members of Congress are most likely to switch their votes in support of the president during veto override votes.
 Patrick T. Hickey, *University of Texas*
 patrick.hickey@gmail.com

- 9:05 **Assessing the Impact of Supreme Court Nominations on Presidential Success**
We examine all Supreme Court nominations from 1946 to 2009, evaluating their effect on a variety of measures of political capital and legislative agendas.
Anthony Madlona, *University of Georgia*
ajmadlona@uga.edu
Richard Lee Vining, *University of Georgia*
rvining@uga.edu
- 9:20 **Congressional Parties and the President's Public Strategy**
The partisan makeup of Congress has an important effect on the president's decision to make televised policy appeals. Using a dataset of public appeals, I find that Congressional partisanship does influence the president's legislative strategy.
Jeffrey Alan Taylor, *University of Maryland, College Park*
jtaylor@cppt.umd.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Jewel Ched, *University of Michigan***
jowed@umich.edu
Disc., Bryon W. Marshall, *Miami University*
marshallbw@miamioh.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-5 **Moving Up or Moving Out in Congress?**
Room 5 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, Charles J. Fioechlano, *University of South Carolina*
fioechi@mailbox.sc.edu
- 8:30 **Retirements, Progressive Ambition, Open Seats, and the Balance of Partisan Power in Contemporary Congressional Politics**
We examine the effects of disparate partisan retirement rates, levels of progressive ambition, and their effects on open seat competition and the partisan balance in contemporary congressional elections.
Adrian U-Jin Ang, *Florida International University*
adrian.ang@fiu.edu
L. Marria Overby, *University of Missouri*
overby@missouri.edu
- 8:47 **Exit Stage Right? The Decision to Retire from Legislative Service**
What factors influence retirement from legislative service? Analyzing several states across time, we find that both legislative activity and economic stress and the partisan / electoral are consistently associated with the decision to retire.
Kathleen Bratton, *Louisiana State University*
bratton@lsu.edu
Stella Rouse, *University of Maryland*
rouse@cppt.umd.edu
- 8:59 **Moving on Up: The Behavioral Implications of Progressive Ambition in Congress**
This paper examines how progressively ambitious politicians in the House of Representatives change their legislative behavior and activity in response to a higher office run.
Chera A. LaForge, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
mlforge2@illinois.edu
- 9:11 **From Political Careers to Career Politicians**
Scholars describe a modern office-holding structure guiding the career choices of politicians across the U.S. system. We explain how and why this structure evolved using the career choices of more than 7,000 politicians serving from 1848 to 1944.
Scott Alan MacKenzie, *University of California, Davis*
smackenzie@ucdavis.edu
Samuel Kernell, *University of California, San Diego*
skernell@ucsd.edu
- 9:23 **Reforming the U.S. Senate Through Voluntary Retirement**
This paper proposes to examine the effects that the past 25 years worth of retirements have had on the Senate in regards to rules reform (roll call votes, cloture votes, holds, committee assignments) as compared to other eras of Senate history.
- 9:34 **Disc., Charles J. Fioechlano, *University of South Carolina***
fioechi@mailbox.sc.edu
Disc., Jonathan Sea, *University of North Carolina, Wilmington*
SJOJ@UNCW.EDU
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-16 **Congressional-Presidential Relations**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Robert D. Billinger, *Wingate University***
billinger@wingate.edu
8:35 **Statutory Politics and the Legislative Veto**
This paper examines congressional use of the legislative veto as a common summary oversight resource. Analysis of an original data set shows that Congress strategically uses the legislative veto to extend its oversight reach.
Michael Berry, *University of Colorado, Denver*
Michael.Berry@ucdenver.edu
- 8:47 **Political Influence and the Commander in Chief: Legislative-Executive Relations and War Powers**
Given the constitutional framework and institutional incentives of the executive and legislative branches, does Congress influence war powers? This model suggests that Congress may not be willing or able to substantively challenge the president.
Joseph Foster, *United States Air Force Academy*
joseph.foster@usafa.edu
- 8:59 **Responses to Reagan's Elimination: Congressional Reaction to President Reagan's Attempts to Eliminate Agencies**
This project examines 14 agencies that President Reagan slated for elimination in FY1982. Six agencies survived, four significantly modified, and four died. I examine legislation and hearings for patterns that suggest why certain outcomes occurred.
Wendy Robyn Glasberg, *Congressional Research Service*
wglasber@sas.openn.edu
- 9:11 **Moving the Congress: How Presidents Use Appointment Power to Shift Policy Outcomes in Congress**
I argue that presidents influence legislative outcomes by appointing members of Congress to executive branch positions. By moving issue legislators, presidents shift the median in Congress to be closer to the president's preference.
Christian B. Grose, *University of Southern California*
cgrose@usc.edu
- 9:23 **Congress and the War Powers Resolution: Re-examining Congressional Motivations for Limiting Presidential War Powers**
Examines congressional motivations in voting for the War Powers Resolution and argues that partisan considerations better explain why members voted for the legislation as opposed to institutional considerations.
Matthew Moore, *University of Missouri*
matthewmoore@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., David P. Damore, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas***
david.damore@unlv.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 44-3 **Originalism, Originalists, and Natural Law**
Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Joseph S. Devaney, *University of Wisconsin, Green Bay***
devaneyj@uwgb.edu
- 8:35 **Common-Ground Theories Of Law: Foundations And Implications**
A conventionalist model of social and political interaction, and its implications for criteria of legal interpretation, the appeal of originalism, and the nature of constitutional stability.
Randall L. Culbert, *Washington University, St. Louis*
culbert@wustl.edu

- 8:47 Framers Matter: The Role of State and National Constitution Writers at the State Ratifying Conventions**
This paper explores the role as the state ratifying conventions of the National Constitution of the true originalists, those who participated at both state and national constitutional conventions.
Michael R. Finn, *University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire*
mfenn@uwec.edu
- 8:59 The Flexible Constitution: A Defense of Originalism in Constitutional Interpretation**
In response to one popular criticism of originalism in constitutional interpretation, I argue that the approach is fully compatible with a flexible Constitution capable of addressing the contemporary needs of the nation.
Carl E. Miller, *Fort Hays State University*
cemiller@fhsu.edu
- 9:11 Originalism and the Aristotelian Tradition: Virtue's Home in Originalism**
I argue that originalism will be a better interpretative methodology once it has incorporated virtue ethics' insights.
Lee John Strong, *University of Toledo*
lee.strong@utoledo.edu
- 9:23 Hidden in Plain Sight: Natural Law in American Constitutional Jurisprudence**
This paper offers a critique of the common claim that natural law and natural law theories are irrelevant to American constitutional law after *Cabber v. Bull*, with special attention to the variety of defensive ways natural law re-emerges.
Kevin Ethridge Stuart, *University of Texas*
kevinstuart@mail.utexas.edu
- 9:31 Disc., Joseph S. Devaney, University of Wisconsin, Green Bay**
devaneyj@uwgb.edu
Disc., Eric T. Kasper, *University of Wisconsin, Barron County*
eric.kasper@uwbc.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion**
- 45-5 Room The Strategies and Effects of Lawyers in High Courts**
Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Kevin T. McGuire, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
kmcguire@unc.edu
- 8:35 Friend of the Court, Friend of the People? The Solicitor General, the President, and Party Identity**
This paper explores the influence of the president and the Court on the solicitor general's decision to file an amicus brief at the merits for either a "have" or a "have not."
Shane A. Gleason, *Southern Illinois University*
sgleason@siu.edu
- 8:50 Government Litigation in Canada: Influences on Decision Making in Comparative Perspective**
I analyze the influence of governments on judicial decision making at the Canadian Supreme Court between 1984 and 2009. I compare this influence to that found in the US to determine how applicable decision making models are across borders.
Lori Hausegger, *Boise State University*
lorihausegger@boisestate.edu
- 9:05 Who Persuades Whom? The Persuasive Role of Questions during Oral Argument on the US Supreme Court**
We analyze the extent to which Supreme Court oral arguments actually persuade justices.
Timothy R. Johnson, *University of Minnesota*
trj@umn.edu
Amanda Bryna, *University of Minnesota*
bryna202@umn.edu
Eve M. Ringamuth, *Oklahoma State University*
eve.ringamuth@okstate.edu
- 9:10 The Influence of Briefs on Legal Doctrine**
Using searching techniques and computational linguistics software, I examine whether parties who draft clearer briefs are more likely to win their cases before the Supreme Court, and whether the Court is more likely to adopt their legal arguments.
Ryan James Owens, *Harvard University*
ryan_owens@gov.harvard.edu
- 9:15 Disc., Kevin T. McGuire, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill**
kmcguire@unc.edu
Disc., Justin Wedeking, *University of Kentucky*
justin.wedeking@uky.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion**
- 45-21 Room Decision Making in Trial Courts**
Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
8:30 Chair, Barbara Hayler, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
hayler@uis.edu
- 8:35 Unaccountable Justice? The Decision Making of Magistrate Judges in Federal District Courts**
We empirically examine the unique constraints faced by U.S. magistrate judges serving in federal district courts and the resulting role and decision making of these actors.
Christina Leah Boyd, *SUNY, Buffalo*
c.l.boyd@buffalo.edu
Jacqueline Slover, *SUNY, Buffalo*
jmslover@buffalo.edu
- 8:47 Clearing the Field: Pre-trial Dispositions as a Judicial Response to Rising Caseloads and Declining Salaries**
Judges dispose of most cases before trial. The relationship between pre-trial dispositions and judicial resources is examined. The paper concludes that judicial decision-making is affected by economic considerations independent of case merits.
Dawn M. Chubbok, *Cornell University*
dmc66@cornell.edu
- 8:59 Habeas Corpus Litigation in the U.S. District Courts**
How do U.S. District Courts resolve habeas corpus disputes? We examine their role and the role of judges in the disposition of the growing number of habeas corpus petitions filed by both domestic prisoners and individuals labeled enemy combatants.
Nicholas A. Mostardo, *University of South Carolina*
mostardo@mailbox.sc.edu
Kirk A. Rانداززا, *University of South Carolina*
randazzo@mailbox.sc.edu
- 9:11 Them That Have? Examining Outcomes for "Have" and "Have Not" in Federal Cases Before U.S. Magistrate Judges**
This paper empirically examines whether "Haves" find advantages over "Have Not" before U.S. Magistrate Judges at the federal trial court level, and considers implications of this for the legal system and society.
Chris Nicholson, *University of Houston*
cnicholson@uh.edu
Katherine Howard Barlow, *University of Houston*
katar@tupelo.net
- 9:23 Decision-Making in State Criminal Courts: The Impact of Prosecutors' Press Releases and Case Salience on Judicial Sentencing**
Case salience has been found to affect judicial decision making. We test the effect of case salience and prosecutor press releases on the sentencing behavior of state criminal court judges in two major metropolitan areas.
Shimon A. Shomade, *University of New Orleans*
shomade@uno.edu
Blanca Esmerly, *University of Houston*
blancaesmerly22@houstonmail.com
- 9:35 Disc., Barbara Hayler, University of Illinois, Springfield**
hayler@uis.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion**

- 46-6 Room 8:30** **The Effects of State Legislative Professionalism**
Kimball on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, Jesse T. Richardson, *Old Dominion University*
jrichman@odu.edu
- 8:35** **The Impact of Legislative Term Limits on State Spending and Debt**
Term limits make changes that have the unintended consequence of creating an environment where the state legislators are more fiscally irresponsible than non-term limited legislators. The result is an increase of state debt in term limited states.
Jonathan Day, *Western Illinois University*
jp-day@wiu.edu
Kelli Boeckelman, *Western Illinois University*
K.A.Boeckelman@wiu.edu
- 8:55** **Legislative Professionalism and Gubernatorial Decision Making**
This paper examines the relationship between legislative professionalism and gubernatorial decisions. Governors are found to be more likely to veto legislation in professional legislatures than in amateur legislatures.
David W. Prineas, *Georgia Gwinnett College*
dprineas@ggc.usg.edu
- 9:15** **State Legislative Professionalism and Size of Government**
We find that, controlling for citizen ideology and adjusting for endogeneity, states with professionalized legislatures tend to have higher tax and expenditure share of personal income and lower economic freedom.
Jason Sorens, *SUNY Buffalo*
jsorens@buffalo.edu
William P. Ruger, *Texas State University, San Marcos*
wr16@tstate.edu
- 9:35** Disc., Jesse T. Richardson, *Old Dominion University*
jrichman@odu.edu
- 9:55** Audience Discussion
- 47-3 Room 8:30** **Social Capital and Neighborhood Organizations in Urban Governance**
Price on the 5th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, Pamela Edwards-Harris, *Saginaw Valley State University*
pedward@svsu.edu
- 8:35** **Rethinking the Social Basis of Urban Development: A Spatial Analysis of Hurricane Katrina Recovery in New Orleans**
This paper refines the concept of social capital and tests its empirical validity using post-Katrina repopulation in New Orleans. Findings show that community social organizations are a significant source of inequality in urban development.
Mia Mee Gu, *University of Chicago*
mimiego@uchicago.edu
- 8:47** **Self-Governance and the Absenteeism of Urban Neighborhood Development**
We explore the extent to which a neighborhood exercising self-governance affects success in local collective action, neighborhood confidence, entrepreneurship and homeowners' reinvestment.
Louise Jezlerick, *Michigan State University*
jezlerick@msu.edu
Michael Crow, *Michigan State University*
crow@msu.edu
- 8:59** **On the Clash or Convergence Between Community and City-Wide Interests: The Role of Neighborhood Associations in Urban Governance**
This research explores whether localized participation would lead to clash of interests between community and the city. This study explores the role of community-based organizations in supporting the citywide goals in urban decision making processes.
Kyu-Nahan Jun, *Wayne State University*
kn.jun@wayne.edu
- 9:11** **The Role of Social Capital in the Policy Entrepreneurship of Local Elected Officials**
This paper explores how organizational memberships and affiliations influence the decision of first term local elected officials to initiate new policies and programs.
Ashley L. Rehl, *University of Michigan*
ashleyrl@umich.edu
- 9:23** **Group Involvement in Local Politics: A Reassessment in an Era of Declining Social Capital**
The extent of organization, activity, and influence of 25 types of groups in 12 cities since 2000 is described. Theories that local politics is "groupless" are challenged, but the limits of local group life are identified.
Paul D. Schumaker, *University of Kansas*
schul@ku.edu
- 9:35** Disc., Marlon Orr, *Brown University*
Marlon_Orr@brown.edu
- 9:55** Audience Discussion
- 48-3 Room 8:30** **Comparative Healthcare Reforms: U.S., UK, China, Vietnam, Zambia**
Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, Rutger Claessen, *Leiden University*
claessenr@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
- 8:35** **If Only Noah Would Have Killed Those Two Mosquitoes: Saving Children From Malaria One Politician at a Time**
Zambia is currently the gold-standard worldwide in national malaria treatment and prevention. Evidenced through in-country interviews, a critical factor in Zambia's success is the political commitment of health leadership at the local level.
Juliana Patricia Rigg Hillard, *University of Washington*
juliana.rigg.hillard@gmail.com
- 8:55** **Health Care Reforms in China and Vietnam: Dead Ends and Promising Pathways**
Examines the effects of health care "reforms" in China and Vietnam.
M. Rameth, *National University of Singapore*
rammeth@nus.edu.sg
- 9:15** **The Politics and Political Economy of Health Care in the United States and the United Kingdom**
Health care systems in the US and UK are quite different. Yet, health care remains at the forefront of partisan politics in both countries. The paper reflects on the ideological and institutional implications of health politics in the two countries.
Alex Waddan, *University of Leicester*
aw148@leicester.ac.uk
- 9:35** Disc., Rutger Claessen, *Leiden University*
claessenr@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
- Disc., Alex Waddan, University of Leicester**
aw148@leicester.ac.uk
- 9:55** Audience Discussion
- 49-4 Room 8:30** **Health Care in Russia and Other Transition Countries**
Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
Chair, Katri Sieberg, *University of Tampere*
katri.sieberg@uta.fi
- 8:35** **Save the Children With Clean Water: The Impact of Public-Private Partnership in Water Sector Reform on Child Mortality in Ghana**
Whether the World Bank initiated private sector participation in Ghana's water sector reform has any positive impact on helping Ghana reduce child mortality rate, one of the eight key issues of the Millennium Development Goals.
Xi Joo Chen, *University of South Carolina*
sooadfemin@hotmail.com
- 8:47** **Sector-Switching Phenomena in Transition Economies: A Case Study of Kazakhstan's Health Care Sector**
The study examines the economic and behavioral factors influencing 'sector-switching' in Kazakhstan's health care industry;

- doctors switching from the national to the private system, which is not well established, questioning why it occurs.
Design Chukmantova, Cleveland Graduate University
 design.chukmantova@cgu.edu
- 8:59 **Civil Society and the Provision of Health Care Services in Post-Soviet Russia**
 This article analyzes the role of civil society in the provision of health care services in Russia, with particular emphasis on cancer care. It uses the example of civil society organizations working in the field of pediatric oncology.
Elena Mal'tseva, University of Toronto
 elena.maltseva@utoronto.ca
- 9:11 **Why Was State-Centered Health Reform Introduced in a Prisdemocratic Society in 1964? A Study on the Nature of State in Colonial Hong Kong**
 This research investigates reforms in the provision of medical service in 1964 through government archives and content analysis of newspapers in order to look into the nature of the colonial government.
Kai Yi Tang, Chinese University of Hong Kong
 kiytang000@gmail.com
- 9:13 **The Price of the Hippocratic Oath: Determinants of Bribery in Russian Health Care**
 The paper uses the Russian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey to examine the incidence and determinants of informal payments in the health care industry.
Tetyana Zelenka, Georgia State University
 tzelenka@gmail.com
Klara Sabirianova Peter, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 kspeter@unc.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Hadji M. Mamadi, East Tennessee State University**
 mamadi@etsu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-6 **Coalitions in Comparative Context: Analyzing Belief Systems, Strategies, and Policy Change**
 Burnham 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
- Room 8:30 **Chair, Chris Weible, University of Colorado, Denver**
 chris.weible@ucdenver.edu
- 9:35 **Institutions, Issue Salience and Policy Conflict: Belief System Incompatibility in Swiss and Swedish Energy Policy Subsystems**
 This paper assesses the role of national institutional elements (openness and consensus) and subsystem features (issue and salience) in explaining belief system incompatibility among actors in Swiss and Swedish energy policy subsystems.
Daniel Mohrstedt, Swedish National Defence College
 daniel.mohrstedt@sfs.se
Karin Ingold, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology
 kingold@ethz.ch
- 2:50 **The Politics of Nuclear Waste: Subsystem Development, Policy Design, and Coalition Stability**
 Examines the development of the nuclear waste subsystem and the role that policy design can play in coalition formation and stability.
Matthew Christopher Nowlin, University of Oklahoma
 mnowlin@ou.edu
- 9:05 **Advocacy Coalitions, Events, and Change in American Foreign Policy: An Application of the Advocacy Coalition Framework to U.S. Foreign Policy and the Creation of Israel, 1922-1948**
 This study examines foreign policy change by examining the interaction between events such as the Holocaust and the creation of the United Nations with policy core beliefs of identified advocacy coalitions.
Jonathan Pierce, University of Colorado, Denver
 Jonathan.Pierce@ucdenver.edu
- 9:20 **Differences in the Strategic Construction of Policy Narratives in Adversarial and Cooperative Policy Subsystems: A Comparative Case Study of Wind Energy Policy**
 In this study, Narrative Policy Framework is used to operationalize ACF concepts in an innovative manner and test for associations between them. The data come from a comparative case study of adversarial and collaborative wind energy subsystems.
Elizabeth A. Shanahan, Montana State University
 shanahan@montana.edu
Michael D. Jones, University of Oklahoma
 jcaemiel@gmail.com
Mark K. McBeth, Idaho State University
 mubemark@isu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Hank C. Jenkins-Smith, University of Oklahoma**
 jenkinsmith@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Disc., Paul A. Sabatier, University of California, Davis**
 psabatier@ucdavis.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-5 **Local Resilience in the Face of Environmental Change**
 Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
- Room 8:30 **Chair, 734**
- 8:35 **Local-Level Policy Change and Learning in Response to Extreme Flood Events**
 By examining response to extreme flooding events in Hungary (Danube River Basin), this study seeks the important factors explaining variation in local-level policy change and learning through a quantitative analysis of survey data (n=141).
Elizabeth Albright, Loyola University, Chicago
 ealbr@loyola.edu
- 8:50 **Climate Change and Public Health in the Canadian Arctic**
 Using satellite data, we evaluate whether fluctuations in the sea ice, which are known to disrupt hunting activities, are associated with more frequent suicides, violent disputes, and public disturbances in Nunavut and Nunavik.
Miriam A. Golden, University of California, Los Angeles
 golden@ucla.edu
Brian Mib, University of Michigan
 briamib@umich.edu
- 9:05 **States of Nature: Climate Crisis, Social Resilience, and Collective Action in the Arctic**
 This research draws upon fieldwork and survey data from nearly 200 climate-endangered rural Alaskan villages to explore key determinants and mechanisms of social resilience, collective adaptation, and institutional innovation under crisis conditions.
Jennifer W. Hawk, Harvard University
 jenniferhawk@gmail.com
- 9:20 **Local Perceptions and Responses to Environmental Emergencies: A Survey of Municipal Officials Regarding the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill**
 This paper presents preliminary results of a survey of local government officials - mayors and city council members - in the states along the Gulf Coast of Mexico regarding perceptions of and policy responses to the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill.
Ashley Dyan Ross, Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi
 ashley.ross@tamucc.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Alka Saput, Florida Atlantic University**
 wasari@fau.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 53-6 **Interlocal Contracting, Cooperation, and Collaboration**
 Congress on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
- Room 8:30 **Chair, David Johnson, Missouri State University**
 davidjohnson@missouristate.edu
- 8:35 **Disaster Management: An Analysis of Regulatory Policies and Intergovernmental Relations**
 The purpose of this study is to determine if large and small communities have or are developing policies to regulate, manage,

- invest, and maintain capital infrastructure to protect society from damage due to natural/unnatural disasters.
 Mary D. Briscoe, *Governors State University*
 m-clark@govst.edu
- 8:55 **Intergovernmental Grant Contracting at the Municipal Level: The Role and Impact of Contracting Capacity and Competencies**
 Some municipalities invest in contracting out, but the capacities associated with this strategy are also crucial to obtaining intergovernmental grants. We ask whether municipalities with greater contracting capacity are more likely to obtain grants.
 Brian K. Collins, *University of North Texas*
 brian.collins@unt.edu
 Simon A. Andrew, *University of North Texas*
 simonandrew@mindspring.com
- 9:15 **Understanding the Emergence of Interlocal Collaborative Behavior: Institutions, Incentives and Information Networks**
 Extending Frederickson's administrative conjunction theory, I build and test a public governance model of interlocal service cooperation in Wayne County, Michigan. My analysis significantly enhances our understanding of metropolitan governance.
 Shantel Karppanamy, *Wayne State University*
 shantel_karppanamy@yahoo.com
- 9:35 **Disc., David Johnson, Missouri State University**
 davidcjohnson@missouristate.edu
Disc., Anthony Kassteler, Florida State University
 ak105f@fsu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 54-15 **Paths of Institutional and Policy Development**
 Room Barnham 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Patrick S. Roberts, Virginia Tech University**
 robertsp@m.edu
 8:35 **The Local Turn of Bleeding Kansas**
 This paper looks at the racialized history of justice and popular sovereignty in Bleeding Kansas to explain the relationship between prisons and democracy. The paper focuses on the formation of prisons in Free State Kansas and pro-slavery Missouri.
 Sara Benson, *University of California, Santa Cruz*
 sbenson@ucsc.edu
- 8:47 **Hooking Workers and Hooking Votes: Exploitation, the Extension of the Suffrage, and the Institutional Origins of Labor Market Dualism in Latin America**
 Employing historical data on late-18th century Latin American labor market institutions, this paper argues that differing recruitment patterns and the extension of the suffrage shaped the region's subsequent labor market segmentation and inequality.
 Matthew Carnes, *Georgetown University*
 mc247@georgetown.edu
- 8:59 **Subnational Democratization in the United States: The Development of Two-Party Politics in the American South**
 This paper proposes a model of subnational democratization, and applies the model to the case of the Southern U.S. I find that the spatial diffusion of democratic institutions works alongside changing egalitarian norms to explain political change.
 David James Hendry, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 hendry2@illinois.edu
- 9:11 **The Transatlantic Crossing of the Therapeutic Community**
 When first invented in the UK the "therapeutic community" (TC) reoriented democratic engagement as a path to psychiatric recovery. TCs proliferate in the US today but no longer promote democracy. This paper examines how this happened.
 Lynn M. Sanders, *University of Virginia*
 lms3u@virginia.edu
- 9:23 **The Politics of Executive Compensation Regulation During the Great Depression and Global Financial Crisis**
 I compare government efforts to regulate executive compensation during the Roosevelt and Obama Administrations. On both occasions there was a perception that the general public wanted to curb what they view as excesses in executive pay.
 Sandra L. Sawyer, *Temple University*
 sawyer@temple.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Patrick S. Roberts, Virginia Tech University**
 robertsp@vt.edu
Disc., Gordon Shockley, Arizona State University
 shockley@asu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 56-7 **Religion and Public Policy**
 Room Parlor C on the 6th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Lauretta Conklin Frederking, University of Portland**
 frederk@up.edu
 8:35 **Religion, Welfare States and Income Inequality**
 This paper studies the relationship between religiosity and personal attitudes towards income redistribution. At the country level, I show that more religious countries have a lesser role for the welfare state and higher income inequality.
 Julian Arevalo, *Boston University*
 arevalo@bu.edu
- 8:47 **Political Elites, Religion and Welfare States in Latin America**
 In this paper I study the relationship between religion and attitudes towards income redistribution. I use survey data from Congressmen in Latin America and combine it with qualitative data from interviews with Congressmen in Colombia
 Julian Arevalo, *Boston University*
 arevalo@bu.edu
- 8:59 **The Wedding March: Religion and the Campaign for Same-Sex Marriage**
 This paper attempts to answer what population demographics influence state policy on same-sex marriage.
 Michele Frazier, *American University*
 mcf1608@student.american.edu
- 9:11 **Religion, Race and Ethnicity, and Immigration Reform Attitudes**
 This paper examines how religious beliefs, affiliations, and congregations structure public attitudes toward immigration reform – and particularly how opinions by race and ethnicity may be differentially affected by similar religious factors.
 David L. Leal, *University of Texas, Austin*
 dleal@stutts.utexas.edu
- 9:23 **Is Moral Entrepreneurship Why I Can't Buy Liquor on Sunday?**
 Why do "Blue Laws" regulating morality, such as alcohol sales, continue to exist in jurisdictions across the country? Is religion still a driving force, whereby moral entrepreneurs act ensuring these laws stay in place through their participation?
 Alan Steinberg, *University of Houston*
 alansteinberg@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Mark Carl Rom, Georgetown University**
 mark.carl.rom@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 57-103 **Political Science and the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning**
 Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 8:30 am
 In this roundtable, we will discuss the growing scholarship of teaching and learning movement. We will consider how this work can enhance what we do in our teaching, and how political scientists can be effective participants in SOTL.

- Chair** Jeffrey L. Bernstein, *Eastern Michigan University*
jeffrey.bernstein@emich.edu
- Panelist** John T. Ishiyama, *University of North Texas*
John.Ishiyama@unt.edu
Kerstin Hanson, *University of Central Florida*
khanson@mail.ucf.edu
Stone L. Siskin, *George Mason University*
siskin@gmu.edu
- 66-2** **Legal and Theoretical Perspectives on the Politics of Sexuality**
- Room** Crystal on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
- 8:30** **Chair**, Shawn Richard Schultenberg, *Marshall University*
schultenberg@marshall.edu
- 8:35** **Sexuality, Immutability, and Human Capabilities**
Immutability as a defense of sexual orientation is flawed. Like the good of religious belief, we should define a good of sexuality at a sufficiently high level that the capacity for intimacy is protected within broad limits absent harm to others.
Emily R. Gill, *Bradley University*
gill@bradley.edu
- 8:50** **Kinship Redefined: Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ARTs) and The Discourses of Marriage Equality**
This paper looks at the 1988 Baby M court case and examines the opinion through the theoretical lenses of assisted reproductive technology, gender, and sexuality, especially as those dynamics pertain to arguments for marriage inclusivity.
Dieter Ritze, *Rutgers University*
dritze@nci.rutgers.edu
- 9:05** **Targeting Target: A Story of Gay Rights, Electoral Politics, and Queer Theory**
This paper explores the manifestations of queer theory in the political world by critically examining the 2010 campaign donation of the Target Corporation to Minnesota conservatives.
Jason Stodolka, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
jstodol@uic.edu
- 9:10** **Gay Bullying as a Political Tool**
This paper demonstrates how the bullying of gay students is an act of cultural violence that ultimately aims to silence the gay political voice.
Joshua R. Thompson, *Ball State University*
joshua.thompson@yahoo.com
- 9:35** **Disc.**, Gordon A. Babst, *Chapman University*
gbabst@chapman.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 71-2** **Science and the Imagination in the Modern Republic: Literary Investigations**
- Room** Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 8:30 am
- 8:30** **Chair**, Catherine H. Zuckert, *University of Notre Dame*
czuckert@nd.edu
- 8:35** **Natural Science, Literary Structure, and the Science of Republican Politics in Thomas Jefferson's Notes on the State of Virginia**
Thomas Jefferson's *Notes on the State of Virginia* is often dismissed as a collection of sundry, unrelated material. But upon careful reading, it proves to be a coherent literary effort promoting a scientific basis for republicanism in America.
Dustin A. Gish, *College of the Holy Cross*
dgish@holycross.edu
Daniel P. Kilgus, *College of the Holy Cross*
dkilgus@holycross.edu
- 8:50** **Roots and Recognition: German Sources of W.E.B. DuBois's Thought**
This paper will examine the ways in which W.E.B. DuBois draws on 19th century German thought, including that of Hegel, Schopenhauer, and Wagner, and explores the role of recognition, primal roots, and resigned separation in DuBois's thought.
- Paul E. Kirkland, Carthage College**
pkirkland@carthage.edu
- 9:05** **Hawthorne's Birthmark and the Place of Science in a Modern Republic**
Drawing from a parallel between Hawthorne's *Birthmark* and Aristotle's discussion of slavery in the *Politics*, we consider the challenge of promoting scientific discovery while simultaneously preventing the tyranny of scientism in the modern republic.
B. Jeffrey Reno, *College of the Holy Cross*
jreno@holycross.edu
Robert L. Vabell, *College of the Holy Cross*
rvabell1@holycross.edu
- 9:10** **The Use of the Imagination in Tocqueville's Democracy in America**
This paper examines the use the Americans make of the imagination in ways relevant to Tocqueville's assessment of them, and it looks at Tocqueville's use of the imagination as looking to the future of democracy and assessing its value.
Paul Ulrich, *Carthage College*
paulrich@carthage.edu
- 9:35** **Disc.**, Bruce Edward Caswell, *Rowan University*
bcaswell@rowan.edu
Disc., Catherine H. Zuckert, *University of Notre Dame*
czuckert@nd.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**

Friday, April 1 at 10:25 am

- 1-3 Incumbency Advantage in the U.S. States: Gerrymanders and Public Financing Effects**
 Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Keith Gaddis, *University of Oklahoma*
 kgaddis@ou.edu
- 10:30 Using Legislative Districting Simulations to Measure Electoral Bias in Legislatures**
 We conduct legislative districting simulations using only the political criteria of drawing compact and contiguous districts. We show that Republicans naturally win a disproportionately large share of legislative seats, even without gerrymandering.
 Jowei Chen, *University of Michigan*
 jowei@umich.edu
 Jonathan Rodden, *Stanford University*
 jroddeca@stanford.edu
- 10:45 An Objective and Simple Measure of Gerrymandering: A Demonstration From New York State**
 We construct an objective and simple measure of gerrymandering and test it on legislative districts in New York. The results show clear evidence of gerrymandering, demonstrating how a justifiable standard for gerrymandering may be developed.
 Michael D. McDonald, *SUNY Binghamton*
 mdmcd@binghamton.edu
 Robb E. Bert, *Leiden University*
 rbert@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
 Jonathan Krason, *SUNY Binghamton*
 jkrason@binghamton.edu
- 11:00 Do Non-Partisan Redistricting Institutions Decrease Electoral Bias?**
 In this paper, I look across all 50 American states to examine whether non-partisan or bipartisan redistricting institutions decrease electoral bias in state legislatures.
 Christopher Warshaw, *Stanford University*
 cwarshaw@stanford.edu
- 11:15 Public Campaign Financing and the Incumbency Advantage**
 This paper estimates the incumbency advantage for state house candidates in 44 states from 1970 onward and models the incumbency advantage as a function state-specific and national factors, with a focus on the effects of public campaign financing.
 Timothy Daniel Warner, *Grinnell College*
 twarner@grinnell.edu
 Kenneth Mayer, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 kmayer@polisci.wisc.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Keith Gaddis, University of Oklahoma**
 kgaddis@ou.edu
 Disc., Terry L. Hill, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
 tlh@alum.mit.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 2-6 Economic Policy**
 Room Sandberg 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Michael Baggerow Kildegaard, *University of Southern Denmark*
 mbk@som.sdu.dk
- 10:30 Consumer Sentiment: Heterogeneous Responses to Economic Reality**
 This study shows that – in a panel of industrialized countries – the consumers’ response to different objective economic factors – such as unemployment, inflation and the stock market – depends on individual level characteristics, such as income.
 Roland Kupke, *Stony Brook University*
 roland.kupke@stonybrook.edu
 Martijn Schwanvelde, *Stony Brook University*
 mechoonvelde@gmail.com

- 10:50 The Politics of Partisanship in U.S. Trade Policy: An Empirical Investigation**
 This paper analyzes the inter-industry structure of U.S. trade policy, 1989-2008. It argues that vote-maximization strategies of parties and the partisan composition of government branches determine the cross-sectoral pattern in trade protection.
 Su-Hyun Lee, *University of Michigan*
 suhyun@umich.edu
- 11:10 Channels of Adjustment in Labor Markets: An Empirical Investigation of the Federal 2007-2009 Minimum Wage Increase**
 This paper examines economic effects of the most recent Federal minimum wage increase in the U.S. Using qualitative and quantitative methods, it investigates a range of adjustment mechanisms employed by small businesses in response to this policy.
 Tetyana Zelenko, *Georgia State University*
 tzelenko@gmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., Udaya R. Wagle, Western Michigan University**
 udaya.wagle@wmich.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 3-3 Theories and Policies of Economic Development**
 Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, David Prosper, *Florida Atlantic University*
 prosper@fau.edu
- 10:30 Economic Development Rhetoric in the 2010 gubernatorial Elections**
 Examines economic development approaches advocated by gubernatorial candidates in the 2010 midterm elections. Campaign positions are categorized according to how well they fit leading approaches to economic development policy.
 Keith Boeckelman, *Western Illinois University*
 K.A.Boeckelman@wiu.edu
- 10:42 Who is the Money in Your Neighborhood?: An Analysis of Economic Development Policies and Their Impact on Civic and Political Life**
 Economic development is a major responsibility of local government. Yet these policies are often adopted without consideration of community life. This study will examine the impact of four economic policies on community civic and political life.
 Trent Aaron Engbers, *Indiana University*
 tangbers@iudiana.edu
- 10:54 Resources for the Fourth Russian Modernization**
 For recently announced modernization of Russian economy we analyze scope of work, required funds, and estimate resources available through corruption reduction, privatization, granting independence to Northern Caucasus regions, foreign investments.
 Denis V. Subbotin, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
 denis_sub@inbox.ru
 Andriy A. Rybelov, *Georgia State University*
 andchebewagug@gmail.com
- 11:00 Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Job Creation: Testing the 'Missing Link' Hypothesis of Entrepreneurship and the Theory of Knowledge Spillover Entrepreneurship**
 Using MSA-level data for years 2001-2006, this research empirically tests the hypotheses presented by the 'missing link' view of entrepreneurship and the knowledge spillover theory of entrepreneurship.
 Alexandra Ivretkova, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
 ivretkova.alexandra@gmail.com
 Deborah A. Stranney, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
 dstranney@uncc.edu
- 11:18 Civic Culture and the Politics of Local Economic Development: The Value of Ethnographic Methods for Understanding the Politics of Local Economic Development**
 Political scientists now recognize culture as a major source of variation in the politics of local economic development across

- contributes. Ethnographic studies can provide a rich understanding of local culture and its influence in local politics.
Justin Wipf, *University of Kansas*
justin.l.wipf@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Abhishek Chatterjee, *University of Virginia***
ac7y@virginia.edu
Disc., David Prosper, *Florida Atlantic University*
prospert@fau.edu
Disc., Sharnal Alan Tindoo, *Economic Research Service, USDA*
stindoo@ers.usda.gov
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 4-6 Dynamics of Military Rule**
Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Emily Ann Beaulieu, *University of Kentucky*
emily.beaulieu@uky.edu
- 10:30 **Argentina and the Development of Civilian Control**
Over the last decade, several Latin American countries have experienced coups or coup attempts. Argentina has escaped both of these trends. This paper examines the institutional and political foundations of civilian control in Argentina.
Richard Thomas Hay, *Northwestern University*
Rick.Hay@u.northwestern.edu
- 10:50 **Black Coat Protest: The Rebel's Dilemma and the 2007 Lawyers Movement in Pakistan**
Using Mark Lichbach's collective action arena from "The Rebel's Dilemma," this essay evaluates the 2007 Lawyers Movement in Pakistan that led to the eventual demise of military rule and ushered in a renewed attempt at democracy in Pakistan.
Terilyn Johnston Huntington, *University of Kansas*
terilynhuntington@ku.edu
- 11:10 **Will You Not Stop Quoting Lanza to us When we Get With Swords?: Military Coups During Military Governments**
I examine the determinants of coup events using a unique dataset (167 countries for the period 1950-2000) to analyze the assumption of the military behaving as an unitary actor
Guacalo Rivera, *New York University and Juan March Institute*
grivero@marcb.es
- 11:30 **Disc., Emily Ann Beaulieu, *University of Kentucky***
emily.beaulieu@uky.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 4-21 Legacies of Colonialism**
Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Diana Kapczynski, *University of California, Irvine*
dianakap@uci.edu
- 10:30 **Borders as a Natural Experiment: Assessing the Causal Effect of British Colonialism in Sub-Saharan Africa**
This paper exploits the arbitrary nature of African borders to estimate, using a regression discontinuity design, the causal effect on economic development of both British colonial history and British political institutions.
Scott F. Abramo, *Princeton University*
scotf.abramo@alum.dartmouth.org
Graeme Hale, *Princeton University*
ghale@princeton.edu
- 10:42 **Echoes of Colonial Repression: The Long-Term Impact of the Massacre of the Malagasy Uprising of 1947**
This paper combines survey data with ethnographic information to estimate the long-term effects of the repression of the Malagasy Uprising (1947) upon current self-reported levels of freedom of expression and trust in political institutions.
Osair D. Garcia Polce, *New York University*
ogp200@nyu.edu
Leonard Wantchekon, *New York University*
leonard.wantchekon@nyu.edu
- 10:54 **The Colonial Origins of Maoism in India**
We demonstrate that colonial institutions established by the British in India (Banerjee and Iyer, 2005) in the 19th century, are partly responsible for the emergence of Maoism, a violent social movement in India, also referred to as Naxalism.
Parashar Kulkarni, *New York University*
pvk209@nyu.edu
Leonard Wantchekon, *New York University*
leonard.wantchekon@nyu.edu
- 11:06 **Resource Curse and Colonial Institutions**
This paper tests whether effects of natural resources on democracy are conditional on colonial institutions. Using a European settlement variable as a proxy to colonial institutions, I find an interactive effect between them and resource effects.
Ju Yoon Park, *New York University*
jyp256@nyu.edu
- 11:18 **Colonial Legacy and Elite Conflict in Post-Colonial Countries**
This paper deals with how the political competition among major political actors after independence determines the initial state institutions and how it induces state capacity and regime stability in the long run, using a game theoretic model.
Seokyoung Park, *New York University*
sp1702@nyu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Jennifer C. Seely, *Earlham College***
seelyjc@earlham.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-9 Military Regimes and Transition to Democracy**
Room Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Cecilia Hinojosa, *Instituto de Estudios Internacionales, Universidad de Chile & CERC*
cecehino@idec.cl
- 10:30 **Soldier and Politics: Coups d'état in Thai Politics**
This paper aims to systematically analyze the context of Thai military coups that leads Thai politics transformed towards a scenario of "civil-military complex" and to explore the coup tradition in modern Thai politics.
Pei-Hsu Hsiang Chen, *National Chi Nan University, Taiwan*
phchen@ncnu.edu.tw
- 10:42 **Democratization and Military Financial Interests: Evidence from Thailand**
My paper implements a new approach to assessing effectiveness of democratizing reforms based on examining stock price movements. I find strong evidence of dramatic shift in power away from the military in Thailand in the post-97 constitution period.
Gokce Goktepe, *Princeton University*
ggoktepe@princeton.edu
- 10:54 **Legacy of War on Democratic Performance: Causal Estimation of the Lasting Effect of the Air Raids in Japan During WWII**
This study demonstrates the causal effects of war on democratic performance using the air raids in Japan during World War II as a natural experiment. The study shows that the prefectures heavily bombed record lower voter turnout for decades.
Masataka Harada, *University of Chicago*
masa@uchicago.edu
- 11:06 **The Politicization of the Military and Democratic Consolidation**
Using cross-sectional and panel data, the paper finds that transitional states that had a past history of military activism are more likely to experience democratic collapse thus rendering them as unlikely candidates for democratic consolidation.
Rollin F. Tusalem, *Arkansas State University*
rtusalem@astate.edu
- 11:18 **Reforming Civil-Military Relations: Accommodation or Confrontation?**
This paper analyzes the prosecution of former military officers in countries (Chile, Argentina, Turkey and Greece) that have made their transition to democracy in the "third wave" of democratization.

- Oya Yegen, *Harvard University*
oyayegen@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Miguel Centellas, University of Mississippi**
mcentella@gmail.com
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-22 **Resistance to Democratization**
Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Carl Levant, American University**
levan@american.edu
- 10:30 **Challenging Oil Income, Persistent Authoritarianism**
Many scholars suggest that temporarily low oil income in petrostates ought to make authoritarian regimes vulnerable to democratic pressures, but history suggests otherwise. This paper shows why autocratic regimes are robust to downturns in oil income.
Jeff Colgan, American University
colgan@american.edu
- 10:43 **Democratization in Non-Democratic Countries**
States that neither claim to be democratic nor apparently wish to be are participating in UNDP projects that have the explicit purpose of promoting democratization in their countries. The paper considers why unlikely states are thus engaged.
Ginger Leigh Elliott-Teague, University of Oklahoma
gelliott@ou.edu
- 10:54 **State Capacity and Vertical Power Concentration in Democratizing Countries**
This paper shows that levels of state capacity impact democratization processes by shaping the form of political institutions, either presidential or parliamentary, as well as the level of concentration of power in the hands of executives over time.
Jessica Fortin, GESIS - Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften
jessica.fortin@gesis.org
- 11:06 **Strategic Importance and Democratization: The Case of Mauritania**
The case of Mauritania: An Arab League member that underwent a (short-lived) democratic transition, 2005-2008, while receiving counterterrorism aid—challenges theories that treat strategic importance as a fundamental obstacle to democratization.
Debra Mercedes Girod, Georgetown University
dgirod@stanford.edu
Meir Walters, Georgetown University
mwr56@georgetown.edu
- 11:38 **Resilient Democracies: Explaining the Existence and Persistence of Subnational Authoritarian Practices**
I develop a model explaining the existence of subnational authoritarian enclaves and their tolerance by the democratic national governments. To test the predictions I do data analysis of the Mexican states and case studies of two regions.
Juan Rebolledo, Yale University
juan.rebolledo@yale.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Christian Houles, Trinity College, Dublin**
houles@trinc.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-7 **Pre-electoral Coalitions in Presidential, Legislative, and Local Elections**
Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Royce A. Carroll, Rice University**
rcarroll@rice.edu
- 10:30 **District Magnitude and Pre-Electoral Coalitions: Brazilian Municipal Elections**
The paper examines how shifts in district magnitude shape pre-electoral coalition formation in an open-list PR system, using results from Brazilian municipal elections.
Adam B. Cobos, University of California, Berkeley
acobos@berkeley.edu
- 10:45 **Gaining a Competitive Edge: Party Alliances in Presidential Elections in Europe and South America**
We argue that institutions - presidential powers and electoral rules - combine with individual party characteristics to determine whether parties have the incentive to form an alliance, or compete on their own in presidential races in 23 democracies.
Karleen A. James West, West Virginia University
KJames@mail.wvu.edu
- Jae-Jae Spoon, University of Iowa**
jae-jae-spoon@uiowa.edu
- 11:00 **Credible Coalitions? Pre-Electoral Agreements and Post-Electoral Governments in Presidential Systems**
I argue that policy-oriented coalitions in presidential elections generate credible commitments to cooperate in government, but promises to share spoils do not. I show that coalition behavior differs according to parties' goals (office vs. policy).
Marina Andrea Kelsam, Texas A&M University
mkelsam@polisci.tamu.edu
- 11:15 **Pre-election Coalitions and Party System Development: Central European Variations**
We analyze the impact of pre-election coalitions and electoral law regulations pertaining to these coalitions on party system development in countries of Central Europe.
G. Bingham Powell, University of Rochester
gb.powell@rochester.edu
Pavlina Anna Marek, University of Rochester
pmarek@mail.rochester.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Royce A. Carroll, Rice University**
rcarroll@rice.edu
- Disc., Indridi H. Einridason, University of California, Riverside**
indridi.einridason@polihcalsta.org
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-7 **Political Parties and Comparative Politics**
Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Kenneth Janda, Northwestern University**
k-janda@northwestern.edu
- 10:30 **How Do Social Networks Affect the Development of Party Identification?**
This paper investigates the effect of social network characteristics on how people's party identification emerges and develops in Turkey.
Euf Erkip, California Polytechnic State University
eeripen@calpoly.edu
- 10:45 **The Cleavages Do Matter: The (different) Rating of Political Parties on the Left-Right Axis**
We reveal that socio-structural characteristics, especially religiosity, explain different rating of political parties on the left-right scale. This demonstrates the role of a second latent cleavage for explaining voters' distinct perception.
Rita Peñalver-Schayer, Leiden University
rschayer@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
Huib Pellikaan, Leiden University
pellikaan@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
- 11:00 **Does party instability undermine democratic representation?**
This project assesses if voters make reasoned decisions in elections characterized by instability in party organizations. Using data from 50 European elections, I test if changes in party organizations affect voter-party representational congruence.
Dani Marinova, Indiana University
dmarinov@indiana.edu
- 11:15 **Party Systems and Mass Partisanship in New and Old Democracies: Evidence from Europe**
This study examines how the basic features of party systems (fragmentation, polarization, volatility, and competitiveness), in interaction with the motivations and resources of voters, affects mass partisanship in new and old democracies.

- Guillem Rico, *Universitat Pompeu Fabra*
guillem.rico@upf.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Marco Liel, University of Lisbon**
marcolis@uap.pt
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-6 **Theories of European Integration**
Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Jonathan B. Shapiro, University of Houston**
jshapiro@uh.edu
- 10:30 **Federalism in the EU: New Member States and Monetary Union**
This paper's contribution lies in the adoption of a new theory – Compound Federalism – to explain the process of EU monetary integration.
Alessandro Cingolati, *West Virginia University*
acingolati@maria.wvu.edu
- 10:42 **Negotiation by Stages: Treaty Negotiations in the European Union**
European treaties have never been seen as a “final” stage of the negotiation process, but rather as one step of many towards further cooperation. This paper introduces a negotiation model, which takes into account that parties proceed in stages.
Hartmut Lenz, *Harvard University*
hlenz@post.harvard.edu
- 10:54 **Conferences or Muddling Through: Regulatory Federalism in Reconsidering the Effect of Domestic Political Influences on Compliance With European Union Environmental Legislation**
This study offers a more rigorous picture of the dynamics between private interests and governments in policy compliance. We provide several novel insights on the effect of domestic political influences on compliance with EU environmental legislation.
Ioanisa Litvanis, *Northwestern University*
i.litvanis@nwu.edu
David M. Hedge, *University of Florida*
dhedge@polisci.ufl.edu
- 11:06 **The Catholic Roots of European Union**
This paper asks whether traditional theories of European integration can account for the variation in support for supranational government between northern and southern Europe. A religious variable is suggested, with Catholics much more pro-EU.
Mark Royce, *George Mason University*
mroyce@gmu.edu
- 11:18 **Information, Commitment, and Consensus: A Comparison of Three Perspectives on Delegation in the European Union**
This paper examines three perspectives on the delegation of discretionary power: the transaction-costs, commitment, and consensus-building perspectives. The European Union is the testing ground for these three perspectives.
Robert Thomson, *Trinity College, Dublin*
thomson@tcd.ie
- 11:30 **Disc., Cesar Garcia Perez de Lema, Centre d'Etudes Europeennes**
cesar.garcia.perezdelema@sciences-po.org
- Disc., Jonathan B. Shapiro, *University of Houston*
jshapiro@uh.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-8 **Explanations of Public Support for the European Union**
Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Christine Arnold, Maastricht University**
c.arnold@maastrichtuniversity.nl
- 10:30 **A New Perspective: Can we Explain Turkish Political Party Support for the EU?**
We evaluate the extent of support for European integration among Turkish mainstream and minor parties. We develop & test ideological, identity, and elite-mass linkage hypotheses using expert survey and public opinion data.
Seth K. Jolly, *Syracuse University*
skjolly@maxwell.syr.edu
- Sibel Okbay, *Syracuse University*
sokbay@sy.edu
- 10:45 **Disc. via Facebook: Voting on the Lisbon Treaty in the Republic of Ireland**
This paper analyses the two critical votes on the Lisbon Treaty in Ireland in 2008 and 2009 and explores how far different theoretical explanations are consistent with the very big change in support for the treaty between 2008 and 2009.
Michael Marsh, *Trinity College, Dublin*
drmarsh@tmc.com
- 11:00 **Political Knowledge, Support for European Integration, and EU Issue Voting**
Political knowledge mediates the effect of support for European integration on voting behavior in national elections.
Erik R. Tulman, *DePaul University*
etulman@depaul.edu
- 11:15 **Examining the Levels of Public Support for EU Integration: The Role of Issue Salience**
This paper tests the impact of the salience of EU integration issues on the levels of public support for the European Union.
María Zakharenko, *Simon Fraser University*
mzakharenko@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Christine Arnold, Maastricht University**
c.arnold@maastrichtuniversity.nl
- Disc., Rene Lindstedt, *University of Essex*
rlind@essex.ac.uk
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-12 **Gender and Politics in Latin America**
Room Beaman 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Sharon Ana Navarro, University of Texas at San Antonio**
Sharon.Navarro@utsa.edu
- 10:30 **Women's Congress: The Under Representation of Women in the Mexican Subnational Legislatures, a First Attempt**
Using a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, we analyze the effects of systemic, individual and cultural factors on the political under representation of women in all Mexican state legislatures in the 2005-2010 period.
Laura Plasmann, *El Colegio de México*
laura.plasmann@gmail.com
- Rafael Arriaga-Carrasco, *Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*
rafael.arriaga@gmail.com
- 10:45 **Femicide/Feminicide in Ciudad Juárez: A Comparative Analysis**
Ciudad Juárez has become synonymous with femicide yet there have been no attempts in the femicide literature to contextualize the murder rates. This paper tackles this problem by comparing female murder rates in Juárez to two other Mexican cities.
Vanessa Garibay, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
vgarib2@uic.edu
- 11:00 **Jumping on the Bandwagon: Legislative Gender Quotas in Latin America and their Implications**
This paper examines the adoption and diffusion of legislative quotas in Latin America and their implications in both descriptive and substantive terms.
Adriana M. Pineda-Crocker, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
aproc2@uis.edu
- 11:15 **Does Democracy Make Us More Equal? Evolution of Gender-Based Pay Inequality in Chile During the Democratic Period (1990-2009)**
This paper analyzes the main trends in pay inequality by gender in Chile, and offers an overview of gender-based income disparities since the beginning of the democratic period in 1990, until its consolidation in 2009.

- Alvaro Quezada-Bollinger, *University of Texas, Austin*
alvaroquezada@mail.utexas.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Laura Flamand, *El Colegio de México***
laura.flamand@gmail.com
Disc., Adriana M. Platti-Crocker, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
acrcm2@uiuc.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-7 **Linking Politicians and Voters in South Asia**
Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Irfan Nooruddin, *Ohio State University***
nooruddin.3@osu.edu
- 10:30 **Votes Without Choice: Consequences of Ethnic Party Success for a Marginalized Ethnic Group**
Do ethnic parties provide the descriptive representation historically denied to the group, but ethnic voting in support of marginalized ethnic parties continues to preclude Dalits from the same level of democratic choice available to other groups.
Amir Abuja, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
abuja@polisci.ucsb.edu
- 10:45 **Citizen Representation and the Role of Politicians in India**
An analysis of constituency service patterns in India.
Jennifer Russell, *University of Texas, Austin*
jennifer.russell@mail.utexas.edu
- 11:00 **The "Psychic Benefits" of Political Power by a Co-Ethnic: Evidence From a Survey of the Scheduled Castes**
The "Psychic Benefits" of Political Power by a Co-Ethnic: Evidence From a Survey of the Scheduled Castes
Srinivas Chamechand, *New York University*
chamechands@nyu.edu
- 11:15 **Voting for Candidates, not Parties in India: Evidence from a New Dataset on Candidate Characteristics**
Using newly collected data from India on candidate characteristics, this paper assesses the extent to which election outcomes depend on candidate quality rather than a constituency's partisan loyalties.
Adam Ziegfeld, *Nuffield College, Oxford*
adam.ziegfeld@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
- 11:30 **Disc., Irfan Nooruddin, *Ohio State University***
nooruddin.3@osu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 13-4 **The State in Russia**
Room Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Mark A. Cichocki, *University of Texas, Arlington***
cichocki@uta.edu
- 10:30 **Split Personalities of the Political Leadership in the Post-Soviet Russia: In Search of Legitimacy**
This paper seeks to explain the pervasiveness of post-Communist hybrid regimes by focusing on some innovative tactics employed by the Russian political leadership to create the perception of legitimacy of the established regime.
Anna Nikolaevna Gregg, *Austin Peay State University*
gregga@apsu.edu
- 10:45 **High Modernism and the Limits: Assessing the Sources of State Inequality in Russia**
This paper uses an analytical framework developed from James C. Scott's concept of state-sponsored high modernism to assess the limits of Putin's state-building efforts.
S. Moham Haskim, *Muhlenberg College*
mhaskim@muhlenberg.edu
- 11:00 **Changing Institutional Structures: From Veto Players to Loyal Performers (An Example of Russian Subnational Executives)**
If in the early 1990s Russian governors served as powerful veto players in Russian politics; in the 2000s Kremlin managed to co-opt them. I employ the concept of veto players to explain why Kremlin succeeded in centralization during the 2000s.
- 11:15 **Disc., Olegovich Kulinin, *University of Michigan***
kulinin@umich.edu
- 11:30 **The Logic of Collective Inaction: Competing Perspectives on Russia's Center-Regions Politics**
When do the subnational actors in federal states attempt to form groups to coordinate their actions? This paper assess the interaction between the ideality-based and structural determinants of cooperation in the case of Russia.
Dmitri Mitya, *North Carolina State University*
dmitya@ncsu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Paul E. Sum, *University of North Dakota***
paul.sum@und.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 14-7 **Political Economy of Decentralization**
Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Mikhail Filippov, *SUNY, Binghamton***
filippov@binghamton.edu
- 10:30 **Both Decentralization and Strong Government, or Efficiency in Public Goods Provision**
The proposed paper argues that it is the combination of such political institutions as decentralization and strong central government that can provide a society with an optimal level of public goods in the most efficient way.
Dina Balabaeva, *Binghamton University*
balabaeva@gmail.com
- 10:45 **Local Governments Dance With Shovelers On: Public Finance, Land Property, and Economic Performance in China**
This paper investigates the conditions under which the state land tenure system can contribute to economic development through the case of China.
Meliss Cal, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
cal2@wisc.edu
- 10:54 **On a Highway to Where? The Political Economy of the Distribution of Public Infrastructure in Developing Federal Democracies**
This paper studies political and economic factors that affect the allocation of interregional redistributive transfers in the three largest federations in Latin America (the world's most unequal region): Argentina, Brazil, and Mexico (for 1995-2007).
Lucas Gonzalez, *Catholic University of Argentina/University of Son Jorhan*
gconzalez.90@ad.edu
- 11:06 **Size and Decentralization in Federal Nations**
Will fiscal decentralization allow regional politics to outperform national governments in the provision of baskets of public goods? I use data from Swiss cantons to predict the effects of region size on decentralization.
Michael S Thomas, *Binghamton University*
mthomas4@binghamton.edu
- 11:16 **Decentralization, Risk Attitudes, and Robust Economic Growth**
The paper maintains that decentralization creates signals that coordinate risk-averse and risk-acceptant companies in regions with varying levels of regulations, which, arguably, enhance the robustness of economic growth.
Andrey Zhurav, *Binghamton University*
azhurav1@binghamton.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Mikhail Filippov, *SUNY, Binghamton***
filippov@binghamton.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 15-7 **New Methodologies and Data in DPR**
Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Johannes Urpelainen, *Columbia University***
jupelai@columbia.edu
- 10:30 **Reconstructing the Worldwide Governance Indicators**
The World Bank's Worldwide Governance Indicators are among the most prominent governance metrics in use. We reconstruct the

- underlying data set and examine the robustness of these indicators to help understand their benefits and limitations.
Nicholas Berger, *RAND*
nberger@rand.org
Stephen Knack, *World Bank*
sknack@worldbank.org
- 10:45 **Who is Watching? A Multi-state Event History Analysis of Financial Supervision Governance Reforms**
Diffusion research has converged on an empirical formula: single-transition survival analysis. This paper significantly adds to this research with multi-state competing risk analysis. It is applied to a study of financial supervision changes.
Christopher John Gandrud, *London School of Economics*
c.gandrud@lse.ac.uk
- 11:00 **Measuring Transparency: A New Index**
This paper introduces a new measure of government transparency. Transparency is treated as a latent variable determining the presence or missingness of data from the WDI data series. Estimates of this latent term are obtained from an IRT model.
James Richard Hollyer, *New York University*
james.hollyer@nyu.edu
James Raymond Vreeland, *Yale University*
james.vreeland@yale.edu
B. Peter Rosendorff, *New York University*
peter.rosendorff@nyu.edu
- 11:15 **In Every Country the Same Chicken? A Deeper Look into the Contents of Different Foreign Aid Databases**
We compare the OECD-DAC and AidData databases to a database on aid projects in operation in Ecuador, looking at the reasons for discrepancies among the three sources and thinking about the implications of missing or biased data for future research
Matthew S. Winans, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
msw22@columbi.edu
Rachel Dotter, *University of Illinois*
hirachel@gmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., Johannes Urpelainen, *Columbia University*
jupelaj@umich.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 11-9 **The World Trade Organization: Politics and Disputes**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
Chair, Gerard Downes, *University of Limerick*
gerard.downes@ul.ie
- 10:25 **TRIPS and Enforcement Regimes: Estimating IP Domestically and Trade Law Internationally**
The paper examines the role of the TRIPS Agreement in enforcing trade law. It argues that the negative consequences of TRIPS to developing states are overstated and the positive effects (in terms of trade enforcement) are underemphasized.
Rachel Brewster, *Harvard Law School*
rbrewster@law.harvard.edu
- 10:45 **The World Trade Organization's (WTO) Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights: A Tragedy of the Anti-Commons?**
The paper argues that the World Trade Organization's (WTO) agreement on Intellectual property, TRIPs, will lead to a 'Tragedy of the Anti-Commons', whereby rational individuals collectively waste resources due to under-utilization of such assets.
Gerard Downes, *University of Limerick*
gerard.downes@ul.ie
- 11:00 **Enduring Trade Disputes: Causes of Prolonged and Repeated Conflicts in International Trade**
Whereas WTO member states have fully resolved most trade disputes that have arisen, some have endured for a long time. I argue that the variation results from the differing scale of economic changes that the losing side is required to implement.
Moonhawk Kim, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
moonhawk.kim@colorado.edu
- 11:15 **China and the WTO Dispute Settlement Mechanism: The Priority of Compliance**
This article examines the record of China's compliance with the World Trade Organization (WTO) rules since it joined the Organization in 2001, with an emphasis on the difficulties China faces as a result of its unique trade politics.
Xiawen Zhang, *Augustana College*
xiawenzhang@augustana.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Soo Yeon Kim, *University of Maryland*
sykim8@gmail.com
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 16-7 **Foreign Aid: Crude Barter or Incentives to Liberalize?**
Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- 10:25 Chair, Susan Dayton Hyde, *Yale University*
susan.hyde@yale.edu
- 10:30 **Human Rights and Foreign Aid: The Critical Role of NGOs as Information-Providers**
When do states use foreign aid to punish human rights violators? I argue that NGO mobilization mediates the relationship between recipient human rights abuses and foreign aid, providing leaders with the information and incentives necessary to act.
Michelle Allendoerfer, *George Washington University*
mallendo@gwu.edu
- 10:42 **Human Rights and Foreign Aid Revisited: Assessing the Effects of Donor Human Rights on Bilateral Aid**
This paper proposes that the influence of human rights violations in developing countries on aid allocation in that country depends on the regard for human rights in the donor country and the aid type the donor government chooses to allocate.
Edzard Carvalho, *University of Mannheim*
edzard.carvalho@gmail.com
Laura P. Seelkopf, *University of Essex*
lseelk@essex.ac.uk
- 10:54 **When is Foreign Aid "Selfish," When is it "Altruistic"?**
This paper investigates under which circumstances flows of foreign aid are best explained by "selfish" or "altruistic" approaches.
Tobias Helmenich, *Rice University*
th5@rice.edu
- 11:06 **Crude Interests? OECD Foreign Aid Allocation to Oil Producing Post-Civil War Countries, 1980-2006**
The author examines the linkages between oil production, civil war, and the conditionality of foreign aid. He finds OECD aid allocation is inversely proportional to the recipient's production levels in the years of initial or post-conflict recovery.
Steven Tyler Landis, *Penn State University*
landis.steven@gmail.com
- 11:16 **Why is International Financial Aid Implementation Ineffective? The case of European Union structural funds**
We hypothesize and show that subnational governments can circumvent conditionality attached to financial aid by international organizations and use it for distributive politics in order to further their own reelection.
Michael Staffel, *University of Mannheim*
michael.staffel@szs.uni-mannheim.de
Lisa Dellmuth, *University of Stockholm*
lisa.dellmuth@statsvet.su.se
- 11:30 Disc., Susan Dayton Hyde, *Yale University*
susan.hyde@yale.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion

- 16-19** **The EU and Domestic Politics**
Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Tobias Thaler, University College, Dublin**
 tobias.thaler@ucd.ie
- 10:30** **Prioritization of Domestic Interests in the European Union: National Immigration Laws and Regularization Programs in Spain**
 Despite the continuing empowerment of EU institutions in the field of immigration, Spain prioritizes its domestic interests at the EU level in order to influence the process of the development of the common EU immigration policy
Josana Drozd, DePaul University
 josana.drozd@depaul.edu
- 10:50** **Us vs. Them, Over and Over Again? National Identity Contention and Turkey's Stalled EU Accession**
 This paper examines Turkey's recently walked bid for EU membership, arguing that the failure effectively to implement reforms necessary for EU accession is best explained through a lens of national identity contention
Isabel Hinz, George Washington University
 ihinz@gmail.com
- 11:10** **The Problem of Hidden Action in Constituency-Based Explanations of International Compliance**
 This paper focuses on exploring constituency effect on compliance outcome under the conditions of varying ability of voters to monitor their elected agents. I test the model predictions using data on compliance with EU Directives.
Ezra Spaulhelova, Missouri State University
 espaulhel@gmail.com
- 11:30** **Disc., Tobias Thaler, University College, Dublin**
 tobias.thaler@ucd.ie
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 17-8** **The Origins and Organization of Terrorist Movements**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Christopher D Meranda, The Queen's University of Belfast**
 cmeranda@qub.ac.uk
- 10:30** **Does Gender Make a Difference?: A Qualitative Analysis of Motivations of the Female "Suicide Bombers"**
 This paper seeks to interpret the content of personal statements and interviews of female and male suicide bombers. The goal is to find out if there is a relationship between gender and motivational differences among suicide bombers
Burcu Pinar Alakoc, University of Colorado, Boulder
 burcu.pinar@colorado.edu
- 10:42** **Born or Made?: Irish Republicans, British Jihadis, and the UK's Radicalization Discourse**
 The paper explores the origins and characteristics of the UK discourse of violent radicalisation by focusing on the discursive construction of Irish Republicans, British Jihadis, and Republican Dissidents as variously 'born' or 'made' in the UK press
Maura Conway, Dublin City University
 maورا.conway@dcu.ie
- 10:54** **Terrorism, Leadership Resistance, and Michels' "Iron Law" of Oligarchy**
 Examination of various forms of "new terrorism"/"leaderless resistance," in connection with Michels' idea of the "iron law of oligarchy." A new type of oligarchy forms through the control over ideas rather than the control of material funds
Phillip W. Gray, United States Coast Guard Academy
 pwillip.w.gray@gmail.com
- 11:06** **Is Terrorism an Endemic Disease?**
 Paper suggests that terrorism is an endemic phenomenon. Although democratic standards and levels of income do not predict terrorism, levels of foreign direct investment and the number of women in politics apparently correlates with its incidence.
Edgar Jorge Marcolin, Purdue University
 marcolin@purdue.edu
- 11:18** **Terrorist Radicalization: A Case Study of the Weather Underground**
 This paper uses a case study of the Weather Underground to test how groupthink can facilitate the process of terrorist radicalization
Eleri Taintaudae, University of New Dame
 etainta0@gmail.com
- 11:30** **Disc., Christae Fair, Georgetown University**
 cf13@georgetown.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 17-22** **Insurgencies and Counterinsurgencies**
Room Saltn 5 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Aaron M. Hoffman, Purdue University**
 ahhoff20@gmail.com
- 10:30** **Reexamining Women in Insurgencies: How Organization Structure Effects how Women Participate**
 In this paper we ask two related questions: What influences an organization's propensity to include women as combat participants; and once participation has been allowed, what influences the ways in which women are organized for violent activities?
Kandice D. Bond, University of Maryland
 kbond@umd.edu
Jakana Thomas, Penn State University
 jlt112@psu.edu
- 10:50** **The Role of Weather in Insurgent Violence: A Micro-Level Study of the Iraq Conflict, 2003-2010**
 We examine the relationship between weather and insurgent violence in Iraq through a series of count models. Using daily province-level data, we find weather is significantly connected to levels of coalition fatalities coming from insurgent attacks.
Timothy A. Carter, Wayne State University
 tcarter@wayne.edu
Daniel Jay Veale, Wayne State University
 danvelveale@wayne.edu
- 11:10** **Modeling Political Support in Irregular Warfare: The Afghan Case**
 Modeling conflict such as those in Afghanistan and Iraq is hampered by weak data. We present results from an individual-resolution, empirical model of irregular warfare in Afghanistan with endogenous, security, governance, and population attributes.
Armando Geller, George Mason University
 ageller1@gmu.edu
Seyed Mohammad Musavi Rizal, George Mason University
 smusavi@gmu.edu
Maclej M. Leltek, George Mason University
 mleltek@gmu.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Aaron M. Hoffman, Purdue University**
 ahhoff20@gmail.com
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 18-7** **Modeling International Conflict**
Room Mechath Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Michael Scott Wolford, University of Colorado, Boulder**
 scott.wolford@colorado.edu
- 10:30** **Producers or Predators? A Model of Endogenous Power Distribution**
 This article constructs a model of static game of complete information in which the interactions connecting endogenous distribution of power with determination of international scarce resources and overall level of global welfare is illustrated.
Jia Chen, University of Colorado, Boulder
 jiac@colorado.edu
- 10:50** **The Strategic Use of the Threat of War**
 A dynamic bargaining model with two diplomatic options (crisis diplomacy and normal diplomacy) shows that a challenger initiates an international crisis only when it is not too weak but not too strong relative to the opponent.

- Kentana Hirose, *University of Chicago*
khirose1981@uchicago.edu
- 11:10 **Walking the Balance Beam: Balancing in Response to Asymmetric Information and Commitment Problems**
Our iterated, multiplayer formal model shows that commitment problems are a necessary cause of balancing, that informational asymmetries do not necessarily lead to balancing, and that balancing can happen with complete information.
Ivan Savle, *Columbia University*
is375@columbia.edu
Zachary Shirkley, *Hunter College, CUNY*
zshirkley@hunter.cuny.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Michael Scott Wolford, *University of Colorado, Boulder***
mssw1.wolford@colorado.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-24 **Interventions into Ongoing Conflicts**
Room Sandberg 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Jesse C. Johnson, *Rice University***
jjohnson@rice.edu
- 10:30 **Intervention and Non-intervention in Interstate Conflict**
I formally show that third party's intervention is influenced by the conflict success function, the difference in ability between the combatants, his belief of how protracted the conflict will be, and the weight on the welfare of the combatants.
Kazuhiko Kitaura, *University of Chicago*
kitaura@uchicago.edu
- 10:50 **A Little Push to "The Wheels of Commerce": Commercial Interests, Economic Development and Intervention Onset**
In essence, the paper tackles when economic objectives play a motivational role in intervention initiation.
Sema Hucate Ogutcu, *SCNY, Binghamton*
hogutcu1@binghamton.edu
- 11:10 **Bilateral Trade and Conflict Escalation: Does the Internationalization of Trade Affect States' Conflict-joining Behaviors?**
This paper addresses the likelihood of third party states joining ongoing interstate conflicts based on vested economic interests in the conflicting states.
Amanda Gale Sanford, *University of Tennessee*
asanford@utk.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Jesse C. Johnson, *Rice University***
jjohnson@rice.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 19-1 **Foreign Policy: Alternatives to the Use of Force**
Room Salon B on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Toby James Rider, *Texas Tech University***
toby.rider@ttu.edu
- 10:30 **Base Bargaining and Deployment Overseas: The United States in the 20th Century and a Case**
The paper presents a formal Rubinstein bargaining model of base negotiations between major powers and potential host states. The model is used to extract hypotheses that are tested using data from bases deployed by the United States.
Michael A. Allen, *SCNY, Binghamton*
michaelallen@binghamton.edu
- 10:42 **More or Less? PPO and U.S. Force Level**
This paper examines the factors that influence US Presidents' decisions concerning troop levels to deploy in potential crises, 1946 - 1998.
David Brule, *University of Tennessee*
dbrule@utk.edu
Michael Berry, *University of Tennessee*
mberry17@utk.edu
- 10:54 **Committed to Peace: The Question Effects of State Relationships on Conflict Manager Involvement and Commitment**
How can we understand a third party's commitment to conflict resolution? I argue a third party's commitment to resolving the conflict is the result of four factors: barriers to entry, conflict costs, the prospect for peace, and management capacity.
Molly Meering Mella, *Loyola University, Chicago*
mmella@luc.edu
- 11:06 **Are Economic Sanctions a Substitute for the Use of Force?**
We argue that to understand states' use of economic sanctions we must account for the variety of policies a state can use to accomplish its goals in the international system.
T. Clifton Morgan, *Rice University*
morgan@rice.edu
Daniel James Zaccarello, *Rice University*
djz2@rice.edu
Glenn Palmer, *Pennsylvania State University*
gpalmer@psu.edu
- 11:16 **Strategic Mediation for Domestic Benefits: The President's Choice to be a Mediator**
This paper argues that U.S. presidents strategically choose which conflicts they offer to mediate, based on the level of executive constraints of disputing parties, so as to better their domestic political fortunes in times of divided government.
James Preston Toothunter, *University of Tennessee, Knoxville*
jtoothun1@utk.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Toby James Rider, *Texas Tech University***
toby.rider@ttu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 20-7 **Sharing Land, Water, and Air: The International Politics of Environmental Cooperation**
Room Fritz on the 5th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Steven P. Bohransky, *Kent State University***
sbohrans@kent.edu
- 10:30 **Achieving Equity through International Cooperation**
Using more than 250 maritime bilateral maritime boundary agreements, the paper tests political, economic and geographic factors that influence where nations draw their maritime boundaries.
Aslav Aggelopoulos, *Bowd College*
aggelops@bowd.edu
- 10:45 **Global Energy Governance: Bilateral Trade and the Diffusion of International Organizations**
Why do states choose to join IGOs that regulate energy policy? Our core argument is that countries join IGOs regulating the energy sector in response to the membership previously gained by trade partners.
Leonardo Barcial, *IMT, Luven*
beavini@imt.ie
Paul W. Thurmer, *University of Bamberg*
paul.thurmer@imtes.uni-mannheim.de
- 11:00 **International Regimes and Domestic Demand for Environmental Protection**
We investigate how international regimes and associated regime secretariats influence and change domestic demand for environmental protection. We develop a number of causal mechanisms that account for the dynamics between regimes and domestic actors.
Frank Grundig, *University of Kent*
fgrundig@kent.ac.uk
- 11:15 **The Evolution and Convergence of International Environmental Norms: Comparing Global Water Standards to Local Basin Treaties**
This analysis examines the evolution and convergence of international environmental norms of transboundary water cooperation. The norms developed by international organizations are compared to those in multilateral and bilateral treaties.

- Richard Laird, *University of Texas, Dallas*
richardlaird@verizon.net
- 11:30 **Disc., Shivam Sudhir Kulkarni, *University of Illinois***
kulkam4@illinois.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 13-13 **Exploring Voter Mobilization, Engagement and Participation**
- Room** Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Melanie J. Springer, *Washington University, St. Louis***
mspringer@wustl.edu
- 10:30 **Mobilizing the Inattentive Voter: A Field Experiment Targeting Three Minority Groups in California**
A field experiment to examine the effectiveness of geo-out-the-vote methods and messages on minority groups, including an oversample of naturalized Korean, Chinese and Latino citizens.
Lisa A. Bryant, *University of New Mexico*
lbryant@unm.edu
- 10:42 **Race-Matching or Targeted Cross-Racial Mobilization?**
I investigate how candidates mobilize voters of different races and find that race-matching mobilization is more likely to occur in more electorally volatile states, as well as census tracts that are more densely concentrated with a single race.
Loren Callagwood, *University of Washington*
lorac2@uw.edu
- 10:54 **Treatment Heterogeneity in a Multi-Factorial Mail and Telephone Experiment**
A discussion of treatment effects across subsamples in a Get Out the Vote mail and telephone experiment in the UK. The paper suggests that researchers should pay more attention to the accessibility of samples and their location.
Edward A. Fieldhouse, *University of Manchester*
ed.fieldhouse@manchester.ac.uk
Peter C. John, *University of Manchester*
peter.john@manchester.ac.uk
Paul Widdop, *University of Manchester*
Paul.Widdop@manchester.ac.uk
David John Cutts, *University of Manchester*
david.cutts@manchester.ac.uk
- 11:06 **Opportunities and Outlets: Political Context and the Ideological Rotation of American Political Participation**
We evaluate the relationship between changing political contexts and opportunities on participation. Using data from 1990-2008, we determine how contextual factors and election outcomes relate to ideological rotation in political participation.
Jan Leighton, *University of Arizona*
leighton@american.edu
Andrew Ryan Lewis, *American University*
andy.lewis@american.edu
- 11:18 **Turnout, Status and Identity in Los Angeles: Mobilizing Latinos to Vote in Two Contrasting Neighborhoods**
We use a field experiment in the 2010 CA primary election to test effects of identity-based messages that encouraged turnout among Latino voters living in East L.A., a working class neighborhood, and Montebello, a neighboring middle class community.
Ali Adam Valenzuela, *Stanford University*
ali-alexval@stanford.edu
Melissa Rachel Michelson, *Mentor College*
melissa.michelson@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Paul Brewer, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee***
pbrewer@uwm.edu
- Disc., Victoria A. Shoberg, *New York University*
vas281@nyu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

- 24-100 **Cape Studies in the 2010 Congressional Elections**
- Room** Chicago on the 5th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- Panelists conducted case studies of some of the most competitive races in 2010. Senate campaigns include California, Florida, Illinois, Nevada, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin. House campaigns included CA-2, OH-1, NH-2 and TX-17.
- Chair** Randall E. Adkins, *University of Nebraska, Omaha*
RADKINS@UNOMAHA.EDU
- Panelist** Dante J. Scala, *University of New Hampshire*
dante.scala@unh.edu
Seth C. McKee, *University of South Florida St. Petersburg*
smckee@mail.usf.edu
Robin Kolodny, *Temple University*
rkolodny@temple.edu
Daniel Davis Sledge, *University of Texas at Arlington*
dsledge@uta.edu
Gregory Alan Petrow, *University of Nebraska, Omaha*
gpetrow@mail.unlomaaha.edu
Casey Dk Dominguez, *University of San Diego*
casydominguez@sandiego.edu
David Canon, *University of Wisconsin*
dcanon@polisci.wisc.edu
Charles Bullock, *University of Georgia*
cbullock57@bocmail.com
David F. Danvers, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
david.danvers@unlv.edu
Wayne P. Steger, *DePaul University*
wstege@depaul.edu
Andrew E. Smith, *University of New Hampshire*
andrew.smith@unh.edu
Randall E. Adkins, *University of Nebraska, Omaha*
RADKINS@UNOMAHA.EDU
- 25-6 **Campaign Effects**
- Room** Salem on the 3rd floor, Fri at 11:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, David A. Peterson, *Iowa State University***
daveamp@iastate.edu
- 10:34 **Understanding First-Time Donors in the 2008 Election Cycle**
First-time donors are analyzed using record linkage and survey analysis to understand motivations for donating and continued participation.
Jay Goodliffe, *Brigham Young University*
goodliffe@byu.edu
David B. Magleby, *Brigham Young University*
David_Magleby@byu.edu
Joseph A. Olson, *Brigham Young University*
joseph_olson@byu.edu
- 10:45 **Targeting Donor Motivations in Campaign Fundraising**
We show that campaigns solicit money from those who have previously donated in a campaign which suggests donors are habitual in part because they are asked habitually. We also find that campaigns target duration appeals to match the potential donor.
Hans John Gordon Hassell, *University of California, San Diego*
hhassell@ucsd.edu
Joseph Qala Momen, *Brigham Young University*
Qim.Momen@byu.edu
- 11:00 **Candidates, Campaigns, and Urban Mayoral Elections**
This paper utilizes data on campaign spending and candidate characteristics from hundreds of elections held in the 125 largest U.S. cities to test an integrated model of urban mayoral election outcomes.
Thomas Holbrook, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
holbrooc@uwm.edu
Aaron Weisbach, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
aew@uwm.edu
- 11:15 **The Unintended Effects of Campaign Microtargeting on Participation Decisions**
Using the 2004 Campaign Communications Survey, we find that campaign fundraising appeals that mention issues reminding

- votes of hudgeary constraints decrease the likelihood of a donation but not affect other participation
Joseph Quid Monson, *Brighton Young University*
Quid.Monson@byu.edu
Adam Seth Levine, *University of Michigan*
adamseth@umich.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.** Lynn Vavreck, *University of California, Los Angeles*
lvavreck@ucla.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 26-6 **The Constituent-Representative Nexus: New Findings in the U.S. Context**
- Room** Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- 10:29 **Chair** Daniel M. Butler, *Yale University*
daniel.butler@yale.edu
- 10:30 **The Statistical Analysis of Legislative Representation**
Studies evaluating legislators' performance frequently provide ambiguous assessments of the degree to which legislators act as their constituents prefer. We document these problems and offer a new method for assessing questions of representation.
Ben Brubio, *University of California, Riverside*
brubio@ucr.edu
Chad Murphy, *University of Mary Washington*
chad.murphy.umw@gmail.com
David K. Park, *Washington University, St. Louis*
dpark@wustl.edu
Andrea Silva, *University of California, Riverside*
andrea.silva@email.ucr.edu
- 10:42 **Ideology or Economic Reality? Legal Effects of the 2007 Housing Crisis and Determinants of Roll-Call Voting Behavior in the House**
We expect that district level effects of the housing crisis will decrease party unity and ideological voting, especially on bills aimed at ameliorating the foreclosure problem, regardless of ideology or partisan affiliation
Edward Matthew Burmala, *University of Georgia*
eburmala@uga.edu
Michael Easley, *Kent State University*
measley@kent.edu
- 10:54 **Do the Characteristics of Legislators or of Constituents Better Predict Congressional Roll-Call Behavior?**
We use a new data set to decompose the relative power of legislator versus constituency characteristics in predicting the roll-call behavior of the U.S. House.
Benjamin E. Lauderdale, *Harvard University*
blauderdale@gov.harvard.edu
Nicholas Carnes, *Princeton University*
carnes@princeton.edu
- 11:06 **Representation in the U.S. House of Representatives, 1789 to 2009**
Uses new GIS congressional district delineations for all CDs throughout US history to develop measures of district interest and district change that I then use to estimate changes in the constituency-representative linkage from 1789 to 2009
Jeffrey Lewis, *University of California, Los Angeles*
jblewis@ucla.edu
Iris Hui, *University of California, Berkeley*
iris_hui@berkeley.edu
- 11:18 **It's a Matter of Interest: Mass Membership Organization and Political Representation**
This paper introduces an interest group mode into the traditional demand-input model of representation, suggesting that mass membership organizations serve as intermediaries between apathetic constituents and elected representatives.
Leslie McDonald, *Texas A&M University*
leslie@politics.tamu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.** Brendan Nyhan, *University of Michigan*
bnyhan@umich.edu
Disc. Molly Reynolds, *University of Michigan*
mreynold@umich.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 27-7 **Causes and Political Consequences of Emotional Reactions**
- Room** Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair** Michael Bang Petersen, *Aarhus University*
michael@ps.au.dk
- 10:30 **Social Context and Emotional Reaction to Disaster: The Gulf Oil Spill**
Using a snowball sample of coastal Louisiana residents, we examine the influence of social networks on emotional reactions to catastrophic events. We find that a respondent's social network significantly conditions emotional response to disaster.
Kathleen Bratton, *Louisiana State University*
bratton@lsu.edu
Christopher B. Kenny, *Louisiana State University*
pkenny@lsu.edu
Christopher Weber, *Louisiana State University*
cweber@lsu.edu
- 10:45 **Appraising Political Emotions: Appraisals, Emotions, and Behavior in Response to Threatening News**
What gives rise to particular emotions in politics? Two laboratory experiments were designed to shed light on the relationship between emotions and cognitive appraisals.
Erik Groenendyk, *University of Memphis*
grendyk@memphis.edu
Nicholas A. Valentino, *University of Michigan*
nvalenti@umich.edu
Ted Strader, *University of Michigan*
tstrader@umich.edu
- 11:00 **Queasy Politics: The Political Efficacy and Participatory Consequences of Disgust**
Using laboratory experiments and 2010 CCES data, this paper develops and tests a theory of how various types of adverse political events elicit disgust, anger and anxiety, and the participatory consequences of these discrete emotions.
Lance Matthew Vandewoort, *University of Texas, Austin*
lvandewoort@gmail.utexas.edu
- 11:15 **More Than a Feeling: The Strategic Use and Consequence of Emotion in Campaign Advertisements**
We rely on a large dataset of campaign advertisements coded according to their emotional appeal. We demonstrate that anger, fear, and hope were the most common appeals and these emotions have unique consequences for interest and participation.
Christopher Weber, *Louisiana State University*
cweber@lsu.edu
Kathleen Searles, *Washington State University*
ksearles@wsu.edu
Travis N. Ridout, *Washington State University*
tridout@wsu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.** Andrew J. W. Civettini, *Knox College*
acivetti@knox.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-7 **Health and Health Care Reform**
- Room** Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair** Carl David Adriaanopol, *Northern Illinois University*
Cadriano@sbglobal.net
- 10:30 **Evaluations of Government In Action: The Case of Health Care Reform**
Using enactment of health care reform as a case study, I demonstrate that Americans evaluate congressional performance on the basis of policy representation, with passage boosting approval among those favoring reform, but not among those who did not.

- David R. Jones, *Baruch College, CUNY*
David.Jones@baruch.cuny.edu
- 10:42 **10:42** **Feedback vs. Sociotropic Evaluations of the 2010 Health Care Reform**
We examine citizens' attitudes about the health care reform of 2010 using CCES survey data. We assess how personal retrospective and prospective and sociotropic retrospective and prospective evaluations affect support for reform.
David Koslosky, *Georgetown University*
dkk74@georgetown.edu
Lillard Richardson, *University of Missouri*
richardsoe1er@missouri.edu
- 10:54 **10:54** **Enlightened Partisanship: Public Support for the Clinton Health Plan**
We examine individual-level responses to hundreds of polls on the Clinton health care plan during 1993 and 1994. Despite initial claims, attitudes toward the Clinton health care plan are stable and almost entirely a function of party id.
Douglas Lee Kriner, *Boston University*
dkriner@bu.edu
Andrew Reeves, *Boston University*
areeves@bu.edu
- 11:06 **11:06** **U.S. National Health Policy and Politics: The Public's Views on Health Determinants and Government Interventions**
Using an original U.S. national survey, we assess support for government intervention to improve health. An individual's conception of what affects her own health status—individual choices or community factors—is the strongest predictor of support.
Mark A. Peterson, *University of California, Los Angeles*
markap@ucla.edu
Darin Dewitt, *University of California, Los Angeles*
dunn.dewitt@gmail.com
- 11:18 **11:18** **Public Perceptions of Integrity: Citizen Reactions to Political Rhetoric Throughout 2010**
This study reports findings from a survey of 1,000 randomly selected Americans during the health care debate, as well as the findings of a two-wave survey of 1,200 Americans conducted during the 2010 general election.
J. Cherie Strachan, *Central Michigan University*
jstr11j@cmich.edu
Michael Raymond Wolf, *Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne*
wolfm@ipfw.edu
Dan Shea, *Allegheny College*
dan.shea@allegheny.edu
- 11:30 **11:30** **Disc., Kevin M. Esterling, University of California, Riverside**
kevin.esterling@ucr.edu
Disc., Thomas R. Marshall, *University of Texas, Arlington*
tmarshall@uta.edu
- 11:50 **11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 28-21 **28-21** **Policy Responsiveness**
Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **10:25** **Chair, Richard J. Powell, University of Maine**
rpowell@maine.edu
- 10:30 **10:30** **The Levels of Political Competition and Government Responsiveness in Parliamentary Systems**
This paper analyzes the effect of political competition on government responsiveness. In contrast to previous work on this topic, this paper tests the linear - as well as the non-linear thesis.
Jacob Askham-Christensen, *University of Southern Denmark*
aac@sam.sdu.dk
- 10:42 **10:42** **Give Me Your Tired, Your Poor, Your Huddled Masses: Immigration and State Level Policy Responsiveness**
Using multilevel regression and post-stratification of national surveys, this paper studies the effects of public opinion on state adoption of policies affecting immigration.
Jerolmo Cortina, *University of Houston*
jcortina@central.uh.edu
- 10:54 **10:54** **The Representativeness of the Supreme Court: Implications for Judicial Legitimacy**
Using a novel survey design, we estimate the judicial ideologies of citizens alongside the actual positions of Supreme Court justices. We find strong relationships between citizen attitudes and perceptions of the Court's performance and legitimacy.
Stephen August Jesso, *University of Texas*
sjesso@mail.utexas.edu
Neil Ankur Malhotra, *Stanford University*
neilam@stanford.edu
- 11:06 **11:06** **Dual Issue Frames and Policy Responsiveness in the American States**
Framing an issue in opposing terms stimulates different group-centric orientations, and it influences the heterogeneity of policy responsiveness at local and national levels.
Young Hwan Park, *University of Alabama*
ypark11@crimson.ua.edu
- 11:18 **11:18** **Public Opinion and Gay Rights: Do Members of Congress Follow Their Constituents' Preferences?**
We investigate the relationship between constituent opinion and Congressional roll call voting on gay rights legislation.
Justin H. Phillips, *Columbia University*
jhp2121@columbia.edu
Katherine Krimmel, *Columbia University*
kkk2118@columbia.edu
- 11:30 **11:30** **Disc., Miché Herlan, University of Nebraska**
mherlan@nebraska.edu
Disc., Andrea McAtee, *University of South Carolina*
mcaatee@mailbox.sc.edu
- 11:50 **11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 28-38 **28-38** **Attitudes about Foreign Policy**
Room LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **10:25** **Chair, Francesca Vassallo, University of Southern Maine**
francesca.vassallo@umaine.edu
- 10:30 **10:30** **What Changes Policy Opinion?: Understanding Framing Effects in a Volatile Public Opinion Context**
Using original experimental surveys of public opinion and legislators in Argentina we explore the effect of issue framing on trade policy preferences. Contrary to received wisdom we find that framing is mitigated by respondents' material interests.
Martín Jorge Ardanza, *Columbia University*
ardanza2.martin@gmail.com
Marta Victoria Murphy, *Columbia University*
mm2140@columbia.edu
Pablo Martín Plata, *Columbia University*
pp2162@columbia.edu
- 10:50 **10:50** **Cohort Analysis of American Public Opinion on Foreign Policy**
We use cohort analysis to identify generational change in American public attitudes toward Israel. We find that the recent decline in support for Israel is influenced by the gradual exit of a cohort that matured during the 1960s.
Annaa Covarr, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
covarr@polisci.wisc.edu
Shlomo Egoz, *Bar Ilan University, Israel*
egozsh@mail.ilbi.ac.il
- 11:10 **11:10** **Investigating Foreign Policy Attitudes Among Second-Generation Americans**
Do second-generation 'hyphenated' Americans share the opinions of older individuals in their communities of origin on foreign policy issues, or do their beliefs map more closely to those of their age cohort in the general population?
Karthika Sankaranar, *San Jose State University*
karthika.sankumar@gmail.com
Melinda Jackson, *San Jose State University*
mj@sjksu@gmail.com

- 11:30 Disc., James A Nathan, *Auburn University at Montgomery*
j.nathan12345@yahoo.com
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 29-7 **Political Actors in the News**
Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Joo P. Yecmeier, *Nebraska Wesleyan University*
jpy@nebwestlesyan.edu
- 10:30 **Media Markets, Special Interests, and Voters**
I examine the role of mass media in countering special interest group influence by studying the extent to which county-level support for candidates to the US Senate varies as a function of media exposure and candidates' campaign finance profiles.
Leopoldo Ferguson, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
leopoldo@mit.edu
- 10:42 **Gendered Government? Framing Women Ministers in the Spanish Media**
Systematic comparison of newspaper coverage of male and female members of the Spanish government during the second government of Conservative José María Aznar (2000-04) and the first government of Socialist José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero (2004-08).
Nuria Fernandez Carola, *Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain*
nuria.fernandez@uab.cat
- 10:54 **Speakers, Senators, and the Spotlight: Explaining Media Coverage of Congressional Leaders**
This paper explores how coverage of leaders in Congress is driven by individual activity, congressional activity, events, and national conditions.
Tyler Johnson, *University of Oklahoma*
tylerjohnson@ou.edu
Caitlin O'Grady, *University of Oklahoma*
coograde@gmail.com
- 11:06 **What Does It Take to Be Popular? Predictors of Women Candidates' News Media Visibility in the 2008 Electoral Election Campaign**
Women candidates receive less news media coverage than their male contenders. The current paper suggests that part of the bias is caused by the lack of women among viable candidates as media tends to give attention to competitive candidates only.
Maarja Lubala, *University of Exeter*
m1220@exeter.ac.uk
- 11:18 **Dominates the News: Government Officials in Front-Page Coverage of Public Affairs of the United States and Korea**
Who dominates news coverage? This paper investigates the extent to which different journalistic practices in Korea and the United States lead to different voices dominating the news in each venue.
Jiso Yoon, *Pennsylvania State University*
jy111@psu.edu
- 11:30 Amber Ellen Boydston, *University of California, Davis*
aboyston@ucdavis.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Brandon C. Zieba, *Universiteit Antwerpen*
h.mrdn.zieba@ua.ac.be
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 29-20 **Selective Media Exposure**
Room Burnham 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Toby Bolzon, *Georgia State University*
tblwb@langate.gsu.edu
- 10:30 **Who are the Selective Avoiders?: Avoidance of Attitude-Discrepant Political Information in an Online Setting**
Survey investigation of the extent and correlates of selective avoidance – the phenomenon of exposing one's self attitude-consistent political information while simultaneously avoiding exposure to attitude-discrepant political information.
Dustin Carnahan, *Ohio State University*
carnahan.25@osu.edu
Emily K. Lynch, *Ohio State University*
lynch.379@polsci.osu.edu
K. Kelly Garrett, *Ohio State University*
garrett.258@osu.edu
- 10:45 **Local News Viewership by Political Outlook**
Do voters in the ideological minority tune out of local news?
Saur Golde, *Revolution Analytics*
saur.golde@gmail.com
Wendy Gross, *Stanford University*
wgross@stanford.edu
Norman H. Nie, *Revolution Analytics*
norman@revolutionanalytics.com
- 11:00 **Political Effects of Media Repertoires**
The proliferation of media outlets and changes in media consumption patterns require researchers to consider cross-platform media consumption to investigate the relationship between media use and its political implications.
Su Jung Kim, *Northwestern University*
ksj22@northwestern.edu
- 11:15 **Is Online News Socially Reinforcing? The Dominance of Popularity**
Rather than reinforcing personal political ideology, internet news can be socially reinforcing. In a series of experiments, randomly assigned story popularity and recommendations were stronger predictors of story selection than partisan source cues.
Solemos Messing, *Stanford University*
messing@stanford.edu
Sean Jeremy Westwood, *Stanford University*
seanjw@stanford.edu
Yahel Lelkes, *Stanford University*
ylelkes@stanford.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Mark N. Franklin, *European University Institute*
Mark.Franklin@Eui.eu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 31-6 **Mapping the Rules of Race in American Politics**
Room Crestall on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Andre Gillespie, *Emory University*
andre.gillespie@emory.edu
- 10:30 **How Ethnic Attachment and Racial Context Affect Mexican-Americans' In-Group and Out-Group Attitudes: Experimental and Survey Evidence**
This paper analyzes the effect of ethnic attachment among Mexican-Americans on their in-group and out-group attitudes. The effect of racial segregation on their attitudes is also studied.
Rosario Aguilar-Pariente, *CIDE*
rosario.aguilar@cide.edu
- 10:50 **Blue Counties: The 2008 Election Among Minority Voters in Rural Counties**
The 2008 election was marked by increased political mobilization among ethnic minorities. The purpose of this paper is to examine the impact of this election on the electoral behavior of minority voters in rural counties.
Steven Joseph Doherty, *Dickinson State University*
seven.doherty@dickinsonstate.edu
- 11:10 **Residential Interaction, Objective Crime Rates, and the Subjectivity of Perceptions: When and How Neighborhood Conditions Mediate the Effects of Racial/Ethnic Context on Stereotypes of Minority Criminality**
This paper examines the impact of racial/ethnic composition on stereotypes of minority criminality, controlling for objective crime levels. The findings illuminate how neighborhood conditions and social processes mediate racial context effects.
Jonathan Hoffman, *University of California, Washington DC Center*
jhoffman@rjhresearch.com

- 11:30 **Disc., Keandra A. Kling, *Oglethorpe University***
 kking@oglethorpe.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 33-10 **(American) Republican and Constitutional Theory**
 Room Parlor G on the 6th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Aaron Lewis Herold, *Boston College***
 aaron.herold@bc.edu
- 10:30 **Birthright Democracy: The Nationalist Origins of Self-Government in England**
 This paper examines how early modern nationalism gave birth to constitutionally limited democracy in England, and considers what lessons this offers for democratization projects in the 21st century.
 Eileen Alexander-Davies, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 alexanderdave@wisc.edu
- 10:45 **Defeat Makes an Orphan: The Loss of James Madison's Virginia Plan and His Ambivalence to Judicial Review**
 We consider the defeat of James Madison's Constitutional proposals for a Council of Revision and a Negative on State laws. Madison's ambivalence to judicial review can be traced to his crushed hopes for these stronger, quasi-judicial review powers.
 Steven A. Kelts, *George Washington University*
 kelts@gwu.edu
 Kate Miller-Davis, *George Washington University*
 kemd@gvmail.gwu.edu
- 11:00 **Poverty and Liberty in Machiavelli's Thought**
 Through an analysis of Machiavelli's writings on the German free cities, this paper analyzes the concept of poverty in Machiavelli's thought and explores the relationship between poverty and republican liberty.
 Julie L. Ross, *Princeton University*
 julien@princeton.edu
- 11:15 **Love and Friendship in the "Discourses": On Machiavelli's Critique of Affection and its Significance for Modern Republicanism**
 This paper gives an account of Machiavelli's critique of friendship and its place in his account of republican government in the Discourses. It will conclude with an argument for Machiavelli's continued relevance to modern republicanism.
 Clifford Owen Smith, *University of Toronto*
 clifford.smith@utoronto.ca
- 11:30 **Disc., Jordan Bryce Barkalow, *Bridgewater State University***
 jrbarkalow@bridgew.edu
- Disc., William T. Redding, *Regent University*
 wredding@regent.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-7 **Identity, Difference, and Belonging**
 Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Gerald Doppelt, *University of California, San Diego***
 jdoppelt@ucsd.edu
- 10:30 **Belonging and the Limits of Moral Community**
 This essay argues that democracies face the challenge of building a sense of belonging out of a heterogeneous people. When citizens build unity by dividing each other into polarized camps they create authoritarian politics.
 Jeffrey A. Becker, *University of the Pacific*
 jbecker@pacific.edu
- 10:45 **Latin American Ambiguities: In the Shadow of Cultural Identity**
 The paper argues for a concept of Hispanic American political identity that holds the possibility of autonomy and challenges the current reliance on the notions of cultural identity.
 Hernando Arturo Estevez, *John Jay College of Criminal Justice, CUNY*
 hestevez@jjay.cuny.edu
- 11:00 **Confessions of an Army Brat: An Observer's Insight into Liberal-Eclectic Multiculturalism**
 Liberals debate multiculturalism in a faulty framework that focuses on how culture affects economy. This ignores the value of cultural belonging in itself. So, liberalism falters: It cannot offer reasonable access to the good of cultural belonging.
 Roxanne Marie Kurek, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
 rkurek1@uis.edu
- 11:15 **Justice and Social Cohesion: Some Conservative Perspectives**
 The paper offers a conservative perspective on how to reconcile justice and social cohesion in relation to multiculturalism and value-pluralism.
 Soren Eivind Pedersen, *University of Southern Denmark*
 sipe@sam.sdu.dk
- 11:30 **Disc., John Bertaux, *California State University, Monterey Bay***
 jbertaux@csuumb.edu
- Disc., Gerald Doppelt, *University of California, San Diego*
 jdoppelt@ucsd.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-20 **Genealogies of Black Politics**
 Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Bernard Hesse, *Northwestern University***
 bh-hesse@northwestern.edu
- 10:30 **Night of The Living Dead: The Zombie in Black Politics**
 An examination of a paradox faced by black politics. What happens when the politically dead display vibrant political activity? The figure of the zombie, the living dead entity works as an analytic for understanding black politics in this context.
 Jean-Pierre Brutus, *Northwestern University*
 jeanpierrebrutus2014@northwestern.edu
- 10:50 **Atomistically Black?: Reclaiming the Gaze, Reorienting the Gaze**
 Reconceptualizing Black politics through the idea of "the political."
 Bernard Forjuor, *Northwestern University*
 forjuor@northwestern.edu
- 11:10 **In Search of Black Politics: Polemics, Politics and the Political**
 Through an interrogation with Carl Schmitt's concept of the political and the introduction of the concept of plasticity and polemics, the conditions necessary to conceptualize On 'Black' and 'Politics' in Black Politics are proffered in this paper.
 Joseph D. Jordan, *Northwestern University*
 joeyjordan2014@northwestern.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Richard Iton, *Northwestern University***
 r-iton@northwestern.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 35-5 **Gender, Participation, and 19th Century Liberalism**
 Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Peter Daniel Haworth, *Cicero/Jan Society***
 peterhaworth@mc.com
- 10:30 **The Freedom of Honor: The Noble Politics of Hope and Tocqueville**
 A defense of the political and human value of honor in modern liberal democracies.
 Jeffrey Church, *University of Houston*
 jchurch@uh.edu
- 10:50 **Bread and Circuses: Politics as Entertainment in Tocqueville's America**
 An exploration of the Lyceum circuit and civic participation of the nineteenth century through the lens of Tocqueville's Democracy in America
 Katherine Gatt, *Metropolitan State University*
 katherine.gatt@metstate.edu
- 11:10 **Private Virtues and Public Vices: John Stuart Mill on Charity and Women's Subjection**
 Two negative stereotypes are often associated with private charity: the degraded recipient and the misguided giver. I show how Mill

- explains both aberrations with reference to the subjection of women and its distorting effects on moral education.
Emma Saunders-Hastings, *Harvard University*
esaunders@fas.harvard.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.**, Brian Danoff, *Miami University*
danoffb@iamochio.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-7 **Vital Politics**
Room
10:25 **Chair**, Chad Lavin, *Virginia Tech University*
lavin@vt.edu
- 10:30 **Self-Knowledge, Instincts, and Individuality in Nietzsche's Philosophy**
Nietzsche discusses the impossibility of self-knowledge by noting the incapacity of language to describe "inner processes and drives" and emphasizing the prison in which our senses have locked us. What is then self-knowledge?
Roberto Alejandro, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
ralejad@polsci.umass.edu
- 10:42 **The River Problem Dead: Death and Politics in Max Weber's Thought**
This paper argues the model of the soldier dying on the field of battle acts as a kind of "ideal type" for Weber's construction of meaningful service and death and shows how this model exacerbates Weber's pessimistic conclusions about politics.
Elizabeth Brandon Harringer, *University of California, Los Angeles*
libby.harringer@gmail.com
- 10:54 **Making Sense of the Camp: Arendt, Agamben, and the Agency of Auschwitz**
"Making Sense of the Camp" critically compares Hannah Arendt and Giorgio Agamben's work on the Nazi death camps. In doing this, it aims to explore the importance of the concept of the "camp" for contemporary theories of sovereignty and biopolitics.
Peter James Galambos, *New School for Social Research*
galap975@newschool.edu
- 11:06 **Ecological Risk and Unintended Consequences: A Survey of Approaches to Deliberation**
This paper surveys and evaluates the work of several political theorists, including Hannah Arendt and Michel Foucault, for ways to think about and deliberate the ecologically uncertain effects of human activity.
Jim Hargis, *California State Polytechnic University, Pomona*
jehargis@csupomona.edu
- 11:16 **The Elimination of Excess: A Question of Kinship Between Bataille's Competitive Violence and Auto-Immunity**
A working paper considering the kinship between George Bataille's general economy of energy - especially his theories of consumption and violence - and the bio-political concept of auto-immunity.
Scott Benjamin Rittner, *New School for Social Research*
ritt028@newschool.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.**, Joshua I. Miller, *Lafayette College*
millerj@lafayette.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 37-8 **Learning and Dynamics**
Room
10:25 **Chair**, Francesco Squitani, *University of Essex*
squitani@essex.ac.uk
- 10:30 **Political Institutions and the Dynamics of Public Investment**
We model the provision of a durable public good over an infinite horizon through a political process of legislative bargaining. We conduct an experiment, and compare the observed outcomes to the Markov perfect equilibrium.
- Marco Battaglini, *Princeton University*
mbattagl@princeton.edu
- Thomas R. Palfrey, *California Institute of Technology*
trp@hss.caltech.edu
- Salvatore Nunnari, *California Institute of Technology*
snunnari@hss.caltech.edu
- 10:45 **Incentives to Experiment in a Federal System**
An advantage of federalism is that it allows policy experimentation. We explore whether this leads to the right experiments being undertaken. In this setting the free-riding problem is particularly pernicious, inducing Pareto dominated choices.
Steven Collander, *Stanford University*
sjc@gsb.stanford.edu
- Bard Harstad, *Northwestern University*
harstad@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 11:00 **A Dynamic Theory of Filibusters**
We present a dynamic model of filibusters in which the status quo remains effective until a new law is made. We demonstrate that filibusters may persist for a long time even with complete information on preferences.
Poham Fong, *City University of Hong Kong*
poham.fong@gmail.com
- Fang-Yi Chiu, *Academia Sinica*
fchiu@gate.sinica.edu.tw
- 11:15 **Local Gossip and Inter-Generational Family Transfers: Comparative Political Economy of Insurance Provision**
Why are generous transfers from the young to the old made in some societies and not in others? I argue that differences in intergenerational dependence are due to variation in local networks, using game theoretical models of overlapping generations.
Yuki Takagi, *Harvard University*
ytakagi@fas.harvard.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.**, Odilon Camara, *University of Southern California*
ocamara@marshall.usc.edu
- Disc., Alexander V. Hirsch, *Princeton University*
avhirsch@princeton.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 38-5 **Causal Inference with Experiments and Natural Experiments**
Room
10:25 **Chair**, Jonathan Weed, *Stanford University*
weed@stanford.edu
- 10:30 **How Double Sampling Improves Nonparametric Bounds When Experiments Encounter Attrition**
When attrition rates are high, nonparametric bounds are often uninformative. We discuss a strategy for randomly sampling missing observations and show that second-round sampling often produces much more informative bounds.
Donald P. Green, *Yale University*
donald.green@yale.edu
- Alan Gerber, *Yale University*
alan.gerber@yale.edu
- Holger Lutz Kern, *Yale University*
holger.kern@yale.edu
- 10:40 **"As-If"? An Omnibus Permutation Test for Natural Experiments**
We present a new non-parametric omnibus test for the design and analysis of natural experiments.
Eric Kristine Hartman, *University of California, Berkeley*
ekhartman@berkeley.edu
- Fernando Daniel Hidalgo, *University of California, Berkeley*
fdhidalgo@berkeley.edu
- 10:50 **Political Boundaries as Regression Discontinuities**
We explore the identification assumptions where the assignment variable is distance in a political boundary and subjects on either side of this boundary are compared.

- Luke J. Keele, Ohio State University**
keele.4@polisci.osu.edu
Rocio Titiunik, University of Michigan
titunik@umich.edu
- 11:00 Limiting Conditions for Regression Discontinuity Designs**
Ambiguity about regression discontinuity (RD) can lead to incorrect causal inferences. This paper extends evaluations of RD in comparison to experimental benchmarks, and presents a new design where RD has been frequently applied: Title I funding.
Alexander Mayer, University of California, Davis
almayer@ucdavis.edu
- 11:10 Identification of Causal Heterogeneity from Randomized Experiments**
We show how the support vector machine enables, among other things, the identification of a subpopulation for which a treatment is most effective. We apply it to get-out-the-vote experiments and another simulation studies.
Mare Rutkovic, Princeton University
rutkovic@princeton.edu
Kosuke Imai, Princeton University
imai@princeton.edu
- 11:20 Seasoned Subjects: The accidental training of laboratory participants in political science experiments**
Performing a meta-analysis on over 100 recent political science experiments, we identify effects attributable to the survey labs where the experiment's samplers were drawn. This invites the discipline to revisit experiments' efficacy.
Thomas Julian Wood, University of Chicago
tomwood@uchicago.edu
Amir Shinar Palodes, University of Chicago
amir@uchicago.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Kentaro Fukumoto, Gakushuin University**
Kentaro.Fukumoto@gakushuin.ac.jp
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 42-100 Early Reviews of the 112th Congress**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
This roundtable will discuss the first few months of the 112th Congress.
Chair Matthew Glassman, Congressional Research Service
mglassman@con.senate.gov
Panelist Kris C. Miller, University of Illinois
kmiller@uiuc.edu
John Wilkerson, University of Washington
jwilker@u.washington.edu
Jamie L. Carson, University of Georgia
carson@uga.edu
Sarah Binder, George Washington University
binder@gwu.edu
- 42-101 Obama and a Republican House**
Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
This roundtable will discuss the relationship between President Obama and the House of Representatives under a Republican majority.
Chair Jon R. Bond, Texas A&M University
jonbond@polisci.tamu.edu
Panelist David E. Lewis, Vanderbilt University
david.a.lewis@vanderbilt.edu
Scott Alinsworth, University of Georgia
salinswo@uga.edu
Kathryn L. Pearson, University of Minnesota
kpearson@umn.edu
- 43-1 Non-Governmental Organizations in Developing and Enforcing the Law**
Room Sandberg 8 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
Chair Catherine Warrick, Williams University
cwarrick@earthlink.net
- 10:25 The Organization of Social Services in the European Welfare Market**
The paper examines the role that charities, no-profit corporations, social enterprises and public-private partnerships play in the field of social assistance as to the European internal market rules on competition and free movement of services
Manuela Cozzito, University of Turin
manuela.cozzito@unito.it
- 10:50 Advancing Women's Rights through Islamic Law: The Example of Morocco**
This paper addresses the challenges and opportunities to advancing women's rights through Islamic law. A Moroccan study, this paper examines the roles, representations, and realities of Moroccan women and international and Islamic reform strategies.
John Borst, Indiana University, Bloomington
jborst@indiana.edu
- 11:10 Human Rights Investigations on Irish Human Rights Reports and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda**
How and with what consequences is research by human rights organizations used as evidence in International courts? We examine the use of Human Rights Watch reports in key genocide trials at the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda.
Janecek Koobien, Willamette University
jkoobien@willamette.edu
Carrie Booth Walling, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
bwalling@umich.edu
- 11:20 Disc., Neil Zogbehart, Bowling Green State University**
neilo@bgsu.edu
- Disc., Catherine Warrick, Williams University**
cwarrick@earthlink.net
- 11:30 Audience Discussion**
- 44-102 Author Meets Critics: Greenhouse and Siegel's Reform for vs. Wade**
Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
TBA
Chair Lee Epstein, Northwestern University
lee-epstein@northwestern.edu
Panelist Thomas G. Walker, Emory University
twalkert@emory.edu
Nancy Scherer, Wellesley College
nscherer@wellesley.edu
Lawrence Baum, Ohio State University
baum.46@osu.edu
Linda Greenhouse, Yale University
linda.greenhouse@yale.edu
Gerald N. Rosenberg, University of Chicago
g-rosenberg@uchicago.edu
- 45-6 Judicial Independence in Comparative Context**
Room Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
Chair M. Adrian Buliga-Sedlak, Eastern Connecticut State University
adrian.buliga@gmail.com
- 10:25 Judicial Independence across Advanced and Developing Democracies: The influence of "political context" and "level of democratization"**
The empirical analysis of 92 democracies shows that while in advanced democracies political competition enhances judicial independence, in developing democracies it significantly hampers and negatively affects independence of the courts.
Aylin Aydin, Sabanci University
aydin@su.sabanciuni.edu

- 10:42** **Do Constitutions Matter? Assessing the Effect of Constitutional Provisions on De Facto Judicial Independence**
How do constitutions affect the degree of independence courts and judges exercise? This study examines the degree to which the level of judicial independence is a function of constitutional provisions intended to safeguard its independence.
Clarissa F. Diaz, Georgia State University
cdiaz@student.gsu.edu
- 10:54** **Judicial Independence in Deliberating Regimes: The Case of the Philippines, 1995-2007**
When governments become more repressive, can judges become assertive? Employing coded decisions of judgments of the Philippine Supreme Court from 1995 to 2007, this paper develops and tests a theory of judicial behavior amidst deliberation.
Rodrigo Manzano, Sewanee, University of the South
rmanzano@sewanee.edu
- 11:06** **Judicial Performance in the Developing World: The Effect of Political Competition and the Electoral Cycle on Judicial Independence**
Using time-series panel data covering a fifteen-year period for fifty developing countries, this paper will demonstrate that political competition and regular alternation of power are important determinants of enhanced judicial independence
Joseph L. Staats, University of Minnesota, Duluth
jstaats@d.umn.edu
- 11:18** **The Rise of Judicial Politics in Taiwan**
This paper will discuss how and why judicial politics happened in Taiwan
Chün-Shao Wang, National Cheng Kung University
wangc@mail.ncku.edu.tw
Cheng-yu Yang, National Cheng-Kung University
y16991021@mail.ncku.edu.tw
- 11:30** **Disc., M. Adriana Buliga-Sojan, Eastern Connecticut State University**
adriana.buliga@gmail.com
Disc., Kaluya SIM, Pacific Lutheran University
salki@plu.edu
- 11:50** Audience Discussion
- 45-23** **The Role of Political and Institutional Context on State Supreme Court Decision Making**
Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Mark Jonathan McKenzie, Texas Tech University
markjonathanmckenzie@hotmail.com
- 10:30** **Democratic Incentives in State Supreme Courts: Judicial Behavior in the Terminal Term**
This study documents that mandatory retirement provisions mitigate electoral pressures on state supreme courts. While justices in unsafe seats or competitive states vote analogically with their constituencies, terminal terms diminish these effects
Melinda Green Hall, Michigan State University
hallme@msu.edu
- 10:45** **Examining Institutional Constraints on State Court Policy Initiatives: The Case of Same-Sex Marriage**
I find that, when the marriage question is put before them, state high court judges are more likely to support marriage equality when they are appointed, their courts are professionalized, and their state constitutions are less permissive.
Robert J. Hume, Fordham University
rhume@fordham.edu
- 11:00** **Equal Before the Law? State Supreme Court Judicial Review of State Administrative Agencies**
Using a model that analyzes the impact of selection method and retention method on judicial deference, I find that state justices who are politically appointed and politically retained are most likely to rule in favor of state agencies
Gberemide Esanlyi Johnson, Vanderbilt University
gberemide.johnson@vanderbilt.edu
- 11:15** **State Judicial Selection and Death Penalty Support**
We replicate and assess the measuring, modeling, and reporting decisions made in a recent article about the effects of judicial selection systems and state public opinion on death penalty decisions issued by state courts of last resort.
Michael J. Nelson, Washington University, St. Louis
mjnelson@wustl.edu
Morgan Hazelton, Washington University, St. Louis
morgan.hazelton@gmail.com
- 11:30** **Disc., Mark Jonathan McKenzie, Texas Tech University**
markjonathanmckenzie@hotmail.com
Disc., Robert Reid Robinson, University of Alabama, Birmingham
rbr7@uab.edu
- 11:50** Audience Discussion
- 46-7** **Explaining Policy Choices in the U.S. States**
Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Andrew Karsh, University of Minnesota
ajkarsh@umn.edu
- 10:30** **Factors Influencing State Prescription Drug Policy**
This paper expands beyond the analysis of a singular prescription drug policy and examines the factors affecting prescription drug policies aimed at acquisition, safety and distribution.
Rochelle Henderson, Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville
rwhende@siue.edu
- 10:42** **Immigration Crackdown in the American Workplaces: Explaining Variation in E-Verify Policy Adoption and Mass Support**
This paper analyzes variation in E-Verify policy adoption across the US states and in mass support for E-Verify. We employ multiple theoretical perspectives and test several competing hypotheses pertaining to policy enactment and opinion formation
Benjamin Jonathan Newman, Stony Brook University
uncarnie@hotmail.com
Chris D. Johnson, Stony Brook University
johncd8@gmail.com
April A. Strickland, Stony Brook University
april.a.strickland@gmail.com
Jack Citrin, University of California, Berkeley
gojack@berkeley.edu
- 10:54** **State LGBT Rights Policy Outliers: Transsexon Birth Certificate Laws**
This paper examines a surprising departure from the factors that normally explain the passage of state level LGBT rights policies. We explore the adoption of statutes authorizing amendment of birth certificates in the event of sex reassignment.
Jami Kathleen Taylor, University of Toledo
jamiataylor4@yahoo.com
Barry L. Trillock, Ohio University
trillock@ohio.edu
Sarah Poggione, Ohio University
poggione@ohio.edu
- 11:06** **Public Schools and the Rights of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgendered Students: Religious Influence over State Legislative Protections**
An aspect of rights permits for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered (LGBT) persons are protections for LGBT students in public schools. This research examines the role of religious conservatives in state legislation protecting LGBT students.
Andrea Vieira, University of Houston, Victoria
vieura@uhv.edu
- 11:18** **Partisanship, Christianity, and the Changing Family: Determinants of Parental Leave Policy in the United States**
This paper presents a theory - emphasizing partisanship, Christian population, and female participation in the labor force - to explain variation in the adoption, implementation, and generosity of parental leave protections across U.S. states.

- Sarah E. Williamson, *Georgetown University*
 spw27@georgetown.edu
 Matthew Caroes, *Georgetown University*
 mc247@georgetown.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Jackie Ann Pilla, *Chatham University***
 jpilla@chatham.edu
Disc., Andrew Kurch, *University of Minnesota*
 akurch@umn.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-15 **Equity and Justice in Environmental Politics**
 Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Dimitrios Panagos, *Memorial University of Newfoundland***
 dpanagos@mun.ca
 10:30 **Intergenerational Justice: Creating Just Institutions through Urban Ecology**
 The requirements of justice to future generations rests on providing at least as good options as we enjoy. The best way to secure these freedoms is through maintaining just institutions, which in turn necessitate ecological care and efficiency.
 Yopi Hertzlin, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 yherzlin@ucla.edu
- 10:45 **Electoral Incentives for Environmental Justice**
 An analysis of the behavior of career politicians vis-a-vis an environmental justice policy. Analysis suggests that the promise of electoral democracy is circumscribed by embedding of politicians within the political economy of state welfare.
 Prakash Kashwan, *Indiana University*
 pkashwan@indiana.edu
- 11:00 **Environmental Inequality in Pursuit of Economic Equality?: Implications for China's Regionally-Oriented Development Policies**
 This paper suggests that subpopulations in terms of economic class and region in China are faced with an unequal burden of environmental degradation. The regional inequity might be complicated by the development policies targeting peripheral regions.
 Jiaqi Liang, *American University*
 jli351@student.american.edu
- 11:15 **Participatory Governance and Indigenous Peoples: A Neoinstitutional Understanding of Policy Change in the Mining Sector**
 The paper draws on in-person interviews to assess the extent to which stakeholders in the mining sector and Indigenous peoples shaped new legislation regulating the mining industry in Ontario.
 Dimitrios Panagos, *Memorial University of Newfoundland*
 dpanagos@mun.ca
 J. Andrew Grant, *Queen's University, Canada*
 andrew.grant@queensu.ca
- 11:30 **Discussion, TSA**
 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 52-4 **Politics of the Regulatory Process**
 Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, John W. Patty, *Washington University, St. Louis***
 jpatty@gmail.com
 10:30 **Effective Oversight and the Capture of Decentralized Regulators**
 This paper argues that it is more difficult for an economic interest to capture its bureaucratic regulator if that regulator is highly centralized.
 Benjamin David Forter, *SUNY, Binghamton*
 benjaminforter@googlemail.com
 Gregory Alan Robinson, *SUNY, Binghamton*
 grobinso@binghamton.edu
- 10:45 **Regulation and Information**
 We analyze when markets respond during the regulatory process.
- Carla M. Flink, *Texas A&M University*
 cmf100@polisci.tamu.edu
 Brian C. Shreck, *Texas A&M University*
 brian.shreck@gmail.com
- 11:00 **Understanding the Politics of Agency Rulemaking**
 Why do agencies regulate when they do? How do political considerations affect the production of agency rules? We systematically explore the forces that underpin agency rulemaking and conduct a series of empirical tests.
 Rachel Augustine Potter, *University of Michigan*
 rapotter@umich.edu
 Charles R. Shipan, *University of Michigan*
 cshipan@umich.edu
- 11:15 **Identifying Regulatory Capture: Do Business Interests Hold Undue Influence?**
 I examine the significance of "regulatory capture": I then assess the key components of capture using data from 36 U.S. DOT rules. The findings reveal a diverse set of influence factors on rule promulgation, suggesting evidence against capture.
 Susan Webb Yackee, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 syackee@lafollette.wisc.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Gary Edward Hurlbrough, *University of Rochester***
 gh101ba@mail.rochester.edu
- 12:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 53-7 **Neighborhood Governance: Social Capital and the Management of Environmental and Economic Challenges in Local Communities**
 Room Great Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Jared B. Carr, *Wayne State University***
 10:30 **How Bad Is It and Who Is in the Way: The Use of Social Vulnerability, Social Capital and Preparedness in Assessing the Threat of Chemical Hazards**
 A method of hazard assessment quantitatively combining social vulnerability, social capital and preparedness data applied to chemical hazards in order to prioritize them for mitigation and public education.
 David Johnson, *Missouri State University*
 davidjohnson@missouristate.edu
- 10:50 **The Local Foods Movement in Illinois: A Delicious Revolution: Can Grass-Roots Actors Separated Geographically and by Discipline Overcome Strong Agricultural Lobbyists to Redefine the Food System?**
 A comprehensive look at the growing movement of people advocating for improved access to local foods; programs implemented, best practices, and barriers standing in the way of local foods moving into the mainstream.
 Teri Mileta, *Western Illinois University*
 lem@illettwards.org
- 11:10 **Governance and Place-Based Policy: Examining the Green Impact Zone in Kansas City**
 This paper draws upon social capital and implementation theory to examine the initial stages of implementation in Kansas City's Green Impact Zone, a place-based initiative using green technology to transform an urban community with public problems.
 Tony Reames, *University of Kansas*
 tonyreames@ku.edu
 Dorothy M. Daley, *University of Kansas*
 daley@ku.edu
 Steven Maynard Moody, *University of Kansas*
 smoo@ku.edu
 Nathaniel Wright, *University of Kansas*
 nwright@ku.edu
 Anne Kern, *University of Kansas*
 kernaa@ku.edu

- 11:30 **Disc., Rob Alexander, Rochester Institute of Technology**
rwaaga@ri.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 54-6 **Origins and Development of Policy Regimes**
Room 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Daniel A. Kenney, Michigan State University**
kenneyda@msu.edu
- 10:30 **Railroad Regulation, the Compromise of 1877, and the Origin of the Interstate Commerce Commission**
The ICC has been attributed to railroad preferences, shippers, or public opinion. This paper argues the ICC was created in response to the collapse of the Compromise of 1877 and the problems facing the Texas and Pacific and Pennsylvania railroads.
Sam J. Decario, Yale University
samuel.decario@yale.edu
- 10:45 **Mr. Jefferson's folly: The Louisiana Purchase and the Beginnings of Environmental Politics in the United States**
Through an examination of Federalist attacks on the Jefferson Administration, this paper examines the roots of environmental politics in the United States, beginning with the Louisiana Purchase in 1803.
David Dzurec, University of Scranton
david.dzurec@scranton.edu
- 11:00 **Civil Defense and the Foundations of Disaster Policy**
Many of the same plans, policies, and organizations have addressed both security threats and natural disasters, but it is not obvious why preparing for strategic bombing and nuclear war should have much to do with fires, floods, and hurricanes.
Patrick S. Roberts, Virginia Tech University
robertsp@vt.edu
- 11:15 **Veterans' Benefits and Policy Evolution: The Importance of Historical Processes**
This paper analyzes the historical evolution of veterans' benefits in the areas of health, education, and disability compensation, and argues for the importance of historical processes in understanding changes to veterans' benefit policies.
Melinda R. Tard, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
mtard@polsci.umass.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Frieda Fuchs, Oberlin College**
frieda_fuchs@hotmail.com
- Disc., Daniel A. Kenney, Michigan State University**
kenneyda@msu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 56-6 **Radicalism, Extremism, and Reconciliation in Religious Politics**
Room 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Eric L. McDaniel, University of Texas**
emcdaniel@mail.utexas.edu
- 10:30 **Theocratic Democracy: Publicly Constructed and Contained Secular and Religious Violence**
Theocratic democracy is a chronically negotiated and unstable political structure which enables the co-existence of religion with secularism. It operates under much stress and accommodates religious and secular violence and pressures.
Nachman Ben-Yehuda, Hebrew University
nachmanb@plaza.mao.huji.ac.il
- 10:45 **Sacred Markets, Holy Wars: Religions Monopolies and Entry Deterrence in Religious Markets**
This project analyzes the determinants of religious market structure and religious violence. Using a formal model, religious violence is shown to arise in highly regulated religious markets as religious monopolists fight to deter entrants.
David Alan Mochelinski, Arizona State University
dave.mochelinski@gmail.com
- 11:00 **The Red Mosque and the Dynamic Exception in Pakistan**
This paper demonstrates that the Islamic revolt at Red Mosque in 2007, which led to a military operation and violence, constituted Carl Schmittian state of exception whose coordinates lie in the flawed constitutional framework of the country.
Syed Sami Raza, University of Hawaii, Manoa
syedraza@hawaii.edu
- 11:15 **Are Religious and Secular Terrorists Different?**
This paper argues that religious and secular terrorists are driven by different motivations, and that religious terrorism is more likely to occur in countries in which religious liberty is not protected.
Nilay Sanyal, University of Notre Dame
nsanyal@nd.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Bryan T. McGraw, Wheaton College**
bryan.mcgraw@wheaton.edu
- Disc., Harun Or Rashid, University of Dhaka**
dean_ss01@yahoo.com
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 61-200 **International Relations Posters I**
Room 6 on the 4th floor, Fri at 10:25 am
- Post. 1 **Governance and Self-Identity of Large Nation-States: Federalism vs. Imperialism in the BRIC Nations**
Reviews the mutually opposed principles of federalism and imperialism in the internal governance of the BRICs, with historical comparison with the USA and ex-British Empire, in an attempt to link internal governance with geopolitical identity.
Adrian Campbell, University of Birmingham
a.campbell@bham.ac.uk
- Elena Viktorovna Denezhkina, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom**
E.Denezhkina@bham.ac.uk
- Post. 2 **Is North Korea Irrational?: Applying Realist Approach to the North Korean Case and Its Implications for the Negotiation Procedure**
The paper examines the validity of the conventional Western bias that North Korea is an irrational and erratic actor in international relations by applying realist theories of Morgenthau and Jervis and offers policy implications.
Eunyoung Cho, University of Hawaii
elen5@hawaii.edu
- Post. 3 **U.S. Foreign Aid's Impact on NGOs**
This study looks at how U.S. foreign aid impacts Nongovernmental Organizations' presence in a developing country and what implications can be drawn. Shows that NGOs are rent seekers with operational costs that are more likely to follow the money.
Wendy Justice Dickey, Kansas State University
wjustice@ksu.edu
- Post. 4 **Publicizing Private Information in Violent Political Conflict: The Expanding Role and Effect of Non-state Observers**
This paper explores the expanding scope of nonstate actors in collecting and disseminating privately held information during violent conflicts. The technological tools and strategic impact of this collection is explored through case impact assessment.
Scott Edwards, Amnesty International
scottisimo@hotmail.com
- Christopher C. Koehn, Amnesty International**
ckoehn1@amusa.org
- Juliette Rousselet, Amnesty International**
jrousse101@amusa.org
- Kathryn Strifflino, Amnesty International**
kstriflino@amusa.org
- Post. 5 **Institutions and FDI in the Developing World: Does Good Governance Increase the Likelihood of High-Quality Investment?**
This study investigates whether developing countries with high quality institutions, judged either by outside observers or

- multinational corporations, exhibit more high quality FDI, measured by export and R&D intensity.
Patrick Egan, *Tokyo University*
pegan1@nilane.edu
- Post. 6 **Assessing Grand Strategy: The National Security Policy of George W. Bush**
The paper discusses particular problems of the Bush approach to national security and theorizes that they are representative of difficulties in the creation and implementation of grand strategy.
Gerry Gandila, *Eastern University of Pennsylvania*
public@ear.com
- Post. 7 **Domestic Politics and Differential Impacts: Why the European Union has Been Unable to Establish a Common Asylum Policy**
The paper reveals the challenges of establishing a common EU asylum policy. By comparing policies of four states, the research suggests that barriers to agreement include domestic constraints and the differential impact on border and insular states.
Nicole Elbe Gerrig, *Wayne State University*
n27748@wayne.edu
- Post. 8 **The Application of Democratic Principles to Intergovernmental Organizations: Explaining Change and Continuity in the EU and WTO**
The study assesses the application of five democratic principles to the work of the European Union, the World Trade Organization and their predecessors. It then explains the variance in the application of the principles across organizations and time.
Alexandra Grigorescu, *Loyola University, Chicago*
agrigan@luc.edu
Sakal Shah, *Loyola University, Chicago*
sshah4@luc.edu
Monica Widmann, *Loyola University, Chicago*
mwidmann@luc.edu
- Post. 9 **Maastricht, Trade Liberalization, and Women**
An examination of the effects of NAFTA on maquiladora industries and the wages paid to female employees.
Bruce Alan Hansbirk, *University of Missouri, St. Louis*
bhagd2@mail.umsl.edu
- Post. 10 **A Comparative Analysis of Economic Growth Between China and North Korea**
Why do authoritarian regimes under centrally-planned economies initiate economic reform, and when are they "successful" in transition to market economies?
Sukhoon Hong, *University of Georgia*
shong@uga.edu
- Post. 11 **Arizona's SB1070: Walls and Integrity's Economic Realities: Rocks, Hard Places, and Soft Touches**
Does Arizona's SB 1070 speak for Arizona (or the United States) in its relations with Mexico? A case study of cross-border relations with Sonora indicates no, but that it will dominate for a number of both endogenous and exogenous reasons.
Iñárriz Hussain, *Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City*
iniaz.hussain@uia.mx
- Post. 12 **The Race to the Middle? Economic Globalization and Labor Standards in both Developing and Developed Countries**
This paper examines the relationship between FDI (trade) and labor rights in both developed and developing countries.
Wan-Jae Hwang, *University of Tennessee*
hwangwan@tnsu.edu
Morgan Gibson, *University of Tennessee*
morg.gibson@gmail.com
- Post. 13 **Regional Peacekeeping in the Developing World: Conditions that Permit a Successful Peacekeeping Campaign**
The purpose of this research is to assess the characteristics of peacekeeping operations by regional organizations with the goal of answering: what conditions make peacekeeping operations by regional organizations successful?
Joseph Sakendu Jones, *Syracuse University*
jsjonesj@syr.edu
- Post. 14 **Agenda-Setters in an Anarchic World: Why do Major Powers Contribute More to International Security?**
I formalize and empirically test an alternative to the view that small powers free-ride on major powers. Major powers define mission goals in their own interest. Small powers contribute less to missions from which they expect to gain little.
Kerim Can Kavaklı, *University of Rochester*
kerimcan@gmail.com
- Post. 15 **Dulce et Decorum est Pro Patria Mori: The Moral Presumptions of Sovereign Authority in the Modern Just War Tradition**
This poster questions the modern just war presumption that the locus of legitimate authority lies exclusively in the nation-state. By conflating statehood with morality, just war theory bases its power to produce non-relative ethical assessments.
Jaqueline Kellish, *University of Chicago*
jkellish@gmail.com
- Post. 16 **Regional Integration and International Security**
Integration theory suggests that states partake in regional cooperation and institution building at least in part due to a shared desire for security. This paper seeks to analyze the relationship between regional levels of integration and security.
Amelita Kolovos, *Powhatan State University*
amelkolovos@gmail.com
- Post. 17 **The Choice of Different Ways: U.S.-South Korea Policy Coordination Since 2001**
This study examines the change in degree of agreement between South Korea and United States policy toward North Korea since 2001. This study argues that a generational change in South Korea affects policy coordination between U.S.-South Korea.
Wontae Lee, *University of Georgia*
wlee@uga.edu
- Post. 18 **The Democratic Marketplace of Ideas in Foreign Policy: Does it Work?**
Many IR scholars claim the presence of a vibrant "marketplace of ideas" in liberal democracies leads them to make better foreign policy decisions than non-democracies. But the "marketplace of ideas" theory is flawed on logical and empirical grounds.
Adam Leigh Levin-Welnsberg, *University of Chicago*
levinewelnsberg@uchicago.edu
- Post. 19 **Migration, Drugs and Threat to Regions in Mexico**
We study how emigration by the economically and politically active members of Mexican society may lead to an increased drug cartel presence, their departure leading to an economic and political vacuum that may easily be filled by the drug cartels.
Carla Mercedes Martínez Machuca, *Rice University*
carlamm@rice.edu
Marvin R. McNeese, *Rice University*
mneese@rice.edu
- Post. 20 **The Efficacy of Leadership Decapitation as a Counterterrorism Strategy: Does Group Structure Matter?**
This paper examines the efficacy of leadership decapitation on two differently structured terror groups. It suggests that organizational design is significant to the success of this counterterrorism policy.
Katherine Munkides, *Miami University*
vanderkw@muhio.edu
- Post. 21 **Being, Acting and Seeing: The Influence and Paradox of Institutions, With a Focus on Southeast Asia**
I examine the paradoxical nature of institutions and their constitutive, regulative and framing influences. The framework is applied to the study of domestic and regional institutions in Southeast Asia, 1967-2007.
Maria Ortoste, *California State University, East Bay*
maria.ortoste@csueastbay.edu
- Post. 22 **Gamekeeper Turned Poacher?: Sovereign Wealth Funds and the State as a Market Actor**
The rise of Sovereign Wealth Funds (SWFs) has significant implications for global monetary governance. The growth of SWFs

demonstrates that the state is not confined to be a regulator of market forces but can also function as a market actor itself.
Daniel Scott Owens, *University of Maryland*
daniels@gsrpt.umd.edu

Post. 23 **Is military victory conducive to the establishment of democracy?**

This paper argues that the recognition and acceptance of the claims made by anti-oligarchy forces is an essential prerequisite to the development of democratic institutions and processes leading to consolidated democracies.
Normand Perreault, *Mount Allison University*
nperreau@mb.uu.ca

Post. 24 **Prospects for Collaborative Power in a Brutal Universe**

The human race can reduce its vulnerability in a brutal universe. A 16-nexus prototyping model is designed to aid organizations to engage global threats by integrating four broad disciplinary perspectives with four broad regional perspectives.
Martha A. Schwab, *University of Hawaii at Manoa*
mashwab1972@gmail.com

Post. 25 **Canada and the United States' Participation in Europe's Regime on Transboundary Air Pollution**

URTAP is an air pollution regime designed to address European concerns about transborder air pollution within the continent. What are Canada and the United States doing in it? And how has their participation changed over time?
Owen Temby, *Carleton University*
otemby@comnet1.carleton.ca

Post. 26 **Counterinsurgency: Winning Hearts and Minds with One Strike at a Time**

Recent scholars in the field of counterinsurgency largely gloss over evidence that coercive measures toward civilians have been, historically, an element of counterinsurgency operations.
Tracy Tregardh, *Florida International University*
tracy.tregardh@gmail.com

Post. 27 **Creating the Boundaries of Bias: Necessary Caution as a Guide to Case Selection for Conflict Research**

Relevant negative case selection improves research. Using IN/QCA & asymmetric regression this study shows earlier findings to be necessary, but not sufficient, for civil war onset and can therefore guide negative case selection of conflict research.
Eelco van der Meer, *Vanderbilt University*
eelco.van_der_meer@vanderbilt.edu

Post. 28 **Protection for Workers or Aggressive Unilateralism?: The Generalized System of Preferences and Human Rights Conditions**

This study explores the economic, political, and human rights conditions associated with the granting of GSP beneficiary status and the application of workers' rights sanctions under the GSP.
Jacquelyn Rae Waddell Bole, *Princeton University*
jwaddell@princeton.edu
Estelle Halberstam, *University of California, San Diego*
ehalber@ucsd.edu

Post. 29 **Whose Votes Are You Going to Buy?: The Politics of Vote Buying in the United Nations**

The paper examines vote buying in the United Nations General Assembly by the United States. The paper argues that the U.S. strategically promises to provide positive economic incentives by choosing to target "swing voters."
Byungwon Woo, *Oakland University*
byungwon.woo@gmail.com

Post. 30 **Can the U.S. Eliminate North Korea's Nuclear Threat?: Comparative Case Studies of North Korea and Libya**

This study examines the influence of U.S. economic sanctions on North Korea and Libya. These two countries receive economic sanctions from the U.S. but their responses are quite different. What factors lead to different responses?
Do Jun Woo, *Seoul National University*
jwoo@hjuumail.net

Post. 31 **Insider-out Interests: Domestic Freedom and International Influence**

This research examines the relations between a country's domestic freedom and international image. Using BBC surveys and Freedom House reports, I argue that the level of domestic freedom has a positive effect on a country's international image.
Xuanxuan Wu, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
xuanxuan.wu@colorado.edu

Post. 32 **Reevaluate China's Rise**

Contrary to mainstream literature, I argue China's so-called rise is merely a process of development toward industrialized society. China does not have the potential to become a superpower nor challenge U.S. global governing position in 21st century.
Hongyu Zhang, *University of Georgia*
hongyuzh@uga.edu
Mark Owens, *University of Georgia*
mowens1@uga.edu

Disc., Charles K. Beckner, *University of Texas, El Paso*
cbeckner@utep.edu

Disc., Scott Edwards, *Amnesty International*
scottisam0@icloud.com

Disc., Doug Gfeller, *University of Alabama*
dgfeller@bama.ua.edu

Disc., Linda Camp Keith, *University of Texas, Dallas*
linda.keith@utdallas.edu

Disc., Michaela Maties, *Vanderbilt University*
m.maties@vanderbilt.edu

Disc., Byungwon Woo, *Oakland University*
byungwon.woo@gmail.com

72-1 **Local and Urban Policy Networks**

Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 10-25 am
Chair, Sarah Reckhow, *Michigan State University*
reckhow@msu.edu

10:30 **Network Relations and Structural Changes in American Cities**

This paper investigates ego network explanations to historical changes in local government structure. It can provide new insights to inform contemporary debates about government structure.
Junguh Bae, *Florida State University*
j007e@fsu.edu
Cheon Geun Choo, *Florida State University*
cheongeuchoo@gmail.com

10:45 **Organizational Responsiveness and Resilience to Policy Advocacy: The Role of Social Networks**

Analysis suggests that personal ties among officials affect system- and individual-level responses to challenges. Policymakers become more responsive to advocacy as fewer personal ties exist between them.
Kwan Nok Chan, *Indiana University, Bloomington*
kwannokc@gmail.com

11:00 **Political Homophily and Collaboration in California Regional Planning Networks**

This paper studies multiple forms of homophily in the structure of policy networks amongst local governments in California.
Elizabeth R. Gerber, *University of Michigan*
ergerber@umich.edu
Mark N. Lubell, *University of California, Davis*
mlubell@ucdavis.edu
Adam Douglas Henry, *West Virginia University*
adam.henry@mail.wvu.edu

11:15 **Structuring Public Support Networks for Project Success: The Importance of Public Buy-in and Structural Holes in Waterbunds**

The type and relative importance of resources required for project success will determine the kinds of network strategies, structures and types of supporting organizations that are most critical for project success.

Friday, April 1, 12:45 pm to 2:25 pm

Meredith Whitehouse, *Florida State University*
mwhite@fsu.edu

11:30 Diaz, Sarah Reekhow, *Michigan State University*
reckhow@msu.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion

Friday, April 1 at 12:45 pm

- 1-2** **The Psychology of Misinformation and Misperceptions**
Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Scott L. Althaus, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
 salthaus@illinois.edu
- 12:50** **Rumors, Truths, and Reality: A Study of Political Misinformation**
 My project studies widely disseminated rumors concerning the personal background and the policies of Barack Obama to examine how rumors spread and how they can be effectively debunked.
Adam J. Berinsky, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
 berinsky@mit.edu
- 1:02** **Partisan Cheerleading versus Sincere Reasoning in American Factual Political Opinions**
 Republicans and Democrats report different beliefs about factual matters. We present a model and two experiments which suggest that such patterns reflect "partisan cheerleading" and real differences in beliefs to roughly the same degree.
John G. Bullock, Yale University
 john.bullock@yale.edu
Gregory A. Huber, Yale University
 gregory.huber@yale.edu
Alan Gerber, Yale University
 alan.gerber@yale.edu
- 1:14** **Opening the Partisan Mind? Self-Affirmation and Factual Misperceptions About Politics**
 Building on research in social psychology, we test the hypothesis that individuals whose self-worth is affirmed will be more willing to acknowledge uncomfortable facts that contradict their partisan views in two experiments.
Brendan Nyhan, University of Michigan
 bnyhan@umich.edu
Jason Reifler, Georgia State University
 jreifler@langate.gsu.edu
- 1:26** **How Should a Candidate React to Political Attacks? Examining the Effect of Candidate Response Strategy on Voter Attitudes**
 Should politicians respond to attacks by ignoring them and simply stating the truth or explicitly negating the attacks? Approaching this question from an experimental perspective, we find that in most cases the former strategy is preferred.
Victor Ottati, Loyola University, Chicago
 vottati@luc.edu
Erika D. Price, Loyola University, Chicago
 eprice3@luc.edu
Randall A. Reistrum, Loyola University, Chicago
 reistrur@luc.edu
Justin Smith Cheng, Loyola University, Chicago
 jcheng@luc.edu
- 1:38** **What's So Amazing About Really Deep Thoughts? Cognitive Style and Political Misperceptions**
 What gives rise to political misperceptions and what helps people perceive facts correctly? I investigate the effects of two dimensions of cognitive style – the need for cognition and the need for evaluation.
John M. Sides, George Washington University
 jsides@gwu.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Scott L. Althaus, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
 salthaus@illinois.edu
Disc., Brian J. Gaines, University of Illinois
 bjgaines@illinois.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 1-112** **The 11th Congress: Where the Democrats Went Wrong**
Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 In this roundtable, the panelists will discuss the various policy, process, and messaging mistakes that the Democrats made in the 11th Congress.
- Chair** Laurel M. Harbridge, Northwestern University
 lharbridge@northwestern.edu
- Panelist** Steven S. Smith, Washington University, St. Louis
 smith@wustl.edu
 Gary C. Jacobson, University of California, San Diego
 gjacobson@ucsd.edu
 David W. Brady, Stanford University
 dbrady@stanford.edu
 David W. Rohde, Duke University
 rohde@duke.edu
 Jennifer L. Lawless, American University
 lawless@american.edu
- 4-31** **State-Led Political Mobilization**
Room Monrose 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Laundry Signé, Stanford University**
 laundrysigne@gmail.com
- 12:50** **Populism in Politics and Its Impact on Human Rights: Fujimori's Peru and Chavez's Venezuela**
 Short-term gains in economic and social development are contrasted with long-term sacrifices of civil and political rights in two recent Latin American populist regimes. Which specific human rights did populist executives target and why?
Joseph Braum, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
 jrbraun1@yahoo.com
- 1:05** **Continuing the Fall: Political Scandals, Presidential Approval and the Economic Performance of the Government**
 We argue that the relationship between political scandals and presidential approval is mediated by the government's economic performance. We test this theory on data on presidential approval and scandals in Latin America.
Ryan Edward Carlin, Georgia State University
 rcarlin@gsu.edu
Cecilia Martinez Gallardo, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 cmg@email.unc.edu
- 1:20** **Regime Support, Nationalism, and Globalization in Southeast Asia**
 We theorize how nationalism and globalization affect citizens' regime support. We assess authoritarian governments apply nationalism to maintain their high regime support and globalization, on the contrary, challenges the authority of governance.
Alex C. H. Chang, Academia Sinica
 hirochaeng1972@hotmail.com
- 1:35** **State Mobilization Strategies in Hybrid Regimes**
 This paper constructs a typology of state mobilization strategies among hybrid regimes. It examines the conditions that determine the adoption of a particular strategy by comparing four hybrid regimes: Russia, Ukraine, Venezuela and Bangladesh.
Leah Elizabeth Gilbert, Georgetown University
 lea29@georgetown.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Laundry Signé, Stanford University**
 laundrysigne@gmail.com
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 5-11** **Turnover and Democratization**
Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Alexander Batur, Dublin City University**
 alex.batur@dcu.ie
- 12:50** **Support for Democracy and Alternation of Government: Chile in Comparative Perspective**
 The paper analyzes support for democracy, considering the impact of institutional variables, such as alternation of government. The data comes from CERC polls since 1986 and Latinobarometro to compare other cases in Latin America.

- Carlos Huneeus, *Instituto de Estudios Internacionales, Universidad de Chile & CERC*
 carpoce@idei.cl
 Fernando Ruidibar, *Centro de Estudios de la Realidad Contemporánea*
 fruidibar@gmail.com
 Marcelo Morales, *Universidad Diego Portales*
 mjmorale@gmail.com
- 1:05 **Do Election Quotas Matter?: The Impact of Alternation in Power on the Consolidation of Democracy in Emerging Democracies**
 We argue that more alternations should have a positive impact on the consolidation of emerging democracies after controlling economic development, institutional context, and colonial experience.
 Junhao Lee, *University of Indiana*
 junhaolee@uicrui.com
 Wonba Cho, *University of Kentucky*
 wonba.cho@uky.edu
- 1:30 **From Democracy to Dictatorship: Why Political Parties and Militaries Take over Democratic Regimes**
 I analyze democratic breakdown by focusing on the types of organizations that undermine democratic institutions. This analysis demonstrates that democratic breakdown is the result of a mismatch between party systems and democratic institutions.
 Julie Ann VanDyke-Allen, *Smythson University*
 jvandual@binghamton.edu
- 1:35 **Democratization through Alternation?: Comparing the Cases of Ghana, Kenya, and Senegal**
 Studies the relationship between turnovers and democratization in authoritarian regimes. It argues that turnovers should not be equated with democratization and that the composition of newly elected governments is key for future democratization.
 Michael Wahman, *Lund University*
 michael.wahman@svet.lu.se
- 1:50 **Disc., Valeria Fabrega, Universidad Católica, Chile**
 vfabrega@gmail.com
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-8 **The Effects of Presidential, Semi-presidential, and Parliamentary Democracies on Stability and Performance**
 Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 Chair, Young Hun Kim, *East Carolina University*
 kimyo@ecu.edu
- Room 12:45
 Chair, Young Hun Kim, *East Carolina University*
 kimyo@ecu.edu
- 12:50 **Presidentialism and Political Stability in Argentina: A Problematic Relationship**
 Widespread occurrences of political instability in Argentina over the course of history suggest that even though the Argentine presidential system was modeled after the system found in the United States of America, its constitution may be defective.
 Hanso Ahnert, *New School for Social Research*
 hansonahertn@nycu.edu
- 1:02 **Semi-presidentialism in New Democracies: Does it Make a Difference?**
 This study reexamines the effects of semi-presidentialism on democratic performance in new democracies by comparing them with pure presidential systems.
 Young Hun Kim, *East Carolina University*
 kimyo@ecu.edu
- 1:14 **When Presidents Won't Leave: The Politics of Term Limits Evasion**
 The paper compares nine countries in three regions to analyze the causes, means, timing, and outcomes of attempts at presidential term limits evasion. It considers the implications of presidential term limits evasion for democracy.
 Michael Tager, *Marietta College*
 mtager@marietta.edu
- 1:26 **Critical Junctures: A Discussion of Conceptual Problems Based on Applications to Latin America's "Neoliberal Turn"**
 This paper attempts to contribute to the conceptual and methodological refinement of the notion of "critical juncture" through an analysis of its applicability to some of the transformations recently experienced by Latin American political systems.
 Javier Vazquez-D'Elia, *University of Pittsburgh*
 javiervazquezdelfia@gmail.com
- 1:38 **Latin American Traditional Parties: The Impact of Parties' Internal Features on Their Electoral Performance, 1978-2006**
 Why do some parties suffer more than others under similar contextual conditions? The aim of this paper is to answer this question. The argument claims that it is the parties' internal organization what matters. It allows to judge to survive (or not).
 Laura Wilts-Otero, *Universidad de los Andes*
 l.wilts2@uniandes.edu.co
- 1:50 **Disc., Chinglin Chang, Florida State University**
 cc08a@fsu.edu
- Disc., Young Hun Kim, *East Carolina University*
 kimyo@ecu.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-9 **Methods in Comparative Political Behavior**
 Room 3 on the 6th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Cengiz Ersoz, TOBB-University of Economics and Technology**
 cengiz.ersoz@gmail.com
- 12:50 **A Comparative Fallacy: Survey Reliability in Less Developed Countries**
 This paper shows that the reliability of survey responses from less developed countries tends to be very low, often making them incomparable to those of other countries.
 Jorgen Boekstad, *Harvard University*
 J.Boekstad@abnmi.lse.ac.uk
- 1:10 **Weighting and Matching as Methods for Combining Disparate Survey Data**
 We test two approaches for linking survey datasets by matching quasi-panels of respondents. We draw data from an internet voting advice application used in the 2009 European Parliament elections and a conventional election study of those elections.
 Mark N. Franklin, *European University Institute*
 mark.franklin@eui.edu
 Alexander Harald Trechsel, *European University Institute*
 Alexander.Trechsel@eui.eu
 Lorenzo De Sio, *European University Institute*
 lorenzo.desio@eui.eu
- 1:30 **Social Desirability Bias and Voter Turnout in German Elections**
 In order to understand what accounts for over reporting turnout, I analyze the role of social desirability bias. Using German General Social Research Data from the year 2008, I illustrate the strong impact of this bias on voter turnout.
 Bank Yavuz Ural, *University of Pittsburgh*
 by4@pitt.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Frederik Solt, Southern Illinois University**
 fsolt@siu.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-21 **Comparative Political Participation**
 Room 10 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Piero Steinhilber, London School of Economics and Political Science**
 p.steinhilber@lse.ac.uk
- 12:50 **Are Women Still Traditional in the Developing World? Explaining gender gaps and election of women in 13 Latin American countries**
 We examine how individual and national level variables affect ideological self-placement of women and men, using gender attitude

- factors instead of cultural proxies. Both become new variables for studying the election of women to legislatures.
Samantha Lee-Ning Chiu, Texas A&M University
 schiu@politics.tamu.edu
Mitchell M. Taylor-Robinson, Texas A&M University
 mt339m@polisci.tamu.edu
- 1:08 **Modeling Political Participation of the Poor: The Effect of Basic Public Services on Individual-Level Voting in Developing Countries**
 This paper offers a model of political participation for developing countries with application to Latin America and Africa. Support is found for the expectation that basic public services affect voting in developing democratic countries.
Ashley Dyna Ross, Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi
 ashley.ross@tamucc.edu
- 1:20 **Testing the Effects of Exogenously Driven Participation: Identifying "Would-be Non-Voters" Who Are Compelled to Participate by Electoral Campaigns**
 I use matching methods to identify comparable groups of respondents in competitive and non-competitive districts. I then compare how externally driven incentives to participate affect respondents with a low initial propensity to participate.
Victoria A. Shoeman, New York University
 vrs281@nyu.edu
- 1:35 **Quito Vote: Re-evaluating the Impact of Socioeconomic Variables on Turnout in Latin America**
 Does the class bias in voting persist? Recent scholarship proposes that SES does not affect turnout in Latin America. Our analysis, combining individual-level SES with institutional and political variables, challenges this conclusion.
Steven Small, Princeton University
 small@princeton.edu
Yanilda María González, Princeton University
 ygonzale@princeton.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Gregory Schober, Duke University**
 Gregory.Schober@gregory.schober@duke.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 2-7 **Extreme Right and Populist Political Parties in Europe**
 Room Clark I on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Kathleen Barwn, University of California, Los Angeles**
 kbarwn@polisci.ucla.edu
- 12:50 **The Cycle of Ideological Competition: How Established Parties Adapt**
 A recent study of Irish political party competition provides new evidence for how established parties' programmatic strategies affect the electoral success of smaller, challenger parties, resulting in a non-vicious cycle of ideological competition.
Sean McGraw, University of Notre Dame
 mcgraw.4@nd.edu
- 1:05 **Changes in Issues and Identity in Outlier Party Manifestos: The Case of the Italian Extreme Right**
 Using content analysis and close reading of the electoral manifestos of the Italian MSUAN and Lega Nord (1987-2002), I track changes in rhetoric and issue emphasis as each party's status changed from outsider to government partner.
Jean Hildebrand Neumiller, University of Minnesota
 jneumill@umn.edu
- 1:20 **The Future of the Successful Far Right in Western Europe: Victims of their Own Success?**
 Far right parties have emerged and established themselves in many European systems. Their success is undeniable. However, what does their future look like? Can they maintain their success and become part of the political core of each system?
Steven Van Hauwerent, University of Vienna
 steven.vanhauwerent@otivie.ac.at
- 1:35 **Populism in Seven Small European Welfare States: Historical and Comparative Perspectives on Populism in Austria, Belgium, Denmark, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden and Switzerland**
 This project will attempt to clarify the populism-concept by applying it to a few small European countries that in the last decades have witnessed the emergence of new popular parties.
Koen Vossen, Leuven University
 kvossen@fsw.leuvenuniv.nl
- 1:50 **Disc., Kathleen Barwn, University of California, Los Angeles**
 kbarwn@polisci.ucla.edu
Disc., Sergi Pardos, University of Oxford
 sergi.pardos@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-4 **Electoral Processes in Latin America**
 Room Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Edwarido Magalhães, Simonson College**
 eduardo.magalhaes@simpsoc.edu
- 12:50 **Social Spending and Elicited during the Third Wave of Democratization in Latin America, 1979-2003**
 During the third wave of democratization, we test whether governments targeted increases in social spending to coincide with presidential elections in a panel of eighteen Latin American democracies from 1979 to 2003.
Lorena Barberia, Fundação Getúlio Vargas
 lorena.barberia@gmail.com
George Avellanó, Fundação Getúlio Vargas
 George.Avellano@fgv.br
- 1:02 **Presidential Coalitions in Coalition Presidentialism: Why Lula's Victory in 2006 did not Help His Party**
 The paper seeks to explain the absence of presidential coalitions in the 2006 Brazilian national elections. Lula's reelection notwithstanding.
André Borges, University of Brasília, Brazil
 andreho@unb.br
Mathieu Turgeon, Universidade de Brasília
 turgeon@unb.br
- 1:14 **Campaign Expenditures in Multimember Districts When Incumbents Face Incumbents**
 Do campaign expenditures affect electoral outcomes? We take the discussion of money & votes into a comparative scenario. We argue that competition determines the effects of money on votes, and test this assertion using data from Colombian elections.
Felipe Botero, Universidad de los Andes
 fbotero@uniandes.edu.co
Juanita Vasquez, Universidad de los Andes
 j-vasque@uniandes.edu.co
Santiago Olivella, Washington University, St. Louis
 uivella@wual.edu
- 1:26 **Incumbency Advantage in Brazilian Local Elections**
 Using repeated encounters of candidates in local elections in Brazil, we estimate the composition (candidate quality vs. holding office per se) and the sources of incumbency advantage of mayors in Brazil.
Thomas Brambor, Stanford University
 brambor@stanford.edu
Ricardo Ceneviva, University of São Paulo
 ceneviva@gmail.com
- 1:38 **The Presidential Bid of Congressman Enriquez-Ominami in Chile in 2009: A Populist Turn to the Left or Evidence of Democratic Consolidation?**
 An in-depth study of the 2009 presidential bid by former socialist turned independent Congressman Enriquez-Ominami in the context of the debate on the rise of anti-systemic candidates, populist resurgence and the weakness of political parties.

- Patricia D. Navia, *New York University*
pdn200@nyu.edu
Kenneth Bunker, *London School of Economics*
k.b.bunker@lse.ac.uk
- 1:50 Disc., Gregg B. Johnson, *Polytechnic University*
Gregg.Johnson@poly.edu
Disc., Patricia D. Navia, *New York University*
pdn200@nyu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 11-3 **Competition and Dominance in African Party Systems**
Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Michelle T. Kuenzi, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
michie.kuenzi@unlv.edu
- 12:50 **Do Primaries Improve Performance in the General Election? Evidence From Ghana**
With an original dataset on parliamentary nominations, we investigate whether parties gain an overall "bonus" from holding primaries and three possible mechanisms for the effect: incumbent re-nomination, third party entry, and intra-party disputes.
Mahamad Issahak, *Harvard University*
michiaa@gov.harvard.edu
Noah L. Nathan, *Harvard University*
nlnathan@fas.harvard.edu
- 1:02 **Party System Institutionalization and Democratic Performance in Africa**
We assess current levels of party system institutionalization across Africa's multiparty regimes and compare current PSI scores with the scores countries achieved in the 1990s. We then examine the relationship between PSI and democracy in Africa.
Michelle T. Kuenzi, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
michie.kuenzi@unlv.edu
Gina M. Somodevilla Lombardi, *George Washington University*
glombri@gwu.edu
- 1:14 **Maintaining Dominance: The Political Economy of Resilient Party Systems**
Based on the case of the African National Congress in South Africa, this paper presents a new, formal theory of single-party dominance. The theory's implications are tested using public opinion surveys and media content analyses.
Mark Yaron Rosenzberg, *University of California, Berkeley*
mrosenberg@berkeley.edu
- 1:26 **Survival Strategies of Dominant Parties: Inter-party Relations and Perceptions of Opposition and Incumbent Performance in Tanzania**
Using original survey data conducted in Tanzania, I assess perceptions of opposition and incumbent performance in shed light on whether opposition fails in hegemonic party regimes due to their own shortcomings or because of incumbent manipulation.
Keith Weghorst, *University of Florida*
keith.weghorst@ufl.edu
- 1:38 **The Politics of Governmental Expenditures in Tanzania, 1999-2007**
What allocation strategy do hegemonic party regimes use in order to increase their level of electoral support? This paper addresses this puzzle by examining the patterns of expenditure distribution by the Tanzanian ruling party.
Laura A. Weinstein, *University of California, Los Angeles*
lauraw@ucla.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Barak D Hoffman, *Georgetown University*
bdh29@georgetown.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 12-12 **Nationalism and Political Violence in the Greater Middle East**
Room Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Ebru Erdem-Akay, *University of California, Riverside*
eerdem@ucr.edu
- 12:50 **From Mountains to Streets: Kurdish Ethnic-Nationalism, Violence and Low Intensity Democracy in Turkey**
This article focuses on the recently escalating political upheaval of Kurdish civilians in Turkey as a context wherein American policies aim to reorganize power relations in Turkey and Iraq.
Deniz Gökulp, *Syracuse University*
dgokulp@syracuse.edu
- 1:05 **Does "Informal Ratification" Matter? A Study of Elite Resistance in the Maghreb**
This paper attempts to explain the failure of the Arab Maghreb Union (AMU) despite the significant economic and political payoffs that increased interstate cooperation would bring.
Merouan Mekouar, *McGill University*
merouan.mekouar@mcgill.ca
- 1:20 **Inventing the Martyr: Struggle, Sacrifice and the Signification of Palestinian National Identity**
This paper problematizes Palestinian national identity by exploring the ideals of struggle and sacrifice embodied in the idea of martyrdom. Conflating these ideals with national identity, martyrdom has become a metonymy for the Palestinian nation.
Kristofer Jens Petersen-Overton, *Graduate Center, CUNY*
kpetersen-overton@gc.cuny.edu
- 1:35 **From Brother to Enemy: The Transferring of Frames from Israel to Fatah in Hamas Media**
Despite its self-proclaimed goal of encouraging Palestinian brotherhood, the Hamas Hamas developed against Israel in its media outlets have been transferred for use against domestic rivals, helping to foster divisions within the national movement.
Jonathan L. Sorell, *Brandeis University*
jsorell@brandeis.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Ebru Erdem-Akay, *University of California, Riverside*
eerdem@ucr.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 13-11 **Understanding Corruption in Post-Communist States**
Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Gerry Geddes, *Eidson University of Pennsylvania*
pubbe@esr.com
- 12:50 **Discretionary Power and Bureaucratic Corruption: Evidence from Eastern Europe**
Should state regulatory involvement in the economy generate red tape and corruption? This paper argues that high levels of bureaucratic discretion accentuate the link between official regulatory burden and corruption.
Diana S. Duvanova, *SUNY, Buffalo*
duvanova@buffalo.edu
- 1:05 **Public Perceptions of Corruption and Impartiality in Seven Post-Communist Countries**
Drawing on cross-country survey data, the paper analyzes public perceptions of "quality of government" among public officials and politicians in seven post-communist countries.
Jens Lindt, *University of Bergen*
jens.lindt@iuh.uib.no
- 1:20 **Corruption in Central and Eastern Europe: Perceptions, Inequality, and Legitimacy**
This paper links specific perceptions of corruption and social inequality in order to highlight stubborn challenges to legitimacy in CEE.
Paul Matthew Lovelace, *University of Mississippi*
pmlovela@olemiss.edu
Stephen Douglas Whitefield, *University of Oxford*
stephen.whitefield@pembroke.oxford.ac.uk

- 1:35** **Corruption, an Enemy of Civil Society: The Case of Post-Communist Europe**
Investigates the role political corruption has on civic engagement in post-communist Europe.
Patty Zakaria, *Wayne State University*
w19156@wayne.edu
- 1:50** **Disc.**, Frank C. Thomas, *Texas Tech University*
frank.thomas@ttu.edu
- 2:10** Audience Discussion
- 14-18** **Causes and Consequences of Technological Innovation**
Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair**, Vincenzo Galasso, *Bocconi University*
vincenzo.galasso@unibocconi.it
- 12:50** **Institutions As Stratihegists: A Political Economy Model of the Entrepreneurial Decision**
I develop a model of how political institutions shape entrepreneurial behavior and condition the relationship between individuals and the decision to start a business venture.
Jason Drew Hecht, *Cornell University*
jdh293@cornell.edu
- 1:10** **Is Innovation Egalitarian?**
I examine the effect of technological innovation on inequality, and challenge the Kuznets thesis with a theory based on sociopolitical regulation of rent seeking. Where institutions constrain rent capture, economic growth is more egalitarian.
Jingling Hsu, *University of Waterloo*
jhhsu@uwaterloo.ca
- 1:30** **Regional Patterns of Innovation: Research Intensity in China's Provinces**
How does technology development differ across China's regions? Province-level data is used to examine the determinants of research intensity in contemporary China.
Evan McKay, *Compassi Leonora*
emckay@compa.com
- 1:50** **Disc.**, Vincenzo Galasso, *Bocconi University*
vincenzo.galasso@unibocconi.it
- 2:10** Audience Discussion
- 15-8** **Politics of Preferential Trade Agreements**
Room Montrose 2 on the 6th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair**, Moonhawk Kim, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
moonhawk.kim@colorado.edu
- 12:50** **Making a Name, but Losing the Game: Too Much Compliance, Too Many Commitments**
The costs of honoring commitments as well as the existence of institutional overlaps undermine standard models of reputation building. These arguments find strong support in a sample on defenses against speculative attacks and trade agreements.
Timothy Betz, *University of Michigan*
timmbetz@umich.edu
- 1:10** **BITs vs. PTAs: Unpacking the Effects of Bilateral Agreements**
Countries sign both BITs and PTAs; what determines when they sign one or the other? This paper argues that PTAs with investment clauses offer a more credible commitment than BITs and should thus have a stronger effect on bilateral investment flows.
Raymond P. Hickey, *Princeton University*
rhickey@princeton.edu
- 1:30** **Diffiating Reciprocity? The Politics of Dispute Settlement Mechanism in Preferential Trade Agreements**
This paper develops and tests the argument that the demand for formal dispute settlement mechanisms by export-oriented industries can explain governments' decisions to sign and implement preferential trade agreements with such mechanisms.
- 1:50** **Disc.**, Leonardo Becchi, *IMT, Lucca*
lbecchi@imt.it
- 2:10** Audience Discussion
- 15-21** **Regulation and Liberalization: The Political Economy of Financial Openness**
Room Burnham 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair**, Guillermo Rosas, *Washington University, St. Louis*
grosas@wumsl.edu
- 12:50** **Coercion or Collaboration? The Determinants of Financial Liberalization in Developing Countries**
We assess determinants of financial liberalization in developing countries. We compare effect of coercion (IMF) v. collaboration (diffusion across peers in regional integration agreements) on country's decision to open capital account & stay open.
Nancy E. Bruce, *University of New Mexico*
nancybruce@gmail.com
- 1:10** **Regulatory Lags and Vulnerability to Banking Crises**
What political choices make countries vulnerable to banking crises? This paper analyzes the interactions between financial liberalization, and regulation update in developed and developing countries between 1973 and 2006.
Ana Carolina Garriga, *CIDE*
acgarriga@gmail.com
- 1:30** **Using Intellectual Property Rights to Manage Foreign Direct Investment: The Economic Challenges and Political Opportunities of Doing Business with Multinational Corporations**
To explore the interaction of domestic regulatory choices and global capital, I relate politicians' choice of intellectual property rights (IPR) and multinational corporations' sourcing decisions. The paper sheds light on variation in IPR adoption.
Robert Golory, *Stanford University*
bobbygolory@yahoo.com
- 1:50** **Mobile Capital and Policy Convergence: Evidence from CAPERS' Permissible Equities Market Policy**
This paper asks whether emerging markets adjust their corporate governance policies to reflect the preferences of mobile foreign capital. To do so I utilize a natural experiment and a regression discontinuity research design.
Andrew Kenneth, *University of Michigan*
ankennet@umich.edu
- 2:10** **The Games of Zurich Meet the Dogs of War: Declining Power and American Deregulation, 1973-2008**
Financial regulators face a tradeoff between growth and risk. What explains relative preferences between the two over time? Analyzing American policy since 1973, this paper argues that declining power pushes states toward greater risk-taking.
Michael J. Lee, *Indiana University*
lee76@indiana.edu
- 1:50** **Disc.**, Guillermo Rosas, *Washington University, St. Louis*
grosas@wumsl.edu
- 2:10** Audience Discussion

16-8	<u>Domestic and International Constraints on Leadership Survival</u>	Rupal Mehta, <i>University of California, San Diego</i> mehta@ucsd.edu
Room 12:45	LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm Chair, Jong Hee Park, <i>University of Chicago</i> jhp@uchicago.edu	1:14
12:50	<u>Corrosion, Capacity, and Communication: A Risk Assessment Model of the Determinants of Political Violence</u>	<u>Became the Bomb? Reconsidering the Effects of Nuclear Weapons on Conflict Behavior between Dyads</u> This paper reconsiders existing quantitative investigations of the effects of nuclear weapons on conflict behavior between dyads and tests the robustness of their results. Todd Clayton Robinson, <i>University of Illinois</i> robin122@illinois.edu
	We build a theoretical framework to explain the magnitude of domestic violent protest. We then implement an empirical risk assessment method to provide predictions about where political violence is likely to increase in the next five years Sam R. Bell, <i>Kansas State University</i> sbell3@ksu.edu David Chagnon III, <i>Binghamton University</i> davidc@binghamton.edu Amanda Marie Morillo, <i>Kansas State University</i> amardm@ksu.edu	1:26
1:02	<u>Turnover Spillovers: The Spatial Diffusion of Leadership Change</u>	<u>Strategic Uncertainty: The Paradox of India and Pakistan's Nuclear Relationship</u> This paper empirically tests the claims of the stability/instability paradox, engaging in the ongoing debate regarding the effect of nuclear weapons at conventional levels of conflict. It proposes a new lens through which to view the dynamics. Sparsha Saha, <i>Harvard University</i> sparshahonyasha@gmail.com
	This extension of Goemans (2008) tests whether leadership turnovers are subject to spatial diffusion. Findings suggest that coups perpetuate a trend of continued turnover, but no spatial patterns emerged. Petra M. Hendrickson, <i>Michigan State University</i> hendricksonpetra@gmail.com	1:38
1:14	<u>Cause and Consequences of Coup-proofing Strategies</u>	<u>Imagine Nuclear Conflict: The Theory-Driven Nature of Deterrence and Force Structures Preference Formation</u> This paper puts forward an information processing model of nuclear deterrence preference formation that not only explains divergent positions and over-confidence but also accounts for variation that remains unaccounted for in the existing literature. Zachary Zwack, <i>United States Air War College</i> zachary.zwack@maxwell.af.mil
	In contrast with the existing literature, this paper argues that when the threat of rebel groups is high a leader will empower the paramilitary organization rather than the military and is more likely to be able to make the regime coup-proof. Jon Koga, <i>Emory University</i> jkoga@emory.edu	1:50
1:26	<u>Falling Out of Favor: Economic Sanctions and Leader Survival</u>	<u>Conditions of Successful Mediation in Internal Conflicts: The Role of Democracy-Building Arrangements in Political Agreements</u> Paper investigates a condition of successful mediation in internal conflict, specifically whether or not democracy-building provisions or mediated political agreements help to create a lasting peace after the departure of mediators. Paulina Maria Popescu, <i>University of Konstanz</i> paulina.popescu@uni-konstanz.de
	Economic sanctions do not consistently damage the political prospects of their targets. To cause harm, these foreign policy positions must be carefully aimed, taking the domestic institutions and political experience of targets into account Amanda Abigail Licht, <i>University of South Carolina</i> alicht@gmail.com	2:10
1:38	<u>An Analysis of Tail-Dependencies between War Duration and Leader Tenure</u>	<u>Mediating Conflicts</u> Room Congress on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm Chair, J. Michael Greig, <i>University of North Texas</i> greig@unt.edu
	This paper uses scale-invariant measures of association such as concordance and tail-dependence to examine whether large/small values of war duration are associated with large/small values of leader tenure. Alejandro Quiroz Flores, <i>New York University</i> aqf200@nyu.edu	12:50
1:50	Disc., Jong Hee Park, <i>University of Chicago</i> jhp@uchicago.edu	<u>Conditions of Successful Mediation in Internal Conflicts: The Role of Democracy-Building Arrangements in Political Agreements</u> Paper investigates a condition of successful mediation in internal conflict, specifically whether or not democracy-building provisions or mediated political agreements help to create a lasting peace after the departure of mediators. Paulina Maria Popescu, <i>University of Konstanz</i> paulina.popescu@uni-konstanz.de
2:10	Audience Discussion	1:30
17-9	<u>Nuclear Weapons and Conflict Escalation</u>	<u>Constitutional Mediation and Political Compromise in Repeated Conflicts</u> I examine the role of a constitutional mediator, such as a monarch or parliamentary president, in processing partisan conflicts with repeated interaction. Tianyang Xu, <i>New York University</i> tx211@nyu.edu
Room	Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm	1:50
12:45	Chair, Carol Ackerson, <i>Durham University</i> carol.ackerson@durham.uke.ac.uk	2:10
12:50	<u>Nuclear Primacy and Conflict Initiation</u>	<u>Foreign Policy: Tactics and Technology</u> Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm Chair, Susan Hannah Allen, <i>University of Mississippi</i> sallen@olemiss.edu
	This paper explores an historical and theoretical puzzle: Why are crises and wars seemingly more prevalent in dyads when one state possesses near or total nuclear primacy than when both sides have equivalent capabilities? Paul C. Avey, <i>University of Notre Dame</i> pavey@nd.edu	12:50
1:02	<u>The Prohibition of Nuclear Primacy: Dissatisfaction and Extended Nuclear Bargaining</u>	<u>The Drama of War: Unmanned Aerial Vehicles and US War Fighting Strategy</u> This paper examines the US use of unmanned aerial vehicles to strike enemy targets in Afghanistan in the context of counter
	States that seek to challenge the status quo distribution of resources enter into hierarchical arrangements with nuclear powers that provide an enhanced bargaining advantage. This has differential effects on the likelihood of conflict initiation.	12:45

- terrorism and counterinsurgency doctrines that devalue the utility of air power in general and decapitation in particular.
Dylan Bennett, *University of Wisconsin, Washington County*
dylan.bennett@uwec.edu
- 1:05 **Targeted Killings: Airpower and Counterterrorism, 2000-2010**
This paper examines the US and Israeli use of airpower for "targeted killings" of terrorists who are beyond the reach of capture. I discuss the history of these efforts, their military effectiveness, and the legal and moral issues surrounding them.
James D. Perry, *Marthrop Grammar Corporation*
jdperry@hotmail.com
- 1:30 **Lessons From a Successful Hijack Resolution**
A case study of TWA Flight 847 hijack of June 14-30, 1985, reveals important lessons for today's potential crisis negotiations.
Richard C. Thornton, *George Washington University*
rthornton21@verizon.net
- 1:35 **Patterns and Evidence from the U.S. Military Budget Allocated to Either Conventional Warfare or Counterinsurgency**
This paper focuses on a budget analysis of appropriations for the U.S. military from 2000 to 2009 in order to find patterns and evidence that can tell whether there is a change in military doctrine from conventional warfare to counterinsurgency.
Sangeeta Yoo, *SUNY, Binghamton*
syoo1@binghamton.edu
Nikolay Markov, *SUNY, Binghamton*
nmarkov1@binghamton.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.** Susan Hannah Allen, *University of Mississippi*
shallen@olemiss.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 21-1 **Conflict Dynamics**
Room
12:45 **Chair,** Bethany Ann Lorton, *Stanford University*
blorton@stanford.edu
- 12:50 **Onset of Civil Wars: Transmission of Shock from Ethnic Kin**
The changes in the political and economic status of ethnic kin in the region shape the incentives of the rebels to initiate a civil war. The paper also demonstrates that transmission of shocks from some kin may be more influential than others.
Sedat Akkumroglu, *Binghamton University*
sakkumro@binghamton.edu
- 1:02 **Preconditions of the Ethnic Riot: A Hierarchical Analysis of Structural and Proximate Causes**
This study employs hierarchical modeling techniques to simultaneously investigate potential causes of ethnic rioting in both the state and ethnic group levels of analysis.
Kelly A. Gleason, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
kgleason@uwm.edu
- 1:14 **Emerging States, Endangered States, and Sovereignty: The Progress of Genocides**
Positive theory of genocide could benefit from a clear distinction of what genocide is from the distinct political opportunity structures permissive of genocide. This paper elaborates case comparisons of "settler genocides" and wartime genocides.
Jason Adam Petrusci, *University of Maryland*
JPetrusci@gmail.com
- 1:26 **The Onset of Genocide: Rethinking the Relation Between Radical Ideology and Elite Strategic Action**
This paper criticizes recent elite strategic action theories of genocide onset, and presents a model of cascading radicalization highlighting the relation between ideology and instrumental rational behavior. Cases: Germany, Rwanda and Kenya.
Eugenio Verdeja, *University of Notre Dame*
everdeja@nd.edu
- 1:38 **Proximate and Non-Proximate Factors: Accounting for the Ethnic Rioting Onset in Xinjiang Since 1996**
This research aims at answering the questions-how should we account for the shift of resistance pattern by the Uighurs since 1996; and what are the proximate and non-proximate determinants of the rioting onset that occurred in Xinjiang since 1996?
Peng Yu, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
benjamin.yu@gmail.com
Jun Jiang, *Graduate Center, CUNY*
jjiang@gc.cuny.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.,** Bethany Ann Lorton, *Stanford University*
blorton@stanford.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-8 **Partisanship and Participation**
Room
12:45 **Chair,** Barbara C. Burrell, *Northwestern Illinois University*
bburrell@niu.edu
- 12:50 **The Origins and Mobilization of the Tea Party Movement**
My paper aims to explain when and why the Tea Party emerged and the characteristics of those who identify with the movement. I interviewed dozens of local Tea Party leaders and analyzed surveys to find both libertarian and cultural drivers.
Emily Ekler, *University of California, Los Angeles*
emilyekler@ucla.edu
- 1:05 **The Importance of Partisan Identity for Mobilizing Political Participation**
We find that partisan identity strength as measured by a multi-item identity scale is a strong predictor of political involvement and emotion, and that it performs far better than the traditional 3-fold partisan intensity measure.
Lillian Nell Mason, *Stony Brook University*
lmason@ic.sunysb.edu
Leanne Huddy, *Stony Brook University*
Leanne.Huddy@sunysb.edu
Lene Aaroe, *Aarhus University*
LeneAaroe@ps.au.dk
- 1:20 **The Concealed Inequality: The Heterogeneity in Turnout Rates Across Regions and the Nationalization of Party Systems**
I focus on the heterogeneity of turnout rates within a country. I set out a cross-national variance and prove that the nationalization of party systems is crucial in predicting the dependent variable in a comparative perspective.
Pablo Simon, *Pompeu Fabra University*
pablo.simon@upf.edu
- 1:35 **Waking the Sleeping Giant: The Emergence of the Latino Electorate**
This paper explores the possibility of an eventual party realignment based on the impact of the emergence of the Latino electorate. This paper establishes the current impact of Latino voters as well as provides a forecast of their future impact.
Joshua N. Zingher, *SUNY, Binghamton*
jzinghe1@binghamton.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.,** Daniel C. Reed, *American University*
dreed@american.edu
Disc., Joseph W. Robbins, *Shepherd University*
jrobbins@shepherd.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-12 **Exploring the Effects of Socio-economic and Demographic Conditions on Participation**
Room
12:45 **Chair,** Kay L. Schlozman, *Boston College*
kschloz@bc.edu
- 12:50 **Year Turnout in Latin America, 1980-2010: A Multilevel Analysis**
Through a hierarchical model of voter turnout we find that institutional factors explain variance in turnout across Latin American countries. But we also find that socioeconomic variables are the best predictors of within-country variation in turnout

- Ignacio Arana, University of Pittsburgh**
 iga3@pm.edu
Miguel Carreras, University of Pittsburgh
 carreras_miguel@hotmail.com
Nesfor Camilo Castañeda-Angarita, University of Pittsburgh
 nescasta@pm.edu
- 1:05 The Local Age Context of Older Adults and Residents' Political Behavior**
 With the Baby Boomer Generation nearing retirement age, this paper asks: What are the implications for individual political behaviors when a large portion of the local population (relative to the rest of the population) is over the age of 65?
Brittany Brutz Bryant, University of Maryland, College Park
 bbryant@umcg.edu
- 1:30 Using a Natural Experiment to Measure the Effect of Unemployment on Turnout**
 This paper uses the number of jobless claims around Election Day and variation across states in the length of unemployment benefits to obtain better causal inference about the effects of unemployment on voter turnout.
Matthew Isaac-Laporte, Princeton University
 isaacm1@princeton.edu
- 1:35 How Do Socio-Economic Conditions Influence Heritability Estimates in Political Orientations and Participation?**
 Political orientations and participation have a heritable component. How do varying socio-economic conditions influence the heritability of these political traits? Is heritability stronger in moderate rather than extreme contexts?
Ashley Soane Negaard, University of Southern Denmark
 aso@sam.sdu.dk
- 1:50 Disc., John E. McNulty, SUNY, Binghamton**
 jmculty@binghamton.edu
Disc., Katy L. Schickman, Boston College
 kschick@bc.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 25-17 German Political Behavior**
 LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair, Evelyn Bytzek, University of Frankfurt am Main, Germany
 bytzek@soz.uni-frankfurt.de
- 12:45 Which Party Did You Vote for in the Last Election? Effects of Party Performance and Individual Factors on Voting Recall**
 Respondents' vote choice in the last election is often used to study changes in voting behavior. Still, confidence in this data is low. This paper examines effects of individual factors as well as current performance evaluations on voting recall.
Evelyn Bytzek, University of Frankfurt am Main, Germany
 bytzek@soz.uni-frankfurt.de
- 1:05 Conditions for Dynamic Partisan Agreement and Disagreement: An Analysis of Voters' Social Networks at the 2009 German Federal Election**
 Using a pre-election Rolling Cross-Section survey with post-election panel wave the paper tests a series of hypotheses about conditions that increase or decrease the amount of agreement resp. disagreement in dyads of voters and discussions.
Ruediger Schmitt-Beck, University of Mannheim
 schmitt-beck@uni-mannheim.de
Julia Partheymüller, University of Mannheim
 Julia.Partheymueller@maa.uni-mannheim.de
- 1:20 Late-Deciding Voters at the 2005 and 2009 German Parliamentary Elections in Comparative Perspective**
 The 2005 and 2009 German parliamentary elections saw a dramatic increase of late-deciding. Comparing both elections, the paper will provide a simultaneous test of a number of competing hypotheses about late-deciding.
Ruediger Schmitt-Beck, University of Mannheim
 schmitt-beck@uni-mannheim.de
- 1:35 Issue Volatility in the 2009 German Election**
 Relying on the flexibility of conditional logit models this paper tests whether voters' issue reactions vary across parties.
Paul W. Thurmer, University of Munich
 paul.thurmer@gsi.uni-muenchen.de
- 1:50 Discussion, TSA**
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 25-22 Measuring Preferences**
 LaSalle 3 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair, D. Roderick Klewiler, California Institute of Technology
 drk@caltech.edu
- 12:50 Strategic Voting and Effective Representation Thresholds**
 In this paper we suggest a new measure of strategic incentives suitable for proportional representation systems. We employ the new measure to examine the extent of strategic behavior in the 2008 Spanish general election.
Enrique García-Vizuela, Universidad Complutense de Madrid
 garciaev@cc.ubn.es
Joaquín Artes, Universidad Complutense de Madrid
 jartas@der.ucm.es
- 1:05 The Proximity Model in Empirical Studies of Voter Behavior: Some Observations With Implications for the IITM Movement in Political Science**
 This paper considers why, in models of candidate evaluation and vote choice, a simple model using only respondent ideology often fits survey data better than the proximity model.
Jeffrey Grynavicki, University of Connecticut
 grynavicki@uconn.edu
- 1:30 Is it the economy, stupid? Effects of the economic situation and economic attitudes on party preferences and vote intentions in Germany**
 The determinants and effects of economic attitudes in Germany are studied. The paper combines research over time on the macro level with a micro level analysis for the 2009 General Election, considering effects of media coverage on the economy.
Markus Steinbrecher, Universität Mannheim
 markus.steinbrecher@uni-mannheim.de
Nils D. Steiner, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz
 steiner@politik.uni-mainz.de
- 1:35 How Does Geography Affect the Downstream Issue Attention in Congressional Elections?**
 We jointly scale six large-N surveys from 2000-2010 to estimate the political preferences of 200,000 Americans. We use this sample to examine how the distribution of district-level preferences across issue dimensions affects individual vote choice.
Christopher Tausanovich, Stanford University
 cttausn@stanford.edu
Christopher Warshaw, Stanford University
 cwars@stanford.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Bernardo Moreno, Northwestern University**
 b-moreno@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 26-15 Majoritarian Electoral Systems: Beyond Two Party Competition and Governing Majority Outcomes**
 Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair, Marc Kilgour, Wilfrid Laurier University
 mkilgour@wlu.ca
- 12:45 Electoral Uncertainty and Minor Party Participation in SMP-P Electoral Systems**
 We examine the incentives for minor party candidates to contest elections under conditions of electoral uncertainty using district-level legislative electoral returns for Canada, the United Kingdom, and the United States for 1950s-2000s.

- Robin F. Beale, University of Missouri**
beare@missouri.edu
- Steve B. Lem, Kutztown University**
lem@kutztown.edu
- Connor M. Dowling, Yale University**
connor.dowling@yale.edu
- 1:05 The Effect of the Number of Parties on Voter Information, Polarization, Satisfaction, and Efficacy**
Results of experiments with subjects in a simulated 2- or 4-party election. 2-party election voters were less polarized but less well-informed. Extreme voters were more satisfied, while center voters were less satisfied, in the four-party election.
Fred Cutler, University of British Columbia
cutler@pollsci.ubc.ca
- Vikta Savvaki, University of British Columbia**
vsavvaki@psych.ubc.ca
- Benjamin Nyblade, University of British Columbia**
bnyblade@politics.ubc.ca
- Paul J. Quirk, University of British Columbia**
quirk@politics.ubc.ca
- 1:20 Support for Minority Governments: Principled, Economic, or Strategic?**
This paper investigates attitudes toward minority governments in Canada. The public's support for minority governments is higher than the conventional wisdom suggests, and driven by views concerning responsiveness and strategic considerations.
Nell Neville, University of Toronto
n.neville@utoronto.ca
- Andre Blais, Université de Montréal**
andre.blais@umontreal.ca
- Yannick Dufresne, University of Toronto**
yannick.dufresne@utoronto.ca
- 1:35 Electoral Bias in a Three-Party System: UK General Elections, 1997-2010**
Using a new method for de-composing electoral bias in a three rather than two-party system the paper considers the growing importance of vote distribution bias especially with reference to the 2010 election which resulted in a hung parliament.
Michael A. Thrasher, University of Plymouth
MTHRASHER@PLYM.AC.UK
- Guliba Borisyuk, University of Plymouth**
gborisyuk@plymouth.ac.uk
- Ron Johnston, University of Bristol**
rjohnston@bristol.ac.uk
- Colin Crallings, University of Plymouth**
crallings@plymouth.ac.uk
- 1:50 Disc., Donley T. Studlar, West Virginia University**
dstudlar@wvu.edu
- Disc., Raymond Tatalovich, Loyola University, Chicago**
rtatalo@luc.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 17-11 Room**
- 12:45 Chair, Cara Wang, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
cara.wang@illinois.edu
- 12:50 Psychophysiological Approaches to Studying the Effects of Race-Based Messages in Political Campaigns**
We advance a theoretical justification for considering psychophysiological elements in assessing potential effects of race-based campaign communication.
Stephan Maynard Caliendo, North Central College
smcaliendo@ncccl.edu
- Charlton D. McIlwain, New York University**
cdm1@nyu.edu
- 1:03 The Impact of Empathy on Whites' Social Welfare Policy Attitudes**
The psychological trait of empathy plays a special role in shaping whites' racial attitudes. Empathy among whites strongly predicts increased support for the racialized policy of "welfare" but has no influence on support for "assistance to the poor."
Erika Czaja, Princeton University
eczaja@princeton.edu
- 1:14 Diversity in Black and White**
This paper presents three studies: In the first study, a belief in American meritocracy is operationalized. In the second (lab) and third (survey) studies, an experiment shows how race and work ethics interact when evaluating applicants for welfare.
Christopher David DeFante, Duke University
cdd11@duke.edu
- 1:26 A Tale of Two Cases: The Impact of Subliminal and Implicit Appeals for Racialized Issue Opinion**
This project seeks to build upon existing theoretical work on stereotype priming by expanding the consideration of the subtle application of stereotypes to the formation of issue opinions more generally.
Carl Lucas Palmer, University of Notre Dame
Carl.L.Palmer.16@nd.edu
- 1:30 Stereotypes and Stereotype Revision: When Do Candidate Traits Affect Voters' Perceptions?**
Using a randomized experiment, we demonstrate that stereotype cues relevant to voting are revised in light of inconsistent information. The findings resolve an inconsistency in past work on racial and ethnic cues in voting decisions.
Timothy J. Ryan, University of Michigan
tjryan@umich.edu
- Kristyn L. Miller, University of Michigan**
kristyn@umich.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Efraim Oviedo Perez, Vanderbilt University**
efraim.o.perez@vanderbilt.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 18-24 Room**
- 12:45 Chair, Donald M. Gooch, Arkansas Tech University**
dgooch@atu.edu
- 12:50 Revisiting Kanter: Do Voters Care More about Economic or Moral Issues?**
Employing survey experiments, we provide political information to uninformated, cross-pressured voters and test whether they shift more toward their economic or moral preferences.
Anthony Fowler, Harvard University
fowler@fas.harvard.edu
- Michele Margolis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology**
margolis@mit.edu
- 1:03 The Tea Party in American Politics: Ideology, Race and Threat**
A look at the attitudes and ideologies of the Tea Party members, who they are and what motivates them. How the Tea Party supporters are different from other conservatives.
David Perkins, SUNY Stony Brook
francispoperkins@hotmail.com
- 1:14 The Impact of Interest, Inertness, Ideology and Values on Public Support for Redistributive Public Policies**
This paper finds that while material interests are much more important determinants of citizens' views on generalized income equalization, values and beliefs have a greater influence on attitudes towards the provision of adequate living standards.
Anthony J. L. Sealey, University of Toronto
anthony.sealey@utoronto.ca
- 1:26 It's Tea Time, but What Flavor? Regional Variation in Sources of Support for the Tea Party Movement**
Does the source of Tea Party support vary from region to region across the U.S.?
Stacy G. Utzig, Sam Houston State University
vltg@shsu.edu
- Sarah Mehta, Sam Houston State University**
sarah051@shsu.edu

1:38 **Where Have All the Socialists Gone? Unintentional Phases in Political Speeches**
 We demonstrate that political information effects tend to foster the underrepresentation of non-mainstream economic ideas in attitude surveys; the extent to which these distortions happens is dependent on several contextual factors.
 Paul Thomas Welch, *Central European University*
 welch_paul@ceu-budapest.edu

1:50 **Disc., Bryan J. Detrey, *Oklahoma State University***
 Detrey@gnail.com
 Disc., Kyle Matthes, *University of Iowa*
 kyle-matthes@uiowa.edu

2:10 **Audience Discussion**

28-25 **Political Values and Principles**
 Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Deon P. Lacy, *Dartmouth College***
 deon.lacy@dartmouth.edu

12:50 **Minority Political Orientations, Policy Preferences, and American Values**
 Minority Democratic partisanship is solidly underpinned with strongly liberal policy preferences. These preferences co-exist with commitments to the competing notions of self-reliance or industry and support for energetic government on the other.
 Shaun Bowler, *University of California, Riverside*
 shaun.bowler@ucr.edu
 Gary M. Segura, *Stanford University*
 segura@stanford.edu

1:05 **Value System Stability: A Comparison of Ranking and Rating Measures**
 This paper uses a survey experiment, funded by the TESS program, to test whether measurement strategy affects the degree to which researchers find individual level value systems to be stable across contextual contexts.
 David Clark, *Michigan State University*
 cluckdavi@msu.edu

1:20 **Rule of Law Measures in Public Opinion**
 I measure rule of law in public opinion and evaluate the extent to which this principled argument is applied across disparate issue areas.
 Francisco I. Pedraza, *Texas A&M University*
 fpedra2@tamu.edu

1:35 **Gay Rights Meets Global Warming? Political Values Underlying Contemporary Political Controversies**
 This paper investigates the political values underlying attitudes about gay rights and global warming and assesses the nature of ideological relationships among them.
 Susan Thibritz, *Wells College*
 stthibritz@wells.edu

1:50 **Disc., Deon P. Lacy, *Dartmouth College***
 deon.lacy@dartmouth.edu

2:10 **Audience Discussion**

29-8 **Media and Citizen Deliberation**
 Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Jeremiah John Garretson, *SUNY Stony Brook***
 jeremiah.garretson@stonybrook.edu

12:50 **The Effects of Incivility in News Media on Political Deliberation: The Mienery of Uncivil Language in Political Discussions**
 I develop an index to gauge levels of incivility in language. I then test, through use of an open-ended survey item, the effect that exposure to uncivil mediated political discourse has on the propensity for individuals to mimic uncivil language.
 Bryan T. Gervais, *University of Maryland, College Park*
 bgervais@cpvt.umd.edu

1:10 **Do Political Systems Reduce Gaps to Citizen Deliberation?**
 This cross-national comparative study shows that political systems provide an institutional context that either reduces or amplifies individual-level "resource advantage" to deliberative discussion in mass publics.
 Ilanit Nir, *Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
 ilanir@mscc.huji.ac.il

1:30 **Facts vs. Perceptions: Which Influences Deliberative Experiences, Political Tolerance and Attitude Polarization?**
 Drawing on a sample of participants in structured and moderated online groups we test whether it is perceived or objective disagreement that more strongly affects various deliberative experiences, political tolerance and attitude polarization.
 Magdalena E. Wojcieszak, *IE University, Segovia, Spain*
 magdalena.wojciezak@ie.edu
 Vincent Price, *University of Pennsylvania*
 vprice@asc.upenn.edu

1:50 **Disc., Patrick R. Miller, *Duke University***
 millerpr@duke.edu

2:10 **Audience Discussion**

29-13 **Media Coverage of the Economy**
 Room Salon 8 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Chapman B. Rackaway, *Fort Hays State University***
 crackawa@fhsu.edu

12:50 **Examining the Impact of Negative Economic News on Individual Attitudes Toward Which 2008 Presidential Candidate Could Best Manage the National Economy: An Empirical Test**
 This paper examines the relationship between negative economic news and individual attitudes toward which candidate in the 2008 presidential election was able to manage the American economy better.
 Jason M. Badura, *Aurora University*
 jbadura@aurora.edu

1:05 **The Economic Crisis Defined by Media Discourse**
 The media play an important role in shaping public perceptions of the real economy. We utilize a new dataset on media coverage of the economy to explore the role of media with a particular eye towards the recent global financial crisis.
 Raymond Duch, *University of Oxford*
 raymond.duch@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
 Inaki Seguraazu, *University of Oxford*
 inaki.seguraazu@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
 William Craig McEachugh, *Rice University*
 mcdeachugh@rice.edu

1:20 **Public Responses to Economic Crisis: How the Structure of Party Competition Shapes Citizens' Policy Preferences**
 Using a three-wave panel with a national sample, we study public opinion formation over time in response to an intensive political debate on how to deal with the economic crisis. We find substantial, theory-consistent patterns of opinion change.
 Rude Stettinon, *Arizona University*
 rstettinon@psu.edu
 Michael Bang Petersen, *Aarhus University*
 michael@psu.dk

1:35 **The Media's Role in Sociotropic Voting in Taiwan's 2008 Presidential Election**
 My topic centers on how the media influence voters' national economic evaluation and the subsequent effect on voters' choice in Taiwan's 2008 presidential elections.
 Chia-yin Wei, *University of South Carolina, Columbia*
 proma9914@yahoo.com.tw

1:50 **Disc., Chapman B. Rackaway, *Fort Hays State University***
 crackawa@fhsu.edu

2:10 **Audience Discussion**

- 30-6 Gender Quotas**
Room Burnham 4 on the 7th floor, Fr at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Beate Slissenleh, Indiana University**
 beissenle@indiana.edu
- 12:50** **How Electoral Incentives Shape Women's Substantive Representation**
 How do electoral incentives shape women's substantive representation? I examine this using original data from 20 subnational Argentine legislatures which offer significant variation in electoral systems and a lengthy time line of gender quotas.
 Tiffany Dawn Barnes, *Rice University*
 tiffanydbarnes@rice.edu
- 1:05** **The Effect of Gender Quotas on the Perception of Political Recruitment: MPs in Belgium, France and Portugal**
 Do women MPs elected under gender quota laws perceive their political recruitment as legislators differently from women MPs who were elected prior to the implementation of gender quota laws? MP attitudes are tested from Belgium, France, and Portugal.
 Michael A. Baum, *University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth*
 mbaum@umass.edu
 Ana Espinosa-Santo, *European University Institute*
 ana.espinosa.santo@eui.eu
 Tiaette Schmitterer, *Sciences-Po, Bordeaux*
 t.schmitterer@sciencespo.bordeaux.fr
- 1:20** **Gender Quotas and the Welfare State**
 From cross-national and historical perspectives, this paper analyzes how a country's adoption of gender quotas and welfare policies affect women's election to national political office.
 Kileen McDonagh, *Northeastern University*
 kmcdonagh@neu.edu
- 1:35** **Intersectional Impacts of Gender Quotas: The Limits of Women's Representation**
 We show, using examples from Latin America and European contexts, that gender quotas facilitate the election of women from a narrow set of backgrounds, who in turn represent a narrow set of interests.
 Christina V. Nyilas, *Union College*
 nyilas@union.edu
 Shannon Drysdale Webb, *University of Notre Dame*
 shannondw@nd.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Mona Lisa Krak, Washington University, St. Louis**
 mlkrak@wustl.edu
Disc., Denise M. Walsh, University of Virginia
 dmwalsh@virginia.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 31-10 Stability and Change in Policy and Movement Politics**
Room Montrose J on the 7th floor, Fr at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Michael James Fortner, Drexel University**
 fortner@drexelharvard.edu
- 12:50** **Brown v. Board of Education Revisited: Why the Implementation of Brown v Board Failed Black and Brown Students**
 The notion of separate but equal was finally struck down in 1954 in Brown v Board of Education. Minority students still lag far behind than any other ethnic groups in graduation rates.
 Stephen Balkaran, *Central Connecticut State University*
 sbalkaran@hotmail.com
- 1:02** **Do You Really Know Me? Immigrant Specific Information's Effect on Immigration Policy Preferences**
 This paper explores the question of how does immigrant specific knowledge affect an individual's opinions on immigration policy. Can knowledge moderate the effects of other more well known predictors of public opinion on immigration?
 Chris S. Haynes, *University of California, Riverside*
 christhaynes77@gmail.com
- 1:14** **The Presidency and Housing Segregation: Kennedy's Executive Order**
 This study examines the major change in federal fair housing policy between the Truman and Johnson presidencies—John F. Kennedy's Executive Order 11063.
 Charles M. Lamb, *SUNY, Buffalo*
 clamb@buffalo.edu
- 1:26** **Appearance: Puerto Rican Working Class and Gendered Leadership from the 1960's to the Present**
 This paper chronicles the Puerto Rican educational advocacy group *Aspira*, formed in the 1960's in New York out of female labor organizing and the Puerto Rican Civil Rights movement. It analyzes *Aspira's* changing political identity over time.
 Parissa Majid Clark, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 Parissajcl@ucla.com
- 1:34** **Local Organizing and the National Civil Rights Agenda**
 This paper assesses how local organizing influences the agenda of national civil rights organizations through an examination of organizing activities of the Black Panther Party and the National Welfare Rights Organization between 1968 and 1971.
 Catherine M. Padon, *Simmons College*
 catherine.padon@simmons.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Athena M. King, University of South Carolina, Columbia**
 Kingam@mailbox.sc.edu
Disc., Jennifer L. Merolla, Claremont Graduate University
 jennifer.merolla@cgu.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 32-3 Aristotelian Perspectives on Modern Politics**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Fr at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Aaron Louis Herold, Boston College**
 aaron.herold@bc.edu
- 12:50** **Aristotle and Lincoln on the Limits of Law and Political Statesmanship: Book V of the Nicomachean Ethics**
 I analyze Aristotle's contribution to the contemporary debates about prerogative power and extra-legal statesmanship in consociational regimes. I contrast the Aristotelian approach to law that of John Locke and apply the two theories to Lincoln.
 George Aneshkin, *University of Texas, Austin*
 georgj@mail.utexas.edu
- 1:02** **Justice and Friendship in Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics**
 This paper examines the difference between treating others with justice and treating others as friends in Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics.
 Kaitleen Aron, *Claremont Graduate University*
 kataronj@gmail.com
- 1:14** **Aristotle's Emphasis on Regime Preservation**
 Book V of Aristotle's Politics discusses the revolutions and preservation of regimes. The goal of this paper is to present a close reading of Book V with an eye to Aristotle's focus on the preservation of regimes as opposed to their founding.
 Ian Dagg, *University of Dallas*
 idaggian@gmail.com
- 1:26** **How Aristotle Would Approach Hobbes' Analysis of Power and Felicity**
 An examination of Hobbes' distinctive approach to power and felicity in light of Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics.
 Loren Rotner, *University of Texas, Austin*
 rotnel@gmail.com
- 1:38** **The Political Common Good: Recent Formal and Substantive Arguments in Aristotelian Political Theory**
 The purpose of the research is to explore and assess recent and influential reformulations of the political common good advanced by theorists working within the Aristotelian tradition.
 Matthew D. Wright, *University of Texas, Austin*
 mtdwright@mail.utexas.edu

- 1:50 **Disc., Brian A.N. Binar, University of Chicago, Committee on Social Thought**
 binar@uchicago.edu
Disc., Michael N. DiGregorio, McMaster University
 digregm@mcmaster.ca
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 33-3 **Language, Metaphor, and Law in Medieval Political Thought**
Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair, Cary J. Nederman, Texas A&M University
 nederman@polisci.tamu.edu
- 12:45 **12:50 Political Language, Models and Body Metaphors in Medieval Political Thought: Historiographical Propositions**
 The paper analyzes some historiographical positions about the uses of metaphors in medieval political thought. We will discuss the role of the metaphor of the political body and its importance in the historiographical debates on political language.
 Gianluca Briguglia, *EHESP Paris*
 gianluca.briguglia@gmail.com
- 1:05 **Representation: Old Lessons for (Post-) Modern Concerns**
 The paper revives forgotten understandings of representation and of the self - ranging from medieval times to early modernity - in order to illuminate contemporary disagreements about the need for a reconceptualization of representation
 Aldo Fumagalli, *Indiana University*
 afumagall@indiana.edu
- 1:20 **1:20 Natural Law in Medieval Islamic Political Philosophy**
 An examination of Medieval-era Islamic political philosophy for a parallel tradition to the moral and political Natural Law tradition in Western political thought.
 Karen Rupprecht, *Georgetown University*
 krupprecht@gmail.com
- 1:35 **1:35 Political Theory and The Black Death**
 This paper considers the consequences of the Black Death for political theory. The plague's legacy in art, religion, politics, philosophy and medicine has received considerable attention, but the effects on political theory are less well known.
 Art Vanden Broucke, *Flagler College*
 vandenb@flagler.edu
- 1:50 **1:50 Disc., Thomas Berman, Saginaw Valley State University**
 berman@svsu.edu
- 2:10 **2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 34-8 **Reconsidering the Relationship between Liberty, Rights, and Foreign Policy**
Room Bucham 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair, Ross J. Corbett, Northern Illinois University
 rcorbett@niu.edu
- 12:45 **12:50 Machiavelli on Regime Change**
 This paper examines the insights that Niccolò Machiavelli provides to contemporary counterinsurgency strategy, particularly in efforts to establish a legitimate republic when the population is "corrupted" by a tyrannical regime.
 Nathan E. Busch, *Christopher Newport University*
 nbusch@cnu.edu
 James M Holmes, *Naval War College*
 jimbethumg@gmail.com
- 1:05 **1:05 From Self-Rule to Ruling Others: Thucydides on Democracy and Empire**
 The paper examines the foreign policy of ancient democracy through a comparative study of Athens and Syracuse in Thucydides' History. It investigates the connection between democracy and empire.
 Seth N. Jaffe, *Bowdoin College*
 sjaffe@bowdoin.edu
- 1:30 **1:30 Alexis de Tocqueville's Writings on Islam and the Importance of Moors to Liberal Democracy and its Disambiguation**
 Tocqueville believed moors—sacred habits and beliefs—are indispensable to liberal democracy. This paper provides a translation of Alexis de Tocqueville's Writings on Islam, and explores his concerns about the compatibility of democracy and Islam.
 Jonathan W. Pridemay, *Morehead State University*
 jpidluzay@moreheadstate.edu
- 1:35 **1:35 Montesquieu's Athens: Analog Commercial Republic at War**
 This paper considers the role of Athens in Montesquieu's discussions of ancient and modern republicanism, with respect to the relationship between commerce and war.
 Andrea Radanu, *Northwestern Illinois University*
 a_radanu@hotmail.com
- 1:50 **1:50 Disc., Murray Sturmus Yazwenko Bassette, Morehead State University**
 murray.bassette@gmail.com
Disc., Christopher Thomas Lynch, Carthage College
 clynch@carthage.edu
- 2:10 **2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 35-6 **From Dewey to Taylor: Leading Voices in 20th-century Political Liberalism**
Room Cresthill on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair, Jonathan G. Allen, Northern Michigan University
 jonallen@umich.edu
- 12:45 **12:50 Giving Incommensurability its Due: From Liberalism to Democracy in Value Pluralist Theory**
 Here I develop a democratic interpretation of Isaiah Berlin's theory of value pluralism, in contrast to the dominant liberal interpretation. I argue that "giving incommensurability its due" supports a unique approach to democratic deliberation.
 James E. Bourke, *Duke University*
 jeh23@duke.edu
- 1:10 **1:10 Who's Afraid of Orwell? The Specter of Political Liberalism**
 This paper draws attention to the ideas of Charles Taylor as a counterpoint to John Rawls, and shows the comparative merits of the two opposing forms of liberal theorizing that emerged with them in the Anglophone world in the late 20th C.
 Neal Chai, *University of Alabama*
 nchai@ua.edu
- 1:30 **1:30 Which Orwell? Which Liberalism?**
 This paper argues that competing views of Orwell reveal tensions inherent in modern liberalism itself. I examine how Orwell himself suggests a synthesis among liberalism's competing demands of sincerity, authenticity, and self-distancing irony.
 Giacomo Gambino, *Muhlenberg College*
 gambino@muhlenberg.edu
- 1:50 **1:50 Disc., Mary Barbara Walsh, Elizabet College**
 walshmb@elizabeth.edu
- 2:10 **2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 36-8 **36-8 Cosmopolitan Visions**
Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair, Joseph Lampert, Northwestern University
 j-lampert@northwestern.edu
- 12:45 **12:50 Cosmopolitanism and Coercion Reconciling Sovereign Equality in Kant's Political Theory**
 This paper develops a positive reading of Kant's principled, integrative approach to sovereign equality and cosmopolitan obligation.
 Antonio Franceschet, *University of Calgary*
 francesca@ucalgary.ca
- 1:02 **1:02 Cosmopolitan Constitutionalism: The Democratization of Global Decision-Making and the Problem of Global Solidarity**
 Theories of cosmopolitanism face the problem of maintaining solidarity at supranational scales. To address this problem, I propose

- a system of cosmopolitan constitutionalism that builds solidarity on democratic processes rather than shared identity.
Adam Gansaway, *New School for Social Research*
 agansaway@gmail.com
- 1:14 **Some Policy Implications of Moderate Cosmopolitanism**
 In this paper, I attempt (having previously offered a theoretical defense of moderate cosmopolitanism as a conception of global justice) to answer the question: What does a moderate cosmopolitan view entail in practice?
Gar Hirshberg, *Georgetown University*
 gh2@ggeorgetown.edu
- 1:26 **Defending Cosmopolitan Theory against a Global Civil Society Approach to Associations**
 Global civil society theories extend ideas from domestic civil society to the global level. Differences between domestic and global conditions are too great. Cosmopolitan theory is needed to properly evaluate the impact of international associations.
Bettina Scholz, *Saschell College*
 bscholz@saschell.edu
- 1:38 **The Incoherence of Liberal Cosmopolitanism**
 In this paper I contend that liberal cosmopolitanism, as espoused by some of its most prominent advocates, is incoherent. By liberal cosmopolitanism I have in mind that form of moral cosmopolitanism that is based on normative individualism.
Jorge Manuel Valadez, *Our Lady of the Lake University*
 jvaladez@lake.ollusa.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.**, **Elisabeth Ellis**, *Texas A&M University*
 ellis@politics.tamu.edu
Disc., **Lars P. Reensmaam**, *University of Michigan*
 reensmaam@umich.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 37-9 **Spatial Models**
 Room 12:45
 Chair, **Elizabeth Maggill Penn**, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 penn@wustl.edu
- 12:50 **An Extension of May's Theorem on Simple Majority Rule**
 This research uses the Hahn-Banach Theorem to generalize May's Theorem on SMR to models of spatial competition. The results describe a structure for locating a voting median, pivot voting, and voting correspondences in theories of social choice.
Allen B. Brierly, *University of Northern Iowa*
 AB.Brierly@uni.edu
- 1:05 **Testing Proposer and Voter Rationality**
 I derive necessary and sufficient conditions in order for proposals and voting data to refute the hypothesis that proposers optimize, that voters vote according to an unobserved utility function, and that each utility function is strictly concave.
Anastassios Kalandrakis, *University of Rochester*
 akalandr@gmail.rochester.edu
- 1:20 **Lower Politics: A Model of Opposition and Counter-Opposition**
 We present a new formal model of opposition and counter-opposition behavior in legislative politics.
Burt L. Monroe, *Pennsylvania State University*
 burtonmonroe@psu.edu
Elhan Taubov, *Pennsylvania State University*
 taubov@psu.edu
- 1:35 **On the Possibility of a Wealthy Median Voter**
 This paper presents a formal model of redistributive taxation where the representative of the wealthy population is pivotal when deciding how to redistribute public resources between primary and tertiary education in Latin America.
Christian Ponce De Leon, *University of Chicago*
 cponce@uchicago.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.**, **Jean Guillaume Farnaud**, *University of Rochester/University of Waterloo*
 jgfarnaud@yahoo.ca
Disc., **Stuart V. Jordan**, *University of Rochester*
 sjordan@gmail.rochester.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 38-100 **Graduate Methods Training in the Potential Outcomes Era: Moving Beyond Regression?**
 Room Hancock Parker on the 6th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 Leading voices in methodology advocate reducing the role of regression in causal inference, but such models remain the core of graduate methods training. We consider ways to move beyond this, but also reasons why the status quo might be defensible.
Chair, **Jason Seawright**, *Northwestern University*
 j-seawright@northwestern.edu
Panelist, **Jake Bowers**, *University of Illinois*
 jrbowers@illinois.edu
Michael Alvarez, *California Institute of Technology*
 rma@hss.caltech.edu
Simon Jackman, *Stanford University*
 jechman@stanford.edu
- 40-12 **Legislators and Presidential Politics**
 Room 12:45
 Chair, **Robert C. Boatright**, *Clark University*
 rboatright@clarku.edu
- 12:50 **Independent-minded or Opportunist? Perceptions of Arlen Specter and His Party Switch**
 We explore perceptions of Arlen Specter and his party switch by using original survey data gathered from residents of Pennsylvania's 1st congressional district. This data will help us better understand citizens' responses to party switching.
Kevin Andrew Evans, *University of California, Davis*
 keevans@ucdavis.edu
Rolf D. Peterson, *University of California, Davis*
 rdpeterson@ucdavis.edu
Nathan Hadley, *University of California, Davis*
 njhadley@ucdavis.edu
- 1:10 **Understanding Anticipation and Reaction: When, How and Why Do Established Parties Change Their Policy Priorities in Response to the Entry of a New Political Party Into the Political Arena?**
 This paper attempts to find out, when, to what extent and under what conditions established parties change their policy priorities in response to the (expected) entry of new political parties into the political arena.
Simon Ojeda, *Leiden University*
 sojeda@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
- 1:30 **Disc.**, **Daniel Rubenson**, *Ryerson University*
 rubenson@ryerson.ca
- 1:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 41-5 **Unilateral Presidential Action and Influence**
 Room 12:45
 Chair, **Scott Aluworath**, *University of Georgia*
 salsuworath@uga.edu
- 12:50 **Presidential Discretion and the Power to Proclaim: Unilateral Politics as Delegation**
 Unilateral power suggests that presidents act alone. How then can we reconcile the literature on unilateral action with that of delegated powers? Presidential directives are neither the exclusive outcome of delegated discretion or unilateral action.
Michelle H. Beitz, *University of Houston*
 mbeitz@centralink.net
Broaden Ruttingham, *University of Houston*
 brjrottingham@uh.edu

- 1:02** **Aiding and Abetting the President: Agency Responsiveness to Presidential Electoral Interests**
By using data on all federal grants for 1996-2008, this paper demonstrates that the location of an agency inside the executive branch and politicization influence the president's ability to target federal funds to electorally important states.
John Hudak, *Vanderbilt University*
john.hudak@vanderbilt.edu
- 1:14** **Separated We Govern: Congressional Policymaking and Executive Orders**
In this paper I argue that executive orders generally shift policy outcomes closer to the congressional majority's preference rather than further from it. I introduce two new datasets including coding "significant" orders' legislative history.
Richard Brian Law, *University of California, Los Angeles*
rbclaw@gmail.com
- 1:26** **Headlining Presidential Power: New York Times Front-Page Coverage of Executive Orders, 1946-Present**
Based on a content and textual analysis of all front-page stories in the New York Times from 1946 through the present, this paper examines news framing of executive orders.
Mark Majar, *Rutgers University*
majarmgn@gmail.com
- 1:38** **Executive Orders and Their Formulation: Personnel or Command?**
Where do executive orders come from? This preliminary study of the provenance of executive orders uses archival data to argue that well-known directives are frequently a less-than-perfect representation of presidential preferences.
Andrew C. Rudalevige, *Dickinson College*
rudalevige@dickinson.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Matthew N. Beckmann, *University of California, Irvine***
beckmann@uci.edu
- Disc., Adam L. Warber, *Clemson University***
awarber@clemson.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 42-102** **Recent Developments in the Senate**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
This roundtable will focus on both recent developments in the political science literature as well as the recent developments in the politics of the Senate.
- Chair** Valerie Helmbusen, *Congressional Research Service*
vhelmbusen@crs.loc.gov
- Panelist** Jason M. Roberts, *University of North Carolina*
jroberts@unc.edu
Wendy J. Schiller, *Brown University*
wendy_schiller@brown.edu
Gregory Koger, *University of Miami*
gregory.koger@gmail.com
Chris Dea Hartog, *California Polytechnic State University*
cdentert@calpoly.edu
- 45-15** **Issues, Dynamics, and the U.S. Supreme Court**
Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
- 12:45** **Chair, Christopher J. Zorn, *Pennsylvania State University***
zorn@psu.edu
- 12:50** **Justice Stevens's Puzzle: Who Has Changed, He or the Court?**
To answer Justice Stevens's puzzle about whether he or the court has changed over time, I evaluate the ideological composition of the Supreme Court and its possible change since 1975.
Jonathan Ewn, *University of Texas, Austin*
silverbell@mail.utexas.edu
- 1:02** **The Bushes Become Barrios: Percolation and Spillover Within the U.S. Supreme Court's Agenda**
Examines the change in the composition of the Supreme Court's dockets across time.
Marcus E. Henderson, *University of Florida*
mhender@ufl.edu
Richard L. Pacelle, *Georgia Southern University*
rpacelle@georgiasouthern.edu
Drew N. Lanier, *University of Central Florida*
dlanier@mail.ucf.edu
Mark S. Hovvitz, *Western Michigan University*
mark.hovvitz@wmich.edu
- 1:14** **Network Analysis Reveals the Structural Position of the Foreign Law in the Early Jurisprudence of the United States Supreme Court**
We provide both descriptive data regarding the magnitude of citation to foreign sources and use the tools of network science to measure the "structural importance" of these foreign law-infused decisions.
Daniel Martin Katz, *University of Michigan*
dmkatz@umich.edu
Eric Provins, *University of Michigan*
eprovinc@umich.edu
Michael Bonmarito, *University of Michigan*
michael.bonmarito@gmail.com
- 1:02** **Another Tool in the Party Toolbox? Tracing the Strategic Expansion and Contraction of Committee Size in the U.S. House, 1947-2010**
We analyze change in committee sizes from the 80th to the 111th Congresses and assess whether setting size is a strategic tool for the majority party leadership. The 104th Congress marks a structural shift in an otherwise expanding committee system.
Dandel Lee, *Michigan State University*
leedan@msu.edu
Michael C. Brady, *Denison University*
brady@denison.edu
- 1:30** **Do Legislators Pay for Their Committee Assignments?**
We conduct a causal analysis of the effect of promotions in committee assignments on legislators' responsiveness to party influence.
William Mrazek, *Ohio State University*
mrazek.1@osu.edu
Matthew P. Hitt, *Ohio State University*
hitt.23@osu.edu

- 1:26** **The Consequences of Subject-matter Expertise in the United States Supreme Court**
What is the value of substantive expertise in the behavior exhibited by justices behind closed doors? We argue that expertise matters in how justices make decisions and structure opinions and allows justices to define the dominant political agenda.
Isaac J. Umob, *University of North Carolina*
umob@unc.edu
- 1:30** **Judicial Attention to Policy Content on the Supreme Court, 1947-2006**
Using data on the issue content of cases denied and granted certiorari, I show that the Court allocates a disproportionate share of attention to particular policy areas and shifts in judicial attention coincide with those in congressional attention.
Michelle Whyman, *University of Texas*
michellewhyman@gmail.com
- 1:50** **Disc., Paul M. Collins, University of North Texas**
pmcollins@unt.edu
Disc., Christopher J. Zorn, Pennsylvania State University
zorn@psu.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 45-100 Room** **The Obama Judiciary: ALMIDWAY**
Wilson on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
This roundtable will offer an analysis of the processes, behavior and outcomes of federal judicial selection and the nature of advice and consensus relationships during the first half of the Obama presidency.
Chair: Elliot E. Slotnick, *Ohio State University*
slotnick.1@osu.edu
Panelist: Jayme Farrier, *University of Louisville*
j.farrier@louisville.edu
Lisa M. Holmes, *University of Vermont*
Lisa.M.Holmes@uvm.edu
Stephen L. Wasby, *SUNY Albany*
wasb@albany.edu
Sheldon Goldman, *University of Massachusetts*
sheldon.goldman@polsci.umass.edu
- 46-8 Room** **Parties and Partisanship in the U.S. States**
Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair: Jennifer Wolak, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
wolakj@colorado.edu
- 12:50** **Partisan Polarization: A View from the N.C. General Assembly**
This work examines polarization within the N.C. Legislature. Building on the work of Lee (2008) the extent to which polarization varies by issue domain is also examined. Finally, we survey NC legislators' attitudes regarding polarization.
Phillip Ardoin, *Appalachian State University*
ardoinpj@appstate.edu
Daniel J. Villanova, *Appalachian State University*
villanovadj@appstate.edu
- 1:00** **Party Aggregation and Political Consolidation in the American States**
This paper uses the American states as a testing ground for Chubb and Kolman's party aggregation thesis. We argue here that fiscal centralization within the states led to the decline in the effective number of state level parties over time
James Bedricks, *Rice University*
jhb4@rice.edu
Jaclyn Julene Kettler, *Rice University*
jaclyn.kettler@gmail.com
- 1:14** **Measuring State Party Organizational Strength**
Using data on state party central committees, this paper discusses and tests alternative ways to measure differences in party organization activities and organization
Andrea McAfee, *University of South Carolina*
mcafee@mcfeebox.sc.edu
- 1:26** **Re-evaluating Two Measures of Inter-Party Competition in the American States**
We revisit and evaluate the relationship between Ranney's (1965, 1976) measure of partisan composition of state legislature and governor's office and Holtzback & Van Duren's (1993) measure of the competitiveness of state legislative elections.
Gregory Scharf, *University of Notre Dame*
gscharf4@nd.edu
Patrick Flavin, *Baylor University*
Patrick_F_Flavin@baylor.edu
- 1:38** **Race and Political Party: Coalitions and Roll Call Voting in the State Legislatures**
We examine the importance of race on party strength and roll call voting within the state legislatures. Specifically, we use roll calls from 1999-2000 and 2003-2004 to examine party unity and voting cohesion.
Jonathan Winburn, *University of Mississippi*
jwinburn@olemiss.edu
Jan M. Sullivan, *Louisiana State University*
jmsullivan@lsu.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Margaret R. Ferguson, Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis**
mfergusa@iupui.edu
Disc., Jonathan Winburn, University of Mississippi
jwinburn@olemiss.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 47-4 Room** **Climate Change Policy at the Local Level**
Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chair: Alex Whalley, *University of California, Merced*
awhalley@ucmerced.edu
- 12:50** **Partisanship, Preferences, and Vulnerability to Climate Change**
We examine the relationship between local government partisanship and cities' approaches to climate change adaptation.
Elizabeth R. Gerber, *University of Michigan*
ergerber@umich.edu
Daniel Jacob Hopkins, *Georgetown University*
dhopkins@iq.harvard.edu
- 1:00** **Who Teaches and Who Learns? Policy Learning Through C40 Cities Climate Networks**
This study examines the network attributes of policy learning in C40 Cities Climate network. By using the exponential random graph model in social network analysis, this study expects to reveal the determinants of learning in international network.
Tszlong Lee, *City University of Hong Kong*
tszlong.lee@cityu.edu.hk
Susan Van de Meete, *City University of Hong Kong*
suean.vandemeete@cityu.edu.hk
- 1:20** **Who's Next? Evaluating Spatial and Temporal Variation in Cities' Participation in Voluntary Climate Change Initiatives in the Midwest**
In this paper, I look at the Mayors Climate Protection Agreement (MCPA), one of the largest initiatives and explain the spatial and temporal variation in signatory behavior in cities over 10,000 inhabitants in 7 Midwestern states.
Lena Maria Schaffer, *ETH Zurich*
lena.schaffer@in.gess.ethz.ch
- 1:35** **Where Others Fail, Municipalities Prevail: An Analysis of Collective Municipal Action on Climate Change in the United States and Canada**
Over the past two decades, municipalities in North America have emerged as key actors in the field of climate change. This paper examines how factors such as policy networks and trans-border cooperation inform collective municipal action.
Ajay Sharma, *University of Western Ontario*
asharma4@uwo.ca

1:50 **Disc., Eric S. Zeemerling, San Francisco State University**
zeeem@sfssu.edu
2:10 Audience Discussion

48-4 **The Financial Crisis and Globalization**
Room LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Tingjin Lin, Nanyang Technological University**
liangjin@gmail.com

12:50 **The Origins of Quantitative Easing and the Rediscovery of Keynes: The Comparative Policy Response to the 2008 Crash and Crisis**
This paper explores the origins of quantitative easing as a technique of monetary policy and its integration with Keynesian approaches to fiscal policy which emerged as the consensus position on appropriate policy after the 2008 financial crash.
Harald R. Chornoy, Concordia University Montreal
hchornoy36@gmail.com

1:10 **The Quality of Bureaucracy and Monetary Policy**
This article suggests that the Quality of Bureaucracy is one of the new variables for stable monetary policy after globalization. This paper argues that the central bank's independence could not ensure domestic price stability after globalization.
Sang Woo Lee, Korea University
woolstar@gmail.com

1:30 **Risk, Regulation, and Financial Crisis: Comparing National Responses to Dynamic Regulation**
This paper utilizes grid-group cultural theory to illustrate changing patterns in argumentation about the financial crisis in three countries, the U.S., the UK and Germany. It demonstrates similarities and similar changes over time.
Martin Lodge, London School of Economics
m.lodge@lse.ac.uk

1:50 **Disc., Darryl Stuart Jarvis, National University of Singapore**
darryl.j Jarvis@nus.edu.sg
2:10 Audience Discussion

49-12 **Issues in Health Administration**
Rooms Indiana on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Cheryl L. Brown, University of North Carolina, Charlotte**
cbrown@uncc.edu

12:50 **The Federal Design of Health Reform in 2009-2010: A Case Study of Intergovernmental Relations**
This paper examines delegation to state and national actors, or the federal design of authority, as crucial to negotiations in the Senate and the House in health reform using archival review, coding of relevant bills and elite interviews.
Patricia Chesser McCann, University of Michigan
pccann@umich.edu

1:02 **Control Mechanisms and Deployed Electronic Medical Record Policy Compliance: A Principal-Agent Perspective**
Study analyzes drivers of compliance with required electronic medical record (EMR) by hospital clinicians completing the records for deployed service members. This study examines compliance as an outcome of principal-agent (PA) relationships.
Mark Mellott, Cleveland University
mellott@cleveland.edu

1:14 **A Seat at the Table: A Qualitative Analysis of State High-Risk Pool Governing Boards**
This paper will present a qualitative analysis of the meeting minutes and agendas of the governing boards of several state high-risk health insurance pools to assess the roles played by board members appointed to represent specific groups.
Nathan Myers, Indiana State University
nathan.myers@indstate.edu
M. Emilio Joaquín, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
emaz.joaquin@unlv.edu

1:26 **Mechanism Design: Efficient Provision of Universal Health Care**
This paper approaches the issue of health care coverage using economics, exploring advantages and disadvantages of private and public provision to address what mechanism would be most efficient in providing universal coverage.
Katri Sieberg, University of Tampere
katri.sieberg@uta.fi
Olya Shvetsova, SUNY, Binghamton
shvetsova@gmail.com

2:10 **Perverse and Rationality in U.S. Health Policy: The Case of Evidence-Based Medicine**
This paper will depict the rise of evidence-based medicine in the context of U.S. health policy and politics and consider its relevance to policies such as the funding of comparative effectiveness research and value-based purchasing under Medicare.
Sandra J. Tanenbaum, Ohio State University
stannenba1@osu.edu

1:50 **Disc., Thomas R. Oliver, University of Wisconsin, Madison**
troliver@wisc.edu
2:10 Audience Discussion

50-12 **Addressing Fiscal Challenges in the U.S. States**
Price on the 3th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Michael J. New, University of Alabama**
mnew@uab.edu

12:50 **Dynamic Patterns of Policy Reinvention: Medicaid Managed Care Programs**
This paper investigates dynamic patterns of policy reinvention using the case of state adoption of Medicaid managed care programs.
As-Sook Kim, Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
kimas@ipfw.edu

1:05 **Facing a Deficit? The Political Dynamics of Public Pension Underfunding Within and Across the American States**
The underfunding of public pension obligations is a serious issue in policy debates. Here, we explore why some states underfund pension obligations while others fully fund pensions. We answer with a theory of political budgetary decision-making.
Srinivas Parthasarathi, University of Michigan
sparinas@umich.edu
David Cottrell, University of Michigan
dcott@umich.edu

1:20 **Medicaid's Coverage of Low-Income Women and Children**
This paper looks at the growth in Medicaid coverage for low-income families. The results indicate that Medicaid expansions are tied to reductions in welfare caseloads, work regulations, eligibility decisions, and state socioeconomic conditions.
Sandra K. Schneider, Michigan State University
sksc@msu.edu
Rebecca Bramley-Trujillo, Michigan State University
bramley4@msu.edu

1:34 **The Politics of Public Employee Pension Funding**
State political variables have been linked to numerous policy outputs, but not explicitly to the financing of public employee pensions. Evidence suggests ideology and perhaps partisanship play a role.
Michael Thom, Michigan State University
mthom@msu.edu

1:50 **Disc., Jolly Ann Emrey, University of Wisconsin, Whitewater**
emreyj@uw.edu
2:10 Audience Discussion

- 51-6 Interest Group Influence on Policy Making**
 Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Kemi Fountas-George, *College of William and Mary*
 kfountas@wv.edu
 12:50 **In Between the Public and the Private: The Influence of Interest Groups on Air Quality Policies in Mexico City**
 To measure the influence of interest groups on policy is rather complex. Assuming a group is as influential as its ability to solve collective action issues, we calculate an 'index of influence capability' for business interest groups in Mexico City.
 Maria Ofelia Casarcho Garcia, *El Colegio de Mexico*
 mcasarcho@colmex.mx
- 1:05 **The Influence of Stakeholders on Policy-Making Decisions for the Regulation of Genetically Modified Maize: The Mexican Case**
 In order to determine the mechanisms by which actors have been able to assert their ideas and interests on the policy making process for the regulation of genetically modified maize in Mexico, this paper examines key aspects of the power theory.
 Libertad Castro, *El Colegio de Mexico*
 lcastro@colmex.mx
- 1:30 **Interest Group Representation on the Regional Fisheries Management Councils**
 Commercial fishing interests dominate the 8 US Regional Fisheries Management Councils, but it is not clear how this affects policy. Using roll call data, I show that council members' votes are best seen as a product of geography, not interest group.
 Robert A. Holahan, *Indiana University*
 rholaha@indiana.edu
- 1:35 **Exploring the Arctic: Environmental NGOs and the Politics of Protection**
 I consider how environmental groups, concentrating on the WWF, work within the US and Canada to accomplish their vision for the Arctic.
 Elizabeth Nyman, *Florida State University*
 ean05d@fsu.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Adam Van Liere, *University of North Carolina, Greensboro*
 mvvanlie@uncg.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 52-7 Principals and Agents**
 Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Shigeo Hirano, *Columbia University*
 sh143@columbia.edu
- 12:50 **Legislative Term Limits and Bureaucratic Oversight: Shifting Influence in the Legislative-Executive-Administrative Arena**
 This research explores the impact of some legislative term limits on administrative oversight and control using data from the American State Administrators Project (1988-2008).
 Cynthia J. Bowley, *Auburn University*
 bowley@auburn.edu
 Doug Goodman, *University of Texas, Dallas*
 doug.goodman@utdallas.edu
- 1:10 **Judicial Subject Matter Expertise and Agency Theory**
 Utilizing a database of administrative appeals in patent cases, we examine the relevance of agency theory to the oversight capacity of judges on specialized courts. We expect judges with prior expertise to be especially vigilant in their oversight.
 Trenton J. Davis, *Georgia Southern University*
 tjdavis@georgiasouthern.edu
 Bante Prescott Miller, *University of Texas, Dallas*
 millerbp@utdallas.edu
 Brett W. Curry, *Georgia Southern University*
 bcurry@georgiasouthern.edu
- 1:50 **Does Agency Autonomy Foster Public Participation?**
 The paper examines whether agencies with higher degree of autonomy are more likely to seek public participation when making administrative decisions. To answer the question, we study the practices of seeking citizen input in four state agencies.
 Milena I. Neshkova, *Florida International University*
 mneshkov@fiu.edu
 Hai David Guo, *Florida International University*
 hguo@fiu.edu
- 1:50 Disc., David C. Nixon, *University of Hawaii*
 dnixon@hawaii.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 53-8 Politics of Budgeting in State and Local Government**
 Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Douglas R. Snow, *Suffolk University*
 dsnow@suffolk.edu
- 12:50 **Participatory Budgeting in the United States: A Look at Chicago's 49th Ward Infrastructure Redesign Process**
 This paper traces the adoption, implementation, and first-year process of participatory budgeting of infrastructure funds in the 49th Ward of Chicago and the resulting participation level in one of the United States' most diverse neighborhoods.
 Genia L. Miller, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
 gmiller2@uic.edu
 Ethan Choi, *DePaul University*
 echoi33@yahoo.com
- 1:10 **Stabilization Fund Politics in Massachusetts**
 This paper builds on our three previously published articles on stabilization funds by including re-specified regression models that include the proportion of "yes" votes for statewide anti-tax measures.
 Douglas R. Snow, *Suffolk University*
 dsnow@suffolk.edu
- 1:30 **Performance-Based Budgeting: A Case Study About the Effects of Performance Measures on the Agency Budgeting Process in West Virginia State Government**
 In this paper, an empirical research study about the effects of performance-based budgeting on agency budget allocation decisions has been introduced.
 Nisa Yanki Aydemir, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
 nisa_yanki@hotmail.com
- 1:50 Disc., Rebecca Hendrick, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
 hendrick@uic.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 53-14 Exercising Discretion in Local Level Policy Implementation**
 Room Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Nathaniel Wright, *University of Kentucky*
 nwright@ku.edu
- 12:50 **Cyber Security Policy-making at the Local Government Level: An Analysis of Threats, Preparedness, and Bureaucratic Readiness to Succeed**
 The research analyzes the perceptions of local government officials regarding the severity of specific types of cyber security threats; factors that encourage preparedness; and the identification of internal and external barriers to readiness.
 Kihl Carmon, *University of South Florida*
 kcarmon@usf.edu
 Susan A. Macmanus, *University of South Florida*
 samacmanus@aol.com
 Brian D McPhee, *Honors College University of South Florida*
 bmcphoe@mail.usf.edu
- 1:02 **Another Trip to the Firing Range: Testing the Target Model of Discretion in Local Law Enforcement Agencies**
 This paper explores a new model of discretionary choice in public service.

- T. Casey LaFrance, *Western Illinois University*
tc-lafrance@wiu.edu
Drs. Charles M. Placke, *Western Illinois University*
cm-placke@wiu.edu
- 1:14 **A New Perspective: Environmental Policy and Regulation on the Front-Line**
Our research project highlights the significant role that front-line regulators play in the implementation of environmental policy.
Michelle Puritz, *University of Dayton*
michelle.puritz@uodacs.udayton.edu
Sara Rinfret, *University of Wisconsin, Green Bay*
sarfret@uwgb.edu
- 1:26 **Local Governments as First (and Last) Defenders: Law Enforcement Battles Ten Years after 9/11**
How do local law enforcement agencies view their regional federal counterparts in the War on Terror ten years out? I revisit a 2003 survey of the top 50 metro police departments to see whether "structural problems" have been addressed.
Jill L. Tso, *University of Hawaii, Honoa*
jilltso@hawaii.edu
- 1:38 **Street-Level Bureaucrats as Individual Policy-Makers: Effects on Policy Outputs and Outcomes for Vulnerable Children and Youth**
We study the role of street-level bureaucrats' attitudes on clients and workload for their use of coping strategies and the consequences for client outcomes. The study is based on surveys of Danish caseworkers and their vulnerable children cases.
Søren C. Wulter, *Danish National Research Centre for Social Policy*
scw@nsf.dk
Siddhartha Baviskar, *Danish National Centre for Social Research*
sb@nsf.dk
- 1:50 **Disc., Vibeke Lehmann Nielsen, Aarhus University**
vln@ps.au.dk
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 96-9 **Religion, Political Attitudes, and Public Opinion**
Room
12:45 Burnham 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chafiq Mohammed Amjad, *Arcadia University*
m.amjad2008@yahoo.com
- 12:50 **Increasing Religious Group Affect in Culturally Diverse Social Policy Debates**
We analyze experimental cueing data from the Cooperative Congressional Election Study (CCES) to measure differential group affect among respondents, comparing religious and non-religious cueing effects on policy position taking among respondents.
Todd Adkins, *University of Notre Dame*
tadkins@nd.edu
Geoffrey Layman, *University of Notre Dame*
glayman@nd.edu
- 1:08 **Religion-State Arrangements and Attitudes Towards Democracy in the Islamic World: Theory and Evidence From North Africa**
This paper explores the impact of variations in the design of religion-state institutions on political attitudes towards democracy in the Middle East and North Africa.
Michael D. Bratton, *University of Notre Dame*
mbratton@nd.edu
- 1:20 **Religious vs. Partisan Heuristics: The Effect of Elite Cues on Policy Opinions**
In this paper, I experimentally manipulate elite cues regarding global warming policy. Specifically, I provide either a partisan or religious attribution to an argument for cap and trade policy to religious and non-religious individuals.
Benjamin Gaskins, *Florida State University*
bgaskins@fsu.edu
- 1:38 **Evolution, Religion, Personality, and Politics: A Model of an American Mass Belief System**
Analyzes data from a 2008 national survey measuring beliefs and knowledge about human evolution, as well as religiosity, Right Wing Authoritarianism, and political orientations.
Stephen Mockabee, *University of Cincinnati*
Stephen.Mockabee@uc.edu
Mitsook Gwon, *University of Cincinnati*
gwmoo@gmail.com
George Franklin Bishop, *University of Cincinnati*
George.Bishop@uc.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Katherine E. Knutson, Gustavus Adolphus College**
knutson@gustavus.edu
Disc., Stephen Mockabee, *University of Cincinnati*
Stephen.Mockabee@uc.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 57-2 **Teaching Political Science through Unconventional Media**
Room
12:45 Ashland on the 3rd floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Chad, Dan M. Krebs, *Lansing Community College*
dkrebs@lcc.edu
- 12:50 **Global Threat: Teaching War with Comics**
Comic books and graphic novels are a valuable, but rarely used, addition to courses in international security. This paper discusses the breadth of security-related comics and how they can be incorporated into a security syllabus.
Jason Brozek, *Lawrence University*
jason.brozek@lawrence.edu
- 1:05 **Let's Watch a Movie: Using Film and Film Theory to Teach Theories of International Politics from a Critical Perspective**
I explain the benefits of incorporating films and insights from post-structuralist theory in film studies to teach IR theory critically. I exemplify with "Dr Strangelove", "Paradise Now", and "Syriana".
Lucretia Garcia Jarama, *University of Notre Dame*
lgarcia@nd.edu
- 1:20 **Hipsters, Feminists and NeoCons: Learning About Politics through The Big Lebowski**
Students in Introductory American Government courses often find politics irrelevant to their daily lives. This paper explores a semester-long curriculum that uses the cult film The Big Lebowski to explore political concepts.
J. Wesley Leckrone, *Widener University*
jwleckrone@mail.widener.edu
- 1:35 **To Be a Rock and Not to Roll: Promoting Political Literacy Through Music and Mixtapes**
This project focuses on the relationship between music and politics by asking students to create political mixtapes and by writing liner notes for each song that explain how the song is political and how it speaks to them.
Shyam Krishna Srinam, *Georgia Perimeter College*
ssrinam@gpc.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Dan M. Krebs, Lansing Community College**
dkrebs@lcc.edu
Disc., William J. Miller, *Sophomore, Missouri State University*
wmiller@msu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 61-201 **International Relations Posters II**
Room
Post. 1 Grand on the 4th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
Japanese Foreign Policy Decision-making Model Studies
The author served as a foreign policy assistant to the Prime Ministers and Ministers of State for almost 10 years. Based on her experience, she will focus on how the Japanese foreign policies were made and decision-making models will be studied.
Yukiko Amakawa, *Tokyo University*
yukiko.amakawa@gmail.com

- Post. 2** **Authority Beyond the State: A Modern Application of Just War's Clause Sovereign Authority Principle**
Using the standards of authority espoused by Augustine and Aquinas, this paper will attempt to build a framework for further debate in an effort to update the Just War tradition to meet changes in international relations.
Luke Campbell, *University of Missouri, Kansas City*
lukecampbell@gmail.com
- Post. 3** **When Do Buffer States Die?**
Buffers are weak states located between great powers. When do they die? In contrast to claims in the latest literature, I argue that buffer states die when great powers cooperate to divide them, or a power imbalance appears among the great powers.
Bernard Ki Seong Choi, *University of Chicago*
bchoi@uchicago.edu
- Post. 4** **Punctuated Decision: A New Model of Presidential Foreign Policy-making**
This paper examines the presidential doctrines of Presidents Clinton and Bush, 1993-2009 in theorizing a punctuated equilibrium model of presidential foreign policy-making through presidential doctrines.
Adam Christopher Cox, *Northern Illinois University*
acox6@niu.edu
- Post. 5** **Changing Material Contexts and Executive Hyper Concentrations of Authority in the Nuclear Age**
Considering Daniel Drezner's arguments in *Bounding Power*, this paper analyzes his claims about hyper concentrations of power stimulated by material contextual developments in the context of US state strengthening during the cold war.
Ryan Phillip Fried, *Johns Hopkins University*
rfried4@jhu.edu
- Post. 6** **End Effects Patterns in International Policy Diffusion**
A study of patterns in the diffusion of four policies: women's suffrage, anti-slavery laws, child labor laws, and the Kyoto Protocol.
Rebecca Louise Funke, *Utah State University*
rlfunke@gmail.com
- Post. 7** **Embrace Your Enemy: China and Taiwan's Cooperation in Sovereignty Claims on the Diaoyu/Senkaku Islands**
This paper looks at the policy convergence between China and Taiwan over the sovereignty claim on Diaoyu/Senkaku Islands, despite their divergent institutional and ideological foundations and their lingering antagonism toward each other.
Erze Han, *Princeton University*
erzehmb@gmail.com
Huan-Kai Tseng, *George Washington University*
hkteng@gmail.com
- Post. 8** **The Role of Media and NGOs in Directing Foreign Policy Attention**
How do mass media and NGOs influence governmental attention to foreign policy issues in Belgium?
Jeroen Joly, *Universiteit Antwerpen*
jeroen.joly@ua.ac.be
- Post. 9** **Security Governance at the Regional Level: What does the USA want to do in Asia?**
This brief paper will try to analyse the impact of power relations (hegemonic stability, hegemonic decline, great power consent or order-making processes) on regional security, especially by focusing on US policies in Asia.
Václav Kořunka, *Višňová Technical University*
vskorunka@icloud.com
- Post. 10** **U.S. Aid as a Foreign Tool: Focusing on the Legislative Process of Making the Peace Corps**
U.S. aid is used as an essential arm of foreign policy. This paper examines the legislative process of making the Peace Corps in 1961 and 1962. By investigating the dynamics of the process, the real purpose of the program is clarified.
Seo-young Lee, *Eastern Illinois University*
jlovey@huamail.net
- Post. 11** **A Short History of the Twenty-Second Century**
This paper presents the results of the survey "Where is the World Heading? A Brief Survey of International Organizations," administered to 719 members of 59 international institutions during the summer of 2010.
Carl J. Laine, *San Diego Mesa College*
claine@sdmcc.edu
- Post. 12** **The Relationship between Central Banking Independence and the Onset of Civil Conflicts**
This study looks at the relationship between central banking independence and the onset of civil conflict.
Minh Viet Phap Mai, *NSF-REU Political Science*
maiuv@clarku.edu
- Post. 13** **Network Analysis of the International Relations Discipline: Citation Networks and the Interaction of Ideas in the Literature**
We analyze the network of IR citations in 12 academic journals from 1980 to 2006. We provide better measures of the "importance" of specific articles, the change overtime and extent to which different communities interact with one another.
Daniel Maliniak, *University of California, San Diego*
dmaliniak@ucsd.edu
Ryan M. Powers, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
rpowers@wisc.edu
- Post. 14** **Cyber Attacks and Target Nation Characteristics**
This research focuses on five years of international cyber attacks. Factors such as military spending, GDP, regime type, and internet use are tested to determine if these traits increase a state's likelihood of being targeted for a cyber attack.
Jacob A. Mauslein, *Kent State University*
mauslein@ksu.edu
- Post. 15** **Role Analysis, Behavior and China's Participation in International Regimes**
The paper unfolds the logic of China's behavior in international regimes and argues that when China's role expectation from regimes and role conception are compatible, it can successfully role position. Otherwise, it will face role conflict.
Ming Gang Peng, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
pengminggang@gmail.com
- Post. 16** **How European Political Elites Conceptualize the European Union as an International Society: The Case of Romania**
The study uses modern Romania as a case study. There has been little research completed at the regional level on international societies and no empirical studies on the way elites think about international society and international societal norms.
Adrian Seagle, *Virginia Tech University*
aseagle@vt.edu
- Post. 17** **So Near Yet So Far: ROK-Japan Security Cooperation**
It shows a relation between three image types mixed in the perception of South Korean citizens and their impacts on closer security ties between Japan and South Korea.
Jung Taek Seo, *Florida International University*
jseo002@fiu.edu
Adrian U-Jin Ang, *Florida International University*
adrian.ang@fiu.edu
- Post. 18** **Gender and Domestic Terrorism: What Empowerment Can Tell us About a State's Propensity Towards Political Violence**
The goal is to expand the understanding of role of genders in other, more specific forms of political violence. The central research question asked is: Does gender empowerment and the security of women influence the use of terrorist violence?
Caroline A. Simpson, *Kent State University*
cas267@ksu.edu
- Post. 19** **What Can our Literature Tell Us About East Africa?: East African Free Trade and the Prospects of Future Liberalization**
We juxtapose the literature on free and preferential trade agreements against the existence and early successes of the East African Communities (EAC) free trade agreement.

- Joe Welberg, *University of North Carolina*
 poljw@uncg.edu
 Martha Chascha, *University of Georgia*
 mchachsa@uga.edu
 Disc., Renato Corbetta, *University of Alabama, Birmingham*
 corbetta@uab.edu
 Disc., Wonjae Hwang, *University of Tennessee*
 hwangwon@utk.edu
 Disc., Molly Morning Melia, *Loyola University Chicago*
 mmelia@luc.edu
- 68-2 **Political Economy**
 Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Erin O'Brien, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
 erin.obrien@umb.edu
 12:50 **The Political Economy of Abortion**
 In this paper, I explore the impact of social welfare spending on abortion rates in industrialized countries.
 Amir Azarvan, *University of Vermont*
 azarvan@uvm.edu
- 1:02 **Political Capital and the American Politician**
 In the financialized American political system, the politician is a living, breathing commodity operating within webs of political capital.
 Jeffrey Daniel Braxmeyer, *Graduate Center, CUNY*
 jbraxmeyer@gc.cuny.edu
- 1:14 **Women's Movement, Government Partisanship and the Dynamics of Women's Policies: The Case of South Korea**
 This article analyzes the dynamics between the women's movement and government partisanship on women's policies from the 1900s to South Korea. It will contribute to understanding the changes of women's policies.
 Soo Hyun Kwon, *South Korea University*
 slowpanda@naver.com
- 1:26 **A Normative Argument for Social Democracy**
 This paper is a normative argument for social democracy in modern post-industrial countries. It argues that those who benefit from the strength of the economy owe a debt to those who are excluded for the sake of the strength of that economy.
 Darragh McNally, *University of Maryland*
 dmcnally@gvpt.umd.edu
- 1:38 **Constructing the Downtown's Victim: Deservedness, Division, and Collective Identity**
 This paper uses a longitudinal research design to examine discourse surrounding the economic recession. It hypothesizes that an evaluative discourse dividing the "new poor" and "old poor" helped prevent a cross-class collective political identity.
 Erin O'Brien, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
 erin.obrien@umb.edu
 Dan Finn, *University of Massachusetts*
 daniel.finn001@umb.edu
- 1:50 Disc., James R. Simmons, *University of Wisconsin*
 simmons@uwosh.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 71-4 **Mad Men: Political and Social Themes**
 Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Daniel Bruce Braxton, *Carroll University*
 dbraxton@carrollu.edu
 12:50 **Race Portrayals in "Mad Men"**
 To achieve authenticity for his show "Mad Men," producer Matt Weiner integrates the historical events during the time in which the series about advertising in the 1960s is set. This presentation examines how race is portrayed on the show.
 Rodney Andrew Carveth, *Fitchburg State University*
 rodcarveth@hotmail.com
- 1:02 **Do You Remember "The New Girl"? Peggy Olson, the Better Version of Don Draper in Mad Men**
 This paper explores the character of Peggy Olson on AMC's Mad Men. Olson arrived at her first day of work at Sterling Cooper in the very first episode of Mad Men. While much of the series centers on Don Draper, Olson's story runs parallel to Draper.
 Lilly J. Garza, *Carroll University*
 lgarza@carrollu.edu
- 1:14 **In This the Traditional American Family We've Been Hearing So Much About?: Marriage, Children, and Family Values in Mad Men**
 In this essay, we explore the dynamics of marriage and child-raising in Mad Men. The show's treatment of marriage and family starkly reveals the cost of "traditional" family values and the pathologies that its hegemony masks, or conceals.
 Joseph E. Lane, *Emory & Henry College*
 jhlane@ehc.edu
 Julia C. Wilson, *Emory & Henry College*
 jwilson@ehc.edu
- 1:26 **Who is Don Draper?: Mad Men and the Virtue of Selfishness**
 Don Draper's character on Mad Men appears to be Ayn Rand's heroic being sprung to life, but far from a pure celebration of Rand's objectivism, he demonstrates the danger of embracing selfishness as a virtue.
 Christie L. Maloyed, *University of Texas, Pan American*
 maloyedcl@utpa.edu
- 1:38 **Mad Men and Social Contract Theory**
 A discussion of the portrayal of character attitudes and decisions in the TV series Mad Men in relation to social contract theory.
 James B. South, *Marquette University*
 James.south@marquette.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Daniel Bruce Braxton, *Carroll University*
 dbraxton@carrollu.edu
 Disc., Kevin Guilfooy, *Carroll University*
 kguilfooy@carrollu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 72-2 **Observational and Experimental Studies of Interpersonal Networks**
 Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Fri at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Michael T. Hemeny, *University of Michigan*
 mhemeny@umich.edu
 12:50 **Care-Partisanship Secession in Evolving Prisoner's Dilemma Networks**
 Using laboratory experiments, we examine structural evolution of interaction networks in multiple agent PD games where exit option and partner selection are allowed. Our findings suggest a generative mechanism for the emergence of social capital.
 TK Ahn, *Seoul National University*
 tahn@snu.ac.kr
 Yankyu Sohn, *University of California, San Diego*
 ysohn@ucsd.edu
- 1:02 **Network Centrality as a Basis of Leadership in Games of Coordination and Cooperation**
 We theorize a set of conditions required for central players in a network to exert leadership in large-scale games of coordination and cooperation. We also run human-subject experiments, the results of which support our theory.
 Daniel Ebbertark, *University of California, San Diego*
 denemark@ucsd.edu
 Matthew D. McCubbins, *University of California, San Diego*
 mmccubbins@ucsd.edu
 Nicholas Waller, *University of Southern California*
 nwaller@usc.edu

- 1:14** **Social Networks, the Diffusion of Political Information, and Political Engagement in Facebook**
 We analyze the causal mechanisms underlying the relationship between social networks, the diffusion of political information, and political engagement using data collected by Facebook.
 James H. Fowler, *University of California, San Diego*
 jhfowler@ucsd.edu
 Jason J. Jones, *University of California, San Diego*
 jasonj@ucsd.edu
 Robert M. Bond, *University of California, San Diego*
 rbond@ucsd.edu
 Julian J. J. Sella, *University of California, San Diego*
 jsella@ucsd.edu
 Christopher J. Faries, *University of California, San Diego*
 cfj0006@gmail.com
 Yukym Solon, *University of California, San Diego*
 ysolon@ucsd.edu
- 1:26** **Political Disagreement and Decision-Making: Distinguishing Mechanisms of Social Influence**
 Using an experimental design that stimulates agreement/disagreement within a person's network before and/or after a campaign, we test the relationship between interpersonal disagreement and decision-making and parse out the mechanisms of influence.
 Scott D. McClurg, *Southern Illinois University*
 mcclurg@siu.edu
 Drew Seth, *Southern Illinois University, Carbondale*
 sethjd@siu.edu
 Anand Edward Solbhy, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 anand.solbhy@colorado.edu
- 1:38** **Examining Information Exchange in Political Networks**
 This paper models the social communication process with both individuals seeking out information, and discussants providing – sometimes unsolicited – information. The model is then compared to a small group experiment.
 John Barry Ryan, *Florida State University*
 jryan2@fsu.edu
 Michael Scott Wolford, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 scott.wolford@colorado.edu
 Anand Edward Solbhy, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 anand.solbhy@colorado.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Michael T. Heaney, University of Michigan**
 mheaney@umich.edu
Disc., David Lazer, Northeastern University
 davidlazer@gmail.com
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**

Friday, April 1 at 2:40 pm

1-106 How is President Obama Doing?
Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 President Obama's first years in office have been as controversial as they have been consequential. This roundtable of leading scholars will situate President Obama's record within the broader historical and political context in which he has served.
Chair David E. Lewis, *Vanderbilt University*
 david.e.lewis@vanderbilt.edu
Panelist Andrew C. Rudalevsk, *Dickinson College*
 rudaleva@dickinson.edu
 William G. Howell, *University of Chicago*
 howell@guchicago.edu
 George C. Edwards, *Texas A&M University*
 gedwards@tamu.edu

2-7 Reform and Retrenchment
Room Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Jingjing Huo, *University of Waterloo*
 jhuo@uwaterloo.ca
2:45 **Time, health policy development and change: The drug gap**
 Comparing Canada to the UK and Australia, the paper explains Canada's outlier status regarding pharmaceutical insurance and provides insight into the role of radical versus incremental reform in health policy development.
 Katherine Booth, *McMaster University*
 boothk@mcmaster.ca

3:05 Partisan Vote Players and Partisanship: The Effects of Differential Fragmentation in Western Western States
 The paper explores anomalies in veto player theory by uncovering an overlooked interaction between the partisan character of government and the degree of political fragmentation that has important consequences for the political economy of the West.
 Jason E. Jordan, *Florida State University*
 jordanje@colorado.edu

3:25 Austerity, Blame Avoidance, and the Politics of Partisan Retrenchment
 Using a statistical analysis of welfare state retrenchment in 18 countries for 1980-2002 the paper demonstrates the dual importance of political parties as well as the varying blame-avoidance opportunities offered by the political systems.
 Peter Bjerre Mortensen, *Aarhus University*
 peter@ps.au.dk
 Carsten Jensen, *Aarhus University*
 carsten@ps.au.dk

3:45 Dec., Jingjing Huo, *University of Waterloo*
 jhuo@uwaterloo.ca
4:05 Audience Discussion

3-4 Fiscal Economic Development
Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Keith Boeckelman, *Western Illinois University*
 KA-Boeckelma@wiu.edu
2:45 **Sustainable Microfinance in Practice: What are the Tradeoffs?**
 Microfinance organizations (MFOs) face pressure from donors to demonstrate cost recovery. Yet, MFOs often administer many small loans which raises costs, but reaches poorer clients. As MFOs work to cover costs, do they reduce their poverty focus?
 Trish Ann Gibson, *Louisiana University*
 tagibson@lsu.edu

3:57 The Dilemma of Aid: A Budgeting Perspective
 The purpose of this study is to investigate the problem of international aid based on a budgeting ground. The main question asked is whether aid has had any impacts on budget discipline of the recipient countries.
 Sampson Kibica, *Georgia State University*
 pskibica@gsu.edu

3:09 Protection for Tax Compliance: Trick or Treat?
 The author claims that tax compliance by domestic producers in economies with weak fiscal capacity can be achieved if protection from superior competitors is adopted. As a result obsolete firms can keep operating and rulers assure larger tax revenue.
 Didac Queralt, *New York University*
 didac.queralt@nyu.edu

3:21 Where is the Middle? Finding a Better Definition for the Middle Class
 This paper proposes a theoretical, property rights based, definition of the Middle Class. We submit this new and improved definition to allow for better measurement and future analysis of the role of the middle class in economic development.
 Itai Sened, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 itais@wustl.wustl.edu

3:33 The Targeting of Political Mobilization in Developing Countries: Evidence from Indian Elections
 This paper analyzes the effect political mobilization has on household calorie consumption. This approach is able to focus on the characteristics of the recipients of apparent political mobilization, which helps to better illustrate welfare effects.
 Stuart Alan Tindem, *Economic Research Service, USDA*
 stamodoo@ers.usda.gov

3:45 Dec., Richard W. Jeller, *Grand Valley State University*
 jeller@gvsu.edu
4:05 Audience Discussion

4-9 Institutions and Ethnic Conflict
Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Benjamin B. Smith, *University of Florida*
 bbsmith@polisci.ufl.edu

3:45 Ethnic Federation and Separatist Conflict: How Different Was Communism?
 This paper explores current explanations of separatist conflict inside and outside the former communist world. It finds that historical legacies of national identity are surprisingly important in both parts of the world.
 Benjamin B. Smith, *University of Florida*
 bbsmith@polisci.ufl.edu

3:05 Democracy, Identity, and Violence on India's (re)urgent Periphery
 Elections in India are seen as a force for democratic stability and ethnic moderation. However, elections on India's insurgent periphery do not have these pacifying effects. This paper studies how elections actually work amidst insurgency in India.
 Paul Staniland, *University of Chicago*
 paul@uchicago.edu

3:25 Institutional Environment or Political Inclusion? On Preventing Ethnic Conflict
 This paper shows that political institutions (democracy, parliamentarism, proportionalism) affect the likelihood of ethnic conflict only at the margin, while the underlying ethno-political distribution of power is consistently related to conflict.
 Andreas Wimmer, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 awimmer@ucla.edu
3:45 Dec., Tanja Ellingset, *Norwegian University of Science and Technology*
 tanja.ellingset@iuh.ntnu.no
4:05 Audience Discussion

4-30 Social Movements and Contentious Politics
Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Fadel Joseph Batty, *Western Michigan University*
 fdbatty@wmich.edu
2:45 **The Impact of Retinal Lesions on a Mammal's Ability to Sustain Mobilization in the Presence and Absence of Political**

- Discontinuities: A Comparative Study between the Student Movement and Women's Movement in Iran from 1997 to 2008**
This paper focuses on the relationship between the nature of a movement's reticulation structure and the movement's behavior. It explores specific connections between varied reticulation configurations and specific behaviors they are associated with.
Eliot Assouadeh, *University of Nevada, Reno*
eliot_assouadeh@yahoo.com
- 3:00 **Associational Activity and Political Efficacy for the Poor**
While participation in civil society organizations encourages political efficacy, these effects are not uniform. Contextual factors, such as corruption and the density of civil society, condition this relationship among marginalized groups.
Carew Elizabeth Boulding, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
carew.boulding@colorado.edu
Irfaa Nooruddin, *Ohio State University*
nooruddin.3@osu.edu
Jamil Nunez, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
nelsonjg@colorado.edu
- 3:15 **The Political Cost of Subsidy Cuts: Fuel Subsidies and Collective Protest in Latin America**
Recent volatility in fuel prices and cuts in government subsidies have led to widespread riots and demonstrations. This research examines the influence of subsidy cuts to fuel on collective protest in 17 Latin American countries from 1970 to 2008.
Christina Schatzman, *Arizona State University*
Schatzman@asu.edu
- 3:30 **Building Democracy from the Streets: The Positive Externalities of Protest Activity in Brazilian Municipalities**
This paper investigates the positive externalities of protest activity in new democracies. I argue that protest activity leads to changes in voting behavior in Brazil at the municipality level.
Casside L. Schwartz, *University of Pittsburgh*
cas157H@gmail.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Peter P. Houtzager, Institute of Development Studies**
p.houtzager@ids.ac.uk
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-15 **Influence from Abroad on Democratization**
Room
Creshall on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Chadr, Desha Mercedes Girod, *Georgetown University*
dgirod@stanford.edu
- 2:40 **Economic Liberalization and Democratization: The Conditional Effects of Preferential Trade Agreements and Regime Legacy**
Using a broad selection of countries, this paper will argue that the relationship between economic liberalization and democratization is conditioned by the existence and characteristics of Preferential Trade Agreements (PTA) and regime legacies.
Anella Carno Andrews, *Purdue University*
andrewa@purdue.edu
- 3:00 **Foreign Aid, Democratic Transition and Breakdowns**
In this paper I address three different assumptions about the impact of foreign aid inflows on democratic transitions and breakdowns and test them empirically with survival analysis on a worldwide sample of developing countries, 1973-2007.
Agnes Cornell, *University of Gothenburg*
agnes.cornell@puh.gu.se
- 3:15 **Globalization, Democratization, and Democratization: An Assessment of the IMF's Role in Authoritarian Breakdowns in Southeast Asia**
The present paper assesses the effect of the International Monetary Fund's conditionality policies during the Asian financial crisis of the late 1990s, arguing that the IMF's involvement contributed to authoritarian instability and regime change.
Goran Draguljic, *Temple University*
gdrag@temple.edu
- 3:30 **EU Involvement in the South Caucasus: Dynamics of Democratic Transition in Georgia and Azerbaijan**
The paper is analyzing the EU's involvement in the South Caucasus by elaborating the dynamics of democratic transition in Georgia and Azerbaijan in a comparative perspective.
Ramila Shafiqzadev, *Ghent University*
ramila.shafiqzadev@ugent.be
- 3:45 **Disc., Paulina Maria Pospieszna, University of Konstanz**
paulina.pospieszna@uni-konstanz.de
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-9 **Legislative Party Cohesion**
Room
Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Amie Kreppel, University of Florida**
kreppel@ces.ufl.edu
- 3:45 **Party Switching in Latin America**
We analyze the causes of party switching in L.A. Our results suggest that legislators switch parties when they can obtain short-run electoral benefits by doing so. Internal factors of legislative organization also have an impact on party switching.
Ignacio Arana, *University of Pittsburgh*
ign3@pitt.edu
Miguel Carreras, *University of Pittsburgh*
carreras_miguel@hotmail.com
Nestor Camillo Castaneda-Angarita, *University of Pittsburgh*
ccastaneda@gmail.com
- 3:57 **Measuring Party Influence in Mexico, 1997-20**
We measure party influence in the Mexican Congress analyzing roll-call votes of party switchers during the last four legislatures.
Francisco A. Cantu, *University of California, San Diego*
fcantu@ucsd.edu
Scott W. Desposata, *University of California, San Diego*
swd@ucsd.edu
- 3:09 **When Cartels Split: Roll Call Votes and Majority Factional Warfare in the Mexico City Assembly, 2006-09**
Procedural cartel theory expects majority party divisions in the floor to remain unobserved. Yet ideal points scaled with roll call votes in the Mexico City Assembly reveal a systematic factional split in the majority. Rules may explain the paradox.
Eric Magar, *Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México*
emagar@itam.mx
Rafael Ch Duran, *ITAM*
rafchduran@yahoo.com.mx
Martel Nino Melka, *University of Texas*
mayel_melka@hotmail.com
- 3:21 **Data and Software from the Legislative Speech Project**
Report on new data, methods, and software being developed and released, including parliamentary speech corpora and speech-derived measures of political topics, topical attention, group representation, partisan cohesion, and spatial locations.
Burt L. Moore, *Pennsylvania State University*
burlmoore@psu.edu
- 3:33 **Presidential Term Limit and Party Switching in South Korea**
This paper focuses on one of the neglected aspects in the literature on party switching: timing. It uses the case of South Korea to test the argument that when legislators expect fewer benefits from the government, defection becomes more likely.
Keisaku Nemoto, *University of British Columbia*
kenemoto1978@gmail.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Amie Kreppel, University of Florida**
kreppel@ces.ufl.edu
Disc., Irma Menéndez de Hoyos, *FLACSO, Mexico*
imende@flacso.edu.mx
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**

- 7-9 **Inequality and Comparative Political Behavior**
 Room Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Chung-Min Park, Korea University
 cmpark@korea.ac.kr
- 2:45 **Why Poor and Why Rich: Lessons from the Polish General Social Survey and the International Social Survey Program's Social Inequality Survey**
 Secondary analysis of two major polls (one multinational, one Polish) confirms that conservatives explain poverty and wealth as a consequence of personal failings or strengths. Liberals explain poverty and wealth in terms of systemic failures.
 Mark Harmon, University of Tennessee
 mdharmon@utk.edu
- 3:00 **The Political Consequences of the Growing Inequality in New Democracies: Lessons from the Consolidated Korean Democracy**
 Using multilevel modeling, this study analyzes political behavior nested in the context (economic inequality) with focus on Korean democracy after the war.
 Wonbin Kang, Angelo State University
 wkang@angelo.edu
- 3:15 **The Perspective of Perceptions: Location and Individuals' Perceptions of Income and Social Inequality**
 We find both a clear divide between the perceptions of social and income inequality of rural and urban residents as well as a distinctive relationship of both inequality perceptions to individuals' socio-economic location (mediated by urbanity).
 Paul Matthew Lovelace, University of Mississippi
 pmlovela@olemiss.edu
 Chiara Binelli, University of Oxford
 chiara.binelli@economics.ox.ac.uk
- 3:30 **Economic Inequality, Relative Power, and the Politics of Abortion in Comparative Perspective**
 Does public opinion on abortion in a country depend on the distribution of economic resources found there? We find strong evidence that it does, lending support to the view that, even on rural issues, economic inequality yields political inequality.
 Frederick Sell, Southern Illinois University
 fsell@su.edu
 Marice Blodfield, University of Miami
 mblodfield@gmail.com
 3:45 Disc., Gal Ariely, University of North Dame
 gariely@poli.hawaii.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 8-2 **The Welfare State in Europe**
 Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Michael Clark, Northern Illinois University
 mclark12@niu.edu
- 2:45 **Moving Left to Move to the Right: How Swedish Social Democrats Moderated Leftist Politics Between 1966 and 1974**
 Like much of Western Europe, Sweden experienced a surge of Left-wing activism from young adults in the later 1960s. This paper demonstrates how Prime Minister Palme's efforts placated that era's Left-leaning youth generation.
 Joshua Robert Baker, Campbell University of America
 joshua.r.baker@gmail.com
- 3:00 **Providing Welfare: The Importance of Public or Private Actors in Welfare State Regimes**
 The paper stresses the importance of including which kind of actor (public/private) provides welfare services, in comparative welfare state research. This is vital in order to understand and detect important changes of the universal welfare state.
 Anna Beaudz, University of Gothenburg
 anna.beaudz@socia.gu.se
- 3:15 **The Politics of (In-)Visibility: Strategies of Welfare State Expansion and Retrenchment During the Post-war Era**
 Welfare states evolve through visible policy reforms and hidden institutional reforms. We hypothesize vote-seeking politicians to pursue popular welfare expansion through policy reforms and unpopular retrenchment through institutional reforms.
 Christian Elmeland-Priestelker, University of Southern Denmark
 cel@sun.edu.dk
 Michael Buggesen Klitgaard, University of Southern Denmark
 mbk@sun.edu.dk
- 3:30 **The Future of European Welfare Systems: Explaining Variety**
 Conventional political science suggests 3 or so welfare regimes to categorize the European landscape of welfare states. The paper takes up current empirical findings showing a huge variety of welfare systems and discusses some explanations.
 Klaus Schubert, University of Münster
 klaus.schubert@uni-muenster.de
- 3:45 Disc., Michael Clark, Northern Illinois University
 mclark12@niu.edu
 Disc., Wolfgang Hermann Schulz, Freie Universität
 wh.schulz@uni-koeln.de
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 9-21 **Political Culture and Democracy in Latin America**
 Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, J. D. Bowen, St. Louis University
 jbowen5@slu.edu
- 2:45 **Colonialism and the Mind: The Social Construction of Political Culture in the Caribbean**
 The social construction of the political culture is central to the understanding of Caribbean politics. Attention will be given to the understanding of this phenomenon in the Caribbean.
 Oliver Beault, St. George's University
 oabeno0@gmail.com
- 3:00 **The Politics of Instability: Elites and Political Disorder in Ecuador**
 This paper explains political instability in Ecuador, particularly the extra-constitutional removal of three elected presidents between 1996 and 2005, through an analysis of elite political behavior.
 J. D. Bowen, St. Louis University
 jbowen5@slu.edu
- 3:15 **Separating Nationalism from Nationalism: Social Movements in 1990s Puerto Rico**
 This paper explores Puerto Rican political culture through two social movements in 1990s Puerto Rico. Data suggest that the different outcomes deeply relate to Puerto Rican perceptions of themselves as Latin American rather than American citizens.
 Jelsabe Caceres, University of Toledo
 jelsabe.caceres@utoledo.edu
- 3:30 **Legacies of Authoritarianism: Political Learning and Democratic Commitment in Latin America**
 This paper develops and tests a model of political learning to explain the variance in democratic commitment within and among Latin American countries.
 Chad P. Kiewit De Jonga, University of North Dame
 chadkdj@gmail.com
- 3:45 Disc., Oliver Beault, St. George's University
 oabeno0@gmail.com
 Disc., Ryan Edward Carlin, Georgia State University
 rcarlin@gsu.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion

- 10-8 **Public Opinion in China: Does it Matter and Why?**
 Room Medinah Parker on the 6th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Zhiqun Zhu, *Bucknell University*
 zhiqun.zhu@bucknell.edu
- 2:45 **Pledging Allegiance? Education and National Identity in China**
 This paper documents the role that education plays in the process of political legitimization through national identity in China. Classroom observation in the Beijing and Guangzhou areas is complemented by quantitative survey evidence.
 Benjamin Joseph Daver, *University of Iowa*
 benjamin-daver@uiowa.edu
- 3:05 **Nationalism or Cybians: Information and Public Opinion in China**
 A survey of college students in China to see how their knowledge about foreign countries is correlated with their attitudes toward the government and society in China.
 Huihong Huang, *University of California Merced*
 hhuang24@ucmerced.edu
- 3:25 **Evaluating Public Service Quality in Chinese Cities, 2010**
 Based on a survey conducted in 30 Chinese cities in 2010, this article examines the influence of demographic features on citizens' attitude to public service quality in Chinese cities.
 Tingting Liu, *Nanyang Technological University*
 litingting@gmail.com
- 3:45 Disc., Tao Xie, *Beijing Foreign Studies University*
 taoxiebfu@gmail.com
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 12-7 **Israeli Domestic and Foreign Policy**
 Room Barnham 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Karam Dana, *Harvard University*
 kdana@fas.harvard.edu
- 2:45 **The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict in the Mirror of the Law and the Media: The Case of Jill Fishman**
 What mechanisms are evoked in response to an act of political dissent conducted by a woman? Through a micro-analysis of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, I show how such a case is used to reproduce truth discourses that ensure the citizens' loyalty.
 Hadas Cohen, *New School for Social Research*
 cohadus@hotmail.com
- 2:57 **Mobilizing Aggression in Group-Based Policy Preferences: An Experimental Test of Aggression in Israeli Public Opinion**
 In a nationally-diverse survey experiment of young Israeli adults, we show how individual differences in aggressive personality and violent rhetorical cues shape how Israelis apply ethnocentric attitudes to group-related policy preferences.
 Joshua Ronald Gabler, *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor*
 jgab@umich.edu
 Nathan Paul Kalmoe, *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor*
 kalmoe@umich.edu
- 3:09 **War Making and State Making: How the State of Israel Perpetuates its Existence Through War and Conflict**
 Since the inception of the state of Israel in 1948, war and conflict has defined its domestic and foreign policy. This paper examines the political history of Israel in relation to war and conflict with Palestinians and its Arab neighbors and Iran.
 Abby Javadzadeh, *Florida International University*
 abbyjavadzadeh@fiu.edu
- 3:21 **The Impact of Threat on Public Opinion, Political Leadership and Public Policy Regarding the Peace Process in Israel and Palestine**
 This study examines the potential impact of external threat on Palestinian public attitudes toward Israel and the peace process. The study utilizes Palestinian public opinion polling data in the West Bank and Gaza over the period 1996 through 2008.
- Shella Kabaatib, *Northeastern University*
 kabaatib.s@neu.edu
 Glenn Lewis Pierce, *Northeastern University*
 g.pierce@neu.edu
- 3:33 **Rule of Law / Rule of Differences: Israel's Emergency Retime Revisited**
 This paper reconsiders the role of emergency in Israel's governance. It demonstrates that, in Israel, the "emergency" is a governing norm that mediates in fixing political will with the rule of law.
 Yoav Mehozay, *New School for Social Research*
 yoav.mehozay@gmail.com
- 3:45 Disc., Karam Dana, *Harvard University*
 kdana@fas.harvard.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 13-5 **Oil, Gas and Political Leadership in Russia and Central Asia**
 Room Sandburg J on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Stephen Manning, *University of Detroit Mercy*
 manningst@udmercy.edu
- 2:45 **The Trouble with Ukraine: A Game Theoretic Approach to Russia's Natural Gas Exports to Europe**
 The trouble with Ukraine: Should Russia bypass Ukraine in exporting natural gas to Europe? Should Europe seek alternative sources of natural gas? A game theoretic approach.
 Alena Akporoye, *University of Texas, Dallas*
 alena@student.utdallas.edu
- 3:00 **The Strategic Use of Biased Information Transmission**
 This paper looks at the logic of transmitting biased information, particularly in cases where the existence of bias is well-established. Reference is made to various examples, including the Soviet Union.
 Sarah Huanmel, *Princeton University*
 shuanmel@princeton.edu
- 3:15 **Timeless Turkmenistan: The Resource Curse, Dictatorship and Cult of Personality in a Post-Soviet State**
 Through an analysis of the extreme case of Saparmyrat Niyazov's presidency in Turkmenistan (1990-2006), this paper describes how a cult of personality both reflects and reinforces the political economy of resource-rich dictatorships.
 Kyle Luke Marquardt, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 klmquardt@wisc.edu
- 3:30 **Proposed and Ongoing Pipelines: Signal of the East-West Pipeline Project of Turkmenistan to the Regional Energy Politics**
 An assessment of the future implications of Turkmenistan's East-West natural gas pipeline project for its energy sector and regional energy politics.
 Batur Olsayev, *University of Nevada, Reno*
 olsayevr@gmail.com
- 3:45 Disc., Oksan Boyulgen, *University of Connecticut*
 oksan.boyulgen@uconn.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 14-9 **Political Economy of Financial Market Development**
 Room Kimball on the 1st floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Guillermo Rosas, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 grosas@wustl.edu
- 2:45 **More Markets, More Rights? Do Better Financial Markets Add Up to Better Human Rights Protection?**
 How does one element of development, financial market development, affect human rights protection? Using a panel dataset, I find that financial market development has a positive and significant impact on human rights, but this varies by region.
 Chelsea Brown, *Southern Methodist University*
 brown@smu.edu
- 3:00 **Financial Systems of 'Colonial' States: The Case of India**
 Explains the effects of colonialism on the origins of public finance and private capital markets.

- Abhishek Chatterjee, *University of Virginia*
ac79k@virginia.edu
- 3:15 **The Consequences of Regulatory Independence: Evidence from Bank Capital Requirements**
This paper studies large banks in 20 OECD countries from 1990 and 2007 to establish whether the institutional characteristics of regulators influence the extent to which banks comply with capital requirements.
Fabrizio Gilardi, *University of Zurich*
gilardi@ipz.uzh.ch
Fabio Servalli, *University of Zurich*
servalli@ipz.uzh.ch
- 3:30 **Political Regimes and Bank Interest Margins**
This paper argues that political regimes shape lending and deposit rates differently. Preferential lending and powerless depositors are suggested as potential mechanism to explain a robust empirical result: Banks perform worse under dictatorship.
Sebastian Lavezzi, *New York University*
slp326@nyu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Sean D. Ehrlich, *Florida State University*
sehrlich@fsu.edu
Disc. Guillermo Rosas, *Washington University, St. Louis*
grosas@wustl.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 14-9 **Government Responses to Economic and Financial Crises**
Room 2:40 LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Chair, Andrew Krause, *University of Michigan*
ankrause@umich.edu
- 2:45 **Fiscal Policy Responses to the Economic Crisis in the United Kingdom and the United States: A Comparative Assessment**
The paper considers the radically different fiscal strategies pursued, in response to the economic crisis, by the UK and the US. It emphasizes institutional arrangements, underlying structural variables and the demands of particular constituencies.
Edward Ashbee, *Copenhagen Business School*
ea.ahp@cbs.dk
- 2:57 **Government Responses to Financial Crisis: Identifying Patterns and Policy Origins**
We examine how economic and political responses alter according to the specific combination of three financial crises encountered and how domestic political institutions influence government responses across and within the crises.
Eunyoung Ha, *Claremont Graduate University*
Eunyoung.Ha@cgu.edu
Myung-Ho Kang, *Claremont McKenna College*
mkang@cmc.edu
- 3:09 **Economic Crises and the International, Regional and Domestic Partisan Determinants of Structural Reforms in the OECD Countries**
Empirically examines the determinants of product market, fiscal, and labor market reforms undertaken by OECD countries since the 1980s. Its novelty is in assessing the impact of international economic crises and partisan (manifesto) preferences.
Nobuhiko Hiwatari, *University of Tokyo*
hiwatari@iss.u-tokyo.ac.jp
- 3:21 **Implementing International Best Practice in Hard Times: Financial Regulatory Reforms in South Korea**
This paper examines the ways in which international best practices in financial regulation have been implemented in South Korea since the financial crisis in the late 1990s.
Heon Joo Jung, *Indiana University*
beonjoo@indiana.edu
- 3:33 **Saving the Market From Itself: Bailouts, Nationalizations, and the Politics of Financial Intervention**
The choice of how to manage a failing financial firm has great macroeconomic and redistributive consequences. This paper examines the role of past policy choices and financial industry structure in shaping policy choice in crisis.
Christopher Mitchell, *George Washington University*
cmw@gwu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Jonathan Hanson, *Syracuse University*
johnson@maxwell.syr.edu
Disc. Andrew Krause, *University of Michigan*
ankrause@umich.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 16-9 **The Domestic Causes of International Conflict and Cooperation**
Room 2:40 Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Chair, Alejandro Portes Flores, *New York University*
aq200@nyu.edu
- 2:45 **Govern: Service Agency Law, Crisis, and Second-Order Fighting Machines**
Expanding on Principal-Agent Theory, we examine how regimes overcome the threat of coup d'état. Countering this threat reduces military effectiveness, causing such regimes to lose the wars they fight or avoid war to the best of their ability.
Cameron Shepherd Brown, *University of California, San Diego*
cameron-brown@ucsd.edu
Christopher J. Fariss, *University of California, San Diego*
cjf0006@gmail.com
Blake McMahon, *University of California, San Diego*
rmcmahon@ucsd.edu
- 2:57 **How Empires End: Civil-Military Relations, Regime Types, and the Decision to Fight**
We employ Bayesian factor analysis and survival analysis to explore variation in metropolitan policies toward decolonization.
Chris Kendall, *Princeton University*
kendall@princeton.edu
Alexander Laposzka, *Princeton University*
laposzka@princeton.edu
- 3:09 **Autocracies Can Do It Too: Domestic Institutions and Cooperation by Neodemocracies**
This paper examines the cooperating potential of different types of autocracies. Autocracies that resemble democracies with respect to their domestic institutional constraints should be more likely to cooperate with one another and with democracies.
Michaela Maties, *Vanderbilt University*
m.maties@vanderbilt.edu
Marilou Rodriguez, *Vanderbilt University*
mariloua.rodriguez@vanderbilt.edu
- 3:21 **State Relations, Civil Wars and Militarized Interstate Disputes: Interactions and Implications**
Recent research suggests that states involved in a civil war are twice as likely to become involved in a militarized interstate dispute. This paper provides an explanation for this relationship by synthesizing two disparate theoretical approaches.
Bryce Wesley Reader, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
reader4@illinois.edu
- 3:33 **Government Ideology and Threat Effectiveness**
Does government ideology influence the effectiveness of a state's military and economic threats? After coding the ideology of each state's government on a liberal-conservative scale, we examine responses to military and economic threats.
Mark Soberg, *Florida State University*
msoberg@fsu.edu
Bill Davis, *Iowa State University*
wdavis@iastate.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Alejandro Portes Flores, *New York University*
aq200@nyu.edu
Disc. Mark Soberg, *Florida State University*
msoberg@fsu.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**

- 17-10** **Preventing the Spread of Nuclear Weapons**
Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Zachary Zwick, United States Air War College**
 zachary.zwick@maxwell.af.mil
- 3:45** **The Impact of International Sanctions on Iranian Nuclear Programs**
 The Iranian Nuclear Program has resulted in the various sanctions against the country. These sanctions have failed to convince the Iranian government which argues its nuclear program is merely peaceful, to stop its uranium enrichment program.
 Mohammed Amjad, *Azad University*
 m.amjad2008@yahoo.com
- 2:57** **Why Don't States Build Nuclear Weapons? Explaining Nuclear Restraint in International Politics**
 This paper explains the puzzling infrequency of nuclear armament, arguing that nuclear aspirants reliant on conventional security guarantees by great power patrons are more susceptible to effective anti-proliferation policies.
 Gene Gerzhey, *University of Chicago*
 gerzhey@uchicago.edu
- 3:09** **What is the Prospect of Realization of the Nuclear Weapons Free Zone in the Middle East in the Aftermath of NPT May 2010 Conference?**
 This paper will be interested in both individual stands of regional states and NS powers related to NWFZ proposal for the Middle East.
 Nurita Anasqatu Caney, *Hida Technical University*
 rcnwey@supercalinc.com
- 3:21** **Nuclear Proliferation and International Hierarchy**
 The paper is an attempt to design an analytical framework that can better explain the current nuclear proliferation in East Asia and the Middle East.
 Myung Chul Kim, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 mckim16@ucla.edu
- 3:33** **The Path towards Nuclear Proliferation: Understanding and Mitigating the Threat**
 Firsthand presentation of uranium centrifuge proliferation, the pinnacle of threat showing innovation know-how and procurement strategies. Rapport based elicitation to counter deceptive strategies(dirty and nuclear bombs)as a scenario based training.
 Mahdi Abeldi, *United States Civilian Research and Development*
 abeidmahdi@yahoo.com
- 3:45** **Disc., Matthew Fuhrmann, University of South Carolina**
 fuhrman@hawaii1box.sc.edu
- 4:05** **Audience Discussion**
- 17-21** **Making and Remaking Alliances**
Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Zachary Selden, University of Florida**
 zselden@ufl.edu
- 2:45** **Human Capital and National Security in Russia**
 Russia's failure to invest in human capital is undermining its national security. While Russes must tackle serious problems in demography, education, and health care to remain a great power, it is doubtful the government will do so.
 Michael H. Creswell, *Florida State University*
 mcreswell@fsu.edu
 Irina Sergeevna Dolgoplova, *Baikal National University of Economics and Law*
 irina.dolgoplova@gmail.com
 Nina Nikolaevna Danilenko, *Baikal National University of Economics and Law*
 danilenko.nina@gmail.ru
- 3:05** **Military Effectiveness and Alliance Formation**
 An analysis of how states' histories of military effectiveness and burden sharing, measured using new data on loss exchange ratios (LERs) in previous wars, affect their ability to form new alliances.
- 3:25** **Stephen Battwin Long, University of Richmond**
 slong@richmond.edu
- 3:25** **Evaluating the Political Debate and Institutional Consequences of NATO's Strategic Concepts**
 A comparison of the political drivers and long-term consequences of previous NATO strategic concepts to the new 2010 strategic concept. What does each concept say about the Alliance's political cohesion and ability to make strategic adjustments?
 Andrew T. Woff, *Dickinson College*
 awoff@dccollege.edu
- 3:45** **Disc., Zachary Selden, University of Florida**
 zselden@ufl.edu
- 4:05** **Audience Discussion**
- 18-9** **Determinants of Civil War Onset**
Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Clayton L. Thyne, University of Kentucky**
 clayton.thyne@uky.edu
- 2:45** **Predicting Intra-State Conflict Onset: An Event Data Approach using Euclidean and Levenshtein Distance Measures**
 This study provides a new approach to forecasting monthly level intra-state conflict onset using Euclidean and Levenshtein distance measures and TABARI-coded Events Data.
 Vito D'Onofrio, *Pennsylvania State University*
 vjd125@psu.edu
 Phillip A. Schrodt, *Pennsylvania State University*
 schrod@psu.edu
 Jason Youmans, *Pennsylvania State University*
 jay.youmans@gmail.com
- 3:05** **Export or Pragmatism? Simultaneous Causation Between Exports and Civil War Onset**
 We examine reciprocal causation between export openness and civil war onset, finding that exports are unassociated with onset while onset is associated with reduced exports overall. However, diamond and fuel exports are higher in the wake of onset.
 Timothy Peterson, *Oklahoma State University*
 timothy.peterson@okstate.edu
 Nicholas Emmanuel, *Oklahoma State University*
 nicholas.emmanuel@okstate.edu
- 3:25** **Unpacking the Process of Mass Killing: Motives, Means, and Opportunities**
 This paper examines why some countries experience mass killing while others in similar situations do not. It presents and tests a model of mass killing, which includes motives, means, and opportunities.
 Taylor B. Seybolt, *University of Pittsburgh*
 seybolt@pitt.edu
 Brandon M. Boylan, *University of Pittsburgh*
 bob55@pitt.edu
- 3:45** **Disc., Clayton L. Thyne, University of Kentucky**
 clayton.thyne@uky.edu
- 4:05** **Audience Discussion**
- 18-25** **Regions of Conflict**
Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Charles R. Boehmer, University of Texas, El Paso**
 crboehmer@utep.edu
- 2:45** **Conflict and Information: A Structuralist Reinterpretation**
 This paper reassesses the information-conflict argument in light of structuralist theories of information diffusion in networks.
 Wepain Corbetta, *University of Alabama, Birmingham*
 corbetta@uab.edu
- 3:00** **Consequences of Third Generation Peacekeeping for the UN**
 Third generation peacekeeping has been characterized by the erosion of the traditional requirements. We propose to take stock of the repercussions of those developments for humanitarian interventions and for UN peacekeeping in general.

- Maria do Céu Pinto, *Universidade de Lisboa*
 cmcpinto@ceg.ulisboa.pt
- 3:15 **Constructed Stability: IGO Similarity, Political Community and the Sources of Stable Peace**
 This paper articulates and tests a theory of political community finding that a Deutschian "Zone of Peace" is associated with less conflict.
 David H. Sakka, *United States Air Force Academy*
 david.sakka@usafa.edu
 Charles R. Boehmer, *University of Texas, El Paso*
 crboehmer@utep.edu
- 3:30 **Southeast Asian Peace: A Mixed Liberal and Constructivist Trajectory**
 The pacifying effect of ASEAN's norms and security management may be exaggerated. The common idea and interest of pursuing economic development through economic liberalization policy produces greater pacifying effect in Southeast Asia.
 Chin-Miao Tang, *University of Essex*
 ctang@essex.ac.uk
- 3:45 **Disc., Charles R. Boehmer, University of Texas, El Paso**
 crboehmer@utep.edu
Disc., Renato Corbetta, University of Alabama, Birmingham
 corbetta@uab.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 2B-6 **The ICC and International Politics**
 Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Grace Cheng, Hawaii Pacific University**
 gcheng@hpu.edu
- 2:45 **Interdependent Institutions and National Identity: The Case of the ICC**
 Using statistical regression analysis I show that states created the ICC because they had undergone identity changes, most notably regarding sovereignty. Structural factors as antecedent conditions account for the timing of the creation of the ICC.
 Lucrecia Garcia Icazmi, *University of Notre Dame*
 lgarcia1@nd.edu
- 3:00 **Why Do Governments Join the International Criminal Court?**
 Why do governments join the ICC? I show that signaling by governments that join the ICC is designed to convince opponents that the government is committed to prosecuting them, but not necessarily for the government to become subject to the Court.
 Medlin Mena, *George Washington University*
 medlin.mena@gmail.com
- 3:15 **Europe as Negotiator: The Effect of the European Union on the Establishment of the ICC**
 The EU is a powerful negotiator with regard to extra-EU institutions, but the same features that allow the EU to exert leverage also serves to constrain the preferences of its most powerful members. This is demonstrated in the case of the ICC.
 Autumn Lockwood Payton, *European University Institute*
 payton.29@eu.edu
- 3:30 **Why Does China Reject the International Criminal Court? China's Dilemma in International Human Rights and Humanitarian Regimes**
 This paper examines why China refuses to sign the widely supported Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, given that China usually follows the international mainstream and actively participate in multilateral institutions.
 Jing Tao, *Cornell University*
 jt265@cornell.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Grace Cheng, Hawaii Pacific University**
 gcheng@hpu.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 2B-9 **Regionalism: The Past or the Future of International Cooperation?**
 Monroe 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Moonhawk Kim, University of Colorado, Boulder**
 moonhawk.kim@colorado.edu
- 2:45 **Mercosur's "Light Institutionalism" and the Crisis of Regional Governance in South America**
 This paper examines Mercosur's crisis as part of the crisis of regionalism in South America. It takes stock of the design and performance of Mercosur institutions and discusses the bloc's prospects for survival with its current institutional design.
 Mario Esteban Carranza, *Texas A&M University, Kingsville*
 kmec02@tamuk.edu
- 2:57 **The Formation of a Black Sea Community (BSC) and its Potential Economic and Security Implications**
 This paper analyzes and evaluates the potential for developing a Black Sea Community (BSC) along the lines of the European Community and its possible regional and global security impact.
 Steven P. Dobransky, *Kent State University*
 sdobrans@kent.edu
- 3:09 **Competition vs. Cooperation: The Political Economy of Regional Integration in the Middle East**
 This paper will analyze regional cooperation and integration in the Middle East and problems and prospects related to regional integration in the area.
 Mohammed Atif ICHAR, *Institut d'Etudes Politiques, Grenoble, France*
 atifin@hotmail.com
- 3:21 **Explaining ASEAN Regionalism**
 This paper seeks to explain the distinctive patterns of ASEAN regionalism.
 Min-hyung Kim, *Illinois Wesleyan University*
 mkim2@iwu.edu
- 3:33 **What Came First, the Investment or the Integration? Explaining Regionalism in Asia through Foreign Direct Investment**
 This paper addresses the effect of foreign direct investment (FDI) on regionalism in Asia. I argue that FDI inflows to emerging markets in Asia allowed these states to integrate at a faster pace and to adopt a greater degree of integration.
 Meredith-Joy Petersheim, *Kean College*
 mpetersheim@kean.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Okey C. Ibeduru, Arizona State University**
 okey.ibeduru@asu.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 22-5 **Advertising in Contemporary Campaigns**
 Price on the 5th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Gwendolyn Lengauer, University of Innsbruck, Austria**
 gwendolyn.lengauer@iwn.ac.at
- 2:45 **Focusing on the Ad Effect Footnote: The Battlegrounds of Election 2008**
 This study examines the effects of ad spending levels on voting behavior as a function of state competitiveness.
 Michelle Amazeen, *Temple University*
 michelle.amazeen@temple.edu
- 2:57 **Do Parties Matter?: Party Committee Activity in the 2004 U.S. Senate Elections**
 This paper examines the effects of party and candidate advertisements on measures of vote choice, turnout and party affect using ANES and CMA-G data from the 2004 U.S. Senate election. Differences emerge between the two types of advertisements.
 Philip Cheu, *University of Minnesota, Twin Cities*
 cheu2157@umn.edu
- 3:09 **Internet vs. Television Campaign Ads - The Battle for Negativity**
 This study compares internet and television campaign advertisements during the 2004 and 2008 presidential elections.

- Content analysis shows whether internet campaign ads are indeed more negative.
Allison Clark Pingley, *University of South Carolina, Upstate*
apingley@uscupstate.edu
- 3:21 **The New Analytics of Campaign Politics**
This paper explores the new "analytics" in the practice of campaign politics, its impact on decision making and the role it plays in party and campaign organizations.
Barbara Tyson, *Grimell College*
tysonb@grinnell.edu
- 3:33 **Who's Behind the Curtain?: Interest Groups and Anonymity in Political Advertising**
Disclosure of donors funding interest group ads fell dramatically in 2010. We report findings from an experiment varying the sponsor of the ad. We test for differences in recall, affect toward attacker, source credibility and candidate evaluations.
Amber Wichowsky, *Yale University*
amber.wichowsky@gmail.com
Connor M. Dowling, *Yale University*
connordowling@yale.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Dino Christenson, Boston University**
dinop@bu.edu
Disc., Christopher C. Hull, *Georgetown University*
hullcc@georgetown.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-2 **What Motivates Campaign Contributions?**
Room Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Seth E.asket, *University of Denver*
sasket@du.edu
- 2:45 **The Effect of Redistricting on Individual Campaign Contributions**
Using a unique dataset where we have geocoded all 2007-08 individual campaign contributions, we examine the effect of redistricting on campaign contributions.
Jenna Bednar, *University of Michigan*
jbednar@umich.edu
Elizabeth R. Gerber, *University of Michigan*
ergerber@umich.edu
- 3:00 **Donating Money vs. Time: Why People Donate Money to Some Candidates Yet Donate Time to Others**
We show that people are more willing to donate time, but less willing to donate money, to risky candidates.
Adam Seth Levine, *University of Michigan*
adamslev@umich.edu
Cindy D. Kam, *Vanderbilt University*
cindy.d.kam@vanderbilt.edu
- 3:15 **The Kos Burn: The Political Esoterics of Campaign Fundraising in the Internet Age**
We show that mentions on the Daily Kos, a leftwing political blog, increased donations to the candidates who were mentioned.
John M. Sides, *George Washington University*
jsides@gwu.edu
- 3:30 **Social Campaign Giving: Could You Please Take Out Your Checkbook?**
Why do citizens donate to political campaigns? Each individual gift is not likely to be pivotal in a campaign nor will each donor receive a direct, personal benefit. We explore whether donation habits are sustained by social pressure.
Betsy Stadel, *University of Chicago*
bstadel@uchicago.edu
Erica Kristina Hartman, *University of California, Berkeley*
ekhartman@berkeley.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Michael H. Crespin, University of Georgia**
crespin@uga.edu
Disc., Andrew Scott Waugh, *University of California, San Diego*
aswaugh@ucsd.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 25-3 **Field Experiments in Voting and Registration**
Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Elizabeth A. Bearfoot, *Indiana University, South Bend*
ebearfoot@iusb.edu
- 2:45 **Do Online Voter Registration Systems Make a Difference? A Large Scale, Multi-Site Field Experiment**
Randomized, controlled experiment testing the effectiveness of email outreach to register voters. Over 260,000 college students were assigned to receive an email, downloadable registration form, or link to a fully online registration system.
Elizabeth A. Bearfoot, *Indiana University, South Bend*
ebearfoot@iusb.edu
- 2:57 **Genes, Anxiety, and Turnout: A Field Experiment**
This paper is based on a field experiment during the 2010 California midterm election designed to induce anxiety about political competition in order to test whether an interaction between genes and environmental results in political disengagement.
Chris Hawes, *University of California, San Diego*
cdhawes@ucsd.edu
Julene Soltes, *University of California, San Diego*
jsoltes@ucsd.edu
Costas Panagopoulos, *Fordham University*
cpn15@post.harvard.edu
- 3:09 **Eliminating Registration Barriers: Large Scale Field Experiments on Lowering the Cost of Voter Registration**
In 3 large scale field experiments involving treatments of more than a million people, this paper provides the first causal evidence that reducing voter registration costs increases voter turnout in Presidential and mid-term elections.
Christopher Baird Mann, *University of Miami*
christophertmann@gmail.com
- 3:21 **Direct-Mail Campaigns Can Persuade Voters: Evidence from a Randomized Field Experiment Studying Ballot Guides in Oregon in 2008**
A cluster randomized field experiment shows mail ballot guides can persuade voters. Vote margins were altered by 3 to 4 percentage points on each of ten ballot initiatives. The study shows that campaigns can affect electoral outcomes.
Joel A. Middleton, *Yale University*
joel.middleton@yale.edu
Todd Rogers, *Analysis Institute*
trodgers@analysisinstitute.org
- 3:33 **The Choice to Vote by Mail: A Field Experiment**
We ran a field experiment to test messages encouraging voters to switch to permanent vote-by-mail (VBM) states: safety, cost savings, social acceptance, and convenience. Except for convenience, each increased the chances voters would switch to VBM.
Keith Shalizi, *University of the Pacific*
kshaliz4@upacific.edu
Dart E. Sylvester, *University of the Pacific*
dsylvester@upacific.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., William J Miller, Southeast Missouri State University**
wmiller@semo.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**

- 25-7 Gender**
Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Jeffrey W. Koch, SUNY Geneseo
 kochj@geneseo.edu
- 2:45 The Bands that Bind: A Network Model for the Gender Gap in the Vote**
 We propose an explanation for the gender gap based on how networks are composed. Marriage is likely to add more male and conservative discussion partners to women's networks that may cause differences in vote preferences between men and women.
Paul A. Djupé, Denison University
 djupe@denison.edu
Annal Edward Sokhey, University of Colorado, Boulder
 annand.sokhey@colorado.edu
- 2:57 Testing the Gender "Affinity" Effect and the Downsian Model of Voting Behavior**
 Using new data, I construct proximity scores between candidates and voters. I find that male voters remain less supportive of female candidates, but women are on more supportive of women.
Sarah A. Fulton, Texas A&M University
 safulton@politics.tamu.edu
- 3:09 The Intersection of Race and Gender in Primary Elections**
 Does race or gender – or the intersection of the two – form a more solid foundation of political identity? We address this question using a novel online experiment.
Wendy Gross, Stanford University
 wgross@stanford.edu
Tahira Beaulieu, Stanford University
 tbeulie@stanford.edu
Cecilia H. Mo, Stanford University
 mo_cecilia@gsb.stanford.edu
- 3:21 Group Success and Individual Candidates: Evidence from Voter Responses to Female Mayoral Terms**
 Do voters evaluate female candidates according to the previous success that female officeholders have had? Evidence from mayoral and gubernatorial elections indicates that voters attribute group success to individual candidates.
Andrew John Healy, Loyola Marymount University
 ahealy@lmu.edu
- 3:33 The Gender Heuristic: Voter Roll-off When Women Run for Congress**
 I track trends in ballot roll-off in elections when women run for the U.S. House for 1992-2008. While I find no effect in races with a Republican woman, roll-off is those with a Democratic woman is lower.
Michael G. Miller, University of Illinois, Springfield
 mmiller24@uis.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Paul A. Djupé, Denison University**
 djupe@denison.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 26-1 US Elections: Election Outcomes, Policy Outputs and Voter Reactions**
Room Salon B on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Richard R. Lau, Rutgers University
 ricklau@cw.rutgers.edu
- 2:45 Electoral Competition and Public Corruption in the U.S.**
 We investigate the relationship between public corruption and electoral competition. We also test effects of institutional and demographic factors. Our time series extends over several periods, measured at federal trial court district level.
Regan P. Branton, University of North Texas
 branton@unt.edu
Todd Donovan, Western Washington University
 todd.donovan@wwu.edu
- 3:00 Political Accountability in an Era of Inequality**
 To what extent do voters hold elected officials accountable for unequal outcomes? This paper investigates the extent to which people link the effects of inequality with policy outcomes and evaluations of elected officials.
Thomas J. Hayes, University of California, Riverside
 thajay001@ucr.edu
- 3:15 The Electoral College and the Allocation of Federal Funding**
 This paper considers whether the Electoral College in the United States influences how federal spending is allocated across states. Do those states which are the most electorally significant receive more federal funding?
Elizabeth Hayley Mason, Binghamton University
 emason1@binghamton.edu
Stephen Chapman, SUNY, Binghamton
 schapman2@binghamton.edu
- 3:30 Empirical Analysis of Alternative Voting Methods in U.S. Presidential Primaries**
 Data from the American National Election Studies are used to analyze different voting methods in U.S. Presidential primaries.
James C. Roberts, Towson University
 jroberts@towson.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Ben Highton, University of California, Davis**
 bhighton@ucdavis.edu
Disc., Jeffrey Lewis, University of California, Los Angeles
 jblewis@ucla.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 28-10 Inequality and Opinion Stratification**
Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Stacy G. Ulbig, Sam Houston State University
 ulbig@shsu.edu
- 2:45 Incomes on Sociopolitical Attitudes: The Interaction between Personal Income Level and Key National Economic Indicators**
 Examination is made of the extent to which key economic factors influence change in postmaterialist values and associated tolerance levels for different personal income groups using multiple-year data from the General Social Survey.
Jason Thomas Edwards, Georgia State University
 jedwards506@student.gsu.edu
- 3:00 Representational Inequality in the U.S. States**
 Using multilevel modeling techniques we estimate state-level policy preferences for more and less affluent Americans. We then relate these preferences to actual state policy to assess variation in representational strength and equality.
Martin Giles, Princeton University
 MGILENS@PRINCETON.EDU
Justin F. Phillips, Columbia University
 jfp2121@columbia.edu
Jeffrey R. LaR, Columbia University
 jrl2124@columbia.edu
- 3:15 Cities in Isolation: Central Effects of Economic Segregation on Political Values and Response to Conflict**
 Economic segregation has been linked to decreased civic engagement and a narrowing of political interests. I evaluate how income stratification may also be shaping Americans' attitudes about political conflict and the role of government.
Andrea L. Mayer, Georgetown University
 alm63@georgetown.edu
- 3:30 Income Inequality, Public Perceptions, Preferences and Reality**
 The paper explores whether increases in income inequality are perceived by the public and whether the public's ideal distributions of income change as a result.
Kris-Stella Trump, Harvard University
 kstrump@fas.harvard.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Stacy G. Ulbig, Sam Houston State University**
 ulbig@shsu.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**

- 28-26 **Attitudes toward Welfare Spending**
 Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Luciana Nogueira Das, *University of California, Riverside*
 luciana.das@ucr.edu
- 2:48 **The Marriage and Children Gap? Marital Status, Parenthood, and Social Welfare Attitudes**
 This study examines the combined influence of marital status and parenthood on social welfare attitudes across gender and over time, showing that marital status and parenthood do not operate in isolation.
 Shirley Adelstein, *Georgetown University*
 sadelstein@georgetown.edu
- 2:57 **Apolitical Attitudes to Welfare Programs**
 The paper analyzes the effect of being estranged from politics (defined as having no interest, information, self-perceived competence and ideology) on attitudes to welfare programs and state interventions in welfare. Data for 21 countries are included
 Ives Calzada, *Institute of Public Goods and Policies, Spanish National Research Council*
 ives.calzada@cchs.csic.es
- 3:09 **From the Generous to the Bootstraps: How the Obsolescence of Welfare Influences Public Support for Welfare in the US and Western Europe**
 This paper addresses whether negative attitudes towards out-groups coupled with the belief that these groups disproportionately and undeservedly receive welfare benefits leads to a decrease in public support for welfare in the US and Western Europe.
 Rayda L. Nye, *Ohio State University*
 rnye@ucla.edu
- 3:21 **Symbolic Opposition and Material Support: Explaining Racial Differences in Attitudes about Welfare Benefits and Behavior Regulation**
 Black and White Americans strongly support regulating the behavior of welfare recipients but disagree about punishing behavioral violations with benefit reductions. I theorize and test models to illuminate this pattern of opinion.
 Mariela Inez Guerrero, *University of Chicago*
 mariiazg@uchicago.edu
- 3:33 **Effects of the Visible and Hidden Welfare State on the Political Behavior of Mass Publics**
 Using recent survey data, we examine whether the visibility of social programs matters for their effects on political attitudes and participation. We compare the effects of tax expenditures, hidden by their design, to the effects of direct programs.
 Suzanne Mettler, *Cornell University*
 sm24@cornell.edu
 Johannes Koch, *Cornell University*
 j.koch@gmail.com
- 3:45 Disc., Luciana Nogueira Das, *University of California, Riverside*
 luciana.das@ucr.edu
 Disc., Jason Gaisford, *University of Louisville*
 jason.gaisford@louisville.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 28-36 **Efficacy**
 Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Hyun Jung Yoo, *Texas State University*
 hyyoo35@gmail.com
- 2:45 **Market a Difference: Political Efficacy and the Politicization of Policy Preferences**
 We present a model of individual level politicization of policy preferences that posits that external political efficacy governs the strength of the association between political ideology and concrete policy preferences.
 Ezra Halperin, *JDC, Herzliya*
 ezh75@hotmail.com
 Amnon Sultzeberg-Kenan, *The Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
 rsultze@huji.ac.il
- 3:00 **Presidential Nominations and the Impact of Front-Loading on Voter Efficacy**
 Does front-loading depress voter efficacy? This paper uses ANES data on presidential elections for 1992-2008 to examine the extent to which scheduling priorities and caucuses early in the nomination process decreases levels of political efficacy.
 Bryan M. Pearson, *University of Tennessee*, Martin
 bpearson@utk.edu
- 3:15 **Political Tolerance and the Pulpit: The Effects of Religion on Political Tolerance and Efficacy in Campaign Environments**
 Do different types of churches affect the degree to which their members have political tolerance and efficacy? We find that this is the case, and that certain types of churches are better able to build efficacy, while others increase tolerance.
 James Benjamin Taylor, *Georgia State University*
 jaylnt21@gsu.edu
 Precious Hall, *Georgia State University*
 phall8@gsu.edu
- 3:30 **United But Unequal? State Population Size and Representation in America's Federal System**
 The division between small and large population states in America is as old as the nation itself. Do citizens from large population states have lower trust, efficacy and confidence in legislatures and Congress than those from small population states?
 Caroline J. Tolbert, *University of Iowa*
 caroline-tolbert@uiowa.edu
 Daniel Bowen, *College of New Jersey*
 bowend@cnj.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Bethany A. Barton, *University of Texas, Austin*
 bartbet4@earth.utoronto.edu
 Disc., Andrea L. Campbell, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
 acampbel@mit.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 29-9 **Bad Media**
 Room Indiana on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Brandon C. Zick, *Universiteit Antwerpen*
 brandon.zick@ua.ac.be
- 2:45 **A Comment on Negativity: Indirect News and the State of American Political Discourse**
 This paper will evaluate whether comment sections provide a mechanism for individuals to become more involved in the political process or whether they simply serve to perpetuate the increasingly negative character of American political discourse.
 Michael Robert Arntz, *University of Missouri, St. Louis*
 mra33@umsl.edu
- 3:05 **The Effects of Process News on Political Cynicism during Election and Non-election Coverage: A Cross-national Study**
 This cross-national study examines the relationship between process news and two types of political cynicism: Generic and issue cynicism. The study draws on panel surveys and content analyses in Denmark, England and Spain.
 Noel Jethri, *University of Southern Denmark*
 nje@sam.sdu.dk
- 3:15 **Do Partisan Media Polarize?**
 Can partisan media polarize viewers? Using a series of original experiments, I show that it can, and provide evidence of the duration of these effects, as well as the important role played by viewers' preferences.
 Matthew S. Levendusky, *University of Pennsylvania*
 mleventus@pas.upenn.edu
- 3:45 Disc., James Adams, *University of California, Davis*
 jf.adams@ucdavis.edu
 Disc., Uliack Nir, *Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
 knir@msoc.huji.ac.il
- 4:05 Audience Discussion

- 29-12 **Laughing with Politics**
 Room Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Susan Burgess, Ohio University
 burgess@ohio.edu
- 2:45 **The Green Room Campaign: Sacrificing Substance for Humor and Control in Presidential Campaigns**
 We content analyze presidential nominees' appearances on traditional and entertainment talk shows for the 2004 and 2008 campaigns. We find traditional outlets provide greater policy substance, a wider range of issues, and are less sympathetic.
 Heather A. Larson-Frick, University of Memphis
 hlarson@memphis.edu
 Joseph Hayden, University of Memphis
 jhayden@memphis.edu
- 3:00 **The John Howard Hunter and New Media Affect Political Interest and Information Sharing**
 Stephen Colbert and John Stewart are growing in popularity, calling attention to humor in political messaging. Congruently, new media alert how people use information. This paper shows how humor relates to political interest and information sharing.
 Courtney Nicole Meyers, University of Memphis
 court.meyers@gmail.com
- 3:15 **The Daily Show with Jon Stewart: Attitudinal Effects on Younger in the 2008 Presidential Election**
 The aim of this research is to show, by utilizing data from the 2008 NES and Pew Studies, the effect soft news attention has on efficacy and trust, in contrast to previous research conducted in the field.
 Kristen M. Miller, University of Akron
 kmml21@uakron.edu
- 3:30 **A Hobbesian State of Humour: The Superiority Theory of Humour and Political Humorists' Antagonistic Social Networking**
 We use social network analysis to examine a complex network of political humor websites that link in both amiable and antagonistic ways to other forms of media. Hobbes' superiority theory of humor helps to explain why some connections are formed.
 Bret Wilson, West Virginia University
 bwilson16@mix.wvu.edu
 Robert Daval, West Virginia University
 bob.daval@mail.wvu.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Stefan Walgrave, University of Antwerp
 stefan.walgrave@ua.ac.be
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 30-8 **State Policy Outcomes and Gender**
 Room Chicago on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Rachel VanSledright-Ward, Pitzer College
 rvansled@pitzer.edu
- 2:45 **The Effects of Gender Proportions in State Legislatures on the Passage of Marriage Equality Provisions**
 We hypothesize that as the proportion of women in a state legislature increases, so does the likelihood of the passage of gay-friendly marriage laws.
 Logan Casey, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
 lscasey@umich.edu
 Patrick O'Malley, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
 pomallen@umich.edu
 Maria Puccio, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
 mpuccio@umich.edu
- 2:57 **In the Best Interest of Whom? An Analysis of State Breastfeeding Policy**
 This paper will look at the various state policies concerning "mandated" breastfeeding policy and the role of interest groups and the federal government in changing problem definitions around infant health.
 Leanne Dobarty, Simmons College
 leanne.dobarty@simmons.edu
- 3:00 **The Push for Midwifery in the American States: Contemporary Policy Battles in State Courts and Legislatures**
 This paper examines strategies used by midwifery groups to advance policy goals and why a movement that on paper should do better in the courts has increasingly turned to the legislatures.
 Elizabeth Edna Gordon, Kennesaw State University
 egordon@kennesaw.edu
 Tara W. Strieba, Kennesaw State University
 tstrieba@kennesaw.edu
- 3:21 **Countervailing Influences for Gender and Ethnicity among Legislators on the Supportiveness of States toward Older Citizens**
 We find that women and African-American state legislators diverge in extending social policy support to aspects of state policies and orientations which have older citizens as their target populations, the latter withdrawing support.
 Charles Leichter, Texas Christian University
 c.leichter@tcu.edu
 Joanne Connor Green, Texas Christian University
 jgreen@tcu.edu
 Jean Giles-Sims, Texas Christian University
 jgiles-sims@tcu.edu
- 3:33 **Media Coverage of Breastfeeding Debates in the States**
 This study content analyzes media coverage of state breastfeeding laws, and finds that although states have adopted a range of supportive breastfeeding policies, the debates in the media reflect a greater sense of ambivalence among the public.
 Jennifer Carroll Lucas, Saint Anselm College
 jlucas@anselm.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Laverne Matthews, Muhlenberg College
 mathews@muhlenberg.edu
 Disc., Sue Tallon-Rothbart, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 suth@unc.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 31-8 **The Complexities of Religion, Race and Ethnicity**
 Room Sakon 6 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Nadia Elizabeth Brown, St. Louis University
 nbrown30@slu.edu
- 2:45 **Why Not at the Front Line?: African American Churches and Same-Sex Marriage Battle**
 African American Christians appear to be against same-sex marriage; yet they are not apparent on the issue. We examine why African American Christians are relatively absent on the issue.
 Kyrwaghil Back, Buffalo State College
 backk@buffalostate.edu
 Henry Zomerfeld, Buffalo State College
 zomerh87@mail.buffalostate.edu
- 2:57 **Spirituality and Cultural Competency: A New Frontier for Human Resource Management**
 Cultural competency training programs have expanded to include components related to gender, race and ethnic, sexuality, and generational issues. What role does human spirituality play in cultural competency training programs?
 Anne J. Hacker, Walden University
 ajhacker@concordia.net
- 3:09 **Understanding the Diversity of the Hispanic Vote: Centering Hispanic Political Participation in Miami and Los Angeles**
 I will investigate the relationship between the socio-economic status and the political participation of Hispanics in Miami and Los Angeles & assess the correlation of various socio-economic factors with pseudo-indicators of political involvement.
 Mario Mulet, Seton Hall University
 mlauremalle@gmail.com

- 3:21 **Printing Christianity: The Impact of Religious Interpretation and In-Group Preference on Racial Tolerance**
Recent studies show printing Christianity correlates with racial intolerance, but offer no causal mechanisms. By incorporating measures of political values and religious interpretation, this paper seeks a more complete understanding of this link.
Eric L. McDaniel, *University of Texas*
emcdaniel@mail.utexas.edu
John Matthew Todorola, *University of Texas, Austin*
john.todorola@gmail.com
- 3:33 **Ethnicity, God or America: Identity-Based Appeals and Support for Immigration Reform Among Latinos**
Using a survey experiment, this paper tests the conditional effects of identity-based appeals on support for immigration reform. Treatments varied messages between appeals to a pan-ethnic identity, a religious identity and an American identity.
Ali Adam Valenzuela, *Stanford University*
alvalenzuela@gmail.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Randal Maurice Jelks, *University of Kansas***
mjelks@ku.edu
- Disc., Benjamin R. Knoll, *Centre College*
benjamin.knoll@centre.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-9 **Punishment and Liberalism in Theory and in Practice**
Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Craig Richard Ewasko, *Drexel University***
cre26@drexel.edu
- 2:45 **Freedom and Just Punishment in the Thought of John Locke**
Locke's strange doctrine of punishment is integral to his political theory. But in addressing how we come to merit punishment, Locke paints a picture of moral education dependent on punishments, which presents problems for his political project.
Teresa M. Bejan, *Yale University*
teresa.bejan@yale.edu
- 3:00 **Regulations of Punishment and Peace: Early Modern International Law, Imperial Acquisition, and the Formation of Irregular Peace**
This paper reconsiders the relationship between punishment and peace in early modern political theories of international law, specifically the implicit distinctions between European and non-European political space.
Meredith Idris, *University of Pennsylvania*
midris@sas.upenn.edu
- 3:15 **Love and War in St. Augustine**
This paper argues that the outstanding criterion for determining the morality of war is found in Augustinian love, where absolute temporal security is declared an impossibility and where punishment can serve as an act of benevolence.
Lani Rowe, *Yale University*
lani.rowe@yale.edu
- 3:30 **War and Punishment in the Political Theory of Thomas Hobbes**
This paper offers a critical account of the "rule of law" ideology central to liberalism through a consideration of the (non-)distinction between punishment and hostility in one canonical social contract account of political legitimacy.
Megan Wachspress, *University of California, Berkeley*
megan.wachspress@gmail.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Craig Richard Ewasko, *Drexel University***
cre26@drexel.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 35-7 **Arendt, Tocqueville, and the Democratic Polity**
Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Jacob Segal, *Kingsborough Community College***
jsegal@kbcc.cuny.edu
- 2:45 **A School or a Stage? Tocqueville and Arendt on Politics and Education**
I contrast Arendt's "performative" understanding of politics with Tocqueville's "educative" conception. Arendt warns that politics and education must remain separate, but Tocqueville is correct that education is a key task of democratic leadership.
Brian Donoff, *Miami University*
donoffb@msuohio.edu
- 3:00 **Who Participates? Schumpeter and Arendt's Conceptions of the Role of Elites in Democracy**
Schumpeter and Arendt both offer understandings of how democracy can function through the use of elites. This paper presents their formulations and compares them in order to understand if elites are a realistic necessity to democracy.
Emily Cross Hilty, *Indiana University*
ehilty@indiana.edu
- 3:15 **Desire, Frustration, Reaction: Sartre, Elster, and Tocqueville on the Politics of Sour Grapes**
In this essay, I will examine the cognitive processes assumed by the famous children's fable, "The Fox and the Grapes," through Sartre and Elster, and then apply their insights to political behavior through Tocqueville's Democracy in America.
Michael Locke McLeandro, *California State University, Los Angeles*
mclend2@calstatela.edu
- 3:30 **Liberation vs. Freedom: The Social Contract Tradition and Arendt's Republican Promise**
This paper examines Arendt's critique of the social contract tradition and the republican alternative that she proposed.
Lori Molinar, *University of Notre Dame*
lmolinar@nd.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Jacob Segal, *Kingsborough Community College***
jsegal@kbcc.cuny.edu
Disc., Paul Ulrich, *Carthage College*
paulrich@carthage.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-21 **Extremism**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Phillip W. Gray, *Louisiana University***
phillip.w.gray@gmail.com
- 2:45 **Albert Camus, the Terrorist, Outrageous Humanism and Legitimate Violence**
Camus' absurdity is a psychological rebellion against loss in which violence may be deemed legitimate only insofar as it remains absurd, i.e., not meaningful. For Camus, only absurd violence is moral, and, by extension, an absurd morality is violent.
Matthew Hamilton Bowker, *Medaille College*
mhb34@medaille.edu
- 3:05 **Stalin: An Arendtian Interpretation**
In my paper I will argue that Hannah Arendt's writings on totalitarianism and evil provide an analytical framework for understanding the frightening banality of Joseph Stalin and Bolshevik totalitarianism.
Ashley Elizabeth Gorbun, *University of Maryland*
agorbun@gvpl.umd.edu
- 3:25 **Disc., Robert Lee Oprisko, *Purdue University***
roprisko@purdue.edu
- 3:45 **Audience Discussion**

- 37-2** **Nation-Building and Shared Values**
 Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 Chair, Jon X. Eguba, *New York University*
 eguba@nyu.edu
- 2:45** **Private Information and Political Freedom**
 Complementarities between productive activity and rebellion lead authoritarian governments to repress innovation. This gives democracies a productive edge when moral hazard is an important feature of productive activity
 John B. Loundregan, *Princeton University*
 jbl@princeton.edu
- 3:05** **A Theory of Leadership and State-Building**
 This paper will discuss how ideas from moral-hazard agency theory and reputational contracting can be applied to better understand the problems of state-building.
 Roger B. Myerson, *University of Chicago*
 myerson@uchicago.edu
- 3:25** **A Model of Shared Meaning**
 I characterize groups by the way in which members attach shared values to different issues, or attributes. This "shared meaning" determines each member's perception of the political landscape. In equilibrium, these perceptions are self-generating.
 Elizabeth Magglo Penn, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 penn@wumsl.edu
- 3:45** **Disc., Daniel Diermeier, Northwestern University**
 d-diermeier@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 4:05** **Audience Discussion**
- 38-6** **Test and Discourse in Quantitative Methods**
 LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 Chair, Shawn Trier, *University of Minnesota*
 strier@umn.edu
- 2:45** **Persuading Voters With Lots of Words: Predicting the Effects of TV Ads Using One-at-a-time Regression and Automated Text Analysis**
 The variety and brevity of tv ads makes measuring their effects difficult. A new approach combining one-at-a-time regression followed by automated text analysis successfully measures the effects of each ad, and can predict the effects of new ones.
 Nick Beachamp, *New York University*
 nick.beachamp@nyu.edu
- 3:00** **Estimating Party Cohesion from Political Texts**
 We present a modified scaling procedure based on Wordfish (Slapin and Prokash 2008) that attempts to better reflect the data generating mechanism underlying the writing of the election manifesto.
 James Lo, *University of Mannheim*
 lo@uni-mannheim.de
 Sven-Oliver Prokash, *University of Mannheim*
 prokash@uni-mannheim.de
 Jonathan B. Slapin, *Trinity College, Dublin*
 slapinj@tcd.ie
- 3:15** **Characterizing Uncertainty When Estimating Positions from Political Texts**
 We set out a conceptual foundation for uncertainty arising from textual data and evaluate several methods for estimating it, including analytical approaches, bootstrapping methods, and MCMC-based simulation. We use real and simulated political texts.
 Will Lowe, *Massachusetts University*
 willjl@umass.edu
 Kenneth R. Bennett, *Trinity College, Dublin*
 kbennet@tcd.ie
- 3:30** **Inferring Ideal Points With Help From the Political Discourse or Why Everything You Know About NOMINATE Scores is Wrong**
 This paper projects the ideal points of ideological pundits into the space estimated by NOMINATE scores and finds evidence that the space is jointly generated by ideology and some other force, orthogonal to ideology as it is defined by the pundits.
- 3:45** **Disc., David P. Fine, University of Minnesota**
 dfine@umn.edu
- 4:05** **Audience Discussion**
- 39-1** **Understanding Political Behavior in the Internet Era**
 Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 Chair, Karen A. Mossberger, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
 mossberg@uic.edu
- 2:45** **The Political Twitterverse: An Examination of the Motivational Factors Behind Political Tweeting**
 We employ survey data from a sample of political tweeters paired with data from 6 million tweets from 2 million individuals to examine the motivations for and effects of political tweeting.
 Leitcha Bode, *University of Wisconsin*
 lbode@wisc.edu
 Kajan Daleympis, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 kdaleympis@wisc.edu
 Devans V. Shah, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 dshah@wisc.edu
- 3:00** **Tuning the Information Tide: Americans' Thoughts on Information Overload, Polarization and Social Media**
 From a series of focus groups with adults from around the U.S., this study reports on new media adopters' perceptions of and reactions to the shift from push broadcasting and headlines to the pull dynamics of online search.
 Esther Hargittai, *Northwestern University*
 mhca@hargittai.com
 W. Russell Neuman, *University of Michigan*
 neuman@umich.edu
 Olivia Curry, *Northwestern University*
 oliviacurry2007@u.northwestern.edu
- 3:15** **The Evolution of the Political Blogosphere: Introducing Blogosphere Authority Index 2.0**
 After 2 years of data collection, the Blogosphere Authority Index will undergo a major revision in winter 2011. This paper presents distributional findings from 2008-2010 and introduces new features of this open data resource for blog researchers.
 David Adam Karpf, *Brown University*
 davidkarpf@gmail.com
- 3:30** **Like: Quantifying the Effect of Social Media Endorsement Mechanisms**
 By altering the social media endorsements of political candidates a survey experiment tests the effectiveness of platform based endorsement mechanisms to influence public opinion.
 Holly Tereak, *Georgia State University*
 htereak@gsu.edu
- 3:45** **Disc., David Lazer, Northeastern University**
 davidlazer@gmail.com
- 4:05** **Audience Discussion**
- 40-6** **Strategic Concerns for Interest Groups**
 Parlor G on the 6th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 Chair, Maryann Barakat, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
 barakat@polsci.umass.edu
- 2:45** **The Politics of Need or the Politics of Politics? Exploring Foundation Grantmaking to Anti-Abortion Nonprofits During Highly Competitive Elections**
 This paper explores the capacity of nonprofit behavior theory and rational political actor theory to explain patterns of philanthropic foundation grantmaking to "onset pregnancy" nonprofit organizations across US states between 2003 and 2008.
 Celeste Elizabeth Benson, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 cbenson@ssc.wisc.edu

- 2:57 **The Interest Group Entrepreneur as a Seeker of Profit: Testing Exchange Theory**
I submit Salisbury's exchange theory of interest groups to an empirical test and discuss its implications for the study of interest groups.
Austin Clemens, *University of Georgia*
austinc1@uga.edu
- 3:09 **Let Me Be Clear: Experiential Search, Informative Messages, and Membership Activity at Organizations for America**
This paper examines the relationship, suggested by experiential search theory, between the informational richness of group membership experiences with members' later propensities to take actions requested by group leaders.
Jonathan D. Klingler, *University of Rochester*
jonathanklingler24@gmail.com
- 3:21 **Different Agendas: Separating Lobbyists from Interest Groups**
Using our survey of California lobbyists, principal-agent theory, and bureaucratic preference structure, we attempt to examine if lobbyists are distinctly different from the interest groups they represent.
Matthew Lesenyie, *University of Nevada, Reno*
mlsenyie@unr.edu
- 3:33 **Measuring Interest Group Efficiency in Policy-Making Processes**
In a highly competitive market, interest groups are advised to spend resources efficiently. By applying economic production theories to the process of interest intermediation the paper proposes a framework for evaluating interest group efficiency.
Frank Wittmann, *FernUniversität Hagen*
frank.wittmann@fernuni-hagen.de
- 3:45 **Disc., Dmitry A. Shapiro, University of North Carolina, Charlotte**
dshapiro@unc.edu
Disc., Jonathan Wood, Stanford University
wood@stanford.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 41-6 **Presidential Leadership in Times of Crisis**
Orant Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Chair, George A. Krause, *University of Pittsburgh*
gkrause@pitt.edu
- 2:40 **Organizing and Reorganizing for Homeland Security in the Post-9/11 White House**
We investigate establishment, functioning, evolution, and effectiveness of the post 9/11 White House Homeland Security apparatus.
David B. Cohen, *University of Akron*
dcohen@uakron.edu
Terrence M. O'Sullivan, *University of Akron*
tmo@uakron.edu
- 3:05 **Governors and Presidents in Disasters: Executive Decision Making in Natural Disasters, 1943-2005**
This paper focuses on executive decision-making during natural disasters. The paper identifies the factors that prompt governors and presidents to request assistance for natural disasters and prompt presidents to grant or reject that assistance.
R. Steven Daniels, *California State University, Bakersfield*
rdaniels@csusb.edu
- 3:25 **From Isolation to Engagement: The Evolution of Presidential Responses to Mass Atrocities**
This paper argues that the United States initially failed to respond to mass atrocities at the beginning of the post-Cold War period, but has steadily assumed an international leadership role in this area.
Andrew C. Miller, *Georgetown University*
am399@georgetown.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., George A. Krause, University of Pittsburgh**
gkrause@pitt.edu
Disc., Thomas Langston, Tulane University
langston@tulane.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 42-11 **Constituency Interests in Congress**
Barnham 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Chair, Boris Shor, *University of Chicago*
bshor@uchicago.edu
- 2:40 **The Impact of Industrial Concentration on Legislative Success**
I examine how the dispersion of an industry across congressional districts, and the concentration of employees within each district, affects the likelihood that the industry will be successful in pushing favorable legislation through the House.
Jason Kelly, *Princeton University*
jpk2@princeton.edu
- 3:00 **Vote Mapping and Support for the U.S. Congress**
This paper investigates the determinants of support for congressional decisions. It uses survey experiments on national samples in multiple years in order to isolate the effects of vote margins, partisanship, and issue salience.
Eric D. Lawrence, *George Washington University*
edl@gwu.edu
Forrest A. Maltrana, *George Washington University*
forrest@gwu.edu
Sarah Blader, *George Washington University*
blader@gwu.edu
- 3:15 **The Political Economy of Parks: Demand-Side Determinants of New Federal Assistance in the 110th Congress**
This paper presents evidence that new bureaucratic awards distributed during the 110th Congress were significantly influenced by measures of local demand and economic factors like income and unemployment.
Matthew Leonard, *Georgia State University*
mleonard@state.gsu.edu
- 3:30 **Party Effect on the Distribution of Federal Outlays: A Regression Discontinuity Approach**
We use a regression discontinuity design to investigate the effect of majority party status on a member's ability to secure distributive benefits for her district in the U.S. House of Representatives.
Kelly Radar, *Columbia University*
kr2102@columbia.edu
Austin Nichols, *The Urban Institute*
anichols@urban.org
- 3:45 **Disc., Kris C. Miller, University of Illinois**
kmiller@uiuc.edu
Disc., Boris Shor, University of Chicago
bshor@uchicago.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 44-4 **Federalism Old and New**
Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Chair, Michael R. Flue, *University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire*
mflue@uwec.edu
- 2:40 **State Foreign Policy, Federalism, and the U.S. Supreme Court**
This paper examines the Supreme Court's federalism policymaking in the area of foreign policy preemption, and assesses it in light of changes in the international system and increasing foreign policy activism among states.
John C. Blakeman, *University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point*
john.blakeman@uwsp.edu
Christopher Paul Banks, *Kent State University*
cbanks@kent.edu
- 3:00 **A Prescription for Constitutional Challenges: The Commerce Clause and Health Care Reform**
This paper examines the Commerce Clause arguments employed by states and interest groups in opposition to recent national healthcare

- refers. Potential for successful challenge at the Supreme Court in light of case law and membership is assessed.
 Christopher Budzisz, *Loras College*
 Christopher.Budzisz@loras.edu
 John H. Vincent, *Lincoln Land Community College*
 John.Vincent@llcc.edu
- 3:15 **Fundamental From an American Perspective or Ambiguous History? A Critical Analysis of U.S. Supreme Court Justice' Fourteenth Amendment Jurisprudence in McDonald vs. Chicago**
 In *McDonald v. Chicago*, the Supreme Court held that the Second Amendment applies to the states. This paper examines the justices' reasoning and compares each one's theories to previous jurisprudence on the application of rights to the states.
 Eric T. Kuiper, *University of Wisconsin, Barron County*
 eric.kuiper@uwbc.edu
- 3:30 **O'Connor's Federalism: Balancing State and Individual Rights on the O'Connor Court**
 This paper uses the work of Justice Sandra Day O'Connor to discuss and analyze rights-based federalism, a uniquely incremental balance between democracy and constitutionalism
 Eric van der Vort, *Bell State University*
 eavanderort@bsu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Michael R. Flax, University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire**
 flaxmr@uwec.edu
Disc., John Patrick Fortea, Miami University
 fortenj@muohio.edu
Disc., Charles A. Smith, University of California, Irvine
 coysmithuci@gmail.com
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 45-8 **Judicial Activism, Regime Politics, and the U.S. Supreme Court**
 Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 Chair, Howard Katz, *Elon University School of Law*
 hkatz@elon.edu
- 2:40 **Reinforcing the Role of the Supreme Court in Critical Election Periods**
 Following critical elections and the appointment of a majority of justices by the new dominant political coalition, does the Supreme Court engage in accelerated judicial activism to strike down policies of the rejected political regime?
 Jenna Becker, *Temple University*
 jenna.becker@temple.edu
 Nicolas Anspach, *Temple University*
 nick.anpach@temple.edu
 David Adamany, *Temple University*
 adamany@temple.edu
- 3:00 **Judicial Activism in Support of the Opponent Coalition: The Supreme Court and the Politics of Finance, 1836-1873**
 Decisions of Taney and Chase Courts in the area of banking and finance provide support for the claim that judicial review by the politically insulated judiciary can be advantageous to leaders of the majority party coalition.
 David Dehnert, *Augsburg College*
 daviddehnert@augusiana.edu
- 3:15 **The Political Determinants of Judicial Review**
 This paper employs a data set of every federal statute enacted between 1868 and 2008 to test the claims in the regime politics literature that judicial review is used to serve the interests of the dominant governing regime.
 Matthew E. X. Hall, *St. Louis University*
 mh100@slu.edu
- 3:30 **Do Activist Justices Need Legitimation Too?: Judicial Activism and the Citation of Precedent on the Supreme Court**
 Do activist justices pay less attention to precedent? Under the lens of legitimation theory, I examine whether activist justices systematically differ in their citation practices from their more deferential colleagues.
 Robert Reid Robinson, *University of Alabama, Birmingham*
 rober7@uab.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Howard Katz, Elon University School of Law**
 hkatz@elon.edu
- 4:05 **Disc., Joseph D. Iru, Texas A&M University**
 jru@politics.tamu.edu
 Audience Discussion
- 46-9 **Policy Diffusion in the U.S. States**
 Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 Chair, Lillard Richardson, *University of Missouri*
 richardsonle@missouri.edu
- 2:45 **The Determinants of State Policy Innovations**
 We re-assess the broad determinants of state policy innovation with new data covering almost 200 different policies using pooled event history analysis.
 Frederick J. Boehmke, *University of Iowa*
 frederick-boehmke@uiowa.edu
 Paul Joseph Skinner, *University of Iowa*
 paul-skinner@uiowa.edu
- 2:57 **Everybody was Kung Fu Fighting: The Diffusion of Mixed Martial Arts Legislation in the American States**
 Event History Models are employed to test spatial diffusion theories tracking the spread of mixed martial arts legalization in the 50 states.
 Joshua J. Dyck, *SUNY, Buffalo*
 jdyck@buffalo.edu
 Megan Cole, *SUNY, Buffalo*
 megancole@buffalo.edu
- 3:09 **Policy Diffusion and Policy Reversion: The Adoption and Reform of State Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Laws**
 This paper illustrates the usefulness of defining diffusion as a process rather than an outcome by examining the adoption and reform of state mandatory minimum sentencing laws and showing how distinct forces affected these two waves of policy change.
 Andrew Karch, *University of Minnesota*
 akarch@umn.edu
 Matthew Craven, *University of Minnesota*
 crave043@umn.edu
- 3:21 **Searching for Colds: Gambling Expansion in the New England States**
 As state deficits increased, the competition for gambling revenue has also increased. This paper analyzes the economics and politics of that competition using the competition in New England as a primary example.
 Richard Andrew McGowan, *Boston College*
 mcgowanr@bc.edu
- 3:33 **The Social Contagion Model: Exploring the Role of Public Opinion on the Diffusion of Anti-smoking Legislation across the American States**
 Using a unique dataset on state opinion towards smoking bans in restaurants, I find that (1) opinion responds to neighboring policies and (2) the probability of adopting a smoking ban increases as opinion becomes more supportive of a ban.
 Julianne Lynn Pacheco, *University of Michigan*
 jpacheco@umich.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Lillard Richardson, University of Missouri**
 richardsonle@missouri.edu
Disc., Jami Kathleen Taylor, University of Toledo
 jami.taylor@yaboo.com
- 4:05 Audience Discussion

- 47-14 Politics of Local Planning**
 Room Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Sharon Kretetz, Clark University
 skretetz@clarku.edu
- 2:45 They Don't Really Care about Us: Municipal Ban on Senior Housing Developments**
 Suburban municipalities are denying Senior Housing developers permits to build multi-unit housing developments.
 Susan Lombard Gaffney, Governors State University
 s-gaffney@govst.edu
- 2:57 Local Business and Interest Group Influence**
 A survey of members of the American Planning Association measures interest group involvement in planning decisions. Interest group access varies based on the political structure, economic and demographic characteristics of the municipality.
 Erik S. Heberlig, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
 ehberli@unc Charlotte.edu
 David C. Reed, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
 dreed@unc Charlotte.edu
 Suzanne Marie Leland, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
 sleland@unc Charlotte.edu
- 3:09 Conserving Built Heritage for the People: Civil Society at Work in an East Asian Metropolitan City**
 Heritage conservation is politically controversial, especially in an urbanized city with colonial past. This paper uses Hong Kong as a case and examines how civil society manages to preserve built heritage in the East Asian metropolitan city.
 Anthony Ho Pak Li, Chinese University of Hong Kong
 anthony_lihpk@cuhk.edu.hk
- 3:21 Reclaiming the Commons? City Government Responses to Urban Agriculture**
 Using multiple governments as our level of analysis, we look toward creating a model land use policy. We construct a simple urban agricultural charter that reflects the best practices approach.
 Melissa Jeanette Scheiner, Georgetown College
 Melissa_Scheiner@GeorgetownCollege.edu
 Stephen T. Margolis, Georgetown College
 Stephen_McGowan@georgetowncollege.edu
- 3:33 Setting the Stage for Urban Redevelopment Policies: Comparing Planning Cultures in Brazil and the United States**
 This paper makes a brief comparison between the Brazilian and U.S. planning cultures, contrasting the land use systems in how they support representative cases of local redevelopment policies.
 Marlon Staehle, University of Illinois, Chicago
 marlsta@sybau.com.br
- 3:45 Disc., Laura A. Reese, Michigan State University
 reeseal@wayne.edu**
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 47-15 Political Efficacy, Representation, and Involvement: Assessing the Role of Local Context**
 Room Bunsen 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Jeffrey Kraus, Wagner College
 jkraus@wagner.edu
- 2:45 The Political Consequences of Big-Box Retail: Wal-Mart and Political Efficacy**
 Combining ANES panel studies and original data, we examine whether the introduction of Wal-Marts into communities changes individual assessments of political efficacy. We compare such dynamics in the early 1990s to the early 2000s.
 David S. Brown, University of Colorado
 David_S.Brown@colorado.edu
- 2:57 Evaluating the Participation of the Foreign-Born in Local Elections**
 Using a dataset that is a census of all Utah voters, this paper equates the effects of key individual and contextual variables on foreign-born participation in local elections in a new immigrant destination.
 Melissa Goldsmith, University of Utah
 mmg7@utah.edu
 Claudio A. Holzner, University of Utah
 claudio.holzner@poh-sc.utah.edu
- 3:09 The Effect and Interaction of Population Size, Density, and Homogeneity on Local Political Participation: An Empirical Study in Michigan**
 Using data from a summer 2005 survey of Michigan residents and the 2000 Census, we examine the contingent effects of city population density and economic and racial homogeneity on the relationship between city size and local political participation.
 Catherine Schmidt, Wayne State University
 ws4367@wayne.edu
 Jared B. Carr, Wayne State University
 jcarr@wayne.edu
- 3:21 What Makes Suburbs Politically Different? The Influence of Commuting, Density, and Distance on Voting Patterns, 2004-2008**
 The paper investigates causes behind suburban voting, finding that the very attributes that define suburbs, population density, distance from the city center, and commuting patterns, each mitigate typical patterns of suburban voting.
 Jeremy M. Teigen, Ramapo College
 jteigen@ramapo.edu
- 3:33 When Size Matters: Local Government, Distributive Politics, and Redistricting**
 One theoretical consequence of gerrymandering is that the politicized drawing of district lines makes it confusing for constituents and MOCs to match up their interests. We ask how this confusion affects representation and public policy.
 Michael W. Wagner, University of Nebraska
 mwagner3@unl.edu
 Jonathan Winburn, University of Mississippi
 jwinburn@olemiss.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Loan Le, University of California, Los Angeles
 lle@polisci.ucla.edu**
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 49-5 Rural and Community Health Programs**
 Room Crystal on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 Chair, Mark Mellott, Clemson University
 mellott@clemson.edu
- 2:45 Sustainability of Rural Community Health Information Infrastructure: Clinics, Coffee Shops, and Connections**
 This paper examines electronic health information in the broader context of the ways in which rural consumers and health care providers interact in a frontier rural community and the sustainability issues that the case raises.
 Sue E. S. Crawford, Creighton University
 suecrafor@creighton.edu
- 2:57 Death and Politics: The Social, Economic, and Political Sources of County-Level Mortality Rates in the United States**
 Examines, primarily, the link between the levels and changes in death rates and income inequality.
 Jeffrey W. Ladewig, University of Connecticut
 jeffrey.ladewig@uconn.edu
 Timothy Callaghan, University of Connecticut
 TimothyCallaghan@uconn.edu
- 3:09 So Close and Yet So Far Away: An Overview of Research on Local Health Departments and Opportunities for Future Research**
 This paper will provide a comprehensive overview of previous research conducted in regard to local and county health departments, as well as a research agenda with which to examine how these departments can be strengthened.
 Nathan Myers, Indiana State University
 nathan.myers@indstate.edu

- 3:21 **Local Legal Infrastructure and Its Association With Population Health**
 We hypothesize that the legal infrastructure of local public health, as expressed in the exercise of local fiscal and legislative authority, affects local population health outcomes.
 Dana Patton, *University of Kentucky*
 dana.patt@uky.edu
 Jella Costello, *University of Kentucky*
 jfcost10@uky.edu
- 3:33 **Community Organization Five Years After a Municipal Youth and Family Master Plan**
 This paper analyzes community organization outcomes of the Pomona Youth and Family Master Plan (YFMP) implemented from 2005 to 2010 through participatory governance and community health partnerships. Partners' and youth perceptions are reviewed.
 David Beason Tolaw, *Indiana University, Kokomo*
 dhtolaw@iuyahoo.com
 Shabazz Buzurgan-Ejazad, *Charles R. Drew University*
 sbuzurg@cdrewu.edu
 Frederick W. James, *Southwest Childhood Foundation*
 fjamess63@charter.net
- 3:45 **Disc., Sara E. S. Crawford, Creighton University**
 scrawford@creighton.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-9 **Focusing Events in the Policy Process: Disaster, Crisis, and Policy Responsiveness**
 Room
 Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Brian J. Gerber, University of Colorado, Denver**
 brian.gerber@colorado.edu
 2:45 **A New Face of Poverty?: Economic Crisis and Poverty Distortions**
 This paper explores how economic downturns shape poverty knowledge.
 Helen Abble Ertel, *Kentron College*
 erleh@kentron.edu
 2:57 **The Role of Political Partnership During Economic Crisis**
 Using indicators of structural reforms in labour, product, and financial markets for twenty-five OECD countries over the 1975-2008 period, I analyze the role of major economic crises and of political partnership in enhancing, or hindering, reforms.
 Vincenza Galasso, *Bocconi University*
 vincenzagalasso@unibocconi.it
 3:09 **Shaking Up State Disaster Policy: How Does Risk Communication Influence Public Perception and Policy Making?**
 Preparing citizens, businesses, and governments for the risk of a major earthquake in Missouri creates new challenges in risk communication and policy making. The author studies how risk communication influences public perception and policy making.
 Mark James, *University of Oklahoma*
 mark.james-1@ou.edu
 Haley Murphy, *University of Oklahoma*
 haleychristinmurphy@ou.edu
- 3:21 **Terror, Security, and Money: Balancing the Risks, Benefits, and Costs of Homeland Security**
 Applying standard cost-benefit and risk assessment procedures to the \$1 trillion increase in U.S. homeland security spending since 2001 suggests it has been wildly inefficient and wasteful unless it has managed to avert 1,667 large attacks each year.
 John Mueller, *Ohio State University*
 bobm@osu.edu
 Mark G. Stewart, *University of Newcastle, Australia*
 mark.stewart@newcastle.edu.au
- 3:33 **Terror and the Economy: Which Institutions Help Mitigate the Damage?**
 Economic Cost of Terrorism, Democracy, Capitalism
- Jeffrey R. Weber, *Trinity College, Dublin*
 weberj@trinc.edu
 Sally Anderson, *Florida State University*
 sallya@fsu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Brian J. Gerber, University of Colorado, Denver**
 brian.gerber@colorado.edu
Disc., Abdul-Akeem Sadiq, Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis
 msadiq@iupui.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-7 **Energy Policy**
 Room
 Buckingham on the 5th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Leigh Raymond, Purdue University**
 leyraymond@purdue.edu
 2:45 **The Political Economy of Support for U.S. Energy Legislation**
 This paper examines the political and economic determinants of support of U.S. energy legislation during the period 1970-2010
 Nancy E. Bruce, *University of New Mexico*
 nancybruce@gmail.com
- 3:00 **Federal and State Policy Predictability: Implications for Renewable Energy Policies**
 This paper explores the effects of different patterns of policy predictability on the adoption of renewable energy policies in the American states. It examines the effects of uncertainty and responses to federal and state policy predictability.
 Daniel Florino, *American University*
 dflorino@american.edu
 Jiaqi Liang, *American University*
 j13510@student.american.edu
- 3:15 **Fracking Across the USA: Divergent Political Responses to Unconventional Energy Development**
 Hydraulic fracturing (fracking) allows for new development of unconventional natural gas deposits from the Rockies to the Appalachians. We explain the disparate political responses between Northeastern and Western states affected by this energy boom.
 Andrew R. Kear, *Colorado State University*
 andrew.kear@colorado.edu
 Robert E. Farber Jr., *Yale University*
 robert.farber@yale.edu
- 3:30 **Clean Energy Subsidies and International Trade: Negative Political Spillovers?**
 The paper develops an argument for international regulation of national subsidies for the development of clean energy sources.
 Pedro R. N. Silva, *New York University*
 ps222@nyu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Daniel E. Marletta, University of Central Florida**
 danie.marletta@yahoo.com
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 53-9 **Leveraging Technology to Increase Participation and Performance of Public and Nonprofit Organizations**
 Room
 Wilson on the 3rd floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Kelly Leroux, University of Illinois, Chicago**
 kleroux@uic.edu
 2:45 **Why Do Citizens Adopt E-Participation Programs? Individual Social Capital, E-Participation Service Quality and E-Participation Values**
 Using the 2009 E-Participation Survey data, this study examines how individual social capital, perceived e-participation program quality and e-participation values affect citizens' adoption of e-participation programs.
 Joohol Lee, *University of Idaho*
 joohol@uidaho.edu
 Soohyeek Kim, *Syracuse University*
 soohyeekim@maxwell.syr.edu

- 3:09 **Who Uses Social Media Technology to Interact with the Government?**
Drawing on the 2009 Pew survey data collected, this study explores why citizens adopt social media technologies to interact with government.
Joelle Lee, *University of Idaho*
joellel@uidaho.edu
Jee Moon, *Texas A&M University*
jmoon@buschschool.tamu.edu
Choi-Young Roh, *East Tennessee State University*
roh@etsu.edu
- 3:15 **Friendstalking: Online Relationship Management Efforts of Illinois Nonprofit Organizations**
This research will seek to examine how small and medium size nonprofit organizations are using technology, particularly their website, to build and support stakeholder relationships.
Albela Schuttebaum, *Northern Illinois University*
aschuttebaum@niu.edu
Aaron Stahly, *Northern Illinois University*
astahly@gmail.com
- 3:34 **A Comparative Study on the Current Practices of State IT Public Policy in the United States**
This presentation will discuss key components of IT public policy for regional economic development and share the result of a comparative study on the current practices of IT public policy provided for in all 50 state statutes in the United States.
Changsoo Song, *University of Nebraska, Omaha*
changsoosong@mail.unomaha.edu
Kenneth A. Kriz, *University of Nebraska, Omaha*
kkriz@mail.unomaha.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Kathleen Hale, Auburn University**
khaler@auburn.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 54-7 **The Underpinnings of Civil Rights Politics**
Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Chair, Michael Jovin Fortner, *Drexel University*
fortner@fas.harvard.edu
- 2:45 **Malcolm X at Oxford: Race and American Political Thought at the Crossroads**
Malcolm X's participation in the Oxford Union debates in 1964 reflected a transition in American racial politics and political thought. This paper explores the rhetoric and implications of Malcolm X's visit to the UK months before his assassination.
Salinda Malik Ambar, *Lehigh University*
sm409@lehigh.edu
- 2:57 **The Fallout: John O. Holly, Frank Lausche, and the Politics of Race in Ohio, 1941-1948**
In 1948, Frank Lausche, later Ohio Governor, and John Holly, a black activist from Cleveland, cemented a political alliance that would mutually benefit both men, at least for a time. It would also help transform the politics of race in Ohio.
William Daniel Angel, *Ohio State University, Lima*
wangel.1@osu.edu
- 3:09 **Black/Brown Relations: Underpinnings and Limits of Intergroup Cooperation Between African Americans and Mexican Americans**
African Americans and Mexican Americans have a shared history in the U.S.; yet they have frequently come into conflict as each group fought for civil rights. This paper examines the history of black/brown relations, exploring the causes of disunity.
Brian D. Behrken, *Towson State University*
bbehken@towson.edu
Jose Angel Gutierrez, *University of Texas, Arlington*
JGutierrez@uta.edu
- 3:21 **Institutional Change: The Supreme Court's Agenda Shift from Economic Issues to Civil Rights in the 1930s and 1940s**
This paper examines the factors that influenced the shift in the United States Supreme Court's agenda from economic issues to civil rights issues in the 1930s and 1940s.
Jessica Curtis, *University of Missouri, St. Louis*
jlc7h4@umsl.edu
- 3:33 **How Does the Court Promote Political Regimes? Grand Upright Supreme Court Nominee and the Challenges of Partisan Entrenchment**
This article explores the reasons for President Grant's failure to adopt a partisan antieconomic strategy - to entrench civil rights policies through the Supreme Court appointment process.
Lynda Dadd, *City College of New York, CUNY*
ldadd@ccny.cuny.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., David Alexander Bateman, University of Pennsylvania**
batemand@pas.upenn.edu
Disc., Michael Jovin Fortner, *Drexel University*
fortner@fas.harvard.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 54-8 **The American Founding, Alternatives and Unintended Consequences**
Room
2:40
Chair, Matthew Glassman, *Congressional Research Service*
mglassman@crs.loc.gov
- 2:45 **An Anti-Federalist Constitution**
The Anti-Federalists, though varied, found agreement on many points beyond simple opposition. This counterfactual document represents a proposed government that would have received widespread support among the opponents to ratification.
Michael J. Faber, *Duke University*
m.faber@duke.edu
- 2:57 **With Coke in One Hand and the Bible in the Other**
The political conflicts of the Stuart era and the attendant political theorizing have been diminished by recent scholarship distorting their significance in the evolution of the understanding of separation of powers.
Walter Jerry Kendall, *John Marshall Law School*
Wkendall@jmls.edu
- 3:09 **Does It Make a Difference if Election Law is Constitutional Law? Testing the Electoral Ideas of the Founding against the Disfranchisement Practices of the Early Republic**
Taking the measure of 18th-century American conceptions of election reform in light of 19th-century practices of state constitutional conventions and disfranchisement policies.
Kieran Neuharauer, *St. Louis University*
kneuhar1@slu.edu
- 3:21 **From Toothpick to Pillar: A Mechanism of Path Dependence in the Enduring Electoral College**
An analysis and explanation of the enduring American Electoral College centered on a study of both the logic behind, and the successes and failures of, legitimacy claims made by presidential candidates.
Kelly Ann Fleck Rivers, *University of California, Irvine*
karrivers@uci.edu
- 3:33 **Unintended Consequences: The Origins, Purpose, and Results of the Electoral College**
The Electoral College was a jury-rigged effort to overcome problems, real and imagined, for selecting a national executive. The analyses underlying it were flawed, and its results were largely unanticipated by its designers.
Paul W. Zagorski, *Pittsburg State University*
zagorski@pittstate.edu

- 3:45 **Disc., Matthew Glassman, Congressional Research Service**
mglassman@crs.loc.gov
Disc., Kevin Stevens, United States Air Force Academy
kevstevn@a3.com
4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 56-10 **Political Parties, Interest Groups, and Religion**
Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Benjamin Lyward, Illinois Institute of Technology**
blyward@gmail.com
2:45 **In Legal Cultures, But Not of It? The Puzzle of Evangelical Legal Organizations**
Through a detailed case study of three prominent evangelical legal organizations, this paper examines variations in structure, strategy and message framing within the evangelical legal community, as well as their linkages to other evangelical groups.
David R. Bennett, Southern Illinois University
bennetd@siu.edu
Tobin Grant, Southern Illinois University
grant@siu.edu
3:00 **Minnesota's Joint Religious Legislative Coalition: An Experiment in Interfaith Advocacy**
With the importance of interfaith dialogue highlighted in several recent political controversies, this paper explores how interfaith advocacy groups navigate the complexities of theology and politics to build successful policy agendas.
Katherine E. Knutson, Gustavus Adolphus College
kknutso@gustavus.edu
Jaqueline Schwenn, Gustavus Adolphus College
jschwenn@gustavus.edu
3:15 **Religious Mobilization in a Comparative Perspective: A Cross-National Study of Religious Parties in Catholic and Sunni-Majority Countries**
Why do religious parties participate in some elections but not in others? Using an original dataset of 206 elections in Catholic and Sunni majority states, this paper explores the impact of tradition and regime type, along with other key variables.
Luis E. Montilla, Georgetown University
lms6@georgetown.edu
3:30 **The Morality of Health Care Reform: The Christian Left and New Opportunities for Activism**
This paper seeks to understand the goals and strategies of progressive Christian organizations by examining their use of modern tools and faith-based mobilization to shape the health care debate.
Shannon Marie Seetee, SEBY, Albany
s131955@albany.edu
3:45 **Disc., Kenneth Godwin, University of North Carolina, Charlotte**
godwin1k@gmail.com
4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 60-240 **Comparative Politics Posters I**
Room Grand on the 4th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Post. 1 **The Political Economy of Qatar's Education Reform**
This paper discusses the political economy of Qatar's education reform. It argues that Qatar's political stability, election history, and the determination of the Emir to expand his basis of support contributed to the success of the reform effort.
Mohamed Alaa Abdel-Moneim, American University
mohamedalaa@yahoo.com
Rita Simon, American University
rsimon@american.edu
Post. 2 **Does Local Violence Affect Differences in Crop Prices Paid to Farmers? Evidence from Cocoa Farming in Colombia**
In this paper, I aim to estimate the causal effect of local violence on the price of crops paid to farmers using the cocoa leaf in Colombia as a case study.
Maria Cecilia Acevedo, Harvard University
Maria_Cecilia_Acevedo@hsph.harvard.edu
Post. 3 **NEPAD and the Promise of Development in Africa: A New Wine in an Old Bottle?**
The basic thrust of the paper is that the NEPAD initiative was fundamentally misdirected and has not been able to bring Africa out of its socio-political and economic woes.
Solomon Olatile Akintayo, University of Lagos
solomon_akintayo@yahoo.com
Samuel Olorunjobi, University of Lagos, Nigeria
solorunjobi09@gmail.com
Post. 4 **Religion, Electoral Roles and Women's Representation: A Cross-National Examination**
This proposal is a great contribution to comparative politics and gender studies. This paper seeks to examine the different variables that affect the percentages of women's representation in national parliaments in 65 states worldwide.
Waleke Adenig Alaradi, University of South Carolina
alaradi@gmail.com
Post. 5 **Democracy and Poverty Reduction in Developing Countries**
This research aims to illuminate the relationship between democracy and poverty reduction. Within a certain political and economic development context, why do some countries reduce poverty more effectively than others?
Sirajuddin Auli, Northern Illinois University
sauli@niu.edu
Post. 6 **The Success of Ethnic Autonomy in the Russian Federation**
Do autonomous ethnic republics anticipate secession demands? Challenging earlier conclusions, this paper argues that in Russia, the dual mechanism of elite trust and authoritarianism accounts for the variation in autonomy among ethnic groups.
Nicole Balkind, Georgetown University
nbalkind@gmail.com
Post. 7 **Electoral Commissions: Administering Party Finance Rules or Structuring Politics? Elections Canada with Reference to the Federal Election Commission and the Electoral Commission of the UK, 2009-2010**
Canada, the U.S. and the U.K. have experienced significant institutional change in their respective national campaign and party finance regimes in the past decade. How have their electoral commissions shaped and responded to these changes?
Pauline Elizabeth Beange, University of Toronto
pauline.beange@utoronto.ca
Post. 8 **Settling Commercial Disputes: Negotiate, Litigate, or Murder? The Case of Russia**
Why do commercial disputes result in violence against one of the parties? Why is it sometimes rational to threaten or even kill your competitor, creditor, or partner rather than try to negotiate, go to arbitration, or the court of law?
Galina V. Belkurova, University of Wisconsin, Madison
belkurova@wisc.edu
Post. 9 **Economic Inequality and Political Preference: Evidence (Economic Inequality and Voter Transition) from Latin America and Britain, 1995-2005**
This paper examines the correlation between economic inequality and political preference (voter transition). This paper finds the evidence from Latin America and Britain's general election outcome, 1995-2005.
Dang Hyun Cho, Korea University
whcho@korea.ac.kr
Post. 10 **New Cleavages or Not? Inequivalence of Party Identification between New Parties in South Korea**
This paper analyzes and classifies the properties of recent new parties in South Korea, focusing on new cleavages as well as social cleavages theory.
Jae-In Choi, Seoul National University
wodis83@snu.ac.kr

- Post 11 The Political Economy of Vote Buying in Systems with Secret Votes: Theory and Evidence from the Peruvian Huelga de Yida Program**
The paper offers a theory of vote buying that accounts for a party's inability to monitor voters in systems that use secret ballots. It puts the literature closer to models that better depict resource exchanges where secret voting is enforced.
Carlos Costa, *Washington University, St. Louis*
costa.wustl@gmail.com
- Post 12 Do Judicial Selection Rules Matter? Assessing the Assumptions of the Anbudaal Model in the Context of Brazil's Supremo Tribunal Federal**
This paper nuances the assumption that selection procedures explain judicial behavior by analyzing decisions of the Brazilian Supreme Court in actions challenging executive decrees.
Luiziano Da Rosa, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
ldaros2@uic.edu
- Post 13 Migrant Political Influence as a Democratizing Force in Local Governments? A Comparative Study of Political Change in Three Mexican States**
This paper explores the range of ways in which migrants engage in the public life of their home towns and the impacts that their participation has on the levels of political openness and rationalization in three high-migration Mexican states.
Michael S. Donelson, *American University*
mike.donelson@american.edu
- Post 14 Reversible or Not? Analysis of China's Administrative Unit Reform in the Post-Mao Era**
This paper plans to research government level's impact on both economic development and administrative expenses by the case of China through a cross provincial comparison of the post-Mao phase.
Haiyan Duan, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
haiyanvava@gmail.com
- Post 15 The Headscarf and Secular Nationalism in Turkey and France: A Historical Comparative Analysis**
This paper analyzes how French secularism (laïcité), which Turkey adopted in the 1920s, and nationalist construct women's bodies and the headscarf veil as critical elements of nationalism. I utilize interviews, newspapers, and historical documents.
Sarah Fischer, *American University*
sf8294a@student.american.edu
- Post 16 Achieving Statehood: Kosovo and Nagorno-Karabakh**
This paper examines issues of statehood and governance of de facto states, comparing Nagorno-Karabakh and Kosovo to analyze the impact of international organizations and participation of the citizenry on statehood.
Amrit Gumbam, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
agumbam2@uic.edu
- Post 17 Code Violations, State, Religion and Gender Equality Efforts in the Contextual Politics of Senegal's Family Code**
This paper explores how the 1972 Family Code impacts gender inequality in Senegal. In examining both its historical development and the contemporary challenges to it, I detail the power dynamics between mosque, state, and gender equality activists.
Brian Alan Guy, *University of Oregon*
bguy@uoregon.edu
- Post 18 La Ruta Maya: The Influence of Tourism and the State on the Political Behavior Choices of the Indigenous of Mexico and Guatemala**
The study examines the influence of state strength (weak or strong) and different types of tourism (indigenous dependent and other types of tourism) as a capital resource on the political behavior choices of the Maya of Mexico and Guatemala.
Heather Lea Hamel, *University of South Carolina*
hhamel@uscar.edu
- Post 19 Gay Rights and Social Movements in Latin America**
Gay rights movements are part of a larger trend of increased representation and participation in civil society in Latin America.
This may be seen as part of a political process toward increased participation and citizenship rights.
David Vanter Hughes, *University of Houston*
dvhughes@uh.edu
- Post 20 Making Authoritarianism Sordid? Social Trust and Public Goods Provision in Rural China**
To help advance our understanding of the impacts of social trust on the survival or failure of non-democratic regimes, this study seeks to explore how social trust affects the performance of local governments in delivering basic public goods in China.
Nursong Huie, *Old Dominion University*
nhuie@odu.edu
- Post 21 Salud: The Political Economy of Rural Healthcare in Honduras**
This paper examines how biopolitics are practiced in Honduras. It explores the political economy of rural healthcare in Honduras through analysis of government healthcare programs and the practice of indigenous medicine and other alternatives.
Laura S. Jung, *DePaul University*
ljung3@depaul.edu
Vanessa Avery, *San Francisco State University*
vanessa.avery@gmail.com
- Post 22 The Impact of State-Religion Regulation on Interethnic Contacts of First and Second-Generation Turkish and Ex-Yugoslav Muslim Immigrants in Germany, France and the United Kingdom**
This paper focuses on the question of how different state-religion regulation structures affect social integration, measured by intergroup contacts between individuals of the non-Muslim receiving society and Muslim migrants.
Zahar Kavcak, *Social Science Research Center, Berlin*
kavcak@wzb.eu
- Post 23 Labor Strength and Variety of Economic Globalization in East Asia**
This paper examines the effect of labor strength on the patterns of economic globalization. Comparative research on Korea and Taiwan finds that strong labor in export-driven an economy has a divided preference on economic globalization.
Jeong Hyun Kim, *Korea University*
jhkim0508@gmail.com
- Post 24 The Effects of Globalization on Conflict between the State and Autonomy Seeking Groups**
This project looks at the varying levels of globalization as a possible reason for conflict between the state and autonomy seeking groups (ASGs). ASGs need to protect their identity from the forces of globalization which the state does not provide.
Michael Koubark, *George Mason University*
mkoubark@gmu.edu
- Post 25 Patronage and Electoral Fortunes of New Parties**
This paper examines the electoral fortunes of new parties in the presence of patronage practices.
Helen Lee, *Michigan State University*
guatemal@gmail.com
- Post 26 Party Factions in South Korea: Why do factions become parties?**
Party factions have been an interesting phenomenon in South Korea's party politics. Faction is a dynamic organization and it can become a party conditionally in South Korea. This paper explores why factions become parties in South Korea.
Oh-jin Lee, *Seoul National University*
shahin0612@gmail.com
- Post 27 Political Trust and Public Preferences for Redistributive Policies**
I will explore public preferences for redistributive policies in advanced industrial democracies focusing on the influence of the interaction between trust in government in a capital city and trust in civil services.
Seung Hee Lee, *Texas A&M University*
jooe25@gmail.com

Post. 28 Elections Beyond Borders: Mexico, the Dominican Republic and the Global Politics of Overseas Voting

What makes a democracy choose to open, restrict or deny overseas voting? It depends on state structures. From multimethods research, this paper traces the causes of divergence in two cases to the impact overseas bureaucracies have on elite decisions.

Matthew Alexander Lieber, *Beloit College*
mlieberm@beloit.edu

Post. 29 Consumer Boycotts in International Affairs

Consumer boycotts surrounding the 2003 Gulf War are examined for evidence of success. Earlier studies are reassessed and conclusions drawn for the predicates of effective boycotts.

Steven G. Livingston, *Arkate Tennessee State University*
slivings@tmsu.edu

Post. 30 Paired With Good Intentions: Ethics and Collective Action in a Corporative Perspective

Deontological ethics can better facilitate public good provision than consequentialist or reciprocal ethics. I test the relationship between political activism and societies' ethical principles using World Values Survey Data.

Eugene Wallace McGreevy-Stanford, *University of California, Los Angeles*
Eugene34@ucla.edu

Post. 31 Economic, Cultural, and Social Determinants of Female Gendercide in India

50 million women are missing from India. Indeed India has a problem with its sex ratio, but why are certain state's sex ratios worse than others? In other words, what explains the variation of sex ratios across the 28 Indian States?

Andrea Neal-Malji, *University of Kentucky*
andrea.malji@uky.edu

Post. 32 Corruption and Civil Conflict

Networks of corruption tend to form around ethnic solidarities, harm economic growth and foster political and economic inequality between ethnic groups. These grievances translate into violent action. Our empirical analysis finds support for that.

Natacha Simona Neadorfer, *University of Essex*
n.neador@essex.ac.uk
Ulrike G. Thewissen, *London School of Economics*
U.G.Thewissen@lse.ac.uk

Post. 33 The Conditional Effect of Cabinet Membership on Party Switching

The assumption of most party-switching models, that the effect of the explanatory variables is constant across all legislators, is challenged by discriminating between government coalition parties and opposition parties.

Marius Radvan, *Florida State University*
mradvan@fsu.edu

Post. 34 UN Security Council Resolutions, Participation, and Compliance

Recent UNSC Resolutions have created mandates for all UN countries. This paper explores if having participated in Resolution creation affects compliance outcomes, speaking to concerns of endogeneity in the international law compliance literature.

Evangelina Mae Reynolds, *University of Illinois*
ereynol4@illinois.edu

Post. 35 Reassessing the Rise of the Latin American Left

An examination of broad historical antecedents within and across countries that have nurtured leftist and center-left governments and a new analysis of Latinobarometro data linking changes in political culture to the election of left-leaning leaders.

Robert George Rodriguez, *Texas A&M University, Commerce*
robert_rodriguez@tamu-commerce.edu

Post. 36 After 18 Months: A New Look at the Post-election Activities of the 2009 Presidential Election in Iran

The 2009 presidential election that happened in Iran attracted much scrutiny. The goal of this poster is to briefly present different arguments and evaluate their strengths in pointing towards irregularities in the election.

Ali Samad, *University of Michigan*
samad@umich.edu

Post. 37 Bridging the Gap: The Role of Indigenous Governing Systems in Development

This poster presentation displays results of a comparative case study of two matched indigenous cultural communities and the type of existing indigenous governing systems that may emphasize either economic development or social development projects.

Janice Shiu, *Clemson Graduate University*
janice.shiu@cgu.edu

Post. 38 Restorative Justice in South Africa: Assessing the Truth and Reconciliation Commission

This paper examines the psychological impacts of restorative justice in South Africa through the lens of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission.

Carl Smith, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
csmith3@uic.edu

Post. 39 Elections and Evictions: Exploring the Political Calculus of Slum Demolitions

This paper investigates the understudied political dimensions of slum demolitions. Through case studies of recent mass evictions in Zimbabwe and South Africa, I argue that the decision of if and when to destroy slums is often related to elections.

Elizabeth Jane Wellman, *Yale University*
elizabeth.wellman@yale.edu

Post. 40 Co-ethnic Candidates and Voter Turnout in Africa

This poster presents findings from an analysis of ethnic voting in Africa, specifically the effects of co-ethnics being on the ballot and how that affects the likelihood of voting.

Cameron C. Wimpy, *Texas A&M University*
wimpy@politics.tamu.edu

Post. 41 Does China's Banking System Follow the "East Asian Development Model"? Rethinking the Role of the State and Globalization

The banking systems in China, South Korea and Taiwan were once strictly regulated by government. In a globalized era, however, the role of the state has waned and external factors play a bigger role in driving the growth in the banking system.

Jiyoung Woo, *Indiana University, Bloomington*
jwoo@indiana.edu

Doc., Claire L. Adida, *University of California, San Diego*
cadida@ucsd.edu

Doc., Eduardo Aleman, *University of Houston*
ealeman2@uh.edu

Doc., Woulin Cho, *University of Kentucky*
woulin.cho@uky.edu

Doc., Kim Yi Dohm, *Texas A&M University*
kdohm@tamu.edu

Doc., Thomas Martello, *Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis*
tomart1@iupui.edu

Doc., Virginia Oliveira, *Columbia University*
v62110@columbia.edu

Doc., Rachel Betty Riedl, *Northwestern University*
r.riedl@northwestern.edu

Doc., Matthew Singer, *University of Connecticut*
mattew.m.singer@uconn.edu

Doc., Beanie Weir, *University of Chicago*
weir@uchicago.edu

Doc., Qiang Zhou, *University of Chicago*
qiang@uchicago.edu

64-103 Taking Full Advantage of Innovations in Teaching and Technologies

Room Spira Parker on the 6th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm
Roundtable presenters will discuss new technologies for the classroom and creative ways to generate learning outcomes. The

roundtable will also explore strategies for developing new course
preps

Chair: **Kenneth Hamann**, *University of Central Florida*
khamann@mail.ucf.edu

Panellist: **Katherine Cramer Walsh**, *University of Wisconsin*
kwalsh2@uwis.edu
John T. Ishiyama, *University of North Texas*
John.Ishiyama@unt.edu
Heather Kristen Evans, *Sam Houston State University*
hke002@shsu.edu

65-2 Classical Approaches to Civic Virtue

Room: Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 2:40 pm

1:40 **Chair:** **Erik Dempsey**, *University of Texas, Austin*
ed6335@mail.utexas.edu

2:45 On the Foundations of Socratic Political Science: Learning and Recollection in Plato's Meno

This paper argues that the account of recollection in Plato's Meno sheds light on the nature of Socratic political science while also providing a critical analysis of moral virtue.

Curly Teas Barub, *University of Texas, Austin*
curly.barub@mail.utexas.edu

3:00 The Political Necessity of the Good: Munificence as a Necessary Political Virtue as Seen via the Case of Sgt. James

The Classical Greek concept of munificence is a very important part of political life and w/o it political communities imperil themselves. Using Sgt. James of The Merchant of Venice we see how this Greek concept works in modern liberal society.

Clifford Angell Bates, *Waynesburg University*
batesca@mail.wvu.edu.pl

3:15 Law and Decency in Aristotle's Account of Justice

This paper offers an interpretation of Aristotle's remarks on decency at the end of his account of justice in book five of the Nicomachean Ethics.

James W. Gwart, *University of Dallas*
jwgwart5040@gmail.com

3:30 Aristotle on Moderation as a Political and Philosophic Virtue

This paper argues that Aristotle's narrow definition of moderation reflects the kind of moderation needed in political life, whereas the highest human virtue - the harmonization of all one's desires with reason - requires moderation in a broader sense.

Lawrence Rubinszow, *University of Texas, Austin*
Lawr.rubinsow@univ.texas.edu

3:45 **Disc.**, **Erik Dempsey**, *University of Texas, Austin*
ed6335@mail.utexas.edu

Disc., **Benjamin Lorch**, *Boston College*
benjamin.lorch@gmail.com

4:05 **Audience Discussion**

Friday, April 1 at 4:35 pm

1-107 IPE and the Future of Global Economic Governance

Room Water Tower Park on the 6th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 Panelists will discuss what lessons/insights the field of international political economy offers for understanding the politics of global economic governance in the wake of the Great Recession.

Chair Mark Copelovitch, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 copelovitch@wisc.edu

Panelist Stephanie J. Richard, *London School of Economics*
 stephanie.richard@gmail.com
 David Andrew Sanger, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
 dsanger@mit.edu
 David Leblang, *University of Virginia*
 leblang@virginia.edu

2-8 Politics and Well-Being

Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Rubin E. Best, *University of Missouri*
 bestre@missouri.edu

4:40 The On-line Relationship between State Efficacy and Trust
 This paper analyzes the effects of state efficacy on trust. It claims that there is an interaction effect of variables as education and ethnicity on trust.

Francisco Herreros, Institute for Public Goods and Politics-CSIIC
 herreros@ceacs.march.es
Hebar Crinda, University Complutense
 hebar@ceacs.march.es

4:59 The Impact of Globalization on Subjective Well-Being: A Cross-national Analysis

Using multiple waves of the World Values Survey data, this paper examines the impact of measures of globalization on the extent to which citizens in both developing and industrial countries view their lives as more or less satisfying.

Alexander Peck, Texas A&M University
 a339ap@gnjisc.tamu.edu

5:10 Do Democratic Interventions in the Market Promote or Inhibit Human Happiness? An Empirical Analysis of the Politics of Left and Right

Paper considers the effects on satisfaction with life of traditional left and right public policies across OECD countries, within a political economy framework. Extends my prior work in APSR, JOP, etc. on this topic.

Benjamin Radcliff, University of Notre Dame
 radcliff1@nd.edu

5:25 Subjective Well-Being in a Cold Climate: The Case of Britain

This paper examines the effects of policy-making on subjective well-being in Britain in the context of an emerging recession and government cutbacks in spending.

Paul Whiteley, University of Essex
 whiteley@essex.ac.uk
David Sanders, University of Essex
 bjpok@essex.ac.uk

Marianne C. Stewart, University of Texas, Dallas
 mstewart@utdallas.edu
Harold D. Clarke, University of Texas, Dallas
 hclarke@utdallas.edu

5:40 Disc. Björn Fryklund, *Malmö University*

bjorn.fryklund@mah.se
Disc. Francisco Herreros, *Institute for Public Goods and Politics-CSIIC*
 herreros@ceacs.march.es
Disc. Kwang-II Yoon, *Seoul National University*

kyoon@snu.ac.kr
6:00 Audience Discussion

4-2 Women, Electoral Politics and Rights

Room Salco 4 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Joy K. Langston, *CIDE*
 joy.langston@cide.edu

4:40 Political Attitudes as an Obstacle to Participation of Women in Mayoral Elections: Evidence from Rural Mexico

Using an elite survey of mayors in over 400 of Mexico's customary law municipalities, this paper seeks to understand demographic, political, and attitudinal barriers to the selection of women leaders.
Todd Eisenstadt, American University
 eljones@american.edu
Jennifer Yelle, American University
 jennifer.yelle@gmail.com

4:52 Disparity Among State Regulation of Anti-Sex Trafficking Implementation Strategies: Why Do States Differ in Their Implementation and Enforcement of Anti-Sex Trafficking Legislation?

Differences in economic and human development, cultural and traditional perspectives and legislative and judiciary strength among states both directly and indirectly propel the sex trafficking of women and children across the globe.

Veronika Kobesnikova, California State University, Sacramento
 kobesnikovveronika@gmail.com

5:04 Why Aren't There More Female Legislators? Mexico's Quotas and Congressional Regeneration

While up to 40% of candidacies are filled by women in Mexico's Chamber, they win fewer Assembly seats in part because they lack prior political experience to win nomination to a safe district or to run a successful clientelist SMD campaign.

Joy K. Langston, CIDE
 joy.langston@cide.edu
Francisco Javier Aparicio, CIDE
 javier.aparicio@cide.edu

5:16 Issues, Ministers, and MPs: An Experiment on Whether Messages and Message Recipients Influence Willingness to Vote for Women

We analyze the results of an experiment in Tanzania assessing the effect of positive/negative messages from ministers/ministers' politicians about female and male politician's performance on citizens' willingness to vote for women.

Kristin Lynne Mitchell, New York University
 lgm254@nyu.edu
Keith Weghorst, University of Florida
 keith.weghorst@ufl.edu

5:28 Bypassing National Governments: International Influence on Subnational Policy Adoption to Improve Women's Rights

Why do subnational governments respond differently to international norms? I theorize that the international can bypass the national to improve the likelihood of policy adoption at the subnational level.

Cheryl O'Brien, Purdue University
 cobrien@purdue.edu

5:40 Disc. J. Bravo, *Rutgers University*
 jbravo@polisci.rutgers.edu

6:00 Audience Discussion

4-18 Extraction and Redistribution

Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Matthew Calvin Ingram, *University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth*
 mingram@umassd.edu

4:40 Taxation Leads to Instability, Not Representation

Theory and cross-national time-series statistical evidence support the claim that taxation is destabilizing not just for authoritarian regimes (as the taxation-leads-to-representation hypothesis would contend) but also for democracies.

Kevin M. Morrison, Cornell University
 mmorrison@cornell.edu

- 5:00 **Social Networks and Welfare Reform in East Asia and Latin America**
This paper examines the variety of welfare regimes that emerged in developing democracies of East Asia and Latin America. These variations reflect different paths of democratic transitions which affected traditional capacities of social norms.
Ellen Nam, *Colgate University*
enam@colgate.edu
- 5:20 **Getting the Public to Grab the Force Strings: Evidence from an Experiment in Taxation and Transparency**
The resource curse literature attributes political spillover to weak taxation or transparency. I conducted a randomized budget awareness campaign to provide micro-level causal evidence of how taxes and information affect political behavior.
Laura Haber, *Columbia University*
lhp2106@columbia.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Nazwanee Barnes, *Naval Postgraduate School***
nbarnes@nps.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-4 **Electoral Systems, Elections, and Democratization**
Price on the 5th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Carol Skalicki Leff, *University of Illinois*
leffc@illinois.edu
- 4:40 **Are Executive and Legislative Elections Different for Dictators? An Analysis of the Causes of Executive Elections in Authoritarian Regimes**
Previous scholarship has emphasized that elections may have a stabilizing effect on authoritarian governments. This paper explores the question of whether executive elections are better at diffusing coup threats than legislative contests.
Malcolm R. Easton, *University of California, Davis*
mreaston@ucdavis.edu
- 4:52 **Democracy and Elections in Post-Communist Spaces: The Cases of Central Asian and Black Sea Countries**
For better quality of democratic institutions, elections are expected to function effectively. Some scholars say that elections can also play a democratizing role. This paper argues that elections have a democratizing effect in post-communist world.
Ruchan Kays, *University of Florida*
rkays@ufl.edu
Michael Bernhard, *University of Florida*
bernhard@ufl.edu
- 5:04 **Electoral Systems in Divided Societies**
This paper will investigate different electoral systems and how they may assist Iraq and Afghanistan in their pursuit of democratization
Chad J. Kinella, *University of Cincinnati*
kinellcj@email.uc.edu
- 5:16 **The Evolution of Clientelism in Response to the Political Reforms: Impact of Electoral Reforms on Clientelism from Comparative Perspective**
This paper explores how the clientelistic practices evolve into new forms in response to electoral reforms. Recognizing the lack of reliable measurement, this paper employs triangulation based on expert data, mass survey, and justices.
Helen Lee, *Michigan State University*
gzee@mail@gmail.com
- 5:28 **Risk and Reward: Measuring the Long-Term Benefits and Short-Term Risks of Authoritarian Elections**
Using Hyde and Marlow's (2010) new dataset of elections under authoritarianism, we show that elections prevent transition in the long-run but increase the risk of transition in the short run
Paul Schuler, *University of California, San Diego*
Paul.Schuler@gmail.com
Dimitar Gueorguiev, *University of California, San Diego*
dgueorgu@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Tianyang Xi, *New York University***
tx211@nyu.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-18 **Political Elites and Democratization**
Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Gretchen G. Casper, *Pennsylvania State University*
gcasper@psu.edu
- 4:40 **The Influence of Educational and Professional Background of Individual National Leaders on Political Liberalization**
Using the original dataset about the individual background of 1,300 national leaders, I estimate whether their unobserved heterogeneity influenced political liberalization in 1960-2004.
Alexander Baturo, *Dublin City University*
alex.baturo@dcu.ie
- 4:55 **Triggers and Patterns of Regime Change**
A database of 42 countries is studied to identify cooperative and conflict events and their impact on regime change in the countries.
Sahdha Dewal, *George Mason University*
sdewal@gmu.edu
Michael Smollar Volpe, *George Mason University*
mamiolvolpe77@gmail.com
- 5:10 **Divergent Paths Towards Democracy: Ruling Political Elites in Egypt and Turkey**
This paper studies the two divergent political pathways of Egypt and Turkey, one towards authoritarianism and another towards democratization, by examining the decisions of the ruling political elites in critical historical junctures.
Timothy A. Hazen, *Loyola University, Chicago*
thazen@luc.edu
- 5:25 **Elite Accountability in Authoritarian Regimes: The Case of Russian gubernatorial appointments**
This paper uses data on Russian gubernatorial appointments to study the factors that allow elites in authoritarian regimes to demonstrate their loyalty in order to secure career advancement.
Oya John Reuter, *Columbia University*
ojreuter@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Melir Walters, *Georgetown University***
mrw56@georgetown.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-10 **Coalition Governments I**
Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Cecilia Martinez Galardo, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
cmg@email.unc.edu
- 4:40 **Party Competition and Coalition Governments**
This paper seeks to explain the increased use of coalition governance instruments such as written agreements in Western European countries. It argues that change in the form of electoral party competition has made coalition governance more useful.
Flemming Juul Christensen, *Aarhus University*
fjc@ps.au.dk
- 4:55 **How Many Is Enough?: The Determinants of Cabinet Size**
We consider a theory of party size that examines how coalition bargaining considerations, intra-party politics, and efficiency concerns affect cabinet size. We examine cabinet size in an extensive cross-national dataset on coalition governments
Indrilli H. Indridason, *University of California, Riverside*
indrilli.indridason@poli.ucr.edu
- 5:10 **Regional Coalition Formation After Devolution: Effects of National Conflicts, Regional Issues and Party Competition in the Czech Republic and Poland**
The paper uses both qualitative and quantitative methods to analyze the role of national-level coalitions, regional issues, and national party leaders in coalition formation processes at the regional level in Poland and the Czech Republic.

- Paulina Anna MARIK, *University of Rochester*
 pmari1@mail.rochester.edu
- 5:23 **Stability and Longevity of Coalition Governments in India**
 This paper examines coalition governments at the national level in India and argue that the presence of formal or informal surplus majority coalitions in the national legislature is the primary factor influencing their stability and longevity.
 Arjit Mazumdar, *University of St. Thomas*
 arjit_mazumdar@stthomas.edu
- 5:38 **Disc., Jella Fleischer, German Research Institute for Public Administration**
 Fleischer@iaw-gerpeyer.de
Disc., Cecilia Martinez Gallardo, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 cmg@hmail.unc.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 7-10 **Immigration and Political Behavior**
 Room Indians on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Karl Katzschner, *University of Akron*
 katz@uakron.edu
- 4:40 **Political Correctness, Mixed Signals and Beliefs: An Experimental Study of Muslims in France**
 This project captures the difference between politically correct and non-politically correct French behavior toward immigrant minorities and examines in a series of experimental games, the implications of this distinction for inter-group cooperation.
 Claire L. Adida, *University of California, San Diego*
 cadida@ucsd.edu
 Marie-Anne Vaifort, *Université Paris 1 Pantheon Sorbonne*
 mvaifort@gmail.com
 David Lubin, *Stanford University*
 dlubin@stanford.edu
- 4:52 **We Want more, we want more... bystander Responses, Tribe-Group Politics, and Xenophobic Mobilization**
 This paper demonstrates that bystander responses, a research topic that has only received scant scholarly attention, play a crucial role in activists' perception of political opportunities.
 Robert Braum, *Cornell University*
 rb529@cornell.edu
- 5:04 **Direct Democracy and Discrimination: Behavioral Micro-Level Evidence from Naturalization Decisions in Swiss Municipalities**
 We examine the discriminatory effects of direct democracy and the drivers of anti-immigrant sentiment using new micro-level evidence from naturalization decisions in Swiss municipalities, where voters vote on immigrant applicants.
 Dominik Hangartner, *University of Bern*
 hangartner@ipw.unibe.ch
- 5:16 **In Local-level Activism a Catalyst for Immigrant Political Incorporation?**
 This paper uses a unique new dataset to evaluate the effect of 1) voting in municipal elections and 2) affiliating with state-level political party on the likelihood immigrants from different countries and regions will vote in general elections.
 Claudio A. Holzner, *University of Utah*
 claudio.holzner@poli-sci.utah.edu
 Melissa Goldsmith, *University of Utah*
 mgmg7@utah.edu
- 5:28 **Immigrants as Candidates: Their Priorities and Their Effects on Voting Behavior**
 I describe how the priorities and motives of immigrant candidates in the German 2009 elections differed from those of natives. I link this data to electoral results to test how the presence of an immigrant candidate affects voting behavior.
 Alex Street, *University of California, Berkeley*
 alexstreet@berkeley.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Piero Strati, London School of Economics and Political Science**
 pstrati@lse.ac.uk
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 8-9 **European Parliament Roll Call Voting**
 Room LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Daniel Finke, *University of Heidelberg*
 daniel.finke@uni-heidelberg.de
- 4:40 **Public Opinion Representation by Members of the European Parliament**
 Drawing together both public opinion surveys and roll call data, we examine – over a ten-year period – the degree to which the voting behaviour of members of the European Parliament reflects the policy preferences of their geographic constituents.
 Christiane Arnold, *Wirtschaftsuniversität*
 c.arnold@wu-wienernue.at
 John McAndrew, *University of British Columbia*
 john.mcaandrew@gmail.com
- 4:55 **National or Trans-National Loyalty? MEP Loyalty and Group Solidarity in the European Parliament**
 Deriving loyalty scores for 399 MEPs over 6200 roll call votes, this paper examines the loyalty of MEPs towards their national political parties vis-à-vis the cross-European political parties.
 John Jaffe, *Arizona State University*
 jjaffe@asu.edu
- 5:10 **Learning the Rules of the Parliamentary Process**
 In the context of the European Parliament, this paper addresses the question of how long it takes new legislators to learn legislative rules and adopt the behavioral patterns of returning members.
 Rene Lindstedt, *University of Essex*
 r.lind@essex.ac.uk
 Jonathan B. Slapin, *University of Houston*
 jslapin@central.uh.edu
- Ryan John Vander Wielen, *Temple University*
 rvwielen@temple.edu
- 5:25 **Incumbent Accountability and Virtual Linkages in the European Parliament**
 This paper focuses on uneven diffusion of ICTs among MEPs. Using an original data set, it estimates the effects of electoral incentives, committee norms, and cross-country differences in compliance with EU e-governance initiatives on MEPs' behavior.
 Olesya Turcheva, *University of Rochester*
 oturchev@jamich.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Daniel Finke, University of Heidelberg**
 daniel.finke@uni-heidelberg.de
Disc., Guido Tiemann, Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna
 tiemann@iias.ac.at
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 9-5 **International Political Economy and Regional Integration**
 Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Sorita Dorothy Jackson, *North Carolina A&T State University*
 sdjackson@yahoo.com
- 4:40 **Neoliberal Dilemma or Market Miracle? Examining Citizen Reactions to Neoliberal Economic Reforms in Latin America**
 By synthesizing these recent conclusions, this paper seeks to analyze which reforms were most unpopular to the people of Latin America, their political ramifications, and most importantly, why the people reacted the way they did to these reforms.
 Rachel Garcia, *University of Delaware*
 regarcia@udel.edu
 Dustin Parrott, *University of Delaware*
 dparrot@udel.edu

- 4:52 **DR-CAFTA Rules of Origin as a Determinant of U.S. Textile Competitiveness in the Dominican Market**
The paper is a qualitative study of the role that rules of origin within DR-CAFTA play in determining the ability of the U.S. textile industry to be competitive in the Dominican market.
Sarka Dorothy Jackson, North Carolina A&T State University
sjackson@yahoo.com
- 5:04 **Argentina's Economic Crisis in 2001 and the International Monetary Fund's Involvement in the de la Rúa Administration in 2000-01**
A detailed account of the de la Rúa administration's relationship with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) in the 2000-01 prior to the devastating economic crisis in December 2001 is offered to reveal the IMF's problematic policy advice.
Christine Jan, Mount Saint Mary's College
christinjan@gmail.com
- 5:16 **The Future of MERCOSUR in Light of 21st Century Globalization**
An examination of a Latin American regional organization of economic development in light of globalization, especially the World Trade Organization. A discussion of how these regional groups have different goals and are at odds with global efforts.
Frank P. LeVeness, Saint John's University
levenesf@stjohns.edu
Juan Manuel Fernandez, Saint John's University
juan.fernandez1@verizon.net
- 5:28 **Drill Baby, Drill: Understanding the Economic and Political Dimensions of Bolivia's Plan to Develop and Export Lithium Reserves**
This paper focuses on Bolivia's development of lithium reserves. We analyze the economic and political implications the commodity's extraction and exportation will have not only for Bolivian domestic affairs, but also regional political economics.
Charles Gerard Ripley, Arizona State University
cgripley@asu.edu
Patrick Michael Roe, Arizona State University
pmroe@asu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Taeko Hirai, University of Texas, El Paso**
Disc., Frank P. LeVeness, Saint John's University
levenesf@stjohns.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-9 **Unpacking China's Diplomacy**
Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, James A. Nathan, Auburn Montgomery**
jathan@amom.edu
- 4:40 **Mastering Economic Strategies? The Practice of China's Economic Leverages**
China becomes more sophisticated in employing its economic levers to achieve its political goals. Recently China tactically used rare earths as a strategic tool to compel Japan to back down in the fishing incident in the East China Sea in 2010.
Yi-hung Chiu, Taiwan Institute of Economic Research
yehung@tse.edu
- 4:55 **Ngono Entrepreneur or Internet Manipulator? China's Participation in UN Peacekeeping Operations**
Examination of the background and events leading to the Chinese deployment of contingent troops to Congo, Darfur, Lebanon, Liberia, and Sudan reveals different policy backgrounds and agendas and varied permutation of norms and interest components.
Chien-pia Li, Kenesaw State University
ell@kenesaw.edu
- 5:10 **Interest, Legitimacy and State Sovereignty: China's Decision Making on the Ratification of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea**
This paper examines why China, often perceived as a strong advocate of sovereignty, is willing to delegate dispute settlement to all but territorial issue areas to centralized legal authorities in the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.
Jing Tao, Cornell University
jt265@cornell.edu
- 5:25 **Sino-Indian Relations Today: The good, the bad, and the ugly**
Sino-Indian relations have improved considerably recently. However, suspicion and mistrust run deep. This paper suggests that with cognitive gaps and a "trust deficit", the two countries have yet to step out of the classic security dilemma.
Zhaiguo Zhu, Bucknell University
zhaiguo.zhu@bucknell.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Paul Bolt, United States Air Force Academy**
Paul.Bolt@usafa.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 11-7 **Public and Private Strategies for Social Provision in Africa**
Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, M. Anne Pitcher, University of Michigan**
pitcher@umich.edu
- 4:40 **The Transaction Costs of South-South Migration**
Migration is closely associated with the sending of remittances. Monetary unions reduce the transaction costs of sending remittances within member countries, as compared to sending remittances outside of the union thus increasing net migration rates.
Mai Hassan, Harvard University
hassan@fas.harvard.edu
- 4:52 **Governing HIV/AIDS in the Context of Reform**
This paper discusses the governing strategy of multisectoral coordination that African governments have pursued to govern HIV/AIDS. It shows general governance challenges provide explanations for the specific coordination problems within HIV/AIDS.
Siri Bjerkedal Hellevik, Norwegian Institute for Urban and Regional Research
siri.hellevik@iuh.no
- 5:04 **Generosity in Game and Real-World Settings: A Study of Pastoralist Communities in Kenya**
This paper finds consistency between the way members of pastoralist communities play the Ultimatum Game and the generosity they demonstrate in real-world interactions.
Eva Kaye-Zweibel, Princeton University
ekaye@princeton.edu
- 5:16 **Institutional Development in Devolution by Delegation: Institutional Development in Tanzanian Health Systems Strengthening and Public Financial Management Two-Stage Devolution Interventions**
This study demonstrates the role of contracting institutions in a two-stage devolution process in Tanzania. It identifies the structure and associated decentralization outcomes these institutions maintain between decentralization stakeholders.
Catherine Anne Long, C&D Consulting
c.long@catherine-long.com
- 5:28 **The Political Economy of Local Democracy: Experimental Approaches to Analyzing Municipal Performance in Mozambique**
Governments in weak states routinely fail to deliver services promised to their citizens. This paper applies public administration methods for tracking municipal performance of trash collection and vendor services in four Mozambican municipalities.
Beatrice A. Reaud, American University
beatrice.reaud@yahoo.com
- 5:40 **Disc., M. Anne Pitcher, University of Michigan**
pitcher@umich.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**

13-13 International Relations and Foreign Policy in Russia and Eastern Europe

Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, S. Mohsin Hashim, Muhlenberg College
 mhashim@muhlenberg.edu

4:40 National Identity and Foreign Policy in the Southern Caucasus: The Influence of National Identity on Foreign Policy in Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia in the Post-Soviet Era

This study examines the influence of national identity on foreign policy toward Russia in the Southern Caucasus states and reveals the difference of foreign policy outcomes (pro/anti Russian) in the foreign policy orientations of these three states.
 Erni Galiyev, Seoul National University
 erni85_galiyev@yahoo.com

4:52 Changes of Identity, Changes of Course: The Non-Crisis Kind of Foreign Policy Transformation in Post-Communist Europe

This conceptual paper casts light on the ignored aspect of non-crisis foreign policy change. Such more gradual transformation as a state's external orientation is linked to changes in identity, rarely abrupt. Illustrated with recent European history.
 Desislava Kirilova, Yale University
 desislava.kirilova@yale.edu

5:04 Power Games: How Russia's Efforts to Expand Its Sphere of Influence are Being Countered by the West

This paper examines Russia's strategy aimed at reestablishing its previous sphere of influence, as well as the West's response to various aspects of it.
 Glara T. Palchinskaya, George Mason University
 gpalch@gmu.edu

5:16 Realist International Relations Theories and Russian Foreign Policy toward Georgia and Iran

This paper attempts to demonstrate the enduring relevance of realist IR theories by examining two case studies of Russian foreign policy (Georgia and Iran (2008-2010)).
 Octavio Renu, University of Toulouse 1 Capital
 octavio@toulouse1.fr

5:28 Copressed by Ideas: Why "Lithuania for Lithuania"?

An analysis of consensual politics of dual citizenship in Lithuania addresses the effects of EU membership conditionality by dissecting the superimposition of international and domestic norms and evaluates the utility of ideational research.
 Egle Versackaite, Johns Hopkins University
 egleversackaite@yahoo.com

5:40 Disc., Edin Mujkić, Auburn University Montgomery
 emujki@aum.edu

6:00 Audience Discussion

14-10 Regime Types and Public Policy

Room Sabin 6 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, John Nye, George Mason University
 jny@gmu.edu

4:40 Sovereign Debt and Regime Types: Re-considering the Democratic Advantage

We re-consider the democratic advantage thesis and argue that, in the postwar era, it has arisen primarily in the form of credit rationing of autocracies, rather than of higher interest rates for those autocracies that were able to enter the market.
 Emily Ann Beaulieu, University of Kentucky
 emily.beaulieu@uky.edu
 Gary W. Cox, University of California, San Diego
 gcox@ucsd.edu
 Sebastian M. Saiegh, University of California, San Diego
 ssiegh@ucsd.edu

4:52 Political Parties, Firms and the Micro-Economy of Partisan Business Cycles

This study probes cyclical patterns in firm performance due to partisan-motivated policy interventions.

Joe Camyar, University of Louisiana, Lafayette
 joe2997@louisiana.edu

Bahar Unluoglu, West Chester University of Pennsylvania
 balunoglu@wcupa.edu

5:04 Examining State Incentive and Capacity to Retain: A Test of Prevailing Theories of the Effects of Regime Type on Taxation

This paper tests several well-known theories about the effects of democracies and dictatorships on taxation and the alternative hypothesis that regime type has no direct effect on taxation, while conditions that promote taxation vary across regimes.
 Marika Danielle Caspa, University of California, Los Angeles
 Marika.Caspa@gmail.com

5:16 Constitutive Democratic Budgeteering: An Empirical Model of Policymakers' Context-Conditional Incentives and Capacities for Electoral and Partisan Policy-Manipulation

This paper builds an effectively estimable empirical model of policymakers' varying incentive magnitudes and natures, and their varying strategic capacities to respond to those incentives, in manipulating policy for electoral or partisan advantage.
 Robert J. Franzese, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
 rfranzes@umich.edu

5:28 Are We All Playing the Same Game? The Economic Effects of Constitutionalism Depend on the Degree of Institutionalization

We split countries into high and low levels of institutionalization. We find that some of the claims in the Pol Econ lit regarding the impact of political institutions on policy outcomes do not hold for countries in which institutionalization is low.
 Carlos G. Semenzuel, Inter-American Development Bank
 carlosec@iadb.org

5:40 Disc., Christopher Brown, Georgia State University
 pcb10@gsu.edu

Disc., John Nye, George Mason University
 jny@gmu.edu

6:00 Audience Discussion

15-5 Foreign Aid: Effects and Outcomes

Room Congress on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Chad DeWard, Colver-Stockett College
 cdeward@colver.edu

4:40 Foreign Aid, Political Systems, and Women's Rights

Foreign aid has a positive effect on women's rights, unlike its negative effect on physical integrity rights. The effect also varies across political systems, represented by parliamentarism and presidentialism.
 Yunyu Ke, University of Kentucky
 yunyu.ke@uky.edu

4:55 Corruption and Foreign Direct Investments: A Disaggregated Study

Using INTRACEN FDI industrial data and Transparency International corruption data, this paper will empirically investigate the relationship between industrial FDI and corruption to be supported by a case study analysis of two Sub-Saharan countries.
 Jane Wanjira Njuga, University of Alabama
 jnjanega@gmail.com

5:10 U.S. Presidential Summits and Foreign Aid Allocation to Developing Countries

This paper argues that bilateral summit meetings between the heads of the United States and developing countries will lead to steady or growing levels of U.S. foreign aid to that country in the post-WWII period.
 Agnes Simon, University of Missouri, Columbia
 as33@mail.mizzou.edu

5:25 Disease Control and Donor Priorities: The Political Economy of Development Aid for Health

Much of the literature on development assistance addresses the allocation of aid for health as an apolitical processes. This paper argues that aid allocation for health is subject to political forces and examines allocation decisions across disease.

- Carle A. Steele, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
csteele3@illinois.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Sarah Wodgett Bernero, Duke University**
sarah.bernero@duke.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 16-20 Conflict, Leaders and Institutions**
Buckingham on the 5th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Michael Colaresi, Michigan State University**
colaresi@msu.edu
- 4:40 **Elite Structure and Militarized Interstate Disputes in South America: Inference from the Chaco War**
Two variables determine the degree to which states use force internationally: the intensity of the threat to elite status and the degree of elite cohesiveness. This hypothesis is examined in the Bolivian-Paraguayan rivalry that led to the Chaco War.
Ann C. A. Alves, *University of Virginia*
aa8a@virginia.edu
- 4:52 **Learned to Lead: Education, Family, and Leader Behavior in Militarized Disputes**
This paper systematically looks at the way the educational and family background experiences of national leaders influence the way they behave in militarized situations once they enter office.
Michael Horowitz, *University of Pennsylvania*
horow@sas.upenn.edu
Alan C. Stam, *University of Michigan*
stam@umich.edu
- 5:04 **Diplomatic Options in the Shadow of an Audience: The Benefits of Private Mediation**
I argue that audience costs are a rational mechanism for mediation. Leaders and foreign enemies foster domestic uncertainty about the origins of offers that enables leaders to back down in mediation. This allows for a lower probability of war.
Shawn L. Hamreid, *University of Rochester*
shawn2@social.rochester.edu
- 5:16 **The Effects of Regime Change on the Interlude Between Militarized Disputes**
I examine the effects of regime on interstate conflict. Democratization, autocratization, political dissimilarity, and political instability have been posited as candidate causes of increased conflict. I test this on MID data using survival models.
Benn Seung Tso, *CDNY, Graduate Center*
tanbeauseng@gmail.com
- 5:28 **Democratic Peace Arguments and the Mendic Arthurian Peace**
This study examines the extent to which democratic peace arguments can be applied to non-democracies. The democratic peace is not observed when one disreggregates authoritarian regime types, suggesting that peace is only correlated with democracy.
Matthew Charles Wilson, *Pennsylvania State University*
mcw215@psu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Michael Colaresi, Michigan State University**
colaresi@msu.edu
Disc., Carmela Lumar, University of Haifa
clumar@poli.haifa.ac.il
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 17-11 Great Powers and Nuclear Proliferation**
Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Andrew Richter, University of Windsor**
arichter@uwindsor.ca
- 4:40 **Bush Administration and (Nuclear) India: 'Cutting the Gordian Knot'**
This paper highlights the motivations of the Bush administration to engage India in the civilian nuclear cooperation. In contrast to common (mis)perceptions, the decision to cut the Gordian knot was neither sudden nor idiosyncratic.
Vaivana Bharda, *University of Alberta*
vbharda@ualberta.ca
- 4:52 **Superpower Culture and the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty**
We present a model of the NPT that explains why it arose when it did and why it was stable, and test three predictions of this model against the empirical record of superpower behavior with respect to the treaty.
Andrew James Coe, *Harvard University*
aco0@fas.harvard.edu
Jane Eganon Vaynsman, *Harvard University*
jvaynsma@fas.harvard.edu
- 5:04 **Zeros Begetting Zeros: Great-power Nuclear Strategy and the Question of Second-tier Nuclear Proliferation**
This article examines the foundation of the Obama Administration's 2010 Nuclear Posture Review, testing the premise that American commitment to nuclear disarmament is necessary for other states to take seriously their nonproliferation commitments.
Christopher R. Dittusler, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
cdittus@unc.edu
- 5:16 **Explaining National Interests of India in the US-India Civil Nuclear Deal (2009)**
Paper explains how and why the national interests of India converged with US interests, which culminated in the civil nuclear deal 2009. Combines content analysis and large-scale survey to assess Indian domestic politics influence on nuclear deal.
Shivaji Kumar, *Ohio State University*
kumar.140@osu.edu
- 5:28 **Strategic Judgment and Reasons by Hegemonic Competition States to Nuclear Proliferation: Between Leading State and Rising State**
In this paper, I will prove that states engaged in hegemonic competition will respond to nuclear proliferation under the condition of strategic calculation to gain an advantageous position in the race.
Doyoung Lee, *Korea University*
ligunpark23@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Andrew Richter, University of Windsor**
arichter@uwindsor.ca
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-10 Bargaining Theories of Civil War**
LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, J. Michael Greig, University of North Texas**
greg@unt.edu
- 4:40 **Negative Externalities, Bargaining, and Civil War**
We analyze a bargaining model in which the government and an extremist group negotiate, and if they do not reach an agreement, the extremists then seek to mobilize the population in hopes of waging a full rebellion rather than limited insurgency.
Phillip Aronow, *State University of New York, Buffalo*
paronaw@buffalo.edu
Brian Hardt, *Niagara University*
bhardt@buffalo.edu
- 5:00 **Bargaining Civil War Onset and the Power of Third Party Signals**
Using the bargaining or rationalist model of war, this paper examines how third party signals affect the onset of civil conflict. States experiencing onset will have had capability based third party signals in favor of the government.
Jeremy L. Bowling, *University of Missouri*
jlbowl@mail.missouri.edu
- 5:20 **The Negotiation Calculus: Why Parties to Intractable Conflict Refuse to Talk**
This paper proposes a simple model of intractable conflict in which negotiations themselves have costs and benefits. It argues that these costs and benefits explain some of the variation we see in the willingness of parties to participate in talks.

	Jeff Kaplan, <i>University of California, San Diego</i> jkaplan@ucsd.edu		Benjamin Pasquale, <i>New York University</i> bp730@nyu.edu
5:00	Disc., J. Michael Greig, <i>University of North Texas</i> greig@unc.edu	5:25	An Ecological Model of Political Fragmentation I model the political economy of symbolic national attachments as contest between competing political entrepreneurs seeking to mobilize followers across difficult social and geographic landscapes, generating a number of novel empirical predictions. T. Camber Warren, <i>ETH, Zurich</i> CamberW@gmail.com
6:00	Audience Discussion	5:00	Disc., Nadav Shefet, <i>University of Wisconsin</i> shefe@wisc.edu
19-3 Room	Foreign Policy Decisionmaking Sundburg 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm	6:00	Audience Discussion
4:35	Chair, Yu-Tai Tsai, <i>National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan</i> ytsai@ccu.edu.tw	22-10 Room	Campaigns Going Negative and Going Positive Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:40	Bureaucratic Politics, Leader Beliefs and Gender Analysis: Why Foreign Policy Analysis Needs Feminism FPA would be enriched by including feminist insights into the "gendered" assumptions of decision-making processes and the outcomes of such processes. This paper attempts to integrate such insights into the theoretical and empirical study of FPA. William Kirby Delehanty, <i>Missouri Southern State University</i> delehanty-w@msau.edu	4:35	Chair, Emily Clough, <i>Newcastle University</i> emily.clough@ncl.ac.uk
5:00	Risk Taking or Risk-Averse?: Analyzing Nuclear Behavior of North Korea This paper analyzes the recent nuclear brinkmanship foreign policy of North Korea using prospect theory and perception analysis. Daegwon Kim, <i>West Liberty University</i> kdongsoo@gmail.com	4:40	The Dynamic Effects of Negative Advertising in Statewide Elections Does negative campaigning actually improve a candidate's chances of winning? This paper utilizes a dynamic causal inference model to estimate the effectiveness of negative campaigning in Senate and gubernatorial elections from 2000 until 2008. Matthew Blackwell, <i>Harvard University</i> blackwel@fas.harvard.edu
	Yongsuok Choy, <i>University of Georgia</i> stein001@sunmail.net	4:55	Gender Goggles Perceived Negativity in Campaign Advertisements This research aims to determine if candidate gender influences a viewer's perception of message negativity in negative political advertisements. Amber Dickinson, <i>Oklahoma State University</i> amberdickinson@okstate.edu
5:20	When Do Their Candidates Count? An Explanation of Wartime Decision that Fit Security against Harm Offers a new understanding about wartime decision making in the face of likely, but unintended, harm to foreign civilians. Empirically identifies conditions under which leaders are more or less likely to choose to attack a target. Dwight Rohlyer, <i>United States Air Force Air War College</i> dwight.rohlyer@gmail.com	5:10	Why Do They Go Negative? Linking Prospect Theory to the Study of Campaigns Using prospect theory can help us understand why (some) campaigns go negative. Respondents in an online survey opt for a more negative style of campaigning if they are told that they are trailing behind in polls, as prospect theory would predict. Thorsten Paas, <i>University of Mannheim</i> thorsten.paas@uni-mannheim.de
5:40	Disc., William Kirby Delehanty, <i>Missouri Southern State University</i> delehanty-w@msau.edu	5:25	Negative TV Ads, Consultants, and Elections: Is There Evidence for Down-Balloon Diffusion of Negative Campaign Techniques? This paper examines whether negative campaign techniques, specifically in television advertising, trickles down the levels of the ballot to other offices among the states. Such diffusion may take place through campaign professionals' activities. Patricia Hallam Joseph, <i>University of Pittsburgh</i> p.hallam-joseph@gmail.com
6:00	Audience Discussion	5:40	Disc., Chapman B. Ruckoway, <i>Penn State University</i> cruckawa@psu.edu
21-4 Room	Modeling Ethnic Conflict and Nationalism Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm		Disc., Brian F. Schaffner, <i>University of Massachusetts, Amherst</i> b.f.schaffner@gmail.com
4:35	Chair, T. Camber Warren, <i>ETH, Zurich</i> CamberW@gmail.com	6:00	Audience Discussion
4:40	Ethnicity and Electoral Violence: An Empirical Exploration, 1960-2000 This paper argues that elections invite violence in a society where smaller ethnic groups are political dominant. Statistical analysis shows that violence is more likely to take place as the month of elections approach in these countries. Massimiliano Eggenhahn, <i>Michigan State University</i> massim11@gmail.com	23-9 Room	Youth Voting Salon 8 on the 1st floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
	Shin Toyooka, <i>Hokkaido University</i> gromstandalone@yahoocorp.jp	4:35	Chair, Regina Smyth, <i>Indiana University</i> rsmyth@indiana.edu
4:55	Can You Do Quantitative Research on Your Own? The Challenge of Producing a Custom-Tailored Database on Ethnic Relations This paper intends to introduce a dataset compiled from two established ones, and with a new variable of interest added. It discusses some issues related to merging and acquiring data, and argues for the hard choices the author had to make. Agnes Katalin Kósa, <i>Simon Fraser University</i> akk17@sfu.ca	4:40	Sowing the Early Seeds of Participation: How High School Experiences Affect Political Engagement after Graduation Using a pre-college survey of high school graduates, this paper tests the effect of multiple high school experiences, such as volunteerism and involvement in student organizations, on the political engagement of young adults. Heather Kristen Evans, <i>San Jose State University</i> hke002@sjsu.edu
5:10	Testing Interethnic Cooperation: Evidence from the Lab I test a model of ethnic cooperation in the lab similar to Fearon and Laitin's seminal model "Explaining Interethnic Cooperation." I do so by imposing identities, varying their context and varying information about their partners' histories of play.		

- 4:55 **One Shot for Scott: Strategic Voting in the 2010 Williamsburg City Council Election**
Using an original panel survey, we find evidence of strategic voting among students at the College of William & Mary who participated in the 2010 election for Williamsburg City Council. We test multiple alternative explanations for the vote pattern.
Patrick R. Miller, *Duke University*
millerpa@email.unc.edu
Ronald B. Rapoport, *College of William & Mary*
rbrapo@wm.edu
Daniel McInnis, *University of California, San Diego*
dmcinis@ucsd.edu
- 5:10 **Who Gets to Be Young? Analyzing the Category of Young Voters in the 2008 Presidential Election**
This paper argues that in discussions of political participation, the term youth applies not to all Americans between the ages of 18-29 but has increasingly become a cipher for white college students.
Allison Dawn Rank, *University of Washington*
arank@u.washington.edu
- 5:25 **Students' Civic Engagement: A Comprehensive Study of University of Ottawa Undergraduate Students**
This article examines the level of civic engagement of over 500 undergraduate students and finds academic achievement, participation in extracurricular activities and strong student-faculty interactions to be related to civic engagement.
Daniel Stockemer, *University of Ottawa*
d.stockemer@web.ottawa.ca
- 5:40 **Disc., Brian Harrison, Northwestern University**
bharrison@northwestern.edu
Disc., Kathrin Kissler, Swiss Foundation for Research in Social Sciences
kathrin.kissler@fors.unil.ch
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 24-6 **Campaign Finance in Legislative Elections**
Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Damon M. Cann, Utah State University**
damon.cann@usu.edu
- 4:40 **The Impact of Suspending Minnesota's PCR Program on the Campaign Finance Activity of Small Donors and Organized Interests: Stirring the Pot**
In 2009, MN ended its Political Contribution Refund program. This paper uses multiple specifications of a Tobit regression model to determine the impact of this change on the campaign finance activity of small donors and interest groups in the state.
Michael Beth, *Concordia College*
beth@cord.edu
- 4:52 **The Myth of Monetary Surrogacy: The Geographical Logic of Contributions to State Legislative Campaigns**
This paper analyzes the origins of campaign contributions in order to determine if monetary surrogacy exists in state legislative campaigns.
Kayla Orler, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
klor7@mail.missouri.edu
- 5:04 **The Impact of District Magnitude on Campaign Fundraising**
The magnitude of a legislative district (the number of members a elects) affects candidate fundraising. Using a dataset of candidate fundraising in Maryland we find district magnitude has several effects on the fundraising of legislative candidates.
James Michael Curry, *University of Maryland*
jcurry@gvpl.umd.edu
Paul S. Herriman, *University of Maryland*
pherriman@cap.umd.edu
Jeffrey Alan Taylor, *University of Maryland, College Park*
jtaylor@gvps.umd.edu
- 5:16 **Citizens United and Campaign Advertising in 2010**
Drawing on data from the Wesleyan Media Project, which tracked all television ads during the 2010 election season, we examine whether and to what extent corporations and unions became involved in trying to influence elections.
Michael M. Franz, *Bowdoin College*
mfranz@bowdoin.edu
Erika Franklin Fowler, *Wesleyan University*
efowler@wesleyan.edu
Travis N. Ridout, *Washington State University*
tridout@wsu.edu
- 5:28 **Tracking the Trailblazer: How National Congressional Campaign Committees Respond to Possible Wave Elections**
Author uses FEC and polling data to determine how aggressively congressional campaign committees behave when their party stands to benefit from a 'wave' election.
Dante J. Scala, *University of New Hampshire*
dante.scala@unh.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Damon M. Cann, Utah State University**
damon.cann@usu.edu
Disc., Eric S. Heberlig, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
esheber@uncc.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 25-8 **New Approaches to the Study of Electoral Choice**
Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Catherine De Vries, University of Amsterdam**
c.de.vries@uva.nl
- 4:40 **Voter Choice under Instant Runoff Voting: An Empirical Analysis of the Rationality and Dimensionality of Candidate Rankings**
Empirical analysis of voter choice under Instant Runoff Voting.
Ines Levin, *California Institute of Technology*
ilevin@hss.caltech.edu
Thad Edward Hall, *University of Utah*
thad.hall@poli-sci.utah.edu
Michael Alvarez, *California Institute of Technology*
mao@hss.caltech.edu
- 4:55 **Catch-All or Catch and Release? The Electoral Consequences of Major Parties' March to the Middle in Western Europe**
An analysis of change in the vote choices of individuals in 10 European countries in 1989-2004 as catch-all parties move to the center, finding that programmatic moderation is beneficial in the next electoral cycle, but counterproductive later.
Jonathan T. Polk, *University of Georgia*
jnpolk@uga.edu
Christopher S. Allen, *University of Georgia*
csallen@uga.edu
Johannes Lukas Kameda, *University of Georgia*
jkameda@uga.edu
- 5:10 **Class Voting Revisited: A Choice Set Approach to Class Voting in British Elections between 1983 and 2005**
By employing a choice set model of vote choice, we demonstrate that until the mid-1990s, social class served as a non-compensatory decision rule, but has become more compensatory in nature in more recent British elections.
Marco Robert Steenbergen, *University of Bern*
marco.steenbergen@jipw.unibe.ch
Catherine De Vries, *University of Amsterdam*
c.de.vries@uva.nl
- 5:25 **A Multinomial Response Model for Varying Choice Sets with Application to Partially Contested Multiparty Elections**
This paper proposes a new multinomial choice model which explicitly takes into account variation in choice sets across observations. The proposed model is applied to survey data in partially contested multiparty elections.
Teppei Yamamoto, *Princeton University*
tyamamo@Princeton.EDU

- 5:40 Disc., Thomas M. Carsey, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
carsey@unc.edu
Disc., Raymond Duch, *University of Oxford*
raymond.duch@ruellfield.ox.ac.uk
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 27-9 **Personality as a Mediator of Political Attitudes and Behavior**
Room Burbank 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Matthew V. Hibbing, *University of Illinois*
hibbing2@illinois.edu
4:40 **The Nature and Nurture of the Influence of Personality on Political Ideology and Electoral Turnout**
We present large-scale survey results on the influence of the "big five" personality traits on political ideology and election turnout. We also investigate contextual interaction effects and find that childhood trauma plays a mediating role.
Jon-Emmanuel De Neve, *London School of Economics*
j.e.de-neve@lse.ac.uk
Julian Settle, *University of California, San Diego*
jsettle@ucsd.edu
- 4:52 **How Does Personality Shape Generalized Trust? An Empirical Assessment**
In the paper we analyze how personality – conceptualized as the five factors in the Big Five (OCEAN) personality model – shapes generalized trust, building on a nationally representative Danish survey. The results show strong effects of personality.
Peter Thibred Dinesen, *University of Southern Denmark*
pdinesen@gmail.com
Robert Kleemannsen, *University of Southern Denmark*
rkl@sum.sdu.dk
Asbjørn Sonne Nisgaard, *University of Southern Denmark*
ans@sum.sdu.dk
- 9:04 **Who Sees Others as Red or Blue? How Personality and Social Context Moderate Partisan Perceptions**
This study examines personality and social variables that influence individuals' willingness to categorize others according to political affiliation. Implications for how individuals access and apply partisan schemas are discussed.
April A. Strickland, *Stony Brook University*
april.a.strickland@gmail.com
Lindsay Clark Levitan, *Stony Brook University*
lindsay.levitan@stonybrook.edu
- 5:16 **The Transmission of Political Attitudes and Personality Traits Across Generations**
We explore the transmission of personality traits and socio-political attitudes across generations by exploring parent-child similarity, and contextual and environmental changes and their influence on both personality and political attitudes.
Brad Verhulst, *Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics*
brad.verhulst@gmail.com
- 5:28 **Entrepreneur-King or Politician-President: A Personality Profile of Barack Obama**
A personality profile of Barack Obama, based on biographical facts and at-a-distance measures, can explain outcomes and suggest predictions about the course of his presidency.
David G. Winter, *University of Michigan*
dgwinter@umich.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Bryce Jensen Dietrich, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
dietrich4@illinois.edu
Disc., Matthew V. Hibbing, *University of Illinois*
hibbing2@illinois.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 28-3 Room 4:35 **Contemporary Attitudes toward Immigration**
Millennium Park on the 6th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Irina Neoraddin, *Ohio State University*
neoraddin.3@osu.edu
- 4:40 **Competition and Solidarity: Union Members and Immigration in Europe**
This paper examines the effect of union membership on attitudes toward immigration in Europe and the effects of context on that relationship. It addresses three theories with different implications, and uses cross-national surveys to test them.
Michael J. Donnelly, *Princeton University*
mcdonell@princeton.edu
- 4:55 **The Upside of Accents: Experimental Evidence on Language, Skin Tone, and Americans' Immigration Attitudes**
This survey experiment shows that Americans are especially supportive of immigrants who speak accented English as compared to fluent Spanish.
David Jacob Hopkins, *Georgetown University*
dhopkwa@iq.harvard.edu
- 5:10 **Between the Pews: Immigration Attitudes and Religion in Latin America**
This study explores what role religion plays in shaping immigration attitudes across Latin America. In this highly-competitive religious environment, religious affiliation stands to be critical in understanding pro-immigrant attitudes.
Duncan Lawrence, *University of Colorado*
duncan.lawrence@colorado.edu
- 5:25 **American Identity Threatened: Illegality and Support for Arizona's Immigration Solution**
Using an original nationally representative sample collected in August of 2010, I find support for the hypothesized effects of illegality and threatened American identity upon support for Arizona's controversial immigration law.
Alyson Shortle, *Ohio State University*
shortle.1@polisci.osu.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Jennifer Fitzgerald, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
jennifer.fitzgerald@colorado.edu
Disc., Irina Neoraddin, *Ohio State University*
neoraddin.1@osu.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 28-9 Room 4:35 **Economic Class and Opinions**
Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Gregg R. Murray, *Texas Tech University*
gmurray@ttu.edu
- 4:40 **Wealthy Americans and the Common Good: Preliminary Findings**
The political views of the top 1/10 of 1% of wealth holders, which have important implications for representation and democracy, are the subject of a small pilot study. We report on substantive and methodological issues.
Benjamin I. Page, *Northwestern University*
b-page@northwestern.edu
Larry M. Bartels, *Princeton University*
bartels@princeton.edu
- 4:52 **Long-Term Unemployment and Individualist Values**
This study uses a survey of 2645 respondents, with an oversample of 1664 unemployed respondents interviewed in September 2010, to assess the effect of the current economic downturn upon people's support for the value of economic individualism.
Phillip O. Poelline, *University of North Texas*
poelline@unt.edu
- 5:04 **The Problem with False Alts: Partisan Deviation, Economic Self-Interest, and the Behavior of High Income Voters**
In this paper we examine white collar disaffection from the GOP using ANES data. We compare sociotropic considerations, false-consciousness, and the multi-dimensionality of issue voting to evaluate the contribution of each to this outcome.

- Gary M. Segura, *Stanford University*
segura@stanford.edu
Shaun Bowler, *University of California, Riverside*
shaun.bowler@ucr.edu
- 5:16 **Working-Class Conservatism and Moral Centralism: A Cultural Analysis of Middle-American Ideology**
Constructing depictions of most Americans as ideologically "innocent." I draw on research in Relational Class Analysis to show that working-class conservatism is both internally coherent and consistent with many progressive policy goals.
Amy Stuart, *New School for Social Research*
amys29@gmail.com
- 5:28 **Affluents, Risk, and Community Engagement: The Case of Jacob and Huntington Beach**
Large scale environmental hazards are rarely located in affluent communities. I use survey data to model the relationship between perceived environmental risks, trust in government, and community engagement in one affluent community at risk.
Justin Abbott Tucker, *California State University, Fullerton*
justintucker@fullerton.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Edward Matthew Burns, University of Georgia**
eburns1@uga.edu
Disc., Martin Gillens, Princeton University
MGI@PRINCETON.EDU
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 28-27 **Reasoning about Political Institutions**
Burnham 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Michael Peter Bobic, West Virginia Wesleyan College**
bobic_m@wvwc.edu
- 4:40 **Identifying Sources of Democratic Political Leadership Among Global Mass Publics**
In this study, I assessed conceptual knowledge among global mass publics by focusing on whether citizens are able to discriminate democracy from authoritarianism. I found that misunderstanding about democracy is prevalent among global mass publics.
Youngho Cho, *University of Missouri*
ycho62@mail.missouri.edu
- 4:55 **Two Dimensions of the Representation Relationship: The Causes and Consequences of Public Preferences Regarding the Style and Locus of Representation**
National survey evidence provides a detailed account of who people think representatives should respond to and whether they should respond to public opinion. I also examine the causes and consequences of these preferences.
David Doherty, *Yale University*
david.doherty@yale.edu
- 5:10 **Citizens' Evaluations of the Fulfillment of Election Pledges: Evidence From Ireland**
The linkage between what parties promise during election campaigns and what governments deliver afterward is central to democratic theory. This study describes and explains citizens' evaluations of the fulfillment of election pledges in Ireland.
Robert Thomson, *Trinity College, Dublin*
rthomson@tcd.ie
- 5:25 **Stealth Democracy in Spain? Revisiting and explaining the model**
This study tests whether Stealth Democracy applies in Spanish context. It also extends the model by accounting for political participation (general and issue-specific), hostile media and hostile public perception, disagreement and opinion strength.
Magdalena E. Wojcikoszka, *IE University, Segovia, Spain*
magdalena.wojcikoszka@ie.edu
3040 Font, *College for International Studies*
jfont@cis.us
- 5:40 **Disc., Virginia Chanley, U.S. Government Accountability Office**
chanleyv@gao.gov
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 29-3 **Political Websites 2.0**
Room
Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Kenneth M. Winseg, University of Pennsylvania**
kwinseg@asc.upenn.edu
- 4:40 **Civic Engagement 2.0: How Do Emotional Online Appeals by Candidates Shape Citizen Participation?**
Data from a novel survey experiment show that emotional appeals made on a candidate website strongly affect citizens' decisions to participate in politics both on- and off-line.
Philip Edward Jones, *University of Delaware*
pejones@udel.edu
Lindsay Hoffman, *University of Delaware*
lindsayh@udel.edu
Daunagui Young, *University of Delaware*
dgyoung@udel.edu
- 4:55 **Effects of Online Communication by Presidential Candidates**
The broad use of the Internet by candidates during the 2008 presidential campaign, coupled with new questions posed in national surveys, provides an opportunity to assess how this new way of reaching voters affects citizen attitudes and behavior.
Mark Donald Ludwig, *California State University, Sacramento*
mldudwig@csglobal.net
- 5:10 **Conservatism 2.0: The Grassroots Orientation of the Conservative Movement Online**
In light of the recent Tea Party activism, this paper presents a contextual analysis of top conservative organizations online in order to observe and evaluate how grassroots-oriented these particular organizations appear online.
Emily Pfetzer, *Louisiana State University*
emily.pfetzer@gmail.com
- 5:25 **Democracy 2.0? Examining the Influence of the Internet on the Style and Substance of Political Communication**
Has the Internet changed the style and substance of political communication in democratic competitions? This question is examined by a longitudinal content and structural analysis of German online campaigns in the National Elections, 2002-2009.
Eva Johanna Schweitzer, *University of Mainz, Germany*
eva.schweitzer@web.de
- 5:40 **Disc., Kenneth M. Winseg, University of Pennsylvania**
kwinseg@asc.upenn.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 29-10 **Media Coverage of Electoral Campaigns and Its Effects**
Room
Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Robert Clifford Sahr, Oregon State University**
robert.sahr@oregonstate.edu
- 4:40 **Media Coverage and EP Elections: Towards Greater Satisfaction?**
The main focus of this paper is on the effects of the EP elections on EU evaluations. We analyze the effect of media coverage on popular satisfaction and differentiate between the member states. Does institutional quality condition this media effect?
Pieter-Jan Desmet, *University of Amsterdam*
p.j.l.desmet@uva.nl
Joost van Spanje, *University of Amsterdam*
j.vanspanje@uva.nl
Clara H. De Vreese, *University of Amsterdam*
c.h.devreese@uva.nl
- 4:55 **Does Television Personalize Voting Behaviour? Studying the Effects of Media Consumption on Voting for Candidates vs. Parties**
Studies have argued that the media's focus on politicians as private persons leads to a personalisation of voting behaviour. This paper

- udies how media consumption affects the likelihood of voting for individual candidates as opposed to parties.
Christian Ekelund-Prætorius, *University of Southern Denmark*
 cel@sum.edu.dk
David Nicolai Hogmann, *University of Southern Denmark*
 dnh@sum.edu.dk
- 5:04 **Does the Media Help Citizens Distinguish Fact from Fabrication during Presidential Elections?**
 Our results show differential impacts of different sources for campaign information on citizens' ability to discern deceptive claims from accurate ones.
Bruce William Hardy, *University of Pennsylvania*
 bhardy@uic.upenn.edu
Kathleen Hall Jamieson, *University of Pennsylvania*
 kjam110@ase.upenn.edu
- 5:16 **Are We Close? Local Media Coverage and Voter Perceptions of Electoral Competitiveness**
 Voters are notoriously bad at gauging the competitiveness of elections. In particular, many voters see a higher level of competition than candidate strategies and poll numbers would indicate. This study looks into possible sources of this mismatch.
Fearful Hendriks, *Susquehanna University*
 hendriks@susqu.edu
- 5:28 **Uncharted Territory: A Detailed Description and Analysis of the Media Environment During the 1996-2008 Presidential (General) Elections**
 A presentation of the results of a content analysis of all NY Times articles and NBC News broadcasts during the 1996-2008 general election periods. Includes analysis of share, volume, and content of coverage.
Joakim John Wikert, *Temple University*
 wikert@temple.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Andre Blais**, *Université de Montréal*
 andr.blais@umontreal.ca
Disc., Bruce William Hardy, *University of Pennsylvania*
 bhardy@uic.upenn.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-9 **Women and the Executive Branch**
 Room
 Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Kimberly S. Adams, *East Stroudsburg University*
 klaberly.edams@ps-box.esu.edu
- 4:35 **Climbing Up the Executive Branch: Women's Path of Least Resistance to Political Power in Central and Eastern Europe**
 In this paper we examine the nonconditional way that women in postcommunist Europe are entering the realm of politics. We seek to understand under what conditions women are more likely to join cabinets utilizing 12 countries in the region, 1992-2008.
Ingrid Ibegu, *Washington State University*
 ibegu@wsu.edu
- 4:40 **A Global Analysis of Variation in Men's and Women's Ministerial Careers**
 This paper explains variation in the length of time that women and men serve as government ministers and the ministerial career paths of women and men. It is based on analysis of a dataset tracking ministerial careers in 147 cases, 2000-2010.
Seraj Jacob, *James Madison University*
 jacobm@jmu.edu
John A. Scherpereck, *James Madison University*
 scherpja@jmu.edu
Melinda J. Adams, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 adams2mj@gsu.edu
- 5:10 **All the President's Men? The Appointment of Female Cabinet Ministers Worldwide**
 This paper explores variations in women's status in cabinets worldwide by constructing a new measure of parity, which assesses the position of women in terms of numbers, as well as the gender and prestige of the ministries to which they are assigned.
- Mona Lena Krook**, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 mlkrook@wustl.edu
Diana O'Brien, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 dobrien@wustl.edu
- 5:25 **The Influence of Contingency on Women Executives**
 We argue that the inclusion of legislative representation and time are key to understanding the variation in women's participation as national executive. We examine representation in 136 democracies for 1945-2006.
Margaret S. Williams, *Federal Judicial Center*
 mwilliams77@gmail.com
Frank C. Thomas, *Texas Tech University*
 frank.thomas@ttu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Christian V. Kydus**, *Union College*
 kydusc@union.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-18 **Political Engagement and Gender**
 Room
 Logan on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Julie Carlisle, *Idaho State University*
 carljul@isu.edu
- 4:40 **The Effects of Descriptive Associational Leadership on Political Engagement**
 Using matching and hierarchical models, we investigate the effect that descriptive representation of women in the pulpit has on the political engagement of congregation members.
Paul A. Djupe, *DePaul University*
 djupe@depaul.edu
Eric Briceon, *DePaul University*
 ebriceon@gmail.com
- 4:55 **Citizens' Political Participation and Female Representation in Political Power: A Reciprocal Relationship?**
 The present paper tests whether there is a reciprocal relationship between two kinds of gender gap: the grassroots political participation and the one that takes place at the highest level.
Ana Espinosa-Santa, *European University Institute*
 ana.espinosa.santa@eui.eu
- 5:10 **Do Young Women Have Political Role Models? Gender, Descriptive Representation, and Political Ambition among American College-age Women**
 Examines the extent to which the presence of women in office has a "role model" effect on young women and men, encouraging higher levels of political interest, ambition, and efficacy.
Mark Mariani, *Xavier University*
 marianim@xavier.edu
Lanetha Matthews, *Muhlenberg College*
 matthews@muhlenberg.edu
- 5:25 **The Power of Collective Representation**
 Using an original survey experiment, I test the relative power of dyadic descriptive representation and collective representation for bolstering perceptions of political legitimacy and levels of political engagement among female constituents.
Dana Witroer, *Ohio State University*
 witroer.8@osu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Karen S. Hoffman**, *Marquette University*
 karen.hoffman@marquette.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 31-9 **Race beyond American Borders**
 Room
 Parlor G on the 6th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Richard Iton, *Northwestern University*
 r-iton@northwestern.edu
- 4:40 **Agency, Embodiment, and Quotidian Politics**
 This paper asks: How do Scheduled Caste Sikhs and Sikh women take political action in a community that engages in discrimination, yet denies its very existence?
Natasha Behl, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 abehl@ucla.edu

- 4:50** **Institutions and Identity: Race and Affirmative Action in Brazil**
Can state institutions shape identity? This study examines the effects of recent affirmative action policies on racial identification in Brazil.
Ysabella Maria Gozalez, *Princeton University*
ygozalez@princeton.edu
- 5:00** **Explaining Cross-Border Political Activities Among Argentine Immigrants**
Drawing on data from a survey of Latino immigrants, this study intends to ascertain who participates in cross-border political activities and explain why they do so.
Jongho Lee, *Western Illinois University*
J.Lee6@wiu.edu
- 5:10** **The Process of Blackness and the Endogeneity of Race in Salvador and São Paulo, Brazil**
Examines race as a dependent variable and explores determinants such as black linked fate and experiencing racism for choosing a black identification in Salvador and São Paulo, Brazil.
Gladys L. Mitchell-Walshour, *Johns Hopkins University*
gmitch20@jhu.edu
- 5:20** **Barack Obama and Changing of the Guard: Between Third Wave Black Politics in the US and Global Hegemonic Transition in the 21st Century**
This will examine the global hegemonic transition that is unfolding at the same time Obama assumes the American presidency. It is part of a panel entitled: Black Radical Politics in the 21st Century.
Darryl C. Thomas, *Pennsylvania State University*
dct10@psu.edu
- 5:30** **Race and the Logic of Global Interrogation**
Conceptualizes race as a global norm/idea and traces its causal influence on political outcomes, particularly in the politics of the census in the US, Canada and Great Britain.
Debra Thompson, *Harvard University*
dthompson@gov.harvard.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., L. Skeyta Moulton Bonnette, Georgia State University**
p0110b@langate.gsu.edu
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 32-10B** **Discussing Devin Stauffer's The Unity of Plato's Gorgias**
Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
A roundtable discussion of Devin Stauffer's important new book: *The Unity of Plato's Gorgias: Rhetoric, Justice and the Philosophic Life* (Cambridge 2006).
Chair Eric Buzzetti, *Concordia University, Montreal*
buzzetti@ubor.concordia.ca
Panelist Christopher Baldwin, *Rhodes College*
baldwin@rhodes.edu
Devin Andrew Stauffer, *University of Texas, Austin*
devinstaufer@mail.utexas.edu
David Levy, *Boston College*
LEVYD11@bc.edu
Kevin M. Cherry, *University of Richmond*
kevin.moore.cherry@gmail.com
Michael P. Zuckert, *University of Notre Dame*
mzuckert.1@nd.edu
- 33-4** **Was Spinoza Intolerant of Atheists or of the Religious?**
Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Michael Leo Frazer, *Princeton University*
mfracer@princeton.edu
- 4:39** **Secular Republicanism and Spinoza's Critique of Theology**
This paper examines the connection between Spinoza's participatory republican politics and his critique of revealed religion. It discusses his rejection of the ancient city and his attempt to cultivate a rich communal life for liberal democracy.
Aaron Louis Herald, *Baylor College*
aaron.herald@bc.edu
- 4:52** **Was Spinoza Intolerant of Atheists?**
Spinoza required everyone to agree to 7 dogmas of a universal religion. The first one was, "There is a god". Atheists could consider it persecution to be required to express allegiance to that dogma.
John Christian Laurson, *University of California, Riverside*
juhn1@ucr.edu
- 5:04** **Atheism, Obedience, and the Noble Lie in Spinoza**
Several of the seven dogmas of universal faith that Spinoza lists in Chapter Fourteen of his *Theological-Political Treatise* bring the doctrine of that book into tension with Spinoza's account of the nature of God in the *Ethics*.
Michael LeBuffe, *Texas A&M University*
lebuffe@philosophy.tamu.edu
- 5:16** **Why Spinoza is Intolerant of Atheists: The Dogmas of Universal Faith and the Limits of Toleration**
Spinoza's dogmas of universal faith do not allow for the toleration of atheism. Despite the fact that others considered him an atheist, Spinoza claims that he is not. He does not violate the dogmas and so the state should tolerate his beliefs.
Michael Rosenthal, *University of Washington*
rosentm@u.washington.edu
- 5:28** **Spinoza's Seven Dogmas Are Not Intolerant of Atheists**
Spinoza's seven dogmas of universal faith seem to prove that he was intolerant of atheists. I will argue he was not.
Mario Jose Villaverde, *Universidad Complutense de Madrid*
mujavi2@gmail.com
- 5:40** **Disc., Julie Cooper, University of Chicago**
jcooper@uchicago.edu
Disc., Lars Tonder, Northwestern University
l-tonder@northwestern.edu
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 35-8** **Capitalism, Democracy, and Justice**
Room Sandberg 6 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Steffan Mørch, *St. Michael's College*
smorch@smcvt.edu
- 4:40** **Neoliberalism or the American Dream**
A political economy and jurisprudence informed by game theory may be counter to the American dream that invites sharing innovation, building a social world of mutual respect, and celebrating independence through merit-based prosperity.
S. M. Amodeo, *Ohio State University*
amodeo.1@osu.edu
- 5:00** **Democratic Capitalism: Systemic Virtue in the Economic Sphere**
This paper considers how free market capitalism can be made properly democratic. The undermining of democratic political institutions is possible only if the corporation follows the political model and the notion of a "free" market is reconceived.
Joseph Thomas Carrig, *Independent Scholar*
jcarrig31@triedit.com
- 5:20** **The Provision of Public Goods in a Liberal State**
This paper asks whether the provision of public goods can be justified, even if these express controversial conceptions of the good life. It discusses different procedural rules and substantive conditions as a solution to this problem.
Rutger Claassen, *Leiden University*
claassenrjg@fww.leidenuniv.nl
- 5:40** **Disc., Helene Emille Landemore, Yale University**
hlandemore@gmail.com
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**

- 36-1 On Oakeshott**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Paul Joseph Kelly, *London School of Economics*
 p.j.kelly@lse.ac.uk
4:40 Skepticism and Conservatism in Michael Oakeshott
 I here aim at presenting the state of the arts concerning the issue at stake and at proposing an interpretation of the interrelation of skeptical elements and conservative elements in Oakeshott's philosophy.
 Rui Bertrand Romão, *Universidade Nova de Lisboa*
 ruib.romao@gmail.com
- 5:00 Freedom and Meaning: Michael Oakeshott, Conservatism and the Value of Liberty**
 This paper contrasts the idea of freedom as a practice of intrinsic meaning in the work of Michael Oakeshott with the problematic outcome or success value of freedom found in various contemporary conservatives.
 Jacob Segal, *Kingsborough Community College*
 jsegal@kbcc.cuny.edu
- 5:20 The Discontinuities in Michael Oakeshott**
 Oakeshott's discussion on self, morality, political association and its reflection on the state are all interrelated and one can see the relation among them if it is analyzed from micro (self) to macro (state) level.
 Oya Yegen, *Boston University*
 oya.yegen@gmail.com
- 5:40** Disc., Eric Steven Kos, *Eastern Michigan University*
 ekos67@aol.com
 Disc., Søren Hvilk Pedersen, *University of Southern Denmark*
 shp@sun.edu.dk
- 6:00** Audience Discussion
- 37-4 Elections**
Room LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Arthur Lupia, *University of Michigan*
 lupia@umich.edu
- 4:40 Direct Democracy, Political Delegation and Responsibility Substitution**
 The paper studies how direct democracy affects the performance of a representative democracy when citizens use elections to select competent politicians and to provide incentives to search for good policies.
 Carlo Patto, *Northwestern University*
 carlo.patto@northwestern.edu
 Bruno Strulovici, *Northwestern University*
 b-strulovici@northwestern.edu
- 5:00 Challengers, Democratic Contestation, and Electoral Accountability (continued w/ Scott Ashworth)**
 In most models of electoral accountability, "challengers" are passive replacements. We develop a model in which the challenger can actively criticize the incumbent's policy choices. We analyze how the threat of criticism affects policymaking.
 Kenneth W. Shotts, *Stanford University*
 kshotts@stanford.edu
- 5:20 Elections with Expert Politicians**
 We study elections between two candidates who hold some private information valuable for the whole electorate. We consider the opposite polar assumptions of purely office motivated candidates, and purely benevolent ones.
 Francesco Squintani, *University of Essex*
 squint@essex.ac.uk
 Katrina Finn, *Imperial College, London*
 k.finn@imperial.ac.uk
- 5:40** Disc., Justin Fox, *Yale University*
 justin.fox@yale.edu
- 6:00** Audience Discussion
- 37-11 Incentives**
Room Burnham 3 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Alan E. Wiseman, *Vanderbilt University*
 alan.wiseman@vanderbilt.edu
- 4:40 In the Absence of Truth: Moral Hazard in the Formal Study of Elections and Representation**
 Several formal models tackle head-on the blind invocation of moral hazard in the study of representation. The results show that de Rigueur moral hazard theory either does not result in equilibrated outcomes or directly contradicts empirical evidence.
 Dilya Alimova, *Rice University*
 dilya@rice.edu
- 4:55 The Market for Conservation and Other Hostages**
 The rainforest is a hostage: It is possessed by the South (S) who prefers to consume it, but the West (W) receives a larger value from continued conservation.
 Bard Barstad, *Northwestern University*
 bbarstad@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 5:10 Learning from Empirical Studies of Agency Rulemaking**
 We specify a game theoretic model of the notice and comment process which allows us to determine how the relative influence of participants should be reflected in the observable data.
 Stuart V. Jordan, *University of Rochester*
 sjordan@mail.rochester.edu
- 5:25 Equilibrium Self-Assessment**
 There are many situations in which an agent may be asked by his/her principal to design an evaluation system for his/her own performance. I construct a model of such a situation and examine equilibrium evaluation and beliefs.
 John W. Patty, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 jpatty@gmail.com
- 5:40** Disc., Mihail Iaryczowar, *California Institute of Technology*
 miaryo@hss.caltech.edu
 Disc., Amantades Kulandrakls, *University of Rochester*
 kulandrak@mail.rochester.edu
- 6:00** Audience Discussion
- 40-2 Money Allocation Strategies in Elections**
Room Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Janet M. Bar-Steffensmader, *Ohio State University*
 jsteffensm.2@osu.edu
- 4:40 Comparing the Consequences of BCRA's Request on State Party Support of 2010 U.S. Senate Candidates in Kansas and Missouri**
 This paper examines the impact of the recent repeal of BCRA on the way the 2010 Congressional elections were conducted in the states of Kansas and Missouri. The authors analyze the characteristics of the contributions state parties made to campaigns.
 Francisca Jung, *University of Kansas*
 franciska.jung@gmail.com
 Heather Elaine Yates, *University of Kansas*
 hey@ku.edu
- 4:55 The Psychology of Allocations: How Political Parties and Other Marketers Manage Resources**
 Using a survey experiment we investigate possible mechanisms for the phenomenon that, even after controlling for political circumstances, political parties appear to mirror one another when allocating their campaign dollars to U.S. House candidates.
 Jonathan Kraus, *SUNY Binghamton*
 jkraus@binghamton.edu
 Connor M. Dowling, *Yale University*
 conor.dowling@yale.edu
- 5:10 The Impact of Donors' Donations and Anonymity on Politicians' Choices of Policy**
 In the paper we use experimental approach to study the impact of large donors on electoral outcome and implemented policies. In the study we vary the number of donors, the percentage of endowment that can be donated and information structure.

- Dmitry A. Shapira, University of North Carolina, Charlotte**
dshapira@uncg.edu
- Haining Fang, University of Pennsylvania**
hfang@upenn.edu
- Artie Zilinski, University of North Carolina, Charlotte**
azilinski@uncg.edu
- 5:25 **Parties vs. Candidates: Partisanship vs. Ideology**
I demonstrate how economic PACs treat candidates of each party as a collective whole net of the candidate's individual policy positions. I provide new results on the estimation of the ideal points of candidates and coalitions using econometrics.
Jonathan Ward, Stanford University
wardj@stanford.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Janet M. Boa-Steffensmeier, Ohio State University**
jsteffensmeier.2@osu.edu
- Disc., Richard L. Hall, University of Michigan**
rlhall@umich.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 41-10 **Presidential Action (and Inaction) in Environmental Policy**
Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Todd Eberly, St. Mary's College of Maryland**
teberly@smcm.edu
- 4:45 **Republican Presidential Strategies for North America: From Reagan to George W. Bush**
How did Republican presidents Reagan, GHW Bush and GW Bush, negotiate among party factions, special interests, congressional politics, US public opinion, and Canada and Mexico to govern the flows of trade, labor and capital crossing US borders?
Greg Anderson, University of Alberta
greg.anderson@ualberta.ca
- 5:00 **The Presidential Politics of International Environmental Treaties**
Why do presidents place complex international environmental issues on their agenda and what strategies and techniques are deployed to seek broad domestic and international support for challenging issues such as ozone depletion and climate change?
Jeffrey M. Burman, Georgetown University
Burmanj@georgetown.edu
- 5:20 **Democratic Presidential Strategies for North America: From Clinton to Obama**
How did Democratic presidents Clinton and Obama, negotiate among party factions, special interests, congressional politics, US public opinion, and relations with Canada and Mexico to govern the flows of trade, labor and capital crossing US borders?
Christopher Sands, Hudson Institute
csands@hudson.org
- 5:40 **Disc., Bertram Johnson, Middlebury College**
bjjohnso@middlebury.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-8 **How Structures Affect State Legislatures**
Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Allen K. Sente, California Polytechnic State University**
asente@calpoly.edu
- 4:40 **The Legislature Strikes Back: How State Legislatures Use Direct Democracy to Preserve and Enhance Institutional Autonomy**
We examine how state legislatures use the referendum to strategically respond to threats to legislative autonomy that emanate from the initiative front.
David F. Damore, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
david.damore@unlv.edu
- Shawn Bowler, University of California, Riverside**
shawn.bowler@ucr.edu
- 4:52 **Legislative Size and Efficiency**
The number of members in a legislature chamber is related theoretically and empirically to constituency population and related measures. Legislative size correlates with productivity and professionalism.
James W. Eidersby, University of Missouri
eidersbyj@missouri.edu
- 5:04 **Monetary Incentives, Political Quality, and Political Performance: Theory and Evidence from U.S. Governors and State Legislators**
Do politician wages affect the quality of government? Using quasi-experimental techniques, I find little evidence that wages increase the quality of government.
Michael Hoffman, University of California, Berkeley
hoffma@econ.berkeley.edu
- 5:16 **Legislative Stress and Large-scale Change: Building Coercity Under Pressure**
"Hard times" for a legislature may not equate to "hard times" for the population as a whole. Rather, legislatures may face the most difficulties when outside pressures combine to induce, even force, institutional change, as we see in Kansas, 1960-75.
Burdett A. Loomis, University of Kansas
bloomis@ku.edu
- 5:28 **A Matter of Size: Understanding the Representativeness of State Legislatures**
This research tests the relationship between the relative size of state legislatures and measures of trust in government, complimented by an aggregate analysis of legislature size and a measure of elite-citizen ideological congruency.
Seaf Schrawfengel, Northern Illinois University
schrawf@niu.edu
- Benjamin S. Bingle, Northern Illinois University**
bbingle@jhu.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Stuart Daniel Hegelich, Smart Network of Graduate Schools**
Stuart.Hegelich@uni-amster.de
- Disc., Ottilie Janca, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville**
otjancu@uark.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-13 **Minority Groups in the Legislature**
Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Christian R. Gross, University of Southern California**
cgross@usc.edu
- 4:40 **Revealing Descriptive Representation in Congress**
We examine the effects of descriptive representation of various racial/ethnic groups on respondents' relationship with their U.S. House members in the 110th Congress, including approval, contact, satisfaction with service and ideological similarity.
Daniel Bowen, College of New Jersey
bowend@cnj.edu
- Caroline J. Tolbert, University of Iowa**
caroline-tolbert@uiowa.edu
- 4:52 **Gender and Representation: The Structure of Gender Sponsorship Networks**
Using social network analysis of legislative sponsorship activity in Arizona (1998-2008), we model the interdependencies among actors to understand the role of gender in shaping the incentives of legislators to pursue a female legislative agenda.
Jennifer Hayes Clark, University of Houston
jclark10@uh.edu
- Veronica Caro, University of Houston**
VEROWALLY@HOTMAIL.COM
- 5:04 **Pro-Gay Rights Legislation in the 110th U.S. House: Morality or Interest Group Politics?**
Why did the 110th House, after years of passing anti-gay bills, pass two pro-gay rights bills? This study contradicts past research and indicates individual voting on pro-gay bills is better explained by morality rather than interest group politics.

- Thomas Mienk, *University of Oklahoma*
tmienk@gmail.com
- 5:16 **Introducing Women's Issues in Lithuanian Seimas**
This paper examines gender and factional/party effects on bill initiation in a specific policy area, women's issues, in Lithuania's Seimas.
Raminta Stockute, *University of Kansas*
Raminta@ku.edu
- 5:24 **Partisan Polarization and the Gendered Makeup of the U.S. Congress**
This paper explores why the number of Republican women in the U.S. Congress has stagnated in recent years and suggests that partisan polarization has significant implications for the gendered makeup of legislative institutions.
Danielle Marie Thomasen, *Cornell University*
djt24@cornell.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Sally Friedman, SUNY, Albany**
friedman@albany.edu
- Disc., Christian R. Gross, University of Southern California**
cgross@usc.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-20 **Agenda Control in the Legislative Process**
Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:38 **Chair, Jon R. Bond, Texas A&M University**
jonbond@polisci.tamu.edu
- 4:40 **Legislative Organization and the Second Face of Power: Evidence from U.S. State Legislatures**
We develop explicit hypotheses about where and how legislative majority parties can block bills from reaching the floor and then test the hypotheses with data on the organization and roll rates of the 99 U.S. state legislative chambers.
Sarah E. Anzla, *Stanford University*
sanzis@stanford.edu
Molly Cohn, *Stanford University*
mjohn@stanford.edu
- 4:52 **Congressional Agenda Control and the Decline of Bipartisan Cooperation**
This paper examines how changes in party strategy and agenda content have affected the incidence of bipartisan cooperation in the U.S. Congress.
Laurel M. Harbridge, *Northwestern University*
lharbridge@northwestern.edu
- 5:04 **The Emergence of the Partisan Cartel in the U.S. House**
Cox and McCubbins (1993, 2005) discuss the partisan cartel in their research on the U.S. House. We examine the cartel's emergence in the late-19th century. A significant focus will be on the efforts of Speaker Thomas Reed in creating the cartel.
Jeffery A. Jenkins, *University of Virginia*
jajenkins@virginia.edu
Nathan William Monroe, *University of California, Merced*
nmonroe2@ucmerced.edu
- 5:16 **The House Majority Party and the Rules Committee: Bargaining Over Chamber Procedures**
In this paper, we examine the micro-level bargaining process that determines the content of special rules by utilizing a new dataset of all proposed amendments considered before the creation of a special rule in the 109th and 110th Congresses.
Michael S. Lyreb, *University of Kansas*
mlyreb@ku.edu
Jason M. Roberts, *University of North Carolina*
jroberts@unc.edu
Anthony Madonia, *University of Georgia*
ajmadonia@uga.edu
- 5:28 **Roll Calls, Coalitions, and Agenda Control**
This considers the incentives for partisan agenda control that are created by how voters respond to information about individual roll call votes of their Senators.
- Robert Van Houweling, *University of California, Berkeley*
rvh@berkeley.edu
Andrew Kelly, *University of California, Berkeley*
andrewpeakelly@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Jon R. Bond, Texas A&M University**
jonbond@polisci.tamu.edu
Disc., Robi A. Ragan, Duke University
robi.ragan@gmail.com
Audience Discussion
- 6:00 **Judicial Review**
Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Dennis J. Goldford, Drake University**
dennis.goldford@drake.edu
- 4:40 **Defining Marbury: Supreme Court Interpretations of Marbury v. Madison, 1803-2009**
A jurisprudential approach to the meaning of Marbury v. Madison with focus on the 246 citations of it by the Supreme Court. In 2009, the Court recognizes Marbury not as the source of its power of judicial review, but foundational to its equity power.
Jordan M. Bradley, *Claremont Graduate University*
jordanmbradley5@gmail.com
- 5:40 **The Legitimacy of Judicial Review in Constitutional Democracy**
A defense of the legitimacy of judicial review in constitutional democracy, which is not based on traditional approach of outcome-based justification. Rather, it digs the nature of democracy in a deeper way, and finds its necessary extension.
Qiang Hao, *McMaster University*
hao-qiang-ca@hotmail.com
- 5:20 **Judicial Activism in 1803: Marbury Compared With the Other 1803 Cases**
The cases of the February 1803 term of the United States Supreme Court are described using a scale of judicial activism to code the paragraphs of the opinions. The question is whether Marbury v. Madison was typical of the other cases on activism.
James W. Pfister, *Eastern Michigan University*
jpfister@emich.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Dennis J. Goldford, Drake University**
dennis.goldford@drake.edu
Disc., Robert Reif Robinson, University of Alabama, Birmingham
rrob7@uab.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 45-13 **Court Decisions, Impact, and Public Discourse**
Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Elliot E. Slotnick, Ohio State University**
slotnick.1@osu.edu
- 4:40 **The Court and the Conceptualization of Race**
This paper examines the role of the Supreme Court in conceptualizing race. The Court's construction of race influences narratives on racial differences in this society. These narratives also affect the Court and its approach to addressing issues.
Michael Warren Coombs, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
mcoombs1@unl.edu
- 4:52 **Unpacking the Salience of Supreme Court Cases**
Much research in judicial politics recognizes that not all Supreme Court cases are of equal importance. We break down a media-based measure of salience so as to estimate latent salience and reconsider existing lines of research.
Jeffrey R. Lax, *Columbia University*
jrl2124@columbia.edu
- 5:04 **Measuring Salience: An Automated Text Analysis of Media Coverage of Supreme Court Decisions**
An automated text analysis program which identifies media coverage of the Supreme Court is introduced. The reliability of the program is verified by updating a widely-used salience measure and potential applications for future research are explored.

- Douglas R. Rice, Pennsylvania State University**
drr185@psu.edu
- 5:16 Courts as Causes of Polarized Equilibria in Policy Changes**
This paper argues that courts have distinct institutional features that allow them to change policy dramatically under the right conditions. Ninth Circuit injunctions of federal timber sales and orders to manage ecosystems support this argument.
Breadon Swedlow, Northern Illinois University
bswedlow@niu.edu
- 5:28 The Supreme Court's Influence on the Politics of Language**
This paper uses mixed quantitative and qualitative methods to assess Supreme Court power, showing that the Court's position in American politics affords it influence over the way the news media, government, and ordinary citizens debate public policy.
Vince Vickers, University of Minnesota
vicker002@umn.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Matthew E. K. Hall, St. Louis University**
mhall10@slu.edu
Disc., Elliot E. Slotnick, Ohio State University
slotnick.1@osu.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 46-24 Race, Gender, and Judging**
Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Lori Hansegger, Boise State University
lorihansegger@boisestate.edu
- 4:40 Explaining Authorship in Civil Rights Cases on the U.S. Court of Appeals**
We evaluate the effects of judges' ideology and gender on their propensity to specialize in writing civil rights decisions.
Sara Furlong, University of California, Berkeley
sfurlong@berkeley.edu
Gregory John Wawro, Columbia University
gw10@columbia.edu
- 4:55 Racial Diversity and Judicial Influence on Appellate Courts**
I evaluate the substantive consequences of judicial diversity on the U.S. Courts of Appeals. The random assignment of a black judge to a three-judge panel nearly ensures that the panel will vote to uphold an affirmative action program.
Jonathan P. Kastellec, Princeton University
jkastell@princeton.edu
- 5:10 Revisiting State High Courts: Diversity and Judicial Gender in 2009-2010**
An update of our study on state high court decisions using 1998-99 data that found male and female justices vote differently on divorce-related cases, adding more variables, and women justices.
Elaine Martin, Eastern Michigan University
elaine.martin@emich.edu
Barry William Pyle, Eastern Michigan University
barry.pyle@emich.edu
- 5:25 Critical Mass and the Effect of Female Judges on Voting Patterns and Rate of Dissent in Alberta's Court of Appeal**
This study examines how changes in the number of women on the Alberta Court of Appeals affects the level of dissent on the court and whether gender diversification mitigates the voices of male judges.
Donald B. Souger, University of South Carolina
dsouger@usc.edu
Miroslava Radieva, University of South Carolina, Columbia
radieva@usmail.sc.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Christina Leah Boyd, SUNY, Buffalo**
c1boyd@buffalo.edu
Disc., Lori Hansegger, Boise State University
lorihansegger@boisestate.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 46-11 Linking Citizens, Legislators, and Public Policy in the U.S. States**
Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, James Heaster, Pennsylvania State University
tercer@psu.edu
- 4:40 The Effect of Political Context on the Development of Lobbying Strategies in State Legislatures**
We present data from a new survey of all registered lobbyists in California and use it to determine how they choose particular strategies based upon the nature of the issue being addressed and other aspects of the political context.
Stacy Burdett Gordon, University of Nevada, Reno
sgordon@unr.edu
Jennifer Hales, University of Nevada, Reno
jenahalen@gmail.com
Ryan Hales, University of Nevada, Reno
jenhales@gmail.com
- 4:55 Hispanic Legislators and the Spanish-Language Media: Setting the Hispanic Latino Agenda**
Hispanic legislators' behaviour, as it relates to the support of policies with a disproportionate effect on the Hispanic population, is influenced to a significant degree by the presence of Spanish-language media in the districts they represent.
D. Xavier Medina Vidal, University of California, Riverside
dmedina005@ucr.edu
- 5:10 Citizens' Perceptions of Intergovernmental Activity in Disaster**
This study uses data from the 2006 CCES to examine citizens' perceptions of intergovernmental disaster response activity. The findings indicate that citizens' attitudes are heavily influenced by journalism, ideology, and media exposure.
Sandra K. Schneider, Michigan State University
ska@msu.edu
- 5:25 Political Knowledge and the Quality of Representation at the State Level**
We explore whether levels of political knowledge at the state level facilitate the translation of public preferences into policy outcomes.
Jennifer Watak, University of Colorado, Boulder
watakj@colorado.edu
William P. Jaeger, University of Colorado, Boulder
william.jaeger@colorado.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Yanna Krupnikov, Indiana University**
ykrupnik@indiana.edu
Disc., Johannes Lynn Pacheco, University of Michigan
jpacheco@umich.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 47-8 Fiscal Stress and Local Budget Challenges**
Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
Chair, Michael Crow, Michigan State University
crow@msu.edu
- 4:40 Now What Do We Do? The Changing Views of Michigan Citizens on How to Cope With Local Government Fiscal Stress**
Using data from a 2007 and a 2010 survey, this paper explores the attitudes of Michigan citizens on how local governments should address fiscal stress. It examines support for a range of strategies in the context of five service areas.
Richard Clement Eling, Wayne State University
relling@wayne.edu
Kelly A. Krawczyk, Wayne State University
kellykrawczyk@hotmail.com
Jared B. Carr, Wayne State University
jcarr@wayne.edu
- 4:52 Fiscal Stress, Privatization and Fiscal Policy: A View from Midwestern First Suburbs**
Much of the research on reversion and privatization has focused on the central core cities, ignoring the surrounding suburbs. This statistical study examines the characteristics of mid-aged suburbs in three states coping with fiscal stress.

	Gary Arneson Mattson, <i>Notre Dame Kentucky University</i> mattsongl@nku.edu	50-8 Room	New Perspectives on Policy Networks Manhfield on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
5:04	Do Public Finance Innovations Exacerbate Political and Economic Inequality? We use original data to examine special assessments in California. This financing method fragments communities into subdistricts and contributes to an uneven distribution of public service, transforming economic inequality into political inequality. Ellen Meade, <i>University of South Carolina</i> meade@fossilbox.usc.edu Vladimir Kogan, <i>University of California, San Diego</i> vkogan@ucsd.edu Matthew D. McCubbins, <i>University of California, San Diego</i> mccubbins@ucsd.edu	4:35	Chair, Jessica Buscarino, <i>Marist College</i> jessica.buscarino@marist.edu
5:16	City Financial Trends: Path Dependency, Vulnerability and Shocks, 2003 - 2007 The paper examines the patterns of city revenues and expenses across 30 cities in the 2003-2008 time period to discern the overall orientation—marker of social welfare—of city spending. John F. Sacco, <i>George Mason University</i> jsacco@gmu.edu	4:40	The Evolution of Issue Networks I aggregate historical explanations of policy change since 1945 in ten different issue domains. I show that three different trends occurring at different times in different issue areas account for a broadening of policymaking participation. Matthew Grossmann, <i>Michigan State University</i> matg@msu.org
5:28	Counties in the Middle: Competing Forces on County Spending Choices Counties serve two masters: their citizens and the state. This paper examines both exogenous and endogenous factors on county spending in Florida over three decades. Carol S. Webster, <i>Florida State University</i> cwebster@fsu.edu Jessica H. Lee, <i>Florida State University</i> jessiehlee@fsu.edu	4:55	Collaborative Exchange and Advice-seeking as Predictors of Satisfaction with Rewards among Academic Scientists in Six Fields of Science and Engineering: A Longitudinal Study Using longitudinal survey data from an NSF funded national network survey of men and women faculty in STEM fields, this study examines the extent to which scientists' work satisfaction is attributable to their collaborative and advice networks. Yamini Jha, <i>University of Illinois, Chicago</i> yha2@uic.edu Eric W. Welch, <i>University of Illinois, Chicago</i> erwelch@uic.edu
5:40	Disc., Michael Crow, Michigan State University crow@msu.edu	5:10	Cooperation in an Ecology of Games: An Agent-Based Model An agent-based model of cooperation and network evolution in an ecology of multiple policy games. Mark N. Lubell, <i>University of California, Davis</i> mlubell@ucdavis.edu Paul E. Smaldino, <i>University of California, Davis</i> psmaldino@ucdavis.edu
6:00	Audience Discussion	5:25	Disasters and Non-governmental Organization as Advocacy Conditions and Networks: Post-Disaster Politics and Policies in Haiti This paper explores and analyzes the role played by diaspora and non-governmental organizations as advocacy conditions and networks in post-disaster policies, using the case of Haiti. Alba Sapat, <i>Florida Atlantic University</i> asapat@fau.edu Ann-Margaret Esmond, <i>Florida Atlantic University</i> esmond@fau.edu
49-13 Room	Policy Responses to HIV/AIDS Medinah Factor on the 6th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm	5:40	Disc., Sarah Reckhow, Michigan State University reckhow@msu.edu
4:35	Chair, Mitchell Brown, <i>Auburn University</i> brownl1@auburn.edu	6:00	Audience Discussion
4:40	Public Discourses and Public Policy: Media Coverage of AIDS and AIDS Policy Worldwide We examine the relationship between AIDS policy and the intensity of the media coverage of AIDS as well as the way this relationship is mediated by political institutions, in particular by regime type. Jakob Kaktutek, <i>Emory University</i> jkaktutek@emory.edu Mark Taylor Dakin, <i>McGill University</i> mark.dakin@mail.mcgill.ca	5:00	How Do States that Differ from Decisive Victories in Terms of their Effect on AIDS? Using the outcome of war diseases of COW from 1982 to 2001, states that are more likely than decisive victories to increase the AIDS rate of disease in interstate wars. Yin Yean Kim, <i>Texas Tech University</i> yikim@ttu.edu
5:20	Identity and Representative Bureaucracy: Internationality and Action against AIDS in America In this paper, we use a multiple-identity approach to study representative bureaucracy. Specifically, we ask how identities shape bureaucratic response to HIV/AIDS in the U.S. Ling Yi Zhu, <i>Texas A&M University</i> lingzhu@polisci.tamu.edu Klan Yi Dwayne, <i>Texas A&M University</i> kdwayne@tamu.edu	5:40	Trade and Competitiveness Policies and Low Carbon Energy Technology Uptake: Evidence From Urban Latin America This paper argues that a conditionally open versus open trade and competitiveness regime can also lead to the use of more low carbon energy technologies under certain conditions. Alexandra Mallett, <i>Carleton University</i> alexandra_mallett@carleton.ca
5:40	Disc., Alberto E. Dean, University of Kansas adean@ku.edu	4:51	It's Getting Hot in Here: Causality of Global Warming Using Granger causality tests we estimate the causes of global warming, focusing on the contribution of the United States. Bruce McDonald, <i>Indiana University, South Bend</i> brucemcd@iusb.edu
6:00	Audience Discussion	5:04	The Relationship between Environmental Regulation and Corporate Economic Performance: Win-Win or Win-Lose? This paper empirically investigates the relationship between environmental regulation and corporate economic competitiveness in the context of a voluntary environmental program (VEP) sponsored Energy Stars for Buildings (ESBs).

- Seo-Geun Moon, Inha University
moonsg@inha.ac.kr
Suha Bae, Seongshwan University
baes@skku.edu
Moon-Gi Jeong, Seongshwan University
jeongmun1@gmail.com
- 5:15 **Analysis of State Level Awards from the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act**
Cooperation between federal and state level governments will be crucial to solving climate and energy problems. This study statistically analyzes responses to the ARRA's competitive awards to identify how energy and entrepreneurial culture interact.
John Skardos, Strom Thurmond Institute for Government and Public Affairs
jskardos@olemiss.edu
- 5:35 **Extending the Cooling Sluice: Progressive Energy Policies in the U.S. Senate, 1994-2008**
This research employs both quantitative and qualitative methods to analyze support for renewable resource-based energy policies in the United States for 1994-2008.
Laurie W. Wrzesniewski, Purdue University
lwrszsin@spurdue.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Paul J. Cadbane, Northern Illinois University**
pcadbane28@cs.com
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 5:1-9 **Municipal Environmental Politics and Policy**
Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, TBA**
- 4:40 **Compensation for Capacity: Mitigating Network Structures to Achieve Brownfield Redevelopment Success**
This paper explores how two cities selected for their differences in network management capacities each led market-rate, residential and-use brownfield redevelopment projects in different degrees of success.
Rob Adonis@r, Rochester Institute of Technology
radonis@rit.edu
- 4:55 **The Green For All Movement's Struggle for Green Jobs and Equitable Green Procurement Policies in Municipalities**
This paper examines the GFA's efforts to make municipal green procurement policies more equitable, racially inclusive, and accessible to jobs programs for residents from low-income communities.
Sekou Franklin, Middle Tennessee State University
franklin@mtsu.edu
- 5:10 **Local Political Leadership and Green Building**
This project examines the factors that promote local business voluntary adoption of green building certification at the city (and U.S. state) level.
Yeehong Lee, City University of Hong Kong
tyeehong.lee@cityu.edu.hk
Chris Koski, James Madison University
koski@cj@jmu.edu
- 5:25 **Nature, Nurture or Neighbors? Testing Participation Patterns in Voluntary Initiatives in U.S. Counties**
In this paper, I explain participation patterns in the U.S. MCPA at the county-level throughout the U.S. with factors regarding the natural (nature), socio-economic and political (nurture) environments and their surroundings (neighbors).
Lena Maria Schaffler, ETH, Zurich
lena.schaffler@ir.gess.ethz.ch
- 5:40 **Disc., Abigail York, Arizona State University**
abigail.york@asu.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 5:3-10 **Administrative Institutions and Active Representation**
Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, David Pitts, American University**
pitts@americanu.edu
- 4:40 **Gender Representation among Administrators, Principals, and Classroom Teachers in U.S. Public Schools, 2002-2009**
Our paper will answer two questions: What is the gender composition of administrative, principal and classroom teacher workforces in U. S. school districts and how have these compositions changed over time?
Bruck Kerr, University of Arkansas
jbkerr@uark.edu
Grace Kerr, University of Arkansas
gkerr@uark.edu
Will H. Miller, University of Illinois, Springfield
wmill3@uis.edu
- 4:52 **With a Little Help from My Friends: A Look at How Gender Impacts Public Manager Behavior**
Utilizing a multiyear study of school superintendents we find that female managers establish a rigid hierarchical structure in their first few years in power. After they hire more female support staff women managers flatten the structure of power.
Chris Owens, Central Michigan University
cowns206@cmich.edu
Sharon Kukla-Acevedo, Central Michigan University
kuklasa@cmich.edu
- 5:04 **Loopholes to Long-Shard: Organizational Cheating, Representative Bureaucracy, and Contract Management Capacity in the Implementation of the SBA 8(a) Program**
Using panel data on federal contract awards to small disadvantaged businesses, we examine whether active representation mitigates the propensity of organizational cheating under conditions of limited contract management capacity.
William G. Reeb, American University
reeb@americanu.edu
John Marvel, American University
marvel@americanu.edu
- 5:16 **Can Representativeness Decrease Youth-on-Youth Violence in Detention Facilities? A Case Study of Los Angeles County Probation Department**
Representative Bureaucracy has yet to address the possible relationship that can be cultivated within juvenile halls by utilizing the backgrounds of DSOs, which can relate to minors and assist them on their rehabilitation.
Cinger Silvera, Claremont Graduate University
gsilver12@hotmail.com
- 5:28 **Effects of Teacher Representativeness on Student Reproductive Health**
This paper focuses on the relationship between bureaucratic representation and outcomes through examining the impact of the presence of minority and female teachers on teen pregnancy and sexually transmitted disease rates.
Vicky M. Wilkins, University of Georgia
vwilkins@uga.edu
Danielle Atkins, University of Georgia
dmatkins1@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Alisa Hicklin, University of Oklahoma**
ahicklin@ou.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**

- 53-13 **Principal-Agent Problems and the Quest for Accountability in Privatization and Contracting**
 Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Brian K. Collins, *University of North Texas*
 brian.collins@unt.edu
- 4:40 **Democratic Rollback and Accountability in Contracting for War**
 What explains variation in compliance between public agencies responsible for implementing shared statutes of accountability? This study compares the extent to which federal agencies have complied with statutes to report on the outsourcing of war.
 Holly T. Goerdel, *University of Kansas*
 hgoerdel@ku.edu
 Donald P. Halder-Markel, *University of Kansas*
 dmarkel@ku.edu
- 4:55 **Addressing Agency Problems in Privatizing Public Services**
 This paper presents a formal model which incorporates monitoring mechanisms to address agency problems. Findings suggest that monitoring cost and political cost are crucial elements which differentiate social policies from other public policies.
 Eunju Kang, *Claremont Graduate University*
 eunjukang@gmail.com
- 5:10 **To Trust or Not to Trust? What Matters in Local Government-Vendor Relationships?**
 Using a national survey, the authors examine the origins of interorganizational trust in local-level service contracting relationships with an eye towards testing knowledge-based and calculative-based theories of the trust building process.
 Scott Lamotha, *University of Oklahoma*
 slamotha@ou.edu
 Meeyoung Song Lamotha, *University of Oklahoma*
 mlamoths@ou.edu
- 5:25 **Emerging Forms of Accountability in State Agency Contracts**
 This research documents the emerging forms of accountability that are evidenced in contracts between state agencies and professional service suppliers.
 Deanna Malatesta, *Indiana University-Parade University, Indianapolis*
 dmalates@iupui.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Oda Grant, *Indiana University, South Bend*
 ogrant@usb.edu
 Disc., Oda Grant, *Indiana University, South Bend*
 ogrant@usb.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 54-100 **Author Meets Critics: James Mahoney's *Colonialism and Postcolonial Development: Spanish America in Comparative Perspective***
 Room Spivey Parker on the 6th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 Roundtable discussion of Mahoney's new book.
 Chair, Ben Ross Schneider, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
 brs@mim.edu
 Panelist
 Tullio G. Falletti, *University of Pennsylvania*
 falletti@ssa.upenn.edu
 James Mahoney, *Northwestern University*
 james-mahoney@northwestern.edu
 Jose Antonio Cheibub, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 cheibub@illinois.edu
 Ben Ross Schneider, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
 brs@mim.edu
 Theda Skocpol, *Harvard University*
 skocpol@fas.harvard.edu
- 56-11 **The Catholic Church in Political Theory and Practice**
 Room Crebill on the 3rd floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Joseph S. Deveney, *University of Wisconsin, Green Bay*
 deveneyj@uwgb.edu
- 4:40 **Alternative Foundations: Modernity and Catholic Social Thought**
 This paper closely examines the political implications of Pope Braudic's XVI's teachings and writings: including political anthropology and the concept of the person, freedom of conscience, and secularism and assesses the universality of his claims.
 Angela Milech, *Louisiana State University*
 amilech@lsu.edu
- 5:00 **Path Dependence in Church-State Relationships? The Catholic Church in Argentina, Brazil and Chile**
 This paper argues that historical institutions linking church and state create distinct path dependent church-state relationships. Institutional arrangements induced or co-opted possible responses to government repression from Catholic bishops.
 Nick Rowell, *University of New Mexico/St. Gregory's University*
 nrowell@unm.edu
- 5:20 **The Vatican and the Clergy Sex Abuse Crisis: Institutional Responses to Systemic Scandal**
 Compares Catholic church management of the clergy sex abuse crisis in the USA in 2002 and in Europe and the Vatican in 2010 to highlight the structural, systemic nature of the scandal.
 Mary C. Segers, *Rutgers University*
 msegers8@hotmail.com
- 5:40 Disc., David L. Leal, *University of Texas, Austin*
 dleal@austin.utexas.edu
 Disc., Kres van Kenbergen, *Aarhus University*
 kvk@ps.au.dk
- 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 57-3 **Considering Curricular and Department-level Issues**
 Room Burnham I on the 7th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Robert Peters, *Western Michigan University*
 robert.peters@wmich.edu
- 4:40 **Is There A Common Curriculum for American Government and Politics Courses?: Comparing Advanced Placement and College Syllabi**
 Each year, tens of thousands of students score well enough on the Advanced Placement exam to gain college credit for American government. Are AP courses actually equivalent? This paper compares AP and college course syllabi to answer this question.
 Joe Caramanna, *Providence College*
 jcaramanna@providence.edu
 Christine L. Nardacheck, *College of William & Mary*
 cloems@wm.edu
- 4:55 **Rebuilding a Political Science Department: Challenges and Strategies**
 By looking at student needs, departmental resources, and assessment of recent graduates and seniors, this research helps faculty by providing tools and information about building and strengthening a department.
 Bethel Gentry, *Middlebury University*
 bgentry@middlebury.edu
- 5:10 **Teacher Design: Challenges and Opportunities in National Security Curriculum**
 This paper reviews the cognitive process of Design in relation to education settings in the social science courses that primarily focus on teaching students to work with complex adaptive national security and international security policy problems.
 Leonard Lira, *United States Army Command and General Staff College*
 leonard.lira@us.army.mil
 David Thomas Colkin, *Command & General Staff College*
 david.colkin@us.army.mil

- 5:28 **The Service-Learning Effect? Measuring Change in Civic Attitudes across Introductory Courses**
Service-learning (SL) is not an "add-on." The pedagogy provides a deeper understanding of the discipline. We examine SL and non-SL sections of introductory courses to examine the effects of service learning on student civic attitudes.
Allyson M. Lowe, *Carlow University*
alowe@carlow.edu
Jessica Friedrichs, *Carlow University*
jfriedrichs@carlow.edu
- 5:48 **Disc. Jeffrey L. Bernstein, Eastern Michigan University**
jeffrey.bernstein@emich.edu
- 6:09 **Audience Discussion**
- 60-201 **Comparative Politics Posters II**
Room Grad on the 4th floor, Pri at 4:35 pm
- Post. 1 **Politics of Chiefdom Disputes: The Ghana Case**
Chiefdom disputes in Ghana, theorized as a subjugation of informal rules by formal rules in a democratic dispensation, may have undertones of state political involvement, which worsens the inherent politics of the chiefdom institution itself.
Kenneth Shelia Akina, *University of Kansas*
kenny@ku.edu
- Post. 2 **Modernisation and Cultural Shifts: Analyzing Changes in Gender Parity in the Moroccan Labor Force**
This study provides insight into the nature of societal shifts in the Arab-Muslim world. A case study of Morocco analyzes the causal mechanisms driving increases in female employment as a window into the reasons behind changes in gender roles.
Elizabeth Allan, *University of Georgia*
eallan90@gmail.com
- Post. 3 **Conventional Anti-corruption Measures in Latin America: the Need for a Different Approach: Evidence from Guatemala**
The paper seeks to find out why different anti-corruption measures implemented in Latin America have not worked and attempts to advance a theory of what may work in developing countries.
Hugo Acevedo, *Auburn University, Montgomery*
hacevedo@auburn.edu
Linda F. Denard, *Auburn University, Montgomery*
ldenard@auburn.edu
- Post. 4 **Democracy and Consociationalism in African National Unity Governments in Kenya and Zimbabwe**
What do national unity governments in Kenya and Zimbabwe teach us about consociationalism in Africa? This study evaluates post-electoral developments in Kenya and Zimbabwe against consociational theoretical perspectives advanced by Lijphart, Fodet Joseph Betty, *Western Michigan University*
fodet.betty@wmich.edu
- Post. 5 **Salience and Turnout in Second Order Elections: The Role of EU Regional Parliaments**
This paper examines the role of salience in explaining electoral turnout to the European Union Parliament (EUP). We find that regions which receive Objective 1 funding, increasing the perceived salience of the EU, are associated with higher turnout.
David Brockington, *University of Plymouth*
david.brockington@plymouth.ac.uk
Jeremy Johns, *University of Plymouth*
jeremy.johns@plymouth.ac.uk
- Post. 6 **The Political Economy of Women's Education in Asia**
This study examines basic political characteristics – such as regime type, party competition, corruption and colonial legacies – to assess how they impact women's enrollment in primary and secondary education in Asia.
Rita Dhawan, *West Virginia University*
rdhawan@mix.wvu.edu
Karlson A. Jones West, *West Virginia University*
kwest@mail.wvu.edu
- Post. 7 **Determinants of Contentious Political Action against South American Presidents: An Analysis of Citizens' Survey Responses**
This article assesses two cases of social protest against South American presidents by performing quantitative analysis on national survey responses in order to identify what individual factors influence participation in protest activities.
Margaret Edwards, *University of New Mexico*
medward1@unm.edu
- Post. 8 **Domestic Politics and Differential Incomes: Barriers to Establishing a Common European Union Asylum Policy**
The paper reveals the challenges of establishing a common EU asylum policy. By comparing policies of four states, the research suggests that barriers to agreement include domestic constraints and the differential impact on border and insular states.
Nicole Elise Gerrling, *Wayne State University*
dz7748@wayne.edu
- Post. 9 **Effects of Electoral Rules on the Party System: A Study of the Colombian Senate**
While Colombia has recently appeared to have undergone party system change, this study argues that the Colombian party system has long resembled a multi-party system due to electoral rules combined with high degrees of party fragmentation.
Julia M. Hellweg, *University of New Mexico*
jhellweg@unm.edu
- Post. 10 **Bringing "Discussion" Into the Discussion: A New Project for Measuring Democracy**
Existing democracy indices have not measured a central dimension of democracy: talk. This study introduces one dimension, "discussion," which is a type of talk and a wider concept than deliberation, and offer a framework of measuring this new index.
Yuta Kamahara, *Kean University*
kamachankamahara@gmail.com
- Post. 11 **In Dictator's Fate a Cause of Democratization? Revisiting Typology of Dictatorship**
This paper replicates Debs (2010), using Goddard's dataset and tests his argument that a military regime is more likely to democratize because of the fear of being violently punished.
Eun Kyung Kim, *University of California, Los Angeles*
lipri612@ucla.edu
- Post. 12 **Why Does Political Efficacy Have Diverse Effects? A Comparative Analysis of Democratic Countries**
The object of this study is to demonstrate that political efficacy has varied effects on different political participation in countries and to scrutinize why political efficacy has country-specific impacts on political participation.
Taehee Kim, *Kean University*
t.kim0321@gmail.com
- Post. 13 **Beyond the Green: Kenya's New Constitution and the Reconstruction of the State**
For the last 20 years, Kenyans have struggled to get a new constitution. This paper addresses the extent to which Kenya's new constitution has redesigned Kenya's governmental structures.
Joshua M. Kivuva, *University of Nairobi*
joshuakivuva@hotmail.com
- Post. 14 **Meeting the Gender Quota Goal: Why the Disparity?**
Gender quotas are instituted internationally with varying success in raising the percentage of women legislators. The data finds that as women are viewed as more equal in a state, gender quota percentages are more likely to be met.
Veronica Jean Lockow, *Georgia State University*
vlockow@gsu.edu
- Post. 15 **Assessing the Effectiveness of U.S. Forest Policies and Management Through International Comparisons**
U.S. Forest Service policies are constrained by post-1960 legislation and influence of environmental NGOs. This paper compares the political and policy structure of Bavarian and U.S. forest management and outcomes.

- Frank T. Maehlein, *George Mason University*
fmaehlei@gmsu.edu
Jane McDonald Duthie, *George Mason University*
cejmcdonal@gmail.com
- Post. 16 Graying States: A Feminist Political Economist Analysis of Elder Care Policy in Alberta, Canada and Stockholm, Sweden**
Elder care health and social policies in Stockholm/Sweden and Alberta/Canada vary greatly. The differences and similarities between them, as well as the causes, will be explored in this poster presentation.
Gabrielle Elise Mason, *Carleton University*
gabhy.mason@carleton.ca
- Post. 17 Unified But Connected? State-Labor Relations under the Lula Presidency**
I argue that a neo-corporatist pattern of state-labor relations emerged in Brazil during Lula's presidency, characterized by greater unity and cohesion between labor Congress, but also by their subservience vis-à-vis governmental policy priorities.
Jean-François Meyer, *Concordia University*
jean.meyer@concordia.ca
- Post. 18 Cross-national Variation in Environmental Reporting: A Political-Institutional Explanation**
This paper argues that the need to respond to different political-institutional pressures is a key factor in explaining multinational corporations' diverging CSR actions and reporting practices in developed countries.
Rodger Meyer, *University of Georgia*
Holgerm@uga.edu
- Post. 19 The European Integration as Maker or Breaker of the Democratic Political Culture in the Post-communist Context: The Cases of Bulgaria and of Macedonia**
The presentation looks at the asymmetrical power relations that European integration creates as a factor influencing the political culture. I use original data from field trips, part of the doctoral dissertation.
Slavica Mitropolitska, *University of Montreal*
slavica.mitropolitska@umontreal.ca
- Post. 20 Willingness to Integrate Post-power Sharing Agreements: The Northern Ireland Case**
This paper examines willingness to integrate in a post-violent conflict, post-power sharing environment. Two waves of social survey data (NI-Survey) are used to analyze individual level willingness to integrate since the 1998 Good Friday Agreement.
Elizabeth Ann O'Callaghan, *Georgia State University*
eo241@ghan1@student.gsu.edu
- Post. 21 Legislative Activities in Parliamentary Democracies**
By analyzing data on private member's bills in the Japanese Diet, this study shows that activities among rank-and-file members vary significantly; those who have a weak electoral basis engage in legislative activities to impress their constituency.
Yoshihiko Ono, *International University of Japan*
oony@amich.edu
- Post. 22 Gender Equality Reforms, Women's Groups, and the State in the European Union Accession Process**
European Union candidate states must implement certain gender equality policies. I contend the EU positively affects the implementation of gender equality policies by fostering cooperation between candidate state authorities and women's groups.
Douglas Page, *University of South Carolina*
page001@email.sc.edu
- Post. 23 Vladimir Putin's Annual Addresses and the Role of the Russian People in the Rhetoric of Sovereign Democracy**
Through a close analysis of Vladimir Putin's Annual Addresses, this paper explores the relationship between President Putin and the Russian people and the significance of admissions of policy failures within a non-democratic regime.
Chelsea Mackenzie Paige, *University of Chicago*
cpaige@uchicago.edu
- Post. 24 Inequality and a Transition of Exchange Rate Regimes for Authoritarian Regimes**
Over the context of political instability, authoritarian regimes maintain the fixed exchange rate regime to suppress the rate of inequality change in industrial pay.
Kain-Hsin Pan, *Michigan State University*
panhsieh@msu.edu
- Post. 25 Where Does Natural Resource Wealth Flow? Testing the Rentier Hypothesis in Colombian Local Government**
Using panel data of Colombian local governments, 2005-2008, we examine how local governments allocate natural resource royalties to different services and whether this spending is associated with higher re-election rates of councilors.
Nicolai Petrovsky, *University of Kentucky*
nicolai.petrovsky@uky.edu
Priyam Saha, *University of Kentucky*
ps1602@gmail.com
Claudia Nancy AveBareda, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
cavellam@unc.edu
- Post. 26 Climate Policy as a Determinant of Patents: A Cross-National Assessment**
This paper first assesses the determinants of patenting and then examines climate policy as a predictor of patenting. These two lines of inquiry are not unrelated, so we formally test for their correlation.
Matthew A. Shapiro, *Illinois Institute of Technology*
mshapiro2@iit.edu
- Post. 27 Environmental Impact Assessments in Northeast Asia: Voices of Scientists and the Public**
Policy makers rely on formalized methods of environmental analysis to determine the environmental consequences of future projects. We present the evolution of EIAs in NE Asia, detail an EIA case for each country, catalogue EIAs, and make comparisons.
Matthew A. Shapiro, *Illinois Institute of Technology*
mshapiro2@iit.edu
Keenan Gottschall, *Illinois Institute of Technology*
kgottsch@iit.edu
- Post. 28 The Decentralization Bargain: A Model of the Depth and Origins of Decentralization in Latin America**
The paper builds a formal model to examine when and to what extent national governments decentralize or recentralize control over subnational governments. I illustrate the findings using the Latin American cases of Colombia and Argentina.
Bethany Shockley, *Texas A&M University*
besh10@politics.tamu.edu
- Post. 29 Persistent Inequality of Representation: Are We Facing New Consequences of Non-Participation?**
This paper analyzes whether representativeness of participation in one type of participatory activity influences changes in representativeness in other forms of participatory activity.
Daniela Strbac, *Central European University, Hungary, Budapest*
sirinic_daniela@ceu-budapest.edu
Zoltan Fazekas, *University of Vienna*
zoltan.fazekas@gmail.com
- Post. 30 Digital Deliberative Democracy: The Potential and Limitations of the Internet to Foster Political Deliberation and Democracy around the World**
The Internet has the potential to bring new voices into political discussions, but a statistical analysis illustrates how Internet access and usage limit the technology's effectiveness in enhancing democratic deliberation.
David Storrer, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
dstorr3@uic.edu
- Post. 31 Trust in Government Institutions and Partisanship: A Comparison of the Dominican Republic and Haiti**
Determinants of trust in government in the DR and Haiti show similarities in performance variables and critical differences in civic and religious engagement. Surprisingly, given variation in party systems, incumbent party support has similar causes.

Alexandra T. Stoyan, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 stoyana@email.unc.edu
Sara Neditz Feckl, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 sarneditz@gmail.com
Jana Morgan, *University of Tennessee, Knoxville*
 janamorgan@utk.edu
Jonathan Hartlyn, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 hartlyn@unc.edu

Chair **Petra Hejnova**, *Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts*
 phejnova@mcclawell.az.edu
Panelist **Michelle M. Taylor-Robles**, *Texas A&M University*
 c339mb@polisci.tamu.edu
Laurie A. Rhodebeck, *University of Louisville*
 lrhodebeck@louisville.edu
Laura Sjöberg, *University of Florida*
 laura@laurasjoberg.com

Post 32 **Satisfaction/Dissatisfaction, Optimism/Pessimism, and Political Attitudes in Costa Rica, Honduras, and Nicaragua**

This paper addresses the relationship between one's sense of economic well-being and one's political attitudes. It builds on prior literature in other regions by examining this relationship using survey data from three countries in Central America.
Douglas Thornton, *Texas A&M University, Qatar*
 douglas.thornton@qatar.tamu.edu

Post 33 **Dendwood or Dirty God? Voter Turnout in Romania: The Myth of the Voting Voter and the Reality of a Disenfranchised Electorate**

The poster will examine declining voter turnout rates in Romania, as a result of the institutionalized disenfranchisement of emigrants.
Anca Turcu, *University of Central Florida*
 aturcu@mail.ucf.edu

Post 34 **A Study on a Multicultural Community Development Process in South Korea: Interaction, Networks, Institutions, and Collaboration**

1. Introduction. 2. The Policy Problem and the Central Goal of Analyzing the Policy Problem Situation. 3. A Multicultural Community in Ochang-dong, Ansan city in Korea. 4. Case analysis. 5. Conclusion & Policy Implication.
Jangwon Yoo, *University of Pittsburgh*
 cwj1357@gmail.com

Post 35 **The Intervening Effects of Civil Society Activities on Public Spending in Developing Countries**

This study employs a cross-national dataset to examine the relationship between economic openness, civil society activities, and public spending in developing countries. It highlights the intervening effects of civil society activities.

Bin Yu, *Xavier University*
 Bin.Yu@Colorado.edu
Disc., **Ryan Edward Carlin**, *Georgia State University*
 rcarlin@gsu.edu
Disc., **Jeronimo Cortina**, *University of Houston*
 jrcortin@central.uh.edu
Disc., **Scott W. Desposato**, *University of California, San Diego*
 swd@ucsd.edu
Disc., **Lucy M. Goodhart**, *Columbia University*
 lmg2005@columbia.edu
Disc., **Gullerimo Rojas**, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 grojas@wustl.edu
Disc., **Milena Szollik**, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 mszollik@illinois.edu
Disc., **Joseph Wright**, *Pennsylvania State University*
 jgw12@psu.edu
Disc., **Elizabeth Zechmeister**, *Vanderbilt University*
 liz.zechmeister@vanderbilt.edu

64-102 **Gender in the Subfields of Political Science: Innovative Research and Its Classroom Uses**

Room **Hancock Park** on the 6th floor, Fri at 4:35 pm
 This roundtable presents new and innovative gender research in the various subfields of political science. Roundtable presenters will discuss new developments in the subfields and how these developments impact teaching and research.

Saturday, April 2 at 8:30 am

1-204 Challenges and Opportunities of Sub-national Data Collection and Analysis in Comparative Research

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
This roundtable will discuss the challenges and opportunities of sub-national data collection and analysis in comparative political research. Participants will give first-hand accounts of collecting or using sub-national data.

Chair Ken Kollman, *University of Michigan*
kkollman@umich.edu

Panelist Soma N. Goelzer, *Pennsylvania State University*
sng11@psu.edu

Heather M. Stoll, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
hstoll@polisci.ucsb.edu

Brian Min, *University of Michigan*
briamin@umich.edu

Irfan Nooruddin, *Ohio State University*
nooruddin.3@osu.edu

Joel Selway, *Brigham Young University*
joel_selway@byu.edu

Pradeep Chhibber, *University of California, Berkeley*
chhibber@berkeley.edu

Jose Antonio Chhibub, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
chhibub@illinois.edu

2-1 Contextualizing Preferences

Room Burnham 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am

8:30 Chair James Adams, *University of California, Davis*
jfadams@ucdavis.edu

8:35 Looking for the Missing Link Between Welfare Regimes and Welfare Attitudes: A Comparative Analysis of Eight Western Democracies

This paper uses multi-level modeling to test explanations for welfare attitudes in a welfare regime setting.

Jessica Eva Andersson, University of Nottingham
jea2e@nottingham.ac.uk

8:50 Differentials in Redistribution: Why do the Poor not Benefit From Social Programs in Democracies?

Theoretically, democracy provides a better life to the masses. But empirical evidence shows that democracy has no significant effect on the level of well-being. This study attempts to inspect the determinants of differentials in redistribution.

Yi-Bin Chang, University of Texas, Dallas
yxc088100@utdallas.edu

9:05 Wealth Distribution and Individual Voting Preferences: A Comparative Perspective

The proposed paper examines the influence of net worth as well as its subcomponents, such as debt (housing and non-housing) and assets (financial and non-financial), on individual voting preferences in 10 developed countries.

Piotr R. Paradowski, Luxembourg Income Study
paradowski@lipproject.org

9:20 Political Culture of Individualism and Collectivism

The paper attempts to identify the cultural effects of individualism and collectivism on an individual's political attitudes and behavior. The analysis draws on multilevel modeling and uses the five waves of World Values Survey for 30 OECD countries.

Kwang-H Yoon, Seoul National University
kiyoon@snu.ac.kr

9:35 Disc., James Adams, University of California, Davis
jfadams@ucdavis.edu

Disc., Nobuhito Hiwataro, University of Tokyo
hiwataro@iss.s.tokyo.ac.jp

9:55 Audience Discussion

2-9 Negotiating Institutional Change

LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am

Chair, Michael Stoiber, University of Hagen
michael.stoiber@feruni-hagen.de

8:35 Competing States: The Use of Linguistic Policy by Minority and Majority Nations

The minority and the majority nations (Québec-Canada and Catalonia-Spain) engage in a retaliatory competition that takes place via the linguistic policy, and the degree of this competition varies between the cases studied.

Alexandre Couture Gagnon, Carleton University
acgcarleton@gmail.com

8:50 De-Competing Preferences Over Institutional Change

This paper focuses on how individual legislators evaluate proposals for electoral reform and analyzes how their incentives conflict with collective party goals, using an original survey dataset on Japanese legislators.

Kumiko Nemoto, University of British Columbia
knemoto1978@gmail.com

Shizuka Harasawa, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science
shizumot1982@gmail.com

9:05 Radical or Gradual Constitutional Change: An Empirical Analysis

We show that democracies and non-democracies are different, not so much through the propensity towards constitutional changes, but through the radical or gradual nature of those changes.

Mircea Popa, Harvard University
popopa@fas.harvard.edu

Julia Ciromianu, New York University
julia.ciromianu@nyu.edu

9:20 Negotiating Federalism: The Metis in Canada

This paper examines how one of Canada's three Indigenous peoples, the Metis, have reconfigured the federalist landscape in Canada, and in the process helped to create a new framework of multi-level governance in the country.

Kelly Lynn Saunders, Brandon University
saundersk@brandonu.ca

9:35 Disc., Katherine Booth, McMaster University
kbooth@mcmaster.ca

Disc., Michael Stoiber, University of Hagen
michael.stoiber@feruni-hagen.de

9:55 Audience Discussion

4-18 The Politics of Public Goods Provision I

Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am

8:30 Chair, Lorena Barbera, Fwosdaffu Getafiu Kargas
lorena.barbera@gmail.com

8:35 Pathways to Participatory Governance Policy-Making in Brazil's Municipal Housing Councils

I assess how civil society-state dynamics and institutional rules matter for the outcomes of participatory governance institutions. In Brazil's municipal housing councils, both appear to influence adoption of social housing programs.

Maureen Donaghy, University of Colorado
donaghy@colorado.edu

8:55 A Theory of Leadership Selection in Small Groups: With Evidence from Ugandan Farmer Associations

Small groups play important economic, political and social roles. This paper studies factors that affect the ability and effort exerted by leaders of these groups, and hence the effectiveness of the groups in providing public goods.

Guy S. Grossman, Columbia University
gsg2102@columbia.edu

William Walker Hambo, Columbia University
wwh2104@columbia.edu

- 9:15 **Public Goods Transfers and National Unity: Lessons from Post-Socharto Indonesia**
This paper examines the relationship between public goods provision, electoral competition, and separatism.
Risa J. Toha, *University of California, Los Angeles*
risatoha@ucla.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Tianyong Xi, *New York University***
tx211@nyu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 4-23 **Clientelism and Corruption**
Room Price on the 5th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Thomas Mustillo, *Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis***
tmustill@iupui.edu
- 8:35 **Patronage Politics in Autocratic Regimes: Evidence from Mexico**
The paper examines the patronage relationships in an autocracy, in particular, the conditions under which an autocratic party would be forced out by the political opposition at the local level, using evidence from Mexico from 1970 to 1980.
Jenny Guadalupe Rodriguez, *New York University*
jgr257@nyu.edu
- 9:50 **Authoritarian Elections as an Instrument of Clientelistic Efficiency**
Examination of frequency and purpose of elections in authoritarian regimes
Mona Lynn, *University of Missouri, Kansas City*
lynm@umkc.edu
- 9:05 **Public Employment, Political Competition and Clientelism: Evidence from Survey Data in Argentina**
This paper presents the preliminary results from an original survey of 1200 public employees in Argentina that explores the use of patronage and its electoral returns.
Virginia Gilvezan, *Columbia University*
vg2110@columbia.edu
- 9:20 **Party Systems and Corruption in Democracies around the World**
Using an original dataset, this paper examines party system effects on political corruption in 129 democracies around the world.
Petra Schleiter, *University of Oxford*
petra.schleiter@politics.ox.ac.uk
- 9:35 **Disc., Daniel William Glogerich, *University of Virginia***
dwg4c@virginia.edu
Disc., Mona Lynn, *University of Missouri, Kansas City*
lynm@umkc.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-1 **Issues in Transition to Democracy**
Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Jondae P. Holt, *Loyola University, Maryland***
jholt@loyola.edu
- 8:35 **Inclusive Institutions and Survival of Transition toward Democracy in Post-Civil War States**
This paper looks at the survival of transition towards democracy in post-civil war states for 1946-2015.
Madhav R. Joshi, *Kroc Institute for International Peace Studies*
joshimr@uicmail.com
- 8:47 **Crime, Institutional Trust, and Attitudes Toward Democracy in Africa, Asia and Latin America**
Using Afrobarometer, Latinobarometro and Asian survey data, we examine how crime victimization and perceptions of crime influence citizens' attitudes toward democracy and its institutions.
Michelle T. Kuenzi, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
michelle.kuenzi@unlv.edu
Koeneth E. Fernandez, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
kenoeth.fernandez@unlv.edu
- 9:59 **Mr. Obama Goes to Kurdistan: The Change Movement in Northern Iraq's Kurdish Region**
This study seeks to explain the rise of the Gorran party in Iraqi Kurdistan. In 2009 Gorran won 1/4 parliamentary seats, becoming a significant threat to the KRG regime. This paper seeks to understand the conditions that made Gorran influential.
Benjamin Kwekka, *Georgia State University*
bkwekka1@student.gsu.edu
- 9:11 **Controlled Democracy: Moderate Islam and Radical Secularism: Lessons from Turkey**
Controlled democratization might moderate political Islam; it also results in the politicization of state apparatus, the weakening of democratic institutions like parliaments and political parties, and radicalization of non-Islamist political actors.
Hootan Shambayati, *Florida Gulf Coast University*
hshambayati@fgcu.edu
- 9:23 **Coup d'Etat or Coup d'Autocracy? How Coups Impact Democratization, 1950-2000**
This paper examines how successful and failed coups impact democratization. While coups within democracies should be condemned, the authors find that coups in authoritarian regimes are strong predictors of democratization.
Clayton L. Thyne, *University of Kentucky*
clayton.thyne@uky.edu
Jonathan M. Powell, *University of Kentucky*
jonathan.powell@uky.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Madhav R. Joshi, *Kroc Institute for International Peace Studies***
joshimr@uicmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-11 **Coalition Governments II**
Room Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Yoshikuni Ono, *International University of Japan***
ono@iuj.ac.jp
- 8:35 **Central vs. Peripheral Cabinet Posts in Presidential Democracies: How and Why are Determined Where You Sit**
We examine whether women, ministers with political credentials, policy or work experience, or interest group connections are more likely to receive more important rather than peripheral posts in Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, and the US.
María Escobar-Lanman, *Texas A&M University*
escobar@polisci.tamu.edu
Michelle M. Taylor-Robinson, *Texas A&M University*
m339mt@polisci.tamu.edu
- 8:47 **Cabinet Competition and Ministerial Resignations: How the Portfolio Allocation of Governments Affects Public Policy Outcomes**
I present a formal model of intra-cabinet policy-making. This model shows that a prime minister may benefit from "binding her hands" by sharing power with a finance minister from a different party and by including ideologically extreme ministers.
Alexander Herzog, *New York University*
alexander.herzog@nyu.edu
- 9:59 **The Enemy of My Enemy Is My Enemy: Non-confidence Motives and Inter-opposition Conflict**
We explore why parties propose non-confidence motions and argue that the incentive to field motions of no confidence may be less directed at unseating the government than being driven by conflict of interest among the opposition parties.
Indridi H. Indridason, *University of California, Riverside*
indridi.indridason@politicaldata.org
Christopher Kam, *University of British Columbia*
ckam@interchange.ubc.ca
- 9:11 **The Conditionality of Parliamentary Scrutiny: Ministerial Government and Party Privilege in Parliamentary Legislatures**
This study examines whether ministerial drift can be overcome by parliamentary oversight. Using the implementation record of 13

countries we show that parliamentary oversight is constrained by ministerial approval and holding the median position.

Thomas E. Koening, *University of Mannheim*
tkoenig@uni-mannheim.de

Bernd Lutz, *University of Mannheim*
Bernd.Lutz@zsw.uni-mannheim.de

9:15 **Politics of Executive Decrees in Japan**

This study is about executive decrees within the parliamentary context. We find that the use of rulemaking power in Japan depends largely on cabinet instability and the level of factionalism within the ruling party.

Kaori Sboji, *Gakushuin University*
kaorisboji@gakushuin.ac.jp

Janice J. Kim, *California State Polytechnic University, Pomona*
jjkim@csupomona.edu

9:35 **Disc., Lanny W. Martin, Rice University**
lmartin@rice.edu

Disc., Yoshikuni Ono, *International University of Japan*
ono@iui.u-tokyo.ac.jp

9:55 **Audience Discussion**

7-15 **Parties and Voters**

Room Clerk 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am

8:30 Chair, Elizabeth Zechmeister, *Cornell University*
lizze@cornell.edu

8:35 **Party-voter Linkages and Type of Democracy: Do Majoritarian Institutions Deepen the Ideological Vote?**

This paper examines if consociative traits of consensus democracy promote party-voter linkages based on policy and ideology using multilevel models and cross-national postelection survey data from the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES).

Diana Elena Barbu, *Central European University*
barbu.diana@ceu-budapest.edu

Gábor Toka, *Central European University*
tokag@ceu.hu

8:50 **Does Public Opinion Respond to Shifts in Parties' Character-based Valence Attributes? A Cross-National Analysis of Nine West European Countries, 1976-2000**

The paper analyzes whether changes in parties' character-based valence attributes (competence, integrity etc) motivates shifts in public opinion; i.e. does public opinion shift left when rightist parties' valence attributes suffer and vice-versa?

Michael Clark, *Northern Illinois University*
mclark12@niu.edu

9:09 **Coalition Reasoning in Britain and Germany**

The paper will explore the extent of coalition reasoning by the voting public in Britain and in Germany.

Raymond Duch, *University of Oxford*
raymond.duch@nu.ox.ac.uk

9:20 **Cabinet Reshuffle and Its Effect on Clarity of Responsibility Between 1993-1999 in Parliamentary Countries**

The article examines how cabinet reshuffles affect voters' decision-making. The empirical test reveals that voters are less motivated to vote retrospectively under conditions where cabinet reshuffles are done relatively closer to upcoming elections.

Akihiro Osaki, *Masuda University*
osaki.akihiro@gmail.com

9:35 **Disc., Zachary R. Cook, DePaul University**
zcook@depaul.edu

9:55 **Audience Discussion**

8-10 **Immigration and Europe**

Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am

8:30 Chair, Carol J. Wilson, *Southern Methodist University*
caroljwilson@gmail.com

8:35 **Friend or Foe: Muslim Immigrants and Left Political Parties in Western Europe**

Using original data from different municipal governments in Germany, this paper examines why the same left parties endorse policies that concern the religious practice of Islam in some locations and not in others.

Jason Bray, *University of Michigan*
jbray@umich.edu

8:50 **The Role of State Structure and Causality in the Formation of National Representative Organizations for Muslims in Britain and France**

The cases indicate that where European states have a demonstrated capacity to regulate religion in the public sphere, Muslim peak organizations will be formed by top-down initiatives. Otherwise, peak organizations will emanate from civil society.

Gerald Thomas FitzGerald, *George Mason University*
gfitzge1@gmu.edu

9:05 **Personality and Attitudes Towards Immigration**

We propose that personality traits influence the impact of experiencing economic and identity threats on attitudes towards immigration. The theory is tested with longitudinal panel data from a high-quality survey conducted in the Netherlands.

Aida Gallego, *Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and Stanford University*

aida.gallego@uab.cat

Sergi Pardos, *University of Oxford*

sergi.pardos@ou.ox.ac.uk

Jordi Muñoz, *Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona*

jordi.muñoz@uab.cat

9:20 **The Democratic Politics of Immigration Policy: The Logic of Anti-immigrant Public Opinion**

This paper examines determinants of individual policy preferences for migration and assimilation and connects public opinion to immigration policy outcomes.

Ashley Lorraine Westfall, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
awestfall@colorado.edu

9:35 **Disc., Gal Ariely, University of Notre Dame**

ariely@polr.bu.edu

Disc., Carol J. Wilson, *Southern Methodist University*

caroljwilson@gmail.com

9:55 **Audience Discussion**

8-19 **What Explains Public Support for the European Union?**

Room Medina Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 8:10 am

8:30 Chair, Aleksandra Szanajder Les, *University of Richmond*
leszanaj@richmond.edu

8:35 **Better - Faster?: Explaining the Desired Speed of European Integration**

The goal of the paper is to test which factors explain the perceived and desired speed of European integration.

Thomas Neiang, *University of Konstanz*
thomas.neiang@uni-konstanz.de

8:55 **Varieties of Nationalism and Support for European Integration in Turkey**

This paper differentiates forms of nationalism in Turkey and examines their impact on support for European Union membership.

David Roach, *Chatham University*
droach@chatham.edu

9:15 **French Public Opinion and the European Union: Between Support and Aversion**

This paper investigates the evolution of support levels for the European Union among French citizens over the last decade, focusing in particular on the role political elites have played in selling the EU to the French.

- 9:35 **Francesca Vazzullo, University of Southern Maine**
francesca.vazzullo@usmaine.edu
- 9:55 **Disc., Aleksandra Szajder Lee, University of Richmond**
aszajde@richmond.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-2 **Regime Survival in Authoritarian Systems: Evidence from China, Indonesia, and Philippines**
- Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Hochul Lee, University of Incheon**
hochul@incheon.ac.kr
- 8:35 **Regime Continuity in Transition to Democracy: Comparative Study of Indonesia and Philippines Transitions**
How democracy is born matters. This paper explores the causal mechanisms linking the nature of resultant democracy with mode of transition in Indonesia and Philippines transitions.
Huan Huan Sze, Northern Illinois University
hsze@niu.edu
- 8:55 **Domestic Power and the Structure of China's Foreign Trade With Africa**
This paper will examine the motivations behind China's engagement with the African continent. I will argue that China's trade with Africa is primarily driven by the Chinese Communist Party's (CCP) desire to maintain domestic political power
Alexandra Sewash, University of Texas, Austin
asewash@gmail.com
- 9:15 **When Autocrats Spend Money on Courts: Sub-national Evidence From China**
When do autocrats spend money on courts? This paper draws on an original time-series-cross-section data set at the provincial level to address this question in the Chinese context.
Yuhua Wang, University of Michigan
wangyh@umich.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Sawa Ozawa, International Christian University**
sawamori@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 12-1 **Dominating Egypt: 38 Years under the Mubarak Regime**
- Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Hesham Salim, Georgetown University**
salimh@gmail.com
- 8:35 **Electoral Authoritarianism and Opposition Fracture: A Case Study of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood**
This paper explores why opposition movements in authoritarian contexts fracture through a case study of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood in 1996 and in the post-2005 period.
Steven Brooke, University of Texas, Austin
stbrooke@gmail.com
- 8:50 **Elite Complex: The Facade of Great Public Works in Authoritarian Regimes**
I argue that, rather than being a symptom of precipitant development or proof for embezzlement, many large scale failed development projects were designed to fail because the regime received the largest benefit by starting them, not by completing them
Ernie Deputy, University of Texas, Austin
Ernie.Deputy@gmail.com
- 9:09 **To Participate or Not to Participate?: Explaining the Evolving Dilemma of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood**
This paper draws on historical accounts and recent interviews with members of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood to explain the movement's strategic choices regarding whether and how to participate in politics in terms of its organizational structure.
Sarah Frances Salwan, University of Pennsylvania
sfsalwan@sas.upenn.edu
- 9:20 **Commercial Media and the New Business Class Understanding Regime Incentives in Egypt and Syria**
This paper asks: What factors lead non-democratic governments to permit and even encourage the development of privately-owned commercial newspapers?
Rachel Sternfeld, University of Texas, Austin
sternfeld@mail.utexas.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Hesham Salim, Georgetown University**
salimh@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 13-6 **The Politics of Lustration and Judicial Developments in Central and Eastern Europe**
- Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Karin M. Broome, University of North Carolina, Pembroke**
kmbroome@uncp.edu
- 8:35 **Judicial Institutions vs. Judicial Attitudes: Bridging the Gap Between Theory and Practice in Transitioning Legal Systems**
Using original survey data from Romania and the Czech Republic, I demonstrate a striking disconnect between formal institutional rules and informal judicial attitudes. I then offer policy recommendations for improving future reform efforts.
Daniel J. Beers, Knox College
dbeers@knox.edu
- 8:47 **Political Competition and De Facto Judicial Independence After Communism**
This paper explores how competition among political parties under previously-established levels of de jure judicial independence relates to de facto levels of judicial independence in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union.
Brad Eppert, University of Washington
epbert@u.washington.edu
- 8:59 **The Unbearable Burden of Forgetting: Lustration in Post-Communist Romania**
The paper analyzes the Romanian post-communist transition. Twenty years after the Revolution of 1989, the country's decommunization process is limited to symbolic gestures and is characterized by duplicity and moral ambiguity.
Andreea Balica Malerem, Boston University
malerem@bu.edu
- 9:11 **Czech Lustration: An Unconventional Evaluation of Transitional Justice Typing Permanent**
This paper chooses an unconventional analysis of the transitional justice tool of lustration through application of historical institutionalism, while taking into account Czech lustration's symbolic and practical purposes.
Jemie Ramsey, Kent State University
jramsey1@kent.edu
- 9:23 **Post-Communist Constitutional Politics in Hungary: An Institutional Analysis**
Building on insights drawn from game theoretic models, this paper provides an institutional analysis of the origins of Hungary's unique, present-day, constitutional politics
Stephanie L. Sillay, Furman University
stephanie.sillay@furman.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Katja Michalak, Zepelin University**
Katjamichalak@zue.de
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 14-11 **Autographs**
- Room Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Georgy Egoren, Kellogg School of Management**
g-egoren@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 8:35 **Expropriation and Institutional Constraints under Autocracy**
Using new data on expropriation under autocracy in Latin America for 1950-2002, we show that dictators who engage in large-scale expropriation subsequently construct greater institutional constraints to their authority in the wake of expropriation.

- Michael Albertus, *Stanford University*
albertus@stanford.edu
- 8:47 **State Authority, Constraints on Rulers, and Development**
This paper finds that both state authority and constraints on rulers matter for economic performance, but the relative strength of these effects depends upon a country's stage in the development process and other country characteristics.
Jonathan Hanson, *Spokane University*
johanson@msu.well.az.edu
- 8:59 **Social Contracts from Above: The Origins of Welfare Policies in Authoritarian Regimes**
While authoritarian regimes in general provide fewer public goods compared to democracies, most autocracies exhibit some kind of social welfare policies. This paper examines political incentives of dictators to invest rents in social spending.
Ji Yun Hong, *New York University*
jyh252@nyu.edu
- 9:11 **Monetary Policy under Autocracy: The Impact of Legislative Institutions on Exchange Rate Flexibility and Central Bank Independence in Dictatorships**
What determines the conduct of monetary policy in autocracies? As in democracies, the choice of monetary institutions is shaped by electoral competition. Autocracies with multiparty legislative elections exhibit more flexible exchange rates and CBI.
Aaron Parsons, *Florida State University*
ap8139@fsu.edu
- 9:23 **Taxing with Dictators and Democrats: Regime Effects on Policy Implementation in Argentina**
Who is better at taxing citizens: dictatorships or democracies? I examine this question in the Argentine provinces for 1999-2001. I investigate both the total amount of revenues collected and the types of taxes employed by both regimes.
Melissa Ziegler Rogers, *Cleveland Graduate University*
melissa.rogers@cgu.edu
- 9:33 **Disc., Georgy Egornov, Kellogg School of Management**
g-egornov@kellogg.northwestern.edu
Disc., Kevin M. Morrison, Cornell University
morrison@cornell.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 14-19 **Political Economy of Debt and Inflation**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Andrew C. Sobel, Washington University, St. Louis**
sobel@wustl.edu
- 8:35 **Institutional Differences in the Effect of Central Bank Communications on Financial Markets**
We study the patterns of arguments central bank officials use in order to legitimize the monetary decision they take on whether to raise, keep constant or lower interest rates.
Thomas J. Brauningner, *University of Mannheim*
thomas.brauningner@uni-mannheim.de
Robert Klumwieser, *University of Southern Denmark*
rk@sam.sdu.dk
- 8:47 **Inflation Targeting: Distributional Consequences and Constraints**
Inflation targeting has distributional consequences for borrowers and policy makers that are not fully appreciated in the literature. We derive a set of hypotheses and test them using financial data for public and private borrowers around the world.
Andrew Karner, *University of Michigan*
amkarner@umich.edu
Alan Boyd Hale, *Washington, University of Michigan*
abh4@umich.edu
- 8:59 **Reputation and Transparency in Sovereign Debt Markets**
The paper shows that transparent governments are more likely to default on sovereign debt than non-transparent governments.
Yong Kyun Kim, *University of the Pacific*
ykim@spacific.edu
- 9:11 **Hard to Coordinate a Free Lunch: Assessing the Effects of European Monetary Union on the Interaction between Central Banks and Coordinated Wage Bargainers**
The paper finds that European Monetary Union had no effect on the coordination effects between central banks and wage bargainers posited by Hall and Franzese. Also finds that the two institutions act as supplements, not complements.
Christoph G. Nguyen, *Northwestern University*
christoph.nguyen@u.northwestern.edu
- 9:23 **Architects of War and Peace: Financial Intermediaries, Sovereign Credit, and Foreign Policy**
This paper studies an alternative theory of sovereign debt that argues that financial intermediaries help reduce information asymmetries in international lending markets, diminishing the causal importance of domestic institutions and reputations.
Patrick E. Shea, *Rutgers University*
peshae@eden.rutgers.edu
- 9:33 **Disc., Julia Gray, University of Pittsburgh**
jgray@gmail.com
Disc., Andrew C. Sobel, Washington University, St. Louis
sobel@wustl.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 15-11 **Compliance and Institutional Design in International Trade and Finance**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Ashraf Aghajanian, Bates College**
aagjans@bates.edu
- 8:35 **Infringements for Sale? Analyzing Non-compliance with International Law or Trade Policy**
This paper develops and tests the argument that opportunistic European governments "sell" non-compliance with European Union law as trade policy to domestic industries that demand protection from intra-European competitors.
Tobias Hoffmann, *College of William & Mary*
hoffmann@gmail.com
- 8:47 **Delegation and the Evolution of Global Governance: Comparative Evidence on the International Monitoring of Anti-Money Laundering Standards, 1990-2009**
Using new data from three IO venues, this paper explores how the outcomes of previous choices to delegate global anti-money laundering (AML) authority to international organizations shaped subsequent delegation choices.
David T. Hsu, *Princeton University*
dhsu@princeton.edu
- 8:59 **The Political Determinants of Institutional Design in Economic Agreements**
Economic and political forces, including the magnitude of trade ties, proximity, and presence of regional security threats, all positively affect the depth and scope of economic integration between states.
Matthew D. Shaffer, *University of South Carolina*
shaffer@mail.sc.edu
In Tae Yoo, *University of South Carolina, Columbia*
igtwoop@gmail.com
- 9:11 **The Structure and Process of Institutional Design in Primary Commodity Markets**
My paper looks at the structural conditions that affect the design of primary commodity organizations. The research design employs a combination of formal models, logistic regression, and a case study of the International Cocoa Organization.
James David Timberlake, *University of New Mexico*
jdtimber@unm.edu
- 9:23 **Who Complies? The Determinants of Compliance with AML Agreements**
Despite findings that conditionality is unenforceable, countries do comply. What explains compliance? We argue that governments that depend on donors to maintain their power are likely to comply, while those that have access to resources will not.

- 9:35 **Jennifer Tobin, Georgetown University**
 jht58@georgetown.edu
Debra Mercedes Girod, Stanford University
 dgirod@stanford.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Chad Rectar, George Washington University**
 rectar@gwu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 16-11 **External Threat and Democratization**
 Room Congress on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, TSA**
- 8:35 **Peace and State Formation**
 War, the preparation for war, or the threat of war are regarded as main catalysts for the formation of modern states. This paper investigates two of the few cases where states were formed through peaceful means, independently of conflict processes.
Adrián Flores, Indiana University
 Flores@indiana.edu
- 8:55 **External Threat, Territory and Subjective Well-Being**
 External threat in international relations research is an important political determinant of individual well-being. This paper uses cross-national survey data to show the impact territorial threat has on individual attitudes on quality of life.
Steven V. Miller, University of Alabama
 svmillie@ua.edu
- 9:15 **Disc., Horace Anthony Bardlow, University of Kentucky**
 pascall@uky.edu
- 9:35 **Audience Discussion**
- 17-1 **Trends in Interstate War**
 Room Monroe 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Christopher Gelpi, Duke University**
 gelpi@duke.edu
- 8:35 **Offensive Realism and the French Revolutions**
 I argue that the link between revolution and international war can best be explained using an offensive realist framework. The contrasting cases of France in 1789 and 1848 are used to demonstrate the strength of this approach.
Paul Ewenstein, Boston University
 paul_ewenstein@yahoo.com
- 8:50 **Settling the Score: The Interactive Effect of Combat Outcomes and Bargaining Behavior on War Duration and Termination**
 This paper looks at international conflict, specifically when states are willing to engage in talks during wartime so allow for a negotiated settlement and when they will be unwilling to do so, even if prospects of military victory are grim.
Orlana Skyler Mastroré, Princeton University
 omastror@princeton.edu
- 9:05 **Strategic Pressure and Conflict Dynamics in Dyadic Rivalries**
 In dyadic interstate rivalries, what explains the rise and fall in conflict levels over time? I develop and test a formal model of resource allocation between fighting and production to argue that economic complementarity determines conflict levels.
Dave Ohls, University of Wisconsin
 nhls@wisc.edu
- 9:20 **Sovereignty, Integration, and Violence in the State**
 The opposite of domestic sovereignty is state-societal integration; the degree of sovereignty versus integration is an important determinant of the state's capacity and propensity for violence in both intra- and international relations.
Miles Townes, George Washington University
 mtownes@gvmail.gwu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Christopher Gelpi, Duke University**
 gelpi@duke.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 17-12 **The Problem of Civil War: Onset, Duration, and Intensity**
 Room Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Ann Marie Mezzell, Lincoln University of Missouri**
 Mezzella@lincolnu.edu
- 8:35 **Coercive States and the Terror Response**
 This paper discusses how state coercion has influenced the fatalities of terrorism by analyzing CTDTI. It analyzes the effects of the newly-published variables, which demonstrates the levels of state coercion.
Megumi Nishimura, Ritsumeikan University
 mn23021@law.ritsumei.ac.jp
- 8:47 **Evaluating the Inverted U: The Role of Institutions in Civil War Onset**
 The paper explores the relationship between regime type and civil war onset. Overly simplistic measures of regime, such as Polity data, have prevented scholars from really exploring (theoretically and empirically) what is driving this relationship.
Jennifer Notarino, University of New Orleans
 jnotariu@uno.edu
- 8:59 **Natural Disasters and Rebels' Incentives: A Theory of Rebel Rivalry**
 This paper examines the influence of rebel rivalries on conflict duration in civil wars. I use the exogenous shock of the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami to unpack rebels' incentives in the presence or absence of rivals in Sri Lanka and Indonesia.
Leslie Ochreiter, University of Colorado, Boulder
 leslie.ochreiter@colorado.edu
- 9:11 **The Value of Regression in Studying Civil Wars, A Statistical Analysis, 1945-2006**
 The authors examine the benefits of using regression as a primary causal variable in the study of civil war. Included are models of Granger Causality for the relationship of repression with initiation, duration, and magnitude of civil wars.
Samuel Stephen Stanton, Grove City College
 sstanton@gcc.edu
G. Dale Thomas, University of Memphis
 dthomas@gacading.com
Joseph J. St. Marie, Southern Mississippi
 jstmarie@usm.edu
- 9:23 **Leader turnover and the duration of civil war**
 Findings suggest that autocratic turnover shortens civil conflict while democratic turnover lengthens the duration
Gary Uzouglu, University of Michigan
 guzouglu@umich.edu
Matthew Sherman Wells, University of Michigan
 ms_wells@umich.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Alexandre Debs, Yale University**
 alexandre.debs@yale.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-11 **Audience Costs**
 Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 8:30 **Chair, Timothy Peterson, Oklahoma State University**
 timothy.peterson@okstate.edu
- 8:35 **Territorial Issues, Audience Costs, and the Democratic Peace: The Importance of Issue Salience**
 We argue that the evidence supporting the audience cost explanation of the democratic peace is flawed because democracies face few crises in which the issue is usually salient to the public, namely territorial threats.
Doug Gibler, University of Alabama
 dmgibler@bama.ua.edu
Marc L. Heicholson, University of Rhode Island
 mhuech@mail.uri.edu
- 8:55 **Audience Costs and Conflict Settlements**
 Although settlements type varies, the factors driving states' settlement choices remain unexplained. We examine the effect of

- domestic audience or its on states' settlement choices through an analysis of dispute terminations for 1816-2001.
 Kyle Thomas Kaitelmann, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
 ktkem98@mail.missouri.edu
 Stephen L. Quackenbush, *University of Missouri*
 quackenbush@missouri.edu
- 9:15 **Audience Costs in International Crisis**
 A formal model and strategic statistical techniques are used to directly estimate the size of audience costs that state leaders incur in crisis bargaining as well as the amount of belief updating that results from signals via audience costs.
 Shmuel Kurzbanitz, *Texas A&M University*
 kurzban@polisci.tamu.edu
 Taehee Whang, *Texas A&M University*
 taehewh@polisci.tamu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Timothy Peterson, Oklahoma State University**
 timothy.peterson@okstate.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-26 **Domestic Influences on International Conflict Onset**
 Ashland on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Mark Soberg, Florida State University**
 msouva@fsu.edu
- 8:35 **Systemic Gender Equality and the Occurrence of Interstate Disputes: A Directed Dyad Analysis**
 A feminist approach offers information on the relationship between states and the use of force. Research has been done linking gender equality levels in lower use of violence in conflict, but the effect has not been examined with directed dyads.
 Courtney Burns, *University of Missouri*
 cota92@mizzou.edu
- 8:50 **A Theory of Benevolent and Colonial Democracies at War**
 The democratic peace theory treats the nature of democratic belligerents as exogenous. In this article I attempt to explain the variations in the attitudes of democracies towards adversaries in conflict and in the aftermath of conflict.
 Kazuo Ohtsuka, *New York University*
 kzo@nyu.edu
- 9:05 **Picking the Fight: Winnability or Justifiability? Democracy and the Selection of Armed Conflict**
 This paper studies selective conflict behaviors of democracies. I directly look at factors that effect selective initiation in the first stage of military conflict. The results show that democracies attack unjust foes as well as weaker ones.
 John Park, *Michigan State University*
 parkjohn@msu.edu
- 9:30 **Flexible Election Timing and International Conflict**
 I examine the effects of the probability of an early election on the willingness of executives to participate in international conflict.
 Lara Kenneth Williams, *Texas Tech University*
 lara.williams@ttu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Mark Soberg, Florida State University**
 msouva@fsu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-11 **The European Union in International Relations**
 Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Leanne C. Powney, American University**
 powney@american.edu
- 8:35 **Countering the Skepticism About Legal Obligation: Survey Evidence From Germany**
 This project challenges the skepticism about the importance of legal obligation and analyzes the macro and micro foundations of legal obligation as a subjective political disposition.
 Ayten Buzan Bayram, *Ohio State University*
 bayram.3@osu.edu
- 8:55 **Policy Transfer in Higher Education Policy: The Dual Role of International Trends in Discourse and Modes of Governance in France**
 This paper investigates the dual role of international organizations and the discourse of globalisation seen as a source of inspiration for policy learning as well as a threat to fight against in French higher education reforms.
 Cécile M. Bourreau, *University of California, Berkeley*
 cbourreau@berkeley.edu
- 9:15 **The Impact of the European Union's Third Directive in Detecting Terrorist Activities**
 The European Union's Third Directive—Anti-Money Laundering—was scheduled for implementation on December 15, 2007. The implementation of the Directive and compliance with the FATF's Recommendations by EU's member states will be presented.
 Deborah Diane Pavella, *Roosevelt University*
 dpavella@roosevelt.edu
 Joseph McLaughlin, *Roosevelt University*
 jmlough@roosevelt.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Leanne C. Powney, American University**
 powney@american.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 21-9 **Ethnic Parties and Party Systems**
 Grant Park Porter on the 6th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Philip J. Howe, Adrian College**
 dr.philipj.howe@gmail.com
- 8:35 **Ethnic Parties, Collective Action and Resource Mobilization: Evidence From the Balkans and Central Europe (Bulgaria, Macedonia, Romania)**
 By focusing on the parties of ethnic minorities in these post-communist democracies, I show that the political mobilization follows a strategic logic of ethnic collective action that requires both organizational resources and material benefits.
 Nikolaos Bizoumas, *United States Naval Academy*
 bizoumas@usna.edu
- 8:47 **Ethnic Party Systems in Former British Colonies in South America and the Caribbean**
 This paper compares the relationship between ethnic voting and party system development in former British colonies in South America and the Caribbean in order to assess Horowitz's account of ethnic party system formation.
 Philip J. Howe, *Adrian College*
 dr.philipj.howe@gmail.com
- 8:59 **Explaining Concentrated Ethnic Partisanship in Africa**
 This paper examines the extent to which ethnic groups concentrate their political support on single parties or disperse their support across a number of parties in Africa.
 John T. Ishiyama, *University of North Texas*
 John.Ishiyama@unt.edu
- 9:11 **Ethnic Mobilizability and Mobilization: Political Implications of Regional Variance**
 Ethnicity in Latin America is more fluid than in other regions; thus ethnic parties can and must mobilize citizens by rearticulating and reconstructing their self-identification to a greater extent than the existing literature suggests is possible.
 Katie Kuhn, *George Washington University*
 kkuhn@gwu.edu
- 9:23 **Examining the Democratic Narrative: Local and National Voting Patterns in South Africa**
 Our paper explores how voting patterns at the local and national levels reflect the ways that elections in South Africa serve as both a means to express voter preference over policy and as a way to recraft the national imaginary.
 Brian D. Shoup, *Mississippi State University*
 bds223@missstate.edu
 Carolyn Ethel Holmes, *Indiana University*
 celholmes@indiana.edu

- 9:35 **Disc., Tavishi Bhusin, *Kennesaw State University***
tbhusin@kennesaw.edu
Disc., Carol Skolnik Leff, *University of Illinois*
leffc@illinois.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 22-6 **Campaign Targeting and Voter Mobilization**
Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Steven Bednar, *Elon University***
sbednar@elon.edu
- 8:35 **Voter Targeting: Lessons from Practical Politics and Scholarly Methods**
This paper examines the practitioners' literature on voter targeting from the perspective of scholarly research methods in order to find where political practice can learn from methodological scholarship, and vice versa.
Michael John Burton, *Ohio University*
burtoam1@ohio.edu
- 8:50 **Campaign Play-by-Play: Tracking Campaign Activity through Voter Contact Lists**
When drawing lists of voters to contact or volunteers to mobilize, Democratic campaigns turn to a statewide voter file. Through a partnership with a state party to analyze these lists, we track the contacting strategies of over two dozen campaigns.
Ellen Herik, *Harvard University*
herik@fas.harvard.edu
Brian F. Schaffner, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
b.f.schaffner@gmail.com
- 9:05 **Politicized Spaces and Social Networks**
What happens when neighborhoods become politicized spaces? We combine geo-coded data on yard sign displays with original survey data to understand how "sign wars" affect social network usage and composition.
Todd Mukase, *Rutgers University*
toddmukase@gmail.com
Anand Edward Sokhey, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
anand.sokhey@colorado.edu
- 9:20 **The Impact of 2008 Presidential Campaign Media on Latinos: A Study of Nevada and Arizona Latino Voters**
We examine the effects of the 2008 Obama campaign's Spanish and English-language media aimed at Latino voters. We study these effects by merging campaign media data with our post-election survey data from Latino voters in Nevada and Arizona.
Kenneth M. Winneg, *University of Pennsylvania*
kwinneg@asc.upenn.edu
Bruce William Hardy, *University of Pennsylvania*
bhardy@asc.upenn.edu
Kathleen Hall Jamieson, *University of Pennsylvania*
szambito@asc.upenn.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Michael G. Hagen, *Temple University***
mich441.hagen@temple.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-11 **Exploring the Effects of Registration Reform**
Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Marvin King, *University of Mississippi***
marvin@olemiss.edu
- 8:35 **Rational Expectations, Registration, and Extrajudicial Voting Hours**
Do state laws that lower the pure cost of voting (e.g. keeping polls open longer) also increase registration as registrants foresee whether they can later pay the cost of voting? Using exact matching with CPS data, this paper answers this question.
Gordon Alexander Aronow II, *Washington University, St. Louis*
deep.aron@gmail.com
- 8:55 **The Impact of Election Day Registration on Voter Turnout and Election Outcomes**
We use a natural experiment in Wisconsin to examine the impact of Election Day Registration. Contrary to popular wisdom, we find that lowering registration barriers has a small effect on turnout and helps Republicans rather than Democrats.
Barry C. Burden, *University of Wisconsin*
bburden@wisc.edu
Jacob Robert Nelsoel, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
nelsoel@wisc.edu
- 9:15 **Voter Registration Made Easy: Who Takes Advantage of Election Day Registration and Same Day Registration and Do They Vote?**
This paper uses voter registration and voter history records to examine who responds to election laws that make voter registration easier. I focus specifically on who registers and also address who turns out to vote.
J. Bryan Cole, *Ohio State University*
cole.448@osu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Patrick R. Miller, *Duke University***
millerpr@email.unc.edu
Disc., Collin Rallings, *University of Plymouth*
crallings@plymouth.ac.uk
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 25-10 **New Approaches to Studying Identity in Voting**
Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Suzanne L. Parker, *Purdue University***
parker5@purdue.edu
- 8:35 **Locating the Independent Voter: Political Socialization, Interest, and Identification in Rich and Poor States**
I use a socio-geographic theory of the causes of non-partisan identification. In wealthy states with greater social interaction and mobility than poor states, politically mixed relationships produce more independent, especially partisan leaners.
Sean A. Cain, *Loyola University, New Orleans*
scain@loyola.edu
- 8:47 **Candidate Issues and Image in Religious Voting Behavior**
Research on the role of religion in voting behavior often finds that "cultural issues" do not polarize voters on religious lines. This paper concurs, but finds that religious and secular voters do differ in the weight attached to image concerns.
Christopher Brady Chapp, *St. Olaf College*
chapp@stolaf.edu
- 8:59 **Racial Identity, Foreign Policy Attitudes, and Voting in the 2008 Presidential Election**
This study examines how racial identities of blacks and Latinos in the United States affect their foreign policy attitudes, and in turn influence vote choice in the 2008 presidential election.
Seung Ki Kim, *University of Iowa*
seungki-kim@uiowa.edu
- 9:11 **The Forgetting Side of Partisanship: The Role of Negative Attachment to Party Identification**
Ethnic identity contributes to party identification not only through positive, but also through negative evaluations. This hypothesis is tested in four countries: Australia (2007), Canada (2008), New Zealand (2008), and the United States (2004).
Mikael Modéras, *Université de Montréal*
mikael.moderas@umontreal.ca
Alain Noël, *Université de Montréal*
alain.noel@umontreal.ca
- 9:23 **The Effect of Religion on Voting Correctly**
The effect of religiosity and denominational membership on voting correctly is tested. The results indicate that there is a systemic effect on voter competence with regard to the type and frequency of religious attendance.
James Benjamin Taylor, *Georgia State University*
jtaylor27@gsu.edu

9:35 **Disc., Greg William Votholms, University of Alabama**
gvotholms@gmail.com
9:55 Audience Discussion

25-18 **Survey Experiments**
Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Matthew S. Levendusky, University of Pennsylvania**
mlevendu@sas.upenn.edu

8:35 **Unpacking the Causal Effects of Multi-Member Districts on the Representation of Women**
Two survey experiments are used to establish the causal mechanisms behind the increase in women's descriptive representation that occurs with the use of multi-member districts (MMDs).
Will Bailock, Princeton University
wbailock@princeton.edu

8:45 **Celebrity Endorsements: How and Why They (Fail to) Matter**
We present research that rigorously measures the effects of celebrity endorsements of candidates on young adults' political behaviors. We use experiments to test out the effects of celebrity status from endorsements in general.
Michael D. Cobb, North Carolina State University
mike_cobb@ncsu.edu
Kaye Urry, North Carolina State University
kurry@gmail.com

8:55 **The Effects of Mobilization Messages on Habitual and Non-Habitual Voters**
This paper presents the results of three survey experiments conducted prior to elections in 2008, 2009, and 2010 to examine the effects of mobilization messages on habitual and non-habitual voters.
Matthew Cravets, University of Minnesota
crave043@umn.edu

9:05 **Analysis, Duty Appeals and the Vote: An Experimental Study**
How do moral appeals to duty affect one's strategic voting behavior? We expect that appeals to duty to vote strategically increase individual anxiety, which in turn, at extreme levels depresses the likelihood of voting strategically.
Della Dumitrescu, Université de Montréal
della.dumitrescu@umontreal.ca
Andre Blais, Université de Montréal
andre.blais@umontreal.ca

9:15 **Smart women who knit: Employing social media and online voting experiments to examine political information, voting, and electoral competitiveness**
This paper reports the findings from an online voting experiment designed to examine how political information affects the vote decision process and choice and how this relationship is mediated by the complexity of the decision environment.
Jason Roy, Wilfrid Laurier University
jroy@wlu.ca
Shante Singh, University of Georgia
singh@uga.edu

9:25 **Campaigning With Class: The Impact of Candidate Class Background and Current Socioeconomic Achievement on Voters' Evaluations**
This project uses a survey experiment (N=1650) to examine the manner in which cues about a candidate's social class background shape voters' perceptions of candidate policy strengths, personal traits and ideology.
Meredith L. Sadia, Princeton University
msadia@princeton.edu

9:35 **Disc., Cheryl Woodreau, University of California, Davis**
clwoodreau@ucdavis.edu
9:55 Audience Discussion

26-9 **Descriptive Representation: Electoral System Effects and Legislative Responsiveness**
Room Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Richard E. Matland, Loyola University, Chicago**
rmatlan@luc.edu

8:35 **Candidate Gender and Electoral Outcomes in a Preferential Voting System: District Level Effects**
Using a rich set of individual, district and party level variables, this paper examines candidate gender and electoral outcomes in Ireland. The preferential voting system offers an insight to larger questions of women's continued under-representation.
Gail McElroy, Trinity College, Dublin
mcelroy@tcd.ie
Michael Marsh, Trinity College, Dublin
mmash@tcd.ie

8:55 **The PR Systems and the Afro-Latino's Descriptive Representation in Latin America: The Brazilian and Colombian Cases in Comparative Perspective**
This paper proposes to research factors that could have explained why Afro-Latinos are poorly represented in Latin American political systems. The result should help deal with the question of Latinos' political participation in America.
Gayme Noel, Georgia State University
gynogail@aol.com

9:18 **Choosing between Electing Women or Ethnic Candidates?: Adding and Subtracting Plurality and the Importance of Electoral Vote in Electoral Systems**
Most argue the same electoral rules benefit women and ethnic MPs. Geographic and other differences mean personal vote/plurality rules (open list, decentralization) increase immigrant ethnic, while party-centric rules (closed list) benefit women MPs.
Kimberly L. Stella, University of California, Irvine
kstella@uci.edu

9:35 **Disc., Frank C. Thomas, Texas Tech University**
frank.thomas@ttu.edu
9:55 Audience Discussion

27-10 **Gender Stereotypes and Citizen Evaluations**
Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Kathleen Brutton, Louisiana State University**
brutton@lsu.edu

8:35 **They Keep Trying to Push Their Agenda on Us, You Know: Accounting for Group-based stereotypes in Scaling Attitudes towards Lesbians and Gay Men**
This project utilizes a new scale, which incorporates group-based stereotypes, for gauging heterosexuals' attitudes towards lesbians and gays. By accounting for common stereotypes about gays and lesbians, the scale outperforms traditional models.
Betty L. Cooper, University of Washington
cooperel@uw.edu

8:55 **Two's a Crowd: Women Candidates in Concurrent Elections**
Using an experiment involving Dynamic Process Tracing software, this paper looks at whether voters alter their evaluations of, and voting patterns for, women candidates based upon the presence of other women candidates in concurrent contests.
Tessa Marie DiIorio, Rutgers University, New Brunswick
tdiorio@eden.rutgers.edu
David J. Anderson, Rutgers University
dvander@edcn.rutgers.edu

9:15 **The Intersection of Party and Gender Stereotypes in Evaluating Political Candidates**
While candidates have multiple group memberships, research typically examines the effects of a single stereotype. We identify the psychological mechanisms involved in processing and applying gender and partisan stereotypes.

- Monica C. Schneider, *Miami University*
mschneider@miamiohio.edu
Angela L. Bos, *College of Wooster*
abos@wooster.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Mirya R. Holman, *Florida Atlantic University*
mholman5@fau.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-19** **Ideological Constraint**
Room Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair:** Theda S. Philip, *University of Texas, Austin*
tphilip@ustin.utexas.edu
- 8:35 **The Genetic Basis of Political Awareness and Ideological Constraint**
We investigate how genes influence political awareness and ideological constraint using the twin study design
Kevin Arceneaux, *Temple University*
kevin.arceneaux@temple.edu
Martha Johnson, *University of California, Riverside*
marthjohnson@ucr.edu
- 8:47 **Ideology, Policy Positions, and Political Knowledge**
This paper examines the role that policy positions exert on ideological self-placements among individuals at varying levels of political knowledge.
Kyle L. Endres, *University of Texas, Austin*
k.endres@ustin.utexas.edu
Robert C. Luskin, *University of Texas, Austin*
rluskin@mail.utexas.edu
- 8:59 **On the Structure of Mass and Elite Public Opinion**
We use measurement modeling techniques to examine whether political attitudes are structured coherently and equivalently in elite and mass samples. We use data from the 2000 NES mass and delegate surveys and mass-elite data from the 1998 CCFR survey
Paul Goren, *University of Minnesota*
pgoren@umn.edu
Caitlin E. Dwyer, *University of Minnesota*
dwyer077@umn.edu
- 9:11 **Are Politicians Becoming More Ideologically Constrained?: A Case Study of the 2004 Same Sex Marriage Amendment**
Using twelve counties in two states, this paper is a case study of the 2004 same sex marriage vote that will further establish a link between partisanship and ideology.
Chad J. Kinzele, *University of Cincinnati*
kinzelej@email.uc.edu
- 9:23 **Patriotism, the Status Quo, and Public Opinion on Culture War Issues: A Hierarchical Model of Attitude Structures**
Patriotism's causes and consequences are examined and linked according to a hierarchical model of attitude structure, bookended by support for the status quo as primary psychological predisposition and opinions on culture war issues as affect.
Nate Ramsey, *University of Cincinnati*
ramseynn@mail.uc.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Lindsey Clark Levitan, *Suny Brook University*
Lindsey.Levitan@sunysb.edu
Disc. Chad Murphy, *University of Mary Washington*
chadmurphy.umw@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-28** **Respondents and Interviewers**
Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair:** Adam J. Berinsky, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
berinsky@aol.edu
- 8:35 **Using Survey Respondents or Placed Government Records? An Examination of Turnout Over-reporting and Vote Validation in the 2008 ANES Panel Study**
We examine key assumptions underlying the claim that vote validation yields improved turnout measures. We find problems with validation methods and that survey respondents' reports are more accurate than previously believed.
Matthew Berent, *Stanford University*
mattb@stanberent.com
Jon Krotschek, *Stanford University*
krotschek@stanford.edu
Arthur Lupia, *University of Michigan*
lupia@umich.edu
- 8:50 **Experiments to Reduce the Overreporting of Voting: A Pipeline to the Truth**
We offer two new survey questions that reduce the overreporting of voting.
Michael J. Hammer, *University of Maryland, College Park*
mhammer@cpj.umd.edu
Antoine Jason Banks, *University of Maryland, College Park*
abanks@cpj.umd.edu
Ismael K. White, *Ohio State University*
whitisk@polisci.osu.edu
- 9:05 **Assessing the Wilder Effect in Same-sex Marriage Ballot Questions**
This paper assesses the accuracy of pre-election polling on same-sex marriage ballot questions at the state level
Richard J. Powell, *University of Maine*
rpowell@maine.edu
- 9:20 **Survey Design and Racial Resentment: Race of Interviewer Effects and Interviewer Clustering**
We examine how survey design related factors, such as interviewer workload, can influence aggregate estimates of racial resentment. Despite pervasive race of interviewer effects in ANES data, no strong theoretical explanation exists for the problem.
David C. Wilson, *University of Delaware*
dewilson@udel.edu
Frank Godwin, *University of Delaware*
fgw200@udel.edu
Darren Davis, *University of Notre Dame*
darren.davis@nd.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Nicholas A. Valentino, *University of Michigan*
avalenti@umich.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-10** **Substantive Representation and Gender**
Room Parlor G on the 6th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair:** Olga A. Andreyeva, *Loyola University, Chicago*
oandreyeva@luc.edu
- 8:35 **The Tale of Two Policy Areas: A Study of German Federalism and Its Implications for the Substantive Representation of Women**
Using an original data set of legislation passed at the regional and national levels in Germany, I examine the conditions under which German legislators are likely to propose and support legislation on traditional "women's issues".
Heidi D. Busche, *Portland State University*
heidbusche@hotmail.com
- 8:50 **The Most Advantaged of the Least Advantaged: The Costs of Trading Precision for Generalizability in Intersectionality**
This paper critically reviews the current intersectionality paradigm and argues for a return to a highly precise but non-generalizable use for studying the social construction of oppression and perspective on the individual and group levels.
Josh Kaplan, *Purdue University*
josh.kaplan@gmail.com
- 9:05 **Pursuit of Feminist Interests? Women's Centers as Local State Feminism**
This paper addresses whether and why local women's centers pursue feminist interests through a theory-building comparison of centers in Japan and the US and a content analysis of the actions and goals of Spanish centres.

- 9:20 **Candice Ortalis, *Pepperdine University***
 ortalis@pepperdine.edu
- 9:20 **Conceptual Incommensurability and Women's Substantive Representation**
 I argue that the logic underlying claims regarding women's 'genderness' directly shapes both what we define as women's interests as well as our expectations that female legislators will represent women.
 Christina V. Kyllias, *Union College*
 kylliasc@union.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Isabelle Engell, *University of Geneva***
 isabelle.engell@unige.ch
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 31-10 **The Complexities of Identity Politics**
 Room Barnhart 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 Chair, Alys Kai Stokes-Brown, *Bucknell University*
 a.stokes-brown@bucknell.edu
- 8:30 **Linked Fate and The Changing Nature of Political Participation within Identity Politics**
 Identity formation and its link to politics based on identity do not happen in isolation and are instead influenced by myriad categories of analysis. Which and in what combination do indicators of group identity influence political participation?
 Nadia Elizabeth Brown, *St. Louis University*
 nbrown30@slu.edu
 Jane Y. Jung, *Rutgers University*
 jjung@usc.edu
- 8:50 **Black Nationalism, Racial Identity and African American Political Participation**
 Black nationalism is argued to be a "racial narrative" which affects how African Americans participate politically. The effect of a commitment to black nationalism on participation is conditioned by an individual's strength of racial group identity.
 William Kirby Dechanty, *Missouri Southern State University*
 dechanty-w@msssu.edu
- 9:05 **The Politics of Race: How Threat Cues and Group Position Can Affect White Identity**
 We argue that group-centric political cues which highlight white economic vulnerability in relation to the success of Blacks and Hispanics will activate White identity such that it is more closely tied to racial and political attitudes.
 Vincent L. Hutchings, *University of Michigan*
 vincenth@umich.edu
 Ashley E. Jordan, *University of Michigan*
 ajordan@umich.edu
 Robert W. Mickey, *University of Michigan*
 rmickey@umich.edu
 Haas Walton, *University of Michigan*
 hantonyn@umich.edu
- 9:20 **The Immigrant Stars in Dreams of My Father and My American Journey: Making Whiteness Politically Viable**
 This paper is a comparative analysis of the memoirs of Colin Powell and Barack Obama that tries to understand what kind of Black identity is suitable to a white electorate.
 Randal Maurice Jelku, *University of Kansas*
 rmjelks@ku.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Vincent Jungkwan, *Ohio University***
 jungkwan@ohio.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 32-4 **Aristotle**
 Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 Chair, Marko Pila, *Cleveland State University*
 m.pila@csuohio.edu
- 8:35 **Virtue in the City: Aristotle, Aquinas, and the Magnanimous Man**
 This paper examines the views of Aristotle and Aquinas on magnanimity and its consequences for political life.
 Joseph Brutis, *University of New Hampshire*
 jbrutis76@gmail.com
- 8:47 **The Golden Mean and the Golden Hammer: Rhetoric and Method in Contemporary Social Science**
 A Gadamerian analysis of contemporary appropriations of the Aristotelian concept of phronesis (practical wisdom) as it applies to debates over method in the social sciences.
 Edward W. Gimbel, *University of Minnesota*
 gimbel006@umn.edu
- 8:59 **The Seemingly Natural Cosmos: Aristotle on the Possibilities and Limits of Monarchy**
 As a shining ideal of justice, Aristotle's subdued consideration of the best man's rule over the entire city in his Politics sheds light on the natural limitations and possibilities of politics and human community, even at its best.
 Benjamin T. Lundgren, *Michigan State University*
 lundgr12@msu.edu
- 9:11 **Justice, Kinship, Rule, and Aristotle's Teaching in Book III of the Politics**
 This paper suggests that Aristotle's conception of kingly rule can only be understood in light of its connection to his discussion of justice. This connection reveals that Aristotle uses kingly rule for pedagogical purposes.
 Samuel A. Stoner, *Tulane University*
 samuel.a.stoner@gmail.com
- 9:23 **Generosity and Inequality in Aristotle's Ethics**
 Aristotle's theory of generosity and magnificence sheds light on how classical ethics differs from that of modern theorists such as John Rawls. Unlike Rawls, Aristotle suggests that ethical behaviour is grounded in socio-political inequality.
 Ann Ward, *University of Regina*
 Ann.Ward@uregina.ca
- 9:35 **Disc., Ann Ward, *University of Regina***
 Ann.Ward@uregina.ca
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 33-11 **Hobbes in Comparison**
 Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 Chair, Paul Joseph Kelly, *London School of Economics*
 p.j.kelly@lse.ac.uk
- 8:35 **Hobbes on Equity**
 Comparison of the treatment of equity in Leviathan and the Dialogue Between a Philosopher and a Student of the Common Laws of England reveals a new dimension in Hobbes's critique of common lawyers and the common law outlook.
 Yehuda Yabochi, *California State University, Fresno*
 yabochi@csufresno.edu
- 8:47 **Suicide Terrorism and the Hobbesian Sovereign**
 I defend Hobbes from the criticism that suicide terrorists' willingness to die presents an insuperable challenge to a Hobbesian sovereign.
 Jeremy Anderson, *DePaul University*
 jeremy.anderson@depaul.edu
- 8:59 **Hobbes, Thucydides, and the Collapse of Political Rhetoric**
 Examines the ancient battle over the use of Thucydides' text in the Roman rhetorical schools (Dionysius of Halicarnassus' rejection versus Marcelinus' warm embrace), and then Hobbes' case for studying Thucydides as part of a new political education.
 Timothy Burns, *Statewide College*
 tburns@statewide.edu

- 9:11 **Hobbes' Shift in Philosophy of Language in Relation to His Rhetorical Turn**
This paper compares Hobbes' philosophy of language in *The Elements Law* to that found in *Leviathan* and argues that there are changes and that there is a connection between these changes and Hobbes' turn back to the rhetorical arts.
Jonathan M. Hoye, *New School for Social Research*
jhoye38@newschool.edu
- 9:23 **Desire, Happiness and Political Life: A Comparison of Hobbes and Locke**
A comparison of Hobbes and Locke's account of human agency and an analysis of the relationship between these accounts and the alternate political theories that they promote
Veronica Roberts, *University of Notre Dame*
vroberts@nd.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Christopher Barker, Harvard University**
cbarker@gov.harvard.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-11 **Power, Authority, Judgment**
Room
8:30
Crestwell on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair, Linda Zerlin, *University of Chicago*
lmgzerlin@uchicago.edu
- 8:35 **The Politics of Friendship: A Platonic Response to Schmitt and Derrida**
This paper examines the work of Carl Schmitt and Jacques Derrida on the politics of friendship. Both show us that a return to Plato's depiction of Socratic friendship is required when understanding politics in terms of friendship.
Catherine Berek, *Wake Forest University*
borekca@wfu.edu
- 8:50 **The Concept of the Political: Response to Schmitt from Bataille, Nietzsche, and Kierkegaard**
A theological-political response to Schmitt's Concept of Political from several thinkers. Bataille responds to the notion of sovereignty; Kierkegaard and Nietzsche to the decisive moment; Kierkegaard to the exception & the friend/enemy distinction.
Beverly Ann Gaddy, *University of Pittsburgh, Greensburg*
bgg13@pitt.edu
- 9:05 **Continental Thought Goes Digital: Agent-Based Models and Power Relations**
This paper examines implicit understandings of power in agent-based models of social life and presents an abstract agent-based model of power relations drawing upon the work of Michel Foucault, Gilles Deleuze, and Henri Lefebvre.
Caleb Tyrrell Gallimore, *Ohio State University*
gallimore.1@osu.edu
- 9:20 **Corruption on Trial: Arendt's Judgment**
In this paper, I intend to make of Arendt's rediscovery of Kantian idea of reflective judgment as an philosophical attempt countering corruption, lying at the heart of Western tradition of political philosophy.
Yoo-Chan Wn, *New School for Social Research*
b90302247@nssu.edu.tw
- 9:35 **Disc., Diego Bertram Rossetto, Northwestern University**
d-rossetto@northwestern.edu
Disc., Ian Storey, *University of Chicago*
ias@uchicago.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 35-9 **Whitman, Wilson and the American Liberal Tradition**
Room
8:30
Sandberg 6 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair, Jeffrey A. Becker, *University of the Pacific*
jbecker@upacific.edu
- 8:35 **The Burden of American Liberalism: Vocations of the Cold War Liberal in Louis Brandeis and Arthur Schlesinger, Jr.**
This paper assesses tendencies in mid-century American liberalism through a comparative reading of two historians largely neglected by political theorists: Louis Brandeis and Arthur Schlesinger, Jr.
Gordon Arlen, *University of Chicago*
arlen@uchicago.edu
- 8:55 **Unsettling the Balance: Wilson, Austrians, and the Creation of Modern Politics**
An examination of the interpretation of Woodrow Wilson's thought between Congressional and Constitutional Government, arguing that the search in leadership based government laid the foundations for modern American politics.
Michael Julius, *University of Minnesota*
mjulius0@gmail.com
- 9:15 **Walt Whitman's Technological Cosmogonism**
Writing during the emerging Gilded Age, Walt Whitman was conflicted about the role of technology in democratic life in America and the world. Ultimately technology could diminish the people or bring them together in radical new political communities.
Carl Micha Najdek, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
najdek@email.unc.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Jonathan McKenzie, Northern Kentucky University**
mckenziejl@nku.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-11 **Political Responsibility**
Room
8:30
Indiana on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair, Carla Yumate, *Harvard University*
eyumate@fas.harvard.edu
- 8:35 **Ownership, Guardianship and Stewardship (or, Ownership, Duty-free)**
We use the concept "ownership" to describe too many authority relations. There are other concepts, namely guardianship and stewardship, that should be used in place of ownership.
Peter Martin Jaworski, *Bowling Green State University*
petejaworski@gmail.com
- 8:55 **Human Rights as Constraints on States' Corporate Responsibility**
This paper defines the conditions under which it is legitimate to hold states corporately responsible for their policies. It argues that only states that respect citizens' human rights are proper corporate agents, responsible for their policies.
Avia Pasternak, *University of Essex*
avia.pasternak@gmail.com
- 9:15 **Political Council and Ethical Praxis: The Ethics of Deliberation and the Ethics of Responsibility**
An alternative approach to the ethical orientations of democratic political contention, comparing the ethics of deliberation with a Weberian ethics of responsibility.
Moni Talukdar, *University of California, Los Angeles*
moni.talukdar@ucla.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Mara Marja, University of Chicago**
mara@uchicago.edu
- Disc., Joseph Schiff, *University of Toronto*
j.schiff@utoronto.ca
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 37-12 **Contested Power and Conflict**
 Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Mark Fey, University of Rochester
 markfey@mail.rochester.edu
- 8:35 **Factions and Negotiations**
 I consider a government negotiating with an insurgent group made up of two factions of unknown relative strength.
 Ethan Russo De Mesaquita, University of Chicago
 edeo@uchicago.edu
- 8:50 **Fear and Deterrence Spirals in International Conflict**
 We consider a model of deterrence in which both sides entertain an infinitesimal belief that the other affirmatively desires war. We show why such beliefs can uniquely result in credible deterrence of even a minor transgression with costly war.
 Alexander V. Hirsch, Princeton University
 avhirsch@princeton.edu
- 9:05 **Risk and Moral Hazard in Alliances**
 This paper considers the insurance and moral hazard aspects of military alliances.
 Christopher W. Ramsey, Princeton University
 kramsey@princeton.edu
 Brett V. Benson, Vanderbilt University
 brett.benson@vanderbilt.edu
 Adam Melanitz, Princeton University
 amelanow@princeton.edu
- 9:20 **Why Ethnicity Is More Salient Than Race**
 A game-theoretic model showing why individuals are much more likely to coordinate in-group cooperation and intergroup conflict along ethnic lines than racial lines.
 Matthew Zaldemero, University of California, Davis
 mzel@ucdavis.edu
- 9:35 Disc., Brad Harstad, Northwestern University
 harstad@kelllogg.northwestern.edu
 Disc., Matthew K. Polborn, University of Illinois
 polborn@uiuc.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 38-13 **Qualitative and Multi-Method Research**
 Room Kumbell on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Lauren M. MacLean, Indiana University
 macleanl@indiana.edu
- 8:35 **Process Tracing in a World of Causal Complexity**
 Despite its wide acceptance, scholars have only begun to consider how to select effective process tracing cases. This paper builds on this literature, considering how standard approaches must be adapted in cases involving non-linear relationships.
 Jeb Barnes, University of Southern California
 barnesj@usc.edu
- 8:47 **Transcending the Rubicon: Embracing Quantitative Techniques in Social Constructivist Research**
 This paper seeks to introduce structural equation modeling (SEM) as a viable quantitative approach that can be used by social constructivists and illustrates how it can be applied to key research questions in IR and comparative politics.
 Michael Charles Grillo, University of Louisville
 mike.grillo@louisville.edu
- 8:59 **Political Science as if Context Matters: Integrating Scientific and Statistical Significance**
 I introduce a method for integrating statistical and scientific (or "actual") significance with the aim of encouraging our field to return to an emphasis on the latter, and stop fetishizing the former.
 Justin H. Gross, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 jhgross@unc.edu
- 9:11 **The Architecture of Beliefs: Implications of Analytical Choices**
 Using two Q-SOM studies, this research examines the architecture of data and the particular research questions as frameworks to explore empirical implications of cluster analysis, factor analysis, and more classical statistical techniques.
 Cheryl Kay Hedges, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis
 hedges@calpoly.edu
 Elizabeth Lowman, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo
 elowman@calpoly.edu
- 9:23 **What To Do? Problems in Ethnographic Fieldwork in Russia**
 This paper discusses the author's recent experiences in Russia doing ethnographic fieldwork.
 Todd Halsey Nelson, Kent State University
 halseynelson@kent.edu
- 9:35 Disc., Malena Rosen Sundstrom, Malmo University
 malena.sundstrom@mah.se
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 40-7 **Groups, Parties, and Public Policy**
 Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Timothy M. LaPira, James Madison University
 lapiram@jmu.edu
- 8:35 **To Cud or Not to Cud: Spending Dynamics and Issue Ownership in Congress**
 This paper combines insights on issue ownership with an understanding of political institutions to investigate budgetary policy outcomes. It finds evidence of issue ownership in the budget, but also evidence that the parties strategically trespass.
 Sarah Anderson, University of California, Santa Barbara
 sanderson@iren.ucsb.edu
 Laurel M. Harbridge, Northwestern University
 l-harbridge@northwestern.edu
- 8:47 **A Crowded Agenda: Labor Reform and Coalition Politics in the Postwar Era**
 This paper examines why industrial relations reforms failed to materialize during the postwar era, despite organized labor's close relationship with the Democratic Party.
 Travis Johnston, University of California, Berkeley
 trjohnst@berkeley.edu
- 8:59 **Which Party Owns Education Issues? The Dynamics of Party Issue Reputations**
 Contrary to conventional wisdom, this project shows that party issue reputations are not static over time. Focusing on education issues, it examines what brings changes in the public's perception of party reputations.
 Yujin Kim, University of Wisconsin, Madison
 ykim8@wisc.edu
- 9:11 **Interest Groups and Environmentally Sustainable Economic Growth**
 This paper analyzes the effect of interest group activity on sustainable growth. Our analysis indicates that accounting for environmental sustainability mitigates negative effects of interest groups on economic growth.
 Lukas R. Pfaff, University of Rochester
 lukas.pfaff@gmail.com
 Lawrence Rothberg, University of Rochester
 lrb@mail.rochester.edu
- 9:23 **Organized Interests, Ethnicity and Networks: Symbolic Politics and Substantive Policy**
 Using social network analysis, this study explores how organized interests may affect policy decisions at the national level.
 Suzanne M. Robbitt, George Mason University
 srobbin1@gmu.edu
 Suzanne M. Robbitt, George Mason University
 srobbin1@gmu.edu
- 9:35 Disc., Sarah Anderson, University of California, Santa Barbara
 sanderson@iren.ucsb.edu
 Disc., Matthew Grossmann, Michigan State University
 mm@msu.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion

- 41-100** **B. Dan Wood's The Myth of Presidential Representation**
Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 B. Dan Wood's "The Myth of Presidential Representation" (2010 Neustadt Award winner) re-examines the relationship between presidential rhetoric and public opinion. This panel will explore "The Myth" and its implications for understanding the presidency.
Chair B. Dan Wood, *Texas A&M University*
 danwood@polisci.tamu.edu
Panelist Matthew J. Lebo, *Stony Brook University*
 matthew.lebo@stonybrook.edu
 Paul J. Quirk, *University of British Columbia*
 quirk@politics.ubc.ca
 Christopher Wlezien, *Temple University*
 wlezien@temple.edu
- 42-9** **Congressional Polarization**
Room Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair**, Jeffrey W. Ladewig, *University of Connecticut*
 jeffrey.ladewig@uconn.edu
8:35 **The Role of Mass-Based Partisan Ideological Sorting in Legislative Polarization**
 Using public opinion and roll call data, this study evaluates the extent to which the growing ideological consensus among partisans results in legislative polarization.
 Nathaniel Birchard, *Indiana University, Bloomington*
 nbirchard@indiana.edu
8:47 **Beyond National Presidential Politics: How Representative Are Republican Anti-Tax Ideas?**
 Are anti-tax positions held by Republicans at all levels of government? This paper seeks to explore state and local as well as national positions, and see if there is a clear division between Republicans and Democrats on this issue in Congress.
 Laura Blawie, *University of Virginia*
 lab716@virginia.edu
8:59 **Predicting an Unhappy Floor: Testing the Impact of Ideological Gaps Between Pivotal Voters**
 The paper examines whether large ideological gulfs between pivotal voters are associated with a greater likelihood the majority party will face difficulty in meeting its goals on the Senate floor.
 Peter Hudson, *University of Denver*
 peter.hudson@du.edu
9:11 **An Inevitable Impasse? Assessing the Evolution of Racial Politics in Shaping Conflict in Congress During the Civil Rights Era**
 We analyze Congress and member level measures of polarization and voting behavior to examine a more nuanced view of the extent to which racial divisions transformed traditional conflict in Congress.
 Daniel Lee, *Michigan State University*
 leedan@msu.edu
 Eric J. Juanku, *Michigan State University*
 juanku@msu.edu
 Michael C. Brady, *Denison University*
 bradyms@denison.edu
9:23 **Constituency Homogeneity, Geographical Interconnectedness, and Partisan Voting in the American State Legislatures**
 Examines how variations in constituency homogeneity and geographical interconnectedness within party caucuses affect levels of partisan voting within state legislatures.
 Adam S. Myers, *University of Texas, Austin*
 amyers@mail.utexas.edu
9:35 **Disc., Richard Peltzer, *Fordham University***
 rpeltzer@fordham.edu
Disc., Jeffrey W. Ladewig, *University of Connecticut*
 jeffrey.ladewig@uconn.edu
9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-28** **The Senate**
Room LaSalle 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair**, Gregory Koger, *University of Missouri*
 gregory.koger@gmail.com
8:35 **The Eviscerating Uses of Budget Reconciliation and Institutional Change in Congress**
 This paper examines the changing partisan and ideological coalitions that have used reconciliation and their institutional and policy goals, 1980-2010.
 Tracy Marie Roof, *University of Richmond*
 troof@richmond.edu
8:55 **How the Gingrich Senators have Transformed the U.S. Senate**
 The 34 senators who served with Newt Gingrich in the House prior to their service in the Senate have radically transformed how the Senate does work. This paper explores some of these transformations.
 Sean M. Thornblait, *University of Texas, Austin*
 seanm@mail.utexas.edu
9:15 **Using At-Large Representatives as Scouts in the Senate**
 This paper analyzes the electoral and institutional factors that influence the legislative relationship between representatives from at-large districts and senators.
 Sarah Treub, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 streub@unc.edu
9:35 **Disc., Lawrence C. Dodd, *University of Florida***
 ldodd@polisci.ufl.edu
Disc., Gregory Koger, *University of Miami*
 gregory.koger@gmail.com
9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 45-10** **External Constraints on Supreme Court Decision Making**
Room Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair**, Bethany Blackstone, *University of North Texas*
 bethany.blackstone@unt.edu
8:35 **Strategic Avoidance: The Effect of Executive and Legislative Agendas on the US Supreme Court's Decision to Grant Certiorari**
 I argue that the Supreme Court uses its agenda-setting power to avoid conflicts with Congress and the President.
 Amanda Clark Bryant, *University of Minnesota*
 amanda.clark.bryant@gmail.com
8:50 **What Moves the Median Justice? The Influence of Public Opinion on the U.S. Supreme Court**
 This paper seeks to develop and test a case-level theory of the extent to which the justices on the U.S. Supreme Court strategically respond to changes in public opinion.
 Peter Kniss, *Cornell University*
 pe52@cornell.edu
Patrick C. Wohlfarth, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 patrickw@wum.edu
9:05 **Legal Pragmatism and Strategic Decision Making: Examining the Effects of Congressional Statutory Challenges**
 The analysis employs unique data on congressional statutory challenges to evaluate the Court's reaction on Congress. The results speak to the strategic vs sincere debate on judicial decisions and the role of the Court in the separation of powers.
 Bryan W. Marshall, *Miami University*
 marshallbw@muhio.edu
 Richard L. Pacelle, *Georgia Southern University*
 rpacelle@gosouthern.edu
Brett W. Curry, *Georgia Southern University*
 bcoury@gosouthern.edu
9:20 **Congressional Strength and Judicial Review: The Rehnquist Court**
 To what extent is the Supreme Court constrained by Congress when exercising its power of judicial review? We argue that Congress'

- constraining influence depends on their own strength and prestige, which we measure as their public approval rating.
Michael S. Rosen, University of New Mexico
 mrosen@unm.edu
Mark Purdy, University of New Mexico
 mpurdy@unm.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Bethany Blackstone, University of North Texas**
 bethany.blackstone@unt.edu
Disc., Elissa Carol Savchuk, North Carolina State University
 esavchuk@ncsu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 45-101 **Author Meets Critics: The Nature of Supreme Court Power, by Matthew Hall**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
 A roundtable discussion by some of our profession's leading scholars about an important new book.
Chair Paul Brace, Rice University
 pbrace@rice.edu
Panelist Matthew E. J. Hall, St. Louis University
 mhall6@slu.edu
Panelist Paul Brace, Rice University
 pbrace@rice.edu
Panelist Michael McCann, University of Washington
 mmccann@uw.edu
Panelist James L. Gibson, Washington University, St. Louis
 jlgibson@wustl.edu
Panelist Gerald N. Rosenberg, University of Chicago
 g-rosenberg@uchicago.edu
- 46-10 **Legislators: Why They Do What They Do**
Room Salin 3 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair Phillip Ardain, Appalachian State University
 ardainpj@appstate.edu
- 8:35 **The Partisan Vote and Particularistic Bills in the American States**
 Do electoral incentives to build a personal vote motivate legislators to initiate and support particularistic bills? We investigate electoral rules for U.S. state legislative elections and their effect on particularistic legislation.
Tanya Georgieva Bogushka, University of Houston
 tbogushka@uh.edu
Jennifer Hayer Clark, University of Houston
 jclark10@uh.edu
- 8:50 **State Government Choice of Tax Instruments as a Reflection of Federal Incentives and Legislator Self-Interest**
 Legislators have an incentive to reduce taxes on those who can vote them out of office, and to impose taxes on those who cannot vote them out of office. To what extent do legislators act as if they are aware of the opportunity to act in this way?
Jerome Heavry, Lafayette College
 heavryj@lafayette.edu
- 9:05 **Does an Abundance of Easy Money Lead to Corruption? Evidence from U.S. States**
 We explore political corruption in the US states. To the variables confirmed in other studies, we add insights from resource-curse literature to suggest that "easy money" severs links of accountability between citizens and state authorities.
Thomas Langston, Tulane University
 langston@tulane.edu
Aaron Schneider, Tulane University
 aschnea@tulane.edu
- 9:20 **Comparing Survey Response and Roll Call Voting Behavior in Assessing Policy Preferences of State Legislators**
 We compare three data sources on the policy preferences of state legislatures: Carey et al. NPAT, and roll call votes. We assess their strengths and limitations. We see what systematic patterns underlie how responses connect to voting behavior.
Lynda Powell, University of Rochester
 Lynda.Powell@rochester.edu
Boris Shue, University of Chicago
 bshue@uchicago.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Stacy Burnett Cardon, University of Nevada, Reno**
 sccardon@unr.edu
Disc., Nancy Martorano, University of Dayton
 Nancy.Martorano@udacoe.dayton.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 47-9 **Urban Political Geography: New Perspectives on Mobility and Attachment to Place**
Room Logos on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair Lopo Salucci, University of Denver
 lopo.salucci@du.edu
- 8:35 **The Anti-Creative Exodus: Testing the Middle Class Flight Hypothesis**
 This paper will test Joel Kotkin's 'middle class flight' hypotheses, which states that cities that focus on promoting amenities and entertainment services for the creative class are becoming inhospitable for middle class families in the US.
Lopo Salucci, University of Denver
 lopo.salucci@du.edu
- 8:55 **Does Residential Mobility Explain Voter Turnout? A Case Study: The Metropolitan Area of Barcelona**
 In this paper we analyze the influence of residential mobility on voter turnout in local elections through a case study, the metropolitan area of Barcelona. Data come from 1,600 surveys handed out in September 2010 using CATI technology.
Martina Tortosa, University of Barcelona
 martina.tortosa@ub.edu
Xavier Bertrana, Diputació de Barcelona
 bertranax@diiba.cat
Jaume Magre, University of Barcelona
 jmagre@ub.edu
- 9:15 **Disc., Brady Baybeck, University of Missouri, St. Louis**
 baybeck@jurnal.edu
- 9:35 **Audience Discussion**
- 48-9 **The Challenges of Policy Making and Implementation Systems in Newly Independent States**
Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair Nadejda Markova, University of Southern California
 nmarkova@usc.edu
- 8:35 **Public Policy Making and Implementation Systems, Processes, and Reforms in Kyrgyzstan**
 This paper maps and analyzes how public policy making and implementation systems are functioning and changing in Kyrgyzstan since it became independent.
Mahabet Bakmyrzaeva, Monterey Institute of International Studies
 mbakmyrz@miis.edu
- 8:50 **Systems Thinking in Public Policy: How to Solve a Problem of Criminalization of Natural Resources in the Russian Far East?**
 This study assesses a problem of criminalization of natural resources in the Russian Far East. It is argued that to solve the issue effectively systems thinking approach has to be applied.
Ala Khadka, University of Pittsburgh
 akh38@pitt.edu
- 9:05 **Cracking the Honey Pot: Quasi-Legitimate Actors and Their Role in Public Policy-Making and Implementation in the Republic of Macedonia**
 Quasi-legitimate actors in Macedonia have ultimately dominated and consequently have been subsumed by the state. I argue such behavior has both hindered and helped state development through the incorporation of various stakeholder interests.
Philip Murphy, Monterey Institute of International Studies
 philip.murphy@gmail.com

- 9:20 **Policy Making, Politics and Responsive Administration: Implications for a Poor Reform Implementation**
This paper deals with the poor implementation of reforms in the public administration in Albania. It explains the main reasons for the unattended policy purposes and implications for the future.
Orjeda Tere, Ministry of Justice
Orjeda.Tere@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Jennifer Crist Rutledge, John Jay College, CUNY**
jrutledge@jjay.cuny.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 49-6 **School Choice**
Room LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Jeffrey Kraus, Wagner College**
jkraus@wagner.edu
- 8:35 **Economic Uncertainty and Capital Projects in Education: Determining the Factors Affecting the Vote Decision in School Bond Elections, 2008-2010**
In this period of economic distress, school bond requests become risky. However, bond requests continue to be offered to voters, prompting this research to determine what factors affect a vote to pass bond requests in adverse economic climates.
Edward Angom, Washington State University
edward.angom@gmail.com.wsu.edu
- 8:55 **Choice, Voice and Exit: School Vouchers in Milwaukee**
In this original analysis, I examine the relationship between school vouchers and parents' civic and political attitudes and behaviors. I find little evidence that school vouchers privatize parents' participation.
David J. Fleming, Furman University
davidjohn1010@gmail.com
- 9:15 **Religion, School Privatization, and Racial Diversity**
This research combines past scholarship on school choice reforms and assesses the racial diversity of private and private religious schools.
Andrea Vieux, University of Houston, Victoria
vieuxa@uhv.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Bryan Shelly, Wake Forest University**
shellybr@wfu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-11 **Policy Implementation and Administrative Policymaking**
Room Buehnan 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Michael J. Lacer, University of Northern Iowa**
michael.lacer@uni.edu
- 8:35 **Does Agency Mission Affect Employees' Job Design?: An Analysis of U.S. Federal Agencies by Policy Goal**
This study analyzes U.S. federal agencies to investigate whether or not the types of policy implemented by agencies influence employee job designs differently. Data from the 2008 Federal Human Capital Survey we used for this research.
Yoonho Kim, University of Seoul
ykim@uos.ac.kr
- 8:50 **Administrative Policy-making and Boundary-spanning Problems: The Paradox of Unitary Actor Flexibility**
This is a study of executive-policy-making in critical infrastructure protection. Executive policy-making offers tremendous flexibility to the president, but comes at a cost of vagueness in rules and performance outcomes.
Chris Koski, James Madison University
koski@jmu.edu
- 9:05 **Dominant and Nash Equilibrium Implementation: Direct vs. Indirect Implementation**
We show that for some domains dominant strategy implementation is possible (either with a direct mechanism or with an indirect mechanism), while Nash implementability cannot be achieved.
Bernardo Moreno, Northwestern University
b-moreno@kellogg.northwestern.edu
- 9:20 **Calming the Pinch Hitter: Policy Implementation by Non-government Agencies**
Why do public agencies outsource policy implementation to non-public agencies? Using U.S. charter schools, this paper argues that public agencies contract out when they lack capacity to meet the needs of specialized or at-risk groups.
Breanna Thomas, Texas A&M University
breanna@politics.tamu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Deanna Malatesta, Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis**
dmalates@iupui.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-8 **Public Opinion and the Environment**
Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, TED**
- 8:35 **Living in Toxicity: Substandard Air Quality and Policy Preferences**
Does living in a community with substandard air quality generate support for environmental policies? Survey results suggest local factors, including air quality, have little influence on individual policy preferences.
Jason Kalambach, Michigan State University
kalmball@msu.edu
- 8:55 **Disc., Kristy E.H. Michaud, California State University, Northridge**
kristy.michaud@csun.edu
- Disc., Justin Abbott Tucker, California State University, Fullerton**
justamucker@fullerton.edu
- 9:15 **Audience Discussion**
- 53-11 **New Directions in Public Service Motivation Research**
Room Buehnan 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Bert A. Rockman, Purdue University**
barockma@purdue.edu
- 8:35 **Public Service Motivation and Collaboration**
This article explores the relationship between the PSMT of state agency heads and the ways in which their organizations collaborate with other entities to accomplish programmatic goals.
Cynthia J. Bowling, Auburn University
cbowlicj@auburn.edu
- 8:47 **Sector Switching and Implications for Job Motivation and Satisfaction**
This paper examines reasons why employees might switch from working in the public sector to the private sector, or vice versa, and the effect that sector switching has on job motivation and satisfaction compared to those who remain in one sector.
Tom Faraco, Village of Schaumburg
tfaraco@ci.schaumburg.il.us
- 8:59 **Public Service Motivation and Career Choice: A Comparative Analysis of U.S. and Asian University Students**
This study examines the job motivations of prospective employees and preferences for public service careers by comparing US and Asian university students. The role of public administration education in enhancing public service motivation is studied.
Kirkon Ko, National University of Singapore
polkk@nus.edu.sg
- 8:59 **Public Service Motivation and Career Choice: A Comparative Analysis of U.S. and Asian University Students**
This study examines the job motivations of prospective employees and preferences for public service careers by comparing US and Asian university students. The role of public administration education in enhancing public service motivation is studied.
Kirkon Ko, National University of Singapore
polkk@nus.edu.sg
- 9:11 **Developing Cross-Cultural Framework for Public Service Motivation**
The present study proposes the cross-cultural model for Public Service Motivation using the elements of cultural dimension frameworks developed by cross-cultural management scholars.
Aleksy Kalpakov, Indiana University, Bloomington
akalpak@indiana.edu

- 9:23 **The Call to Service in Tough Times: The Influence of Economic Insecurity from the "Great Recession" Upon Public Service Motivation and Willingness to Work in Government**
Based on insights from studies of unemployment and volunteering, paper addresses how economic insecurity experienced by students due to current recession impacts their level of Public Service Motivation and willingness to work in government.
Roger P. Rose, University of Mississippi, Morris
rrose@morris.untl.edu
Kevin D. Thompson, University of Minnesota, Crookston
thom2358@umn.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Abbie Schattelman, Northern Illinois University**
eschattelman@niu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 54-9 **Hidden Spheres of Policymaking in the U.S.**
Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Russell L. Hanson, Indiana University**
hansonr@indiana.edu
- 8:33 **The Potential in "Potential Autocrats": Historical Institutionalism and Its Democratic Problem**
This paper attempts to reorient historical institutionalism back towards its social roots. It distinguishes between bureaucrats and elected representatives and sketches a series of conditions that defuse the potential autonomy of elected officials.
Michael Jason Fortner, Drexel University
fortner@drexelharvard.edu
- 8:47 **British Employers and the Question of the Ten-hour Workday: A Case Study in Early Welfare State Development, 1835-1853**
My paper examines the role of employers in the context of the conflict for the shorter workday in 19th century Britain. Its purpose is to critique employer-centered welfare state scholars by highlighting the role of administrators in policy making.
Frieda Fuchs, Oberlin College
frieda_fuchs@hotmail.com
- 8:59 **Shovel Ready: The Politics of Public Works, 1800-Present**
The tendency to conflate public works with legislative pork barreling has obscured a broader understanding of this policy domain. New data reveals that the pork-barrel narrative only partially accounts for infrastructural development in the U.S.
Meredith Levine, Yale University
meredith.levine@yale.edu
- 9:11 **Private Litigation, Public Policy Enforcement: The Regulatory Power of Private Litigation and the American Bureaucracy**
In response to accounts of the constrained regulatory bureaucracy, this paper analyzes archival data to uncover how and when agencies cultivate alternate, legal sources of enforcement power by mobilizing private litigation advancing regulatory goals.
Quinn Mulroy, Columbia University
qwm1@columbia.edu
- 9:23 **Open-Ended Policy Channels: Pathways of Development in Revolutionary Social Politics**
This essay conceptualizes and attempts to account for the development of four mechanisms by which the goals of "revolutionary" public policies have been gradually converted.
Phillip Reese, University of California, Berkeley
procea@berkeley.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., William James Macarrown, Curry College**
wmacarrn0904@curry.edu
Disc., Mallinda R. Threl, University of Massachusetts Amherst
mtrsl@polsci.umass.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 55-2 **Neo-liberalism, Marginality, Resistance**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, David E. Paul, Williams College**
dpaul@williams.edu
- 8:35 **From Grassroots to Business Suits: How AIDS Captured the Corporate World**
Using business opinion survey data and case studies in Brazil, Spain, South Africa, and Botswana, I find that social environments, rather than bottom-line concerns, explain why businesses chose AIDS as a target of corporate social responsibility.
Nikhar Galkwad, Yale University
nikhar.galkwad@yale.edu
- 8:47 **Hotbed of Islamist Radicalization or Something Else? An Ethnographic Exploration of a Muslim Neighborhood in Ceuta**
This ethnographic study of Ceuta draws on the author's interviews and other data he gathered during field work in Principa Alfonso, a marginalized Muslim community in the Spanish enclave that has been labeled a "hotbed" of radicalization.
Michael Keady, Penn State University, Harrisburg
mck14@psu.edu
- 8:59 **Bringing Neuroscience into Political Science: Attention, Caring, and Human Sociopolitical Evolution**
The paper considers the implications of the neuroscience of attention and caregiving for political science.
Judith S. Kullberg, Eastern Michigan University
judith.kullberg@emich.edu
- 9:11 **The Neuropolitical Economy and Neoliberal Culture**
An examination of the bidirectional relationship between the plastic brain and culture with a focus on how our hardwired empathic system manifests itself under the influence of neoliberal ideology, a potent force that brackets that behavior.
Gary L. Olson, Moravian College
olson@moravian.edu
- 9:23 **Cross-cultural Understanding of Political Martyrdom**
The paper examines political martyrdom cross-culturally. Engages with the literature that considers suicide bombing as "sacrifice". The concept of "live martyr" is introduced from Gandhi's thought. Discusses evolution of martyrdom in the modern state.
Kalpesh Umashankar, G.B. Pant Social Science Institute, Allahabad India
kalpesh77@rediffmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., David E. Paul, Williams College**
dpaul@williams.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 56-12 **Islam and Politics**
Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Sena Karatupak, Indiana University**
seginay@gmail.com
- 8:35 **Universalism vs. Islamic Nationalism: Conceptualizing Political Islam**
The paper investigates the failure of the concept Political Islam to adequately reflect the diverse political identities that make up political Islam. It breaks down the Middle East political identities into three categories.
Amyad Dejam, Kings College London
amyad.dejam1@gmail.com
- 8:55 **Islam as Enlightenment: Islam in the West and the Promise for Islamic Reform**
The paper is highly interdisciplinary. It cuts across social sciences, humanities and theology and employs two methodological gambits - (1) deconstructing existing orthodoxy and (2) reconstructing a liberal narrative of Islam.
Muqtedar Khan, University of Delaware
mkhan@udel.edu

- 9:15 **State Interference in the Religious Market: Repercussions for State Policy on Religious Freedom and Democratization**
This paper examines whether the high level of state restrictions on religious minorities in Muslim plurality states is due to features specific to Islamic tradition or whether the state's interference in the religious market is accountable.
Fatma Zahrah Rahman, *University of California, Irvine*
rahmanf@uci.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Sultan Tepe, University of Illinois, Chicago**
sultan@uic.edu
Disc., Simon Wood, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
swood2@unl.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 57-4 **Simulations and Games as Tools for Teaching Political Science**
Room 8:30
Wilson on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair, John M. Aughebaug, *Virginia Commonwealth University*
jmaughebaug@vcu.edu
- 8:35 **How Playable Games Can Help Students Write Better Research Papers: An Example from an Introductory Comparative Political Regimes Class**
This paper outlines a term-long class game loosely modeled on a fantasy sports league that helps introduce students to classes and helps them formulate and frame better research questions.
Devashree Gupta, *Carleton College*
dgupta@carleton.edu
- 8:55 **Learning by Experiencing the Law: Making Protost: Congressional Simulation Exercise**
West Point's Social Sciences Department incorporates a Congressional Simulation Exercise in its introductory American politics course. The 2010 exercise assessed measurable impact of the simulation on student comprehension of the course material.
Guyton Lee Robinson, *United States Military Academy*
guyton.robinson@usma.edu
John Childress, *United States Military Academy*
john.childress@usma.edu
Walter Cooper, *United States Military Academy*
wallecooper@usma.edu
Kent Park, *United States Military Academy*
kent.park@usma.edu
Jacqueline S. Escobar, *United States Military Academy*
jescobar@usma.edu
- 9:15 **Can Freshmen Do What Politicians Can't?: Balanced Budgets and Problem Based Teaching in Introduction to American Politics**
We report on a problem-based learning model for introductory U.S. Government courses. The model develops a semester-long project that both covers material addressed in introductory courses and forces the students to provide a balanced U.S. budget.
Shawn H. Williams, *Campbellville University*
shwilliams@campbellville.edu
Thomas R. Jeffrey, *Campbellville University*
tjeffrey@campbellville.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Mel Cohen, Miami University**
cohenm1@muhio.edu
Disc., Brian D. Potter, University of Southern Indiana
bpotter@usi.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 65-3 **Philosophy and the City**
Room 8:30
Madison on the 3rd floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair, David Foti, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
dfoti@unlv.nevada.edu
- 8:35 **Platonic Cosmopolitanism: A Study of Philosophy and Political Design in the Middle Dialogues**
Cosmopolitanism is the construction of the polis as the image of the cosmos. Both find principles of order in a greater source of being.
Philosophy leads to knowledge, which compels the philosopher to craft the polis into the form of the cosmos.
David Beth Wittenberg, *University*
dbeth@wittenberg.edu
- 8:47 **Rhetoric and Law: Book I of Aristotle's "Rhetoric"**
This essay examines Aristotle's account of the relation between rhetoric and law in the "Nicomachean Ethics" and the "Rhetoric."
Stephen Andrew Block, *Baylor University*
step_block@baylor.edu
- 8:59 **Aristotle and the Athenian Stranger on Nature, Politics, and Philosophy**
Aristotle charges that the Athenian Stranger in Plato's *LAWS* risks political stability by an excessive dedication to virtue and relying too heavily on philosophy.
Kevin M. Cherry, *University of Richmond*
kevin.moore.cherry@gmail.com
- 9:11 **Re-examining Alfarabi's Metaphysics as Political Rhetoric in the Virtuous City**
Scholars dispute the role of Neoplatonism for Farabi. I argue that while Farabi does provide logically valid Neoplatonic arguments in the *Virtuous City* these arguments have the intention of educating citizens in political and religious moderation.
Robert Andrew L'Arrivee, *University of Notre Dame*
rlarrivee@nd.edu
- 9:23 **Plato's Theaetetus: The Problem of the Unity of Knowledge**
The *Theaetetus* is the only Platonic dialogue which explicitly questions the nature of knowledge and being. What is knowledge and how is it related to being? What does the relationship between the two convey about Plato's understanding of truth?
Jamaar Reppert, *Claremont Graduate University*
jamaarreppert@yaboo.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Sergio Szymon Cebi, Kafir Has University**
sczjintozymen@yahoo.com
Disc., David Foti, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
dfoti@unlv.nevada.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 71-6 **Politics and Aesthetics in the Theater**
Room 8:30
Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 8:30 am
Chair, Flagg Taylor, *Shippore College*
ftaylor@shippore.edu
- 8:35 **In Defense of Frantz: The "Utopian" Nature of Politics and Theater in Hannah Arendt, Antonio Gramsci, and Augusto Boal**
A comparative study of the ways in which Arendt and two dramatis, Arendt and Boal, theorize both the polis and the theater as events rather than as places: as "utopian" occurrences brought into being by the fragile words and deeds of human beings.
Miguel Angel Balsa, *Cornell University*
mhR23@cornell.edu
- 8:47 **The State of Nature in the Sallust of *Cottus Fields*: Hobbes Reads Kallias**
This paper explores Kallias' absurdism through Hobbesian political philosophy. Kallias' works have been classified as absurdist. It is puzzling, then, that the setting of one of his best known works has every characteristic of a "state of nature."
Jonathan MacFarlane, *Valparaiso University*
jon.macfarlane@valpo.edu
- 8:59 **Penny Pavlin on Modern Global Stages**
The paper looks at two polemical contemporary theatrical adaptations of a four centuries old play Penny Pavlin and concludes that modern aesthetics and cultural-political unconscious are inevitably underlying the "pure" visual revival of tradition.
Lil Qin, *Washington University, St. Louis*
lqin@go.wustl.edu
- 9:11 **Bertolt Brecht and the Ethics of Praxis**
This paper argues that the ethical imperative of Brecht's epic theatre was in altering the epistemic center or *weltanschauung* of the

audience. Brecht believed this would help create the necessary conditions for human emancipation.

Anthony Glenn Squiers, *Western Michigan University*
anthonygsquiers@yahoo.com

- 9:23 **Nobly Savage: Shaffer's *Equus* and the Quest for the Human**
This paper brings the play *Equus* into political theory by analyzing the main character, Alan Strang, through the themes of human-animal duality and construction of political identity in the context of the noble savage and the social contract.
Eric van der Vort, *Ball State University*
ervandervort@bsu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Elizabeth Suzanne Amato, Baylor University**
Elizabeth_Amato@baylor.edu
- Disc., Flegg Taylor, *Skidmore College*
ftaylor@skidmore.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

Saturday, April 2 at 10:25 am**1-108 New Research on Gender in Political Psychology**

Room Weger Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
This roundtable addresses new research and proposes future directions in research regarding the study of gender in American politics using psychological theories. Roundtable members will be sharing the results from a small conference on this topic.

Chair Monica C. Schneider, *Miami University*
mschneider@miamiohio.edu

Panelist Angela L. Bos, *College of Wooster*
abos@wooster.edu

Zoe M. Oxley, *Union College*
oxleyz@union.edu

Leonie Huddy, *Stony Brook University*
Leonie.Huddy@sunysb.edu

Erin C. Cassese, *West Virginia University*
erin.cassese@mail.wvu.edu

2-10 European Integration

Room Price on the 5th floor, Sat at 10:25 am

Chair, Tony E. Wöhlers, *Cameron University*
awohlers@cameron.edu

10:30 Citizenship in the EU: EU Citizenship: Is EU Citizenship a Promissive Instrument for the Increase of the Democratic Legitimation Within the EU?

This paper analyzes European citizenship regarding its possible democratizing impact on the EU. It formulates a linking mechanism between democratization and further evolution of EU-citizenship and presents an input oriented analytical framework.

Axel Dabitsch, *Technische Universität Darmstadt*
dabitsch@ipg.tu-darmstadt.de

10:50 The Determinants of European Parliament Candidate Selection: The domestic strategic environment governs parties' EP candidate selection. Party characteristics, electoral conditions and nomination procedures drive selection. We test the argument with candidate biographies from the 2009 EP election.

Stephen August Meserve, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
meserve@illinois.edu

William Bernhard, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
bernhard@uiuc.edu

Daniel Pemstein, *Vanderbilt University*
dip@uiuc.edu

11:10 Support for European Integration: The Relationship between Elite and Mass Opinion

Looking at 14 EU countries, I test the relationship between elite and mass opinions related to EU integration. While previous scholars focus on the role of elites in EU integration, I find that the mass equally exert more pressure on the elites.

Carolyn South Keller, *Ohio State University*
csk@sociology.osu.edu

**11:30 Disc., Sven-Oliver Prokusch, *University of Mannheim*
prokusch@uni-mannheim.de**

Disc., Tony E. Wöhlers, *Cameron University*
awohlers@cameron.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion**3-5 International Perspectives in Economic Development**

Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am

Chair, Rebecca J. Steffenson, *DePaul University*
bsteffen@depaul.edu

10:30 Institutions as Investments in Development: Examining the Effects of Gender Bias in Bubaare, Uganda

Institutions matter for development. Yet, some are good and others bad for development. Employing survey data of a rural community in Bubaare, Uganda, we study the effect of gender bias on children's nutritional status.

John A. Doxes, *Bucknell University*

john.doxes@bucknell.edu

Matthew Harber, *Texas A&M University*
matt_harber09@neo.tamu.edu

10:42 Iraq Reconstruction: Investing in Multinational Corporations?

Girdwood and Girdwood analyzed 10,541 Iraq reconstruction contracts for 2004-2006. The results show that Iraqis received an estimated 31% of the contracts, which accounted for 43% of the reconstruction capital. MNCs received nearly all the money.

John Roward Girdwood, *Wayne State University*
ak4295@wayne.edu

Christopher Girdwood, *The Mind Utility*
christopher.girdwood@gmail.com

10:54 The Political Economy of Cyclical Crises in Turkey and the Financial Sector: An Alternative Explanation

This study offers an alternative explanation for the persistence of crises in the Turkish political economy, and challenges the assumption that international market forces are the main forces guiding economic reforms in developing countries.

Deniz Gugecu, *CD Consulting*
deniz.gugecu@gmail.com

11:06 The Effects of Civil War in Nepal: Evidence from Nationally-Representative Small Group Discussions

Nationally-representative small group discussions throughout Nepal provide insights into the effects of the Maoist insurgency on rural villagers. This new method complements other field methods focused on ethnography and large-scale surveys.

Benjamin Pasquale, *New York University*
bp730@nyu.edu

11:16 Design of Successful Community Partnerships to Improve Local Governance in Mexico

This paper aims to review some important aspects of community partnership design oriented toward improvement of local development in Mexico.

José G. Vargas-Hernández, *University of Guadalajara*
jvargas2006@gmail.com

**11:30 Disc., Lutz Balcells, *Institute for Economic Analysis CSIC*
lutz.balcells@iea.csic.es**

Disc., John Howard Bing, *Heidelberg College*
jbing@heidelberg.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion**4-11 The Politics of Public Goods Provision II**

Room Monrose 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am

Chair, David Besong Tatom, *Indiana University Kokomo*
dtatom@iukoo.com

10:30 Decentralization and Distribution: The Effects of Chile's Common Municipal Fund on Public Services Access

Utilizing a unique longitudinal data set of public service provision and access in Chilean municipalities, we explore the economic, political and institutional determinants of citizens' access to critical public services.

Kristler F. Andersen, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
anderskf@colorado.edu

Duncan Lawrence, *University of Colorado*
duncan.lawrence@colorado.edu

10:45 Is Chief Matter? The Role of Traditional Leadership in Local Economic Development

This paper takes advantage of the exogeneity of chief death timings to estimate whether there is a "chief effect" on local development. Using satellite data, I explore the effect on both valence and distributional goods.

Daniel Berger, *University of Chicago*
db1299@nyu.edu

- 11:00** **Participation Reconsidered: Determinants of Community Engagement in Public Health and the Environment in Argentina**
Can local communities effectively address public health and environmental problems? Analyzing two hundred local participatory projects carried out in Argentina in 2007-08, I study the determinants of community engagement and gendered participation.
Tullia G. Falletti, *University of Pennsylvania*
falletti@sas.upenn.edu
- 11:15** **The Incredulity of Development: Incentive, Capacity, and Education Provision in Africa**
I examine the interaction between political incentive and state resources in producing better development outcomes in a time series cross sectional panel of 44 African economies during the period of democratic transition from 1990 to 2002.
Jason Alexander Keveny, *Northwestern University*
kevenya@northwestern.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Mary Spooner, Northwestern University**
mary.spooner@northwestern.edu
- 11:30** **Audience Discussion**
- 4-29** **Foreign Aid and Governance**
Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Alejandra Rios-Casarin, CIDE**
alejandra.rios@cide.edu
- 10:30** **Ending the Conflict Trap? The Role of NGOs and Foreign Aid in Post-Conflict Environments**
This paper examines how NGOs and foreign aid can promote development at the local level in post-war societies by identifying the causal mechanisms that exist in the relationship between NGO and local community.
Michael Burch, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
michael.burch@colorado.edu
Alex Hague, *Wildlife Conservation Society*
alex.hague@gmail.com
- 10:40** **Does Foreign Aid Promote Development? A Study of the Effects of Foreign Aid on Economic Growth, Poverty, and Economic Inequality**
This paper examines the effect of foreign aid on development in second and third world countries. Political institutions and corruption are considered as intervening factors in the impact of foreign aid on development.
Rachael J. Gray, *University of Central Florida*
rachaeljgray@knights.ucf.edu
- 10:50** **Doing It by Doing Good? Foreign Aid and Human Rights in the Developing World, 1981-2004**
Does foreign development assistance exacerbate repression in recipient countries? This paper offers surprising evidence to the contrary.
Nick E. Jorgensen, *University of Idaho*
nickjorg@uidaho.edu
- 11:00** **Governance and Human Well-Being among Non-OECD Countries**
This paper analyzes the impact of governance on human well-being and argues that better governance is associated with higher levels of human well-being. The analyses find support for the theory on a sample of non-OECD countries for 1984-2009.
Nisha Mukherjee, *University of Missouri*
nm3v7@mail.missouri.edu
- 11:10** **Governance Patterns and Development Outcomes in Sub-Saharan Africa**
This paper examines the changes that have occurred in state behavior and capacity and whether those changes reflect development outcomes. In essence have states that have improved their behavior and capacity improved social and economic well being.
John Osei-Kwapong, *George Mason University*
joseekw@gmu.edu
- 11:20** **When Good Government Looks Bad: Transparency and Trust in Latin America**
Conventional wisdom suggests that increased transparency corresponds to greater trust in government and citizen involvement. This paper questions this logic by examining the results of transparency-enhancing policies in Latin America.
Katherine Schlosser, *University of Texas, Austin*
schlosserkate@gmail.com
Greg Michener, *University of Texas, Austin*
GMe@gregmichener.com
- 11:30** **Disc., Kevin M. Moran, Cornell University**
morank@cornell.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 5-13** **Dominant Party Systems and Transition to Democracy**
Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Shihao Bai, University of Wisconsin, Baraboo**
Shihao.Bai@uwisc.edu
- 10:30** **Does Democracy Lead to Dominant One-Party States: African Voters are Choosing the Parties They Know**
This paper investigates the relationship between institutionalization and one party dominance in new democracies.
Chipo Dzender, *Georgia State University*
cdzender1@student.gsu.edu
- 10:50** **Organized Votes, Resources and Access: Hong Kong's Democratic Divide and Macau's Fragmented Opposition**
Hong Kong and Macau maintain hybrid status for years after handover to China. Yet only the democrats in Hong Kong face internal divide. This study focuses on how parliamentary seats and resources shape and control the opposition in two cities.
Au Nok-ida, *The Chinese University of Hong Kong*
hokinau@gmail.com
- 11:10** **The Breakdown of Dominant Party Systems: Analytic Narratives of Intra-party Conflict**
We present a formal model of intra-party bargaining in order to explain the breakdown of dominant party systems. We use this model to account for the defeat of dominant parties in Japan, Taiwan, and Mexico.
Shira Sakaiya, *University of Tokyo*
sakaiya@j.u-tokyo.ac.jp
Kenryo Maeda, *University of Tokyo*
kenryo.m.maeda@gmail.com
- 11:30** **Disc., Peñi Ryan, Angelo State University**
peini.ryan@astu.edu
- Disc., WooJin Kang, Angelo State University**
wkang@angelo.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 6-12** **Regime Types and Political Outcomes**
Room Saxon 9 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Fabiana Velazquez Machado, Inter-American Development Bank**
fabianam@iadb.org
- 10:30** **Clean Democracies Win More: The Impact of Democracy and Corruption on World Cup Success**
Examines the impact of democracy and corruption on success in the FIFA World Cup.
Christopher J. Anderson, *Cornell University*
christopheranderson@cornell.edu
- 10:42** **A Complete Database of Political Regimes, 1800-2007**
This paper updates a widely used dataset on democracy. Covering 1800-2007, it represents the most comprehensive dichotomous measure of democracy currently available.

- Corley Holt, *Princeton University*
chois@princeton.edu
Michael K. Miller, *Princeton University*
mkmw@princeton.edu
Sebastian Rosato, *University of Notre Dame*
rosato@nd.edu
- 10:54 **Commodity Prices, Economic Downturns and Political Transitions: An Empirical Investigation**
We use the price volatility of export commodities to study the relationship between economic downturns and political transitions in the developing world. We find that nondemocratic regimes are more susceptible to economic crisis than democracies.
Mario L. Chacon, *New York University*
mario.chacon@nyu.edu
- 11:06 **Disaster Management in Authoritarian Regimes**
The authoritarian governments generally respond to disasters with an exclusive approach. The paper discusses how this "exclusivity" causes problems and limits the available options at different stages of disaster management.
Yi Kang, *Yale University*
yi.kang@yale.edu
- 11:18 **State Censorship: A Global Study of Press Freedom in Non-Democratic Regimes**
This paper develops a theory of the effect of different types of non-democratic regimes on press freedom. This theory is empirically tested at the country level (n=185) using a panel design.
Bogdan Gabriel Popescu, *University of Nottingham*
kibggp@nottingham.ac.uk
- 11:30 **Disc., Fabrice Veluzquez Machado, *Inter-American Development Bank***
fabimam@iadb.org
Disc., Sebastian M. Salegh, *University of California, San Diego*
msalegh@ucsd.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-11 **The Determinants of Party Change and Its Consequences for Western Democracies**
LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Jae-Jae Spoon, *University of Iowa***
joe-joe-spoon@uiowa.edu
- 10:30 **Responsible Parties or Changing Agents? The Motivations for Party Policy Change and Their Policy Implications in France, 1991-2007**
I propose hypotheses on policy outcomes focused on the motives for party change and legislative rules. Results from France indicate that legislative procedures help the government focus its attention to policy goals motivated by non-electoral goals.
Zachary David Greene, *University of Iowa*
zmgreene@gmail.com
- 10:42 **Party-organizational Determinants for Policy Change**
This paper opens the black box of intra-party politics. It argues that a party's ability to adopt its policy platform depends on intra-party decision-making processes, organizational strength and financial resources.
Thomas M. Meyer, *University of Vienna*
thomas.meyer@univie.ac.at
- 10:54 **Center-right Party Organization in Europe: Does Party Structure Affect Electoral Success?**
In this paper we explore the link between organizational party structure and electoral success. We use a new dataset we have compiled on the understudied group of center-right parties, and in particular those belonging to the European People's Party
Harris Mylonas, *George Washington University*
mylonas@gwu.edu
Additional Resources, *Jason Jeffers* @MARCH
arcussias@ceacs.march.es
- 11:06 **The Changing Nature of Party Competition: Is Reduced Party Competition Contributing to a Decline in Youth Electoral Participation?**
This paper examines the consequences of party change, namely the narrowing of ideological differences between parties and assess whether this has contributed to a decline in youth electoral participation.
Stephen Patrick Quinlan, *University College, Dublin, Ireland*
stephen.quinlan@ucd.ie
- 11:18 **Explaining Changes in Niche Party Status**
Mainstream parties can become niche parties and vice versa. This paper explains these changes by looking at the strategic environment related to each issue, to the party and to the party system.
Markus Wagner, *University of Vienna*
markus.wagner@univie.ac.at
Thomas M. Meyer, *University of Vienna*
thomas.meyer@univie.ac.at
- 11:30 **Disc., Zeynep Somer-Tapeu, *Vanderbilt University***
z.somer@vanderbilt.edu
Disc., Jae-Jae Spoon, *University of Iowa*
joe-joe-spoon@uiowa.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-10 **Room**
10:25 **Chair, Amit Abajta, *University of California, Santa Barbara***
aabajta@polisci.ucsb.edu
- 10:30 **Globalizing Strategic Cultures in International Relations: The Dilemmas of Identity and Insecurities in India-U.S. Nuclear Relations**
Studies the nuclear security problematique between India and the U.S. in the contemporary globalized era by exploring how changing interpretations of what constitutes strategic cultures and identity impact their nuclear relations.
Rana Pra, *University of Minnesota, Duluth*
rdpr@duluth.umn.edu
- 10:45 **Japanese Political Realism: An Analysis of Japanese Attitude in the Japan-China Showdown Over the Senkaku/Daiyuu Islands in 2010**
In this paper, I demonstrate the nature of Japanese political realism by analyzing the decision-making of the Japanese government following the capture of a Chinese captain in 2010.
Fumiko Sasaki, *Saint Edwards University*
fumsasaki@seu.com
- 11:00 **From Caste to Class: The Relationship between MNC's, Higher Education Policies and Social Conflict in India**
The paper evaluates how India's rapid economic development and targeted sectoral FDI inflows have impacted the higher education system in India. As a consequence there is an increase in social conflict in India.
Nitya Singh, *Eastern Michigan University*
nitya.singh@emich.edu
- 11:15 **Informal Politics and Political Entrepreneurship in Contemporary China**
Drawing on intensive interviews and media accounts, this study investigates how political entrepreneurs in China create and employ culturally meaningful and effective political strategies in local politics.
Shunroo Nung Zhang, *California Polytechnic State University*
nzhang@calpoly.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Lana Obradovic, *Yonsei University***
lanaobradovic@yonsei.ac.kr
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

- 12-9** **Politics of the Persian Gulf**
 Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Kristin Diwan, *American University*
 diwan@american.edu
- 10:30** **Political Function of the "Dhanniyah" in Kuwait Society**
 The research will focus on the unofficial social organization in Kuwait and its political roles.
 Yagoub Yousef Al-Kandari, *Kuwait University*
 alkanadaci66@hotmail.com
- 10:42** **Citizenship Rights Values among Kuwaiti Youth**
 The research concentrates on the citizenship values in youth.
 Yousef Gh Ali, *Kuwait University*
 yousef_ali_@hotmail.com
- 10:54** **Leadership and Governance in Dubai**
 The paper examines Dubai's development and troubles in the wake of the global recession by taking a closer look at the emirate's leadership and government structure.
 Sara Chehab, *University of Delaware*
 chehabsj@udel.edu
- 11:06** **Analysis of the Rentier State Theory: Iran as a Case Study**
 Rentier State Theory is widely accepted as a sufficient explanation for the persistence of authoritarianism in the Middle East. In examining Iran, I argue that the theory falls short when it is put up against complex historical analysis.
 Beojaneta Montorell, *DePaul University*
 beantefin04@yahoo.com
- 11:12** **Business and the Politics of Economic Reform in Saudi Arabia**
 This paper examines the political forces that led to Saudi Arabia's reform of the business environment in the 1990s. It argues that monarchical rule and political stability solved the credible commitment problem between the government and business.
 Niswab Muzaher, *University of Washington*
 nmw@u.washington.edu
- 11:30** Disc., Kristin Diwan, *American University*
 diwan@american.edu
- 11:50** Audience Discussion
- 13-14** **States and Regimes in Central Asia the Former Soviet Union**
 Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Audrey A. Meleshevich, *National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy*
 am4316@gmail.com
- 10:30** **Postcommunism: Charting Variations among Postcommunist Regimes on an Authoritarian-Democratic Continuum**
 This paper, noting the diversity among extant postcommunist regimes, uses several standard measures of democracy to locate members of this relatively new political system type along an authoritarian-democratic continuum.
 Stephen Manning, *University of Detroit, Mercy*
 manning@uofmercy.edu
- 10:45** **Governance in Central Asia's Stateless Territories**
 Vast sections of Central Asia remain outside the control of national governments. Paradoxically, many of these areas are more stable than are capital cities. I explore causes and consequences of (in)stability in Central Asia's stateless regions.
 Eric McGlinchey, *George Mason University*
 emcglinc@gmu.edu
- 11:00** **Explaining Competitive Elections in Authoritarian States: District Level Study of 2005 Parliamentary Elections in Kyrgyzstan**
 Electoral competitiveness in authoritarian Kyrgyzstan is explained by the configuration of district level resourceful candidates. Personal wealth significantly decreases competitiveness, while there is an effect of pro-presidential orientation.
 Fredrik M. Sjöberg, *Uppsala University*
 f2350@columbia.edu
- 11:15** **Orange Revolution?: The Evolution of Ukrainian Political Institutions**
 This paper examines the process of political institutional change in Ukraine in 1996, 2000 and 2004-5. Rational design models are shown to be ineffective in explaining these changes, and a more evolutionary model of institutional change is presented.
 Emma Whyte, *University of Virginia*
 emmamcrista@gmail.com
- 11:30** Disc., Jana Grithersova, *University of California, Riverside*
 janag@ucr.edu
- 11:50** Audience Discussion
- 14-12** **Accountability Mechanisms and Policy Outputs**
 Room Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Carlos G. Scartascial, *Inter-American Development Bank*
 carloscc@iadb.org
- 10:30** **Policy Variance and Elite Choice Over Political Regimes**
 Using a panel dataset on public goods provision, I find that autocratic regimes are characterized by systematically higher policy volatility than democracies. This incentivizes elites to push for more predictable outcomes than come with democracy.
 Michael Albertus, *Stanford University*
 albertus@stanford.edu
- 10:42** **Risk Preferences, Ruling Party Duration, and Economic Volatility**
 We examine the relationship between ruling party duration and economic volatility. Economic volatility evolves non-monotonically through a party's tenure in power. Using times series cross section data, we find a U-shaped relationship.
 Steve Hall, *Ball State University*
 srhall@bsu.edu
 Mira Nishikawa, *Ball State University*
 mnishikawa@bsu.edu
- 10:54** **Presidents, Prime Ministers and their Policy Commitment: How Conditional Formats Affect Governments' Commitment Ability**
 This paper examines the impact of the characteristics derived from the design of political institutions, including accountability, party policy in elections and the effective party number on macroeconomic policy commitment.
 Wei-hsin Lin, *Claremont Graduate University*
 wei-hsin.lin@cgu.edu
- 11:06** **Mechanisms of Accountability in Government and the Provision of Public Goods**
 How do mechanisms of accountability in government affect public goods provision? While some mechanisms are more influential than others, the relationships depend on the type of good and institutional setting.
 Jatal Nunez, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 nelsonjg@colorado.edu
- 11:18** **Electoral Institutions and Government Spending Priorities**
 Based on a cross-national study of government spending on the manufacturing sector, this research finds that the effects of electoral systems on spending on subsidies are mediated by the geographic concentration of economic sectors.
 Stephanie J. Rickard, *London School of Economics*
 stephanie.rickard@gmail.com
- 11:30** Disc., Patrick R. Muller, *University of Illinois at Springfield*
 pmull2@uis.edu
- 11:50** Disc., Carlos G. Scartascial, *Inter-American Development Bank*
 carloscc@iadb.org
- 11:50** Audience Discussion

- 15-12 Globalization and Authoritarian Regimes**
Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Joseph Wright, Pennsylvania State University
 jgw12@psu.edu
- 10:30 FDI and Political Stability: Theory and Evidence from Autocracies**
 Model and cross-country empirics that inflows of foreign direct investment permit governments in autocracies to survive longer.
Faisal Ahmed, University of Chicago
 faisal@uchicago.edu
- 10:42 Effects of International Economic Integration on Contemporary Authoritarian Regimes**
 This paper explores the conceptual puzzles introduced by increased economic openness in contemporary authoritarian regimes. It provides a theoretical framework for adapting standard trade and financial movement theories to an authoritarian context.
Joseph A. Florebec, Cornell University
 ja327@cornell.edu
- 10:54 Firms, States, and Compensation for the Expropriation of FDI**
 I argue that compensating firms post-nationalization shapes the incentives of international leaders. Leaders weigh the benefits of renegotiating contracts with investors against the reputation costs and risk of retaliation from a firm's home country.
Noel Peruya Johnson, Washington University, St. Louis
 nojohnson@gmail.com
- 11:06 The Impact of Regime Type on Trade Agreement Depth**
 I explore regime dynamics by examining whether regime type, or regime heterogeneity, plays a role in the depth of trade agreements signed between countries.
Fouad Pervez, Georgetown University
 fp26@georgetown.edu
- 11:18 Why Trade Doesn't Promote Democratization: Strategic Choice of Trade Openness in Authoritarian Regimes**
 This paper investigates the relationship between trade openness and democratic time horizons among authoritarian countries. It shows that the authoritarian time horizons have positive impacts on the degree of trade openness.
Wei-Chia Wu, Michigan State University
 shawwu@hotmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., Joseph Wright, Pennsylvania State University**
 jgw12@psu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 15-23 China in the Global Economy**
Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Wen-chih Chao, National Chung Cheng University
 chao10072001@gmail.com
- 10:30 Does China Really Say No? The Impact of International Political Factors on the Chinese Exchange Rate Policy**
 The Chinese government has reiterated that the reform of the Renminbi exchange rate would not be influenced by international political pressure. The paper concludes that the Chinese government was indeed influenced by American political pressure.
Wen-chih Chao, National Chung Cheng University
 chao10072001@gmail.com
Chen-yuan Tung, National Chengchi University
 ctung@nccu.edu.tw
- 10:45 The International Political Economy of the Yuan Revaluation Since 2003: Comparison with the 1983 Plaza Accord**
 This study explore the statements of the yuan revaluation compared to the Plaza Accord. The coalition potential of G2 as an independent variable. This will be explored by observing each country's incentive structure in terms of industrial sectors.
Hyojin Kim, Seoul National University
 hyojinkim@sou.ue.ac.kr
- 11:00 The Political Economy of China's Trade Policy**
 This paper examines the influence on the decision process of trade remedial policies from societal and non-state actors such as firms, industrial and trade associations in post-reform China.
Xiaojun Li, Stanford University
 xjli@stanford.edu
- 11:15 Interdependence and China: What Interdependence Theory Tells Us About China's Rise**
 This paper examines the relationship between economic interdependence and political relations between China and its main trading partners. This research tests 5 hypotheses derived from the international relations literature on data for 1990-2004.
James Masterson, Morehead State University
 j.masterson@moreheadstate.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Xiaowen Zhang, Augustana College**
 xiaowenzhang@augustana.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 17-13 Creating a Lasting Peace: How to End Civil Wars**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Samuel Stephen Stanton, Grove City College
 sstanton@gcc.edu
- 10:30 Can Hearts and Minds Be Bought in an Ideological Civil War? Evidence from the Vietnam War**
 This paper estimates the impact of aid on violence during the Vietnam War. Aid is found to have competing effects, reducing some types of violence but increasing others.
Rex W. Douglass, Princeton University
 rex.douglass@gmail.com
- 10:50 Identifying Right Conditions for Conflict Management in Civil Wars**
 This paper develops and tests a theoretical explanation of the conditions under which third-party mediation is most likely to successfully manage civil wars and promote their long-term settlement.
J. Michael Greig, University of North Texas
 greig@unt.edu
- 11:10 All Interventions are Not Created Equal: Conceptualizing and Assessing the Credibility of UN-Authorized Intervention Since 1948**
 This paper provides an empirical analysis of UN-authorized peace missions deployed since 1948 using a new conceptualization of intervention based on credibility. Eight credibility indicators are identified and scores for 86 missions are calculated.
Jessica Kroezen, Leiden University
 j.kroezen@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
- 11:30 Disc., Keith Darden, Yale University**
 keith.darden@yale.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 18-12 Analyzing Conflict with Experiments**
Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Uelma Chiba, Rice University
 u.chiba@rice.edu
- 10:30 Cooperation Under the Shadow of the Future: Experimental Analysis in the Context of Applied Issues**
 The strategic scenario Afghans face regarding whether or not to cooperate with ISAF is presented as an experiment in which participants decide to invest in a project that can result in increased returns but costly punishment in the future.
Nebanda Geva, Texas A&M University
 EJJ9NG@polisci.tamu.edu
Bellinda L. Bragg, NSF
 bbragg@nsf.gov
Catarina Pamela Thomson, Texas A&M University
 cpamathomson@polisci.tamu.edu

- 10:50 Humanizing the Outgroup in Intractable Conflicts**
Using data collected from nationally-diverse survey experiments in Israel during January-May 2010, we illustrate how a new "reciprocal empathy" manipulation of our creation successfully humanizes the outgroup where traditional manipulations fail
Joshua Ronald Gubler, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
jgub@umich.edu
Erna Halperin, IDC, Herzliya
eranh75@hotmail.com
Gilad Hirschberger, IDC Herzliya
ghirschberger@idc.ac.il
- 11:10 Rationalist Experiments on War**
I use rationalist experiments - which replicate in lab setting the incentive structures in models - to explore Fearon's private-information and commitment models of war, and to build a methodological bridge between formal models and case studies.
Kai Quek, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
quek@mit.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Daina Chiba, Rice University**
d.chiba@rice.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 19-27 Methods and Measurement in International Conflict Studies**
Room Burnham 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Laros Kenneth Williams, Texas Tech University
laros.williams@ttu.edu
- 10:30 Separating the Wheat From the Chaff: Application of Two-Step Support Vector Machines to MID 4 Text Classification**
Authors develop a two-step research design of support vector machines, applying it to MID 4 text classification. This updated approach increases both efficiency and accuracy of the data collection process.
Steven Tyler LeBlis, Penn State University
leblis.steven@gmail.com
Vito D'Orazio, Pennsylvania State University
vjd125@psu.edu
Glen Palmer, Pennsylvania State University
gpalmer@psu.edu
Philip A. Schrodt, Pennsylvania State University
schrodt@psu.edu
- 10:45 Failure of a Log: Power Ratios in Quantitative International Conflict Research**
This paper highlights the problematic operationalization of power ratios in existing quantitative international conflict research. I advocate a switch from a "log impact" to a "diminishing returns" assumption when modeling capability disparities.
Michael J. Reese, University of Chicago
mjreese@uchicago.edu
- 11:00 Global and Regional Sea Power Capabilities, 1816-2000**
We present a new dataset on the offensive and defensive naval capabilities of all countries in the world for the period 1816-2000.
Mark Souza, Florida State University
msouza@fsu.edu
Brian Benjamin Crisler, Western Michigan University
bbc04@wmu.edu
- 11:15 The Effects of Policy Similarity on the Onset of Militarized Disputes: A Structural Equivalence Analysis**
This paper evaluates the effects of policy preferences on conflict onset between various types of dyads. The author argues that social network analysis gives us a better understanding of measuring preferences than other preferences indicators.
Chonghan Wu, University of South Carolina
wu7@gmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., Laros Kenneth Williams, Texas Tech University**
laros.williams@ttu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 19-7 Leadership and Foreign Policy**
Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, David Allan Meyers, Boston University
dmeyers@bu.edu
- 10:30 Leaders' Personality Traits and Variation in Conflict Behavior**
In this paper we examine whether U.S. Presidents' inherent risk propensities, based on their personality traits, influence the variance in their conflict behavior.
Maryann E. Gallagher, DePauw University
maryannegallagher@depauw.edu
Susan Hannah Allen, University of Mississippi
shallen@olemiss.edu
- 10:45 A Comparison of Borah and Fulbright: Personality and Role Performance as Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee**
The delineation of the personality characteristics that underlie the role performances of William E. Borah and J. William Fulbright as Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.
Betty Glad, University of South Carolina
glad@gwm.sc.edu
Jonathan Slager, University of South Carolina
jonathanslager@usc.edu
Xi Joe Chen, University of South Carolina
sunofleming@hotmail.com
- 11:00 Leadership Styles and Beliefs of Israel's Prime Ministers in the Post-Cold War Era**
This paper studies differences in leadership styles and operational codes of Israel's prime ministers since 1991 to explain Israeli foreign policy. Specifically, it aims at illustrating the common between the so-called hawks and doves.
Boris Keegan, University of Kansas
bkeegan@ku.edu
- 11:15 Post-9/11 U.S. Military-Policymaker Relations and Control of Military Strategy: Operation Israel Freedom**
This paper presents an analysis of the relative balance of power between civilian policymakers and military leaders in formulating, implementing and adapting US military strategy in Operation Iraqi Freedom.
Charlotte Louise Regan, University of Westminster
charlou_regan@hotmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., David Allan Meyers, Boston University**
dmeyers@bu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 21-11 Understanding Reactive Nationalism**
Room Indiana on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Augustine Hammond, Augusta State University
ahammon3@aug.edu
- 10:30 Undocumented Canadian Refugee: The Implications of Canada's Identity Document Requirement from Canadian Return Within its Borders**
This paper evaluates Canada's rationale behind identity document requirement. The empirical findings of this paper suggest the Government of Canada imposed this identity document requirement on the grounds of race.
Rahma Abdulkadir, New York University
rahma@nyu.edu
- 10:45 Intra-group relations among Australian Muslims and Anglo-Australians: An Interactional acculturation perspective**
Intergroup relations between Australian Muslims and Anglo-Australians have been problematic over the past years. This study examines such relations from an acculturation framework perspective and provides evidence of the best intergroup orientations.
Hisham Abu-Radda, Sydney University
hisham.aburadda@sydney.edu.au
Fiona Ann White, Sydney University, Australia
fiona.white@sydney.edu.au

- 11:00 **Patriotic Enemies of the State: Assessing the Threat of America's Revolutionary Right**
This project assesses the threat posed by the modern Christian Patriot movement by comparing it to the successful Ku Klux Klan movement of the 1920s. Structural, institutional, and organizational factors will be considered in this assessment.
Paul D. Brister, *Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey*
pbriste@nps.edu
Nico Kollers, *Ohio State University*
kollers.3@osu.edu
- 11:15 **Political, Economic and Social Characteristics of English-Only Laws**
This paper considers the political, economic and social characteristics of English-only laws of state governments.
Augustine Hammond, *Augusta State University*
ahammond3@aug.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Lisa Kirshenblat, University of Cincinnati, Clermont College**
lkirsh17@gmail.com
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 22-9 **Campaigns and Elections in Local Context**
Room
10:25 **Chair, Kenneth N. Bickers, University of Colorado, Boulder**
kbickers@colorado.edu
- 10:30 **Competing to Win: When Local Elections Become the Talk of the Town**
This paper asks what the conditions are under which local offices become vigorously contested. Data for this study are from surveys of approximately 1000 candidates for local office conducted in the 2009 and 2010 election cycles in seven states.
Kenneth N. Bickers, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
kbickers@colorado.edu
- 10:50 **Investigating Candidates' Office-Seeking Behavior: A Field Experiment in Brazil**
Our paper reports results from a field experiment on the office-seeking behavior of candidates in the October 2010 Brazilian national and state elections.
Paolo Spada, *Yale University*
paolo.spada@yale.edu
Holger Lutz Korn, *Yale University*
holger.korn@yale.edu
- 11:10 **Disc., Gregory Love, University of Mississippi**
glove@olemiss.edu
Disc., Arthur Sanders, Drake University
arthur.sanders@drake.edu
- 11:30 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-10 **New Frontiers for Research on Electoral Reform**
Room
10:25 **Chair, Keith Smith, University of the Pacific**
ksmith4@pacific.edu
- 10:30 **From Classroom to Voting Booth: The Effect of High School Civic Education on Turnout**
This paper demonstrates that students who complete additional coursework in American Government are more likely to vote in the years following high school, and that this effect is strongest among students whose parents are not highly politicized.
Jennifer Barber, *Harvard University*
jbarber@fas.harvard.edu
- 10:45 **Encouraging Bureaucratic Compliance with Civil Rights Law: A Field Experiment with County Agencies in Two States**
How can officials enforcing a civil rights law spur local agencies to maintain efforts to comply? We ran field experiments in two states to examine the efficacy of two simple interventions on compliance with the National Voter Registration Act.
Douglas R. Hess, *George Washington University*
bhess@gwu.edu
- 11:00 **Reconsidering the Role of Education in Political Socialization: Results from a Panel Study**
A panel study design is employed to evaluate whether different types of educational tracks cause differences in levels of political participation or if these differences already are present when individuals enter the educational institutions.
Mikael Persson, *University of Gothenburg*
mikael.persson@pol.gu.se
- 11:15 **Is Internet Voting a Discriminatory Voting Method?**
Some critics of Internet voting claim that it is an unfair voting method. Using voter history data from the Michigan Voter File and the 2004 Internet primary, I examine whether online voting discriminates by race, age, or class.
Alicia Kolar Prevost, *American University*
alicia.prevost@american.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Craig Brzezinski, University of Wisconsin, Parkside**
cbrzez@uwp.edu
Disc., Daniel Stockemer, University of Ottawa
d.stockemer@web.de
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 24-7 **Party, Policy, and Voting**
Room
10:25 **Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am**
Chair, Dwayne L. Lucas, Hobart and William Smith Colleges
lucas@hws.edu
- 10:30 **Heuristics Behaving Badly: Party Cues and Voter Knowledge**
We examine the impact of senators deviating from the party line on citizens' levels of knowledge of their senators' votes.
Logan Michael Dewey, *University of Minnesota*
ldewe009@umn.edu
Geoffrey Staggley, *University of Minnesota*
cheat105@umn.edu
- 10:45 **When Our Vote Matters: The Vote on Health Care Reform and Its Impact on Congressional Elections**
We examine the electoral fortunes of Democrats in the 111th U.S. House based on their votes on health care reform. We find that those who voted for reform ran several points behind those who opposed it, costing a few members their jobs.
Seth E. Markel, *University of Denver*
smarket@du.edu
Steven Greene, *North Carolina State University*
steven.greene@ncsu.edu
- 11:00 **Out of Step, But Farther Behind: Gender and Legislative Extremism**
We develop a theoretical account of gender, legislative behavior and electoral fortunes in different partisan contexts. We find a gender imbalance in the onset of legislative extremism that is sensitive to the partisan political climate.
Sarah Peggione, *Ohio University*
peggi000@ohio.edu
Jana L. Dohz, *Western Illinois University*
JL.Dohz@wiu.edu
- 11:15 **Can I Support That Candidate? The Implications of Disagreement With Party Candidates for Political Attitudes**
Using an experimental design, this study tests whether disagreement on key issues with multiple candidates from one's own party alters perceptions of the parties and its consequences for political identity and activism.
Emily K. Vraga, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
evraga@wisc.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Adam Scherber Chamberlain, Coastal Carolina University**
schamber@ccu.edu
Disc., Ronald B. Rapoport, College of William & Mary
rbrapo@wm.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

25-11 Turnout: Measurement, Networks and Media

Room LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Helmut Norpoth, Stony Brook University
 helmut.norpoth@stonybrook.edu

10:30 Good News for the Young and Old: The Interactive Effects of News Exposure and Age on Turnout in 2008
 An investigation of the effect of news exposure on turnout among younger, middle-aged, and older voters. Interaction effects are examined within a logit regression model to determine the effect of news exposure on turnout across different ages.
 Tyler Hughes, *Western Michigan University*
 tyhughes.knu@gmail.com

10:45 Measuring Changes in Voter Turnout and Mobilization Patterns: The 2004 and 2008 U.S. Presidential Election
 Implementation of a new method to analyze voter turnout behavior over multiple elections. Analysis of 2004 and 2008 turnout to determine if preferences over candidates or a change in turnout at the socioeconomic level explain Obama's victory.
 Morgan Llewellyn, *MIT, Lowell*
 morgan.llewellyn@mitlowell.edu

11:00 Turning Out Voters: The Effect of Direct Mail and Vote Centers on Turnout
 This study examines the effect of direct mail from official sources in the context of a newly adopted election innovation.
 Beth Miller, *University of Missouri, Kansas City*
 millerel@umkc.edu
 Robert M. Stein, *Rice University*
 STEIN@RICE.EDU
 Greg Williams, *Vanderbilt University of Alabama*
 gvwahlme@ua.edu

11:15 Voter Turnout: Are Your Friends and Family Voting?
 I propose a new question that asks respondents about their expectation of voter turnout in their state, as a complement to whether they intend to vote. I demonstrate this as valuable in determining both voter turnout and individual voter intention.
 David Rothschild, *University of Pennsylvania*
 rdhsadm@wharton.upenn.edu

11:30 Disc., Beth Miller, University of Missouri, Kansas City
 millerel@umkc.edu
11:50 Audience Discussion

26-11 Mass-Elite Ties: Electoral System Impacts and Change over Time

Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Michael D. McDonald, SUNY Binghamton
 mdmcd@binghamton.edu

10:30 Voter Response to European Parties' Left-Right Shifts: A Pooled, Time-Series Analysis
 We analyze whether (and how) various voting subconstituencies perceive and respond to European parties' ideological shifts.
 James Aulama, *University of California, Davis*
 jfaulama@ucdavis.edu
 Debra Lynn Leifer, *University of California, Davis*
 dleifer@ucdavis.edu

10:45 The Influence of Electoral Institutions on Media Congruence Between Citizens' Preferences and Policy Outcomes
 Previous studies focus on how electoral systems affect the congruence between citizens' preferences and policies without the impacts of voter turnout. The paper evaluates how electoral institutions work at both the election and government stages.
 Tre-Hsin Chen, *Michigan State University*
 tchen@msu.edu

11:00 Constituency Preferences and MP Preferences: The Electoral Connection
 The paper assess the electoral connection between constituency and MP preferences by relying on a new behavioural dataset. It finds that in proportional representation systems MPs are more widely dispersed around the median voter's preference.

Shawn Wang, *University of Geneva*
 shawn.wang@unige.ch
 Daniele Martin, *University of Michigan*
 martidan@umich.edu

11:15 Testing the Disaffection Hypothesis: A General Evaluation
 In this article we use Comparative Manifesto Project data and voter survey data to assess the validity of claims that the gap between voters and parties is growing in advanced industrialized democracies.
 Sven Regel, *Social Science Research Center, Berlin*
 regel@wzb.eu
 Bernrike Schultze, *Social Science Research Center, Berlin*
 schultze@wzb.eu

11:30 Disc., Elias Dinas, University of Oxford
 elias.dinas@nufrild.ox.ac.uk
 Disc., Michael D. McDonald, *SUNY Binghamton*
 mdmcd@binghamton.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion

27-8 The Effects of Emotion on Political Attitudes and Behavior

Room LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Christopher Weber, Louisiana State University
 cweber@lsu.edu

10:30 Hope and Voting: Exploring the Usefulness of Hope Scales in Explaining Political Participation with a Multi-Method Approach
 The Adult Dispositional Hope Scale as well as a State and Domain Specific scale have been demonstrated to be valid and reliable measurement tools by psychologists. This paper examines their usefulness to explaining political participation.
 Andrew J. W. Chvetzoff, *Knox College*
 acvetzoff@knox.edu

10:50 How Emotional Reactions Affect Survey Responses
 We measure individual variation in emotional reactions to stimuli and examine how variation in individual reactions can explain variation in post-stimuli survey responses.
 Peter Foley, *California Institute of Technology*
 foyle@caltech.edu
 Ralph Adolphs, *California Institute of Technology*
 radolphs@hss.caltech.edu
 Michael Alvarez, *California Institute of Technology*
 ma@hss.caltech.edu

11:10 The Effect of Anger and Sadness on Venuees in Communities Under Threat: A 'Laboratory in the Field' Experiment in Southern Israel
 I examine how two negative emotions and the threat from rockets influence vengeful behavior in Southern Israel. I find that anger and fear have differing effects on subjects' decisions to 'pay to punish' a partner in high versus low threat areas.
 Thomas Zeitzoff, *New York University*
 tz2006@nyu.edu

11:30 Disc., Eric Grzeszotyk, University of Memphis
 grzesotyk@memphis.edu
11:50 Audience Discussion

27-19 Political Cognition

Room Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Erik Oliver, University of Chicago
 eoliver@chicago.edu

10:30 How Voters Learn: Information Searches for Political Candidates Based Upon the Electoral Environment
 Using an experiment based in the Dynamic Process Tracing Environment (DPTTE), this paper looks at how voters' searches for information about political candidates change based upon the number of contests on the ballot.
 David J. Anderson, *Rutgers University*
 da_vander@eden.rutgers.edu

- 10:42 **What Pys Want to Know: The Impact of Values on Information Searching**
We hypothesize individuals driven by certain values are highly likely to select information matching those values. This affects our knowledge of information framing and policy debates.
Patricia Hallam Joseph, *University of Pittsburgh*
p.hallam.joseph@gmail.com
Jon Horwitz, *University of Pittsburgh*
jhorwitz@pitt.edu
- 10:54 **Need for Cognition and Political Reasoning: New Measures, New Conclusions**
This paper evaluates an alternative measure of "need for cognition." Using a survey of Americans conducted online, the paper considers the criterion validity of the measure and need for cognition's role as a moderator of political reasoning.
Alan M. Jacobs, *University of British Columbia*
jacobs@politics.ubc.ca
John Scott Matthews, *Queen's University, Kingston*
scott.matthews@queensu.ca
- 11:06 **Personal Experience and the Power of Frames**
We examine how personal experience with an issue affects people's susceptibility to framing effects.
Adam Seth Levine, *University of Michigan*
adamseth@umich.edu
Yasara Krupar Rao, *Indiana University*
ykrupar@indiana.edu
- 11:18 **Cultural Theory and Cognitive Sophistication: When Does What You Know Influence How You Think?**
In this paper, we analyze the relationship between multiple types of cognitive sophistication and the existence of coherent worldviews drawn from Mary Douglas's and Aaron Wildavsky's conception of cultural theory (CT).
Geobon Song, *University of Oklahoma*
gsong@ou.edu
Joseph T. Ripberger, *University of Oklahoma*
jtr@ou.edu
Matthew Christopher Nowlin, *University of Oklahoma*
mnowlin@ou.edu
Michael D. Jenca, *University of Oklahoma*
jowmic1@gmail.com
Heek C. Jenkins-Smith, *University of Oklahoma*
jenkinsmith@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Brendan Nyhan, *University of Michigan***
bnyhan@umich.edu
- Disc., Jennifer Wolak, *University of Colorado, Boulder***
wolakj@colorado.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-2 **The Foundations of Policy Preferences: New Experimental Evidence**
Chicago on the 5th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
Chair, Hans C. Noel, *Georgetown University*
hcn4@georgetown.edu
- 10:30 **Partisanship and Information Processing: Perceptions and Expectations**
Using an experiment, we test the extent to which party identification biases how voters process information about novel policy proposals.
Adam J. Berinsky, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
berinsky@mit.edu
Mike Sances, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
msances@mit.edu
Michele Margolis, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
margolis@mit.edu
Krista Loebe, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
loebek@mit.edu
Gabriel Lenz, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
gabe.loeb@gmail.com
- 10:45 **Are Policy Preferences Single-Peaked? Evidence From a Survey Experiment**
Experiments with a nationally representative sample of American voters indicate that shares of voters do not hold policy preferences that can be accurately characterized as single-peaked.
Patrick J. Egan, *New York University*
patrick.egan@nyu.edu
- 11:00 **American Politics: Anxiety's Effect on Trust and Immigration Attitudes**
How does political rhetoric that invokes anxiety affect the public? To evaluate that question, we use an experiment that explores how anxiety over immigration affects trust and opinions.
Shira Kusiner Gadarim, *University of California, Berkeley*
sgadarim@berkeley.edu
Bethany Albertson, *University of Texas, Austin*
balberts@ustin.utexas.edu
- 11:15 **Party Cues, Partisanship, and Public Opinion: Experimental Analysis in New and Old Democracies**
We compare the effect of party cues on public opinion formation in two established democracies (the United States and Great Britain) and two new democracies (Poland and Hungary) using original survey experiments.
Joshua A. Tucker, *New York University*
joshua.tucker@nyu.edu
Ted Brader, *University of Michigan*
tbrader@umich.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Hans C. Noel, *Georgetown University***
hcn4@georgetown.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-22 **Religious Tolerance**
Madison on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, April Clark, *Purdue University, Columbus***
april.clark@columbus.purdue.edu
- 10:30 **The Park 51 Mosque and the Anti-Muslim Attitudes: The Post-Obama Years**
We explore anti-Muslim attitudes through public opinion survey that was fielded in Washington State. It provides insight into the larger society's desire to keep mosques away from their neighborhoods.
Karam Douk, *Harvard University*
kdouk@fas.harvard.edu
Kasra Oskooji, *University of Washington*
kaskra@uw.edu
Matt A. Barreto, *University of Washington*
mbarreto@u.washington.edu
- 10:50 **Religion and Political Tolerance: The Critical Role of Fundamentalist Beliefs**
We find that fundamentalist beliefs play a unique role in predicting political intolerance— even when controlling for authoritarianism and dogmatism— and that general religiosity is not responsible for increased political intolerance.
Lillian Hall Mason, *Suny Brook University*
lmason@sjc.sunysb.edu
Stanley Feldman, *Suny Brook University*
stanley.feldman@sunysb.edu
- 11:10 **Mitt Romney, Anti-Mormonism, and the Politics of Religious Difference in the United States**
This paper explores the extent to which anti-Mormonism may affect voter evaluations of Mormon political candidates in the United States, and particularly the importance of the widespread belief that Mormons are not Christians.
David Smith, *University of Michigan*
davidst@umich.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Robert A. Hawkins, *SUNY, Potsdam***
rhawkins@potsdam.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

- 29-13 **Rhetoric in Political Science**
 Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Michael S. Kocina, *Tel Aviv University*
 kocin@m.tau.ac.il
- 10-30 **Tradition and Paradox in the Study of Political Rhetoric**
 1 Identify five intellectual traditions, from classical Greek philosophy to contemporary media studies, to show how they animate a paradox central to the study of political rhetoric within the discipline of communication studies.
 Vanessa B. Beasley, *Vanderbilt University*
 vanessa.b.beasley@vanderbilt.edu
- 10:50 **Exercising Presidential Genres of Discourse: How Does Barack Obama's Rhetoric Stack Up?**
 Adopting a generic perspective to compare President Obama's rhetoric to that of past presidents, this presentation will assess how well he has used the available means of persuasion.
 Kathleen Hall Jamieson, *University of Pennsylvania*
 kjamies@upenn.edu
- 11:10 **Can Rhetorical Studies of Political Discourse Be of Use to Political Scientists?**
 How major themes of contemporary rhetorical research should be of interest to historically-oriented political scientists.
 David Zarichky, *Northwestern University*
 d-zarichky@northwestern.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Russell Multhead, *Dartmouth College*
 russell.multhead@dartmouth.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 29-22 **Explaining Media Coverage**
 Room Grass Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Heather A. Lantieri-Price, *University of Memphis*
 hlantier@memphis.edu
- 10:30 **Media Storms: Which Political Storylines Become Hot, Which Not, and Why?**
 We compare news stories that garner a "media storm" of attention with those that do not, using U.S. and Belgian news data to model whether a storyline becomes "hot or not" as a function of the characteristics of the triggering event and the stories.
 Amber Ellen Boydston, *University of California, Davis*
 aboydston@ucdavis.edu
 Anne Hardy, *University of Antwerp*
 anne.hardy@ua.ac.be
 Stefaan Walgrave, *University of Antwerp*
 stefan.walgrave@ua.ac.be
- 10:42 **What Makes the Cut, and Why? U.S. Television News Coverage in the U.S. and UK, 1990-2009**
 How does TV news in the US and UK cover human rights? Transcript-based studies reveal interesting trends, but cannot assess the visual and aural aspects of TV news, so an analysis of actual television footage from 1990 to 2009 has been conducted.
 Starwan Mary Brandle, *City University of New York*
 starwan.brandle@gmail.com
- 10:54 **So Much Published, So Little Understood: Exploring Counterterrorism Coverage in the Washington Post**
 While we know there are more published stories about counterterrorism than incidents, we know less about the factors that drive counterterrorism coverage. This paper addresses the factors that influence newspaper coverage of counterterrorism.
 Dwalae Jengelly, *Purdue University*
 djengell@purdue.edu
- 11:06 **Tsunami, Earthquakes and Typhoons: Identifying Narratives in International Disaster Coverage**
 Examining New York Times coverage following natural disasters abroad, this paper identifies themes in coverage, their implications, and opportunities for further research to better understand the role of disaster coverage in political contexts.
 Emily Metzgar, *Indiana University*
 emetzgar@indiana.edu
 Joe Coleman, *Indiana University*
 josephc@indiana.edu
- 11:12 **The Changing Reality of Newspapers: Filtering the Content of Policy Issues**
 What are the consequences of the shrinking newsroom on news coverage of policy issues? This question is addressed in a comparative case study of two issues, macroeconomics and the environment, from 1980 to 2009.
 Michelle Wolfe, *University of Texas, Austin*
 wolfemi@mail.utexas.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Helma G. E. De Vries, *Eastern Connecticut State University*
 devries@eastconn.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 30-11 **Comparative Family Public Policy**
 Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Eileen McDonagh, *Northeastern University*
 e.mcdonagh@neu.edu
- 10:30 **Gendered Development Thinking Through the Consequences of the Girl Effect**
 Development projects aimed at women emphasize economic outcomes over women's rights. This paper argues that it is a mistake to view women as a means to an end, and that feminism should play a larger role.
 Anna C. Carella, *Vanderbilt University*
 anna.c.carella@vanderbilt.edu
- 10:45 **Regulating the Commodification of Reproduction: An Examination of Artificial Reproductive Technology and Surrogacy Policy**
 As technology has advanced in the area of ART, opportunities for families of self definition and human exploitation has increased. States have taken diverse approaches to handling the potential commodification of reproductive resources.
 Megan Carterbur, *Xavier University, Louisiana*
 mcarterbu@xula.edu
- 11:00 **What Does Equality Mean? Agenda Setting and Issue Framing for Family Policies in the U.S. and UK**
 This research will examine the ways in which maternity, family and parental leave policies have been framed and how policy agendas have been set in the U.S. and U.K. since the mid-1960's. A social constructivist lens will be utilized.
 Leah Miriam Parsky, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
 lempersky@uwm.edu
- 11:15 **The Politics of Reproductive Health: Why Political Scientists Need to Study Baby-Making and Its Consequences**
 The comparative study of maternal health policies is a striking gap in political science, unlike in cognate disciplines such as sociology and anthropology. This paper maps out a research agenda for the politics of baby-making and child-rearing.
 Benta Sissenich, *Indiana University*
 bsissen@indiana.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Marissa Martino Galdeo, *Bryn Mawr College*
 mgaldeo@brynmawr.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 31-11 **Latino Politics**
 Room Parlor G on the 6th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Henry Flores, *Saint Mary's University*
 hflores@stmarytx.edu
- 10:30 **Co-ethnic Leader Cues and Latino Voters: An Experiment**
 This paper considers Latino response to co-ethnic leader cues with respect to candidate preferences and candidate/policy evaluation.
 Andrea Benjamins, *Princeton University*
 andreb@princeton.edu

- 10:42** **Safety in Numbers?: Political Geography and Latino Attitudes toward the American Dream**
In this paper we examine the roles that citizenship and geography play in shaping attitudes towards a notion of the American Dream.
Christopher David DeSante, *Duke University*
cds111@duke.edu
Yannex Rodriguez, *Baylor College*
rodrigu@baylor.edu
- 10:54** **Inconvenient Parties: Latinos, Race, and Military Service**
Paper examines the intersection of race, citizenship, and military service in the U.S.
Miguel Antonio Durazo, *University of California, Los Angeles*
mdurazo619@gmail.com
- 11:06** **Politics and Politics: Examining the Role That Country of Origin Political Engagement Plays in the Adult Political Socialization of Latino Immigrants in the U.S.**
This paper analyzes the effect of previous exposure to political processes in the country of origin of U.S. Latino immigrants, and its subsequent effect on their American political socialization.
Marcela Garcia-Castaneda, *University of Washington*
marcegc@u.washington.edu
- 11:18** **Understanding Partisanship Through Immigrants: The Effect of Time in the USA on Predictors of Latino Partisanship**
Using the ILS 2006 I study how predictor models of partisanship change across immigrant cohorts. Results suggest that explanatory variables change in their importance as Latino immigrants are in this country for longer time.
Angel Saavedra Cisneros, *Stony Brook University*
angel.saavedra.cisneros@stonybrook.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Maria A. Abrujano, University of California, San Diego**
mabrjano@ucsd.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 12-101** **Sara Moisson's Plato's Democratic Entanglements: 10th Anniversary**
Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor. Set at 10:25 am
This roundtable celebrates the 10th Anniversary of the publication of Sara Moisson's Book, *Plato's Democratic Entanglements*. The roundtable will address the book's themes and impact, as well as the future of research on Plato and democracy.
Chair Christina Tarnopolsky, *McGill University*
christina.tarnopolsky@mcgill.ca
Panelist Christina Tarnopolsky, *McGill University*
christina.tarnopolsky@mcgill.ca
John R. Wallach, *Hunter College & Graduate Center, CUNY*
jwallach@hunter.cuny.edu
Elizabeth K. Markovits, *Mount Holyoke College*
ekmarkovits@gmail.com
Stephen Salkever, *Bryn Mawr College*
ssalkeve@brynmawr.edu
Arissa W. Saxtonhouse, *University of Michigan*
awsaxon@umich.edu
- 33-5** **Machiavelli in Context**
Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor. Set at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Stefan K. Stachnev, Arizona State University**
stefan.stachnev@asu.edu
- 10:30** **Machiavelli's Necessity: Founding, Freedom, Conflict, Expansion, and Renewal**
This essay focuses on the multiple usages of the concept of "necessity" in the Discourses on Livy to argue that this concept is the driving force of the text as well as the key to unlocking Machiavelli's political thought.
Vincent John Commodaro, *SUNY, Albany*
vc641893@albany.edu
- 10:45** **Machiavellism and Libertine Culture in the Works of Gabriel Naodé**
The reception of Machiavelli in the French culture of the first half of the seventeenth century allows the reappraisal of Naodé's libertine thought in terms of an important contribution to the establishment and affirmation of modernity.
Giorgia Costanza, *University of Catania*
gcostanza@unica.it
- 11:00** **Extraordinary Cosmopolitan: The Act of Founding in Machiavelli's Political Thought**
In this essay, I explore to what extent Machiavelli understood the act of founding in terms of violence, specifically bloodletting violence.
Amy L. Rubinowitz, *University of Chicago*
amy.l.rubinowitz@gmail.com
- 11:15** **Spectacular Turbidity: Michele di Lando in Machiavelli's Florentine Histories**
Machiavelli's Florentine Histories presents a local hero, Michele di Lando, as an exemplar of political diligence and republican imperus. His paratopic narration of Michele's metamorphosis bridges the history of the city with his republican lesson.
Mauricio Daniel Suchowlauski, *University of Toronto*
mauricio.suchowlauski@utoronto.ca
- 11:30** **Disc., Art Vander Houten, Flagler College**
vandema@flagler.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 34-12** **Room** Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor. Set at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Eric A. Margilvray, Ohio State University**
margilvray.2@polisci.osu.edu
- 10:30** **An Athenian Response to Corruption**
In this paper, I explore the viability of an ancient Athenian tool to combat corruption: the allocation of public roles and tasks by lottery.
Jan-Willem Burgers, *Australian National University*
j.w.burgers@anu.edu.au
- 10:50** **The Arbitrariness of Supermajority Rules**
The paper argues that the selection of supermajority thresholds is necessarily arbitrary, and that this arbitrariness generates normative concerns about the distribution of resources based on such rules.
Melissa Schwartzberg, *Columbia University*
ms3125@columbia.edu
- 11:10** **The Randomization of Electoral Districts: A Thought Experiment**
This paper proposes that candidates seeking legislative election be required to run in randomly selected districts. This proposal would generate a "veil of randomness," with potential beneficial effects.
Peter C. Stone, *Tulane University*
stone1936@gmail.com
Scott Wendland, *Longwood University*
wendlands@longwood.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Jack Knight, Duke University**
jack.knight@duke.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 35-10** **Room** LeSalle 4 on the 7th floor. Set at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Justin B. Little, George Washington University**
jrlittle@gmail.com
- 10:30** **Jefferson and the People**
This article explores an early attempt to deal with the problem of the indeterminacy of the people by Thomas Jefferson.
Angelica Maria Bernal, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
abernal@polsci.umass.edu

- 10:50 **The Shortline Extended Republic: Can American Constitutional Order Survive the Modern Mass Media?**
Cable television, "talk radio," and the Internet threaten to shrink the extended republic Madison designed to contain factionalism, turning us into a "virtual" small republic that is particularly vulnerable to the danger of demagoguery.
Dennis J. Goldford, *Duke University*
dennis.goldford@duke.edu
- 11:10 **Discussion: F&A**
- 11:30 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-12 **Perspectives on Gender and Family**
Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Szegla Seyrahn Cebal, *Kadir Has University***
szegla.seyrahn@yahoo.com
- 10:30 **Promise Communities and the Political Remaking of Parenthood**
This paper offers a critical examination of the political effects of anti-poverty initiatives in which the state seeks to remake poor citizens as better parents.
Brian Duff, *University of New England*
beduff@gmail.com
- 10:42 **Women in Dark Times: Natality, Plurality, and Gender in Hannah Arendt**
This paper considers Hannah Arendt's affirmation of gender difference as a response to the massification of society.
Lorraine Krull, *Georgetown University*
lkrainekrull@gmail.com
- 10:54 **Mullah as Social Contract: Consent and Reciprocity in an Islamic Sexual Contract**
Departing from an understanding of Mullah, a temporary marriage within the Shiite Muslim community, as a social contract, this article is focused on ideas of consent and reciprocity, rights and obligations to better understand the practice.
Helena Lindberg, *Linköping University*
helena.lindberg@liu.se
- 11:04 **Marriage as Commitment: A Reckless Argument**
A law of marriage is necessary to protect intimate relationships of care from the threat of oppression, not to protect the value of the relationship (Dworkin), or the primary good of care (Beale).
Mara Maria, *University of Chicago*
mara@uchicago.edu
- 11:18 **That's Alright Because I Like the Way It Hurts (Emerson 2010): Emotions, Adaptive Preferences and Social Contract Theory**
This paper suggests the use of social contract theory to distinguish adaptive preferences from deformed preferences. Social contract theory provides an avenue which both recognizes the reality of oppression and the possibility of choice.
Mary Barbara Walsh, *Elmhurst College*
mbwalsh@elmhurst.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Julie Anne White, *Ohio University***
whitej@ohio.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-15 **New Thoughts about an Old Economy**
Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Ronald J. Yarett, *University of Maryland***
rjarett@gvpl.umd.edu
- 10:30 **Shared Values and the Market: How the Freedom of Some to Sell Can Crowd Out the Freedom of Others to Give**
Commodification arguments must assume the existence of a mechanism by which intrinsic valuations are driven out. I present a philosophically and psychologically plausible mechanism, and show it only gives partial support to commodification arguments.
Natalie Gold, *University of Edinburgh*
natalie.gold@ed.ac.uk
- 10:42 **Equality, Pareto, and the Freedom of Occupational Choice**
It's widely supposed that, whatever other values may imply, the value of Pareto efficiency supports labor markets wherein wage rates are freely set in private bargains between employers and employees. I argue this understanding of Pareto is mistaken.
Euseb Stanczyk, *Harvard University*
stanczyk@fas.harvard.edu
- 10:54 **Self-Interest Properly Understood as a Regulatory Mechanism on Commerce**
I argue that Tocqueville's concept of "self-interest properly understood" can be used as a non-governmental regulatory mechanism on commerce to mitigate the frequency and strength of economic crises.
Sofia Veselnic, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
veselnic@wisc.edu
- 11:06 **Welfare Economics When Consumer Preferences Are Malicious: Implications From the Work of Amartya Sen**
Economic philosophies of consumer welfare depend heavily on the concept of utility maximization, but behavioral economics strongly challenges the idea of fixed utility functions. This paper turns to Amartya Sen's work for guidance.
Stephen Weinberg, *SUNY Albany*
sweinberg@albany.edu
- 11:18 **Producing the Present (through the Past): Adam Smith and the Inevitability of the Economy**
A paper examining the ways in which the contemporary idea of "the economy," is read back onto Adam Smith. This "reading back" helps to make the economy seem an inevitable development, but this paper argues that it could have been otherwise.
Jeremy Wolf, *University of Massachusetts*
jwolf@polsci.umass.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Ivan Ascher, *University of Massachusetts***
ascher@polsci.umass.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 37-13 **Information and Fraud**
Room LaSalle 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
- 10:25 **Chair, Matthew K. Polborn, *University of Illinois***
polborn@uiuc.edu
- 10:30 **A Signaling Game Model for the Evolution of Electoral Fraud in Russia**
We propose a signaling game model to explain the dramatic increase of electoral fraud in Russia from the 1990s to the present. The equilibria identified in our formal signaling model are supported by empirical analysis of data from Russia.
Kirill Olegovich Kalinin, *University of Michigan*
kalinin@umich.edu
- Walter R. Mebane, *University of Michigan*
wmebane@umich.edu
- 10:45 **Rational Expectations and Electoral Fraud**
Electoral fraud is often considered a means for incumbents to convince other voters that they are strong. I consider why fraud occurs if these other voters are strategic and adjust their beliefs about incumbent strength in equilibrium.
Andrew Little, *New York University*
al1290@nyu.edu
- 11:00 **The Communicative Environment: A Laboratory Experiment on the Effect of Competition and Preference Uncertainty**
We conduct laboratory experiments to test game theoretic predictions about the effects of competition and preference uncertainty on the choice of messages and their interpretation in the context of sender-receiver games.
William Minazzi, *Ohio State University*
minazzi.1@osu.edu
- Jonathan Wynn, *University of Pittsburgh*
jwynn@pitt.edu

- 11:15 **Stovepiling**
We present a model of executive policymaking in which the principal can also access an independent source of unfiltered but lower quality (i.e., "stovepiled") information besides that provided by his or her agent in the field.
John W. Patty, *Washington University, St. Louis*
jpatty@gmail.com
Sean Gailmard, *University of California, Berkeley*
gailmard@berkeley.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Bart L. Moore, Pennsylvania State University**
bartmoore@psu.edu
Disc., Maria Petrova, New Economic School
petrova_mia@gmail.com
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 39-5 **Digital Governments: Openness, Trust and Transparency?**
Room
10:25 **Chair, Mark K. Cassell, Kent State University**
mcassell@kent.edu
- 10:30 **Enhanced Disaster Management High Reliability Organizational Performance via the Use of eGovernment**
FEMA, EPA, and the Red Cross while expected to provide an important public good, are met with constant challenges during catastrophic disaster events. The paper examines the impact on the quality of public service via egovernment technology.
Antoinette Christophe, *Texas Southern University*
christopheas@tsu.edu
Carroll G. Robinson, *Vassar Southern University*
robinson_cg@vso.edu
Michael O. Adams, *Texas Southern University*
adams_mo@tsu.edu
- 10:45 **Transparency and the Obama Administration's Open Government Initiative**
This research project examines the definitions of transparency and then uses them as a method of testing the Obama Administration's Open Government Initiative, which aims to make federal agencies more transparent, participatory, and collaborative.
Wendy Robyn Ginsberg, *Congressional Research Service*
wginsber@cas.senate.gov
- 11:00 **Is White House 2.0 Really White House 1.5? Analyzing the Obama Administration's Use of New Media**
While political campaigns have embraced Web 2.0 technology, the use of new media has not translated well to the subsequent presidential administrations. This is shown using a case study of the Obama campaign and presidency.
Alan Steinberg, *University of Houston*
alansteinberg@gmail.com
- 11:15 **Openness of Public Organizations to the Public: What Explains Variation at the Local Government Level in the United States?**
The paper examines determinants of local government use of online participation and communication tools to interact with citizens and other stakeholders. It uses 2010 survey data collected from a large random sample of local governments in the US.
Eric W. Welch, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
ewwelch@uic.edu
Joe Moon, *Texas A&M University*
jmoon@buschool.tamu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Michael Aho, University of Massachusetts, Boston**
Michael.Aho@umb.edu
Disc., David Prosper, Florida Atlantic University
prosper@fau.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 40-14 **The Implications of History for Party Emergence**
Room
10:25 **Chair, John A. Clark, Western Michigan University**
john.clark@wmich.edu
- 10:30 **Explaining Party Nationalization in New Democracies: Central America, 1980-2010**
In Central America, in spite of the fact that some countries share similar backgrounds and paths, parties vary remarkably across nations as well as in nationalization support patterns.
Ronald Alfaro-Rodrigo, *University of Pittsburgh*
ralfaro@esadonacion.or.cr
- 10:45 **Special Interest Partisanship: The Transformation of American Political Parties**
Over the past fifty years, most special interest groups in America have become entrenched within one of the two major parties. This paper uses mixed methods to investigate the origins of this transformation in the executive and legislative branches.
Katherine Krifemel, *Columbia University*
kk2118@columbia.edu
- 11:00 **Political Parties in Post-Conflict Democracies**
Political parties have become a constant feature of democracy. However, no one agrees whether the emergence of parties is inevitable or what exactly their functions in a democracy are. My paper will address the former.
Martín Omar, *University of Virginia*
mo42@virginia.edu
Marcus Omar, *University of Virginia*
mo42@virginia.edu
- 11:15 **American Political Party Formation and Presidential Selection: Party Leadership against Collective Action**
This paper explores the differences between Aldrich's and Ceaser's explanations of American party formation and supports the latter theory that parties did not necessarily evolve but instead were institutionalized in selecting presidents.
Eric Paul Swenson, *University of Texas, Austin*
ericswenson@mail.utexas.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Roderick Bell, College of DuPage**
rodbell@gmail.com
Disc., Pontus Odén, University of Edinburgh
pontus.oden@ed.ac.uk
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-7 **Assessing Legislator Preferences**
Room
10:25 **Chair, Robert Van Houweling, University of California, Berkeley**
rvh1@berkeley.edu
- 10:30 **Congress in a Downsian World: A Reassessment**
Challenging Downs, this paper argues that two-party ideological contestation for control of a policymaking legislature generates ongoing cycles of party system polarization and swings between committee and party government.
Lawrence C. Dodd, *University of Florida*
ldodd@polisci.ufl.edu
- 10:42 **The Merits of Adding Complexity: Conditional Preferences in Spatial Models of EU Politics**
Following the theoretical literature conditional preferences lead to significantly different predictions of the political behavior and the political outcome. The present paper discusses the merits of empirically modeling conditional preferences.
Daniel Flück, *University of Heidelberg*
daniel.flueck@uni-heidelberg.de
Andreas Neig, *Institute of Political Science, Heidelberg*
andreas.neig@uni-heidelberg.de
- 10:54 **Striking a Balance: Party Loyalty and Agenda Setting in the U.S. House of Representatives**
We examine whether House members strategically vary levels of party loyalty with respect to election proximity, and whether

- the majority party leadership accounts for this in structuring the legislative agenda.
Gregory Koger, University of Miami
 gregory.koger@gmail.com
Matthew J. Lebo, Stony Brook University
 matthew.lebo@stonybrook.edu
Rene Lindstedt, University of Essex
 r.lind@essex.ac.uk
Ryan John Vander Weiden, Temple University
 rvweiden@temple.edu
- 11:06 **Less Than Ideal Points**
 This paper develops and illustrates a framework for evaluating ideal point estimates.
Keith Krehbiel, Stanford University
 krehbiel@stanford.edu
Zachary Pastorek, Stanford University
 zp@stanford.edu
- 11:17 **Assessing State-Level Opinion Congruence with State Legislators and Congressional Delegates Using Common Space Ideal Points and Multilevel Regression with Poststratification**
 Assessing congruence-not just responsiveness-in the representational relationship at the state level is hard without a common scale. I provide one using an original policy survey, aggregated with multilevel regression and poststratification.
Boris Stanc, University of Chicago
 bstan@uchicago.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Robert Van Horn, University of California, Berkeley**
 rvh@berkeley.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-103 **Using Political Science to Understand the Democrats' Surge and Decline**
 Room: Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 This roundtable will determine if the political science literature can help us explain the last three elections, which resulted in huge Democratic majorities and huge Democratic losses.
Chair: Matthew N. Green, Catholic University of America
 GREENM@cua.edu
Panelist: Nathan Williams Monroe, University of California, Merced
 nmonroe2@ucmerced.edu
Charles H. Stewart, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
 cstewart@mit.edu
Keoneth A. Shephel, Harvard University
 kshephel@iq.harvard.edu
Nolan M. McCarty, Princeton University
 nmccarty@princeton.edu
- 43-2 **Constructing Interests: The Role of Law in Defining Individual and State Interests**
 Room: Bumpers 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair: Manuela Conits, University of Turin**
 manuela.conits@unito.it
- 10:30 **Aspects to International Human Rights Law in Constitutional Court Cases: Four European Cases**
 Experiences during the transition to democracy are reflected in the subsequent use of constitutional courts to protect human rights. The experiences of the Czech Republic, Slovakia, Romania, and Poland are analyzed.
Kathleen Regina Barnett, Georgia State University
 kathleebarnett@earthlink.net
- 10:42 **Forgotten Victims: The Role of Transitional Justice Mechanisms in Restoring the Developmental Potential of Children in States with Gross Human Rights Abuses**
 This project identifies the potential utility of trials and types of non-monetary compensation in restoring the developmental potential of children of states with gross human rights violations.
Melissa M.C. Beaudoin, University of South Carolina
 maccbeaudoin@gmail.com
- 10:54 **Indefinite Detention and the Rule of Law**
 This paper examines how three democracies have used indefinite detention to deal with threats to their national security.
Kathryn Elaine Eberhart, University of Illinois, Springfield
 keeb@uis.edu
- 11:06 **Heterogeneity and the Rule of Law**
 Heterogeneity challenges a foundational principle of the rule of law: identity-based and interest-based demands are in tension with equal treatment before the law. This work aims to analyze the consequences of the heterogenization on the rule of law.
Rodolfo Sanfield, CIDE
 rodolfo.sanfield@cide.edu
- 11:18 **From Nuremberg to The Hague: War Crimes Tribunals and the Politics of International Justice**
 The paper provides a critical evaluation of the empirical record and normative implications of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, particularly in terms of its relationship to the Nuremberg and Tokyo tribunals.
Lalita Varadarajan, San Diego State University
 lvaradar@mail.sdsu.edu
Jonathan Grubert, San Diego State University
 grubert@msl.sdsu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Manuela Conits, University of Turin**
 manuela.conits@unito.it
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 44-6 **Process and Procedure in the Federal Courts**
 Room: Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair: Charles M. Lamb, SUNY Buffalo**
 clamb@buffalo.edu
- 10:30 **What Makes a Landmark Case or Major Opinion? Examining Citation Patterns in Search and Seizure Cases**
 Sady uses Shepard's Citations to identify major opinions in search and seizure law, ranking 300 plus cases through a series of indexes based on total court citations and law review citations.
Michael C. Glaz, Illinois State University
 mglaz@ilstu.edu
William R. Wilkerson, SUNY Oneonta
 wilkerson@oneonta.edu
- 10:45 **Judicial Unlapse: Evidence from the Federal Courts**
 I describe a peculiar "natural experiment" in the United States federal courts and use it to study the impact of judicial workload on the outcomes of cases.
Bert I. Huang, Columbia University
 bh1100@law.columbia.edu
- 11:00 **An Examination of the Chevron Doctrine in U.S. District Courts**
 We conduct an empirical examination of U.S. District Courts application of the Chevron Doctrine.
Stephan Prince, University of Arkansas
 rprince@uark.edu
William D. Schreckle, University of Arkansas
 schreckle@uark.edu
- 11:15 **Jurisprudential Regime Theory**
 I explore jurisprudential regime theory, developing its relation to political jurisprudence as well as rational choice, historical and interpretive institutionalism. I examine how scholars have modeled jurisprudence in judicial decision making.
Mark Richards, Grand Valley State University
 mrichards@gvsu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Susan Balke, University of Georgia**
 sbalke@uga.edu
- Disc., Denise M. Keele, Western Michigan University**
 denise.keele@wmich.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

- 45-11 The Law and Politics of Mandatory Minimum Sentencing**
 Room 10:25 Clerk 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 Chair, Robert M. Howard, *Georgia State University*
 rhowar1@langate.gsu.edu
 10:30 **Judicial Response to Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Laws**
 We analyze acquittal rates in bench trials following the 1984 mandatory minimum sentencing act of 1984.
 Robert M. Howard, *Georgia State University*
 rhowar1@langate.gsu.edu
 Jeffrey Lazarus, *Georgia State University*
 jlazarus@gsu.edu
- 10:50 Measuring and Analyzing the Success or Failure of Mandatory Minimum Sentencing**
 This paper assesses the efficacy of mandatory minimum sentencing on three dimensions: (1) deterrence through crime rates, (2) recidivism rates, and (3) judicial discretion.
 Amy Seidgenwall, *Georgia State University*
 polals1@langate.gsu.edu
- 11:10 Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Laws in Comparative Perspective**
 This paper takes a comparative approach to investigating the effects of determinate sentencing policies.
 Daniel J. Young, *Georgia State University*
 poljy1@langate.gsu.edu
 Lindsey C. Herbel, *Georgia State University*
 lherbel1@gsu.edu
- 11:30** Disc., Lydla Bradbear Tiede, *University of Houston*
 ktiede@uh.edu
11:50 Audience Discussion
- 45-26 External Influences in State Supreme Court Decision Making**
 Room 10:25 Buncham 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 Chair, Paul Brace, *Rice University*
 pbrace@rice.edu
 10:30 **When the U.S. Supreme Court is Not the Last Word: Dialogue between State Supreme Courts and Its Role in State Constitutionalism**
 State supreme courts consider and are influenced by sister state decisions, U.S. Supreme Court dissents, and prior precedents when deciding whether to expand state constitutional rights.
 Mark W. Deamblon, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 Mark Denniston@colorado.edu
 10:45 **Ballot Judges and State Judicial Appointment Processes: The Relationship between Judicial Retention and State Supreme Court Decisions on Voter-Approved Ballot Initiatives**
 This study looks at the impact of judicial appointment processes on whether initiatives are upheld, testing the theory that potential harm to reelection prospects causes a decrease in the probability that elected courts will overturn initiatives.
 Jennifer Ann Durbe, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
 jadn74@mail.missouri.edu
- 11:00 Do Campaign Contributions Reduce the Quality of Elected Justices?**
 We consider the effect of campaign contributions on the vote of elected state supreme court justices. We recover the bias and quality of information of each justice as a function of the net contributions by the industry of the plaintiff.
 Matthew Larycaewer, *California Institute of Technology*
 mlaryca@ms.caltech.edu
 Garrett Lewis, *California Institute of Technology*
 gll@caltech.edu
 Matthew Shum, *California Institute of Technology*
 mshum@caltech.edu
- 11:15 Judicial Decision Making in State Supreme Courts: Do Justices Respond to Religious Opinion?**
 This paper examines a group influence theory of judicial decision making, analyzing whether state supreme court justices respond to religious opinion on death penalty and privacy issues.
 Lauren Edwards Smith, *University of South Carolina*
 lesmith@mailbox.sc.edu
 11:30 Disc., Paul Brace, *Rice University*
 pbrace@rice.edu
 Disc., Richard Lee Vining, *University of Georgia*
 rvining@uga.edu
 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 45-27 Judicial Elections: Explaining Voting Behavior, Campaigns, and Outcomes**
 Room 10:25 Salon 8 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 Chair, Matthew Streb, *Northern Illinois University*
 mstreb@niu.edu
 10:30 **Party Identification and Vote Choice in Partisan and Nonpartisan Judicial Elections**
 We report results on the determinants of vote choice in the 2010 elections for all contested state supreme court seats across the U.S. based on data from the 2010 Cooperative Congressional Election Study.
 Damon M. Cann, *Utah State University*
 damon.cann@usu.edu
 Chris W. Beaman, *University of Pittsburgh*
 cwbeaman@gmail.com
- 10:45 Revving Juries: The Role of Interest Groups and Political Parties in the Election of State Supreme Court Justices**
 Using a national survey of interest groups and political parties, this paper examines under what conditions interest groups and political parties participate in state supreme court elections.
 Beth Easter, *Indiana University*
 beaster@iupu.edu
 11:00 **Assessing How Issues, Judicial Experience, and Partisanship Affect Voting Behavior in Judicial Races**
 Using an experiment imbedded in the 2010 Cooperative Congressional Election Study this paper investigates how voters in judicial elections weigh issues versus judicial experience.
 Mark Jonathan McKenzie, *Texas Tech University*
 markjonathanmckenzie@hotmail.com
 Michael A. Unger, *Ramapo College*
 unger@ramapo.edu
 Cynthia Rugeley, *Texas Tech University*
 cindy.rugeley@ttu.edu
- 11:15 Protecting Independence, or Protecting Incumbents?**
 Examines effect of canons of ethics on state supreme court votes for 1990-2010.
 C. Scott Peters, *University of Northern Iowa*
 scott.peters@uni.edu
 11:30 Disc., Brian P. Frederick, *Bridgewater State College*
 brian.frederick@bridgew.edu
 Disc., Matthew Streb, *Northern Illinois University*
 mstreb@niu.edu
 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 46-15 Explaining Policy Choices in the U.S. States II**
 Room 10:25 Wilson on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
 Chair, Robert Lowry, *University of Texas, Dallas*
 roben.lowry@utdallas.edu
 10:30 **The Pre-emptive Effect of the Judiciary on State Legislation**
 We examine the interaction between state legislatures and courts of last resort to assess whether and where in the legislative process judicial ideology serves a preemptive function.

- Lauren Bowen, *John Carroll University*
bowea@jcu.edu
Elizabeth A. Stiles, *John Carroll University*
estiles@jcu.edu
- 10:42 **The Malleability of State Budgets**
In light of recent fiscal cuts, this paper asks which categories are saved and which are generally plundered in times of fiscal crisis and which categories are nurtured in times of growth.
Christian Breunig, *University of Toronto*
c.breunig@utoronto.ca
Chris Koski, *James Madison University*
kcoski@jmu.edu
- 10:54 **Beltwether or Symbolic? Examining the Policy Resolutions of the National Black Caucus of State Legislators (NBCSL)**
This research examines whether state legislatures in 2010 reproduce 2009 policy resolutions of the National Black Caucus of State Legislators (NBCSL). Is the group a beltwether or is its power symbolic at most?
Christopher Jade Clark, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
cjclark2@gmail.com
- 11:06 **State Mandated Pregnancies in Hawaii: A Case Study of the Adoption, Repeal, and Subsequent Res adoption of a Controversial Policy**
This project examines why Hawaii repealed and eventually re-adopted pregnancy provisions in their living will policies. These provisions prevent a living will from being implemented if a woman is pregnant.
Kellee J. Kirkpatrick, *University of Kansas*
kellee@ku.edu
Morgan Johansen, *University of Hawaii, Manoa*
morgan.johansen@hawaii.edu
Janice W. Stauberborough, *Texas A&M University*
janicedborough@bushschool.tamu.edu
- 11:10 **The Political Economy of Tuition 'Super Hikes': An Event History Analysis of Tuition Spikes at State Flagship Institutions**
Tuition 'super hikes' – increases over 10% in tuition at state flagships – receive much attention, yet little empirical scholarship. Using event history analysis, we identify conditions under which such hikes have occurred in the past 20 years.
Michael K. McLeod, *Vanderbilt University*
michael.k.mcleod@vanderbilt.edu
Toby J. Park, *Vanderbilt University*
toby.park@vanderbilt.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.,** Rebelle Henderson, *Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville*
rehende@siue.edu
Disc., Robert Lowry, *University of Texas, Dallas*
robert.lowry@utdallas.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 47-10 **Intergovernmental Relations and Local Government Structure**
Room Mansfield on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair,** Gerald T. Galaris, *Northern Illinois University*
ggalaris@niu.edu
- 10:30 **New Orleans Five Years After Katrina: A Study of Policy and Governance Change**
How did Hurricane Katrina affect public policy and governance in New Orleans? This paper explores this question through an analysis of economic development in the city since August 29, 2005.
Peter E. Burns, *Loyola University, New Orleans*
pburns@loyola.edu
Matthew O. Thomas, *California State University, Chico*
mctomas@calchico.edu
- 10:42 **Annexation and Latino Growth in the Midwest**
Examines patterns of annexation in the Midwest with a specific focus on the annexation of Latino populations. Using a GIS-based method, the link between annexation and race is explored to determine whether there is evidence of selective annexation.
Mary M. Edwards, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
mreedward@illinois.edu
- 10:54 **City-County Consolidation and the Implications on Non-Principal Municipalities**
Across the nation, there have been over 140 votes on city-county consolidation in 26 states. This paper will analyze the potential actual impact of consolidation efforts on cities that are not the "principal" city in the consolidation.
Tyler Patrick Reinagel, *University of Georgia*
tpreinag@uga.edu
- 11:06 **Understanding the Characteristics of an Empowered Municipality**
I examine the relationship between the scope of municipal discretion and the quality of state-local relationships as well as demographic, socio-economic, cultural, structural, geographic, and fiscal municipal characteristics.
Curt Wood, *Northern Illinois University*
cwood@niu.edu
- 11:16 **Charter Amendments and the Efforts to Adapt Council Representation in City Government**
Using data from California cities, this study investigates charter amendments that modify the powers of city councils, and considers the implications for representation in local government.
Eric S. Zeemering, *San Francisco State University*
zeem@sfsw.edu
- 11:30 **Disc.,** Carol S. Weisert, *Florida State University*
cweisert@fsu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 48-2 **Participation and Policy Responsiveness: Understanding National and Sectoral Variations**
Room Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair,** Scott A. Fritzen, *National University of Singapore*
fritzen@nus.edu.sg
- 10:30 **Deviant Muslims: An application of social construction theory to public policies in France and Great Britain**
Focusing on public policies' social constructions of Muslims in France and Great Britain, this paper finds clear divisions of target populations in policies affecting French Muslims and suggests that this results in lower political participation.
Jill Carlo, *Arizona State University*
jilcarlo@asu.edu
- 10:45 **Governments' Responsiveness and the Resistance to International Terrorism**
This article argues that political accountability diminished the potential for antiterrorist reforms affecting privacy rights and immigration rights and decreased the chances of a legislative response to terrorism more bounded by the rule of law.
Marcella Epifanio, *University of Essex*
mepif1@essex.ac.uk
- 11:00 **The Two Worlds of Morality Politics**
This paper looks at conflict definition of morality issues in Western Europe. The paper argues that conflicts on these issues can take two forms depending on whether the issues can be linked to a broader conflict between religious and secular parties.
Christopher Green-Pedersen, *Aarhus University*
cgp@ps.au.dk
Isabelle Engell, *University of Geneva*
isabelle.engell@geni.eu
- 11:15 **Who Participates? A Comparative Study of the Actors Involved in the Policymaking Process in Korea, the EU and the U.S.**
This paper systematically compares actors involved in a sample of policy issue in Korea, the EU and the US and tests how patterns

- of participation vary across political systems with distinct relations between government insiders and outside groups.
Jiao Yuan, *Pennsylvania State University*
jyu117@psu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Philip Murphy, Monterey Institute of International Studies**
critical.node@gmail.com
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 49-14 **Is Health Reform Sustainable: Implementation Problems and Issues**
Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, James M. Braubfield, Webster University**
braufjm@webster.edu
- 10:30 **Electronic Health Records and the HITECH Act: Critical Infrastructure for Sustainable Health Care Reform**
This paper will examine the implementation and sustainability of the health system organization change of information technology infrastructure to provide coordinated health care and data management for health reform.
Cheryl L. Brown, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
cbrown@uncc.edu
- 10:42 **America's Ambivalence About Cost Control**
The paper assesses the politics of health care cost control in the U.S. I review the cost control efforts of the health reform law and their prospects for reducing health care spending.
Michael Kelley Gusmano, *The Hastings Center*
gusmanom@thehastingscenter.org
- 10:54 **The Political Development of the Community Health Centers Program**
I trace the puzzling development of this critical component of the health safety net. Long loved by progressives and attacked by conservatives, party positions on the program have flipped due to lessons learned from fights over health insurance.
Robert W. Mickey, *University of Michigan*
mickey@umich.edu
- 11:06 **The Design and Implementation of National Health Reform: Lessons from Rhode Island's HEALTHiest Plan**
This study analyzes impediments to enrollment in HEALTHiest (HP), a high deductible wellness benefit plan designed for small groups in Rhode Island. Premiums for HP are capped at 10% of the average wage. Lessons are drawn for national health reform.
Edward Alan Miller, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
edward.miller@umb.edu
Vincent Mor, *Brown University*
vincent_mor@brown.edu
Amal Trivedi, *Brown University*
Amal_Trivedi@brown.edu
- 11:18 **Health Care Reform as a Platform for an Expansion of Primary Care**
The paper proposes and analyzes the feasibility of an expansion of primary care training in community health centers to both meet the demand for health services in the wake of national health care reform and expand the primary care workforce.
Thomas R. Oliver, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
troliver@wisc.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., James M. Braubfield, Webster University**
braufjm@webster.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-13 **Regulatory Institutions in the Policy Process**
Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Doo-Nae Kim, Korea University**
kimdn@koeeu.ac.kr
- 10:30 **Regimes for Resolving Surrogacy Arrangements**
State policies regarding surrogacy arrangements vary, with many Western states outlawing the practice altogether. By examining different factors, we can explain why the US acts as an outlier in regards to surrogacy policies compared to other states.
Patricia Bellag, *Purdue University*
bellag@purdue.edu
Doo-Fraeng, *Purdue University*
dfraeng@gmail.com
- 10:40 **Are There Limits to Ideational Explanations? Ideas, Uncertainty, and Utility Liberalization**
This paper develops the hypothesis that the more uncertainty decision-makers face, the more ideas shape their choices of institutional design. It is tested in a comparative analysis of utility liberalization in Great Britain, Germany, and Denmark.
Mads Leth Fejsager Jakobsen, *Aarhus University*
medl@ps.au.dk
- 10:50 **Regulatory States in the South: Can They Exist and Do We Want Them? The Case of the Indonesian Power Sector**
This paper theorizes the regulatory state. Images of the regulatory state are amorphous. What elements comprise its functional and constitutive elements or the authority mechanisms that inform its power, are questions that are far from settled.
Darryl Stewart Jarvis, *National University of Singapore*
darryl.jarvis@nus.edu.sg
- 11:00 **Empowerment Through Design: Institutional Remedies to Environmental Injustice**
Our paper argues that certain agency design features attenuate the relationship between the racial and class composition of neighborhoods and regulatory compliance bias.
David Kumbly, *Georgetown University*
dkm74@georgetown.edu
Christopher M. Reznock, *Florida State University*
creznock@fsu.edu
- 11:10 **Plum in the Wind: The Perverse Consequences of Financial Regulation and Street Crime Overregulation**
We trace the perverse consequences of crime policy characteristic of the contemporary era characterized by continual efforts to reduce regulation and sanction of white-collar crime and increasing harshness with regard to street crime.
Justin Ren, *Wayne State University*
d27506@wayne.edu
Spencer J. Headworth, *Northwestern University*
spencerheadworth2015@northwestern.edu
- 11:20 **Rules and Decision Making: Understanding the Factors That Shape Regulatory Compliance in Two U.S. Aquaculture Communities**
This paper examines the relative influence of formal and informal institutions in shaping human behavior, particularly compliance with regulatory policies. This issue is explored in this paper in the context of two U.S. aquaculture communities.
Saba Naseem Siddiki, *University of Colorado, Denver*
saeiddiki@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Yeonho Kim, University of Seoul**
ykim@uos.ac.kr
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 52-5 **The Political Environment of Bureaucratic**
Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Kenneth J. Meier, Texas A&M University**
kmeier@pubscc.tamu.edu
- 10:30 **Public Opinion, Political Context, and Decentralized Policy Enforcement: A Study of the Environmental Protection Agency**
This paper seeks to explain patterns of enforcement activity by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency within each of its ten regions as a function of national and local political factors.
Christopher Feld Elms, *Bucknell University*
cre008@hucknell.edu
Patrick C. Weibull, *Washington University, St. Louis*
patrickw@wustl.edu

- 10:50 **The Impact of Bureaucratic Structure on Government Eligibility Decisions**
Using data on eligibility decisions in the Social Security Disability program, I examine whether the structure of decision making moderates the impact of the bureaucracy's task and political environment on bureaucratic decisions.
Leel Kelsor, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
lkelsor@missouri.edu
- 11:10 **The Politics of Public Management: The Role of Environmental Support**
Support from the outside is critical to survival as the organization depends on its environment for information and resources. Despite this reality, we know little with regard to the determinants of environmental support for public organizations.
Erica Melton, *Texas A&M University*
melton@politics.tamu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Patrick C. Webb**, *Washington University in St. Louis*
patrickw@wustl.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 53-12 **Job Satisfaction, Rewards, and Performance: New Insights Into Old Issues of Public Personnel Management**
Room 10:25
Chair, Hugo Asencio, *Arkans University/Montgomery*
hasencio@auca.edu
- 10:30 **What Leadership Competencies Are More Relevant in the Public Sector? Evidence from the Federal Government**
The paper seeks to find out the impact of different leadership types on employee perceptions of trust and job satisfaction among public employees in the United States.
Hugo Asencio, *Arkans University, Montgomery*
hasencio@auca.edu
Edin Mujic, *Arkans University, Montgomery*
emujic@auca.edu
- 10:42 **You Is Different? The Impact of Government Employee Diversity on Job Performance and Satisfaction**
This paper explores whether and how cognitive, identity, and preference diversity contribute to the productivity and satisfaction of federal employees. Results suggest each form of diversity matters—though in different ways and degrees.
Laura Langbein, *American University*
langbeil@american.edu
Edmund C. Stazyk, *American University*
stazyk@american.edu
- 10:54 **Sector Difference within the Public Sector: The Impact of Pay Systems on the Job Attitudes of Public Managers**
This study addresses how pay systems affect employees' job attitudes in the public sector. Based on the empirical findings, theoretical and practical implications will be discussed.
Gena Lee, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
glee29@uic.edu
- 11:06 **Does Outstanding Performance Pay? Measuring the Link Between Performance Ratings and Rewards in the Federal Service**
Using a one percent sample of federal personnel records, this study empirically examines the impact of performance ratings on salary increases and promotion probabilities.
Seong So Oh, *California State University, Stanislaus*
soh@csusm.edu
- 11:16 **A House Divided: The Role of Job Commitment and Satisfaction in Employee Support for a School District Reorganization**
In this study, we explore the role of commitment and job satisfaction in employee support for the reorganization efforts of a large urban school district.
- Sharon L. Wrobel, *University of Memphis*
swrobel@memphis.edu
Joseph Valachi Howard, *University of Central Arkansas*
jhoward@uca.edu
Keith A. Nitta, *University of Washington, Bothell*
KNitta@uwb.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Richard Clement Fling**, *Wayne State University*
rd5234@wayne.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 54-1D **Pathologies of Democracy in Comparative and Historical Perspective**
Room 10:25
Chair, Jeff Taylor, *Jacksonville State University*
JLTaylor@jsu.edu
- 10:30 **Tocqueville's Backward Glance at Town Government: Community in the Development of the American Democracy**
Tocqueville's praise of town government is frequently enlisted on behalf of restoring community and civic participation in America. However, he argues that democracy was undoing the bonds of continuity even as he was praising town government.
James Hurtgen, *SUNY, Fredonia*
hurtgen@fredonia.edu
- 10:50 **Occupied with Democracy: Threat, Distraction, Uncertainty and Democratization in Occupied Territories**
This study employs historical process-tracing analysis in order to demonstrate the relationship between interstate threat dynamics, internationalization, and late to democratic consolidation in post-WWI and -WWII Germany.
Jesse-Douglas Robert Mathewson, *University of Maryland*
jmathewson@cpj.umd.edu
- 11:10 **When Hegemony Fails**
This paper argues that the British Empire in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries was beset by cultural contradictions that undermined attempts to create a cohesive social bloc able to achieve hegemonic rule.
Michael A. McIntyre, *DePaul University*
mcmintyr@depaul.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Jeff Taylor**, *Jacksonville State University*
JLTaylor@jsu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 56-15 **Secular, Liberalizing, Spiritual America?**
Room 10:25
Chair, Ruth M. Melkonian-Hoover, *Gordon College*
ruth.melkonian@gordon.edu
- 10:30 **The Politics of Secularism in the U.S.**
The study of religion and American politics has focused more on this religious than the secular. This paper proposes to examine the political implications of the recent growth of secular Americans in the US using new data from two recent surveys.
David Campbell, *University of Notre Dame*
Dave_Campbell@nd.edu
John C. Green, *University of Akron*
green@uakron.edu
Patrick Lee Schoettrich, *University of Notre Dame*
pschoetr@nd.edu
- 10:45 **Explaining the Evangelical Crackup: An Experimental Test of Mechanisms for the Liberalization of American Evangelicalism**
Evangelicals' opinions have been liberalizing; we test values, identity, and decision process explanations using an experiment in an original survey of (largely) evangelicals. We also assess whether experimental effects are clustered by church.
Paul A. Djupe, *Dentron University*
djupe@denison.edu
Brian Robert Caffano, *Texas A&M University*
briancaffano@hotmail.com

- 11:00** **A New Generation, A New Front of Politics?: Exploring the Political Attitudes of Younger Evangelicals**
Using the ANES and GSS, this paper investigates the political attitudes of evangelical Protestants in recent decades and among younger evangelicals in particular on social issues, economic and foreign policies, and the environment.
Christine C. Kim, *Georgetown University*
cck3@georgetown.edu
- 11:15** **The Politics of "Spiritual But Not Religious" Americans**
I analyze the politics of Americans who identify as "spiritual but not religious." To what extent might their distinctive approach in religion/faith systems be relevant to political discourse, electoral campaigns, and public policy?
Laura R. Olson, *Clemson University*
LauraO@clemson.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Janette S. Wong, *University of Southern California***
janellw@usc.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 63-200** **Undergraduate Poster I**
Room Grand on the 4th floor. Sat at 10:25 am
- Post. 1** **Exploring the Nexus between National Economic Development and Conflict Behavior: Lessons for Syria**
Economic development and conflict behavior, Middle-Eastern politics, conflict and globalization, conflict behavior, foreign policy analysis.
Amal Al Bataineh, *Texas A&M University, Qatar*
amal.al_bataineh@qatar.tamu.edu
- Post. 2** **Uncovering the Religious Factor in Same-Sex Marriage Voter Behavior and Election Outcomes in California**
Voters misattribute their behavior in same-sex marriage ballot measures as a result of belief overkill and overweighing demographic variables. Religion is a nexus point for these behaviors and plays a significant role in voter behavior.
Nathalie Jill Koon Baker, *University of Pennsylvania*
njbaker@ses.upenn.edu
- Post. 3** **The Elements of Chinese Grand Strategy: Applying a New Analytical Approach**
Using the grand strategy framework developed by the UGA Grand Strategy Group, this paper develops China's four elements of grand strategy and what this may mean for the United States' partnership with China.
Ashley Bartlett, *University of Georgia, Grand Strategy*
bartlett.ashley@gmail.com
- Post. 4** **A Comprehensive Development Paradigm: Integration Theory Approach and a Kenya Case Study**
This paper calls for a new comprehensive development paradigm that integrates the fundamental approaches of Modernization, Dependency and Human development theory. Comparative theory scrutiny and a case study of Kenya provide supporting evidence.
Allison Boldish Bryan, *Hope College*
allison.bryan@hope.edu
- Post. 5** **Purposed Pragmatism: Stephen Breyer, Democracy and the Constitution**
Justice Stephen Breyer's applied principled pragmatism serves the Court's role, respects democracy and improves the efficacy of democracy while preserving personal liberty. His method is well suited to the modern issues before the Court.
Lawrence E. Butler, *Portland University*
leb2985@gmail.com
- Post. 6** **Foreign Policy Prerogatives of Presidents: Recessive Accretions at Evidence of Policy Preferences**
International agreements exhibit patterns of stability in foreign policy prerogatives across presidents and party. However, we find that such consistency results merely from institutional arrangements inherent in congressional oversight.
Zachary M. Cain, *Franklin College*
zcain@franklincollege.edu
Randall Smith, *Franklin College*
rsmith@franklincollege.edu
- Post. 7** **Swahili, Lollapalooza, and International Institutions: How International Institutions Influence Levels of Democracy**
This paper will look at newly democratized countries, specifically in sub-Saharan Africa, and analyze whether or not their levels of activity in international institutions affects the quality of their democracies. An overview of liberalism at work.
Philip Paul Cardinal, *Brigham Young University, Hawaii*
phillcardal@gmail.com
- Post. 8** **Social Uproar in China, 1995-2010**
The occurrence of protests has increased dramatically in China recently. I use a negative binomial regression model to estimate the impact of China's urbanization on the incidence of protests across 31 provinces over three time periods.
Cheung Wo Chan, *Hamer College, CUNY*
wctccchan2010@gmail.com
- Post. 9** **An Impossible Accord: The Contradiction in Rousseau's Social Contract**
This paper examines the simultaneous reliance upon and forbidding of contracts between citizen and state in the Social Contract.
Hugo Chesshire, *Stock University*
hugo.chesshire@gmail.com
- Post. 10** **Cultural, Religious, and Economic Correlates of Attitudes towards LGBT Populations: A Global Analysis**
I utilize multiple international datasets to statistically examine negative attitudes towards LGBT populations worldwide, as well as possible contributing factors.
Stephen Cranney, *Brigham Young University*
ivranney@gmail.com
- Post. 11** **When Perception and Reality Clash: The Contemporary Dynamics of Black State Politics**
I examine the opinions of black state legislators and their committee assignments to assess the implications of projected and perceived committee influence. This study reveals the extent legislators view committees as significant and autonomous.
Rhannon Joy Esteban, *University of Maryland, Baltimore County*
rloe2@umbc.edu
- Post. 12** **The Effect of Communism on GDP and CIRE Human Rights Score**
This paper explores the relationship between a country's gross domestic product, history of communism, and its human rights standards. The findings suggest that a transition to democracy may not ensure positive economic and social conditions.
Christopher Bryan Garwood, *Randolph-Macon College*
christophergarwood@students.rmc.edu
- Post. 13** **Modernizers or Delegates: Role Identification in Michigan's Gubernatorial Inaugural Addresses**
Examination of rhetoric in gubernatorial inaugural addresses provides information about how governors relate to their constituents. This research studies the questions governors ask to identify executives' support for collaborative decision-making.
Gregory Thomas Ghering, *Central Michigan University*
gher1g@cmich.edu
J. Cherie Strathairn, *Central Michigan University*
strac1jc@cmich.edu
- Post. 14** **Investigating Causes for Political Apathy in Romanian Youth**
This poster presents the investigation of the causes of high political apathy in Romanians aged 18-24, based on a survey of 650 Romanians conducted in the summer of 2009.
Cosmina Ghita, *Bates College*
cghita@bates.edu
- Post. 15** **Resources, Institutions and Democratization: Land Rights, Capitalism and Development in Kenya**
This paper will examine the intersection of ethnic identity, political institutions, and the importance of resources to the developing state.

- In particular, the paper will analyze the modern constitution and give evidence from a case study of Kenya.
Kevin Haley, *Hope College*
kevin.haley@hope.edu
Virginia Parish Beard, *Hope College*
beard@hope.edu
- Post 16 **Does Communication Aid Promote Press Freedom?**
Using multiple regression and empirical data for the years 1994-2008, this paper tests the effects of communication directed foreign aid on press freedom. The findings show that communication aid negatively affects press freedom.
Ben Hansen, *Brighton Young University*
36.hansen@gmail.com
- Post 17 **The Importance of Political Socialization of Youth in Israel and Palestine**
An in-depth look into how Israeli and Palestinian children develop their political attitudes reveals how future generations could influence policy in the Middle East Conflict.
Pablo David Hernandez-Romero, *Earlham College*
pabherro@yahoo.com
- Post 18 **Post-Conviction Access to DNA Evidence**
This paper examines and evaluates the rule of law established by the U.S. Supreme Court case *District Attorney's Office for the Third Judicial District et al. v. Osborne* (2009).
Tiffany Hubble, *Lebanon Valley College*
tmb004@lvc.edu
- Post 19 **Paradoxical Identity Development in Saharawi Youth: Becoming a Home Outside the Homeland**
This is a sociological and political study on national and ethnic identity development among refugee youth who have grown up outside of their homeland and have never personally taken part in the struggle to regain their homeland.
Robert Joseph Hudallertan, *Chapman University*
hudall104@mail.chapman.edu
- Post 20 **The Politics of Economic Crises: Learning (or Not) From The Great Depression**
Examining the current financial crisis in light of The Great Depression and New Deal policies. Drawing political and economic parallels between the two events and understanding what these mean for the present and the future.
Bille Jo Johnson, *Northern Kentucky University*
johnsonb1@ynku.edu
- Post 21 **Ethnicity, Civil War and International Disputes**
Examines how ethnicity impacts whether a state experiencing a civil war becomes involved in an international dispute. It is found that ethnic civil wars are so more apt to spark international disputes than non-ethnic civil wars.
Michael Robert Kowick, *University of Illinois*
mkowick@gmail.com
- Post 22 **One Bullet, A Thousand Tears: The Evolution of the Second Amendment**
Firearms are a major cause of the rise of international violence and mortality rates. This research will show how 5 nations govern themselves in relation to firearms and its effects on citizens with respect to production, death and violence rates.
Jazzmin L. Kilgore, *Xavier University of Louisiana*
jkilgore@xula.edu
- Post 23 **Reconstructing Westphalia: Israel as a Challenge to the Modern State System**
Evaluates the changing nature of states w relation to sovereignty, borders, and identity by looking at the state of Israel and its construction, which was primarily done by outside actors and what effects that had on Israel's development as a state.
Adam S. King, *SUNY Oswego*
Aking1@Oswego.edu
- Post 24 **Explaining Judicial Independence in Africa**
This paper tests a variety of explanations for judicial independence in Africa for 1990-2009.
Olumagbemiso Loaye, *University of North Texas*
olumagbemiso.loaye@my.unt.edu
- Post 25 **Measuring Changes in Ideology among Members of Congress Who Switch Parties**
In this paper, I utilize recent advancements in textual analysis software to examine any observable changes in ideology along a liberal-conservative policy dimension among members of Congress that change party affiliation.
Nicholas Paul Lillios, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
lillios@wisc.edu
- Post 26 **The Effect of the Vietnam Syndrome on the Creation of American Foreign Policy**
The withdrawal of US forces from Vietnam in 1975 marked one of the most disastrous interventions in US history, precipitating the creation of the Vietnam Syndrome. Its crippling effects on policymakers are still seen in US foreign policy today.
Janice Michele Mariani, *University of Pennsylvania*
jema@sa.upenn.edu
- Post 27 **Leaders and Conflict: How Age Affects the Choice to Go to War**
This paper is a quantitative research analysis of the relationship between a leader's age and their likelihood of becoming involved in a military conflict.
Jayne Marston, *Brighton Young University, Hawaii*
jaynep2@byu.edu
- Post 28 **Barack Obama and Open Government: The U.S. Senate (2004-2008)**
Our research explores Barack Obama's record in the United States Senate (2004-2008) on public transparency by surveying approximately 850 separate documents in the Congressional Record for the U.S. Senate.
James Marquardt, *Lake Forest College*
marquardt@lakeforest.edu
Sarah E. Bruns, *Lake Forest College*
brunses@lakeforest.edu
Yusufu Kobary, *Lake Forest College*
kobary@lakeforest.edu
- Post 29 **Self-identified Conservatives and > Economic Conservative Attitudes**
The "overlap" between self-identified "conservatives" and a preference for private over government action is incomplete and varies with age, education and gender.
Cory Martinson, *Heidelberg University*
cmartin@heidelberg.edu
- Post 30 **Requesting Ballots Online or by Snail Mail: A Randomized Field Experiment About Mobilization via Mail or Online Ballot Requests in the 2010 Election**
Randomized field experiment aimed at progressive voters with a low to moderate propensity to vote in the 2010 election. Voters were presented with either a traditional vote by mail application or a postcard with a link to an online application.
Genevieve Mayhew, *University of Miami*
genymayhew@hotmail.com
- Post 31 **The Effect of Counter-Terrorist Policy on Terrorist Success in Western Europe**
This study examines the link between counter-terrorist policy choices and terrorism. This study uses a sample of 11 Western European countries to show that adopting different counter-terrorist policies affects the scope of the terrorism experienced.
Daniel Lewis McGee, *University of North Texas*
dmcgee2@unt.edu
Angela Devon Nichols, *University of North Texas*
angiedevon@gmail.com
- Post 32 **Exit Strategy and Post-Presidential Politics of Selected Female Leaders**
The paper will examine factors that contributed to the exit of these women as national leaders.

Victoria McGroary, Delaware State University
vmcgroary@gmail.com
Heyleana Udezulu, Delaware State University
iudezulu@dsu.edu

Post. 33 Economic Status, Neighborhood Context, and the Political Geography of Vote Choice on Growth Control: A Spatial Econometric Analysis of San Francisco's Proposition I in 2000

This paper examines a local land use initiative to show how individual-level voting behavior of members of different economic status groups is diffused across neighborhoods and is influenced by the economic composition of the voter's neighborhood
James Newburg, San Francisco State University
jnewburg@mail.sfsu.edu

Post. 34 Madam Chief Justice and May It Please the Court: Women Democratizing a Different Voice in the Judiciary

An in-depth analysis of the reasoning of male and female judges on the U.S. Supreme Court in both gender related and non-gender related cases
Natalie A. Noyes, College of Wooster
noyesn1@wooster.edu

Post. 35 Conducting Counterinsurgency Operations in a Multi-ethnic Environment

This paper will analyze the strategy employed by the United States military during the 2007 troop surge in Iraq, and whether or not the same strategy can be used in an environment as ethnically diverse as Afghanistan.
Severin Odier, Virginia Commonwealth University
odier@vcu.edu

Post. 36 Machiavelli's Conspiracy of Laughter: Virtus, Fortuna, and the Purpose of Comedy

Paper provides an analysis of Machiavelli's *Clizia* and *Mandragola* with an eye to clarifying his teaching on the relationship between virtue and fortune.
Katherine Patton, Bridgewater State University
kpatton@student.bridgew.edu

Post. 37 Tough Republicans and Liberal Democrats? Exploring the Link between Victimization, Political Orientation, and Crime Policy Preferences

I use data from the 2004 General Social Survey dataset to explore the relationship between victimization and crime policy preferences, between victimization and political orientation, and between political orientation and crime policy preferences.
Tommaso Pavone, University of Michigan
tpavone@umich.edu

Post. 38 Cognitive X's and O's: First Steps towards a Resolution to the Offensive-Defensive Realist Debate

This study seeks to bridge the gap between offensive and defensive realism by using loss aversion to understand how states prioritize their security preferences. I find that states engage in both gain-seeking and loss aversive behavior.
Chadwick Gordon Peltier, University of Georgia, Grand Strategy
cpeltier@gmail.com

Post. 39 Regional Security Organizations: Factors behind Peacekeeping Participation

By determining which factors carry the most weight, when nations decide to contribute troops to regional peacekeeping operations, this presentation provides information about which factors drive nations to intervene.
Gene P. Pyritz, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
gpyritz@gmail.com

Post. 40 Globalization and Indigenous Group Violence in Latin America

Indigenous peoples of Latin America have existed for centuries. In recent years, interest in the plight of indigenous peoples has increased, as has the interest as to why some have engaged in organized political activity and others have not.
Jacqueline Truhara Rojas, Blinn College
mejro30205@hotmail.com

Post. 41 A New Wave: Conservative Feminism and Women's Interest Groups in the 21st Century

This paper focuses on conservative feminism and whether conservative women's interest groups are embracing or rejecting the idea of a new conservative feminism that seems to be more present today than in the past.
Jacqueline Schwertik, Gustavus Adolphus College
jschwertik@gustavus.edu

Post. 42 Perceptions of Power in Disenfranchised Felons: The Search for Political Voice

Current disenfranchisement laws force felons to seek political involvement in new ways. I study the attempt to voice political opinion when one has been marginalized from the political sphere
Kathryn Marie Scott, Marquette University
kathryn.scott@marquette.edu

Post. 43 Party Politics and the Anti-war Movement: The Activism Gap between Democrats and Radicals

To examine the expansion of the anti-war movement since 2008, we surveyed activists at the US Social Forum in Detroit in June 2010. Statistical analysis of the survey data reveals a divide between self-identified Democrats and other activists.
Jacob Forrest Harristown Smith, Kenyon College
smthjff@kenyon.edu

Post. 44 English for the Children? Assessing California School District Responses to Proposition 227

In 1998 California voters passed Prop. 227, which limited primary language support for English Second Language students. This paper uses a cross-section, time-series design to assess the impact of Prop. 227 on ESL students' academic performance.
Julia Sweeney, University of the Pacific
J_Sweeney@u.pacific.edu

Post. 45 North St. Louis: A Case Study in Need and Opportunity

My research seeks to address the future of urban spaces, specifically North St. Louis. I will offer suggestions and guidelines for who can create sustainable neighborhoods.
Javier Armando Trejo, Saint Louis University
jtrejo1@slu.edu

Post. 46 The Hierarchy in East Asia: The Effect of the Hierarchical Society on International Relations

The hierarchy structure found in East Asia (China, South Korea, and Japan) affects international relations in East Asia. Hierarchical ideas, culturally, geographically, historically and traditionally affect outcomes of conflicts between the states.
Takashi Ushijima, Brigham Young University, Hawaii
uT@gn.byuh.edu

Post. 47 The Conflict Within: The Multiple Identities of Catholics for Equality

This paper tests the member-conflict theory of scholar David Truman using a case study of the interest group Catholics for Equality in an effort to better understand how members overcome a conflict of identities to be successful in issue advocacy.
Courtney West, Gustavus Adolphus College
cwest2@gustavus.edu

Post. 48 Partisanship and Ideological Change in an Evolving Southern State

In light of North Carolina's recent shifts in voting behavior, this research explores changes in voter registration, party identification, and ideology from 2005 through 2010 to determine whether this is an evolving trend or temporary exception.
McKenzie Young, Elon University
myoung11@elon.edu
Dise., Ray Dawes, Gettysburg College
rdawes@gettysburg.edu

- 66-3 The Global Politics of Sexuality**
Congress on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Jason Pierceson, University of Illinois, Springfield**
jpier2@uis.edu
- 10:30 **Reframing Violence in the Name of Honor: The Other Victims**
This paper discusses how the political debates around "violence in the name of honor" in the Turkish and European contexts are shaped by ethnic discrimination and xenophobia, and how LGBTQ people are excluded from these debates.
R. Ering Altınay, *New York University*
ra270@nyu.edu
- 10:50 **The Iranian Balmis: The Emergence of Gay Identity Politics in Iran**
Despite harsh sanctions, gay people in Iran are gaining more visibility in major urban centers. This project explores how gay Iranians perceive themselves and justify their existence in an environment that is extremely hostile to them.
Abouzar Nasirzadeh, *University of Toronto*
abouzar.nasirzadeh@utoronto.ca
- 11:10 **The Impact of Economic Crises on LGBT Organizing in Argentina**
The literature on social movements suggests that economic downturns should be poor times for marginalized groups to advance public policies. This paper will show how Argentine LGBT groups passed important legislation during two economic contractions.
Sharon Richard Schalenberg, *Marshall University*
schalenberg@marshall.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Jason Pierceson, University of Illinois, Springfield**
jpier2@uis.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

71-100 Immortal Longings and Political Passions: The Wisdom of William Shakespeare

- Room** Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 10:25 am
This roundtable focuses on the images of honor and love represented in the plays and poetry of William Shakespeare. Special attention is paid to the political, religious, and social contexts in which Shakespeare dramatically simulates these images.
- Chair** Bernard Joseph Dobski, *Assumption College*
bdobski@assumption.edu
- Panelist** Lawrence Nee, *St. John's College, Santa Fe*
lneep403@comp.com
Glen Arbery, *Assumption College*
garbery@assumption.edu
John Gleicher, *Rockford University*
JGleicher@rockford.edu
Joseph Ajalla, *North Park University*
jalulis@northpark.edu
Duffin A. Gibb, *College of the Holy Cross*
dgibb@holycross.edu
George Anastaplo, *Dominican University*
temp7192@comp.com

Saturday, April 2 at 12:45 pm

- 1-101 Bridging Micro and Macro in Framing Research**
Room Water Tower Park on the 6th floor. Set at 12:45 pm
 Over the past few decades, the number of studies on framing has grown considerably. The aim of this round table is to identify and discuss some of the most pressing questions and approaches that should guide framing research in the coming years.
- Chair** Ruud Stoohuis, *Amherst University*
 stoohuis@ps.ac.uk
- Panellist** James N. Druckman, *Northwestern University*
 druckman@northwestern.edu
 Clem H. De Vreese, *University of Amsterdam*
 c.h.devreese@uva.nl
 Robert Ennman, *George Washington University*
 ennman@gwu.edu
 Frank R. Baumgartner, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 frankb@unc.edu
- 2-11 Making Governments**
Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor. Set at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Christian Breunig, *University of Toronto*
 c.breunig@utoronto.ca
12:50 **Ministerial Appointments and Economic Policy in a Global Economy**
 This paper looks at how ministerial appointments in critical social and economic ministries has changed over time and its impact on policy.
 Despina Alexiadou, *University of Pittsburgh*
 dalexad@pitt.edu
- 1-02 Playing the Cabinet Puzzle in Germany: How Parties Bargain over Portfolio Allocation and Ministerial Selection**
 The paper examines coalition bargains by analyzing news wire data on would-be ministerial candidates in Germany, 1983-2009. It concludes that formal and informal institutions affect the bargaining behavior of political parties.
 Julia Fleischer, *German Research Institute for Public Administration*
 fleischer@foev-speyer.de
 Markus Seyfried, *University of Potsdam*
 seyfried@uni-potsdam.de
- 1-14 Formative, Governing Conditions, and the Sequential Government Formation Process**
 Our empirical model jointly examines the choice of format and choice of governing coalition, bringing empirical models closer to theories of government formation and allowing us to test previously unexamined hypotheses.
 Garrett Glasgow, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
 glasgow@polsci.ucsb.edu
 Sara N. Golden, *Pennsylvania State University*
 sn11@psu.edu
 Matt Golden, *Pennsylvania State University*
 mgolden@psu.edu
- 1-26 Who Keeps Talks? Party Preferences for Watchdog Junior Ministers**
 Analyzing over 150 ministerial-junior ministerial dyads from coalition governments in four countries, I find that center-left governments are less likely to appoint watchdog junior ministers than are center-right governments.
 Christian B. Jensen, *University of Iowa*
 christian-jensen@uiowa.edu
- 1-38 Change in Parliamentary Party Systems and Policy Outcomes: Shooting the Cows**
 We examine changes of party among legislators as a cause of change in policy. We show that MPs can switch to shift the parliamentary core. We assess our theory with cross-national data on legislatures varying in the number of parties winning seats.
- Carol A. Neraboe, *University of Virginia*
 cneraboe@nsf.gov
 Olga Shvetsova, *SOVI, Binghamton*
 shvetsova@gmail.com
- 1:50** Disc., Raj Arunachalam, *University of Michigan*
 arunacha@umich.edu
 Disc., Christian Breunig, *University of Toronto*
 c.breunig@utoronto.ca
 Disc., Flemming Juul Christensen, *Aarhus University*
 fjc@ps.au.dk
- 2:10** Audience Discussion
- 4-17 Sub-National Political Economy**
Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor. Set at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Donald Berger, *University of Chicago*
 db1299@chgo.uchicago.edu
12:50 **Economic Liberalization, Sub-national Politics and Private Investment in India**
 This paper argues that the variation in responses of sub-national governments in India to the competition for private investment, which ensued after market reforms in 1991, can be explained by the nature of electoral coalitions backing governments.
 Karim Maral, *Princeton University*
 karimali@princeton.edu
- 1:05** **From Menem to Kirchner: The Political Economy of Intergovernmental Relations in Democratic Argentina**
 This paper aims to provide a conceptual approach to understand the type of strategies that governors and presidents use when negotiating about fiscal issues. I use the recent evolution of intergovernmental relations in Argentina as a case study.
 Juan C. Obareda, *Northwestern University*
 j-obareda@northwestern.edu
- 1:20** **Federal Financial Redistribution as a Measure of Centralization of Power in the Putin Era, 2001-2009**
 This paper investigates whether federal subsidies are still a function of noncompliance in strategically important regions of the Russian Federation or whether the patterns of redistribution have shifted toward helping the regions in need
 Anna Pecherina, *University of North Texas*
 annapecherina@unt.edu
 John T. Ishiyama, *University of North Texas*
 john.ishiyama@unt.edu
- 1:35** **Madness in the Forest: Federalism and the Management of Sustainable Natural Resources**
 This paper explores whether a federalist institutional structure induces sustainable extractive rates of renewable resources with specific focus on tropical forests in the Republic of Congo.
 Jason Steiner, *University of Michigan*
 jstein@umich.edu
- 1:50** Disc., James Iordana Apou, *Bowen State University, Akaburu, Nigeria*
 jimajuan@hotmail.com
 Disc., Ryan Matthew Shuey, *Harvard University*
 ryanmshuey@gmail.com
- 2:10** Audience Discussion
- 4-24 Ethnicity Policy and Ethnic Mobilization**
Room Indiana on the 3rd floor. Set at 12:45 pm
12:45 Chair, Kristin Grace Michalich, *New York University*
 kgm254@nyu.edu
12:50 **Comparing Causes of Political and Economic Discrimination against Minority Groups: A Quantitative Analysis with Case Studies**
 Minorities often face either economically or politically discriminatory policies, though the existence of one does not necessitate the other. I examine different causes of economically & politically discriminatory policies against minorities.

- Corry Lee Beebeel, *Purdue University*
cbeebel@purdue.edu
- 1:02 **Ethnic Parties in Post-Colonial Sri Lanka and Malaysia: Differences in the Formation, Coalescence and Radicalization of Organized Ethnic Mobilization**
By comparing post-colonial ethnic parties in Sri Lanka and Malaysia, I show that differences in ethnic radicalization result from the interaction of intra-ethnic elite competition for leadership with inter-ethnic competition for material benefits.
Nikolaos Bizjakos, *United States Naval Academy*
bizjakos@usna.edu
- 1:14 **Political Trust, Ethnic Divisions, and Regime Success**
We examine the relationship between ethnic power relations and level of political trust.
Tanja Ellingsen, *Norwegian University of Science and Technology*
tanja.ellingsen@svt.ntnu.no
Marta Elena Sandoval, *Lamar University*
marta.sandoval@lamar.edu
- 1:26 **Mobilizing Opportunities: Democratization and State-Society Relations in Brazil through the Lens of the Recent Quilombo Social Movement**
The paper discusses the advent of the quilombo movement alongside Brazil's recent democratic consolidations. Those slave descendent communities have mobilized around demands for ethnic recognition, placing new paradigms for policy-making in Brazil.
Frederico Mendonça Oliveira, *CÉBRAP*
frenchino@hotmail.com
- 1:38 **Land Dispossession, Afro-Colombians, and Predatory State in Colombia**
The paper analyzes how stigmatization and selective omission by security forces facilitated land dispossession and how local, national and international dynamics intertwined to give hope to Afro Colombians in their attempts to take their rights back.
Maricela Romero, *Universidad Javeriana*
maricela_romero_vidal@hotmail.com
- 1:50 **Disc., Emmanuel Teitelbaum, George Washington University**
et@gwu.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 5-14 **The Development in Russia**
Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Ryan P. Kennedy, University of Houston**
rkennedy@uh.edu
- 12:50 **Risks and Constraints of Political Modernization in Russia**
We focus on the political risks and constraints associated with the democratic reforms (now labeled political modernization) in Russia and arising due to a number of distinctive characteristics of Russia's social, political and economic structure.
Irina Bueygina, *MGIMO*
irina.bueygina@gmail.com
Mikhail Filippov, *SUNY Binghamton*
filippov@binghamton.edu
- 1:02 **Vote Choice in Post-Soviet Presidential Elections: The Role of Personality Trait Ratings With a Female Challenger**
The authors construct and test reciprocal causal models of candidate evaluation and vote choice in post-Soviet presidential elections, incorporating indices of policy preferences based on several salient issue dimensions.
Vicki L. Heisl, *University of Iowa*
vicki-heisl@uiowa.edu
Andrii Gorobachuk, *Taras Shevchenko National University*
gorobach@gorobach.kiev.ua
- 1:14 **Economic and Political Monopolies: The Russian Case**
Relationship of economic and political monopolies appears in modern Russia. In 1990s, an "institutional lock-in" was created in post-Soviet Russia.
Basten Nancev, *State University Higher School of Economics*
nancev@hsce.ru
Sergey Stetsko, *Institute for Applied Economic Studies*
sergey@iaeigln.ru
- 1:26 **Small Business Development and Regional Democratization Differences in Russia, 1999-2010**
Russia's regions have shown considerable variation with respect to democratization and in small business development. The paper asks whether the intensity of small business development explains some of the variation in regional democracy.
Molly O'Neal, *Johns Hopkins University*
moneal1@jhu.edu
- 1:38 **Competing Regional Labels across the Post-Soviet Area**
Increasing questioning of the relevance of inclusive 'post-Soviet space' and 'Central Eurasia' labels for new independent states encourage us to look toward probable alternative labels, like 'Central Asia' and 'New Eastern Europe'.
Oscar Watt Rattu, *University of Toulouse 1 Capitole*
oatwatt@gmail.com
Ikhboljon Qoraboyev, *University of Toulouse*
iqoraboyev@cris.unu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Jessica Fortin, GESIS - Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften**
jessica.fortin@gesis.org
Disc., Ryan P. Kennedy, University of Houston
rkennedy@uh.edu
Disc., Eric C. Schwartz, Appalachian State University
pnl.ecs1.moodle@gmail.com
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-13 **Countering Corruption**
Room Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Martin K. Dimitrov, Dartmouth College**
martin.k.dimitrov@dartmouth.edu
- 12:50 **The Correlates of Clientelism: Economic Development and Historical State Capacity**
This paper proposes a two-tiered theory of national-level political clientelism, and then tests it with a new dataset of expert survey evaluations for 90 countries (Kitschelt et al. 2009).
Lenka Bartalova-Straky, *Duke University*
lstr1@duke.edu
Cristian Condusescu-Huci, *Duke University*
cc27@duke.edu
- 1:02 **Baganda Bargaining: Economic and Political Shifts Preceding the 1998 Ugandan Agreement**
In this paper, I analyze political and economic conditions of the pre-colonial Baganda. Economic shifts preceding the Baganda civil wars empowered Baganda chiefs vis-à-vis their monarchy influencing colonial and modern Ugandan land politics.
Ryan Gibb, *University of Kansas*
gibb.ryan@gmail.com
- 1:14 **Seeing the State: The Implications of Transparency for Societal Accountability and Good Government**
Does transparency better enable citizens to hold governments accountable? The paper presents a cross-country analysis of the often posited capacity of increased governmental transparency to enhance societal accountability and mitigate corruption.
Martha Grimes, *University of Gothenburg*
martha.grimes@pol.gu.se
MoaLisa Baskin, *University of Gothenburg*
moalisa.baskin@pol.gu.se
- 1:26 **Party Competition and Government Quality**
We examine government quality as a product of politicians' (un)willingness to tolerate non-policy rent seeking. High government quality results from political competition combined with institutional checks, with political rivals sharing authority.

- William B. Heller, SUNY, Binghamton**
wbheller@gmail.com
Oriol Roca-Sagales, Autonomous University, Barcelona Spain
Oriol.Roca@aub.cat
Andrés Kyriacou, University of Girona, Spain
andres.kyriacou@udg.edu
- 1:30 Political Stability in the Chinese Great Family**
This paper attempts to answer why CCP power was so stable in the Mao era when Chinese people were experiencing economic hardship.
Georg Wang, University of California, Berkeley
wanggeorg@berkeley.edu
Liyun Wu, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
waly@umich.edu
- 1:50 Dinc, Martin K. Dimitrov, Dartmouth College**
martin.k.dimitrov@dartmouth.edu
Dinc, Jesus Tovar, Centro de Cooperación Judicial Electoral del Poder Judicial de la Federación
jtovar63@msu.com
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 7-13 Clientelism**
Parlor G on the 6th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 Chelci, Ashley Dyan Ross, Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi**
ashley.ross@tamucc.edu
- 12:50 Clientelism and Electoral Accountability in Emerging Democracies**
Drawing from both the literature on clientelism and on economic voting, the paper explores the effect of clientelism on electoral accountability. The analysis focuses on emerging democracies of the developing world.
François Gelmanu, Université Laval
francois.gelmanu@pol.ulaval.ca
- 1:05 The Conditionality of Vote Buying Markets: Evidence from Latin America**
This paper reports the results of survey experiments on the norms and stigma associated with vote buying in several Latin American countries, varying the socioeconomic status and partisan inclinations of hypothetical clients.
Chad P. Kiewit De Jongh, University of Notre Dame
chadk@nd.edu
Esquivel Alejo Gonzalez Ocantos, University of Notre Dame
egonzal4@nd.edu
- 1:20 What Does Vote Buying Mean to Voters? Evidence from a Survey Experiment in Kenya**
Is vote buying effective and how does it affect voters' perceptions of politicians? I conduct a survey experiment in Kenya to answer this question. Results help to explain vote buying where the ballot is secret and parties are weak and unorganized.
Eric J. Kraton, University of California, Los Angeles
kraton@ucla.edu
- 1:35 Making Clientelism Work: Theory and Evidence from Argentina**
When clientelist exchange involves government benefits, how do politicians make clientelism work? Drawing on data from Argentina, this paper illuminates how politicians claim credit for benefits and manufacture a sense of scarcity among recipients.
Rebecca Weiss-Shapiro, Brown University
rweiss@brown.edu
- 1:50 Dinc, Mark Setzer, High Point University**
msetzer@highpoint.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 8-12 Political Representation in the European Union**
Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 Chelci, Seth K. Jelly, Syracuse University**
skjelly@maxwell.syr.edu
- 12:50 When Parties Talk About European Integration, Do Voters Listen? An Analysis of Mass-Elite Linkages on European Integration**
We plan to analyze voters' responses to parties' policy shifts on the issue of European integration.
James Adams, University of California, Davis
jadams@ucdavis.edu
Zeynep Somer-Topcu, Vanderbilt University
z.somer@vanderbilt.edu
Lawrence Ezzow, University of Essex
ezrow@essex.ac.uk
- 1:01 The Draft Lending the Blind? Public Opinion and European Union Policy**
Do the productions of the European Union reflect the wishes of the public? We find evidence that EU policymakers do respond to public opinion, at least to some extent. There is a substantial divergence between the public and policymakers, however.
Christine Arnold, Maastricht University
c.arnold@maastrichtuniversity.nl
Christopher Wlezien, Temple University
wlezien@temple.edu
Mark N. Franklin, European University Institute
mark.franklin@eui.edu
- 1:14 Political Representation in the European Parliament: How Well Does It Work?**
We examine the extent to which the requirements of the Responsible Party Model of representation are met in the European Parliament using data from a recent candidate survey and voter survey.
Rory Costello, London School of Economics and Political Science
r.a.costello@lse.ac.uk
Martha Rosema, University of Twente
m.rosema@utwente.nl
Jacques Thomassen, University of Twente
J.J.A.Thomassen@utwente.nl
- 1:26 The Economy and the Vote in Elections to the European Parliament**
This contribution provides a test of economic voting in EP elections. Focusing on data from before and after the economic crisis and building on a set of 27 parallel survey modules, the results refer to the context dependency of the economic vote.
Galdo Tiemann, Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna
Galdo@ihs.ac.at
Monika Bartkowska, Institute for Advanced Studies
bartkowska@ihs.ac.at
- 1:34 External Triggers and Personality Dispositions: The Interaction of Persuasion and National Identification in Shaping Attitudes toward European Integration**
This paper presents findings from an experimental study on the interaction of persuasive messages (experimental treatment) and national identification (individual disposition) in shaping attitudes toward European integration.
Konstantin Voaslag, Humboldt University, Berlin
vvoaslag.1@hu.edu
- 1:50 Dinc, Seth K. Jelly, Syracuse University**
skjelly@maxwell.syr.edu
Dinc, Erik R. Tillman, DePaul University
etillman@depaul.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**

- 8-2# **Human Rights and the European Union**
 Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Ethan Jon Hollander, Wabash College
 hollande@wabash.edu
- 12:50 **The European Union: Monitoring Trade in Torture Instruments**
 To curtail the trade of torture merchandise, the European Union (EU) has ratified a new policy prohibiting the export of such instruments. In this paper we seek to determine what factors led to the implementation of the new EU Regulation.
 Ron D. Gallen, *University of Akron*
 gallenr@uakron.edu
 Adam Clapp, *Idaho State University*
 thomas14@yahoo.com
- 1-10 **Not Taking It on Faith: The European Court of Human Rights and Freedom of Religion**
 This paper examines the role of the European Court of Human Rights in the protection of religious freedom. I argue that the outcome of freedom of religion cases is dependent upon the religion of the defendant and the nationality of the judges.
 Daniel Koov, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
 koev1@uiuc.edu
- 1-30 **Is the Barcelona Process Working?: An Empirical Assessment of the State of Democratization, Human Rights, and Quality of Governance in the League of Arab States**
 The purpose of this paper is to test whether the state of democracy, human rights, and governance have improved in the League of Arab States following the Barcelona Process commensurately with the increase in trade in the Euro-Mediterranean region.
 Carmela Lutnauer, *University of Haifa*
 clutnau@poli.haifa.ac.il
- 1-50 **Disc., Ethan Jon Hollander, Wabash College**
 hollande@wabash.edu
- 2-10 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-10 **Indigenous Political Mobilization**
 Room Burnham 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Javier Morales-Ortiz, Baldwin-Wallace College
 jmorales@bw.edu
- 12:50 **Effective Demand-Making Strategies: The Mapuche Community and the Chilean Government**
 This paper analyzes government responses to demands from indigenous communities, specifically examining the impact of mobilization strategies and international pressure on the Chilean government's response to Mapuche demands.
 Kelly Bamer, *George Washington University*
 kbamer2@gwmail.gwu.edu
- 1-05 **The Political Outcomes of Indigenous Representation**
 Increased indigenous representation in Bolivia does matter but this is not because indigenous peoples have seen an increase in favorable policy outcomes. However, increased representation has altered indigenous perceptions of government.
 Raymond Foxworth, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 raymond.foxworth@colorado.edu
- 1-20 **Social and Political Mobilization of Indigenous Groups in Latin America: A Comparative Study of Domestic and Structural Conditions for Political Party Formation in Bolivia and Ecuador**
 This paper explores social and political mobilization initiatives among indigenous groups in Bolivia and Ecuador, with an emphasis on the Aymaras and Quechuas in Bolivia. The findings contradict many aspects of recent scholarship on this subject.
 Javier Morales-Ortiz, *Baldwin-Wallace College*
 jmorales@bw.edu
 Rebecca Quinones, *Baldwin-Wallace College*
 rquinone@mail.bw.edu
- 1-35 **Indigenous Political Engagement and Business Party Breakdown in Southern Mexico: Institutions, Ethnic Identity, and Collective Action**
 This paper traces indigenous voting and mobilization in Oaxaca and Yucatan, Mexico from 1980 to present. It identifies how the political economy, political institutions, and ethnic identity act as key determinants of indigenous political engagement.
 Jessica Price, *University of Texas, Austin*
 jjprice@mail.utexas.edu
- 1-50 **Disc., Matthew Carnes, Georgetown University**
 mc247@georgetown.edu
- 2-10 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-11 **New Take on Government-Business Relations in Asia**
 Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Nitya Singh, Eastern Michigan University
 nitya.singh@emich.edu
- 12:50 **Varieties of Innovation Politics: Political Systems and the Evolution of High-Tech Clusters in East Asia**
 I apply a transaction cost politics approach to understand the ways in which political institutions affect the transactions that political actors are able to undertake, and the evolution of state-industry embeddedness that emerge.
 Youngmin Jo, *Indiana University*
 yjo@indiana.edu
- 1-05 **Korea Model Revisited**
 Many argue the Korea model, a case where social development precedes economic development is unsustainable. I argue it is sustainable due to improved economic growth and a structural shift to a public-private partnership in public goods provision.
 Ning Leung, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 nleung2@wisc.edu
- 1-20 **The Korean Dual Labor Market and Flexibilization: A Production Regime Theory Perspective**
 The Korean labor market is characterized by both the narrow boundary of the internal labor market and the rapid flexibilization, which increases unstable forms of employment such as a temporary job or a part-time job.
 Hae Byun Shin, *Korea University*
 countereconomic@hanmail.net
- 1-35 **Meeting the Challenges of Building an Innovative Country: China's Implementation of Its State Intellectual Property Right Strategy**
 This paper examines the implementation of Chinese patent policy at the turn of the new century.
 Zhenqiang Zhang, *University of Minnesota*
 zhan039@umn.edu
- 1-50 **Disc., Vera Zuo, UW-Madison**
 rzuo2@wisc.edu
- 2-10 **Audience Discussion**
- 12-10 **Politics of the Arab-Israeli Conflict**
 Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Karim Dana, Harvard University
 kdana@fas.harvard.edu
- 12:50 **The Israeli-Palestinian Peace Process after Oslo and the Land Question**
 The Oslo Accords gave the impression that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict was on the way to a satisfactory resolution. Seventeen years later a viable Palestinian state seems so remote. This paper will discuss why this is the case.
 Ibrahim G. Aoudé, *University of Hawaii*
 ioud@hawaii.edu
- 1-05 **One State or Two in Israel/Palestine: The Stress on Gender and Citizenship**
 The one state or two state 'solution' in Israel/Palestine will impact the status of women significantly. The stresses & benefits to women

- of each is examined, with the conclusion being that one state would better advance the interests of women.
Gordon A. Robert, *Chapman University*
gbr001@chapman.edu
Nicole Marie Tellier, *Chapman University*
tellier00@mail.chapman.edu
- 1:20 **Palestinian Economic Development: (Re)Evaluating Political Possibilities**
This paper addresses the recent policies of the Palestinian Authority of economic development while little attention is paid to the political conflict.
Karam Dana, *Harvard University*
kdana@fas.harvard.edu
- 1:25 **Economic Interdependence and the Arab-Israeli Conflict**
What role do multinational corporations (MNCs) play in promoting Arab-Israeli Peace?
Jeffrey Soudant, *American University*
soudant@american.edu
- 1:30 **Disc., Karam Dana, Harvard University**
kdana@fas.harvard.edu
Disc., Jeffrey Soudant, American University
soudant@american.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 13-7 **Support for Democracy and Value Patterns in Post-Communist Countries**
Room
12:45 Ashland on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Chad, Gints T. Palibinskis, *George Mason University*
gpalibin@gmu.edu
- 12:50 **Support for Democracy and Autocracy in Post-Soviet Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia, 1992-2010**
The main aim of this paper is to use the concept of political support for democracy as explaining political change in post-Communist Central Europe and post-Soviet Eurasia.
Christian William Haerpfer, *University of Aberdeen*
c.w.haerpfer@abdn.ac.uk
- 1:10 **Stalled or Substantive? Democratization and Public Opinion in Post-Orange Revolution Ukraine**
This study understands the failure of Ukraine to achieve democratic consolidation after the Orange Revolution by moving beyond institutional achievements and analyzing the substantive, socio-economic elements that have stalled democratization.
Mateusz G. Milerzejewski, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
milerz2@uic.edu
- 1:30 **State-Enforced Secularization in Socialist Societies**
Generational comparisons of religious beliefs in former socialist countries and Western democracies.
Anja Neundorff, *University of Oxford*
anja.neundorff@oxfield.ox.ac.uk
- 1:50 **Disc., Janna Liide, University of Bergen**
janna.liide@isp.uib.no
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 14-3 **Political Institutions and Markets as Complements**
Room
12:45 Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair, Jong Hee Park, *University of Chicago*
jhp@uchicago.edu
- 12:50 **The Dynamics of Policy and Politics: Politics of Unemployment in Sweden During the Interwar Period**
The author studies how the implementation of unemployment policies affected the politics of tripartite relations in Sweden during the interwar period.
Jae-Hung Ahn, *Kyung Hee University, South Korea*
jahn@khu.ac.kr
- 1:05 **The Personal Vote, Bureaucracy, and Corruption**
How do political institutions affect the performance of a market economy? To answer this question, this paper examines how political institutions affect corruption.
Gyung-Ho Jeong, *Clemson Graduate University*
gyung-ho.jeong@cgu.edu
- 1:20 **Closed Political Process, Monopolized Market, and Crisis-Road Governance: U.S. Nuclear Power Policy in Early Years and Its Comparative Implications**
This paper argues that a rapid takeoff of a policy program, if led by a small, closed circle of political and market actors, might, in the longer term be turned into policy gridlock and a governance crisis.
Seong-Ho Lim, *Kyung Hee University*
limsh@khu.ac.kr
- 1:35 **Divergent Capitalisms in a Converging World**
We study how inequality has evolved during the 20th century capitalist development.
Jong Hee Park, *University of Chicago*
jhp@uchicago.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Gyung-Ho Jeong, Clemson Graduate University**
gyung-ho.jeong@cgu.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 14-13 **Determinants of Welfare Preferences**
Room
12:45 Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair, Chelsea Brown, *Southern Methodist University*
brown@smu.edu
- 12:50 **Inside-Outsiders: The Role of Partner Job Status in the Formation of Welfare Preferences**
This paper finds that women's welfare preferences are more influenced by their partner's job status than by their own, whilst the reverse holds for men. Cross-national variation in these findings is explored.
Samuel Barrows, *Harvard University*
sbarrows@fas.harvard.edu
- 1:02 **Welfare State Exclusion and Poverty in Portugal and Spain: Change or Continuity?**
This paper analyzes poverty trends, the insider/outsider protection gap, the level of state versus family provision, and women's positions in Portugal and Spain. I identify changes in left power, the EU, and public opinion as important explanations.
Sabrina Marie Carlson, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
smcarlso@email.unc.edu
- 1:14 **The Electoral Effects of Income Redistribution**
How does income redistribution affect elections? I claim that the effect is conditional on country-specific factors, and, therefore, similar policies can have different consequences in different countries.
Diego Sanchez-Corrales, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
dcorrales2@illinois.edu
- 1:26 **Do Labor Market Institutions Affect Wages of Immigrants in Europe? Evidence from a Comparative Study**
The aim of this paper is to study the effect of institutional policies – labor unions, minimum wage systems and activation strategy – on the wage gap between immigrants and natives in 4 European countries (Denmark, the UK, Germany, and Luxembourg).
Anna Blom-Christensen, *Aarhus University*
Anna.Christensen@cec@oeps.au
- 1:39 **Employment Risk and Distributional Conflict in the Post-Industrial Labor Market**
This paper examines new distributional cleavages in the study of the welfare state. I derive and test a formal model to demonstrate that developments in social policy preferences are rooted in a multi-dimensional interpretation of employment risk.
Scott R. Powell, *Ohio State University*
powell.413@osu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Chelsea Brown, Southern Methodist University**
brown@smu.edu
Disc., Ian Camyar, University of Louisiana, Lafayette
luc2997@louisiana.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**

- 15-13 **The Domestic Effects of FDI**
 Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Haan Lee, Texas Tech University
 haan.lee@ttu.edu
- 12:50 **Committee for Innovation: The Economic Policies of Global Knowledge Acquisition**
 The study examines what effect multinational corporations have on their home countries' GDP growth. A panel data analysis suggests that the ability of firms to invest overseas is more important to domestic growth than receiving foreign investment.
 Nikolay Nick Anguelov, *Clemson University*
 nanguel@clemson.edu
- 1:02 **Foreign Aid and the Forty Thieves: The Effect of Developmental Aid on the Use of Violence During Democratization**
 What causes a democratic transition to turn violent. One possible answer I investigate is that large foreign aid flows give incentives to an autocratic ruler to fiercely defend his position from electoral loss or rebellion.
 Walter L. Laska, *Pennsylvania State University*
 wll120@psu.edu
- 1:14 **The Long and Short of It: The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Human Capital and Governance**
 Foreign direct investment does more than just increase economic development; it also promotes human capital and the quality of governance. Empirical results from a hierarchical model with random coefficients provides support for these hypotheses.
 Mark David Nieman, *University of Iowa*
 mark-nieman@uiowa.edu
- 1:26 **To Catch an Investor: The Moving Cellings of Attracting FDI**
 The variables often associated with attracting FDI—democracy, economic level, and human capital—are endogenous. Using a formal dynamic model, the paper explores the causes and impact of FDI.
 Mark David Nieman, *University of Iowa*
 mark-nieman@uiowa.edu
- 1:38 **Been or Carrot? The Effects of Foreign Direct Investment on the Sources of Growth**
 This paper shows that there is no direct effect of FDI on economic growth once institutions are controlled for. FDI affects the sources of growth differently. We also find an indirect effect of FDI on growth through improving domestic governance.
 Bollang Zhu, *Columbia University*
 bz2123@columbia.edu
 Pablo Martin Pineda, *Columbia University*
 pp2162@columbia.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Eries L. Owen, *Nicklaus Center for Globalization and Governance*
 owen@princeton.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 16-12 **The High and Low Politics of U.S. Foreign Policy**
 Room Bunkum 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Jennifer Farmer, *University of Louisville*
 j.farmer@louisville.edu
- 12:50 **International Gender Relations: Placing Women on the U.S. Foreign Policy Agenda**
 I test two hypotheses that explain why women are on the foreign policy agenda. First, Members of Congress active in US foreign policy are adding women. Second, Members active on domestic women's issues are expanding to include foreign women's issues.
 Sara Angewiese, *Rutgers University*
 sara.angewiese@gmail.com
- 1:06 **The Storm Without: International Turbulence and the American Domestic Agenda**
 Using the International Dyadic Events data and the Policy Agendas Project data this paper demonstrates the impact of international events on the American domestic political agenda.
 Gregory Curtis Dixon, *University of West Georgia*
 gdixon@westga.edu
 Callie Breanne Chory, *University of West Georgia*
 cchory1@my.westga.edu
 Heather A. Larsen-Price, *University of Memphis*
 hlarsenpre@memphis.edu
 Paul Rutledge, *University of West Georgia*
 prutledg@westga.edu
- 1:20 **Religious Groups and U.S. Foreign Policy: How They Differ From Ethnic Pressure Groups and Why They Are Succeeding**
 Increased involvement of religiously conservative Christians in foreign policy and a shift in Jewish self-identification based more on religious than ethnic classification have strengthened domestic religious groups' influence on US foreign policy.
 Joel Alicia Finegold, *George Mason University*
 jfinegol@gmu.edu
- 1:35 **Economic Interests in the Congressional Authorization of the Persian Gulf War**
 Using the results of an event study of the Persian Gulf Crisis, this paper shows that the expected economic effects of war across different sectors influenced congressional votes for and against the authorization of the use of force against Iraq.
 Samuel Sierra Seljan, *University of California, San Diego*
 sseljan@ucsd.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Kelly M McFarland, *US Department of State*
 mcfarlandKM@state.gov
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 16-13 **Understanding Democracy and Human Rights in Comparative and International Perspectives**
 Room Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 Chair, Richard W. Frank, *University of New Orleans*
 rwwfrank@uno.edu
- 12:50 **Putting Christians First? Highly Capable Democracies and the Design of Peace Operations**
 Whether highly capable democracies design unilateral peace operations that put the needs of civilians first or choose persona strategies with limited life-saving potential depends on the influence of domestic groups with competing policy preferences.
 Andrea Everett, *Princeton University*
 everett@princeton.edu
- 1:05 **Media Freedom, Foreign Capital Dependence, and Government Respect for Human Rights**
 This paper addresses the question of whether the relationship between media freedom and government respect for human rights is conditional on the level of a country's dependence on foreign capital.
 Dung Nguyen, *Kansas State University*
 nguyend@ksu.edu
- 1:20 **Dependent Compliance: How Dependence Influences a State's Compliance With Human Rights Treaties**
 In an attempt to better understand variation in states' levels of compliance to human rights treaties, this paper examines whether greater trade, security, and institutional ties increase a state's compliance to these treaties.
 Katherine K. Unger, *University of California, Davis*
 klaunger@ucdavis.edu
- 1:35 **Democracy and Human Rights Revisited: An Exogenous Explanation of Human Rights Development**
 This study explores an alternative causal mechanism related to the relationship between democracy and human rights. It suggests the exogenous causal mechanisms to explain why democracy and better human rights practices are related.
 Youqunao Ya, *Binghamton University*
 yyu2@binghamton.edu

- 1:50 **Disc., Richard W. Frank** *University of New Orleans*
rfrank@uno.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 17-14 **Bargaining and Intergate Conflict**
Room Sandberg 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Muhammad Ali Baq** *Harvard University*
mbaq@gov.harvard.edu
- 12:50 **On the Empirical Implications of Bargaining under Observation**
Do states act differently when they are being watched? This paper is an empirical analysis of the effect of observation by a hostile third party on a disputant's conflict behavior.
Tyson Chagnoffar, *University of Rochester*
ychagnoffar@mail.rochester.edu
- 1:02 **Incentives to Misrepresent and Incentives to Conceal Private Information in Conflict Bargaining**
This paper uses a formal bargaining model to explore how states' incentives to conceal, reveal, and misrepresent private information during crises create a more complex relationship between private information and war than previously thought
Olivier Henripin, *Northwestern University*
ohenripin@northwestern.edu
- 1:14 **God and the Strategic Imperative: Religious Doctrine, Foreign Policy, and Credible Commitments**
Can political and religious leaders of theocratic states violate foreign policy commitments couched in religious doctrine? Although religious justification does create a constraint, pragmatic concerns win out in the face of existential threats.
Abinet Mint Kartal, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
kartal@wisc.edu
Dave Ohls, *University of Wisconsin*
ohls@wisc.edu
- 1:26 **The Causes of Peace and the Conditions of War: The War Termination Triad and the Ending of Major Wars**
What makes wars end and what keeps wars from ending? This paper seeks to explore this question by examining the causes of peace and the conditions for war.
Jerome Thomas Moriarty, *University of Virginia*
jtm8u@virginia.edu
- 1:38 **Testing alternative models of war expansion**
I subject past theories of war expansion to statistical test as competing hypotheses. I find very limited support for the extant literature. I present new hypotheses derived from bargaining theories of war, which are better supported by the data.
Gary Uzonyi, *University of Michigan*
guzonyi@umich.edu
- 1:56 **Disc., Catherine Langlois** *Georgetown University*
langlois@georgetown.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-13 **Foreign Aid and Conflict**
Room Sandberg 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Amanda Abigail Licht** *University of South Carolina*
aalicht@gmail.com
- 12:59 **Where to Go? The Influence of Humanitarian Response on Forced Migration Flows**
The purpose of this study is to develop and empirically test a theoretical model about to what extent international humanitarian responses affect decisions of forced migrants on whether to cross borders of their country or stay within the borders.
Yeung Heon Song, *University of South Carolina*
yeungheon.song@gmail.com
- 1:10 **Adding Terrorism? The Effect of Foreign Aid on Transnational Terrorist Attacks**
Does foreign aid increase or decrease transnational terrorism? Our paper addresses this issue, and the results have implications for both scholars and policymakers.
- Joseph K. Young, *Southern Illinois University*
jkyoung@siu.edu
- Michael G. Findley, *Brigham Young University*
mike_findley@byu.edu
- 1:30 **Disc., Amanda Abigail Licht** *University of South Carolina*
aalicht@gmail.com
- 1:56 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-28 **Rebel Organization and Behavior during Civil Conflict**
Room Sandberg 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Timothy A. Carter** *Wayne State University*
tcarter@wayne.edu
- 12:50 **Crime Does Pay: Constraints and Rebel Behavior in Intrastate Conflict**
Focusing on the microlevel foundations of intrastate conflict, this paper uses new data to determine how rebel groups decide to adopt criminal financing during a conflict and how their decision affects the outcome of the conflict.
Michael Borch, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
michael.borch@colorado.edu
- 1:02 **Power Play: Splinters, Defections, and Alliance Building in Burmese Rebel Movements, 1930-2009**
This study focuses on why rebel groups split, join alliances, or reunify. It is argued that legitimacy can explain the longevity of rebel groups. This argument is explored using data on civil war actors in Burma, 1930-2009.
Joakim Kreutz, *Uppsala University*
joakim.kreutz@pec.uu.se
- 1:14 **Why Does the LRA Continue Abduction? An Intra-group Politics Approach**
Existing theories on a rebel group's recruitment practices lead to assume the group to be unitary and rational. This paper develops an alternative approach that focuses on the intra-group politics to explain continuous abduction by the LRA.
Kazuhiko Obayashi, *Huazhong University*
kazuhiko@jg@mail.gvu.edu
- 1:26 **Defection and Desertions from Rebel Organizations**
This paper presents a formal model to explain defection and desertion from rebel organizations.
Harish S. P., *New York University*
harishp@gmail.com
- 1:38 **No Such Thing as Collective Goods: The Political Utility of Low Level Civil War in Northern Uganda**
This project argues that civil war duration should be understood as a function of the calculations made both by rebel units and the established state, which has implications for the way in which we think of the preferences of the state.
Alexandru Zeon Alble Wishart, *Georgia State University*
awishart1@student.gsu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Timothy A. Carter** *Wayne State University*
tcarter@wayne.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 20-12 **Questioning the Authority and Legitimacy of the ICC**
Room McIntire 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
- 12:45 **Chair, Joonoko Koomeen** *Williamette University*
jkoomeen@willamette.edu
- 12:50 **Africa and the International Criminal Court: Judicial Imperialism, De-legitimization, and the Making of New Norms**
This paper argues that at this point the ICC is a tool for judicial imperialism in Africa. African states seek to de-legitimize the ICC, while creating new norms of legitimacy.
Oumar Ba, *Ohio University*
oumarba.23@gmail.com

- 1:45** **Commitment to the International Criminal Court in the Context of Other International Human Rights Treaties: Testing the Credible Threat Theory**
This article examines commitment to the International Criminal Court in the context of other international human rights treaties. I examine state ratification of the ICC and 13 other treaties based on their level of enforcement mechanisms.
Yvonne Marie Dutton, *University of Colorado, Boulder & The University of San Diego School of Law*
yvonne.dutton@colorado.edu
- 1:20** **Setting Sovereignty, Buying Autonomy: Less Powerful States' Strategic Use of International Institutions**
Uganda's approach of the International Criminal Court suggests that patronage-based peripheral regimes invite outside scrutiny of internationally contentious domestic practices to feign compliance with - and increase the lacity of - global norms.
Valerie Freedland, *Northwestern University*
vrfreedl@u.northwestern.edu
- 1:35** **The Origin of Sovereign Support for the International Criminal Court**
What explains broad sovereign support for the International Criminal Court? I review extant theories, present an original theory, and conduct empirical tests on cross-national data. Sovereigns are using the Court to safeguard tenure against rivals.
Berry Meunier-Rudrumova, *Emory University*
bmeunier@emory.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Jeanette Koomey, Willamette University**
jkoomey@willamette.edu
- 1:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 21-5** **Disc., Sivan Kishman Srinivas, Georgia Perimeter College**
ssriniva@ppc.edu
- 12:45** **Room**
- 22:50** **Disc., In-Young Ahn, New School for Social Research**
in.ahn@newschool.edu
- 1:05** **Disc., Rachel Gillum, Stanford University**
rgillum@stanford.edu
- 1:20** **Disc., Ingrid Thierwath, Centre de Sciences Humaines**
ingridthierwath@gmail.com
- 1:35** **Disc., Anne-Sophie Beatz, University Toulouse, Capitole**
anne-sophie.beatz@graduateuniv.toulouse.fr
- 1:50** **Disc., Ingrid Thierwath, Centre de Sciences Humaines**
ingridthierwath@gmail.com
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 24-8** **Room**
- 12:45** **Chair, Charles H. Stewart, Massachusetts Institute of Technology**
cstewart@mit.edu
- 12:50** **Voting: See It Now in Two Dimensions**
This paper refines what we know about the weights placed by voters on candidates' moral and economic preferences and proposes a method to measure a representative's ideological proximity to her constituents as opposed to their ideological correlation.
Jacob S. Bowzer-Bir, *Indiana University, Bloomington*
jbowzerb@indiana.edu
- 1:10** **Issue Proximity and Issue Priority Models in Candidate Choice and Political Involvement**
Issue proximity models have long dominated research on issue voting. Our paper proposes and tests an additional dimension of issue voting based on the shared priority of the issue for the voter and the candidate.
Ronald B. Rapoport, *College of William & Mary*
rbapo@wvmt.edu
Walter J. Stone, *University of California, Davis*
wstone@ucdavis.edu
- 1:30** **The Two-Body Problem of U.S. Senators and Their Re-election Constituencies**
We estimate reelection constituencies for US senators to examine if electoral competition is over moderate in a unidimensional economic or about building coalitions in a multidimensional space.
Michael W. Toftas, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
toftas@uw.edu
Michael Emley, *Kent State University*
emley@kent.edu
Scott De Marchi, *Washington University, St. Louis*
scmp4058@wupress.com
- 1:50** **Disc., John B. Lundregan, Princeton University**
jbl@princeton.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 25-12** **Room**
- 12:45** **Chair, Jeremy M. Telgen, Ramapo College**
jtelgen@ramapo.edu
- 12:50** **The Effects of Public Opinion for Informed and Uninformed Voters**
The scholarly research on whether heuristics allows individuals to make good decisions has been conflicted. Analysis of opinions, voting decisions and political platforms confirms the usefulness of heuristics and resolves this debate.
Nicholas D'Amico, *Indiana University*
ndamico@uindiana.edu
- 1:02** **Expectations, Economic Conditions and Political Events: Understanding Vote Choice Instability in Britain**
This study reports and models long term indecision in Britain. It particularly examines the influence of economic conditions, economic expectations, government performance and political events. The data covers the 1979 to 1997 period.
Sylvia Kosmala, *University of Essex*
skosm@essex.ac.uk
- 1:14** **Voter Shortightedness**
We designed a survey experiment to assess whether voters are shortsighted in their policy choices. Our design tests for several potential mechanisms underlying voter shortsightedness: impatience, preference for private goods, and uncertainty.
Neil Ankur Malhotra, *University of Pennsylvania*
neimal@sas.upenn.edu
Andrew John Healy, *Loyola Marymount University*
ahealy@lmu.edu

- 1:26** **Vote for Now, or for the Future? A Model of Instrumental Voting with Short-Run and Long-Run Concerns**
I consider an instrumental model of voting in a two-candidate election, where there is aggregate uncertainty about voters' preferences, and where candidates' future policy changes respond to current election results.
David P. Myatt, *University of Oxford*
david.myatt@economics.ox.ac.uk
- 1:38** **Uncertainty and Importance in Vote Choice**
We compare the role of importance and uncertainty as moderators of issues in vote choice. We use the 1996 NES to show that uncertainty strongly moderates the connection between issues and voting. Importance, in contrast, has no moderating effect.
David A. Peterson, *Iowa State University*
davouap@iastate.edu
Michael Alvarez, *California Institute of Technology*
mva@ics.caltech.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Christopher Baird Mann, University of Miami**
christopherbairdmann@gmail.com
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 26-5** **Quasi-experimental Tests of the Political Consequences of Changing State Electoral Laws**
LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair, Todd Donovan, *Western Washington University*
todd.donovan@wwu.edu
- 12:45** **Chair, Todd Donovan, Western Washington University**
- 12:50** **The Sudden Rise and More Sudden Fall of Ranked Choice Voting**
Ranked Choice Voting in Pierce Co., Wash. produced surprising results in 2008, including elections of dark horses for executive and auditors who raised no money. RCV was repealed in 2009. Vote patterns are analyzed at the individual level.
Tony L. Hill, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
tlh@alum.mit.edu
- 1:05** **The Effects of Voter ID Laws on Turnout**
Voter ID laws are controversial, but the literature examining their effects on turnout is small. In examining the effects of these laws on turnout, we account for previously ignored state-level factors and develop better measures of voter ID laws.
David M. Primo, *University of Rochester*
David.primo@rochester.edu
Jeff Milys, *University of Missouri*
MILYJO@MISSOURI.EDU
Jonathan D. Klingler, *University of Rochester*
jonklingler84@gmail.com
- 1:20** **Third Parties: Responsible Representation and a More Engaged Citizenry in American Democracy**
The removal of ballot access barriers increases electoral contestation rates and voter turnout, but a multi-member district plurality voting system increases third party electoral success.
Jennifer Sosa, *Northern Illinois University*
sossjean@yahoo.com
- 1:35** **Exploring Principal-Agent Relationships: Impact on Election Administration Outcomes**
This paper will test the relationship between election officials and their principals (state level administrators, the federal Election Assistance Commission, and Congress) on their attitudes toward HAVA.
Sarah Trumbet, *University of Oklahoma*
sarah.trumbet-1@ou.edu
- 1:50** **Disc., Todd Donovan, Western Washington University**
todd.donovan@wwu.edu
Disc., Michael G. Miller, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
mm1124@uis.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 27-12** **Social Networks and Deliberation**
Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair, Michael W. Wagner, *University of Nebraska*
mwagner3@unl.edu
- 12:45** **Communication Among Citizens: Agreement, Expertise, and Heterogeneous Information**
Citizens minimize information costs by relying on expert associates with shared preferences, but the supply of these ideal informants is often limited. We address the consequences for information processing based on a small group experiment.
Robert Huckfeldt, *University of California, Davis*
rhuckfeldt@ucdavis.edu
Jack Reilly, *University of California, Davis*
jreilly@ucdavis.edu
Matthew T. Pietryka, *University of California, Davis*
mtpietryka@ucdavis.edu
- 1:10** **Differences and Deliberation: The (de)Mobilizing Effects of Politically Heterogeneous Relationships**
We explore the consequences of exposure to political disagreement through 1) longitudinal data on the friendship networks of college students, and 2) an experiment providing individuals an opportunity to deliberate with their member of Congress.
David Lazer, *Northeastern University*
davidlazer@gmail.com
Kevin M. Esterling, *University of California, Riverside*
kevin.esterling@ucr.edu
Michael A. Neblo, *Ohio State University*
aeblo.1@osu.edu
- 1:30** **The Effects of Social Networks on Information Processing and Attitudinal Risk**
This study explores the impact of the variety of attitudes present in individuals' social networks on their processing of political information by utilizing a motivated political skepticism framework and an experimental manipulation.
Lindsay Clark Levitan, *Stony Brook University*
Lindsay.Levitan@stonybk.edu
Julie Wronski, *Stony Brook University*
jewlesanr@gmail.com
- 1:50** **Disc., Todd K. Hartman, Appalachian State University**
hartmanrk@appstate.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 28-8** **Attitudes about Immigration and Immigrants**
Room 5 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair, Maria A. Abrajado, *University of California, San Diego*
mabrajado@ucsd.edu
- 12:45** **Chair, Maria A. Abrajado, University of California, San Diego**
- 12:50** **U.S. Public Opinion Toward Undocumented Immigration Amid the War on Terror**
This paper examines US public opinion toward undocumented Hispanic immigration pre and post the terrorist attacks on 9/11. Specifically, it attempts to determine if a change in policies following 9/11 contributed to a shift in public opinion.
Brittany Marie Alfonso, *Western Michigan University*
brittany.alfonso-guzman@wmich.edu
- 1:02** **It's Not Your Picture It's Stereotyping, Framing and Attitudes About Immigration**
This paper examines the relationship between racial stereotyping and immigration opinion. Using survey experiments, we demonstrate that stereotyping of immigrants plays a major role in opinion but the results differ depending on the respondent's race.
Natalie Masouka, *Tufts University*
natalie.masouka@tufts.edu
June Y. Judd, *Rutgers University*
jyudd@ruc.edu
- 1:14** **Revisiting the Effects of Personalization: American Attitudes toward Immigration Policy**
Using a population-based survey experiment involving immigration policy, we examine the role that personalization plays in shaping

- public opinion. We draw on two theories outlining contradictory predictions about personalization's likely impact.
Mara Cecilia Garfield, University of Pennsylvania
 mgar72@gmail.com
Diana C. Mutz, University of Pennsylvania
 mutz@seas.upenn.edu
- 1:26 **Shutting the Door Behind You? An Analysis of Latino Attitudes on Undocumented Immigration**
 The purpose of this paper is to examine the attitudes of both citizen and non-citizen Latinos on policies dealing with undocumented immigration and to track how attitudes change as a function of citizenship status and time spent in the United States.
Brittany Nicole Perry, Duke University
 bnp2@duke.edu
- 1:38 **Skin vs. Skulls: Exploring Economic vs. Racial Threats as Drivers of Immigration Opinion in the Comparative Context**
 Economic versus racial threats in a cross-national comparison of immigration opinion.
Nicholas A. Valentino, University of Michigan
 avalekti@umich.edu
Shanto Iyengar, Stanford University
 siyengar@stanford.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Jeronimo Cortes, University of Houston**
 jcortes@central.uh.edu
Disc., Daniel Jacob Hopkins, Georgetown University
 dhopkins@g.harvard.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 28-30 **Responsibility Attribution**
 Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 Chair, Erin C. Cassese, West Virginia University
 erin.cassese@mail.wvu.edu
- 12:45 **Using Evidence of Deservingness from Multiple Time Points: Onset and Offset Controllability, Ideology, and Home Foreclosure**
 I examine how people use attributional evidence from multiple time points to determine affective reactions and helping intentions for people undergoing foreclosure, as well as ideological differences in these evidentiary strategies.
Mark J. Brandt, DePaul University
 mbrandt5@depaul.edu
- 1:05 **Systematically Biased Beliefs about Political Responsibility**
 Despite widespread voter ignorance, voters can punish or reward incumbents if they can judge their policies. Using a unique survey of the public and experts, i.e., APSA members, we show evidence of biased voter beliefs about political culpability.
Wayne A. Grove, Le Moyne College
 grovewa@le Moyne.edu
Bryan Caplan, George Mason University
 bcaplan@gmu.edu
Ilya Shmida, George Mason University
 isamin@gmu.edu
Eric Crampton, Canterbury University
 eric.crampton@canterbury.ac.nz
- 1:20 **Attributions for Racial Inequality in the Era of Obama**
 We employ attribution theory to outline and understand causal attributions about racial inequality and how attributions may have changed with the first African American president.
Donald P. Haider-Markel, University of Kansas
 dmarkel@ku.edu
Mark R. Joslyn, University of Kansas
 mjz@ku.edu
- 1:35 **Responsibility Attributions and Issue Perceptions: The Role of Motivated Reasoning in Ascribing Credit and Blame**
 This paper is an analysis of how people ascribe credit and blame for national issues based upon their perception of each issue and how those perceptions intersect with their personal biases. A 2010 survey about the economy and the Iraq War is used.
Steven P. Nawara, Ohio State University
 nawara.1@osu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Erin C. Cassese, West Virginia University**
 erin.cassese@mail.wvu.edu
Disc., David Doherty, Yale University
 david.doherty@yale.edu
- 2:30 Audience Discussion
- 30-7 **Institutional Structure and Representation**
 Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 Chair, Mack Mariani, Xavier University
 marianim@xavier.edu
- 12:50 **Moving Towards Gender Parity? An Analysis of Factors Affecting Female Representation in National Legislatures**
 This study identifies and measures variables that affect the percentages of women in national legislatures in more than 140 countries.
Kimberly S. Adams, East Stroudsburg University
 kimberly.adams@esu-box.esu.edu
- 1:05 **The Politics of Free Vote Attendance and Behavior in the UK: Examining the Effect of Gender on Free Vote Choice and Attendance in the Post-1997 Legislative Context**
 Using an original dataset of free votes taken in the British House of Commons from 2005 to 2010, we examine the effect of gender on free voting behavior and attendance across various issues, including institutional reform and reproductive rights.
Heidi D. Busche, Portland State University
 heidbusche@hotmail.com
Jessica Scott Tolstrup, Congressional Research Service
 jessica.tolstrup@gmail.com
- 1:20 **At-Large and Non-partisan: The Role of Institutions in Women's Electoral Advancements in American Cities**
 Drawing on work by Jessica Trounstein and Melody Valdini, this paper examines the role of at-large districts and non-partisan elections on women's electoral success in municipal elections in America's 100 largest cities.
Jennifer Edwards, University of Missouri, St. Louis
 jled5@umsl.edu
- 1:35 **Winning Seats without Gaining Power: Weak Parliaments, Political Strategies and Women MPs in Emerging Democracies**
 The paper revisits the debate over women's representation by shifting the focus from quantitative considerations to institutional characteristics and their impact on gender-specific legislative outcomes.
Anea Turcu, University of Central Florida
 aturcu@mail.ucf.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Michael A. Baum, University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth**
 mbaum@umassd.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 30-12 **Political Psychology and Gender**
 Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 Chair, Rebecca J. Hannagan, Northern Illinois University
 rhannaga@niu.edu
- 12:45 **She's My Kind of Gal: An Experimental Assessment of Partisan Identifiers on Support for Female Candidates**
 I propose that certain characteristics of female candidates, absent partisan affiliation, can cue different feminist values to be taken into consideration during evaluations. I use an experimental survey on the CCES to investigate this claim.
Melissa Ann Bell, University of Maryland
 mbell@gvptand.edu
- 1:05 **The Impact of Gender Stereotypes on Voting for Women Candidates in 2010**
 The primary aim of the paper is to examine whether gender stereotypical attitudes shape vote choice when a voter is faced with the choice of a woman candidate. Data are drawn from a panel survey of voters in 2010.

- Kathleen Dolan, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee**
kdolan@uwm.edu
- 1:20 Republican Female Candidates: A Survey Experiment about Ideology and Candidate Gender**
This paper discusses gender bias faced by Republican female candidates. These candidates can remove bias through the use of ideological cues in their advertisements. Results from a survey experiment suggest that ideological cues trump gender cues.
Sylvia Ye Friedel, University of California, Los Angeles
Sylvia.Ye@ucla.edu
- 1:35 Gender Role Identity and Political Involvement: Femininity, Masculinity and Gender Differences in Political Interest and Knowledge**
Examining the differences between men and women in political interest and knowledge from a gender role identity standpoint. Do psychologically feminine traits predict low levels of engagement and masculine traits explain higher levels?
Monica L. McDermott, Fordham University
mcdermott@fordham.edu
Caitlin Meyer, Fordham University
cmeyer@fordham.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Zoe M. Drake, Union College**
ozleyz@union.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 31-1 Room**
12:45 Chair, Charles M. Lamb, SUNY, Buffalo
clamb@buffalo.edu
- 12:50 At Odds with Men and the Media: The Precarious Position of Black Women in Hip-Hop**
Is the political agency that hip hop brings to urban black males open to black women in the same ways?
Ferris Hemphill, University of Michigan
rhemphill@umich.edu
- 1:10 A Rose by any Other Name: Media Depictions of Poverty**
This study builds on and extends the work of Martin Gilens (1996) in an effort to determine if a racialized construction of poverty still dominates US print media.
Matthew Legros Hippa, West Virginia University
mhippa@mix.wvu.edu
Jeff Worsham, West Virginia University
jworsham@wvu.edu
- 1:30 A Pale Shade of White: Media Constructions of the Tea Party as a White Power Movement**
The paper examines media accounts that connect the Tea Party movement to "white power" theories such as racism, white nationalist movements, and the use of symbols such as the Gadsden and confederate flags.
Amanda Rowe Tillotson, University of Michigan
amtill@umich.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Jose E. Cruz, SUNY, Albany**
ecruz@albany.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 31-12 Room**
12:45 Chair, Sharon Ann Navarro, University of Texas, San Antonio
Sharon.Navarro@utsa.edu
- 12:50 Trapped in an Identity: Why Marginalized Group Voters Are More Inclined towards Ethnic Voting**
This paper demonstrates that the incidence of ethnic voting is more likely among members of a marginalized ethnic group than members of a dominant group.
Amrit Abuja, University of California, Santa Barbara
abuja@umich.edu
- 1:02 Why Should We Help Them?: How Symbolic Racism Affects Latinos' Attitudes Toward Affirmative Action**
Few scholars have examined why levels of support for affirmative action vary between Latinos and African Americans. I contribute to the literature by demonstrating how symbolic racism affects support for affirmative action among Latinos.
Natasha Altorre, University of Iowa
nmtor@-altor@uiowa.edu
- 1:14 Experimental Test of the Effects of Racial Segregation on Intergroup Attitudes**
Experimentally test the effects of spatial segregation on the perception of difference between groups and argue that the experimental phenomenon is related to real-world political behavior.
Ryan D. Enos, Harvard University
renos@oels.edu
- 1:26 Perceptions on the Socio-Economic Status of Minorities in a Multi-Racial Context and Citizens' Attitudes Toward Racial Policies**
This study examines the effects of citizens' perceptions about the socio-economic status of racial and ethnic minority groups on their attitudes toward racial policies in a multi-racial context.
Imkhang Kim, Florida Atlantic University
dkim4@fau.edu
- 1:38 Diasporic Consciousness? Examining the Role of Racial Group Consciousness in Black Immigrants' and African Americans' Political Attitudes**
Using the NSAL and a survey experiment, the conditions under which Black immigrants' racial identity might be politicized are explored. The extent to which linked-fate is a determinant of Black immigrants' political attitudes is also explored.
Candice S. Watts, Duke University
cw55@duke.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Anand Shastri, Fashion & Commercial/Print Model**
Inspired by Various Agents
AShastri2@aol.com
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**
- 31-17 Room**
12:45 Chair, Christina M. Greer, Fordham University
cgreer@fordham.edu
- 12:50 Anger: Racial Resentment Drives Opposition to Health Care Reform**
My paper looks at whether emotion, particularly anger, activates racial resentful beliefs and increases its impact on health care policy opinions.
Antoine Jevon Banks, University of Maryland, College Park
abanks@cpd.umd.edu
- 1:05 The (En)lightening of Michelle Obama: Race, Femininity, and Transformation of The First Lady's Public Image**
We use a mixed-method content analysis of photographs to explore how depictions of Michelle Obama have evolved over the 2008 presidential election cycle. We find systematic attempts among photo journalists to racialize the First Lady's image.
Ray Block, University of Wisconsin, La Crosse
blockray@uwlax.edu
Christina S. Haynes, Ohio State University
haynes.132@osu.edu
- 1:20 For Whom Hard Times Befall: Group Cohesion and the Flight of the Uninsured**
This study examines whether people who lack health insurance are being connected with various other social groups in America, and, if so, determines how that association affects their prospects for relief from their insurance-related problems.
Harwood K. McClerking, Ohio State University
mcclerking.1@osu.edu

- 1:35 **Black Radicalism and the Obama Phenomenon**
A critical analysis of the role of radicalism in post-1965 black politics, with particular emphasis on the implications of the Obama presidency for black radical politics in the twenty-first century
Curtis Stokes, Michigan State University
cstokes@msu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Katrina L. Gamble, Brown University**
Katrina_Gamble@brown.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 32-6 **Xenophon and Socrates**
Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Shawn Welton, Tulane University**
swelton@gmail.com
- 12:50 **Education and Writing in Xenophon and Plato**
I examine three works of Xenophon and compare them to 3 works of Plato to bring out their differences in style, subject matter, & educational intent. These differences reveal important points on how to read them differently, as well as their message
Richard Barrett, University of California, San Diego
rbarrett@ucsd.edu
- 1:10 **The Battle Over Alcibiades' Legacy in 4th Century Athens**
This paper considers the battle over Alcibiades' legacy in the years following his death by examining the lesser examined texts of Xenophon and Diodorus' History as well as the speeches of Isocrates and Demosthenes.
Eric Hoyer, University of Pennsylvania
ehoyer@sas.upenn.edu
- 1:50 **Socrates against the Politicians**
Theorists committed to a democratic Socrates have missed his crucial edgeiness. Drawing on Jacques Rancière, I argue for a Socrates that disturbs such domestications and challenges contemporary appropriations.
Joel Alden Schlosser, Deep Springs College
schlosser@deepsprings.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Shane Gassaway, Tulane University**
gassawayshane@gmail.com
Disc., Shawn Welton, Tulane University
swelton@gmail.com
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-13 **Nietzsche and Heidegger**
Room LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Murray Strauss Yuzwenko Besette, Morehead State University**
murray.besette@gmail.com
- 12:50 **Nietzsche's Turn Against Socrates: A Tragic Alternative to the Theoretical Examination of Justice**
Nietzsche turns to ancient tragedy in hopes of resisting Socrates' demand that one account for the goodness of justice. This paper discusses the Promethean alternative first articulated in his *Birth of Tragedy* and developed in later writings.
Peter Busch, Villanova University
peter.busch@villanova.edu
- 1:10 **Nietzsche's Rhetorical Self**
A consideration of the pathos of "Ecce Homo", juxtaposed with that of Zarathustra. What do we learn about Nietzsche by comparing his personal rhetoric to that of his most unreasonable character?
Laura K. Field, University of Texas, Austin
lkfield@gmail.com
- 1:30 **Heidegger and Habermas: The Politics of Language, Rationality, and Art**
Addressing themes of aesthetics and politics, as well as affect and political theory, this paper puts Habermas' theory of communicative action in productive tension with Heidegger's conception of language as poetry.
Mary F. Steadler, University of Virginia
mf4s@virginia.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Murray Strauss Yuzwenko Besette, Morehead State University**
murray.besette@gmail.com
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 35-11 **Rhetoric and Reform in the Age of Jackson**
Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Joseph H Lane, Emory & Henry College**
jhlane@ehc.edu
- 12:50 **Hearing a Different Drummer: Thoreau's Principled Anti-War Rhetoric**
A rhetorical analysis of principled Thoreau's anti-war rhetoric and its use in re-opening public dialogue.
Ted Henry Brown, Texas A&M University
TedBrown@tamu.edu
- 1:10 **What to the Indian in the Fourth of July?: William Apess in Democratic Context**
This paper will investigate the attempts of Pequot orator William Apess in the 1830's to rhetorically shape a vision of the American demos that tolerates a measured separation by American Indian nations while still treating them as moral equals.
Burke Hendrix, Franklin & Marshall College
burke.hendrix@fsm.edu
- 1:30 **Thoreau and the Nature of Freedom**
This essay utilizes Pierre Hadot's history of the concept of nature to clarify the relationship between politics and nature in Henry Thoreau's natural history essays.
Jessilena McKenzie, Northern Kentucky University
mckenzejl1@nku.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Aaron Keck, Duke University**
aaron.keck@duke.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-13 **Methods of Political Theory**
Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, David N. Whitney, Louisiana State University**
dwhitn2@tigers.lsu.edu
- 12:50 **Democratic Catechisms: Tocqueville and the Methods of Political Theory**
On Tocqueville's new methodology
Richard Avramenko, University of Wisconsin, Madison
avramenko@wisc.edu
- 1:02 **The Death of Political Theory and the Behavioral Revolution: Foundation and Myth in American Political Science**
I evaluate political science and political theory literature in the 1950s. Topics include the behavioral revolution, the death of political theory, and the crisis of modernity. In the end I show that both theory and science are needed today.
Joshua R. Beckerman, Western Michigan University
joshua.r.beckerman@wmich.edu
- 1:14 **The Collapse of Modern Epistemology and the Practice of Political Philosophy**
Contemporary models for the relation of political philosophy to religion assumes an epistemology called classical foundationalism. But classical foundationalism is incoherent. So the relation of political philosophy to religion must be reframed.
Paul Robert DeHart, Texas State University
pd18@txstate.edu
- 1:26 **John Rawls, Hans Reichenbach: Empirical Justification in Justice as Fairness**
Influences from positivistic accounts of the natural sciences are explored in Rawls' theory of justice. These influences generate a number of conceptual difficulties in the justification of the theory.
Terrence Kelly, University of Alaska, Anchorage
tkelly@uakron.edu
- 1:34 **Nietzsche's Image of the Political Philosopher**
I argue that Nietzsche's critique of liberal contract theory offers us the most radical reconceptualization of political theory since Plato.

	The result is a highly suggestive, poetic political philosophy well-suited to a post-metaphysical age. William Winstanell, <i>George Washington University</i> winwinstanell@earthlink.net		of the U.S. President formally imply that the sixth party system began in 1968 or, at latest, 1980. Kentaro Fukumoto, <i>Gakushuin University</i> Kentaro.Fukumoto@gakushuin.ac.jp
1:50	Disc., Mark Bevir, <i>University of California, Berkeley</i> mbevir@berkeley.edu	1:35	Incorporating Qualitative Data: A Bayesian Approach to the World Bank's Governance Indicators This paper uses a Bayesian approach to estimate scores for the World Bank's Governance Indicators, incorporating qualitative data into the analysis. Katherine Schlosser, <i>University of Texas, Austin</i> schlosserkate@gmail.com
2:10	Disc., David N. Whitney, <i>Louisiana State University</i> dwh112@lsu.edu	1:50	Disc., Kobi Ake Abayomi, <i>Georgia Institute of Technology</i> kobi@gatech.edu
	Audience Discussion	2:10	Audience Discussion
37-14	Bureaucracies		
Room	Marchfield on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm		
12:45	Chair, Scott Ashworth, <i>University of Chicago</i> sashwon@uchicago.edu		
12:50	Choosing Records We consider a model of experts with career concerns. The expert makes T decisions in task A, and a Principal decides to appoint or not for task B. If Principal is informed (even poorly) and the record long enough, career concerns are not important. Maitav Inyazov, <i>California Institute of Technology</i> mairyoi@hss.caltech.edu	41-7	The Modern Wartime Presidency Free on the 5th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
	Andrea Mazzoni, <i>California Institute of Technology</i> amzrea@hss.caltech.edu	Room	Chair, Sean J. Savage, <i>Saint Mary's College</i> ssavage@saintmarys.edu
1:05	A Dynamic Model of Bureaucratic Preferences This paper develops a model that captures the degree of stickiness in bureaucratic preferences. Stuart V. Jordan, <i>University of Rochester</i> sjordan@mail.rochester.edu	12:45	Top Secret Memos: National Security Directives and the Modern American Presidency This paper examines a presidential directive that has received little scholarly and public attention, the national security directive, and offers an empirical analysis of its use as a policy instrument by modern American presidents. Carlos Kartaghe Diaz-Rosillo, <i>Harvard University</i> carlos@alumini.kg.harvard.edu
1:20	Information Sharing among Bureaucracies Greater information sharing among bureaucracies seems feasible and desirable for society; yet agencies often share less than they could. This paper considers the extent to which this sharing is beneficial and why agencies withhold information. Lawrence Tai, <i>Harvard University</i> ltai@fas.harvard.edu	12:50	Subordinate or Dictator: The Commander-in-Chief Clause through the Eyes of Congress In order to investigate how the inherent war powers of the President may have changed over time, this paper analyzes statements in which Members of Congress refer to the Commander-in-Chief clause of the U.S. Constitution during six major wars. Casey BK Dominguez, <i>University of San Diego</i> caseydominguez@sandiego.edu
1:35	Turf Wars We develop a model of competition and collaboration between government agencies. Michael M. Ting, <i>Columbia University</i> mm2033@columbia.edu	1:05	Law and Politics in White House: Department of Justice Reluctance on Counter-Terrorism Policy-Making: A Comparison Between the Bush and Obama Administrations Examines tension between law and politics in White House-DOJ relations in counterterrorism policy-making in Bush and Obama administrations. "Politics" governed relationship in Bush years, but Obama effort to replace it with "law" has faced obstacles. Nancy Kassop, <i>SUNY, New Paltz</i> kassopn@newpaltz.edu
1:50	Disc., Scott Ashworth, <i>University of Chicago</i> sashwon@uchicago.edu	1:20	War Powers in the Deliberative Moment: An Empirical Study of Congressional Constitutional Deliberation and the Balance of War Power This paper uses three empirical models to show that congressional deliberation over the constitutionality of wars has significant influence on Congress's ability to exert its will via the passage of legislation to check the President's use of force. Chia-ting Tsai, <i>Cornell Law School</i> ytpies@gmail.com
2:10	Disc., Alisa B. Biverty, <i>University of Northern Iowa</i> AlBiverty@uni.com	1:35	Disc., Nancy Kassop, <i>SUNY, New Paltz</i> kassopn@newpaltz.edu
	Audience Discussion	1:50	Disc., Andrew C. Rudstveit, <i>Dickinson College</i> rudstveit@dickinson.edu
38-9	Bayesian Applications in Political Science	2:10	Audience Discussion
Room	Creskill on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm		
12:45	Chair, Hans C. Noel, <i>Georgetown University</i> hcn4@georgetown.edu		
12:50	Not In Rivers but in Drops: Approximate Bayesian Computation with Simultaneous Selection of Sufficient Statistics ABC is an approach aimed at nonparametric estimation and hypothesis testing, esp. with large data sets. The paper integrates recent methodological advances from applied genetics to make ABC computationally feasible in the context of social science. Ilya Atanasov, <i>Rice University</i> ilya@rice.edu	41-13	Vote Threats: Politics and Policy Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
1:05	Ideological Movement: Adapting to Different Electorates I assume candidates adapt their ideological position to suit different electorates. I estimate a measure of ideology for the primary and another for the general. Ideology is recovered using a model of PAC donations estimated with Bayesian methods. Clayton E. Clowe, <i>University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee</i> ccclowe@uwm.edu	Room	Chair, Sung Chol, <i>Virginia Tech University</i> scsc@vt.edu
1:20	A Bayesian View of Party Systems This paper presents a Bayesian model to infer a party system from a sequence of actual in-parties, using a state space form. The results	12:45	Vote Bargaining in a Multidimensional Space: Understanding Simple Subject Pools and Item Yields By extending traditional models of executive-legislative veto bargaining to a multidimensional space, we can better understand

- the effects of dimensionality-reducing institutions like the item veto and the legislative single subject rule.
Adam R. Brown, Brigham Young University
 browna@byu.edu
- 1:10 **Threatening Legislative Riders: The Effect of Presidential Veto Threats on Legislative Riders to Appropriations Bills**
 We analyze legislative riders to appropriations bills where the president issues a veto threat. We find that a threat significantly increases the probability that the rider will be dropped before the bill is sent to the president for his signature.
Hans John Gordon Hassell, University of California, San Diego
 HassellH@ucsd.edu
Samuel Kernell, University of California, San Diego
 skernell@ucsd.edu
- 1:30 **Public Approval and Veto: Which Influences Which?**
 According to a classic theory, veto is a power to be constrained by public approval. Public punishment theory, however, argues veto has a negative impact on public approval for the president. The causal direction is explored.
Sejla Koo, Texas A&M University
 k7k7443@politics.tamu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Jim A. Davis**, Oklahoma State University
 jim.davis@okstate.edu
Disc., William Newmann, Virginia Commonwealth University
 wnewmann@vcu.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 42-22 **The Role of Money and Interest Groups in the Legislative Process**
 Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Christine Degregoria**, American University
 cdgreg@american.edu
 12:50 **Party Unity and Party Campaign Committee Contributions**
 We explore how national party committees and congressional hill committees use campaign contributions and coordinated expenditures to reward MCs for party unity and to punish disloyalty.
William Bernhard, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
 bernhard@uiuc.edu
Tracy Salida, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
 tsalida@illinois.edu
- 1:02 **Public Interest Group Scorecards and Influence in Congress**
 We offer a new measure of interest group influence in Congress by employing aggregated interest group scorecards for individual Congresses. We then test various explanations for variations in aggregated scorecard scores.
Daniel X. Chappé, University of Arkansas
 dchappe@uark.edu
William D. Schroek III, University of Arkansas
 wschroek@uark.edu
- 1:14 **Do Leadership PAC Donations Predict Cosponsorship in the U.S. House of Representatives for the 105th Through 119th Congresses?**
 We examine whether money influences the co-sponsorship activity of MCs. Specifically, we investigate whether the probability of a co-sponsorship tie increases if at least one of the MCs' Leadership PACs donated to the campaign of the other MC.
Noah J. Kaplan, University of Houston
 nkaplan@uh.edu
Ernesto F. Calvo, University of Maryland
 ec1v1u@geopl.umd.edu
George Hawley, University of Houston
 george.hawley@gmail.com
- 1:26 **A Backdoor to Influence in Congress: Organized Interests and Constitutional Caucuses**
 Although the House ended its support for caucuses 15 years ago, the number of caucuses has grown significantly. Evidence shows that interest groups play an increasingly large role in supporting caucuses and provide a new way to influence Congress.
- Kris C. Miller, University of Illinois
 kmiller@uiuc.edu
- 1:38 **The Next Frontier: Congressional Fundraising Appearances**
 Using a new dataset of guest appearances at congressional fundraising events, I argue that they provide a new opportunity for aspiring congressional leaders to distinguish themselves and acquire legislative capital.
Eleanor Nell Powell, Yale University
 elsa00c.powell@yale.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Edward Hasocka**, Wittenberg University
 ehasocke@wittenberg.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 42-22 **How Congressional Decisions Are Communicated**
 Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Matthew N. Green**, Catholic University of America
 GREENM@cua.edu
- 12:50 **Speak to Me: Do Members of Congress Communicate to Their Constituents with Ideological Language?**
 Using an original database of official MC emails, I test various hypotheses regarding the communication patterns of MCs. I find that members of Congress do use language that is ideologically distinguishing when communicating with their constituents.
Lindsay Cormack, New York University
 lc226@nyu.edu
- 1:05 **The Pork-Policy Tradeoff: How Legislators Obscure Controversial Decisions With Appropriations**
 This paper demonstrates that senators employ home styles to divert attention away from controversial votes. Using a new data set of press releases, I show that senators respond to controversial votes with increased focus on appropriations.
Justin Ryan Grimmer, Stanford University
 jgrimmer@stanford.edu
- 1:20 **To Speak or Not to Speak: That Is the Question**
 Scholars have generally overlooked a basic yet important question in congressional research: Why does a senator or representative speak at all?
Steengarder Grindlife, University of California, Los Angeles
 sgrindlife@ucla.edu
- 1:35 **A Model of Legislative Heresthetics**
 I present a new model of legislative heresthetics, or "Manipulation of issue dimensions", using textual quantitative analysis of legislative discussions. Partisan usage of words is found to be related to the composition of coalitions and oppositions.
Eitan Tzelgov, Pennsylvania State University
 tzelgov@psu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Stephanie Burkhalter**, Humboldt State University
 stephanie.burkhalter@humboldt.edu
Disc., Matthew N. Green, Catholic University of America
 GREENM@cua.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion
- 44-100 **Authors Meet Critics: Ekins, Ginsburg and Melton's The Endurance of National Constitutions, Elrich's Constitutional Theocracy and Jacobsohn's Constitutional Identity**
 Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 A discussion of Constitutional Theocracy, Constitutional Identity, and The Endurance of National Constitutions.

- Chair** Mark A. Graber, *University of Maryland*
mgrabec@law.umaryland.edu
- Panellist** **Ran Hirschl**, *University of Toronto*
ran.hirschl@utoronto.ca
Tom Ginsburg, *University of Chicago*
tginsburg@uchicago.edu
Gary Jeffrey Jacobsohn, *University of Texas, Austin*
gjjk9@mail.la.utexas.edu
- 45-7 Room** **12:45** **12:50**
- 12:45** **12:50**
- 1:02**
- 1:14**
- 1:26**
- 1:39**
- 1:50**
- 2:10**
- 45-9 Room** **12:45** **12:50**
- 1:05**
- 1:20**
- 1:35**
- 1:50**
- 2:10**
- 45-12 Room** **12:45** **12:50**
- 1:05**
- 1:20**
- 1:35**
- 1:50**
- 2:10**
- Disc.,** Tanja Jacobl, *Northwestern University*
t-jacobl@law.northwestern.edu
- Disc.,** Eric N. Waltenburg, *Purdue University*
ewaltenb@purdue.edu
- Audience Discussion**
- Analyzing Rules and Norms on the U.S. Supreme Court**
Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor. Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair, Jeffrey R. Lax, *Columbia University*
jrl2124@columbia.edu
- Examining Justice Behavior: Certiorari Dismissals on the U.S. Supreme Court**
This paper examines individual justice behavior in cases in which the Court dismisses certiorari as improvidently granted. It argues that the power to "dig" certiorari provides justices with the opportunity to engage in strategic behavior.
Scott A. Hanftrekkon, *Creighton University*
sahandrickson@creighton.edu
- Issue Fluidity on the Roberts Court**
In this paper, we analyze the recent use of issue transformation on the U.S. Supreme Court as a means of limiting or expanding the justices' plenary agenda.
Ryan King, *George Washington University*
rkking@gwmail.gwu.edu
Kevin T. McGuire, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
kmcguire@unc.edu
- Can Seniority Trump Ideology? Voting Sequence and Agenda Setting on the U.S. Supreme Court**
This paper studies how voting sequence and Rule of Four determines a justice's likelihood of voting as a coalition.
Quinn LL, *University of Central Florida*
quallij@mail.ucf.edu
Ehud N. Sammer, *SUNY Albany*
esammer@albany.edu
- Minority Right or Historical Artifact? The Supreme Court's Rule of Four**
This paper studies the institutional stability of the Supreme Court's Rule of Four across time.
Rene Lindstedt, *University of Essex*
r.lindstedt@essex.ac.uk
Brandon L. Bartels, *George Washington University*
bartels@gwu.edu
- Disc.,** Ryan Christopher Black, *Michigan State University*
rcblack@msu.edu
- Disc.,** Jeffrey R. Lax, *Columbia University*
jrl2124@columbia.edu
- Audience Discussion**
- Public Perceptions of Courts**
Burham 4 on the 7th floor. Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair, Sara C. Beresh, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
sberesh@uwm.edu
- Gender Diversity on the Bench: How Do Men React to an Increase in Female Judges?**
Using newly-acquired national experimental survey data, I explore how men respond to an increase in female judges on the bench. Contrary to expectations, men's trust in the courts rises as the percentage of female judges rises.
Nancy Scherer, *Wellesley College*
nscherer@wellesley.edu
- Revisiting the Effects of Maturity Coalition Size on Individual Perceptions of Judicial Legitimacy**
We examine the possibility that individuals continue the size of a Supreme Court majority as a signal of ideological distance rather than impartiality.

- James F. Spriggs, *Washington University, St. Louis*
jspriggs@wustl.edu
John T. Scott, *University of California, Davis*
jtscoot@ucdavis.edu
James Zink, *Emory University*
jzink@emory.edu
- 1:20 **The Effect of Making the Supreme Court a Congressional Campaign Issue on Voter Perceptions of Candidates: An Experiment**
This investigation uses an experimental design to determine whether attacking or supporting the US Supreme Court influences voter perceptions of congressional candidates.
Michael A. Unger, *Ramapo College*
ungm@ramapo.edu
- 1:35 **Are Judicial Symbols Uniquely Influential? A Comparison of Judicial Authority with the Authority of Other Political Institutions**
Much work assumes judicial symbols are uniquely influential in comparison to other types of government symbols. This study tests that assumption by comparing the effects on citizens and the meanings people attach to judicial and governmental symbols.
Ben Woodson, *Stony Brook University*
benjamin.woodson@stnbl.com
James L. Gibson, *Washington University, St. Louis*
jgibson@wustl.edu
Milton C. Lodge, *Stony Brook University*
Milton.Lodge@Stonybrook.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Sara C. Benesh, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee***
sbenesh@uwvm.edu
Disc., Brett W. Curry, *Georgia Southern University*
bcurry@georgiasouthern.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 46-13 **Partners or Protestors: State Government and Federal Policy**
Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Kenneth J. Meier, *Texas A&M University***
kmeier@polisci.tamu.edu
1:35 **State Speaking up on the Hill: Intergovernmental Advocacy in the U.S. Congress**
This paper examines the factors impacting state government advocacy in the U.S. Congress by focusing on testimony in congressional committees. Several features of a state's congressional delegation impact state government lobbying in Congress.
Heather M. Creek, *University of Maryland, College Park*
hcreek@gvpa.umd.edu
- 1:05 **Willing Partners or Reluctant Participants: An Analysis of State Compliance With No Child Left Behind's Requirements for an Assessment System**
This research evaluates the relationship between the U.S. Department of Education and the fifty states plus the District of Columbia with regard to No Child Left Behind's requirement to create a comprehensive assessment system.
Leander D. Kellogg, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
kellogg@unlvnevada.edu
E. Lee Bernick, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
Lee.Bernick@unlv.edu
- 1:20 **State Health Policies and the Implementation of the 2010 Health Care Reform**
The 2010 Health Care Reform relies heavily on state implementation, and this study explores how existing state health policy regimes, political institutions, citizen and elite ideology, and political culture affect the context for implementation.
Libbard Richardson, *University of Missouri*
richardsonle@missouri.edu
- 1:35 **Formation and Impact of Different Policy Implementation Structures: The Case of Medicare and Medicaid**
The purpose of this paper is to examine the effects the social construction of target populations has on the formation of public policy and the subsequent impacts this has on the implementation of programs by comparing Medicare and Medicaid.
Jacelyn Scheide, *American University*
js112fb@students.american.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Sandra K. Schneider, *Michigan State University***
skas@msu.edu
2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 47-11 **Local Participatory and Direct Democracy**
Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Caroline Patena, *University of Quebec, Montreal***
patena.caroline@uqam.ca
12:50 **Governance vs. Government: New Mechanisms for Participation in Italy**
This paper presents the experience of participatory governance in four medium-sized Italian cities characterized by different socio-political and economic contexts.
Sophia Busso, *London School of Economics*
s.busso@lse.ac.uk
- 1:05 **Constituency Matters: Strategies for Deciding Local Controversies at the Budget Box**
I examine how constituency impacts the use of local direct democracy by analyzing data on initiative use in American cities and two case studies involving controversial local initiative battles over illegal immigration and residential zoning.
Jackie Ann Filla, *Chatham University*
jfilla@chatham.edu
- 1:20 **Power to the People? An Evaluation of State Level Environmental Justice Policies**
This study investigates the efficacy of state-level structures utilized to engage marginalized populations in environmental decision making.
Angela Michelle Hines, *University of Arkansas*
ahines@uark.edu
- 1:35 **Participatory Democracy and Decentralization Processes: A Comparison between Marseilles (France), Montreal and Quebec (Canada)**
Caroline Patena is a political science professor at the University of Quebec in Montreal. Her research deals with governance and participation in cities with a focus on French-North American comparisons.
Caroline Patena, *University of Quebec, Montreal*
patena.caroline@uqam.ca
- 1:50 **Disc., Paul D. Seburnaker, *University of Kansas***
schu@ku.edu
2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 49-7 **Immigration and Immigrant Minorities in Health and Education**
Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair, Robert W. Mickey, *University of Michigan***
rmickey@umich.edu
12:50 **Power, Policy and Access in Arizona: A Look at Majority Interests in Minority Education**
Using theories of democratic governance and power, we consider whether majority interests are responsible for a more second-neutral education of English language learners, and the implications of such a policy for democratic society.
Ann Allen, *Ohio State University*
allen.952@osu.edu
Patrick Hanna, *Ohio State University*
hanna.200@beckeyemail.osu.edu

- 1:02 **Immigrants and Medicaid Enrollment: The Effect of Language Networks**
Our study explores how linguistic networks facilitate health insurance enrollment among immigrants. Using Census data, we find language and ethnic networks increase Medicaid take-up among non-English-speaking adults.
Emily Gee, Boston University
ege@bu.edu
Giovanni Girotto, Boston University
vgirotto@bu.edu
- 1:14 **Do Immigration Networks of Connectivity Facilitate Illegal Immigration?**
This paper will present illegal immigration within the context of network theory and reflect on the evolving influence of multinational individuals. This paper explores how transnational individuals shape existing democratic institutions in the US.
Gregory C. Hill, Boise State University
grehill@boisestate.edu
Kathleen M. Berthoin, Boise State University
kathleen.berthoin@boisestate.edu
- 1:26 **Screening for Health: International Migration and Health Security Threats**
This paper attempts to answer the question whether, and under what circumstances, immigrants pose a health security threat to host states.
Alleja Joo, University of California, Davis
ajoo@ucdavis.edu
- 1:38 **Inputs and Outputs: Bilingual Education Funding and Latino Student Performance**
Despite several studies, no conclusive assessment of bilingual education's efficacy exists. Using pooled time series data for Texas districts for 1993-2009, we examine the impact of bilingual education funding on Latino student performance.
Tabitha Susan Marie Morton, Texas A&M University
tabidam@politics.tamu.edu
Angel Luis Molina, Texas A&M University
angelmjr@politics.tamu.edu
- 1:50 **Disc.** Jason P. Casellas, University of Texas, Austin
casellas@mail.utexas.edu
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-10 **Room**
12:45
- 12:50 **Implementing Environmental Policy**
LaSalle 3 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair: David Florino, American University
dflorino@american.edu
- 12:50 **The Role of Citizen Review Boards in State-Level Environmental Rule-Making and Enforcement**
Citizen review boards are a popular feature of state-level environmental policy. Boards were formed, in part, to provide a cheaper, less invasive alternative to formal litigation. This paper seeks to analyze their ability to accomplish this goal.
Matthew B. Arbuckle, University of Missouri
mbawb@missouri.edu
Sean C. Nicholson-Crotty, University of Missouri, Columbia
nicholsoncrotty@missouri.edu
- 1:05 **Agenda Setting Gone Wild: Explaining the Disconnect between Problem Definition and Policy Implementation in Brucellosis Control in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem**
This paper explores a case of a policy solution to one problem that creates additional problems in its implementation.
Jo Arney, University of Wisconsin, La Crosse
arneyjo@gmail.com
- 1:20 **Options for Declining Resources: Fisheries Management, Environment, Uncertainty and Policy**
Declining Pacific Salmon, one of the most researched fisheries, has become a source of concern. Uncertainty challenges fisheries managers. Emerging technologies coupled with social values and beliefs may be the answer for newer environmental policy.
Dan Badulecu, University of British Columbia
columbus@cnlumbus-grp.com
Neha Bangar, Simon Fraser University
neha_bangar@sfu.ca
Murray Isman, University of British Columbia
isman@ubc.ca
- 1:35 **Their View Matters: Frontline Environmental Regulators' Perceptions of the Regulated Community in Ohio**
This paper presents results from a statewide survey of Ohio EPA regulators and their perceptions and experiences with the regulated community in Ohio.
Michelle Pautz, University of Dayton
michelle.pautz@notes.udel.edu
- 1:50 **Discussion: T&A**
- 2:10 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-15 **Room**
12:45
- 12:50 **Urban and Local Policy and Politics**
Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
Chair: Joshua Sapolich, Michigan State University
sapolich@msu.edu
- 12:50 **Implications for Program Efficiency Due to Local Government's Choice of Policy Tools**
This paper assesses the extent of electoral consequences influence upon a program's design and performance through demand side management policy tools employed in Tallahassee, Florida.
Cali Anne Curley, Florida State University
cac05c@fsu.edu
- 1:02 **The Strength of Political Ties: Political Sources of Urban Service Distribution in Post-Katrina New Orleans**
This paper examines the impact of political participation on the distribution of urban services in post-Katrina New Orleans. Findings suggest that any government is more responsive to the demands of politically active communities.
Min Hee Go, University of Chicago
mheego@uchicago.edu
- 1:14 **Suburban Municipal Characteristics That Attract Housing Choice Voucher Households: A Test of the Tiebout Hypothesis**
This study examines Housing Choice Voucher mobility within a Tiebout hypothesis framework to assess the choices made by HCV households in selecting a suburban community in which to live.
Adrienne M. Holloway, DePaul University
amholloway@depaul.edu

- 54-11 Dynamic Effects of Presidential Leadership**
 Room Salon 8 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, William Daniel Angel, *Ohio State University, Lima***
 angel.1@osu.edu
- 12:50 Bipartisanship in Partisan Times: Examining the Effect of Bipartisan Rhetoric on Presidential Legislative Success over Time**
 Have presidential efforts at bipartisan appeals become less effective as the American polity has grown more polarized?
Julia Azari, *Marquette University*
 julia.azari@marquette.edu
Jose D. Villalobos, *University of Texas, El Paso*
 jdvlalobos2@utep.edu
Justin S. Vaughn, *Cleveland State University*
 j.s.vaughn@csuohio.edu
- 1:02 A New Order? The Development of National Military Intervention Institutions in Antebellum America**
 Antebellum legislation that empowered presidents to deploy military force inside the U.S. occurred during episodes of domestic and international conflict, which elevated nationalist ideas in Congress and diminished competing states-rights claims.
Donald A. Kennedy, *Michigan State University*
 Kennedyd@msu.edu
- 1:14 Re-writing the Cannon Revolt: Perpetrated by Presidential Preferences?**
 It is argued that Cannon's dictatorial use of power caused the revolt in 1910. Based on a model and archival research, I argue that the presidential change from progressive T. Roosevelt to conservative W. Taft in 1909 triggered the revolt.
Ginela Sla, *University of Illinois*
 gsla@uiuc.edu
- 1:26 Global Welfare a Bad Name: Presidential Politics and the Media Framing of Welfare in the 1960s and '70s**
 The paper relies on an original database of coded New York Times articles on national welfare policy, identifying the role of presidential leadership in the emergence of negative racial framing for welfare in the late 1960s and '70s.
Scott Jeremy Spitzer, *California State University, Fullerton*
 spitzer@fullerton.edu
- 1:38 Actor and Symbol?: The Rally Effect, McKinley, and the Spanish-American War**
 An examination of the causes of the rally effect in historical perspective, this paper attempts to determine the precise cause of the rally effect by removing one of the two from the historical context.
John P. Todsen, *Drake University*
 john.todsen@drake.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., William Daniel Angel, *Ohio State University, Lima***
 angel.1@osu.edu**
- Disc., Scott Jeremy Spitzer, *California State University, Fullerton***
 spitzer@fullerton.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**

- 56-14 Religion, Leadership, and Political Preferences in America**
 Room Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
 12:45 **Chair, Michael Edwin Bailey, *Berry College***
 mbaily@berry.edu
- 12:50 America's Response to Crisis: In God We Trust**
 This paper examines how American political leaders utilize religion in dealing with crisis. It will be shown how, in a democracy, religion is exercised to justify, solve, and even take advantage of crisis.
Dennis Beesley, *American University*
 beesleyd1@hotmail.com
- 1:10 The Political Spring of American Churches**
 An analysis of political influences on religious behavior and belonging.

- Jason Huxter, *Vanderbilt University***
 jason.huxter@vanderbilt.edu
- 1:30 The Effects of Seminary on the Politics of Mainline Protestant Clergy**
 This paper shows that seminary type (mainline vs. evangelical or unaffiliated) is significantly related to several political outcomes. It also grapples with endogeneity, pointing toward a causal effect for seminary on the politics of clergy.
Jacob Lappier, *Georgetown University*
 j1644@georgetown.edu
- 1:50 **Disc., Lauren R. Olson, *Clemson University***
 LaurenC@clemson.edu**
- 2:10 Audience Discussion**

- 63-201 Undergraduate Posters II**
 Room Grand on the 4th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
- Post. 1 Safe Space: Discrimination and the Mobilization of Latinos**
 Using the Latino National Survey I have investigated the effects of discrimination on Latino political mobilization. I hypothesized that perceived and experienced discrimination increases the political activism of individual Latinos.
Sara Adams, *University of North Texas*
 saraadams@my.unt.edu
- Post. 2 Church Government and Civic Participation**
 I am using survey data from the Social Capital Community Benchmark Survey 2008 to examine levels of civic participation among people who attend Protestant churches that are hierarchically governed compared to those that are congregationally governed.
Thomas Bell, *Trinity University*
 tbel@trinity.edu
- Post. 3 The Effect of Sex and Race on Voter Responses from the U.S. Congress and State Legislatures**
 Legislators may respond differently to constituents based on sex and race. Correspondingly, constituents may also have different levels of satisfaction for the type of response that they receive from their legislators.
Josephine Mary Borich, *Brighton Young University*
 josephineborich@gmail.com
- Post. 4 The Alien Tort Statute: A Tool for International Law Accountability or a Distraction from the International Criminal Court?**
 This paper compares two different aspects of international law, the International Criminal Court and the Alien Tort Statute. The effectiveness of both are examined, one as a permanent institution and the other as a legal tool.
Christian Boyd, *Farman University*
 ahboyd@fau.edu
- Post. 5 Gun and Roster: An Analysis of Gun Violence and Sex Ratios in the United States**
 Analysis of whether significantly higher male populations in states increase the amount of gun related violence. In each respective state, testing Hudson and den Boer's theory, that high-sex-ratio societies breed chronic violence.
Devon Cantwell, *University of Kansas*
 devon.cantwell@gmail.com
- Post. 6 The Influence of Issue-Specific Knowledge on Public Evaluations of Health Care Reform**
 This paper examines the role of knowledge on public evaluations of the recently-passed health care reform legislation. I also examine the effect of knowledge on opinions of the President and Congress's handling of health care reform.
Christopher Lee Carter, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 ccarter@unc.edu
- Post. 7 The Effect of Economic Outlook on the Presidential Job Approval Rating**
 The general assumption among the majority is that the economy has a large effect on the President's approval rating. My research shows,

however, that there is no correlation between the public's perception of the economy and the President's approval.

Kendall Claire Carty, *University of Mary Washington*
kcarty@mail.umw.edu

Post. 8 Building a Security Community in the Asia-Pacific: A Role for Australia?

This project examines Australian foreign policy, with a specific focus on the prospects for treating an Asia-Pacific security community as part of a new Australian security strategy.

Jonathan Chen, *Mercator College*
jankchen@gmail.com

Post. 9 Political Parties, Terrorist Groups and Their Life Cycles

I research Weinberg's, Eubenik's, and Pedahzur's term "life cycle," in which a political party becomes a terrorist group and reverts to a political party, as well as a terrorist group undergoing the same process.

Sara Dandabati, *University of Texas, Austin*
sidadandabati@gmail.com

Post. 10 Popular Resentment, Leadership Attitudes, and Turkish Accession to the European Union

This paper seeks to explain popular and elite attitudes regarding Turkish accession to the EU.

Tahira Dean, *University of North Texas*
tahiradean@yahoo.com

Post. 11 Achieving Education For All: The Sub-Saharan African Exception

This paper explores the reasons behind the struggles of sub-Saharan African countries to achieve basic education by 2015.

Emma Dismore Dlouf, *Earlham College*
eddlouf07@earlham.edu

Post. 12 Contradictory Constructions: Contradiction in Traditional Chinese Security Paradigms and Its Effect on Contemporary Chinese Strategic Culture

Ancient Chinese writings on war can be broken into two main camps, the idealist Confucian paradigm and the more realist parabolism paradigm. My paper explores the effect of tension between the two paradigms on contemporary Chinese strategic culture.

Michael Gary Freedman, *Mercator College*
mfreedm@mercator.edu

Post. 13 Representation in Utah's Candidate Nomination System

This research leverages data collected in Utah during the Republican state nominating convention, primary, and general election to discover what demographic characteristics of delegates lead them to have different issue positions than other voters.

Matthew David Free, *Bryham Young University*
matthew.free@byu.edu

Post. 14 Human Rights, Interpersonal Trust, and Women

The purpose of this pilot study is to explore the link between human rights promotion and protection and interpersonal trust in comparative perspective.

Claire E. Galley, *Tulane University*
cgalley@tulane.edu

Post. 15 Gender Quotas: The Latin American Phenomenon

This paper explores how and why Chile, Argentina and Brazil have had such different experiences with electing women to legislative bodies. Argentina's enforced quota system has been the most effective, while Chile lags behind.

Amy Evelyn Gearhart, *University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh*
amy.e.gearhart@gmail.com

Post. 16 Winning the Unwinable War: The Myths and Realities of Combating Insurgency Campaigns

By critically examining insurgency movements in Malaysia, Afghanistan and Iraq, this paper addresses the evolving debate over counterinsurgency campaigns: if they unwinable because of the resources it takes to win, as compared with what is gained.

Joshua Gillum, *University of Arkansas, Morrilton*
radiff@seamonk.edu

Post. 17 A Comparative Analysis of Corruption in Russia: Why Does It Remain Widespread?

Over the last 20 years, Russia has been troubled by the prevalence of corruption. Government's efforts to reduce it have been largely ineffective. Part of the problem seems to be the government's inability to integrate Russian public in its efforts.

Dmitriy Glushov, *Grinnell College*
glushovdm@grinnell.edu

Post. 18 The Social Networks of War: Contextualizing Non-state Actors in Civil Conflict

Why do non-state actors initiate violence against their governments?

This study seeks to answer this question by applying a social network approach to the analysis of interstate war.

Jared Hull, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
jrhull2@illinois.edu

Post. 19 Working out Public Opinion: A Study of Marijuana

Critical analysis of the marijuana debate using public opinion data to discuss the War on Drugs, Proposition 19, and the constitutional battle between states' rights and the federal government regarding medical marijuana.

Brian P. Harney, *Illinois State University*
bpharne@ilstu.edu

Post. 20 Tea Party Endorsements in the 2010 Congressional Elections: An Analysis of the Tea Party Movement's Strategy and Impact

This project provides empirical evidence on the impact and strategy of endorsements made by the Tea Party Movement. The project builds a model of the movement's ability to move electoral outcomes now and into the future.

John Baschew Holbein, *Bryham Young University*
john.holbein@gmail.com

Post. 21 Network Analytic Approaches to Mining and Using Bilateral Trade Flow Data

Bilateral trade flow data is used to test network analytic methods that may shed light on and conform to qualitative descriptions of the international trade system.

Elis Kadeem Jackson, *Tennessee State University*
ejackson14@tnstate.edu

Post. 22 Social Movements and Source Mining: How Levels of Organization Determine Legislative Success

Why are some American social movements legislatively successful while others are not? This study demonstrates that the different repertoires of tactics employed by social movements lead to different legislative outcomes.

Joshua Janke, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
jjanke2@illinois.edu

Post. 23 Analyzing Citizen Support for EU-level Immigration Policies

I explain how and why attitudes towards immigration affect the public's attitudes on integration issues. Furthermore, I explore the factors behind mass opinion towards EU versus national-level decision making on immigration.

Andreas Jozwiak, *Trinity University*
ajozwiak@trinity.edu

Post. 24 Framing Title IX and Public Support: Helping Male Athletes vs. Helping Female Athletes

Our experiment demonstrates that attitudes toward Title IX differ depending upon how it is framed. We find greater support for Title IX when it is framed as helping female athletes than as helping male athletes.

Grace Kaleski, *Birmingham Southern College*
gkaleski@bsc.edu

Mary-Kate Lizotte, *Birmingham-Southern College*
mklizotte@jysalon.com

Post. 25 Gender Equality and Post-conflict Peace

This study explores the question: Does gender equality effect the duration of peace in a post-civil conflict society? I argue that the political, economic, and social inclusion of women significantly matters in the context of post-conflict.

Eliza C. Kelly, *University of North Texas*
ek00012@unt.edu

- Post. 26 Poverty in Native American Tribal Areas: Effects of Economic and Geographical Restrictions**
I examine the roles that tribal land proximity to major metropolitan areas, high school graduation rates, and tribal gaming play in explaining per capita income and unemployment rates on American Indian Reservations.
Thomas Kierl, *Creighton University*
tkk19264@creighton.edu
- Post. 27 Business in the Run-Up?: Target Corporation and the Limits of Campaign Finance Disclosure**
Target became the first major corporation to take advantage of the Citizens United ruling. The case highlights the limits of disclosure and the public's ability to meaningfully use the disclosed information to hold political actors accountable.
Taron Klugner, *Macalester College*
tklugner@macalester.edu
- Post. 28 The Specters of the African Savage: How the Media Representations of Africa Affected Humanitarian Aid and Intervention in the Rwandan Genocide**
The lack of response to the Rwandan Genocide is symptomatic of the Western view of the African as a Savage Victim. I argue in this paper that this perception was perpetuated by Western media portrayals of the crisis.
Lauren Kolack, *DePaul University*
lkolack@yahoo.com
- Post. 29 Measuring Discrete Emotions in Politics**
I borrow trait anger and anxiety scales used extensively in clinical psychology and adapt them to the realm of politics. My goal is to show that these scales do a better job testing the unique effects of anger and fear in political survey research.
Dustin Alan Landers, *Appalachian State University*
dustin.landars@gmail.com
- Post. 30 Race and Poverty in America Revisited: A Second Look at Media Representations of the Poor**
We examine whether minorities are still over represented in the media's depictions of the poor. We then examine whether minorities are portrayed less sympathetically than Caucasians and whether sympathy increases in difficult economic times.
Michelle Mason, *Purdue University*
mmason0@purdue.edu
Benjamin Florance, *Purdue University*
benfloranceig@gmail.com
- Post. 31 Executive Order: An In-Depth Analysis**
The examination of executive orders issued from 1981-2010, from the Reagan Administration to the current Obama Administration.
Ellen Nicole McKinley, *Corroll University*
emckinle@corroll.edu
- Post. 32 Talkies Without Representations: Sustaining Ideological Authoritarian Regimes**
This political economy research focuses on an alternative approach to the sustainability and persistence of electoral authoritarian regimes by blending the rich literature on public choice theory and revenue bargaining.
John David Miller, *United States Naval Academy*
m114458@gmail.com
- Post. 33 U.N. Peacebuilding and Economic Development: Evaluation of Peacebuilding Mandates and Impact on Implementation**
This study examines the relationship between UN peacebuilding and economic development. It analyzes ways peacebuilding mandates have evolved concerning economic development and whether the evaluation has impacted implementation on the ground.
Sarah Minot, *College of William*
sminott1@wvagner.edu
- Post. 34 Conditions for Independent Women Voting Republican**
This study seeks to understand the conditions for independent women voters voting Republican in Presidential elections. Using American National Election Study survey data, I examine what factors contribute to Republican vote choice.
Hannah Beth Mooney, *Creighton University*
hannahmooney@creighton.edu
- Post. 35 Demand of the East: The Implications of the Strategic India-U.S. Nuclear Deal**
Using the balance of power theory developed by Stephen Walt, this paper examines the India-U.S. nuclear deal as a key instrument in the eventual destabilization of the Asian region.
Stephanie Murti, *California State University, East Bay*
smurti@horizon.csueastbay.edu
- Post. 36 Causes of Ethnic Conflict: Examining the Role of Religious Diversity and Contagion Effects**
I examine the role of religious diversity and contagion effects, including number of border states with armed conflict and number of refugees, in determining the likelihood of ethnic conflict from 1969 to 2002.
Mai Nguyen, *Creighton University*
mainguyen@creighton.edu
- Post. 37 Politics of School Improvement**
This paper examines the effectiveness of School Improvement Committees, as mandated by No Child Left Behind for schools that fail to meet Adequate Yearly Progress in Worcester, Massachusetts.
Elizabeth Smith Parker, *College of the Holy Cross*
espark11@holycross.edu
- Post. 38 Is Islam Compatible with Democracy: A Critical Re-examination of Existing Theory to Establish Renewed Potential**
This paper reexamines the core principles of democratic consolidation/modernity (i.e. checks and balances) to create a more holistic view of democracy that is flexible enough to adapt western-style democracy to the varied cultures of the Middle East.
Nicholas Provencher, *University of Arkansas, Monticello*
NRP3226@uamont.edu
- Post. 39 Tracking the Power Dynamics behind Neo-Liberal Imperialist Expansions: The Case of the Green Bank**
This paper uses the Green Bank as a case study to examine how neo-liberal imperialist expansion works to establish Empire.
Aadfa Sadig, *DePaul University*
aadfa.sadig@yahoo.com
- Post. 40 No Laughing Matter: The Effects of Entertainment News on Political Knowledge and Cynicism**
This study examines the effects of entertainment news shows on political knowledge and cynicism and explores whether these programs help bridge the gap between politically attentive individuals and those with low levels of political interest.
Stephen Schbleicher, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
sschle12@illinois.edu
- Post. 41 What Influences a Political Leader's Popularity: Determinants of Citizen Approval for Presidents and Prime Ministers in Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus**
We explore the determinants of support for the President and Prime Minister in Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus using survey evidence from 2000-2011. Attitudes towards the economy, democratic values, and political interest are identified as significant.
Catherine Scott, *Grinnell College*
scotcat@grinnell.edu
- Post. 42 U.S. Influence on Sustainable Agricultural Production and Practice in the Developing World**
This paper uses a case study analysis to examine the influence of U.S. based organization on the ways and effectiveness of practice of sustainable agriculture within the context of sustainable development. The case studies will focus on Zimbabwe.
Katherine Shultz, *Purdue University*
shultz.katie6@gmail.com
- Post. 43 The Political Implications of Democracy Following the Fall of Communism in Former Czechoslovakia**
This project analyzes the imagination of democracy after the fall of communism in Eastern Europe. It compares and contrasts the idea of democracy expressed in Vaclav Havel's speeches with liberal democratic theory and democratization theory.

- Vera Sidlova, *Middlebury College*
vsidlova@middlebury.edu
- Post. 44** **Explanations and Implications of Midterm Wave Elections**
The focus of this paper is to analyze the cause of high turnover midterm congressional elections and to explain what these critical elections mean for future contests.
Jonathan Christopher Simpson, *Xavier University*
simpsonj@xavier.edu
- Post. 45** **Women's Education and Conflict Onset**
This paper investigates the role of women's education in conflict onset, arguing that increased access to education gives women greater opportunities to mount effective informal or formal political opposition against initiating conflict.
William Raymond Sumpter, *University of Missouri-Columbia*
willsumpter@gmail.com
- Post. 46** **Leadership PAC Contribution Size as It Correlates With Legislation Involvement**
Leadership PAC size is rapidly growing and becoming a main source of funding campaigns between congressmen. Does the size of a Senator's PAC have any correlation with his involvement in the legislative process?
Joshua Gerald Teahan, *University of Texas, Austin*
JoshuaTeahan@mail.utexas.edu
- Post. 47** **The Worth of Civil Society Institutions to Democratic Transitions**
This research paper looks at how civil society institutions, grass roots movements, and the actions of citizens impact countries' transitions to democracy.
Samantha Wen Li Thee, *Brigham Young University, Hawaii*
sthe0888@byuh.edu
- Post. 48** **Evaluating WTO Legislation: A Comparative Study on the Impact of the Agreement on the Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights on Developing World Pharmaceutical Industries and Access to Essential Medicines**
This paper examines the impact that TRIP has on countries such as India that have large pharmaceutical sectors that are key in the provision of low-cost medicines to populations that could otherwise not have afforded them.
Marie Yafarah, *Fordham College*
mavarak07@fordham.edu
- Post. 49** **mega-events, Political Action, and Mobilization Strategies at the 2010 World Cup in South Africa**
Using evidence from fieldwork in South Africa, this research identifies the incentives for mass mobilization at international "mega-events" and examines the causes and implications of political action triggered by the 2010 World Cup in South Africa.
William James von Geldern, *Cornell College*
wvngeldc@cornell.edu
- Post. 50** **Federal Emergency Management: A Look Into Congressional Response Leading up to and Immediately Following Disasters**
My project analyzes the theory of punctuated equilibrium and whether or not disasters cause an increase in bill passage rates in Congress. It also takes into account the socioeconomic status of the disaster area.
Christina Wright, *University of Texas, Austin*
cm_wright@yahoo.com
- 67-1** **Makings of 'Great Leadership': Tactics, Practices, and Ongoing Quest**
Room Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair,** Carol Ann Traut, *University of Texas of the Permian Basin*
traut_ca@utpb.edu
12:50 **A Weberian Analysis of Muhammad**
This paper discusses the role of Muhammad as a leader, using Weber's notions of the state, the statesman, and leadership (legalistic, charismatic, and traditional).
Reem Abou-Samra, *Wayne State University*
reem.abou@wayne.edu
- 1:02** **Moroccan Monarchy and Islam: The Pendulum Model Assessed**
This study analyzes the Moroccan Monarchy's leadership in stabilizing his rule vis-a-vis Islamists, both moderate and extremist, within ten years of rule through my dynamic interactive model of rulers and ruled, the Pendulum Model, at times of crisis.
Sonia Altanek, *University of Texas, Pan American*
altanek@upa.edu
- 1:14** **Born to Lead? A Twin Design and Genetic Association Study of Leadership**
This research uncovers the first specific gene to be associated with the individual propensity to take on leadership roles in society.
Jan-Emanuel De Neve, *London School of Economics*
j.e.de-neve@lse.ac.uk
Nicholas A. Christakis, *Harvard Medical School*
chriskak@hcp.med.harvard.edu
Chris Dawes, *University of California, San Diego*
cdawes@ucsd.edu
Slava Mikhaylov, *University College, London*
s.mikhaylov@ucl.ac.uk
James H. Fowler, *University of California, San Diego*
jhfowler@ucsd.edu
- 1:26** **Disappearing Dissidents: Women and Politics in Post-Communist Czech Republic**
This paper examines why women, who actively participated in the Czechoslovak opposition movement, disappear from formal politics in the new democratic Czech Republic.
Pera Hejnova, *Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts*
phejnova@maxwell.mcl.edu
- 1:38** **Are There Female Political Practices? A Microlevel Analysis of Decision-making Processes**
Can a gendered leadership style be identified in the policy process? Based on a microanalysis of audiovisual material, the paper identifies specific political practices that may be characterized as typically female political practices.
Tanja Prizlaff, *University of Bremen*
t.prizlaff@gmx.de
- 1:50** **Disc. Carol Ann Traut, University of Texas of the Permian Basin**
traut_ca@utpb.edu
- 2:10** **Audience Discussion**
- 70-100** **Public Services in an Economic Downturn**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
This roundtable examines the impact of economic downturns on public services and how public agencies cope with the demand to "do more with less".
Chair Andrew Whitford, *University of Georgia*
aw@uga.edu
Panelists Terry M. Moore, *Stanford University*
tmoore@stanford.edu
Sean C. Nicholson-Crotty, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
nicholsoncrotty@missouri.edu
Hal C. Rainey, *University of Georgia*
hrainey@uga.edu
B. Guy Peters, *University of Pittsburgh*
bgpeters@pitt.edu
- 72-5** **Political Networks in International Politics**
Room Kimball on the 7th floor, Sat at 12:45 pm
12:45 **Chair,** Mark N. Lubell, *University of California, Davis*
mlubell@ucdavis.edu
- 12:50** **Networks, Collective Action, and State Formation**
A computational model is used to explore the relationship between various network structures and the outcome of a basic public goods game. It is used to model how social structures affect state building efforts.
Andrew Conway, *New York University*
dew.conway@nyu.edu

- 1:05 **From Belief to Resistance: The Emergence of clandestine Supply Networks in Occupied Lebanon, 1982-1987**
Using the case of Palestinians in Lebanon, this paper argues that the key to militant groups' survival under occupation is the ability to activate different within-organization networks over time in response to violent repression.
Sarah Elizabeth Parkinson, *University of Chicago*
sparkinson@uchicago.edu
- 1:20 **The Colombia-U.S. Transnational Human Rights Advocacy Network: Policy Change and Protection OR Smoke and Mirrors?**
U.S. and Colombian human rights NGOs have coalesced in a number of ways to advocate for changes in policies and in human rights practices on the ground in Colombia. This paper seeks to examine the effectiveness of their mechanisms of influence.
Patricia Rodriguez, *Idaho College*
prodriguez@idaho.edu
Janice Gallagher, *Cornell University*
janicegallagher@yahoo.com
- 1:35 **Self-Organizing Governance: The Strength of Who You Deal With and How You Deal With**
This paper examines self-organizing governance by analyzing communities' choice of the types of organization and strength of ties in affecting the likelihood of their success in planning and implementing community water supply initiatives in Nepal.
Manoj Kumar Shrestha, *University of Idaho*
mks@uidaho.edu
- 1:50 Disc., Charles R. Lever, *Bancom de México*
charleslever@gmail.com
Disc., Mark N. Lubell, *University of California, Davis*
mlubell@ucdavis.edu
- 2:10 Audience Discussion

Saturday, April 2 at 2:40 pm**1-109 Public Deliberation as a Means to Measure Public Opinion: A Critical Assessment**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
This session will bring together leading scholars of public opinion and public deliberation to examine the strengths and weaknesses of public deliberation as a means to understand public opinion.

Chair John M. Sides, *George Washington University*
jsides@gwu.edu

Panelist Michael A. Neblo, *Ohio State University*
neblo.1@osu.edu
Christopher Karpowitz, *Brigham Young University*
ckarpowitz@byu.edu
Lee Teeteh, *University of California, Berkeley*
teeteh@berkeley.edu
Lawrence R. Jacobs, *University of Minnesota*
ljacobs@umn.edu
Benjamin I. Page, *Northwestern University*
b-page@northwestern.edu
Kevin M. Esterling, *University of California, Riverside*
kevin.esterling@ucr.edu

2-101 Author meets critics: Jonathan Rodden (2011): *The Long Shadow of the Industrial Revolution: Political Geography and the Representation of the Left*

Room Bingham 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
This is an author-meets-critics event for the above mentioned book.

Chair Jonathan Rodden, *Stanford University*
jrodden@stanford.edu

Panelist Erik Wibbels, *Duke University*
ew41@duke.edu
Joshua J. Dyck, *UNY Buffalo*
jdyck@buffalo.edu
Rob Johnston, *University of Bristol*
rjohnson@bristol.ac.uk
Ernesto E. Calvo, *University of Maryland*
ecalvo@ggpc.umd.edu

4-12 The Politics of Public Goods Provision III

Room Dearburn 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
Chair Scott-Rhee Rym, *University of Pittsburgh, Bradford*
sr16@pitt.edu

2:45 Commitment or Coordination? National Level Collective Goods in Nigeria, 1960-2007

Using original data, I analyze economic performance and judicial efficiency in Nigeria. Empirical tests demonstrate that regimes with more veto players face increased coordination problems which impair delivery of collective goods.

**Carl Levan, American University
levan@american.edu**

3:00 Redistributive Politics and Public Education in Latin America

This paper explains why some democratic developing countries favor tertiary education over elementary education even when the poor prefer the latter. Empirical evidence is provided for 12 democratic countries in Latin America.

**Christian Paque De Lenc, University of Chicago
chpaque@uchicago.edu**

3:15 Are Two Bureaucrats Better Than One? Accounting and Explaining the Administrative Density of Political Units in Kenya

This paper examines the effects of administrative density within electoral units on the availability of local public goods, using a unique subnational dataset from Kenya.

**Ryan Matthew Shuey, Harvard University
ryanmshuey@gmail.com
**Mal Hassan, Harvard University
hassan@fas.harvard.edu****

3:30 Democracy's Effect on Bureaucratic-Legislative Relations: Theoretical Expectations and Mexican Reality
Does democracy increase legislative control over bureaucracy? The paper examines how the bureaucrats' control over important governmental resources impedes effective congressional oversight of the public health sector in Mexico between 1997 and 2009.
**Rodrigo Velazquez, Universidad Iberoamericana
rvelazquez@mat.uisi.ac.edu**

3:45 Disc., Felicia Owusu-Fofie, Ghana Institute of Public Administration
fofie@gimpa.edu.gh
**Disc., Scott-Rhee Rym, University of Pittsburgh, Bradford
sr16@pitt.edu**

4:05 Audience Discussion

4-25 Ethnic Identity, Group Boundaries and Conflict

Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
Chair Victor M. Oliveira, *University of Florida*
victor.olivieri@ufl.edu

2:45 Endogenous Ethnic Preferences

We revisit the possibility that the mechanism linking ethnic heterogeneity with bad development outcomes is preference divergence and find substantial evidence in its favor.
**Gwyneth Calvert McClendon, Princeton University
gmcclend@princeton.edu**

**Kvan S. Lieberman, Princeton University
est@princeton.edu**

3:05 Conceptualizing and Measuring Group Tolerance

This paper explains the origins, structure and limits of tolerance in Indonesia by developing the concept of group tolerance and applying it to newly collected survey data.

**Jeremy Matthew Monchik, University of Wisconsin
monchik@wisc.edu**

3:25 Changing Administrative Boundaries: How Decentralization Affects Ethnic Riots

This paper examines how changes in boundaries of administrative units affect group competition and violence.

**Rish J. Toha, University of California, Los Angeles
rtoha@ucla.edu**

3:45 Disc., Mauricio Romero, Universidad Javeriana
mauricio_romero_vidal@hotmail.com

4:05 Audience Discussion

5-19 Voting in New Democracies

Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
Chair Vicki L. Heisl, *University of Iowa*
vicki-heisl@uiowa.edu

2:45 Issue Salience in Electoral Authoritarian Regimes

This paper explores how voters in electoral authoritarian regimes weigh the salience of left-right policy issues relative to regime-liberalization issues. When do voters prioritize institutional change over traditional partisan goals?

**Eric R. Glancke, University of Michigan
erimg@umich.edu**

3:00 Voting for Peace? Democratization and the Durability of Civil War Termination

Does democratization make peace more durable after civil war? This paper assesses the relationship between political competition and the stability of civil war termination, paying particular attention to variation across prior regime types.

**Jennifer G. Raymond, Georgetown University
jgr28@georgetown.edu**

3:15 Class, Ethnicity and Voting in Central Asia

Using a two wave survey from before and after the 2010 Kyrgyz elections, we investigate the interaction of class and ethnic identification on voting, political attitudes and the politics of coalition-making in Central Asia's only parliamentary system.

- Græme B. Robertson, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
grsmae@email.unc.edu
- 3:30 **3:30** **Elections, Post-Electoral Redistribution, and the Survival of the Authoritarian Government: Empirical Study of Serbia in the 1990s**
This paper investigates the role of electoral competition—whether the entry of opposition is limited—in post-electoral redistribution from authoritarian government. I examine municipality-level data of Serbia in the 1990s.
Katsunori Seki, *Texas A&M University*
seki.katsunori@gmail.com
- 3:45 **3:45** **Disc., Ora Jehu Reuter, Columbia University**
ojreuter@gmail.com
- 4:09 **4:09** **Audience Discussion**
- 6-16 **Decentralization**
Room Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **2:40** **Chair, Maria Escobar-Leamon, Texas A&M University**
escobar@polisci.tamu.edu
- 2:45 **Reforming Federalism: The Dynamics of Party and Party System Change in Austria, Germany, and Switzerland**
Why and how do the fundamental institutional rules of a political system evolve or change? The (failed) reforms of the Austrian, German and Swiss federal systems since the 1990s present opportunities to examine the causes of constitutional change.
Günther M. Heug, *Western Michigan University*
gunther.heug@wmich.edu
- 3:00 **3:00** **Holding on by a Little Go: Decentralization and Regime Survival**
This study focuses on the political determinants of decentralization, arguing that decentralization is an action taken by weak regimes to ensure regime survival in the face of political challenges.
Meghan Sue McConaughy, *Georgetown University*
mjm83@georgetown.edu
- 3:15 **3:15** **Multilevel Elections and Party Fortunes: The Impact of Decentralization in Western Europe**
Despite its adoption across W. Europe, little is known about the effects of decentralization on party fortunes. This paper explores how decentralization alters the vote share of mainstream and regionalist parties at national & subnational levels.
Bonnie M. Meguid, *University of Rochester*
bonnie.meguid@rochester.edu
- 3:30 **3:30** **The Determinants of the Degree and Form of Decentralization**
What determines the form and degree of state decentralization? I test how presidential bargaining power shapes the form of decentralization (political, administrative, or fiscal) and the influence of party systems on the degree of decentralization.
Nicholas Joseph Sedna, *University of Missouri*
njs465@gmail.missouri.edu
- 3:45 **3:45** **Disc., Maria Escobar-Leamon, Texas A&M University**
escobar@polisci.tamu.edu
Disc., Debra Fagl, New York University, Abu Dhabi
df339@nyu.edu
- 4:09 **4:09** **Audience Discussion**
- 7-14 **Economics and Voting Behavior in Comparative Politics**
Room Monrose 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **2:40** **Chair, Maria Petrova, New Economic School**
petrova.m@gmail.com
- 2:45 **An Empirical Test of Economic Voting and Political Context in East Asia**
In East Asian new democracies, economic perceptions matters less on vote choice while a traditional party is ruling. Traditional parties in East Asia have advantages in voting choice.
Suog-Min Cha, *Korea University*
xenosm@korea.ac.kr
- 3:00 **3:00** **Economic Performance, Perceptions, and Retrospective Voting: Mechanisms of Electoral Accountability**
Using survey data from 18 countries across 30 years and a new structural equation model, we show that the effect of performance on incumbent vote share is mediated by retrospective evaluations. This effect is weaker under coalition governments.
Michael J. Donnelly, *Princeton University*
mjdonnell@princeton.edu
Michael Becher, *Princeton University*
mbecher@princeton.edu
- 3:15 **3:15** **How Partisans Evaluate the Economy: Behavioral Consequences of Vote Players**
Integrating recent theories on cognition and decision making with vote players theory, I demonstrate that as the number of ideological distance among vote players increases, the marginal impact of partisanship on economic evaluation is reduced.
Hyeonho Hahn, *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor*
hahn@umich.edu
- 3:30 **3:30** **Political Knowledge, the Global Economic Crisis, and Voting Behavior**
The extent of economic voting in response to the economic crisis depends on political knowledge.
Erik R. Tillman, *DePaul University*
etillman@depaul.edu
- 3:45 **3:45** **Disc., Delton Thomas Dalgic, Ohio State University**
tdalgic2@osu.edu
- 4:09 **4:09** **Audience Discussion**
- 8-13 **Political Representation across Europe**
Room Salvo 1 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **2:40** **Chair, Martin Einar Hansen, University of Vienna**
martin.hansen@univie.ac.at
- 2:45 **Explaining EDM Sponsorship in the British House of Commons**
The use of Early Day Motions – formal expressions of opinion – has increased in the British House of Commons. Analysis of 20 years of EDM data shows that the increased use of EDMs is a response to greater competitiveness at the constituency level.
Michael Kellehermann, *United States Naval Academy*
kellehma@usna.edu
- 2:57 **Election Pledge Fulfillment in a Post-Communist Environment: Bulgaria in Comparative Perspective**
Factors that affect pledge fulfillment, congruence between parties and voters.
Petia A. Kostadinova, *University of Florida*
petak@ers.ufl.edu
- 3:09 **Party Responsiveness at Home and Abroad: Comparing Party-Voter Linkages in Different Issue Areas**
This paper examines which voters parties respond to and differentiation across issue areas and political systems. Using data from the CMP, DPES, and ANES, we compare party responsiveness to change in public opinion across four issue areas.
Debra Lynn Leiter, *University of California, Davis*
dleiter@ucdavis.edu
Nicole Kalaf-Hughes, *University of California, Davis*
ngkalaf@ucdavis.edu
- 3:21 **Consolidating Democracy after a Pacted Transition: The Divergent Cases of Spain and Venezuela**
From an analysis of the Spanish and Venezuelan cases, this paper identifies some potential causes for the variations in the consolidation of democracy, with an emphasis on the inclusiveness of the pacts and the consolidation of political parties.
Rossella Maria Muyor, *George Mason University*
rmuyor2@gmu.edu
- 3:33 **The Chief of the Merrier: Concentration of Power as a Mediator of Political Dissatisfaction and Turnout**
We find evidence for the hypothesis that higher concentrations of power weaken the negative relationship between dissatisfaction and

- turnout, because they ease the attribution of political responsibility and mechanisms of accountability.
Sergi Pardos, *University of Oxford*
sergi.pardos@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
Carolina Galais, *Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona*
carolina.galais@uab.cat
Jordi Muñoz, *Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona*
jordi.muñoz@uab.cat
- 3:45 **Disc., Martin Ejuar Hansen, University of Denver**
martin.hansen@univdenver.edu
Disc., Steve B. Linn, Kutztown University
slinn@kutztown.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-8 **Social and Governmental Responses to Crime**
Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Raul Cipriano González, CIPE**
raul.gonzalez@cipe.edu
- 2:45 **Government Social Spending and Transnational Criminal Organization Violence in Mexico and Brazil**
This paper examines why the Mexican government has been unsuccessful in stopping the systemic violence in the country by comparing Mexico with Brazil, using municipal level time-series data in both countries.
Justin Earl Lance, *College of Charleston*
lanceje@cofc.edu
Dag Messiga, *Dawson College*
dmessiga@davidson.edu
- 3:00 **Identifying Popular Support for Armed Groups in Colombia**
This paper explores popular support for armed groups in Colombia through analysis of a new national survey conducted in 2019. The survey employs both direct and experimental questions to overcome preference falsification.
Alba Michela Masarek, *Stanford University*
masarek@stanford.edu
Miguel Garcia, *Universidad de Los Andes*
mgarcia268@uniandes.edu.co
- 3:15 **When Do Politicians and Drug Traffickers Ally? Changes in the Political-Criminal Nexus as a Result of Mexico's Drug War**
As violence has escalated, and because of state capacity constraints, an alliance between less-violent criminal organizations and the Mexican government has emerged, causing the selective use of enforcement against illegal drug production.
Wridhwan Rios, *Harvard University*
wrios@fas.harvard.edu
- 3:30 **Women, Post-Conflict and New Security Challenges in Colombia**
This paper examines how the coexistence of parallel structures of security governance in Colombia has created competing structures of authority and complicated the detecting and denouncing of social cleansing and violence against women.
Elisa Armada, *Universidad Externado de Colombia*
elisa.armada@hotmail.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Ronald E. Ahren, Saint Mary's College of California**
rahren@stmarys-ca.edu
Disc., Mary Fran T. Malone, University of New Hampshire
Mary.Malone@unh.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-12 **Financial Crisis and Economic and Social Reforms in Asia**
Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Bin Yu, Xavier University**
Bin.Yu@Colorado.edu
- 2:45 **Ideas in Health Policy-making: A case of the "Health Insurance as One" Movement in Korea**
This paper criticizes the convergence theory of neo-liberalism by exploring the process of embarking on the "Health Insurance as One" movement in Korea, and instead emphasizes the importance of role of ideas played in politics of health policy.
Ungki Jung, *Seoul National University*
sungeruk@snu.ac.kr
- 3:00 **Financial Crisis and Social Protection in East Asia**
This study addresses the question of why East Asian states have adopted different types of social protection in the period since the 1997 Asian financial crisis.
Myoung-Suk Masom Kim, *Taiwan University*
mskim2@sun.ac.kr
- 3:15 **Comparing Banking Reforms in Korea, Indonesia, and Thailand: The Asian Financial Crisis Thereafter**
This paper explores political determinants of financial reforms in such areas as banking supervision and capital account liberalization in Indonesia, Thailand, and Korea by comparing policies after the graduation of the IMF conditionality programs.
Sawa Omari, *International Christian University*
sawaomari@icu.ac.jp
- 3:30 **Economic Reforms, Exclusion and Democracy**
Economic reforms have failed to alter the plight of the marginalized sections of the society. Distrust against democracy spawned due to marginalization unveils a crisis staring in its face, which it can afford to ignore only in its peril.
Sanjeev Kumar Saha, *S.K.M. University, Dumba, Jharkhand*
dr.sanjeevsaha@rediffmail.com
- 3:45 **Discussion, TBA**
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 12-11 **Durable Authoritarianism in the Contemporary Middle East**
Room Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Nimah Mazaheri, University of Washington**
nmah@u.washington.edu
- 2:45 **The King's Dilemma Revisited: Pathways of Authoritarian Monarchies into the 21st Century**
The paper examines the interplay of key conditions (Western support, broad regime coalitions, legitimacy campaigns) that have allowed authoritarian monarchies to resolve the king's dilemma, leading to diverse pathways into the 21st century.
André Bank, *German Institute of Global and Area Studies*
bank@igga-hamburg.de
Thomas Richter, *German Institute of Global and Area Studies*
richter@igga-hamburg.de
- 3:05 **U.S. Democracy Promotion in Jordan: Insights from a Survey Experiment**
Would Jordanians view the U.S. more favorably if the United States pushed harder for democracy in Jordan, as some pundits have argued? This paper uses a survey experiment to answer this question.
Sarah Suna Bush, *Princeton University*
sbush1@princeton.edu
- 3:25 **Disc., Nimah Mazaheri, University of Washington**
nmah@u.washington.edu
- 3:45 **Audience Discussion**
- 13-8 **Parliamentary Behaviour in Post-Communist States**
Room Indiana on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Kathleen Regina Barrett, Georgia State University**
kathiebarrett@gsu.edu
- 2:45 **Coalition Strategies and Democratic Behaviors: The Cases of Latvia and Georgia**
A comparison of how formerly communist states have utilized coalitions as means to gain and hold power, or avoided them due to the limitations that coalition governance implies for leaderships and their policy preferences.
Mark A. Cichocki, *University of Texas, Arlington*
cichocki@uta.edu

- 2:57 **Generating Dissonance: Party Cohesion and Discipline Following the Electoral Change in Romania**
This paper investigates if party cohesion and discipline suffer modifications after radical changes of the electoral rules. By using roll call votes, we illustrate the differences between MPs elected within PR blocked list and SMD systems.
Sergiu Ghorghies, *Leibniz University*
sergiu@yahoo.com
Mihail Chiriac, *Central European University, Budapest*
mihail_chiriac@yahoo.com
- 3:09 **Political Opposition and Accountability in Transitional Legislatures: Moldova and Ukraine Compared**
The paper investigates how legislators use parliamentary accountability tools in two post-communist societies, focusing on how members of the political opposition and pro-government factions differ in their strategic use of questions/interpellations.
Erika S. Herrero, *University of Kansas*
eherrero@ku.edu
Cristian Cioba, *University of Kansas*
cc1011@gmail.com
- 3:21 **Semi-presidentialism and Government Stability: Insights From the Cases of Poland and Ukraine**
This paper compares semi-presidentialism in Poland and Ukraine to assess the role of dual executive tensions on the development of the party system.
Janina P. Holt, *Loyola University, Maryland*
jholt@loyola.edu
- 3:33 **Klovers and Curtains: Approaching the Social Dialogue in the Newest Member States of the European Union from a Sociological Institutional Perspective**
On the case studies of Romania and Bulgaria, this paper will take an institutionalist perspective and try to define whether and when one can actually speak of social dialogue as a formal or informal institution.
Bettina Wagner, *Humboldt University, Berlin*
bettina.wagner@gmail.com
- 3:45 **Diez, Andreas Begenholm, University of Gothenburg**
andreas.begenholm@pol.gu.se
- 4:05 Audience Discussion
- 13-18 **Identity Constructions after the Fall of Communism**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Richard Alan Arnold, *Muskingum University*
rarnold@muskingum.edu
2:45 **Russian Language: Between Identity and Economic Interest**
This paper explores why now, almost two decades after the Soviet dissolution some ex-Soviet republics still feel the necessity of using the Russian language, while other republics avoided supporting Russian language in their homeland.
Anna Kim, *Seoul National University*
annechka@yahoo.com
- 3:00 **Two Sides of Color: Neo-Liberal and Welfare Reforms in Post-Communist Countries**
The paper looks at the two sides of transition in post-communist countries— neo-liberal economic reform and welfare reform — and tests whether welfare policy reform helps ease transitional costs of neo-liberal reforms.
Julia Hyeoyoung Kim, *University of California, Los Angeles*
julialkim@ucla.edu
- 3:15 **Usable History: The Primacy of the Second World War in Post-Soviet Russian National Identity Construction**
This paper traces the history of the Second World War and its Soviet use as a tool of propaganda. The war has again come into vogue as a tool of national identity, but this time as a Russian, as opposed to Soviet, phenomenon.
Todd Halsey Nelson, *Kent State University*
halseynelson@yahoo.com
- 3:39 **Review Revisited: Considering the Effect of National Identity on Democratization in Post-Soviet Russia**
An integrative approach bridging national identity, civil society, and democratization research frameworks, this effort explores how the development of post-Soviet Russian national identity has impacted the process of prospects for democratization.
John P. Schultz, *Purdue University*
jpschull@purdue.edu
- 3:45 Discussion, TBA
4:05 Audience Discussion
- 14-14 **Politics of Regulation**
Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Sophia N. Johnson, *New York University*
sj31@nyu.edu
2:45 **Institutional Origin of Regulatory Policy and Small Business Development in Russia's Regions**
This paper investigates how the institutional origin of regulatory policy affects economic activity by examining two alternative regulatory pathways: legislative statutes and bureaucratic directives.
Daria S. Duvanova, *SUNY, Buffalo*
duvanova@buffalo.edu
Quentin H. Bouzer, *Ohio State University*
bouzer.1@osu.edu
- 3:00 **Political Institution and Financial Reform: A Comparison Between Taiwan and South Korea**
Political institutions in Taiwan and South Korea may endow actors with different incentives, which further influence political functioning and policy process, shaping the different consequences of financial reforms in these two countries.
Tsung-hao Huang, *Academia Sinica, Taiwan*
michahuang@yahoo.com.tw
Ray Ou Yang, *University of Iowa*
ray-ouyang@uiowa.edu
- 3:15 **Centralized Financial Regulatory Institutions in China: The Gap Between Reform Policies and Performance**
I explain financial regulatory reform failure in China by examining the impacts of fiscal reform of 1994. I argue that fiscal reform provided local states consistent incentives to intervene in banking sector despite the central efforts to reform it.
Yeseul Kweon, *Korea University*
yeseok@gmail.com
- 3:30 **Private Capital, Government Autonomy and Financial Regulatory Change**
The paper seeks to explain cross-national variations in both financial liberalization and regulation (or re-regulation). It contends that the power distribution of competing domestic groups and the strength of the government provide the answer.
Shuping Wang, *Yale University*
shuping.wang@yale.edu
- 3:45 Diez, Sophia N. Johnson, *New York University*
sj31@nyu.edu
4:05 Audience Discussion
- 15-14 **Governance and Regulation of FDI**
Room Congress on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Matthew S. Waters, *University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*
mws22@columbia.edu
2:45 **Institutional Design as a Package Deal: Delegation and Precision in Investment Agreements**
Focusing on delegation and precision in international investment agreements, this paper argues that governments' preferences over different institutional design features are not always separable.
Daniel Hlake, *Princeton University*
dhlake@princeton.edu

- 3:05** **Democratization, Factor Endowment, and FDI Restrictions**
This paper seeks to explain foreign direct investment (FDI) policy in developing countries as a function of domestic political development and relative endowment of production factors, namely capital and labor.
Jia Chen, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
jiaoc@colorado.edu
- 3:25** **By Bits and Pieces: The Evolution of the International Investment Regime**
We present a formal model to shed light on the bilateral character of the international regime to protect foreign direct investment, showing that the most-favored-nation clause generates pressure for convergence of standards at a high level.
Mark S. Mudge, *Lambert School of Economics*
m.s.mudge@lse.ac.uk
Yoel A. Purman, *University of Oxford*
farnanyoek@gmail.com
- 3:45** **Disc., Cristóbal Bodón, *Miraflores State University***
bodocristo@msu.edu
- 4:05** **Audience Discussion**
- 16-14** **The Next Wave of Democratic Peace Research**
Room Cresthill on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, William Reed, *University of Maryland***
WLR@UMD.EDU
- 2:45** **A Social Psychological Interpretation of the Democratic Peace**
Applying social identity theory to the democratic peace logic shows how domestic conditions encourage or impede conflictual elite behaviour. It also helps explain the dyadic nature of the democratic peace as a mutual trust-accumulating practice.
Tobias Theiler, *University College, Dublin*
tobias.theiler@ucd.ie
- 3:05** **An Experimental Investigation of the Democratic Peace**
We shed new light on the democratic peace hypothesis by conducting survey experiments in several countries. Our experiments reveal that voters are less supportive of military strikes against democracies than against otherwise identical autocracies.
Michael Tomz, *Stanford University*
tomz@stanford.edu
Jessica Weeks, *Cornell University*
jweeks@cornell.edu
- 3:25** **Disc., William Reed, *University of Maryland***
WLR@UMD.EDU
- 3:45** **Audience Discussion**
- 16-21** **Understanding the Life Cycle of States: From Birth till Death**
Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Roger D. Masters, *Dartmouth College***
Roger.D.Masters@Dartmouth.edu
- 2:45** **Do We Live Now? The Origins of State Pairs, Systems and Peace in International Politics**
Explores the implications of interstate dyad origination—how relationships between states begin—for interstate peace and conflict.
Andrew J. Easterline, *University of North Texas*
ajeaster@unt.edu
- 3:00** **The Mission of Intervention: Major Powers' Civil War Intervention and State Failure**
This study examines how major powers' third-party intervention in civil war affects state-building/state-failure after the end of civil war.
Sung Ki Kim, *University of Iowa*
sungkikim@iowa.edu
- 3:15** **Self-determination and the Desire for Statehood: Legal Rights vs. Political Realities in the 21st Century**
The successful pursuit of the right of self-determination in a number of cases is influenced by the attitude of the United States.
- Timothy J. Schorn, *University of South Dakota*
tschorn@usd.edu
Merrick Christine Fisher, *University of South Dakota*
merick.fisher@usd.edu
- 3:30** **State Failure: A View from the Outside-In**
An "outside-in" empirical analysis of state failure from 1955 to 2002.
John Van Benthoven, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
jvanbel@uic.edu
- 3:45** **Disc., Roger D. Masters, *Dartmouth College***
Roger.D.Masters@Dartmouth.edu
- 4:05** **Audience Discussion**
- 17-15** **The Future of Warfare**
Room Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Adam L. Silverman, *United States Army***
adamlsilverman@gmail.com
- 2:45** **Rise of Intimacy: Assessing the Technical and Political Potential for a Digital Pearl Harbor**
The authors assess the potential for catastrophic computer network attack in three sectors: finance, electric power, and the domain name system. The analysis considers what is technically possible and politically probable.
Timothy J. Jenko, *University of Pennsylvania*
jenko@sas.upenn.edu
- 3:05** **The Weinberger and Powell Doctrines in the 21st Century: Coldwar Ideas for a Post-9/11 World?**
This paper examines whether the Weinberger and Powell Doctrines still hold value for the United States in modern post-Cold War, post-9/11 conflict.
Brian Robert King, *Muskingum University*
bking@muskingum.edu
David Snider, *Muskingum University*
david.s@muskingum.edu
- 3:25** **Disc., Andrew T. Wolff, *Jackson College***
wolffan@jackson.edu
- 3:45** **Audience Discussion**
- 18-14** **Borders, Territorial Issues, and Conflict**
Room Salon B on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Yanisha Patel, *Columbia University***
mp2001@columbia.edu
- 2:45** **The Evolution of Strategy in the International System**
How do states decide when to expand and contract? The hypothesis explored in this paper is that the distribution of strategies in the international system at any point results from a process of dynamic learning.
Jostyn Bernhart, *University of California, Los Angeles*
jostyn_b@yahoo.com
- 2:57** **The Making of the State: How Drawing Borders Affects Domestic Politics**
International borders are typically viewed as international institutions. We point out that international borders are also an important domestic institution and show that how they are drawn significantly affects domestic politics.
David B. Carter, *Pennsylvania State University*
dbc40@psu.edu
Helo Erich Goemans, *University of Rochester*
helo.goemans@rochester.edu
- 3:09** **Russia and the Near Abroad: Applying a Risk Barometer for War**
A case study using Vasquez's Steps to War theory applied to Russia's relations with three near abroad countries: Estonia, Ukraine, and Georgia. It is found that the theory applies to these cases.

- Ryan Christopher Maness *University of Illinois, Chicago*
rmaness@uic.edu
Brandon Valeriano, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
bvaler@uic.edu
- 3:21 **Territorial Competition, Rivalry, and Alliance Formation**
The authors examine how types of threat (territorial competition, rivalry) affect the formation of specific alliance-types (offensive, defensive, neutrality pacts)
Toby James Rider, *Texas Tech University*
toby.rider@ttu.edu
Thoin Martin Wright, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
twright4@illinois.edu
- 3:33 **From Territorial Dispute to War: Timing, Causation, and the Steps-to-War**
Examines the historical patterns in the variables of the Steps-to-War thesis. Addresses the question of whether the historical record offers support for the theory's causal structure beyond the correlational relationships among the variables and war.
Suana C. Sample, *University of the Pacific*
ssample@pacific.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Tamba Fazal, *Columbia University*
mf2001@columbia.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-20 **Modeling International Conflict II**
LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Philip Arena, State University of New York, Buffalo**
parma@buffalo.edu
- 2:45 **External Subsidies and Lasting Peace**
We analyze a three-player bargaining model, showing that third parties can prevent war without addressing the underlying causes of war. We then analyze the impact of U.S. foreign aid on relations between Israel and Egypt to illustrate our claims.
Phillip Arena, *State University of New York, Buffalo*
parma@buffalo.edu
Anna Pechevskina, *Pennsylvania State University*
apechevskina@gmail.com
- 2:57 **Diplomacy and Military Coercion in Interstate Disputes**
Bargainers engage in bargaining through diplomatic negotiation and signaling through military coercion in crisis bargaining. The model demonstrates the logic of diplomatic negotiation is at the core of understanding the origins of war and peace.
Shauliel Kurizaki, *Texas A&M University*
kurizaki@polisci.tamu.edu
- 3:09 **Partisan Politics and a Commitment Problem in International Bargaining**
We study the effect of partisan polarization of foreign policies on a state's ability to make a credible commitment in international bargaining.
Jung Hee Park, *University of Chicago*
jhp@uchicago.edu
Kendara Hirose, *University of Chicago*
hirose1981@uchicago.edu
- 3:21 **Transparency of Military Actions: Consequences for International Signaling**
This paper analyzes the consequences of the transparency of a military action, ranging on a continuum from fully covert to fully overt, for international signaling.
Lukas R. Pfaff, *University of Rochester*
lukas.pfaff@gmail.com
- 3:33 **National Leaders, Political Survival, and Multilateralism**
We examine how political survival incentives can hinder or facilitate leaders' incentives to act multilaterally. The key result shows that politically insecure leaders want to act multilaterally but will often have trouble finding partners for it.
- Michael Scott Wolford, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
scott.wolford@colorado.edu
Emily Hencken Ritter, *Emory University*
ehencke@emory.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Philip Arena, *State University of New York, Buffalo*
parma@buffalo.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-20 **Reconciliation and Post-conflict Outcomes**
Dearden 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Thomas Edward Flores, George Mason University
teflores@gmail.com**
- 2:45 **Post-War Reintegration or Revictimization of Ex-Combatants**
This paper explains variation in reintegration success, exploiting original survey and interview data.
Sarah Zukerman Daly, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
szukerma@mit.edu
- 2:57 **The Chicken or the Egg: Interdependencies between Refugee Return and Peace in the Context of Post-Conflict Societies**
This paper attempts to identify the salient interdependencies between refugee return and peace, and then elucidate the requirements which might promote sustainable return and peace through the comparison of relevant cases.
Julia Hinz, *Wissenschaftliche Hochschule Universität Münster*
hinz.julia@gmail.com
- 3:09 **For the Better and for the Worse: The Formation of Transnational Coalitions and the Struggle Over Post-Conflict Political Order**
This paper shows how national and international actors coalesce to further political objectives in the post-conflict reconstruction.
Kathia Legare, *Université Laval*
kathia.legare@he.ulevel.ca
- 3:21 **Legal Means to Approach Reconciliation: Law as Process in Rwanda's Gacaca Courts**
I carry out the following analysis in this paper. Using a 'levels of analysis' approach, I examine the limits and contributions of legal avenues in facilitating reconciliation in Rwanda between its previously hostile groups.
Aditi Malik, *Northwestern University*
adimalik@gmail.com
- 3:33 **Possibilities for Reconciliation through Targeted Intervention: Narrative and Psychological Change in the Olive Tree Initiative**
This study investigates change in narratives and other measures of identity, empathy, and prejudice created by a conflict intervention on the UCI campus called the Olive Tree Initiative
Johnna Solomon, *University of California, Irvine*
jsolama1@uci.edu
Daniel R. Brunstetter, *University of California, Irvine*
dbrunste@uci.edu
- 3:45 **Disc.** Thomas Edward Flores, *George Mason University*
teflores@gmail.com
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 20-13 **Striving for Good: What Role for Private Authority in International Politics?**
Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Nicole M. Simoniak, Purdue University
nsimoniak@purdue.edu**
- 2:45 **Private Authority and Regional Integration in West Africa**
This paper explores the use and impact of private authority on regional integration effectiveness in West Africa. The paper adds an African experience and voice to the debate about comparative regionalism and what it might look like in practice.
Okey C. Idururu, *Arizona State University*
okey.idururu@asu.edu

- 3:05 **Responsibility or Red-washing? Considering the Role of Core Labor Standards Within Corporate Global Governance**
This paper evaluates the possibilities for effective of voluntary multinational corporation governance regimes to protect core labor standards.
Sunso Lee Kang, *John Jay College, CUNY*
skang@jjay.cuny.edu
- 3:25 **International Norm-building Process of Anti-corruption: Eyes on the Linkage Strategy in NGOs and International Organizations**
This paper focuses on the process of norm-building and development of global anti-corruption norms and proposes another new mechanism of norm building called linkage strategies.
Sibyan Ryu, *Hirotsubishi University*
politique00@hiroshima.ac.jp
- 3:45 **Disc., Nicole M. Simonsell, *Purdue University***
msimunc1@purdue.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 22-7 **Campaigns in Macro-perspective**
Room
2:40 **Chair, Thorsten Frenz, *University of Mannheim***
thorsten.frenz@uni-mannheim.de
- 3:45 **Turn Turn! Turn! The Changing Nature of the Economy and Presidential Elections**
The economy and presidential elections are inextricably linked, but the relationship has changed over time. This study uses rigorous testing to identify change points in the influence of inflation and gross domestic product on presidential elections.
Jill L. Curry, *University of Maryland*
jglueckler@gvrp.umd.edu
- 3:00 **The Strategy of Presidential Campaigns**
The allocation of resources in presidential campaigns is influenced by the relative effectiveness of the candidates, along with the electoral value and competitiveness of the state. Data from five presidential elections support this theory.
Jonathan Day, *Western Illinois University*
jd-day@wiu.edu
- 3:15 **Swing Voter Theory in American Presidential Elections**
This paper tests the swing voter theory for U.S. presidential elections.
Marisa Meacham, *University of California, Los Angeles*
meacham@ucla.edu
- 3:30 **Politics on the Sidewalk: Should U.S. Presidential Candidates Advertise in Uncontested States?**
We examine how campaign ads influence the amount of money contributed to presidential candidates. Using FEC paid ad data, we find that ads in media markets of uncontested states containing high income zip codes could generate a net cash flow.
Sarah Niebler, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
seniebler@wisc.edu
Carly Urban, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
carly.urban@gmail.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Shaun Bowler, *University of California, Riverside***
shaun.bowler@ucr.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-14 **Direct Democracy and Participation in the United States**
Room
2:40 **Chair, Caroline J. Tolbert, *University of Iowa***
caroline-tolbert@uiowa.edu
- 2:45 **Engaged by the Proposition: How Initiatives and Referenda Increase Turnout in the States, 1870-2008**
Both initiatives and referenda increase turnout in midterm elections, but initiatives have comparatively greater effects on turnout.
3:30
- Matthew Childers, *University of California, San Diego*
mchilders@ucsd.edu
Mike Binder, *University of California, San Diego*
mbinder@ucsd.edu
- 3:00 **Does Transparency of Political Activity Have a Chilling Effect on Participation?**
Transparency of political activity might negatively impact citizens' willingness to participate in politics. An experiment observes if citizens refrain from making political contributions or signing petitions when names are posted on the Internet.
Raymond J. La Raja, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
laraja@polsci.umass.edu
- 3:15 **Direct Democracy and Ethno-linguistic Cues: Evidence from a Field Experiment**
We report the results of a randomized field experiment designed to test ethno-linguistic cues on Latino voter turnout in the June 2010 primary elections in California.
Costas Panagopoulos, *Harvard University*
costas@post.harvard.edu
Mika Binder, *Stanford University*
mbinder@stanford.edu
Yuriy Kogan, *University of California, San Diego*
ykogan@ucsd.edu
Thad Kousser, *University of California, San Diego*
tkousser@ucsd.edu
- 3:30 **Equality at the Polls? Spanish and English Translations in Direct Democracy**
Looks at the comparison of language and participation rates between Spanish and English versions of ballots in several American states.
Shauna Reilly, *Northern Kentucky University*
reillys3@nku.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Daniel Bowen, *College of New Jersey***
bowend@cnj.edu
Disc., Caroline J. Tolbert, *University of Iowa*
caroline-tolbert@uiowa.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 24-9 **The Politics of Midterm Elections**
Room
2:40 **Chair, Gary C. Jacobson, *University of California, San Diego***
gjacobson@ucsd.edu
- 2:45 **Sea Change in U.S. House Midterm Elections, 1954-2006**
This paper develops and tests a new model of sea change in U.S. House midterm elections using data from the 1954-2006 election.
Robert B. Aronow, *Independent Researcher*
raronow@iit.edu
- 3:00 **A Balancing Act: Presidential Legislative Proposals and Midterm Loss**
The study indicates that the more ambitious a president's legislative agenda, the worse his party fairs in midterm elections.
Lara Chausow, *Yale University*
lara.chausow@yale.edu
- 3:15 **Midterm Election as Presidential Referendum: Congressional Support for Presidents as a Determinant of Public Support for Members of Congress**
The approval that members of Congress receive from their constituents is positively influenced by the concordance between the members' legislative support of the president and the constituents' approval of the president.
Cary R. Covington, *University of Iowa*
cary-covington@uiowa.edu
Allison Hamilton, *University of Iowa*
allison-j-hamilton@uiowa.edu
- 3:30 **Midterm Elections and Unified Government**
I examine whether serving under unified government affects the number of seats the president's party loses in a midterm election. I test this theory by examining all midterm elections from 1950-2006.

- Jacob Holt, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
jchp08@mail.missouri.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Scott J. Basinger, *University of Houston***
sjbasinger@uh.edu
- Disc., Dante J. Scala, *University of New Hampshire***
dante.scala@unh.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 25-13 **Patterns of Voting in Local Elections**
Room Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Jeffrey Grynauvski, *University of Connecticut***
grynauvski@uconn.edu
- 2:45 **Cumulative Voting: The Case of Port Chester, New York**
We use an exit poll and voting returns to examine the June 15, 2010 local election in Port Chester, New York, the most recent community in the United States to adopt cumulative voting.
David C. Kimball, *University of Missouri, St. Louis*
dkimball@umsl.edu
Martha Kropf, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
mekropf@unc Charlotte.edu
- 3:05 **Vertical Split-Ballot Voting in England: Evidence from the 1997, 2001, 2005, and 2010 General and Local Elections**
Each of the last four general elections in Britain has coincided with planned local elections in England. This paper uses aggregate and survey data to test hypotheses about how voters behave when faced with different types of electoral choice.
Colin Rallings, *University of Plymouth*
crallings@plymouth.ac.uk
Galina Borisyuk, *University of Plymouth*
gborisyuk@plymouth.ac.uk
Michael A. Thrasher, *University of Plymouth*
MTHRASHER@PLYM.AC.UK
- 3:25 **Participation at the Ground Level: Voting Behavior in Low Information Elections**
What do voters rely on when party cues are weak or absent in low information elections? This paper tackles this question by looking at whether party cues and increased candidate presence matters in both partisan and nonpartisan races.
Lailaha Younger, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
lyounger@uwm.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Michael C. Miller, *University of Illinois, Springfield***
mm124@uis.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 25-19 **Voting Strategies and Political Preferences**
Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Lyone Gibson Gilmer, *Xavier University of Louisiana***
lgilmer@xula.edu
- 2:45 **Beyond 'Position' and 'Valence': A Unified Framework for the Analysis of Political Issues**
We develop a spatial model of party competition that subsumes 'position' and 'valence' issues. The model is tested with comparative data from 27 countries.
Lorenzo De Sio, *European University Institute*
Lorenzo.DeSio@eui.eu
Will Weber, *European University Institute*
will.weber@eui.eu
- 2:55 **Expanded Application of Spatial Distance Theory**
Expands an application of spatial distance theory to evaluate factors of vote choice among union members
Chris Finn, *University of California, Berkeley*
cfinn@berkeley.edu
- 3:05 **How Elections Structure Political Preferences**
Instrumental and social-psychological concerns provide whatever structure we see in a national party system. We demonstrate structuring effects on party preferences in a model that varies systematically through the electoral cycle
- Mark N. Franklin, *European University Institute*
mark.franklin@EUI.eu
- Will Weber, *European University Institute***
will.weber@eui.eu
- 3:15 **Voting in Different Policy Systems: Non-Orthogonality and Directional – Proximity Debate**
This paper uses the presidential election data from 1968 to 2008 to evaluate the performance of directional and proximity models when applied to non-orthogonal issue space.
Quinn LL, *University of Central Florida*
quinnll@mail.ucf.edu
Mia Ye, *Central Carolina University*
mye@concord.edu
- 3:25 **Political Sophistication and the Role of Ideology in Elite Opinion**
This paper examines the role of political sophistication in structuring attitudes, and demonstrates the unidimensionality of ideology. This paper also illustrates the predictive power of ideology in explaining elite attitudes.
Robert N. Lupton, *Michigan State University*
luptonro@msu.edu
- 3:35 **Two Reasons to Vote: Why the Expressive Account Is Insufficient**
I offer a new conceptual account of voting, which intends to go beyond the expressive vs instrumental dichotomy. It is based on the distinction between 'reasons to support' and 'reasons to bring about'.
Thomas Mezerles, *Central European University*
mezerles@ceol.hu
- 3:45 **Disc., Anand Shastri, *Fashion & Commercial Print Model Represented by Various Agencies***
AShastri3@aol.com
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 26-14 **Electoral System Theory**
Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
- 2:40 **Chair, Jay K. Dow, *University of Missouri***
jayk@missouri.edu
- 2:45 **Narrowing the Field in Elections**
In competitive elections with more than two candidates, it may be desirable to narrow the field to a few top contenders. We show how divisor methods of apportionment can be used to measure the 'deservingness' of candidates to advance to a new round.
Steven J. Brams, *New York University*
Steven.brams@nyu.edu
Marc Kilgour, *Wilfrid Laurier University*
mkilgour@wlu.ca
- 3:00 **Apportionment in the Non-majority Primary: The Cutoff Paradox**
We explore the consequences of the Democratic Party's use of Hamilton's method to apportion delegates to its candidates during the primary in conjunction with a cutoff that requires candidates receiving less than 15% of the vote to be eliminated.
Michael A. Jantz, *Mathematical Reviews*
maj@mr6.org
Jennifer Wilson, *New School for Liberal Arts*
wilsonj@newschool.edu
- 3:15 **Approval Balloting for Fixed-Size Committees**
This paper describes a range of methods of conducting an election to determine some fixed number of winner using approval balloting. Procedures are delineated and compared according to their properties.
Marc Kilgour, *Wilfrid Laurier University*
mkilgour@wlu.ca
Erica Marshall, *Wilfrid Laurier University*
e_marshall01@yahoo.ca
- 3:30 **The Stability of STV in Committee Elections: An Empirical Investigation**
We investigate the stability of STV by analyzing historical data from the executive committee elections of an academic department

- Of particular interest is whether and under what conditions the final outcome of the election is path-dependent.
The-Min Lih, University of Texas, Austin
 lih@utustin.utexas.edu
Bryan E. Roberts, University of Texas
 roberts@mail.utexas.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Jay K. Dow, University of Missouri**
 dowj@missouri.edu
Disc., Scott Moser, University of Oxford
 scott.moser@politics.ox.ac.uk
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 27-13 **The Political Impact of Race and Religious Identities**
 Room Clark 5 on the 7th Deck, Sat at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Francisco L. Pedraza, Texas A&M University**
 fpedraza@tamu.edu
- 2:45 **Social Connectedness and Social Participation among Latinos: Does Interaction with Other Ethnicities Make People Participate More?**
 This paper analyzes the factors shaping social participation among Latinos in Illinois: volunteering in a school or neighborhood organization, a group representing participants' own nationality or ethnic group, and a church or religious group.
Julin Albornoz, Western Illinois University
 jalbornoz@wiu.edu
Anna Valeva, Western Illinois University
 akvaleva@wiu.edu
Nathaniel Mueller, Western Illinois University
 nj-mueller@wiu.edu
- 3:00 **We're NOT Like Them: American Muslims Reflecting on Terrorism**
 A focus group analysis of American Muslims' perceptions of their religion, how they are portrayed in the media and in the political discourse, as well as their hypotheses about the sources of the terrorist attacks on 9/11 and beyond.
Pia A. Knigge, Auburn University, Montgomery
 pknigge@auburn.edu
Khaula Hadeed, Auburn University, Montgomery
 khoulahadeed@yahoo.com
Edna Mujkic, Auburn University, Montgomery
 emujkic@auburn.edu
- 3:15 **Patriots Under Pressure: The Influence of Social Norms on Muslim Americans' Patriotism**
 We conduct a national survey experiment of Muslim Americans on the impact of social norms on Muslim American patriotism. Participants were more patriotic when they perceived patriotism to be widespread among Muslim Americans and Americans in general.
Rozabeth Suhay, Lafayette College
 rsuhay@lafayette.edu
Brian Callano, Missouri State University
 BrianCallano@MissouriState.edu
Ryan Dawe, Lafayette College
 dawer@lafayette.edu
- 3:30 **Racial Diversity, Representation, and Participation: Untangling Theories of Empowerment, Social Capital, and Group Conflict**
 We examine the effects of descriptive representation across multiple political offices on the political behavior of African Americans and Whites, using 3 decades of data on vote turnout, racial demographics, and empowerment in South Carolina.
Cara Wang, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
 carawang@illinois.edu
Matthew James Hayes, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
 mjhayes2@uiuc.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Amanda Balcer, University of Nebraska, Lincoln**
 amanda.balcer@huskers.unl.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-12 **Understanding and Measuring Political Knowledge**
 Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Paul Goren, University of Minnesota**
 pgoren@umn.edu
- 2:45 **Measuring Political Knowledge**
 This paper examines different methods of measuring political knowledge.
Cengiz Erisen, ToBB-University of Economics and Technology
 cengiz.erisen@gmail.com
- 2:57 **Understanding the Determinants of Congressional Knowledge**
 Using the 2008 Cooperative Collective Election Study, we seek to examine the factors that determine one's level of congressional knowledge.
Jessica C. Gerrity, Congressional Research Service
 jessicagerrity@gmail.com
Edward C. Carmine, Indiana University, Bloomington
 ecarmine@indiana.edu
Michael W. Wagner, University of Nebraska
 mwagner3@unl.edu
- 3:00 **Data-Collection Equivalence in Comparative Survey Research: How the Timing and Mode of Interviews Affects Recall Rates of Local Candidate Names Across Countries**
 This paper simulates data-collection equivalence across countries to arrive at comparable estimates of recall rates of local candidate names.
Andrew Murr, University of Essex
 amurr@essex.ac.uk
- 3:21 **Do Data Collection Procedures Influence Political Knowledge Test Performance?**
 In public opinion research, the measurement of political knowledge is key. This experiment examines whether collecting data online adversely affects the validity of this measurement, and provides explanations for invalid scores.
Hilary C. Shulman, Michigan State University
 shulmanh@msu.edu
Christopher Carpenter, Western Illinois University
 carpe228@wiu.edu
Franklin J. Bostev, Michigan State University
 bostev@msu.edu
- 3:33 **The Contextual Roots of Political Knowledge**
 Using survey data in conjunction with measures of state context, we explore how state level political environments shape people's knowledge of politics.
Jennifer Wataki, University of Colorado, Boulder
 wataki@colorado.edu
Jeffrey Lynde, University of Colorado, Boulder
 jeffly85@yahoo.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Paul Goren, University of Minnesota**
 pgoren@umn.edu
Disc., J. Celeste Lay, Tulane University
 jlay@tulane.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-15 **Media, War and Conflict**
 Room Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Christopher R. Cook, Penn State University, Erie**
 crc13@psu.edu
- 2:45 **Walls From Belfast to the West Bank**
 A study on the portrayal of conflict in Northern Ireland and the Middle East, and bias in the U.S. broadcast and print media.
Nancy B. Bergayne, Seattle University
 nbbergayne@seu.com
- 2:57 **Coverage of African Conflicts in the American Media: Filtering out the Levels of Plausibility**
 I argue that American media coverage of African conflicts is part of a larger meta-narrative of state sovereignty and its separation of the public and private spheres. However, it obscures the importance of transnational conflict networks.

- Christopher R. Cook, *Penn State University, Erie*
erc17@psu.edu
- 3:09 **Turning the Page on Operation Iraqi Freedom: Political and Media Discourse on the End of U.S. Combat Operations in Iraq**
This study examines the political and media discourse on the meaning of the end of U.S. combat operations in Iraq.
Erika G. King, *Grand Valley State University*
KingE@gvsu.edu
Robert A. Wells, *Thiel College*
rwells@thiel.edu
- 3:21 **The Bids to Peace: Media as a Vehicle for Information and Diplomatic Norms Curbing the Incidence of Interstate Disarmament**
This study examines whether open debate and political discussion in free media environments can influence leaders to opt for diplomatic solutions to potential conflicts, instead of resorting to the use of military force.
Jeffrey Joe Pe-Aguirre, *University of Missouri*
jpe6fd@mizzou.edu
- 3:33 **American Consumer Bias: Value Relationships Media Product in the Context of the Iraq War**
This paper examines the influence of self-imposed media selection bias on the perception of the death toll in the Iraq conflict as of 2004, focusing on the mainstream outlets of American mass communication.
Chandria Roy, *Kent State University*
croyc@kent.edu
Kyliea Traynor, *Kent State University*
ktraynor@kent.edu
Jesse Ramsey, *Kent State University*
jramsey1@kent.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Steven P. Dobransky, Kent State University**
sdobrans@kent.edu
Disc., Linda Jean Kent, *University of Canterbury*
lindajem.kent@canterbury.ac.nz
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-17 **Media Effects**
Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Evan Parker-Stephen, Texas A&M University**
eps@polisci.tamu.edu
- 3:45 **Democratization and the News Media: A Social-Psychological Analysis**
We evaluate the media's ability to impact African-American political candidates. Specifically, we ask if the coverage of African-American candidates activates negative racial stereotypes resulting in more negative evaluations of these candidates.
Mandi Bailey, *Valdosta State University*
mhailey@valdosta.edu
Ariya Kai Stokes-Brown, *Brooknell University*
a.stokes-brown@brocknell.edu
- 2:57 **Networks of Followers: The Effect of Media Type on the Candidate Evaluations in the 2010 Midterm Elections**
This study uses experimental techniques to chart the relationship between exposure to media type and candidate evaluations.
Paula Nicole Brooke, *Hope College*
brooke@hope.edu
Virginia Parish Beard, *Hope College*
beard@hope.edu
- 3:09 **Effects of the 2008 Obama Presidential Campaign on White Racial Prejudice**
I use three waves of panel data collected as part of a nationally representative survey to gauge the impact of overtime change in exposure to the 2008 presidential campaign of Barack Obama on change in White racial prejudice.
Seth K. Goldman, *University of Pennsylvania*
sgoldman@aes.upenn.edu
- 3:21 **Bias in Political Communication Experiments**
In an experiment, events prior to the study may influence subjects' reactions to the treatment and bias results. Focusing on political communication, we identify conditions for pretreatment effects and test these conditions with two studies.
Thomas John Leeper, *Northwestern University*
leeper@northwestern.edu
James N. Druckman, *Northwestern University*
druckman@northwestern.edu
- 3:33 **An Analytical Framework for Understanding Variation in Information Effects across Presidential and Congressional Elections**
This paper develops and tests a theoretical framework for understanding why campaign information, and television advertisements in particular, affect voter knowledge in different ways depending on the electoral context.
Keena Lipnitz, *Queens College, CUNY*
klipnitz@qc.cuny.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Rune Skothaus, Aarhus University**
skothaus@ps.au.dk
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-14 **Pluralism and Equality**
Room Burnham 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Kristin Noelle Wylie, University of Texas at Austin**
knwylie@mail.utexas.edu
- 2:45 **Mothers of the Nation: Media Women and Politics in Canada**
Women remain underrepresented in the Canadian Parliament, constituting only 22% of all elected MPs. Yet in the case of the Métis, one of Canada's three Indigenous peoples, women make up on average 48% of their representative governing bodies.
Kelly Lynne Saunders, *Brandon University*
saundersk@brandonu.ca
- 3:00 **Sacrificial Lambs No More? Women Candidates and Party Competitiveness in Canada**
The discrepancy between parties' emphasis on the diversity of their candidates and women's continued political underrepresentation suggests that many women candidates in Canada remain sacrificial lambs.
Melrose Thomas, *McGill University*
melrose.thomas@mail.mcgill.ca
Marc Andre Boileau, *University of British Columbia*
maboileau@interchange.ubc.ca
- 3:15 **Exploring Women-Centered Theories and Women's Placement and Access into the State System**
The paper will highlight some of the women centered positions and discuss how these theories have interpreted women migration into the state system.
Ifegwura Idenzulu, *Delaware State University*
idenzulu@desu.edu
- 3:30 **Constitutional Commitments to Women and Minority Groups in South Africa**
A number of liberal democracies endorse women's rights and minority group rights in their constitutions, but the benefits of these commitments vary significantly. This paper proposes a framework to explain why and applies it to South Africa.
Debra M. Webb, *University of Virginia*
dease@virginia.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Amy L. Acemian, Palomar College**
amy.acemian@valpo.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**

- 31-13 Race, Citizenship and Identity**
Room LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Vincent L. Hutchings, University of Michigan.
 vincenth@umich.edu
2:45 Between Plato and Toussaint L'Overture: A Phenomenology of Civility, Barbarism, and the Problematics of Race and Recognition in the Work of W.E.B. Du Bois, 1898-1907
 This project undertakes a phenomenological analysis of Du Bois's first three major works, *The Suppression of the African Slave Trade*, *The Philadelphia Negro*, and *The Souls of Black Folk*.
Raul Ernesto Moreno Campos, University of California, Los Angeles
 Raulemoreno@sbcglobal.net
- 2:57 Minorities Attitude to Other Minorities Trustful Messengers: Coalition or Indifference?**
 People respond differently to political information depending on the source of the information. Minority groups often give credibility to the information coming from their own race. What if the information comes from other minority?
Hyung Lee Park, Jackson State University
 hyung.l.park@jstans.edu
- 3:09 Race and Nation: Super-ordinate Identities, Sub-group Attachments, and Hostility to Immigrants**
 This paper investigates the influence of national attachments among Black and White Americans in the context of anti-immigrant hostility.
Efren Osvaldo Perez, Vanderbilt University
 efren.o.perez@vanderbilt.edu
Niami Michele Carter, Purdue University
 ncarter@purdue.edu
- 3:21 Political Allegiance and Race in America**
 This paper examines the intersection of political allegiance and race in America. Using the 2010 MSSRP data, the mediating effect of race on political allegiance shows differences when predicting political participation and attitudes.
Christopher C. Towler, University of Washington
 ctowler@uw.edu
- 3:33 Elite Framing and the U.S. Supreme Court: The Case of the White Firefighters**
 In terms of the U.S. Supreme Court, we argue that parties and amici carefully construct the arguments they present to the justices. In fact, they "frame" the information to make their case in the most persuasive way.
Terri L. Towner, Oakland University
 towner@oakland.edu
Rosalee Clawson, Purdue University
 clawsonr@purdue.edu
- 3:45 Discussant, TBA**
4:05 Audience Discussion
- 32-7 Plato on Friendship, War, and the Foreigner**
Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Brent Edwin Cusher, Rhodes College.
 cusherb@rhodes.edu
2:45 Understanding the Cohesive Internal and External Teachings of Plato's Lysis
 To properly interpret the Lysis, one must recognize that there is a cohesive teaching to the internal audience and to the external reader. Through a careful examination of the end of the dialogue one can find a guide to interpreting the whole.
Nicholas Higgins, University of North Texas
 Nicholas.higgins@my.unt.edu
- 3:05 Government of, by, and for the People: Foreigners and Democracy in Plato's Protagoras**
 This paper analyzes the Protagoras' concern with the presence of foreigners in Athens and brings the dialogue to bear on contemporary concerns with the presence of foreigners in America.
- Rebecca LeMoine, University of Wisconsin, Madison**
 rlemoine@wisc.edu
- 3:25 Preparing a City for War: Plato's Charmides**
 What is the Socratic meaning of Temperance or Moderation? The context of the dialogue Charmides reveals that it means the ability to the ability to recover one's senses in the face of traumatic or unsettling events.
Martin Plax, Cleveland State University
 m.plax@csuohio.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Edward W. Gimbel, University of Minnesota**
 gimb0006@umn.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 33-7 Legitimacy and Rights**
Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Michael LeBuffe, Texas A&M University
 lebuffe@philosophy.tamu.edu
2:45 On the Relation Equality Bears to Consent
 Early modern political theory premised the necessity of consent for the legitimacy of political authority upon the equality of human persons. But this premise has never been defended. This elaborates how such a defense would go.
Paul Robert DeHart, Texas State University
 pd18@txstate.edu
- 3:05 Yoo Kiljoon's Idea about the Civil Right and the State**
 In modern Korea, Yoo Kiljoon discussed the civil right to extend national power. He tried to improve the people as a political main body through the education and the enlightenment. But his idea of civil right had limits compared to the Western.
Jane Lim, Seoul National University
 snowfair@hanmail.net
- 3:25 Devotion to Freedom as a Form of Democratic Restraint: Spinoza on Restraining the Multitude in a Free State**
 This paper examines Spinoza's form of democratic restraint, as detailed in the Theological-Political Treatise. It argues that for Spinoza a devotion to freedom, informed by the emotion of hope, is best suited to restrain the multitude in a democracy.
Alfonso Vergaray, Virginia Tech University
 vergaray@vt.edu
- 3:45 Disc., Ross J. Corbett, Northern Illinois University**
 rcorbett@niu.edu
- 4:05 Audience Discussion**
- 34-15 Modernity and Time**
Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, S. M. Amadae, Ohio State University
 amadae.1@osu.edu
2:45 Adorno, Horkheimer, and Burke on Enlightenment, Reason, and Modernity
 Explores relationships between Adorno/Horkheimer's and Edmund Burke's critiques of Enlightenment with regard to modern conceptions of reason and self-destructive tendencies of modernity, to better illuminate questions of order and meaning.
William F. Byrne, Saint John's University
 byrnw@sjohns.edu
- 3:05 Gandhi's critique of western modernity and quest for harmony**
 By drawing on Gandhi's booklet *Hind Swaraj*, the paper articulates Gandhi's critique of western modernity.
Bidyut Chakrabarty, James Madison University
 chakrubs@jmu.edu
- 3:25 Probable Futures**
 This essay examines the status of "the future" in political theory and asks how views about the future contribute to, undermine, or otherwise interact with normative approaches in general.
Mindy Joy Peden, John Carroll University
 mpeden@jcu.edu

3:45 Disc., S. M. Amadée, *Ohio State University*
amadee.1@osu.edu
4:05 Audience Discussion

35-12 Leadership, Representation, and Liberal Democracy
Room Mootrose 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm

2:40 Chair, Alfred Moore, *University of British Columbia*
a.moore@ubc.ca

2:45 Political Leadership and Liberal Democracy: Notes on a Complex Relationship

This paper identifies eight points of tension between liberal democracy and dominant conceptions of political leadership and argues that liberal democratic theory should retain its humane skepticism concerning reliance on political leaders.
Jonathan C. Allen, *Northern Michigan University*
jallen@jmu.edu

3:05 **Political Obligation and Epistemic Conceptions of Democracy**
Some epistemic conceptions of democracy assume that individuals have a strong interest in deliberating about conceptions of the good. I reject this view, but conclude that this rejection does not severely damage the argument for epistemic democracy.
Alexander Moon, *Ithaca College*
am63@cornell.edu

3:25 Weberian Bureaucracy: A Requisite for the Consolidation of Liberal Democracy

The study assumes that both modern bureaucracy and liberal democracy are offspring of political modernity and are co-existent. It focuses on contradicting the imbalance thesis which states that developed bureaucracy poses danger to nascent democracy.
Sucheta Pyakuryal, *University of Akron*
sucheta_pyakuryal@hotmail.com

3:45 Disc., Andrew R. Smith, *Drexel University*
ars52@drexel.edu
4:05 Audience Discussion

36-14 Thinking beyond Liberalism

Room LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 Chair, Jill Hargis, *California State Polytechnic University, Pomona*
jhargis@csupomona.edu

2:45 Democracy's Two Bodies: The Paradox of Politics and Populist Tension

This paper finds common ground between the literature on populism and the literature on liberal-democratic theory, arguing the paradox of politics articulated by William Connolly is a theoretical framework for which populism is the natural practice.
Alexander McCann, *New School for Social Research*
mccann337@newschool.edu

3:00 Liberalism's Enemy as Criminal: Carl Schmitt's Analysis of Liberalism's Rhetorical Strategy and Its Implications

Carl Schmitt maintains that a central feature of liberal rhetoric is the allegation that the enemies of liberal states are actually criminals. I argue that this allegation masks an implicit liberal claim to sovereignty over the entire globe.
Christopher Allan McKay, *University of California, Santa Barbara*
mckay@polsci.ucsb.edu

3:15 Reclaiming Susan Okin for Feminist Democratic Theory: Revisiting the Limitations of a Liberal Approach to Multiculturalism

This paper reclaims Susan Okin's controversial essay, "Is Multiculturalism Bad for Women?" for feminist democratic theory by emphasizing her democratic, opposed to liberal, commitment to listening to the voices of women within minority cultures.
Liza Taylor, *University of California, Los Angeles*
lizataylor@ucla.edu

3:30 **Curbing Liberal Political Theory: Liberalism After Pluralism**
Many scholars have discussed the impact of pluralism on the justification of liberalism. This paper argues that if we take

pluralism seriously, then liberalism should curb its aspirations and domain of applicability.

Carla Yumak, *Harvard University*
yumakc@fas.harvard.edu

3:45 Disc., Eunice R. Norman, *University of the Americas, Pueblo*
politics.policy@ipsone1.org

4:05 Audience Discussion

37-10 Government

Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm

2:40 Chair, Kenneth A. Shepsle, *Harvard University*
kshepsle@iq.harvard.edu

2:45 Risk Sensitive Government Coalitions

I propose a formal model of government formation in which parties are risk averse about the expected government policy. Surplus governments may emerge when sufficiently risk averse parties trade off office rents to obtain less uncertain policies.
Anna Bazel, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
anna.bazel@unc.edu

3:00 Selection, Performance, and Government Longevity: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly

We analyze the decision by agents of differing abilities to enter cabinet and the self-reinforcing effects on government performance and longevity.
Toran Dewan, *London School of Economics*
t.dewan@lse.ac.uk
David P. Myatt, *University of Oxford*
david.myatt@economics.ox.ac.uk

3:15 Portfolio Allocation and Policy Assignment With Biased Experts

We analyze the optimal allocation of tasks from the Prime Minister's perspective when she aims to extract policy relevant information, mitigate the bias of her cabinet (with respect to her preferred policy), and use policy expertise efficiently.
Rafael Hortala-Vallive, *London School of Economics*
r.hortala-vallive@lse.ac.uk
Toran Dewan, *London School of Economics*
t.dewan@lse.ac.uk

3:30 The Impact of Variable Time Preference on the Growth of Government

This paper explains the growth in government through the mechanism of individual time preference.
Michael Garrett Ruth, *SENY, Binghamton*
mgarretbroth@gmail.com

3:45 Disc., George Egorov, *Kellogg School of Management*
g-egorov@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Disc., Kenneth A. Shepsle, *Harvard University*
kshepsle@iq.harvard.edu

4:05 Audience Discussion

38-10 New Developments in Survey Methods

Room Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm

2:40 Chair, Daniel Corstange, *University of Maryland*
daniel.corstange@gmail.com

2:45 Socially Mediated Internet Surveys (SMIS): Obtaining Rare Samples and Motivated Participants for Political Research

The Socially Mediated Internet Surveys technique utilizes people embedded in rich online social networks (e.g. bloggers) to recruit participants for political surveys. In this paper, we discuss roughly 6 studies conducted using the SMIS approach.
Eric C. Cassese, *West Virginia University*
eric.cassese@mail.wvu.edu
Todd K. Hartman, *Appalachian State University*
hartmantk@appstate.edu
Lennie Huddy, *Stony Brook University*
Lennie.Huddy@stonyb.edu

- 3:05 **A Survey on Shanting: Measuring Political Effects of Globalization and Immigration Using a Mixed-Mode Survey in New York City**
This paper analyzes the results—methodological and substantive—of the 2010 City Neighborhoods Survey pilot study, a survey using mail, internet, and in-person instruments to reach populations in rapidly changing New York neighborhoods.
Thomas K. Ogerzalek, *Columbia University*
tko2143@gmail.com
Nuraynal Lamsal, *Columbia University*
ml2362@columbia.edu
- 3:15 **Do Knowledge Questions Reflect the Underlying Concept of Political Information?: An Alternative Method for Using Knowledge Questions as Operationalization of Political Information**
We test if the widespread use of knowledge score as summation of the correct answers does reflect general factual knowledge about politics. We analyze the implications of various operationalizations on the explanatory power of political knowledge.
Sebastian Adrian Popa, *Central European University*
popa_sebastian@ceu-budapest.edu
Zoltan Fazekas, *University of Vienna*
zoltan.fazekas@gmail.com
- 3:43 **Disc., Daniel Corstange, University of Maryland**
daniel.corstange@gmail.com
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 40-9 **Emergent Political Forces and Their Effects on Political Parties**
Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, William J. Cratty, Northeastern University**
wcratty@neu.edu
2:45 **The Rise and Evolution of Gay Rights as a Partisan Issue**
Review and critique of the emergence of gay and lesbian politics since the 1960s as an accepted and legitimate political force in American politics.
Paul Brewer, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
pbrewer@uwm.edu
- 3:00 **Women, Political Involvement and Party Representation**
The women's movement has led to a distinctive pattern of representation in the party system. Different subgroups of the female vote align with the major parties.
Barbara C. Burrell, *Northern Illinois University*
bburrell@niu.edu
- 3:15 **Party Positioning on Social and Lifestyle Issues**
My paper assesses the Republican and Democratic commitment to providing and recognizing social and lifestyle needs of newer groups in the political spectrum.
William J. Cratty, *Northeastern University*
wcratty@neu.edu
- 3:30 **Religious Groups as Force in Party Politics**
Religious groups and their beliefs unopposed core political demands have transformed American politics in recent elections.
James L. Guth, *Furman University*
jim.guth@furman.edu
Lyman A. Kellstedt, *Waynes College*
chamandbudk@wyo.com
- 3:45 **Disc., John Kenneth White, Catholic University of America**
white@cua.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 41-8 **Historical Research on Presidential Power**
Room Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Nicol Rae, Florida International University**
rae@fiu.edu
2:45 **War and Macroeconomic Influences upon Presidential Approval: Korea, Vietnam, and Iraq/Afghanistan Conflicts**
The analysis examines the influence of war and the macroeconomy upon presidential approval during the Korean, Vietnam, and Iraq/Afghanistan wars. Inflation, unemployment, and war casualties are significant influences during each of the periods.
Gerald T. Fox, *High Point University*
jfox@highpoint.edu
- 3:05 **Prison in Institutions and Historical Context from the Start: The American Presidency as the Repository for Extraordinary Politics**
The presidency has been a vortex for extraordinary times. This is not by accident. The presidential connection to crisis and change is by design. It is intentional rather than a random development derived from the actions of past presidents.
Richard Alexander Izquierdo, *Stanford University*
rizquierdo@stanford.edu
- 3:25 **Kennedy, Johnson, and Policy Toward China: Testing the Importance of the President in Foreign Policy Decision Making**
This article will examine the role of the president in the foreign policy process through an analysis of Kennedy and Johnson decision making on China policy, a case of a change in president, while all other structures and advisors remained the same.
William Newmann, *Virginia Commonwealth University*
wnewmann@vcu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Nicol Rae, Florida International University**
rae@fiu.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 42-10 **Internal Resources in Congress**
Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
2:40 **Chair, Susan Marie Miller, Ohio State University**
miller.5615@osu.edu
2:45 **It's the Flow, Not the Stock: Congressional Staff and Their Influence on Policy Outcomes**
We study the career flow of Congressional staffers between offices, executive agencies, and lobbying organizations to develop measures of the connectivity of Washington. Further, we investigate the impact of these connections on policy outcomes.
Matthew Blackwell, *Harvard University*
blackwell@fas.harvard.edu
Justin Grimmer, *Harvard University*
jgrimmer@stanford.edu
- 3:00 **Staff Organizational Structure and Legislative Productivity: Exploring the Link Between Staff Organizations and Bill Sponsorship Activity**
We examine the impact of staff organizational structures on bill sponsorship activity for 50 current House members and find empirical evidence that the structure of staff organizations is related to legislative productivity in predictable ways.
James B. Cottrill, *San Jose State University*
jcottrill@sjsu.edu
Jessica Cassella, *San Jose State University*
jcassella@sjsu.edu
- 3:15 **A Model of Legislative Capacity and Priorities**
The paper presents a formal model that explores how policy is impacted by a legislature constrained by its level of resources interacts with organized interests that can alleviate that constraint.
Allen Kyprilof, *Ohio State University*
kyprilof.1@osu.edu
- 3:30 **Congressional Staffing: Changes in Member, Committee and Leadership Offices, 1977-2009**
In Congress, staffing changes may lend insight into the work of the two chambers. This paper provides staffing levels in House

	and Senate member, committee, leadership, and other offices since 1977, and analyzes the consequences of those shifts. R. Eric Petersen, <i>Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress</i> epetersen@trs.loc.gov		Elizabeth Beaumont, <i>University of Minnesota</i> beaumont@umn.edu
3:45	Disc., Susan Marie Miller, <i>Ohio State University</i> miller.5615@osu.edu	3:00	Structural Due Process The due process clause has come to be interpreted as a source of substantive rights. It is difficult to support substantive due process. The Court is, however, particularly well-suited to referee constitutional structure. Joseph S. DeWey, <i>University of Wisconsin, Green Bay</i> deweyjs@uwgb.edu
4:05	Audience Discussion	3:15	What Causes Constitutional Construction? Gradual But Transformative Institutional Change and the Battle to Recharter the Bank of the United States, 1810-1811 Against the claim that constitutional construction is driven by short-run events, I argue that longer-term transformations within the polity also inspire construction. To demonstrate this, I revise the debate over the Bank of the United States. Eric Benjamin Lomazoff, <i>Harvard University</i> lomazoff@fas.harvard.edu
42-23 Room	Legislative Decisions Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, SAC at 2:40 pm	3:30	Combating Totalitarianism: Constitutional Design in the Twentieth Century This paper examines how the structural design of twentieth-century constitutions is based on a different theory of democratic practice and constitutional citizenship that surfaced as a reaction to the shadows of totalitarianism. Sung-Wook Park, <i>University of Maryland, College Park</i> swpark@gyvpcumd.edu
2:40	Chair, Adriaan U-Jin Ang, <i>Florida International University</i> adrian.ang@fiu.edu	3:45	Disc., Randall L. Calvert, <i>Washington University, St. Louis</i> calvert@wustl.edu Disc., Kirsten Neubaumer, <i>Saint Louis University</i> kneuba1@slu.edu Disc., Mariah Zelsberg, <i>University of Michigan</i> zelsberg@umich.edu
2:45	Main Street, Wall Street, and K Street: Understanding Congressional Support for Investment-Friendly Legislation We examine how three factors — constituency characteristics, donations from the financial industry, and members' of Congress (MCs) personal stock holdings — relate to MCs' support for investment-friendly legislation. Logan Michael Dancy, <i>University of Minnesota</i> dancy009@umn.edu Wendy M. Rahn, <i>University of Minnesota</i> wrahn@umn.edu	4:05	Audience Discussion
3:00	Congress and Trade Policy: A Parochial Institution? This paper analyzes Congress's role in trade politics. I find that while parochial concerns are important to Congress, other factors including human rights and geopolitical concerns can have a substantial effect on Congress's support for free trade. Jason D. Mycoff, <i>University of Delaware</i> mycoff@udel.edu	4S-25 Room	Issues, Actors, and Rules in the U.S. Courts of Appeals Price on the 5th floor, SAC at 2:40 pm
3:15	Taking a Position: Immigration Bill Sponsorship in the 103rd and 107th Congresses Why do certain members of Congress (MCs) sponsor immigration bills, while others do not? We posit a two-stage model evaluating the circumstances under which MCs decide to sponsor immigration legislation and the factors determining his policy stance. Lisa M. Sanchez, <i>University of New Mexico</i> lsanche5@unm.edu Angelina L. Gonzalez-Alvar, <i>University of New Mexico</i> mgeluasallen@gmail.com	2:40	Chair, Wendy L. Martinek, <i>State University of New York Binghamton</i> martinek@binghamton.edu
3:30	Constitutional Policy Entrepreneurship Over Foreign Affairs: The Case of North Korea Policymaking in the U.S. Congress This paper examines the sources and consequences of congressional policy entrepreneurship over North Korea issues. The findings confirm the constituency influence and the role of institutional status such as a committee or a subcommittee chair. Jaungshum See, <i>University of North Carolina, Wilmington</i> SEOJ@UNCW.EDU	2:45	Federal Circuit Courts and Implications of Doctrines of Jurisdiction, Justiciability, and Procedure This paper examines how federal circuit courts use ideology to prevent certain litigants from "having their day in court" by dismissing cases on the grounds of jurisdiction, nonjusticiability, and procedural requirements. Shanika R. Bratton, <i>Georgia State University</i> sbratton1@student.gsu.edu
3:45	Disc., Adriaan U-Jin Ang, <i>Florida International University</i> adrian.ang@fiu.edu Disc., James W. Endersby, <i>University of Missouri</i> endersby@missouri.edu	3:00	Judicial Efficiency in the Lower Federal Courts: Published and Unpublished Opinions Examines the impact of publication status on the time to disposition in District Court and Courts of Appeals. Denise M. Keele, <i>Western Michigan University</i> denise.keele@wmich.edu Robert Malmshäusser, <i>State University of New York</i> rmalmsh@esf.edu
4:05	Audience Discussion	3:15	Ideological Voting in Rehearings This paper examines the question of whether judges cast ideological votes in the context of bankruptcy. Jonathan R. Nash, <i>Emory University</i> jonathan.nash@emory.edu Rafael L. Pardo, <i>University of Washington</i> pardo@u.washington.edu
44-7 Room	Constitutionalism and Constitutional Construction Ashland on the 3rd floor, SAC at 2:40 pm	3:30	Influencing Factors at the Court of Appeals: Do Amicus Briefs Matter in Environmental Cases? I examine the role of amicus briefs at the Court of Appeals using five of the largest environmental statutes from 1993-2008. Each case is coded for litigant type, party effects, membership of judge, a count of support briefs, and deciding circuit.
2:40	Chair, Randall L. Calvert, <i>Washington University, St. Louis</i> calvert@wustl.edu		
2:45	The Women's Suffrage Movement and Gender Justice Constitutionalism: Transforming the U.S. Constitution through Word and Deed Explores suffragists' constitutional arguments and actions 1848-1919 and influence on 20th c. Constitution, including universal suffrage and equal representation, antidiscrimination law, privacy and substantive due process, and the regulatory state.		

	<p>Elizabeth Erin Wheat, <i>Western Michigan University</i> elizabeth_wheat@hotmail.com</p>	<p>Ashley L. Adkins, <i>Morehead State University</i> aladk01@moreheadstate.edu</p>
3:45	<p>Disc., Anna Law, <i>DePaul University</i> alaw1@depaul.edu</p>	<p>Paul D. Steele, <i>Morehead State University</i> pd.steele@moreheadstate.edu</p>
	<p>Disc., Wendy L. Martinek, <i>SUNY Binghamton</i> martinek@binghamton.edu</p>	<p>James R. Masterson, <i>Morehead State University</i> jrmasterson@moreheadstate.edu</p>
4:05	<p>Audience Discussion</p>	<p>3:00 <u>State Fire Death Rates: What Explains the Disparity Seen Among the States?</u></p>
45-28	<p><u>Analyzing Judicial Elections</u></p>	<p>Utilizing a state-level comparison, this paper argues that the implementation of political variables is critical to any study attempting to understand what factors explain the discrepancy seen among the U.S. state civilian death rates.</p>
Room	<p>Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm</p>	<p>Joshua G. Cole, <i>University of Tennessee, Knoxville</i> jcole15@utk.edu</p>
2:40	<p>Chair, Chris W. Bonneau, <i>University of Pittsburgh</i> cwbonneau@gmail.com</p>	<p>3:15 <u>Centralization or Collusion: Decentralization and the Distribution of State Welfare Provision Under AFDC and TANF</u></p>
3:45	<p><u>Money and Elections: Does Campaign Expenditure Affect Judicial Tenure?</u></p>	<p>A common assumption in federalism literature is that decentralization prevents the effective provision of social welfare programs by inducing unchecked state competition. Using rigorous methods, I test this assumption and find surprising results.</p>
	<p>Using a data set which includes partisan, non-partisan, and retention elections for State Supreme Courts, I examine whether campaign expenditures affect judicial tenure.</p>	<p>Srinivas Parthasarathi, <i>University of Michigan</i> sparthar@umich.edu</p>
3:00	<p><u>Corporations and the Courts: The Influence of Corporate Money on Judicial Elections and Outcomes</u></p>	<p>3:30 <u>Immigration, Welfare Spending and Income Inequality in the American States, 1960 to 2009</u></p>
	<p>This paper examines the connections between judicial elections and campaign contributions by business, corporate and affiliated interest groups and the implications this has for judicial independence and impartiality.</p>	<p>This paper discusses how immigration and welfare spending interactively affect income inequality in the American states. Using data in 50 states, 1960-2009, we find immigration leads to higher inequality in states with fewer welfare provisions.</p>
	<p>Todd A. Curry, <i>Western Michigan University</i> todd.a.curry@wmich.edu</p>	<p>Yang Xu, <i>Louisiana State University</i> yxu5@lsu.edu</p>
3:05	<p><u>Running on Strikes: California's Three Strikes Law and District Attorney Elections</u></p>	<p>3:45 <u>Disc., Gary M. Reich</u>, <i>University of Kansas</i> greich@ku.edu</p>
	<p>Geography may play a larger role than justice in California's application of Three Strikes. This paper explores the relationship between district attorney elections and the incidence of non-serious, non-violent third strikes.</p>	<p>4:05 <u>Audience Discussion</u></p>
	<p>Parola Fieber, <i>California State University, Fullerton</i> pfieber@fullerton.edu</p>	<p>45-6 <u>Evidence and Policy Design: Energy, Environment and Education</u></p>
	<p>Justin Abbott Tucker, <i>California State University, Fullerton</i> justintucker@fullerton.edu</p>	<p>Room Parlor G on the 6th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm</p>
3:30	<p><u>Where Does the Money Come From? An Exploration of the Nature of Contributors to State Judicial Elections</u></p>	<p>2:40 <u>Chair, Martin Lodge</u>, <i>London School of Economics</i> m.lodge@lse.ac.uk</p>
	<p>In this paper we examine the nature and amounts of campaign contributions to state judicial candidates over the course of the past 20 years.</p>	<p>2:45 <u>Education Councils in Europe: Balancing Expertise, Societal Interests and Political Control in the Production of Policy Advice</u></p>
	<p>Eric N. Walteburg, <i>Purdue University</i> ewaltebu@purdue.edu</p>	<p>Comparative analysis of education councils in Europe as advisory bodies that seek to raise the evidence base of policy-making, translate societal inputs, and produce useful advice to policy-makers.</p>
	<p>Sara Wiest, <i>Purdue University</i> swiest@purdue.edu</p>	<p>Marleen Beens, <i>Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven, Belgium</i> marleen.beens@soc.kuleuven.be</p>
	<p>Christopher Kulesza, <i>Purdue University</i> ckulesza@purdue.edu</p>	<p>Eben Fobé, <i>Public Management Institute K.U. Leuven</i> maaike.vandenhaute@soc.kuleuven.be</p>
3:45	<p>Disc., Chris W. Bonneau, <i>University of Pittsburgh</i> cwbonneau@gmail.com</p>	<p>3:05 <u>The Impact of Institution-Cultural Bias on Policy Analytic Process and Policy Design: Comparative Cross-Nation Environmental Policy-making on the Western American Continent</u></p>
	<p>Disc., C. Scott Peters, <i>University of Northern Iowa</i> cpeters@uni.edu</p>	<p>The study applies questions measuring culture, policy analysis, and policy design to environmental policies - and in particular across 11 North American states and provinces attempting to coordinate environmental policies under one single initiative.</p>
4:05	<p>Audience Discussion</p>	<p>Shawn C. Drake, <i>Simon Fraser University, Vancouver</i> sdrake@sfu.ca</p>
46-14	<p><u>Policy Outcomes: Explaining State Variation</u></p>	<p>3:25 <u>The Impact of Energy Efficiency Policies on Residential Electricity Consumption</u></p>
Room	<p>Burnham 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm</p>	<p>The paper presents the results of a quantitative impact analysis on energy efficiency policies in 30 countries (mainly OECD and BRIC</p>
2:40	<p>Chair, Jeremy Walling, <i>Southwest Missouri State University</i> jwalling@swmo.edu</p>	
2:45	<p><u>Understanding Kentucky's Prison Growth: A Multivariate Analysis of Demographic, Economic, Social and Policy Related Influences</u></p>	
	<p>Using time series regression analysis we evaluate changes in prison populations and the relative influence of predictor variables over time in the state of Kentucky, to determine factors that have the greatest impact.</p>	

- courses) and takes a top-down approach using macro-level data and advanced methods of panel regression.
Volker Schneider, University of Konstanz
 volkerschneider@uni-konstanz.de
Christiane Merck, University of Konstanz
 ch.merk@googlemail.com
- 3:45 **Disc., Morda Lodge, London School of Economics**
 m.lodge@lse.ac.uk
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 49-15 **Health Care and Social Problems**
 Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Nathan Myers, Indiana State University**
 nathan.myers@indstate.edu
- 2:45 **Increases in Healthcare Coverage and the Relation to Reduction in Crime**
 This paper will look at the relationship between state level spending on healthcare and the reported county level crime rates within that state.
Aaron Diamond, University of Houston
 adiamond75@yahoo.com
- 3:00 **The Trade-off Between Social and the Health Dimensions of Health Policies: Out-of-Pocket Contributions and Their Effect on Mortality Rates**
 The paper analyzes the conditional effect of out-of-pocket transfers on mortality rates.
Thomas Pluemper, University of Essex
 t.pluemper@essex.ac.uk
Eric Neumayer, London School of Economics
 e.neumayer@lse.ac.uk
- 3:15 **Measuring Job Satisfaction Levels of Emotional Labour and Public Service Motivations: Case of Social Workers in Community Hospitals**
 This study examines the determinants of emotional workers' job satisfaction and public service motivation; specifically, it focuses on emotional labor, social workers in community hospitals.
Chai-Young Roh, East Tennessee State University
 roh@etsu.edu
Seung-Bum Yang, Florida State University
 sby2785@fsu.edu
Joe Moon, Texas A&M University
 jmoon@tushschool.tamu.edu
- 3:30 **The Impact of Technology on Homesteading: The Influence of Digital Communication on the Theory of Power and Control**
 Social physical communication has been lost to the age of technology and digital communication. Because text messaging has become a global phenomenon it is important to study the connection between its usage and relationship building.
Lynn A. Tovar, Lewis University
 tovarly@lewisu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Monique L. Lytle, Vanderbilt University**
 monique.l.lytle@vanderbilt.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-14 **Ideas, Institutions, and the Processing of Policy Problems**
 Room Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Greene Thornbush Boushey, University of Michigan**
 gboushey@umich.edu
- 2:45 **The Passage of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and the American State**
 The paper considers the "support coalition" that emerged as ARRA was being constructed, the institutional variables that shaped the character of the Act, and the processes of dynamic interaction between the coalition and those variables.
Edward Ashbee, Copenhagen Business School
 ea.ashb@cbs.dk
Alex Waldman, University of Leicester
 aw14@leicester.ac.uk
- 2:57 **Polemical and Congressional: Considering the Political Processing of Policy Problems**
 This paper addresses the political processing of policy problems in the United States. We consider the forces that lead to convergence in policymakers' attention to different aspects of problems and their solutions.
Peter J. May, University of Washington
 pmay@u.washington.edu
Barry Poppo, University of Washington
 bpoppo@u.washington.edu
Ashley Elizabeth Jacobin, University of Washington
 aej9@u.washington.edu
- 3:09 **On the Formation of Public Policy Conflicts and the Construction of a Conflict Resolution Mechanism**
 Conflict resolution mechanisms for policy in China include value guidance mechanisms, policy consultation mechanisms, sectoral integration mechanisms, policy interest coordination mechanisms and policy information sharing mechanisms.
Zhilin Qian, Nanjing Normal University
 zqian1@163.com
- 3:21 **The Functions of Government in Social Entrepreneurship: Theory and Preliminary Evidence**
 We develop a typology for describing the functions of government in social entrepreneurship in terms of the level of state capacity (i.e., higher or lower) and the locus of social innovation (i.e., top-down or bottom-up).
Gordon Shockley, Arizona State University
 shockley@asu.edu
- 3:33 **Presidential Proxies: The Impact of Presidential Executive Staff on the Policy Process**
 We use institutional presidency and policy process literatures and data from the Kagoo archive of the Clinton presidential library to examine the impact of the Domestic Policy Council on presidential information processing from 1997 to 1999.
Robert S. Wood, University of North Dakota
 rwood@und.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., Frank R. Baumgartner, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill**
 frankb@unc.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-11 **Collaborative Environmental Governance**
 Room Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Paul J. Colborne, Northern Illinois University**
 paulcolb828@cs.com
- 2:45 **Exploring Cooperative Actions in Brownfield Implementation**
 This paper seeks to extend thinking about how administrators approach brownfields implementation using theories of participation and joint action. It will use case study methods to examine the differing factors that influence implementation decisions.
Ellen A. Rogers, Washington State University
 ellenrogers@gmail.com
- 3:00 **Partner Politics: Contesting Collaborative Conservation in Southeast Florida**
 Examines a large-scale experiment in collaborative conservation and growth management that has sharply divided environmental groups over strategies for preserving the last remaining viable habitat for the critically endangered Florida panther.
Kathia Z. S. Schwartz, University of Florida
 kzs@ufl.edu
- 3:15 **Interdisciplinary Competencies in Collaborative Environmental Management: An Application to U.S. Marine Aquaculture Partnerships**
 This paper reports original data on how the interdisciplinary profiles of actors involved in the context of collaborative environmental management in U.S. aquaculture influence their coordination patterns, learning, and problem perceptions.

Chris Weible, *University of Colorado, Denver*
chris.weible@ucdenver.edu

Saba Nuseem Siddiki, *University of Colorado, Denver*
nsiddiku@gmail.com

3:30 **Borderlands Collaboratives: Disturbance of an Environmental Governance Network**

We focus on how the borderlands disturbance has affected the governance network spurring cooperation between organizations, but also straining relationships, reducing the efficacy of projects, and limiting resources available for collaboration.

Abigail York, *Arizona State University*
ahigail.york@asu.edu

Michael Lee Schoon, *Arizona State University*
mlschoon@indiana.edu

3:45 **Disc., Elizabeth Albright, Loyola University, Chicago**
ealbri@luc.edu

4:05 Audience Discussion

52-3 **Fault Lines: Intersagency and Intergovernmental Interactions**

Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm

2:40 **Chair, George A. Krause, University of Pittsburgh**
gkrause@pitt.edu

2:45 **Local Elected Officials and Government Support for the Arts**
The mass opinion literature finds that more conservative political ideology leads U.S. citizens to disfavor arts grants. Does ideology drive local elected officials in the same way?

Anthony Michael Bertelli, *University of Southern California*
tony.bertelli@gmail.com

3:05 **Searching for the Philosopher's Stone: An Integrative Approach to the Problems in the Intersagency Process**

This paper looks at problems in the intersagency process historically, and through a political science, management, and public administrative look. It then discusses alternative means to work on those issues.

Leonard Lira, *United States Army Command and General Staff College*
leonard.lira@us.army.mil

3:25 **What Explains Intergovernmental Delegation? Coercion, Preference, and Unintended Consequences**

Delegation to the states is inherent in national policy. I test a theory of intergovernmental delegation against alternative explanations and find that preference distance and uncertainty are crucial determinants of delegation choices.

Pamela Clouser McCann, *University of Michigan*
pclouser@umich.edu

3:45 **Disc., Stephen Haptentahl, University of California, Davis**
shh@ucdavis.edu

4:05 Audience Discussion

53-3 **Representation and Diversity in American Government: New Scholarship**

Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm

2:40 **Chair, Kenneth J. Meier, Texas A&M University**
kmeier@polisci.tamu.edu

2:45 **Women at the Top: Female Appointees and Their Influence in the Obama Administration**

Drawing on MaryAnne Bratton's work on women in presidential cabinets, I examine women in the Obama cabinet and subcabinet to gauge their influence in this administration.

Jelle Dolan, *Macalester College*
dolan@macalester.edu

2:57 **Gender Congruence and Public Employment Benefits: The Case of Teachers and Principals**

We explore how gender congruence between subordinates (teachers) and managers (principals) affects the organizational benefits subordinates receive.

Jason A. Grissom, *University of Missouri*
GrissomJA@missouri.edu

Leel Kessler, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
kessler@missouri.edu

Jill Nicholson-Crotty, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
nicholsoncrottyj@missouri.edu

3:09 **Leadership, Organizational Characteristics, and Diversity Management in Federal Agencies: Analyzing the Causes of EEO Discrimination Complaints**

Using data drawn from agency surveys and personnel files, we assess the effects of three sets of influences – leadership, organizational characteristics, and diversity management – on federal Equal Employment Opportunity discrimination complaints.

Zachary Oberfield, *Haverford College*

zoberfie@haverford.edu
Matthew M. Dull, *Virginia Tech University*
mdull@vt.edu

3:21 **Representative Bureaucracy and Sexual Orientation: Testing the Passive-Active Link for LGBTs in Higher Education**

This paper applies the theory of representative bureaucracy to LGBT individuals in higher education. It uses data from a survey of LGBT students to assess the extent to which student outcomes appear to be influenced by the presence of LGBT faculty.

David Pitts, *American University*
pitts@american.edu

3:33 **Searching Less and Finding More: How Bureaucratic Diversity Leads to Efficient Policing**

Are representative bureaucracies more effective than non-representative bureaucracies? Using traffic stop data collected by the Florida Highway Patrol for 2000-2009, we find that diverse police troops are more efficient in their searches of drivers.

Vicky M. Wilkins, *University of Georgia*
vwilkins@uga.edu

Billy Close, *Florida State University*
bclose@fsu.edu

Patrick Mason, *Florida State University*
pmason@fsu.edu

Brian N. Williams, *University of Georgia*
bnwillia@uga.edu

3:45 **Disc., Daniel P. Hawes, Kent State University**
dhawes2@kent.edu

4:05 Audience Discussion

54-102 **Opposition, Disloyalty and Illegitimacy in American Political Development**

Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm

One marker of democratic stability is viewing opposition as nevertheless legitimate and loyal. This narrative explores how this principle came to define American political behavior and whether it still holds.

Chair Stephen Marcus Engel, *Marquette University*
stephen.engel@marquette.edu

Panelist Stephen Marcus Engel, *Marquette University*
stephen.engel@marquette.edu

Brian Harrison, *Northwestern University*
bharrison@northwestern.edu

Bradley Hays, *Union College*
haysb@union.edu

Jules Azari, *Marquette University*
jules.azari@marquette.edu

Alex Kirshner, *Yale University*
alexander.kirshner@yale.edu

Kenneth Kerckh, *Baylor College*
kerckh@bc.edu

- 57-5 **Innovative Classroom Teaching**
 Room Dearborn I on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
 2:40 **Chair, Yasemin Celik Levins. Fashion Institute of Technology, SUNY**
 yasemin_levins@fitye.edu
 2:45 **The Challenges, Pitfalls, and Opportunities of Teaching Large American Political Science Courses**
 In an era where political science departments are asked to teach more students with fewer faculty and course sections, how can instructors manage the problems and leverage the opportunities that arise when teaching large American government courses?
 John M. Aughenbaugh, Virginia Commonwealth University
 jmaughenb@vcu.edu
- 3:09 **Exit Polling as Active Learning: Evidence from Students' Reflection Compositions**
 A discussion of the learning outcomes of a student-run exit poll and its implications for facilitating active learning in undergraduate political science courses.
 Shamira Mishaq Gellman, Illinois State University
 sgellman@ilstu.edu
 Carley Coker, Illinois State University
 ccoker@ilstu.edu
- 3:25 **Teaching International Relations: The Ongoing Challenges of Large Classes**
 Increases in class size are not a fad but an inevitability. How can instructors uphold the integrity of the field and effectively teach their IR courses?
 Chris Salcedino, Virginia Commonwealth University
 csalcedino@vcu.edu
- 3:45 **Disc., David M. Brodsky, University of Tennessee, Chattanooga**
 dmbrodsky@cnccast.net
Disc., Ann Marie Mezzell, Lincoln University of Missouri
 Mezzella@lincolnu.edu
- 4:05 **Audience Discussion**
- 57-101 **Teaching Political Science with the Web**
 Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
 This round table explores new teaching techniques and discusses current strategies for using the Internet in the classroom. We will highlight web resources that attendees will be able to implement immediately to further engage and inspire students.
Chair Lilly J. Goren, Carroll University
 lgoren@carrollu.edu
Panelist Jocelyn Jones Evans, University of West Florida
 jevans@uwf.edu
 Maureen E. Yarn, Swann Publishing
 maureen@swannpublishing.com
 Miguel Castellanos, University of Mississippi
 mcastell@msu.edu
 Christopher B. Rackaway, Port Hays State University
 crackawa@lksu.edu
- 63-202 **Undergraduate Posters III**
 Room Grand on the 4th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
 Post. 1 **The Structural Complexity of Federal Urban Policy**
 I analyze over 2,000 Congressional hearings from 1946 to 2008 to provide insights into the ways in which latent issue dimensions organize federal policy attention to urban problems.
 Brittany Albaugh, Michigan State University
 balbaugh@msu.edu
 Post. 2 **When Solidarity Meets Advocacy: Examining Labor Union Coalitions through the Lens of the Advocacy Coalition Framework**
 An investigation of political interaction between labor unions, environmental groups and faith-based advocacy groups using case studies of the national labor/environmental coalition Blue Green Alliance and labor/faith group Arise! Chicago.
 Stephanie Shawn Althoff, Wheaton College
 stephanie.althoff@my.wheaton.edu
 Chad Schleh, Wheaton College
 chad.schleh@my.wheaton.edu
- Post. 3 **A New Tool for Campaigning?: 2010 Senate Candidates and Facebook Use**
 We examine how Senators running for 2010 reelection used Facebook. Coding posts using Mayhew's collection activities (advertising, credit-claiming, position-taking), we show how candidates use social media as a campaigning tool.
 Zachary Auster, Clemson University
 zauster@clemson.edu
- Post. 4 **Crisis and Leadership Volatility in North Korea**
 This paper proposes to examine the development of the North Korean regime from 1997 to 2009, particularly the degree of "leadership volatility" or changes in the composition of the political elite.
 Kelly Brooks, University of North Texas
 kellybrooks@unt.edu
- Post. 5 **Across the Border: Reading United States Immigration Policy through Mexican Media**
 This presentation examines coverage of the United States' immigration policies in Mexican media. Using articles from a Mexican newspaper, this presentation shows that policies in the U.S. translate into consequences for Mexico and its citizens.
 Elizabeth Browder, DePaul University
 lizbethbrowder@depaul.edu
- Post. 6 **Policy and the Supreme Court: An Examination of Court Precedent in Sentencing Guideline Cases**
 I examine the U.S. Supreme Court's voting behavior when granting petitions of certiorari to federal and state sentencing guideline cases including attorney experience, the question presented by the case, and the Court's ideology during the 2006 term.
 Corrie Elizabeth Coker, Creighton University
 coriecoker@creighton.edu
- Post. 7 **China's Higher Education: Evolving Influence on Political Decision-Making**
 This paper will explore the potential for political liberalization in China through the lens of higher education and its links to governance.
 Adam Carroll Goldman, University of Pennsylvania
 acarrollgoldman@gmail.com
- Post. 8 **Does a Biased Constitutional Court Safeguard Federalism? A Case Study of the Russian Constitutional Court, 1995-2010**
 This study examines factors that make federal subjects continue to appeal to the Russian Constitutional Court for resolution of federalist disputes despite the court's bias towards the federal center during the period 1995-2010.
 Shuming Chen, Grinnell College
 chensthum@grinnell.edu
 Gemma Sala, Grinnell College
 sala.gemma@gmail.com
- Post. 9 **A Shot in the Dark: The Reality Facing Parents without Attorneys in Special Education Due Process Hearings**
 This research looks at the impact of lawyers on special education due process hearings in Wisconsin and Minnesota over 10 years. It finds that parents without attorneys never win, and lawyer experience is positively correlated with success.
 Collin Cape-Kasten, Macalester College
 ccapekas@macalester.edu
- Post. 10 **Terrorism, Democracies, and Partisanship: Interactions between Governments and International Terrorist Groups**
 This paper explores the relationship between government partisanship (left-right) and levels of intrastate violence. I find that unlike transnational terrorists, interstate groups attack right-wing governments more than left-wing governments.
 Casey Crisman-Cox, Pennsylvania State University
 ccrismancox@gmail.com

- Post. 11 Using Sex to Sell the Political Message: An Examination of the Aristophanic Plays**
How did the 5th-century Greek playwright Aristophanes trade on popular ideas about gender and sex in order to satirize the pursuit of, and struggles with, war? How did he use sex in comedy to sell his political message to the Athenian people?
Emily Carlson, *University of Minnesota, Duluth*
ecarlson@duke.edu
- Post. 12 Fear of Crime and News Consumption: How Media Use Influences Perceptions**
This research focuses on the impact the media have on perceptions of crime in the United States. Survey data include 2002 Community Inference Survey, 2003 Law and the Media, and 2008 National Election Study.
Jeff T. Garza, *University of Missouri*
jgarza@mail.missouri.edu
- Post. 13 Mass Atrocity Crimes and United States' Policies of Non-Intervention: A Comparative Case Study of Cambodia, East Timor, Rwanda, and Sudan**
This study examines the rationale behind the United States' decisions to not intervene in third-world conflict, specifically genocide, ethnic cleansing, and crimes against humanity, so as to determine a commonality in conflict with national interest.
Katelyn Jo Gray, *Xavier University*
Kgray1@xmu.edu
- Post. 14 Berlin Holocaust Memorials as a Reflection of the German Government's Attitudes towards the Jews and the Roma and Sinti**
A comparative case study analyzing the main Holocaust memorials in Berlin dedicated to the Jews and the Roma and Sinti with the intent of establishing how the memorials reflect the German government's attitudes towards these two ethnic groups.
Claire Greenstein, *Furman University*
cgreenstein@yahoo.com
- Post. 15 The Semantics of Stigmatization: Creating a Barrier of Understanding between the Drug User and the Drug Enforcer**
This paper addresses the failure on the part of the American populace to understand why the War on Drugs itself is a failure due to information that is incomplete, based on entertainment, and does not examine the situation critically.
Michael Heringhaus, *Duquesne University*
michaelheringhaus@yahoo.com
- Post. 16 Human Trafficking: An Institutional Approach**
I examine the conditions that affect the number of people trafficked to a nation-state using a Poisson regression model.
Karyl S. Hermanson, *Creighton University*
karylhermanson@creighton.edu
- Post. 17 Targeted Messaging and Its Effect on Candidate Evaluation When Considering Voter Personality Traits**
This experimental study looks into the relationship between a targeted political message and candidate evaluation when personality traits are taken into account for effective targeting of the vote.
Leah M. Ingila, *College of Wooster*
lingila13@wooster.edu
- Post. 18 The Threat Within: Domestic Terrorism**
Using cross sectional time series count models I examine the role of education, democracy, economic opportunity, contagion and population size in determining the occurrence of domestic terrorism attacks for the years 2000-2008.
Andrew J. Janusz, *Creighton University*
andrewjanusz@creighton.edu
- Post. 19 Frustrated Donors: Does a Poor NGO Environment Impact Foreign Aid Effectiveness?**
An empirical investigation of the effect NGO environment has on the ability of foreign aid to stimulate economic growth. Factors considered include severity of government regulation, NGO organizational capacity, NGO financial viability, and others.
Nicholas Steven Jones, *Brigham Young University*
jones.nicholas@byu.edu
- Post. 20 Institutional Impacts on the Partisan Advantages Yielded from Congressional Redistricting**
A research paper designed to isolate the partisan advantages generated through congressional redistricting plans formulated by courts, legislatures and commissions.
David Kantrowitz, *Gettysburg College*
kantd01@gettysburg.edu
- Post. 21 The U.S. Christian Right and India's Hindu Nationalism: A Comparative Study of Rhetoric's Impact on Social Movements' Governmental Acceptance**
This study examines the relationship between religious nationalist social movements' framing strategies and their interactions with the government. I show that defensive rhetoric has a negative impact on the amount of governmental acceptance.
Linda M. Kester, *College of Wooster*
linda.m.kester@gmail.com
- Post. 22 Presidential Campaigns and Their Search for Attention Using Google Trends search data**
my work examines trends in citizens' attention to the 2008 Presidential contests. I examine the impact of the primary election calendar & campaign resources on attention to candidates throughout the nomination process.
Megan Elizabeth Lewis, *Appalachian State University*
leweame@appstate.edu
- Post. 23 Disappointing Returns: Financial Interest Groups, Congress, and Constituency Attention**
To evaluate financial interest group influence in Congress, financial regulatory laws are compared. Specifically, Lipman quantitatively assesses whether the 2008-2010 fiscal crisis diminished the authority of financial interest groups in Congress.
Eric Thomas Lipman, *Xavier University*
lipmanb@xavier.edu
- Post. 24 Delegation Choices and Accidental Outcomes: The European Court of Justice and the Federalization of the European Union**
My research examines the ECJ's increasing power. States delegated powers to the ECJ which had unintended effects in EU policy. This raises the question of if the EU has increasingly become federalized due to governmental will or ECJ's initiative.
Elizabeth Malby, *Grinnell College*
malbyel@grinnell.edu
- Post. 25 Nuclear Proliferation: Only for the Willing and Able**
I examine the determinants of nuclear proliferation using a logistic regression model.
Anne Marie Marascuillo, *Creighton University*
ammarascuillo@creighton.edu
- Post. 26 Economic Allocation of Taxes**
It is critical for societies to understand the deterring function of certain equities and this limitation revolves around individual's concerns of the allocation of taxes taken from personally earned income.
Robert R. Marks, *Corroll University*
rmarks@plc.cornellu.edu
- Post. 27 Inhibiting Development: Economic Factors that Account for Political Corruption in the World**
I examine the impact of domestic and international economic factors, such as government intervention and trade openness, on the amount of political corruption in the world for the years 1998-2009.
Lauren Alexandra Mastro, *Creighton University*
lmaenenast@creighton.edu
- Post. 28 Persuasion and the Bully: Empirical Evidence on Strategies of Presidential Leadership**
The power of presidential speech-making to influence the public has largely been accepted. However, recent work casts serious doubt on this idea. I resolve this tension by asking: Are presidents able to use their rhetoric to change public opinion?

- Anne Kimman Morris, *University of Mary Washington*
amorris@mail.umw.edu
- Post 29 **The Agents of Civic Standstill in Uganda**
The Ugandan public is largely disengaged in the political realm. This paper explores the nature of this disengagement, as well as the agents of it. The lasting effects of colonialism and inadequate leadership are analyzed as agents.
Ashley Nicole Patrick, *Xavier University*
patricka@xavier.edu
- Post 30 **Refugees of Sub-Saharan Africa: How Can We Explain the High Number of Refugees Leaving Sub-Saharan African Countries?**
I test a variety of explanations for the high number of refugees leaving sub-Saharan African states between 1990-2004. Explanatory factors include: civil war, ethnic violence, number of neighboring countries with conflicts, GDP growth and democracy.
Nemes J. Philip, *Creighton University*
nemophilip@creighton.edu
- Post 31 **A Part between PACs: Leadership Political Action Committees and Their Contributions to Senate Candidates over the Past Five Election Cycles**
My research will look at Leadership Political Action Committees, an often overlooked factor in campaign finance, and their contributions to senate candidates over the past five election cycles, with the intent of gauging the integrity of the Senate.
John B. Powers, *University of Texas, Austin*
johnpowers@mail.utexas.edu
- Post 32 **The Postwar Model: A 21st Century Model for the Relationship between Media and Politics**
This paper establishes a new way to think about the relationship between media and politics. By incorporating new media elements into Zaller's inattention model, we can have a better understanding of how media and politics interact.
Matt Prievatsky, *University of Missouri, Morris*
priev004@umw.edu
- Post 33 **Exploring the Nexus between Democracy, Globalization and Human Rights: Evidence from the Developing World**
Democracy is routinely invoked as the best available political system this poster explores the performance of democracies vis-à-vis human rights in context of globalization
Dillon Raymond Roeban, *Texas A&M University, Qatar*
dreymondroeban@qatar.tamu.edu
Manzoor Ahmed, *Texas A&M University, Qatar*
manzoor.ahmed@qatar.tamu.edu
- Post 34 **Investigating the Impact of Constitutional Provisions on Human Rights Issues**
This research investigates the impact of constitutional provisions concerning human rights cross-nationally, by focusing on constitutional provisions in the areas of personal autonomy and due process rights.
Kory Redding, *University of North Texas*
koryredding@unt.edu
- Post 35 **Riding the Wave: Economic Growth and Democracy in the Third Wave**
The basis of this study will be to examine nations who democratized during the third wave of democracy, and determine the effect the adoption of democracy has on a country's overall economic growth, against their authoritarian contemporaries.
Joshua Gordon Richards, *SUNY, Buffalo*
jgriechu@buffalo.edu
Reed Kinderman, *SUNY, Buffalo*
rak22@buffalo.edu
- Post 36 **South African Women's Organizations in the Transitional Period: Assessing the Value of Gender Mainstreaming as a Political Approach**
An examination of the influence of South African women's organizations with a gender mainstreaming orientation on policy outcomes during the transitional period, and the relationship between gains at the state level and their substantive equality.
- Emily Anne Reid, *Saint Louis University*
ereid31@slu.edu
- Post 37 **What Factors Influence Human Rights Violations in Latin America from 1976 to 2005?**
I study political and economic factors that influence human rights violations in Latin America. The factors I examined are economic growth, democracy, school enrollment, income inequality, exports, political stability, and population size.
Sylvia Elizabeth Rodriguez Pena, *Creighton University*
ser0845A@creighton.edu
- Post 38 **The Media, Relief, Upgrading, and Iraq's Missing Weapons of Mass Destruction**
We use cross-sectional survey data from 2003 & 2004 Gallup polls to dissect the effects of media coverage on the public's knowledge and opinions regarding Iraq's WMD's Programs.
Samantha Ann Sanfilippo, *Florida State University*
saa07j@fsu.edu
- Post 39 **Cultivating Citizens: The Care of the Self in Plato's Alcibiades**
This paper examines the concept of caring for oneself in Plato's Alcibiades as a way to cultivate what Stephen White calls an ethos of "presumptive generosity." It advocates friendship that causes a critical reflection of the self on the self.
Michael Christopher Sando, *College of William and Mary*
mcsando@gmail.com
- Post 40 **The Military Dimensions of Post-Cold War U.S. Oil Policy**
How does the US Military understand the role of oil, particularly in war times? I will assess planners' assumptions about securing "access" to oil supplies today in order to fight wars tomorrow.
Andrew Schlossberg, *University of Pennsylvania*
schl@cas.upenn.edu
- Post 41 **Beyond Quality: Why Politicians Publish Books When Seeking the Presidency**
Using the last three presidential elections, I develop a theory about what motivates presidential candidates to publish books. I test my hypotheses through textual analysis of select titles and a survey of media coverage related to the books.
Helen Schooes, *Kean College*
hschooes@kean.edu
- Post 42 **Why We Fight**
An examination on why America fights in military conflicts using examples from the past; from World War I and World War II to the Wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. Factors on why America fights, for democracy, military industrial complex and others.
Marianne K. Simon, *Musichuerts College of Liberal Arts*
marianne.k.simon@gmail.com
- Post 43 **Survival: How the Demand on the Poor to Maintain Bank Needs Affects Their Ability to Participate in the U.S. Political System**
This paper seeks to explain low levels of voter turnout among those living in poverty in the United States of America.
Chelsea Elizabeth Smith, *Earlham College*
cesmith07@earlham.edu
- Post 44 **It's Not Just about the Economy, Stupid! Party Change in Electoral Authoritarian States: A Russian Case Study**
This work examines the case of Russia in the context of party change in electoral authoritarian states. Variables of civil society, state economic conditions, and party access to institutional resources are seen as potential factors for party change.
Colin Stemper, *University of Missouri, Morris*
colin.stemper@gmail.com
- Post 45 **The Message is the Medium: How News Consumer Partisanship Influences the Choice of News Medium**
This study analyzes the differences among people who consume the news from different news mediums. We look at partisanship and other indicators of choice for Print, Broadcast, and Internet news.
David Kurt Teighman, *University of Missouri*
davidteighman@gmail.com

- Post. 46 Political Elites and Their Influence on the American Political Process**
This paper examines elites in America (i.e. who they are, their mediums to gain power and the tactics used to influence the political process) using case studies encompassing: Academics, Entertainment & Sports, Entrepreneurs, Law and Military
Zack Tucker, University of Arkansas, Monticello
zt1217@uconn.edu
- Post. 47 Framing Science for Policy**
The goal of this paper is to study the way in which the rhetoric of science is used to frame policy issues--specifically, stem cell research, nuclear power, and climate change--in order to understand the proper place for science in the regime
Robert L. Valecki, College of the Holy Cross
rlvale11@holycross.edu
- Post. 48 Leaders vs. Followers: A Comparative Analysis of Why Genocide Transpires**
This comparative analysis looks to examine why genocide is allowed to transpire by examining the roles of leaders versus followers in the Nazi Holocaust and the Rwandan genocide.
Sarah Quinn Weinstein, College of the Holy Cross
sqweinst1@holycross.edu
- Post. 49 Genocide in Darfur**
In this paper I will be discussing the three theories I have found that describe why genocide occurs. These include, feeling threatened by another, using genocide as a tool to acquire wealth, and forcing their beliefs on another.
Kelsey Whiting, University of Northern Iowa
whitingk@uni.edu
- Post. 50 Investment Spending Trends in American Institutions**
This project examines changes in the discretionary spending of investment policies in the budgets of the President and Congress over time
Natalie Elizabeth Whitton, University of Texas
newhitton@gmail.com
- Post. 51 Approach to Secession**
This paper presents a case study analysis of the impact of the United Nations to influence, intervene in, or broker solutions to secessionist conflicts.
Nysodara Wickramasekera, Earlham College
twickr07@earlham.edu
- Post. 52 Dilled across Europe or Poljed across Europe: A Case Study in Economic Mobility, Transnational Networks, and Intra-European Migration**
This paper explores Polish migration to the UK and Netherlands post-EU Accession and argues that economic factors, followed by transnational networks, provide the primary motivation for trans-continental movement and settlement locations.
Juliana Marie Wilhoit, Wheaton College
juliana.wilhoit@wyo.wheaton.edu
Andrew Flavin, Wheaton College
flavin2006@gmail.com
Timothy C. Lutz, Wheaton College
mceddy.lou@wyo.wheaton.edu
- Post. 53 George Bush and No Child Left Behind: The Importance of Congressional Relationships in Successful Policy Changes**
The passage of No Child Left Behind was a major change in American federal power and to the structure of public education, which was facilitated by the leadership style of President Bush and his relationship with congressional leadership.
Kyle Vanella Rose Young-Biretta, Earlham College
kvyoung07@earlham.edu
- Post. 54 Somalia: What Humanitarian Intervention?**
This essay compares the elements of an accident with those of Somalia's humanitarian efforts. The comparison shows why the Somalia intervention remained an invisible spectacle, furthering the image of American foreign policy initiatives as valid.
- 71-9 Room 1:40**
Tyranny, War, and Resistance on Screen and Stage
LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 2:40 pm
Chair, Roger W. Green, Florida Gulf Coast University
rgreen@fgcu.edu
- 2:45**
Ornaments of Pain and Survival: A Historical and Literary Analysis of Central European Literature and Film
My paper examines a specific literary and film genre that has emerged in a strictly defined time and space - in Central Europe during the communist era that followed World War II and the Holocaust.
Marta K. Moore, Collin College
mmoore@collin.edu
- 3:05**
Greek Masks, Dead Brothers and Political Resistance
An examination of French theatrical texts during the Nazi occupation for evidence of support for the Resistance or collaboration.
Allen Wood, Purdue University
wooda@purdue.edu
- 3:25**
Disc. Roger W. Green, Florida Gulf Coast University
rgreen@fgcu.edu
- 3:45**
Audience Discussion

Saturday, April 2 at 4:35 pm

1-110 Robert H. Salisbury Memorial

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm

Chair Scott Alinsworth, *University of Georgia*
salinswo@uga.edu

Panelist Charles H. Franklin, *University of Wisconsin*
frankli@polisci.wisc.edu

Keoneth A. Shephel, *Harvard University*
kshephel@fas.harvard.edu

Randall L. Culvert, *Washington University, St. Louis*
culvert@wustl.edu

John P. Heinz, *Northwestern University*
j-heinz@law.northwestern.edu

Lucas J. Barker, *Stanford University*
lucusb@stanford.edu

Virginia Gray, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
vgray@email.unc.edu

Burdett A. Bloomer, *University of Kansas*
bloomer@ku.edu

Jan Leighley, *University of Arizona*
leighley@america.az.edu

Frank Baumgartner, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
frank.r.baumgartner@gmail.com

4-13 Islam and Politics

Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm

Chair Ana Torres, *Michigan State University*
tortesa@msu.edu

4:40 Radical Islam and Political Behavior in Southern Thailand

Survey data from the five southernmost provinces in Thailand will be used to examine attitudes towards radical Islam and patterns of political participation in the region.

Glazer L. Denton, *University of Mississippi*
glenton@olemiss.edu

4:52 Resurgence of Political Islam and Democracy in Turkey

This paper focuses on the state of radical political Islam in Turkish democracy. A moderate and democratic and a radical anti-democratic political Islamic movement exists in Turkey. This paper examines the socio-cultural determinants of the latter.

Erol M. Kalaycıoğlu, *Sabanci University*
kalayce@sabanciuni.edu

5:44 Moderation Theory Revisited: Centralism of Communist Parties in Europe and Islamic Parties in the Middle East

This paper analyzes the conditions and stages of radical party moderation to offer a generalizable theory of moderation. Empirically, we focused on radical parties from Europe and the Middle East.

Suveyda Karakaya, *University of Tennessee*
skaraku@utk.edu

A. Kadir Yıldırım, *Princeton University*
kadir@princeton.edu

5:16 Political Opportunity Structures and the Dynamics of Islamic Political Mobilization in Bangladesh and Turkey

This paper explores how military interventions affect the political opportunity structures faced by Islamic parties in Turkey and Bangladesh, with particular attention on how such parties interpret opportunities and threats.

Brian Melko, *Muhlenberg College*
bmelko@muhlenberg.edu

S. Motoko Hashino, *Muhlenberg College*
mhashim@muhlenberg.edu

5:28 Transforming Politics: Dynamic Religion: Religion's Political Impact in Sub-Saharan Africa

Given the social importance of global religions (Christianity and Islam, in particular) and its changing demographics, what are the political consequences for representation and claims upon the state in Africa's democratic regimes?

Rachel Beatty Riedl, *Northwestern University*
r-riedl@northwestern.edu

5:40 Disc., Jeremy Matthew Menchik, *University of Wisconsin*
menchikj@wisc.edu

6:00 Audience Discussion

4-26 Migrant and Diaspora Politics

Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm

Chair Navjee Muehshid, *University of Rochester*
nmuehshid@gmail.rochester.edu

4:40 Sick, Needy or Undereducated? How do Immigrants to Middle-Income Countries Choose Where They Want to Live?

The reasons immigrants choose a host country over another are not well studied in the context of the developing world. In this paper, we develop a theory of migration from South to South, and we test it with data from middle income countries.

Ceren Altınçekiç, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
ceren.altincekic@colorado.edu

Guley Ugur Goksel, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
gokselg@colorado.edu

5:00 Remittances and Conflict: A Sub-national Examination of Remittances and Violence in Mexican States

This paper examines the impact of absolute levels and change in levels of remittances on violence in Mexican states, 2003-2009.

Kristin Johnson, *University of Rhode Island*
kristin_johnson@mail.uri.edu

Ashtea Rundlett, *University of Rhode Island*
arundlet@gmail.com

5:20 Comparative Diaspora Politics in Asia: Non-resident Indians and the Overseas Chinese

The paper employs in-depth comparative case studies to compare and contrast diaspora politics in China and India, two key contemporary rising powers.

Nikola Mirovic, *George Washington University*
nikolam@gwu.edu

5:40 Disc., Shyam Krishnan Selvam, Georgia Perimeter College

6:00 Audience Discussion

5-10 Civil Society Organizations' Impact on Democratization

Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm

Chair Graeme B. Robertson, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
grameb@email.unc.edu

4:40 The Parallel Worlds of Kenyan Civil Society

This paper argues that Kenyan civil society can be best understood as consisting of two parallel societies; on the one hand outside NGOs, on the other hand, informal networks and small unregistered associations based in grassroots communities.

Erik Cleven, *Purdue University*
ecleven@purdue.edu

4:55 SWORDJATS Plebeians: Explaining the Organizational Transformation of Rebel Groups into Political Parties

In this paper the primary question addressed is how internal features of the rebel group and the external political environment interact to affect the inevitable internal struggle within a rebel group after it transforms into a political party?

John T. Ishiyama, *University of North Texas*
John.Ishiyama@unt.edu

5:10 Decentralization, Pemekaran, and Ethnic Relationships

This research examined how decentralization and pemekaran or administrative fragmentation have affected ethnic relations in Indonesia, focusing on interactions between civil society and political society in the newly created districts.

Hisako Kobayashi, *University of Southern California*
hisakokoi@usc.edu

- 5:25 **Binding Action Networks as Social Movements: Updating and Refining Sidney Tarrow's Political Process Model**
Evidence from quantitative and qualitative fieldwork in Brazil reveals the translation of social capital into political capital via the asynchronous actions of associated groups and organizations.
Bryan C. Williams, *Mercer University*
williams_bo@mercer.edu
- 6:40 **Disc.** Fengshi Wu, *Chinese University of Hong Kong*
wufengshi@cuhk.edu.hk
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-15 **Federalism, Decentralization, and Ethnic Conflict**
Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor. Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Kathleen Dowley, SUNY, New Paltz**
dowleyk@newpaltz.edu
- 4:40 **Institutional Incentives as a Potential for Accommodating Interethnic Conflict: Benefits and Challenges of the Decentralization Process in Kosovo**
Using Kosovo as a case study this paper reflects on decentralization as an institutional mechanism that can mitigate interethnic conflict by enhancing interethnic cooperation through direct participation of citizens in local governance.
Ashika Bishko, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
ashika2@uic.edu
- 4:55 **Making Secession Possible: Federalism as a Capacity Building Instrument**
This paper analyzes the relationship between federalism and secessionist movement and argues that federalism makes secession more likely by reducing the costs associated with attaining independent statehood, thereby empowering a region to secede.
Debra Egan, *New York University, Abu Dhabi*
de339@nyu.edu
- 5:10 **The Security Advantages of Partial Independence**
Amidst the threats of international anarchy, globalization, and nationalist conflict, this paper will argue that the partial independence of a territory is in many cases a more secure alternative than full independence.
David A. Rezvani, *Trinity College*
david.rezvani@trincoll.edu
- 5:25 **Cross-cutting Federalism and Conflict**
Using a new dataset of ethnic federalism—the degree federal boundaries conform to ethnic homelands—explore several theories regarding how federalism should be designed in ethnically diverse societies.
Joel Sawant Selway, *Brigham Young University*
joel_selway@byu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., William B. Heller, SUNY, Binghamton**
wbheller@gmail.com
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-12 **Media**
Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Rebecca Wertz-Shapiro, Brown University**
rbwerts@brown.edu
- 4:40 **A Comparative Assessment of the Relationship between the Economy and Media Coverage about the Economy**
Both economic reality and media coverage about the economy predict shifts in consumer sentiment. But does media coverage about the economy closely follow economic reality, or does media coverage vary over time for non-economic reasons?
Paul M. Kellstedt, *Texas A&M University*
kellstedt@polisci.tamu.edu
Raymond Duch, *University of Oxford*
raymond.duch@nuffield.ox.ac.uk
- 4:55 **Cross-border Effects of Foreign Media: Serbian Radio and Nationalism in Croatia**
Serbian public radio convinced Croatians to vote for extreme nationalist parties and not to vote for moderate nationalists. We use variation in village topography to predict signal availability and employ reduced form and IV estimation
María Petrova, *New Economic School*
petrova.ma@gmail.com
Stefano DellaVigna, *University of California, Berkeley*
stellard@econ.berkeley.edu
Ruben EnDolepov, *New Economic School*
renikolopov@gmail.com
Vera Miranova, *University of Maryland*
vmiranova@cpvi.umd.edu
Katarina Zhuravskaya, *New Economic School, Paris*
ezhuravskaya@gmail.com
- 5:10 **Media Bias and Polarization of Public Opinion: The "Crime Emergency" in Contemporary Italy**
An electoral cycle in the coverage of murder and rape is detected in detailed data on media coverage over 20 years. Public opinion about crime is predicted by media coverage but not by actual crime rates. Biased media reports polarized the public.
Pieter Stanig, *London School of Economics and Political Science*
p.stanig@lse.ac.uk
- 5:25 **Words vs. Bullets: Media and Democracy with Coersion**
Using individual-level daily data on the press coverage of 500 politicians this paper finds that places where vote is likely to be coerced by armed groups do not punish corrupt incumbent candidates despite of the level of media penetration.
Juan F. Vargas, *Universidad del Rosario*
juan.f.vargas@gmail.com
Leopoldo Fergusson, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
leopoldo@mit.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Mark Hammon, University of Tennessee**
mhammon@utk.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 6-14 **Identity in Europe**
Room Chamber 3 on the 7th floor. Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Alos Gallego, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and Stanford University**
alos.gallego@uab.cat
- 4:40 **Unity at Crossroads?: Politics of Social Segregation in the European Union**
This paper examines the past decade of EU social integration initiatives and questions their effective contribution to the project of common (European) identity and shared values across the Union.
Marina Kanetkar, *New School for Social Research*
marina.kanetkar@gmail.com
- 4:55 **National and European Identities in Germany: Regional Comparisons**
This research examines the components and sources of national and European identities between and among members of mass publics in regions in Germany.
Sandy Marquart-Pyart, *Michigan State University*
marqu41@msu.edu
- 5:10 **Supranational Identity: Cross-level Relationships between Self-identifications in a European State**
An ethnographic comparative discourse analysis of semantic practices across six autonomous regions of Spain reveals an interesting encroachment of identity across levels of analysis, which could have political implications in various subfields.
Victor M. Oliveri, *University of Florida*
victor.oliveri@ufl.edu
- 5:25 **Discursive Institutionalism and the Netherlands: Dutch Identity Formation and the Politics of Immigrant Integration**
This paper argues that discursive institutionalism best explains recent political instability and changes to the Dutch political system over the last two decades by examining how the idea of what it means to be "Dutch" changed.
Johannes A.A.M. van Gorp, *Boston University*
vangorp@bu.edu

- 5:40 **Disc., Aina Gallego, *Universitat autonoma de Barcelona and Stanford University***
 aina.gallego@uab.cat
Disc., Patrick R. Ireland, *Illinois Institute of Technology*
 prelrnk@iit.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-100 **Valence**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 The roundtable will explore issues relating to definitions of valence, its relative influence to policy, and future research agendas.
Chair Paul Whiteley, *University of Essex*
 whiteley@essex.ac.uk
Panelists Michael Peress, *University of Rochester*
 mperess@mail.rochester.edu
 Michael Clark, *Northern Illinois University*
 mclark12@niu.edu
 Harold D. Clarke, *University of Texas, Dallas*
 hclarke@utdallas.edu
 James Adams, *University of California, Davis*
 jadams@ucdavis.edu
 Walter J. Stone, *University of California, Davis*
 wstone@ucdavis.edu
- 10-3 **Political Incentives and Government Policy: Evidence from East Asia**
Room LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
Chair JBA
4:40 **The Trajectory of China's Social Welfare Reform Since the 1980s in a Comparative Perspective**
 By comparison, this paper demonstrates that state's fiscal capacity and the interaction of different social policies' nature with politicians' survival incentives explain the distinct trajectory of China's social welfare reform since the 1980s.
 Xim Huang, *Columbia University*
 xh2128@columbia.edu
- 5:40 **Distributive Politics in South Korea: Regionalism, Electoral Incentives, and the President's Discretion in the Case of Special Local Allocation Grants (2005-2009)**
 I aim to examine the relationship among electoral incentives, the allocation of intergovernmental grants, and regionalism by examining a specific case of intergovernmental grants, the Special Local Allocation Grants, in Korea at two nested levels.
 Boh Sang Koo, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 bsk245@gmail.com
- 5:20 **The Influence of National Assembly Members on the Allocation of Special Grants for Education in South Korea**
 This paper studies political factors that influence the allocation of education grants in South Korea.
 Yujung Julia Lee, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 yjlee5@ucla.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Kunalaki Nemoto, *University of British Columbia***
 knemoto1978@gmail.com
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 11-4 **Citizens and Politicians in African Governance**
Room Indiana on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
Chair Naomi Ichino, *Harvard University*
 nichino@gov.harvard.edu
- 4:40 **The Fiscal Impacts of Kenya's Upper Decentralization Program: The Constituency Development Fund**
 This paper examines how the fiscal illusions created by Kenya's fiscal decentralization program - the constituency development fund. Although the program has enhanced community participation in decision making, it encourages tax exports.
 Obuya Bagaka, *Kenya Institute of Administrative*
 obuyayah@yahoo.com
- 4:55 **The Effect on Strategic Decision-making of Holding Local Political Office in Zambia**
 We ran human-subject experiments that demonstrate that holding local political office in Zambia leads individuals to be less trusting and altruistic, and more strategically sophisticated.
 Daniel Eneomark, *University of California, San Diego*
 denemark@ucsd.edu
 Brigitte Zimmermann, *University of California, San Diego*
 bazimmer@ucsd.edu
- 5:10 **Changing Citizenship Laws in Africa: Namibia and Other "Late" Independents**
 There is great variation in citizenship laws across the African continent, and many existing laws are under heavy scrutiny. We argue the political pressure to restrict access increases as a country becomes an attractive destination for immigrants.
 Jennifer C. Seely, *Earlham College*
 seelyjc@earlham.edu
 Tolva Asherke, *Earlham College*
 twasher07@earlham.edu
- 5:25 **Fiscal Feedback?: Public Opinion on Taxation and the Fiscal Contract in Sub-Saharan Africa**
 Attitudes towards taxation among African citizens do not follow theoretical expectations. Rather than following a 'fiscal contract' where taxes are exchanged for material and social goods, individual beliefs in state legitimacy are more influential.
 Martha Wiliam, *Cornell University*
 mw299@cornell.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Naomi Ichino, *Harvard University***
 nichino@gov.harvard.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 12-13 **Islamic Ideas between Political and Market Liberalism**
Room Cresthill on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
Chair Sultan Tepe, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
 sultan@uic.edu
- 4:40 **Democratizing Turkey: An Islamist-Liberal Project**
 This paper introduces the main theoreticians of Islamism and liberalism in Turkey and discusses the broad contours of change in their political views. It shows that their views of have converged toward a common understanding of modernity.
 Brol Baskin, *Georgetown University, Qatar*
 brolbaskin@yahoo.com
- 4:55 **Homo Islamicus in a Pragmatic World: The Case of Islamic Banks**
 The paper measures the extent of faith based vs. pragmatic behavior among Islamic economic actors.
 Seda Demirdag, *Siik University*
 sdemirdag@siikun.edu.tr
- 5:10 **Islamic Development Beyond the State: The Revival of Awqaf**
 This is a case study of the Kuwait Awqaf Public Foundation (KAPF), examining its role in creating a new conception of "Islamic Development," and its implications for economic reform and Islamist revival.
 Kristin Diwan, *American University*
 diwan@ameneh.edu
- 5:25 **Populism in Under-Institutionalized Party Systems and Its New Target: Housewives and AKP in Turkey**
 A number of populist parties in new democracies adopt social policies that target specific occupations or groups. This paper identifies a new target of populist parties: housewives.
 Ekrem Karakoc, *Pennsylvania State University*
 ekarakoc@psu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Sultan Tepe, *University of Illinois, Chicago***
 sultan@uic.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**

- 13-9 Political Parties and Voting Patterns in Central Eastern Europe**
 Room: Place on the 5th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Paul E. Sum. *University of North Dakota*
 paul.sum@und.edu
- 4:40 Do Anti-corruption Parties Matter?**
 We analyse the life span of successful anti-corruption parties in Central and Eastern Europe and their impact on anti-corruption reforms.
 Andreas Bagenholm, *University of Gothenburg*
 andreas.bagenholm@pol.gu.se
 Andreas Johansson Heintz, *University of Gothenburg*
 andreas.johansson.heintz@pol.gu.se
- 4:55 Party Populism and Participation in Central and Eastern Europe: Comparative Analysis of the Czech Republic, Poland and Ukraine.**
 This paper assesses the causal mechanisms linking populist parties and political participation in the Czech Republic, Poland and Ukraine using process-tracing.
 Mias Kovalov, *University of Oklahoma*
 maksym@ou.edu
- 5:10 Contents and Electoral Campaigns**
 The main aim of the paper is to discuss the role of policy contents in electoral campaigns. Doing so different theoretical and methodological perspectives are exposed, alternative proposals given and case study analysis conducted.
 Simona Kustec Lipiec, *University of Ljubljana*
 simona.kustec-lipiec@fdv.uni-lj.si
- 5:25 Ukraine's 2010 Local Elections: Electoral Law Change and Its Implications for the Ukrainian Party System**
 I examine changes on the rules governing regional and local elections in Ukraine. Referring to the broader literature on party systems, I analyze these changes in light of President Yanukovich's efforts to extend his party's presence at all levels.
 Brandon M. Wilkering, *Indiana University*
 bwilkering@hotmail.com
- 5:40 Disc., Tatiana P. Kostadinova, Florida International University**
 tkostadinova@fiu.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 14-15 Poverty, Migration, and Political Change**
 Room: Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Charles H. Blake, *Jones Madison University*
 blakech@jmu.edu
- 4:40 Remittances, Clientelism, and Electoral Dynamics**
 Remittances impact a governing party's ability to buy votes and raise the probability of ideological change.
 Faisal Ahmed, *University of Chicago*
 faisal@uchicago.edu
- 4:55 Top Down or Bottom Up? Redistributive Politics and Migration in Sending Countries**
 We explore the political uses of the 3x1 Program for Migrants in Mexico, a matching grant scheme where the municipal, state and federal governments match by 3 to 1 the funds that migrant clubs send to their hometowns to fund public projects.
 Priscilla Javier Aparicio, *CIDE*
 javier.aparicio@cide.edu
 Covadonga Meseguer, *CIDE*
 covadonga.meseguer@cide.edu
- 5:10 Do the Poor Have Credible Exit Options? Remittances, Migration and Regime Stability**
 This paper investigates the effect of exit options for the poor on regime stability. I address this question by exploring two potential exit options: remittances and poor migration.
 Trevor Johnson, *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor*
 tjohnst@umich.edu
- 5:25 Immigration Policy as Pork and the Effects of Electoral Systems**
 My argument is that legislators from multi-member districts, and single member districts will have a different voting pattern in immigration issues because of their different constituency bases.
 Mariada Medina, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 mariamedina@gmail.com
- 5:40 Disc., Charles H. Blake, Jones Madison University**
 blakech@jmu.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 15-15 IPE and International Security**
 Room: Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Robert B. Packel, *Pennsylvania State University*
 rpackel@psu.edu
- 4:40 The Sectoral Heterogeneity of Foreign Investment and Militarized Conflict: Is the Primary Sector Vulnerable to Militarized Conflict?**
 Due to industrial characteristics, mobility, alternative investment location, and differed capacity of bargaining power this paper contends that foreign investments in manufacturing sector may be more sensitive to militarized conflict than others.
 Yi-hung Chiao, *Taiwan Institute of Economic Research*
 astrophia@gmail.com
- 4:55 Military Spending in a Globalized World: Implications for a Commercial Peace**
 We advance a new empirical analysis on the prospects for a commercial peace by examining the effects of a globalized economy on military spending at a systemic level of analysis.
 Lucy M. Goodhart, *Columbia University*
 lmg2005@columbia.edu
 Anastasia Xenias, *Hunter College, CUNY*
 axl1@columbia.edu
- 5:10 International Conflict and the Structure of Economic Agreements**
 The structure of economic agreements affects conflict by altering decision-making through the reorganization of domestic constituencies, changing expectations about future trade, and providing additional resolution mechanisms.
 Matthew W. Shaffer, *University of South Carolina*
 shaffer@email.sc.edu
- 5:25 The Targets and Magnitude of Transnational Terrorist Attacks in a Globalized Economy**
 Does economic globalization influence the type of targets transnational terrorists choose to attack, and is there a relationship between globalization and the magnitude of these attacks?
 Ammar Shehadeh, *Florida State University*
 mas118@fsu.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Luis Bulceffa, Institute for Economic Analysis CSIC**
 luis.bulceffa@iue.csic.es
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 16-15 The Transnational Diffusion of Norms**
 Room: Burnham 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Carmela Lutzner, *University of Haifa*
 clutzner@pof.haifa.ac.il
- 4:40 Selective Humanitarian Intervention after the End of the Cold War**
 This research uses survey experiment and empirical testing to examine the existence of competing norms and how they politically constrain foreign policy decision-makers during humanitarian crises.
 Karyoun Chuang, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 kchuang@ucla.edu
- 4:52 A Domestic Norm of International Election Monitoring**
 Explanations of why international election monitoring spread as a behavior have focused on international variables. Taking the

- reverse view, this paper begins to test the role of Facebook groups in convincing a regime to accept monitors from abroad.
Paul Bearyl, Purdue University
 pbearyl@purdue.edu
- 5:04 **International Diffusion and Norm Formation: When Do Signals Become Norms?**
 When do signals to international audiences become international norms? I introduce a dynamic and instrumental theory of norm diffusion intended to explain the conditions under which a variety of practices diffuse among states.
Susan Dayton Hyde, Yale University
 susan.hyde@yale.edu
- 5:16 **Norm Emergence and Moral Dimensions of a State: How Do States Struggle to Define "Good" Behavior?**
 From previous attempts to engage norms in explaining national security, this paper studies competing dynamics of norm emergence through a two-stage process: formalization at the domestic level and socialization at the international level.
Ji Eun Kim, Seoul National University
 martin@kim@snu.ac.kr
- 5:28 **EU Policy Diffusion in Cross-border Inter-organizational Networks: Assessing the Potential of Integration in the Eastern Neighbourhood**
 This paper explores cross-border cooperation between the European Union and its Eastern European neighbors and evaluates the possibility of EU policy and norm transfer beyond EU enlargement within the cross-border partnerships.
Ekaevrina Turkina, HEC, Montreal
 ekaevrina.turkina@hec.ca
Evgeny Postalkov, University of Pittsburgh
 EMP33@pitt.edu
- 5:48 **Disc., Carmela Lutman, University of Haifa**
 clutman@poli.haifa.ac.il
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 17-16 **Civil-Military Relations**
 Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Dwight Roblyer, United States Air Force Air War College**
 dwight.roblyer@gmail.com
- 4:48 **Guarding the "Dear Leader": Contesting the Theoretical Debate on the Civil-Military Relations in North Korea**
 This paper seeks to understand the motivation for civilian control of the armed forces in North Korea. Employing the conceptual lens of civil-military relations, this paper concludes that the case best fit in the Huntingtonian subjective control model.
Dongrae Lee, Naupong Technological University
 isdenlee@ntu.edu.sg
- 5:00 **Active Denial: Military Reluctance that Stymies Non-lethal Weapon Usage**
 Using interviews of field officers and military officials, this paper demonstrates that it was the military's reluctance to change, and not technical limitations that led to a cancellation of development of the Active Denial System.
Joshua R. Thompson, Ball State University
 joshua.thompson@yahoo.com
- 5:20 **Disc., Dwight Roblyer, United States Air Force Air War College**
 dwight.roblyer@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-15 **Insurgency, Counterinsurgency, and Conflict**
 Medinah Parlor on the 4th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Otto Grant, Indiana University, South Bend**
 ogrant@iush.edu
- 4:40 **An Analysis of the TABARI Coding System**
 We evaluate whether coding full stories provides more detailed information on actors than does the TABARI method of coding lead sentences. More information would allow researchers to test hypotheses regarding issues critical to counter insurgency.
Rebecca H. Best, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 rhbest@gmail.com
Christine Carlow, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 carlynn@email.unc.edu
- 5:00 **Putting the Population in Population-Centric Counterinsurgency: Just How Many Human Targets Are We Really Dealing With?**
 The US Army COIN Manual FM 3-24 discusses the human terrain as essential to conducting a counterinsurgency campaign. The purpose of this paper is to examine how many populations our current Afghanistan strategy and policy requires us to focus on.
Adam L. Silverman, United States Army
 adamsilverman@gmail.com
- 5:20 **Communicating Strength: Mass Media Reach and the Geography of Insurgency**
 Using newly compiled data on the geographic location of politically relevant ethnic groups, and geo-coded indicators of mass media reach, I show that communication technologies exercise powerful influence over sub-national patterns of civil violence.
T. Cumber Watren, ETH, Zurich
 CumberW@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Otto Grant, Indiana University, South Bend**
 ogrant@iush.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-30 **Examining Post-conflict Governance**
 Salvo 8 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Will H. Moore, Florida State University**
 will.moore@fsu.edu
- 4:48 **Financing the Peace: Economic Transformation in Post-conflict Societies**
 We argue that the logic of credible commitment explains patterns of economic development in post-conflict societies. We test this framework with an original cross-national dataset and Afrobarometer survey work in Liberia.
Thomas Edward Flores, George Mason University
 tflores@gmail.com
Iran Nooruddin, Ohio State University
 nooruddin.3@osu.edu
- 4:55 **State Capacity in Conflict: The Case of Afghanistan**
 Using a mixed method research design we study the role of state capacity and alternative governance structures in conflict. In particular we look at the direction of corruption's causality, namely whether corruption is cause or result of conflict.
Arcangelo Geller, George Mason University
 ageller1@gmu.edu
Cameron G. Thies, University of Iowa
 cameron-thies@uiowa.edu
- 5:10 **Crime, Corruption, and the State: How Legible Resources and Property Rights Enforcement Affect Crime Rates**
 I introduce a political framework to explore how the quality of governance affects crime. The findings indicate that the dynamics between state strength and legible resources may explain some of the variation in cross-national crime rates.
Jeffrey J. Pava, University of California, Los Angeles
 jipava@ucla.edu
- 5:25 **The Geography of Governance: Evidence From Satellites and Citizen Surveys**
 The authors use satellite imagery of road and electricity expansion and citizen surveys in post-conflict settings to explore why state leaders build capacity as they do and the implications for public goods provision and sustainable peace.
Michael Ward, Duke University
 mw160@duke.edu
Eric Wibels, Duke University
 ew41@duke.edu

- 5:40 **Disc., Will H. Moore, Florida State University**
will.moore@fsu.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 19-4 **Foreign Aid**
Room Clark 3 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Matthew Alexander Lieber, Beloit College**
lieberm@beloit.edu
- 4:40 **Donor Prudence over Time: Shedding New Light on Aid Allocation**
We investigate donor prudence on aid allocation by investigating 20 donors over 30 years, and asking if growth and percentage of aid given can give a new perspective on donor behavior.
Yusun Cho, Texas A&M University
yusuncho@info.tamu.edu
Glen Yonaheld Reinhardt, Texas A&M University
greinhard@bushschool.tamu.edu
- 4:55 **Can U.S. Foreign Aid Policy Reverse the Tide of Anti-Americanism?**
Because of the political nature of U.S. foreign aid policy, some of the less tangible side effects are often ignored. This study analyzes the impact of U.S. aid provision on the general attitudes of the populace within recipient countries.
Robert Jefferson Dillard, Texas Tech University
jeff.dillard@ttu.edu
Sudeshna Bhattacharyya, Texas Tech University
sudeshna.bhattacharyya@ttu.edu
- 5:10 **Asking for It: Instrumental Political Benefit and the Dynamics of Lobbying for U.S. Foreign Aid**
Using data on registered lobbyists for U.S. aid, we model the strategic decisions of whether, how much, and what type, of aid to request as partially a function of the estimated benefit or harm that aid will cause the recipient in home.
Amanda Abigail Licht, University of South Carolina
aalicht@gmail.com
Michael T. Koch, Texas A&M University
mtkoch@polisci.tamu.edu
- 5:25 **China as an Aid Donor to the Developing World**
This article explores the amount, forms and effects of Chinese aid distributed to the developing world, especially in Africa. Meanwhile, it examines historic changes in China's aid policies since its "opening up" in the late 1970s.
Kiaohong Xu, University of Missouri, Columbia
kxyb@mail.missouri.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Matthew Alexander Lieber, Beloit College**
lieberm@beloit.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 20-8 **Human Rights: The Causes and Consequences of International Cooperation**
Room Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Antonio Franceschet, University of Calgary**
afrances@ucalgary.ca
- 4:40 **The Evolution of Women's Property Rights**
Despite advances in gender equality, women's property rights remain diminished in many countries. Using cross-national data from 2009, I examine how well received wisdoms about gender equality explain the status of women's property rights.
Feryal Marie Cherrif, University of California, Riverside
cherrif@ucr.edu
- 5:00 **Women's Rights in International Law: Why is CEDAW Different?**
International treaties are generally not effective in curbing human rights abuses, but our analysis reveals that CEDAW is an exception. We expose and explain the paradox: Women's rights appear responsive in the treaty despite deep cultural roots.
- 5:20 **The Rational Design of Human Rights Agreements**
This paper aims to unpack the "black box" of HRAs in order to understand what renders them to be less precise than other international agreements.
Barbara Koremenos, University of Michigan
barbk@umich.edu
Mi Hwa Hoog, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
mhhoog@umich.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Susan Lee Kang, John Jay College, CUNY**
skang@jjc.cuny.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 22-11 **Money in Campaigns**
Room Great Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Abigail Marguerite Vaughan, North Central College**
amvaughan@nccol.edu
- 4:40 **Campaign Finances of Local Elections in Brazil**
Using a novel dataset, we estimate the effects of campaign financing in Brazilian municipal elections on electoral outcomes, as well as the varying efficacy of campaign spending depending on the source of funding and the type of expenditure.
Thomas Brambor, Stanford University
tbrambo@stanford.edu
- 4:52 **Holding Down the Cost of Campaign Advertising**
We assess the effectiveness of regulations regarding the cost of campaign advertising by examining the rates candidates were charged for television airtime in Philadelphia during the 2006 campaigns and the 2008 presidential primary campaign.
Michael C. Hagen, Temple University
michael.hagen@temple.edu
Robin Kolodny, Temple University
rkolodny@temple.edu
- 5:04 **Will Citizens United vs. FEC Give More Political Power to Corporations?**
Assesses the potential impact of the Supreme Court decision, Citizens United v. FEC, on electoral politics. The ruling allows corporations and unions to make election-related expenses.
Raymond J. La Raja, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
laraja@polsci.umass.edu
- 5:16 **What Your Campaign Funds Say about Your Funding Sources and Voter Evaluations**
We report the results of a survey experiment embedded with the 2010 CEES. We manipulate candidate funding sources to determine whether either self-funded or money-backed candidates are judged negatively by voters.
Michael C. Miller, University of Illinois, Springfield
mmiller@uis.edu
Conor M. Dowling, Hofe University
conor.dowling@hofe.edu
- 5:28 **Campaign Contributions and Extremism**
A Downsian election model with candidates choosing policy platforms and source of campaign finance. When in-bid contributions are limited two types of candidates emerge: "rich" and non-partisan candidates, and "poor" and partisan candidates.
Serge Tchirhan, Colby College
serge.tchirhan@colby.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Michael John Burton, Ohio University**
buranmj@ohio.edu
Disc., Scott W. Desposato, University of California, San Diego
sdespo@ucsd.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**

- 23-25** **Campaign Dynamics in American Elections**
 Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 Chair, Robert S. Erikson, *Columbia University*
 rse14@columbia.edu
- 4:00** **Risks and Rewards of Securing the Base: The Case of Sarah Palin**
 Many argued McCain's selection of a conservative vice presidential candidate would help McCain activate the base. We examine how voters' ideologies and attitudes towards Sarah Palin affected their decision to turnout to vote and their vice choice.
 Whitney L. Court, *University of Kansas*
 wlcourt@ku.edu
 Michael S. Lynch, *University of Kansas*
 mlynch@ku.edu
- 4:32** **Issue Ads: How Political Advertisements Affect Voter Turnout**
 What effect does the content of political advertisements have on voter turnout? Do ads that target women's issues increase women's turnout rates? This paper will estimate the probability that voters were exposed to an ad and their turnout probability.
 Magen Knuth, *Stony Brook University*
 magen.knuth@gmail.com
- 5:04** **Candidate Gender, Campaign Dynamics and Mobilization Effects**
 The paper studies the dynamic impact of candidate gender on mass political engagement throughout the entire campaign period of the 2008 U.S. presidential election by relying on a six wave panel data.
 Marja Lahti, *University of Essex*
 ml320@essex.ac.uk
 Jeffrey A. Kamp, *University of Essex*
 j.kamp@essex.ac.uk
- 5:16** **Political Participation in Polarized Elections**
 This paper examines the behavioral consequences of the platforms candidates adopt, and finds that voter turnout is higher when candidates offer distinct ideological choices, especially among citizens with strong ideological commitments.
 Jon Rogowski, *University of Chicago*
 jrogowski@uchicago.edu
- 5:28** **Cross-pressures and Political Behavior: Unraveling the Causal Mechanism**
 Using an original measure of societal cross-pressures, we test two causal mechanisms for the effect of cross-pressures on political behavior: through conflicting policy preferences or through conflicting advice from one's social networks.
 Joshua A. Tucker, *New York University*
 joshua.tucker@nyu.edu
 Andrew Theriault, *New York University*
 theriault@nyu.edu
 Ted Brader, *University of Michigan*
 tbrader@umich.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Robert S. Erikson, Columbia University**
 rse14@columbia.edu
Disc., John M. Sides, George Washington University
 jsides@gwu.edu
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 24-10** **Candidate Attributes in Congressional Elections**
 Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 Chair, Sarah Poggione, *Ohio University*
 poggione@ohio.edu
- 4:40** **Incumbents' Scandals and Democratic Responsiveness**
 Using a new dataset of all scandals involving a member of Congress occurring since 1976, I analyze the effects of scandals on incumbent retirements, challenger entry, candidate fundraising, and electoral outcomes.
 Scott J. Baugher, *University of Houston*
 sbaugher@uh.edu
- 4:52** **When Women Go Negative: Gender, Negativity, and Voter Backlash in Congressional Campaigns**
 Using observational data we show how campaign dynamics influence a female candidate's use of negative advertising. We also show, with experimental data, that female candidates face a voter backlash when they go negative before their male counterpart.
 Nichole Marie Bauer, *Indiana University*
 nmbauer@indiana.edu
 Yaron Krupnik, *Indiana University*
 ykrupnik@indiana.edu
- 5:04** **Crazy Like A Fox, or Just Plain Crazy?: The Electoral Consequences of Internet Infamy for Members of Congress**
 This paper evaluates the consequences of political infamy for the challengers that members of Congress draw, the money they raise, the money their opponents raise, and election results. It uses Internet search data to measure political infamy.
 Justin Buchler, *Cornell University*
 justin.buchler@cornell.edu
- 5:16** **Candidate Trait Evaluations and Incumbency in United States Congressional Elections**
 A survey experiment utilizing candidate quality and incumbency status cues about US House and Senate candidates demonstrates an informational advantage on personality traits for incumbent candidates, but no positive bias in trait ratings.
 Stephen N. Guggin, *University of California, Berkeley*
 guggin@berkeley.edu
- 5:28** **Carpathians versus Homotom Herops: Why Voters Prefer Some Candidates to Others**
 This paper examines the extent to which connections to a congressional district increase a candidate's chances of winning a congressional election.
 Paul S. Hermson, *University of Maryland*
 phermson@cape.umd.edu
 Jeremy C. Pope, *Brigham Young University*
 jpope@byu.edu
 Kelly D. Patterson, *Brigham Young University*
 Kelly_Patterson@byu.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., William J Miller, Southeast Missouri State University**
 wmillen@semo.edu
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 25-14** **Candidate Choice and Voting Strategies**
 Room Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 Chair, David C. Klumbell, *University of Missouri, St. Louis*
 dklumbell@umsl.edu
- 4:40** **In America Anyone Can Be President: Vote Choice for "Outsider" Candidates**
 We examine how candidate identity impacts presidential vote choice for candidates from highly salient out-groups with a new list-experiment method to avoid social desirability.
 Shane A. Gleason, *Southern Illinois University*
 sgleason@siu.edu
 Randolph Burnside, *Southern Illinois University, Carbondale*
 burnside@siu.edu
- 4:52** **Tactical Voting in the 2010 British Parliamentary Election**
 In an internet survey British voters made pairwise comparisons between the major candidates sitting in their constituency. Responses to these questions allow us to estimate preference orderings, and to determine which voters voted tactically.
 D. Roderick Kiewit, *California Institute of Technology*
 drk@caltech.edu
- 5:04** **Mitigated Voting in Proportional Systems: Evidence from Israel**
 I analyze strategic voting in a pure proportional system and the reasons behind it. I find that the chances of the different coalitions and expected number of seats of the favorite party are determinant in explaining the vote and turnout.

- Guillem Rieunbou-Armet, *Boston University*
griunbou@bu.edu
- 5:16 **Close, but Not Enough: The Implications of Partisan Bias on Proximity Voting**
Using unique data from the 2006 House elections, I place both candidates and voters on the same ideological scale and show that deviations from the proximity voting rule can be explained by incorporating partisanship.
Elizabeth Nicole Simas, *University of California, Davis*
esimas@ucdavis.edu
- 5:28 **Candidate Realigning: How Voters Respond When Incumbent Politicians Change Positions on Issues**
We develop a model in which repositioning affects voter behavior through proximity and valence. We test the model using a survey experiment, which provides voters with information about how U.S. Senators have voted on the federal debt limit.
Robert Van Houweling, *University of California, Berkeley*
rvh@berkeley.edu
Michael Yomz, *Stanford University*
moma@stanford.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Neil Ankur Malhotra, University of Pennsylvania**
neimal@seas.upenn.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 26-13 **Adapting to New Electoral Regimes: Parties, Legislators, and Voters**
Room
4:35 **Chair, Karen Long Jusko, Stanford University**
kjusko@stanford.edu
- 4:40 **Redistricting for Partisan and Personal Advantage in Taiwan, 2006-2007**
This paper traces the redistricting process required by the new electoral system and the opportunities it provided for incumbents and parties to draw advantageous districts.
Nathan F. Batte, *Academy of Sinica*
nbatte@gate.sinica.edu.tw
- 5:00 **Dismantling Diverger's Mechanical and Psychological Effects: Evidence From a Norwegian Municipal Electoral Reform**
In this paper we study the electoral effects of a change in the seat allocation method in Norwegian municipalities. Doing this we propose a new empirical strategy for disentangling the Mechanical and Psychological effects of the reform.
Ole Folke, *Columbia University*
of2152@columbia.edu
Jon H. Fiva, *University of Oslo*
j.h.fiva@econ.uio.no
- 5:20 **Electoral Reform and the Incumbency Advantage**
This paper analyzes the effect of electoral reform on incumbency advantage and retirement decision of the candidates by examining the 1993 Japanese electoral reforms. The result shows the increased incumbency advantage in post reform elections.
Kang Joo Shin, *New York University*
kjs33@nyu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Karen Long Jusko, Stanford University**
kjusko@stanford.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 27-14 **Political Attitudes and Behavior in Times of Crisis**
Room
4:35 **Chair, Mikael Elinder, Uppsala University**
mikael.elinder@fek.uu.se
- 4:40 **The Impact of Social Norms on Beliefs about Climate Change and Willingness to Take Action**
This paper explores how social norms shape individuals' beliefs about climate change, willingness to take personal action, and willingness to support policies that would reduce the collective emissions of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere.
- Toby Bulken, *Georgia State University*
politwh@langate.gsu.edu
- 4:55 **Evaluating Political and Environmental Behavior in the Face of a Green Crisis**
This paper uses a combination of lab and survey data to examine the effect of environmental crises on political behavior and environmental action, with a focus on local and national environmental crises.
Mirya E. Holman, *Florida Atlantic University*
mholman3@fau.edu
Traavis Glen Coan, *Harvard University*
coan@law.harvard.edu
- 5:10 **Downside Thinking: Applying Terror Management Theory to Climate Change Beliefs and Policy Preferences**
This research tests the link between terror management theory and support for environmental policy initiatives using laboratory experiments. We demonstrate that invoking mortality induces shifts in support for policies aimed at managing wilderness.
Kristy E.H. Michaud, *California State University, Northridge*
kristy.michaud@csun.edu
Abraham M. Rutchick, *California State University, Northridge*
arutchick@csun.edu
- 5:25 **Making Hard Issues Easy: The Role of Moral Judgments**
Utilizing cognitive science, we predict that moral judgments facilitate opinion formation on political issues. The theory is tested using opinion data on a highly novel and complex issue: the bank relief programs used to counter the economic crisis.
Michael Bang Petersen, *Aarhus University*
michael@jrn.au.dk
- 5:40 **Disc., Adam Seth Levine, University of Michigan**
adasevch@umich.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 27-21 **Understanding the Development and Effects of Social Identities**
Room
4:35 **Chair, Elizabeth Suhay, Lafayette College**
suhay@lafayette.edu
- 4:40 **The Role of Ideology and Ambivalence in Group Polarization**
Scholars have shown that discussions with like-minded individuals lead to group polarization. We conducted two experiments to test whether a group's ideological composition and level of ambivalence moderated attitude polarization.
Todd K. Hartman, *Appalachian State University*
hartmank@appstate.edu
- 4:55 **College as Crucible: Student Groups and the Development of Political Identity**
In this paper, I analyze the diversity and nature of student organizations at various colleges, examine the variation in college group life and develop a theory for how student groups might impact the development of individual political identity.
Micah K. Jensen, *Georgetown University*
mkj5@georgetown.edu
- 5:10 **The War Effect: Resisting Context, Identity and Behavior**
Using CCPS data, this paper examines the importance of veteran and union group identities for the spouses or immediate relatives of veterans and union members in the context of their group's social identity or tolerance-raising electoral contexts.
Francisco J. Pedraza, *Texas A&M University*
fpedra24@tamu.edu
James Krueger, *University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh*
kruegerj@uwosh.edu
- 5:25 **Social Identity Theory and Independent Voters**
Do political Independents consider themselves members of a social identity in the same way partisans do? Using implicit measures, I test the levels of social identification among partisans toward "Independents" as a group.

- J. David Schmitz, *Texas Tech University*
jdschmitz@ttu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Cary Jacobs, University of Nebraska, Lincoln**
cjacobs@huskers.unl.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-13 **Misinformation**
Room Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Kevin Arceneaux, Temple University**
kevin.arceneaux@temple.edu
- 4:40 **EvoKine Latent Dispositions: How Americans Came to Believe Obama Is a Muslim**
We pair an examination of trends in public approval of Obama as president using survey data with trends in Twitter messages about Obama and ground zero and Obama Muslim. We analyze the messages to show the impact on perceptions of Obama's religion.
G. R. Boynton, *University of Iowa*
bob-boynton@uiowa.edu
Caroline J. Tolbert, *University of Iowa*
caroline.tolbert@uiowa.edu
- 5:00 **Knowledge, Ignorance, and Misinformation**
Distinguishing knowledge, ignorance, and misinformation and examining who, on given matters, is knowledgeable vs. ignorant vs. misinformed.
Robert C. Luskin, *University of Texas, Austin*
rluskin@mail.utexas.edu
Joshua Blank, *University of Texas, Austin*
joshblank@gmail.com
Gawara Soofi, *Stanford University*
gsoofi7@gmail.com
- 5:20 **Partisanship and Political Misinformation**
This study examines three related questions about political misinformation: 1) what types of people are misinformed, 2) what kinds of misinformation they hold, and 3) how this misinformation may affect their policy and candidate preferences.
Emily Thornton, *University of Pennsylvania*
ethornton@asc.upenn.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Kevin Arceneaux, Temple University**
kevin.arceneaux@temple.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-32 **Group-based Attitudes**
Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Natalie Masuoka, Tufts University**
natalie.masuoka@tufts.edu
- 4:40 **The Role of Fictional Television in Public Opinion towards Minorities and Women**
Why have social attitudes toward minorities and women liberalized in recent years when public opinion is generally stable? I explore evidence that changes in TV portrayals increased the acceptance of African-Americans and women in society
Jerrimah John Garretson, *SLUW, Stony Brook*
jerrimah.garretson@stonybrook.edu
- 4:55 **Political Socialization and Group-centrism**
This project examines the extent to which group-centric political orientations are developed as part of initial socializing experiences to politics in early adulthood.
Carl Lucas Palmer, *University of Notre Dame*
Carl.L.Palmer@nd.edu
- 5:10 **Re-examining the Role of Implicit Prejudice in the 2008 Presidential Election**
We reexamine implicit prejudice in the 2008 presidential election. Across three national surveys, we find mixed effects of AMP on vote choice and participation. These findings question the electoral impact of implicit prejudice.
- Spencer Piotta, *University of Michigan*
spiotta@umich.edu
- Natalie Paul Kalmar, *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor*
kalmar@umich.edu
- 5:25 **Intergroup Contact Effects on Attitudes Toward Ethical Minority Rights**
Intergroup contact is positively related to political tolerance. This political generalization effect is mediated by self-decourage and symbolic threat. Overall, intergroup contact facilitates the approval of pro-out-group state policies. Data 2009.
Jean Peter Fruehd Thomsen, *Aarhus University*
fruehd@ps.au.dk
- 5:40 **Disc., Natalie Masuoka, Tufts University**
natalie.masuoka@tufts.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-16 **Press/Politics Relations**
Room Montrose 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Nancy B. Burgoyne, Seattle University**
Nburgoyne@seu.com
- 4:40 **Media and Democracy in Turkey: Towards a Model of Neo-liberal Media Autocracy**
This paper aims to outline a general theory of media autocracy in Turkish media that operates on political, judicial, economic and discursive levels.
Murat Akser, *Kadir Has University*
maAkser@khas.edu.tr
Berna Bayraktar Haniçli, *Kadir Has University*
banubayraktar@khas.edu.tr
- 4:55 **The Impact of State-Media Relationship on Chinese Media News Coverage**
The market economy has brought about changes in the state and media relationship in China. In this study, I'm going to examine media deregulation measures and media regulations as well as news coverage of important events from 2002 to now.
Yu-Nu Lu, *King Chuan University*
yunulu2001@hotmail.com
- 5:10 **Social Costs of Media Censorship in Developing Countries**
This research examines the effects of censorship of the media on social and political indicators of five developing states: Venezuela, Iran, Russia, Belarus, and Ukraine.
Olga Prokopenko, *Western Illinois University*
o-prokopenko@wiu.edu
- 5:25 **A Fruits of Symbiosis: The President and the Washington Press Corps, 1941-1959**
This study analyzes the press corps-presidential relationship from 1941 to 1959, arguing that both micro- and macro-level changes affected not only the nature of the relationship but also the content of political news.
Sarah Stambely, *New York University*
sas530@nyu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Nancy B. Burgoyne, Seattle University**
Nburgoyne@seu.com
- Disc., Audrey A. Haynes, *University of Georgia*
polstak@arches.uga.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-15 **Exploitation, Violence and Gender**
Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
- 4:35 **Chair, Petra Hejnova, Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts**
pehjnova@maxwell.mass.edu
- 4:40 **Policy Responses to Human Trafficking in Southern Africa: An Assessment of International, Regional, Sub-national, and National Policies**
This paper examines policy approaches to human trafficking and how they differ across southern Africa. Marked by one of the highest rates of violence against women, this region is utilized as a source, transit, and destination of trafficked persons.

- Hannah Britton, University of Kansas**
britton@ku.edu
Laura A. Dean, University of Kansas
deanla@ku.edu
- 4-52 Keeping the Boys in Line: The UN's Attempt to Reduce the Occurrence of Sexual Violence During Peacekeeping Missions**
This paper is part of a project on international organizations' attempts to address gender-based war crimes and inequality. Why do international organizations successfully create and transmit solutions to some gender-based problems and not others?
Kerry Crawford, George Washington University
kfc@gwu.edu
- 5-04 Theorizing the "Utility" of Mass Rape in Warfare**
We examine the reasons why mass rape has been included as a part of tactical strategy in nearly every war. Our theory is that the rape of women is used systematically to create a sense of alterity in the male enemy, thereby making killing easier.
Gregory G. Guaderson, Eastern Kentucky University
gregory.guaderson@eku.edu
Karina Bryn Kwiatkowski, Eastern Kentucky University
karina_kwiatkowski@eku.edu
- 5-16 Security vs. Human Rights: The Use of Gendered Narratives in Human Trafficking**
This paper looks at the gendered identities that are created by human trafficking narratives. I argue that the narratives employed construct 'trafficking victims' in specific gendered ways which has implications for state action to combat trafficking.
Jessica Lyons Post, University of Florida
jpost@mail.edu
- 5-28 Women Under Subjection of the Law**
The paper is a comparative analysis of how the power structures and political identities of abused women have been developed and the message about intimate violence created and disseminated in the United States and Caribbean states.
Mary Spooner, Northwestern University
mary-spooner@northwestern.edu
- 5-40 Disc., Anna Turrou, University of Central Florida**
aturou@ucf.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 31-14 Ethnicity, Race and Political Behavior**
Room
Monroe 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
Chair, Steven Joseph Doherty, Dickinson State University
steven.doherty@dickinsonstate.edu
- 4:35**
- 4:40** **More You Can Understand: The Effect of Local Spanish News on Latino Voter Participation**
We examine the extent to which the presence of local newspapers in Spanish increase the probability of Latinos voting in general, as well as how this dynamic plays out in gateway versus new destination metro areas.
Daniel Higgins, University of Maryland, College Park
dhiggins@gvpl.umd.edu
Antonio Rodriguez, University of Maryland, College Park
arodrig@umd.edu
- 4:55 Effect on Efficacy: Obama and the 2008 Election**
An examination of Obama's impact on efficacy for young Americans and African Americans.
Marvin Kling, University of Mississippi
marvin@olemiss.edu
- 5:10 Homogeneity Breeds Political Participation: A Look at Context and Participation Among Asian Americans**
Examination of contextual effects of co-ethnic homogeneity within churches, neighborhoods, and networks on political participation of Asian Americans.
Danvy Le, University of California, Irvine
danvyl@uci.edu
- 5:25 Asian American Public Opinion: Analysis and Comparison with Other Race Groups**
Does Asian public opinion exist? Can we speak of an aggregate Asian public opinion despite internal ethnic differences among Asian Americans? This paper examines Asian American public opinion.
Saemul Park, University of Wisconsin, Madison
spark38@wisc.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Terri L. Towner, Oakland University**
townert@oakland.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 32-9 Roman Political Thought**
Room
Sundburg 6 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
Chair, Brad Mapes-Morris, University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point
bmapesma@uwsp.edu
- 4:40 The Search for Stability via a Marriage of Nature with Politics: An Understanding of Ciceronian Political Thought**
This paper takes seriously the role of nature (in general) and the role of human nature (in particular) in Cicero's political thought. It is premised on the fragile circumstances in which this political theory was fashioned.
Andreas Avgousti, Columbia University
aa2773@columbia.edu
- 4:52 Cicero's Relative to Skepticism and Its Implications for His Political Philosophy**
Is Cicero properly described as a skeptic, or does he consider skepticism to be self-defeating? I explore this question and its political implications through a study of his book on skepticism.
David Felt, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
dfelt@unlv.edu
- 5:04 Lucretius and the Politics of Order**
The radical deconstructive project of the Roman poet Lucretius is a mere prelude to his constructive project that preserves freedom from dogma, freedom from the eschatological impulse of radical politics, and freedom for philosophy.
Brian Robert Gingerich, University of Wisconsin, Madison
bgingerich@wisc.edu
- 5:16 The Walls of the World: Lucretius and the Politics of Happiness**
This is an attempt to answer the question: What is happiness for man? Lucretius may prove most helpful. Lucretius is principally concerned with happiness because he is fundamentally concerned with the link between religion and political society.
Benjamin Patrick Newton, University of Maryland, College Park
hnewton@umd.edu
- 5:28 Political Methodism and the Desire for Honor in Plutarch: Platonism, Psychology, and Reception**
Plutarch is representative of an enduring Greek paradigm of conceptualizing the political motivation of active citizens and leaders in terms of spirited passion: the desire for honor and political anger, traceable response to slights to one's honor.
Joshua J. Vandiver, Princeton University
vandiver@princeton.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Christopher Barker, Harvard University**
cbarker@gov.harvard.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 33-12 Religious and Anti-religious Thought**
Room
Monroe 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
Chair, James Zink, Emory University
jzink@emory.edu
- 4:40 Locke's Biblical Critique**
Close attention to Locke's analysis of the Hebrew text of Gen. 1:28 unambiguously points to a critique of the Bible on textual grounds. Knowing this serves to clarify the foundations of his political thought.

Ross J. Corbett, *Northwestern Illinois University*
rcorbett@niu.edu

5:00 **Republican Government in Political Sermons of the American Founding Era**
Arguments in favor of republican government by early American clergy reveal the influence not only of Reformed Protestantism but also of republican and Enlightenment thought.
William T. Redding, *Regent University*
wreddinger@regent.edu

5:20 **Another Reason: Reason of State and Political Education in Michel de Montaigne's Essais**
This paper offers a new interpretation of Montaigne's influential critical treatment of the doctrine of reason of state, with a special focus on the educative function of his critique of power politics in the French Wars of Religion.
Douglas Ian Thompson, *Northwestern University*
douglas-thompson@northwestern.edu

5:40 **Disc., John C. Evans, University of Wisconsin, River Falls**
john.evans@uwrf.edu

6:00 **Audience Discussion**

34-16 **18th-century Political Thought: Approaches and Themes**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm

4:35 **Chair, John William Maynor, Middle Tennessee State University**
jmaynor@mtsu.edu

4:00 **Rousseau's Misanthrope**
While Rousseau's comments on Machiavelli are sparse and enigmatic, he comments at length on Molière in his Letter to d'Alambert. By examining his letter, we learn more of his thoughts on political theory and his deeper critique of Machiavelli.
Richard Barrett, *University of California, San Diego*
rbarrett@ucsd.edu

4:55 **A Judicialist Modification and History of the Federal Principle: James Madison, Imperial Administration, and the Extended Republic, 1786-1793**
This essay examines the development and transformation of James Madison's theory of the extended republic through three phases: in his pre-Convention writings and at the Convention, in his writings as Publican, and in his party press essays.
Alan R. Gibson, *California State University, Chico*
A.Gibson@csuchico.edu

5:10 **The Reflection of Happiness: Bentham, Jevons, Well-Being and Pleasure**
Considers how Jeremy Bentham's rich concept of happiness was reduced to mere pleasure-seeking as W. Stanley Jevons took the "polites" out of "political economics."
Mark J. Kaswan, *Rutgers University*
mkjkaswan@gmail.com

5:25 **Adam Smith and Human Nature**
This paper will look at Smith's account of human nature in light of the broader eighteenth century debate about human nature.
Peter McNamara, *Utah State University*
peter.mcnamara@usu.edu

5:40 **Disc., John William Maynor, Middle Tennessee State University**
jmaynor@mtsu.edu
Disc., Emily C. Nacol, Vanderbilt University
emily.c.nacol@vanderbilt.edu

6:00 **Audience Discussion**

34-19 **Political Reproduction**
Room Congress on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm

4:35 **Chair, Stefan P. Dalgert, University of Toronto**
spd5@duke.edu

4:00 **Moral Intentions and Sexual Morality in New Natural Law Theory**
This paper argues that the new natural law theory (NNT) employs two models of moral intention: one producing an exclusionary

sexual ethic; the other, permitting an inclusive one. A resolution can be found by turning to the idea of self-constitution.
Joshua D. Goldstein, *University of Calgary*
joshua.goldstein@ucalgary.ca

5:00 **Barrie and the Declaration**
This paper argues that, contrary to the tenor of recent scholarship, it is not only conservative political positions and ideas that must grapple with the challenge of Darwinian evolutionary theory, but the entire American political tradition.
S. Adam Seagrave, *University of Notre Dame*
sseagrav@nd.edu

5:20 **Scientism and the Eugenics Movement in America**
This paper explores the problem of scientism through a case study of the Eugenics movement in early 20th century America. I argue that the underlying, scientific assumptions of the movement are still generally accepted today.
David N. Whitely, *Louisiana State University*
dwhitm3@lsu.edu

5:40 **Disc., Stefan P. Dalgert, University of Toronto**
spd5@duke.edu

6:00 **Audience Discussion**

36-17 **The Presence of the Past**
Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm

4:35 **Chair, Timothy Wollgast, University of Frankfurt**
qw2001@columbia.edu

4:40 **Specter of Weber: The Protestant Ethic as a Ghost Story**
The religious cant of modern economic man is dead, says Weber. All that remains is the idea of a "duty in a calling," which "haunts our lives like the ghost of once-held religious beliefs." In times of crisis, the ghost returns. How do we respond?
Iran Ascher, *University of Massachusetts*
ascheri@polsci.umass.edu

4:55 **The Past in the Present: Legitimacy and Constitutionalism in the Wake of Past Violence and Injustice**
This paper argues that even under ideal conditions of deliberation and procedural legitimacy, a constitutional process should not be deemed legitimate unless structural violence and injustice have been overcome prior to the constitutional debate.
Omar Balkiner, *Yale University*
omar.balkiner@yale.edu

5:04 **Nihilism and the Forgetting of Happiness in Nietzsche**
Examines the difference between happiness (Glück), gaiety (Fröhlichkeit), cheerfulness (Heiterkeit) and beatitude (Seligkeit) in Nietzsche, and considers the implications of the forgetting of happiness for the possibility of political philosophy.
Lucas Fain, *Harvard University*
fain@fas.harvard.edu

5:16 **Linking Memory and Political Community: Remembrance in the European Union**
Using the example of the development of the EU, I argue that memory is crucial for helping individuals conceptualize themselves as a political community at all levels of aggregation.
Peter J. Veroysek, *Yale University*
peter.veroysek@yale.edu

5:28 **The Time of Judgment: Arendt, Judgment, and Transcendence**
Hannah Arendt's curious claim that political judgments are primarily related only to past events has gone largely unexamined. This paper looks to clarify why Arendt would make this claim and moves on to rethink the relationship of judgment and time.
Matthew C. Weidenfeld, *Washington State University*
mweiden@wsu.edu

5:40 **Disc., Roberto Alejandra, University of Massachusetts, Amherst**
ralejand@polsci.umass.edu
Disc., Richard Avramenko, University of Wisconsin, Madison
avramenko@wisc.edu

6:00 **Audience Discussion**

- 36-20 Ethics of Recognition and Care**
Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Brian A. Wehner, University of San Francisco**
 bwehner@usfca.edu
- 4:40 Bioethics: Why We Should Care**
 Bioethics: Why we Should Care, creates a political conception of bioethics by linking it to care theory. I hypothesize that a society rooted in care would find funding of stem cell research morally permissible in that it enhances human capabilities.
Abdul kader Mohd tari, University of Texas, San Antonio
 abkader@ms.com
- 4:55 Toward a Relational Approach to the Politics of Recognition**
 This paper seeks to put the thought of Butler into critical dialogue with theories of recognition in order to further an approach to the politics of recognition that embraces the sort of difficulties that are often neglected by such a politics.
Jason Pfeiffer, University of California, Santa Barbara
 jpfeif3@hotmail.com
- 5:10 Empathy and Rights**
 What is the relationship between empathy and rights? My answer is a complex one. At times they complement, at times they clash. When in tension, liberal theory always prioritizes rights, but I argue that at times we ought to prioritize empathy.
David S. Western, Kalpana University
 David.Western@kalpa.edu
- 5:25 Alternative Logics of Democratic Struggle**
 This paper draws on work in feminist and critical race theory to analyze the place and practice of values like sacrifice and generosity in contemporary democratic struggles.
Julie Anne White, Ohio University
 whitej@ohio.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Terrence Kelly, University of Alaska, Anchorage**
 tkelly@uaa.alaska.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 37-15 Feasibility and Conflict**
Room Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Steven Calander, Stanford University**
 sc@gsb.stanford.edu
- 4:40 Local Media Freedom, Protest Diffusion, and Authoritarian Resilience**
 Free media can observe and report social unrest. We show that, contrary to common wisdom, media reports about unrest do not endanger authoritarian regimes if protests target local governments, since protests serve as the center's control device.
Serra Baranbay, University of Mannheim
 baranbay@uni-mannheim.de
- Haiqiang Huang, University of California, Merced**
 hhuang24@ucmerced.edu
- 4:52 National Leaders and Regional Barons**
 We analyze conditions under which undisputed national leaders emerge and, by contrast, conditions under which influence is held by regional barons.
Tarun Dewan, London School of Economics
 t.dewan@lse.ac.uk
- David P. Myatt, University of Oxford**
 david.myatt@economics.ox.ac.uk
- 5:04 Uncertainty about Relative Power and War**
 Uncertainty about relative power, as opposed to uncertainty about costs, generates interdependent values of war. We find that there are different and important strategic incentives that arise in this interdependent values setting.
Mark Fey, University of Rochester
 markfey@rochester.edu
- Kristopher W. Ramsay, Princeton University**
 kramsay@princeton.edu
- 5:16 Habit Formation and Non-crisis Bargaining**
 I develop a formal model that introduces habit formation into non-crisis bargaining situations. I show that a player can utilize the habit forming behavior of her opponent and thereby increase her equilibrium payoff.
Bahar Levantoglu, Duke University
 hl33@duke.edu
- 5:28 Collective Action With Uncertain Payoffs, Coordination, Public Signals and Punishment Dilemmas**
 Integrates payoff uncertainty into a model of collective action. Shows: less accurate information can increase the likelihood of revolt; public signals can reduce the likelihood of revolt; harsher punishment can increase the incidence of punishment.
Mehdi Shadmehr, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
 mshadmeh2@uiuc.edu
- Daniel Bernhardt, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign**
 danber@illinois.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Anna Bersih, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill**
 anna.bersih@unc.edu
- Disc., Kristopher W. Ramsay, Princeton University**
 kramsay@princeton.edu
- 6:00 Audience Discussion**
- 38-11 Models of Causation for Political Science**
Room Bunkam 1 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair, Luke J. Keele, Ohio State University**
 keele4@polsci.osu.edu
- 4:40 On SLTVA: Theory and Practice**
 This paper discusses the stable-unit-treatment-value assumption (SLTVA) and provides analysts with a guide to understanding and operationalizing the assumption.
Peter Michael Aronow, Yale University
 peteraronow@yale.edu
- 4:52 Causes and Strategies**
 The goal of this paper is to show that there is at least one additional account of causation, an alternative to the Neyman-Rubin model, that can be successfully matched with an existing statistical methodology.
Kevin A. Clarke, University of Rochester
 kevin.clarke@rochester.edu
- Ben F. Braumoeller, Ohio State University**
 braumoeller.1@polsci.osu.edu
- 5:04 Modeling Dynamic Processes With Potential Outcomes Framework**
 The purpose of this paper is to develop a statistical method for modeling dynamic processes within potential outcomes framework using optimal dynamic treatment regime.
Byung-Jae Lee, University of Texas, Austin
 bjaelee@mail.utexas.edu
- 5:16 Specification Issues in Fixed Effects Models with Interaction Effects**
 We discuss specification issues in fixed effects models with interaction effects.
Thomas Plumper, University of Essex
 t.plumper@essex.ac.uk
- Eric Neumayer, London School of Economics**
 e.neumayer@lse.ac.uk
- 5:28 Lost Cause? Extracting Meaningful Causal Inferences about Race**
 Experts have warned that causal inference is impossible for immutable characteristics such as race. In this paper, we attempt to bridge the divide between these cautious methodologists and those for whom race is simply too important to disregard.
Maya Sen, Harvard University
 senen@fas.harvard.edu
- Omar Thomas Watson, Harvard University**
 owatson@gmail.com

5:40 Disc., David W. Nickerson, *University of Notre Dame*
david.w.nickerson@gmail.com
6:00 Audience Discussion

40-10 **Parties and Voters**
Room LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:38 Chair, Wayne P. Steger, *DePaul University*
wsteger@depaul.edu
4:40 **Partisanship Transformed? Comparing the Young Boomers and the Millennials**
The relationship between youth and partisanship is compared across two separate generations, making use of available survey data in better control for period, life cycle and generational factors.
Zachary R. Cook, *DePaul University*
zcook@depaul.edu

4:55 **The Persistence of the Age Bias in Partisan Mobilization**
A study that examines patterns in the partisan mobilization of young citizens, focusing especially on the anemic increase in Democratic contact of the young in 2008, claims of a surge in youth turnout by the Obama campaign notwithstanding.
Eric David Heidemann, *Ohio State University*
heidemann.3@buckeyemail.osu.edu

5:10 **Representation and Those Who Leave: The Political Participation of Americans Living Abroad**
This paper will focus on the political participation and representation of the 6 million Americans living overseas.
Katya Claire Long, *Université libre de Bruxelles*
klong@ulb.ac.be

5:25 **Political Change and Consistent Views of the American Voter**
How does political change occur? Using panel studies, does the evidence indicate voters alter their party ID when political views and party ID diverge, or are party IDs stable and resistant to change?
Jeff M. Stenerum, *Syracuse University*
jsteneru@syr.edu

5:40 Disc., John M. Bruce, *University of Mississippi*
jbruce@olemiss.edu
Disc., Tetsuya Matsubayashi, *University of North Texas*
tmatsubayashi@unt.edu
6:00 Audience Discussion

41-9 **Presidents, Parties, and Elections**
Room Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Samuel B. Hoff, *Delaware State University*
shoff@desu.edu
4:40 **Vice Presidential Selection in the Convention, Transitional, and Candidate-centered Eras**
Using condition logit I identify the factors that seems to be significant in the convention, transitional, and candidate-centered eras of vice presidential selection, 1832 to 2008.
Jody Baumgartner, *East Carolina University*
jodyb@jodyb.net

4:55 **Interest Group Advice During the 2008 Transition**
This study uses content analysis to analyze the letters interest groups submitted to the 2008 President Obama Transition Team.
Heath Andrew Brown, *Roosevelt College*
Hcbrown@roosevelt.edu

5:04 **Campaigning to Governing: FDR, LBJ, JC, RR & BHO**
FDR and LBJ negotiated while JC and RR had lobbying teams. BHO's 2010 campaign organization was converted to congressional campaigns. Electioneering is lobbying. Thus, BHO's new machine will convert campaign skills into governing skills.
Jim A. Davis, *Oklahoma State University*
jim.davis@okstate.edu

5:16 **The Party-Building-in-Chief: The Strategies Underpinning Presidential Midterm Election Campaign Efforts, 1978-2010**
This paper analyzes factors related to the volume, timing, nature and beneficiaries of presidential campaigning and fundraising efforts in

midterm elections from 1978 to 2010, providing a window into the political priorities of the president.
Brendan J. Doherty, *United States Naval Academy*
bdoherty@usna.edu

5:28 **Revisiting Midterm Visits: Why the Type of Visit Matters**
We analyzed presidential midterm visits, 1954-2010, using data compiled by the American Presidency Project. Using this data, we identify the purpose of a presidential visit to a state.
Matthew Joseph Lang, *University of Houston*
mjlang@uhoumai.u.edu
Gerhard Peters, *Citrus College*
gerhard71@gmail.com
Brandon Rottlinghaus, *University of Houston*
brrotling@uh.edu

5:40 Disc., Samuel B. Hoff, *Delaware State University*
shoff@desu.edu
Disc., Karen S. Hoffman, *Marquette University*
karen.hoffman@marquette.edu
6:00 Audience Discussion

42-12 **The Legislative Process**
Room Salon 6 on the 1st floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Eric D. Lawrence, *George Washington University*
edl@gwu.edu

4:40 **Assessing "New" Legislative Processes and Deliberation in the U.S. House of Representatives**
This paper moves beyond the orthodox/unorthodox lawmaking dichotomy to offer a model of lawmaking based on conditions that facilitate or restrict deliberation.
Stephanie Burkhalter, *Humboldt State University*
stephanie.burkhalter@humboldt.edu

4:55 **Block, Clove, or Roll: How States' Own Locations Influence Agenda Setting in the House of Representatives**
This study uses non roll-call-based status quo location estimates to empirically examine how status quo locations influence partisan agenda setting in the House of Representatives.
Jesse T. Richman, *Old Dominion University*
jrichman@odu.edu

5:10 **Unpredictability in Legislative Decision Making: When Ideological Voting Patterns Fail to Predict Roll Call Votes**
Controlling for policy area and complexity, I test three hypotheses that would compel a legislator to diverge from an ideological voting pattern: constituency demands, presidential influence, and party influence using House roll calls from 1985-2004.
JoBeth Surface Shafran, *University of Texas, Austin*
jsurfaceshafran@gmail.com

5:25 **Policy Change Through Amendments: A Repeated Events Model of Enactment Evaluation, 1977-2004**
Using a repeated events duration model we investigate the factors that increase the likelihood of significant amendment of important laws over their lifespan.
Giles Wilkenfeld, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
giles.wilkenfeld@colorado.edu
Scott Adler, *University of Colorado*
esadler@colorado.edu
John Wilkerson, *University of Washington*
jwilkero@u.washington.edu

5:48 Disc., Chris Dea Hartog, *California Polytechnic State University*
cdeahar@calpoly.edu
Disc., Eric D. Lawrence, *George Washington University*
edl@gwu.edu
Disc., Michael S. Roesa, *University of New Mexico*
mroesa@unm.edu
6:00 Audience Discussion

- 41-24 **Decisions about Structure in Comparative Legislatures**
 Room Parlor G on the 6th floor. Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 **Chair, Royce A. Carroll, Rice University**
 rcarroll@rice.edu
- 4:40 **The Evolution of Expertise? Europeanization as a Niche for Second Chamber Influence**
 Research suggests that second chambers are becoming more assertive and that some European chambers have done so by expanding their reach over EU legislation. I examine whether second chambers in the EU are boosting their reach over EU legislation.
 David Flak, *University of California, San Diego*
 dflak@ucsd.edu
- 4:55 **Committee Assignment Politics in a Newly Established Parliament: The Case of Scotland**
 It is rare that we have the chance to analyze the development of a modern committee system. Using all committee assignments of the Scottish Parliament from 1999 until 2010 this paper provides an answer to how a committee system develops.
 Martin Ejaaz Hansen, *University of Vienna*
 martin.hansen@univie.ac.at
- 5:10 **Bicameralism and Legislative Organization**
 Revisiting the foundations of Diemeritz and Myerson's bicameral rivalry theory, this paper suggests and tests a more standard veto player and informational explanation for why bicameralism induces chambers to create strong committees.
 Shane Martin, *Duquesne University*
 shane.martin@duq.edu
- 5:25 **Legislative Institutions and Income Redistribution**
 An agent-based model to explore the effect of different legislative institutions on income redistribution.
 Robt A. Ragau, *Duke University*
 robert.ragau@gmail.com
- 5:40 **Disc., Royce A. Carroll, Rice University**
 rcarroll@rice.edu
Disc., Burt L. Monroe, Pennsylvania State University
 burtmcmonr@psu.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 45-14 **Opinion Assignment and Authorship in Courts**
 Room Salon 7 on the 3rd floor. Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 **Chair, Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University**
 wahlbeck@gwu.edu
- 4:40 **Supreme Court Opinion Assignment and the Dominant Senior Associate Justice: The Role of John Paul Stevens**
 This paper offers a analysis of the behavior of Associate Justice John Paul Stevens as the dominant senior associate justice to determine the effect he had on setting the Court's agenda and shaping legal policy in specific cases.
 Charles Frederick Jacobs, *Swiss Nobbert College*
 charles.jacobs@snc.edu
 Christopher E. Smith, *Michigan State University*
 smithc28@msu.edu
- 4:55 **Opinion Assignment and Oral Argument on the U.S. Supreme Court**
 We analyze whether justices can, during oral arguments, signal their colleagues that they should be the majority opinion writer.
 Timothy R. Johnson, *University of Minnesota*
 tjr@umn.edu
 Maria Sorenson, *University of Minnesota*
 sore0209@umn.edu
 Ryan Christopher Black, *Michigan State University*
 rchblack@msu.edu
- 5:10 **Majority Opinion Assignment in the State Supreme Courts**
 State supreme courts dispose of thousands of cases each year. Their policy impact is significant and often clearly manifest in the majority opinion of each case. Here, we examine factors that shape majority opinion assignment in state supreme courts.
- John Szmer, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
 jszmer@unc Charlotte.edu
 Robert Christensen, *University of North Carolina, Charlotte*
 rkchrist@unc Charlotte.edu
 Erin B. Cahery, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
 ecahery@uwm.edu
- 5:25 **The Decision to Publish a Concurring Opinion: Are Short-Term Policy Motivations Constrained by Long-Term Court Legitimacy Concerns?**
 This paper examines whether justices who withdraw concurrences on the U.S. Supreme Court are motivated by policy preferences but constrained by long-term legitimacy concerns using a multinomial logit analysis of all concurrences written in the 1970s.
 Kathleen H. Winters, *Ohio State University*
 winters.117@pnsci.osu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Scott A. Hendrickson, Creighton University**
 scotthendrickson@creighton.edu
Disc., Paul J. Wahlbeck, George Washington University
 wahlbeck@gwu.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 45-29 **Choosing and Assessing Federal Judicial Nominees**
 Room Burdett 4 on the 7th floor. Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 **Chair, Christine L. Nemacheck, College of William & Mary**
 clnema@wm.edu
- 4:40 **Rethinking "Rites and the Bar": The American Bar Association's Evaluation of Federal Judicial Nominees**
 The paper explores the factors behind the American Bar Association's ratings of federal judicial nominees, with special attention to the role of political ideology. In so doing it challenges the recent findings of Vining et al suggesting ABA bias.
 Duane Koenig, *Ohio State University*
 koenig.283@osu.edu
 Ellen E. Skutnik, *Ohio State University*
 skutnik.1@osu.edu
- 5:00 **Idiological Drift on the United States Supreme Court**
 We examine a nominee's speeches and writings prior to his/her nomination and examine whether those texts indicate a mind that will drift or one that will remain stable over time.
 Ryan James Owens, *Harvard University*
 ryan_owens@gov.harvard.edu
 Justin Wedeking, *University of Kentucky*
 justin.wedeking@uky.edu
- 5:20 **The Nomination Situation: Compatibility, Quality, and the Senate**
 We explore the conditions under which presidents must moderate their choices for the federal judicial bench, compelling them to choose objectively qualified nominees over those that are most ideologically compatible.
 Edith Carol Savchuk, *North Carolina State University*
 ecsavchuk@ncsu.edu
 A. J. Burghoff, *University of Wyoming*
 aburgho1@uwyo.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Craig Koenert, University of Texas, Permian Basin**
 emmen_c@utpb.edu
Disc., Christine L. Nemacheck, College of William & Mary
 clnema@wm.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**

47-13 **Public and Private Leadership in Local Economic Development**

Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Bonnie Lindstrom, *Northwestern University*
b.lindstrom@northwestern.edu

4:40 **Hidden Government of the Non-profit Kind: Explanations for the Uneven Distribution of Non-profit 501(c)3 Corporations Across American Counties**

A central task of local government is to encourage economic development. Their efforts led to the proliferation of a form of quasi-government known as the 501(c)3 organization. My study examines this proliferation using local boundary change theory.

Nicholas G. Bauroth, *North Dakota State University*
nicholas.bauroth@ndsu.edu

4:52 **Does It Matter "Who Governs"? Local Economic Development Decision-making Coalitions and Policy**

The complexity of local economic development decision-making coalitions, particularly the actors and interests involved in the coalition, as well as the size and diversity of the coalition, influence the policies that local governments adopt.

Tyyla Blackwood Larnell, *Michigan State University*
blackm38@msu.edu

5:04 **From Growth Machine to Shared Fate: Chicago's Business Elites and Globalization**

To maintain Chicago's regional global competitiveness, the Civic Committee of the Commercial Club, Chicago Metropolis 2020, the Chicago and Chamber of Commerce and the Metropolitan Planning Council supported region-wide initiatives as shared fate.

Bonnie Lindstrom, *Northwestern University*
b.lindstrom@northwestern.edu

5:16 **Global Galesburg: Power, Violence, and Response to Crisis**

Drawing on concepts from the global cities and urban regimes literatures, this paper analyzes the politics of economic development in Galesburg, Illinois, a small city hit hard by plant closures.

Dwayne M. Oldfield, *Knox College*
doldfiel@knox.edu

5:28 **When Development Fails: Assessing Agency Voting Behavior in Municipal Elections**

The paper assesses the validity of the voter agency, or rational voter model, in a local electoral context. Specifically, the analysis identifies the conditions under which voters hold officials accountable for unrealized economic growth.

Jeffrey Sechse, *University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh*
sechsej@uwosh.edu

5:40 **Disc., Arnold Fleischmann, Eastern Michigan University**

Disc., Joshua Sopotich, *Michigan State University*
jsopotich@msu.edu

6:00 Audience Discussion

48-7 **Agenda-setting: Parties, Priorities and Political Attention**

Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Ellen Fuhr, *Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven*
Ellen.Fuhr@soc.kuleuven.be

4:40 **Political Attention and Institutional Change within the Central Administration**

This paper examines the relationship between changes in the political agenda and institutional changes using Danish time-series data on the creation/dissolution of ministries as well as annual measures of the political agenda, 1951-2005.

Christoffer Green-Pedersen, *Aarhus University*
cgp@ps.au.dk

Peter Bjerre Mortensen, *Aarhus University*
peten@ps.au.dk

5:00 **Comparative Policy Priorities: An Empirical Analysis of Political Institutions**

Using an unfolding analysis, I create a variable that captures spending across policy subcategories from the OECD. With the new variable I test the effect of political institutions on policy priorities across 24 democracies, 1995-2008.

Nicole Pamphili, *Michigan State University*
pamphili@msu.edu

5:20 **Opposition Critique and Government Responses: A New Approach to Party Impact on Policy**

The prevalent view in the 'politics matter' literature is that parties influence policy by holding office. Drawing on the logic of agenda-setting, I argue that the opposition has a greater role in policy change than previously expected.

Henrik Beck Seelberg, *Aarhus University*
h.seelberg@ps.au.dk

5:40 **Disc., Christine Merk, University of Konstanz**

ch.merk@googlemail.com

6:00 Audience Discussion

49-2 **Assessing Educational Quality and Performance**

Room Salon 3 on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Mack C. Shelley, *Iowa State University*
mshelley@iastate.edu

4:40 **Mandating reading: A cross-sectional analysis of the effects of the No Child Left Behind Act on 8th grade reading scores**

The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) mandates that schools ensure all students perform at the proficient level or better in math, reading, and science by 2014. Current trends, however, show that NCLB is failing to improve reading achievement.

Ann Marie Bennett, *University of Tennessee*
ann.bennett@utk.edu

4:52 **The Child and Adult Care Food Program: Who is Served and What are their Nutritional Outcomes?**

This paper addresses how well the Child and Adult Care Food Program reaches low-income children, how families and providers who participate differ from those who do not participate, and the association between the program and child outcomes.

Rachel A. Gordon, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
ragordon@uic.edu

Robert Kestner, *University of Illinois*
kestner@uic.edu

Sandra Korman, *Boruch College, CUNY*
sandra.korman@gmail.com

Krista S. Abner, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
kabner2@uic.edu

5:04 **The Politics of Bad News: Shaping K-12 Education Policy Discourse**

We examine when evidence of implementation failure in federal education policy contributes to program development and when it threatens to dismantle programs and erode the legitimacy of the implementing institutions and their sponsors.

Susan Moffitt, *Brown University*
Susan_Moffitt@Brown.edu

5:16 **In Pursuit of Highly Qualified School Boards: Politics, Elections, Policies, and Student Outcomes**

Data from a national random sample of elected and appointed school board members are used to estimate models of members' policy perceptions and actions to foster enhanced student outcomes using multilevel and structural equation models.

Mack C. Shelley, *Iowa State University*
mshelley@iastate.edu

Din-Trang Le, *Iowa State University*
dairnag@iastate.edu

- 5:25 **Getting the Waiver? The Politics of State Waiver Requests to No Child Left Behind**
The author examines state requests for waivers to NCLB provisions to identify conditions under which states petition the federal government for flexibility and federal officials grant such requests.
Bryan Shelly, *Wake Forest University*
shellyb@wfu.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Ann Allen, Ohio State University**
allen.952@osu.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-100 **GSEs and Housing Finance**
Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
The roundtable brings together experts in the area of financial regulation to explore: 1) GSEs' role in the financial crisis; 2) what reforms should be made to the housing GSEs; 3) the big theoretical and empirical puzzles going forward.
Chair Mark K. Cassell, *Kent State University*
mcassell@kent.edu
- Panelist Alex J. Pollock, *American Enterprise Institute*
AJPollock@AEI.org
Thomas Stanton, *Johns Hopkins University*
tstanton77346@gmail.com
Susan Hoffmann, *Western Michigan University*
susan.hoffmann@wmich.edu
Mark Carl Rom, *Georgetown University*
mark.carl.rom@gmail.com
J. Kevin Corder, *Western Michigan University*
j.kevin.corder@wmich.edu
- 52-2 **Leadership and Accountability**
Room Wilson on the 3rd floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair, Lael Keiser, *University of Missouri, Columbia*
keiserl@missouri.edu
- 4:40 **Who Controls the Bureaucracy? The President, Congress, and Political Oversight**
Bicameralism and the congressional committee structure create difficulties for legislative oversight, can lead to the underprovision of oversight by Congress, and harm Congress's ability to counterbalance presidential influence in the bureaucracy.
Joshua Clinton, *Vanderbilt University*
josh.clinton@vanderbilt.edu
David E. Lewis, *Vanderbilt University*
david.e.lewis@vanderbilt.edu
Jennifer L. Selin, *Vanderbilt University*
jennifer.l.selin@vanderbilt.edu
- 4:55 **Generating Bureaucratic Consensus: Rhetorical Strategies in Leadership Announcements**
I study a formal model in which a leader seeks to induce bureaucratic support for a shift in policy, when that support is necessary for the policy to be implemented. The equilibrium rhetorical strategies needed to persuade are identified.
Isaac Joshi, *Cornell University*
ishjoshi101@gmail.com
- 5:10 **Bureaucratic Leadership in a Separation of Powers System: Political Executives and Resource Dependence Theory in U.S. Federal Government Agencies**
The capacity of organizations to perform their functions depends on allocated resources. Using a novel database, we test whether an appointee's "type" at the top of federal agencies is important in determining how much resources can be extracted.
George A. Krause, *University of Pittsburgh*
gkrause@pitt.edu
Anne Joseph O'Connell, *University of California, Berkeley*
aocconn@law.berkeley.edu
- 5:25 **When Politics Falls: Can Bureaucracy Bring Democracy to Governance?**
This paper examines how bureaucracy responds when political officials fail to represent the wishes of the public. We use the literature on representative bureaucracy and a large data set to address this major theoretical issue.
Kenneth J. Meier, *Texas A&M University*
kmeier@polisci.tamu.edu
Lawrence J. O'Toole, *University of Georgia*
ojsmool@uga.edu
- 5:40 **Disc., Anthony Michael Bertelli, University of Southern California**
aoy.bertelli@gmail.com
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**
- 53-15 **Leadership and Managerial Stability in Public Organizations**
Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 Chair Bert A. Rockman, *Purdue University*
berockma@spurdue.edu
- 4:40 **Should I Stay or Should I Go?: Exploring the Differences Between Turnover Intention and Actual Turnover Among Public Employees**
Using data from a sample of public school teachers, we argue that there are important differences between turnover intention and actual turnover, and discuss how these differences have implications for research on public employee turnover.
John Marvel, *American University*
marvel@american.edu
- 4:52 **David vs. Goliath: The Interaction of Low Level Personnel Stability and Top Level Managerial Instability on Organizational Performance**
This study seeks to demonstrate how lower level personnel stability can be used to explain the ability of public organizations to successfully implement programs and policies despite internal top level managerial instability.
Tabitha Susan Marie Morton, *Texas A&M University*
tabitham@polijones.tamu.edu
- 5:04 **Leadership in Academic Science: Is It Who You Know, What You Know, or Who You Are?**
This paper examines the factors that explain attainment of three types of scientific leadership positions: research, administration, and discipline. We are especially interested in explaining gender-based differences in science leadership.
Marie Parker, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
mparker@uic.edu
Eric W. Welch, *University of Illinois, Chicago*
erwelch@uic.edu
- 5:16 **Institutional Knowledge Transfer Across Generations, Disciplines, and Cultures: What to Keep, What to Toss?**
The graying of the public workforce has been a growing issue in the U.S. for some time. I report on the results of an experiment conducted in a state-level agency that has identified retirement and the loss of institutional memory as a top priority.
Jill L. Tao, *University of Hawaii, Manoa*
jilltao@hawaii.edu
- 5:26 **Hospital Reforms and Perceptions of Competition and Motivation among Hybrid Managers**
This paper analyzes the effects of reforms to introduce more competitive management mechanisms in Danish health care. Have patients turned from "pawns" to "queens" (Le Grand 2003) and have health professionals changed the basis of their motivation?
Kasper Vrangbæk, *Danish Institute of Governmental Research*
kvr@akfdk
- 5:40 **Disc., Holly T. Goerdel, University of Kansas**
hgoerdel@ku.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**

- 34-12 America on the World Stage**
 Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Michael A. McIntyre, *DePaul University*
 mmcintyr@depaul.edu
- 4:40 Republican and Augustinian Warfare: The Virtue of Just War and the Assumptions of Counterinsurgency**
 This paper compares the Augustinian foundations of just war with the current American doctrine for counterinsurgency and the concept of conversion that runs through both the religious and secular notions of war.
 David Beer, *Catholic University of America*
 vbeer@cardinalmail.cua.edu
- 4:55 Republican Virtue or the Virtue of Empire?: American Exceptionalism in the Progressive Era**
 Senate debates in the early twentieth century centered on competing accounts of what it means to be an American. One particular conception effectively won out, which permanently brought the U.S. into a new era of international activity.
 Justin B. Little, *George Washington University*
 jlittle@gmail.com
- 5:10 Between History and Politics: Tymbee and the International Relations at the Beginning of the Cold War**
 After the Second World War Tymbee elaborated a peculiar view of the new world-order as a conflict between civilisations and he suggested a solution to avoid it, based on the historical concept of "multiplicity-in-unity".
 Luca Maggioni, *University of Florence*
 luca_maggioni@libero.it
- 5:25 From Cash and Carry to the Lend-Lease Act: The Neutrality Acts, Presidential Power, and Domestic Politics**
 In this paper, I examine the passage of the four Neutrality Acts of the 1930s in order to better understand the effects that American foreign policy decisions have on domestic politics.
 Jeremy Seifelder, *University of Oregon*
 jseifeld1@uoregon.edu
- 5:40 Disc., Michael A. McIntyre, *DePaul University*
 mmcintyr@depaul.edu
 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 56-16 Muslims and Politics in America**
 Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Alexander R. Dawoody, *Marywood University*
 adawoody@marywood.edu
- 4:40 Being Many: The Narratives of Kerkala and Shi'a Political Behavior in the United States**
 Drawing on surveys of individuals, interviews, and participant observation, this research explains how Shi'a leaders use the narratives of Shi'ism to affect Shi'a political and social participation in the United States.
 Cyrus Ali Contractor, *University of Oklahoma*
 cyrus@ou.edu
- 4:55 A Study of the Politics and Rhetoric of the New York Mosque Controversy**
 This paper explores the New York mosque controversy as part of more general shifts in the framing of Islam in the United States.
 Lauretta Cantlin Frederking, *University of Portland*
 frederk1@up.edu
- 5:10 American Muslim Lobbying**
 Muslim American groups have engaged in advocacy, opposing government policies targeting Muslims. They have actively lobbied, achieving varied successes in the cases of NSEERS, racial profiling and the clamping down on Muslim charities.
 Ayah Ibrahim, *George Mason University*
 aibrah19@gmu.edu
- 5:25 Islam and the American Electorate: An Experimental Assessment of Political and Racial Identifiers on Support for Muslim Candidates**
 We assess the electoral importance and consequences of cues about the party identification, religious, and racial background of a hypothetical Muslim and African American candidate through an original survey experiment.
 Kerem Ozan Kalkan, *Middle East Technical University*
 okalkan@metu.edu.tr
 John C. Green, *University of Akron*
 jgreen@uakron.edu
 Geoffrey Layman, *University of Notre Dame*
 glayman@nd.edu
- 5:40 Discussion, TBA
 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 57-1 Perspectives on Civic Education and Engagement**
 Room Montrose 2 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 4:35 Chair, Richard C. Wimer, *Creighton University*
 wimer@creighton.edu
- 4:40 The End of Civics? The Decline of Political Knowledge in the Digital Age**
 Decreased public knowledge of politics is explained with reference to findings in neuroscience. It is linked to weakened emotional tagging of information about larger social and political issues under the impact of new information technologies.
 Ivelin Sardamov, *American University, Bulgaria*
 isardamov@aunbg.bg
- 5:00 Quantum Civics: Political Science for Everyone**
 I discuss a curriculum designed to teach political science as a civics course for non-specialists. I explain the goal and theory behind the course, and my experience teaching it at a public high school.
 Milica Tomases, *George Washington University*
 mtomases@gwmail.gwu.edu
- 5:20 Foreign Alliances: Foregrounding Both Experience and Education in Political Internship Programs**
 Outlines USC's political internship program which, in just 2 years, saw a 5-fold increase in enrollment and community partnerships, and sets forth a framework for developing effective and well-supported political internship programs.
 Jeanine Emilia Yotant, *University of Southern California*
 jyotant@usc.edu
- 5:40** Disc., Joe Cammarano, *Providence College*
 jccammar@providence.edu
 Disc., Lonetha Matthews, *Muhlenberg College*
 mathews@muhlenberg.edu
 6:00 Audience Discussion
- 64-101 Changing Service in the Profession and the University: How It Can Balance Your Career**
 Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
 This roundtable explores how to choose service opportunities wisely and use them to one's advantage in developing tenure and promotion portfolios. Presenters also discuss service demands as related to race, gender, and intersectional identities.

- Chair** Lori M. Pokras-Staudinger, *Northern Arizona University*
lmp75@asu.edu
- Panellist** Melissa Rachel Michelson, *Mento College*
melissa.michelson@gmail.com
Bethany A. Barrett, *Amherst University*
bbarrat@amherst.edu
Shayla Michael Gelfman, *Illinois State University*
sgelfman@isu.edu
Linda D. Smith, *Florida International University*
lsm0071@fiu.edu
Betina Cutale Wilkinson, *Wink Forest University*
wilkinbo@wfu.edu
- 69-1** **Latino's Perceptions, Voting, and Representation**
Room Chicago on the 5th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair**, Robert D. Wrinkle, *University of Texas, Pan American*
RDWE116@panam.edu
- 4:40** **(Not) Talking about My Generation: The Perverse Consequences of Confusing Latino Respondents with Latino Voters**
Using the 2006 Latino Nat. Survey I demonstrate that ignoring the generational aculturation component, coupled with ignoring citizenship and voting likelihood, reproduces the misleading truism that conservative Latinos are available Republican voters.
Eric J. Juenke, Michigan State University
juenke@msu.edu
- 4:55** **Nativism or Paternalism? Examining Antidiversion Legislation in the States**
This paper analyzes the recent introduction and passage of immigration legislation in state legislatures and examines variation in the nature of the bills and the forces and the politics leading to success or failure.
Sophia Wallace, University of Kentucky
sophia.wallace@uky.edu
- 5:10** **Anglo and Latino Attitudes toward Immigration: Examining the Effects of Context, Contact and Empowerment**
Using an original statewide survey, we examine immigration related attitudes of both Anglos and Latinos focusing on context, contact and empowerment.
Robert D. Wrinkle, University of Texas, Pan American
RDWE116@panam.edu
James P. Wenzel, University of Texas, Pan American
wenzel@ward.usu.edu
Ann Helen Franco, University of Texas, Pan American
ahfranco@brooks.upa.edu
Jerry Pollard, University of Texas, Pan American
jpollard@upa.edu
Diana Evans, Trinity College
diana.evans@trincoll.edu
- 5:25** **Threads of Policy: Examining Latino Representation at the Local Level**
We examine the policy support associated with Latino political representation at the city council and school board level.
Robert D. Wrinkle, University of Texas, Pan American
RDWE116@panam.edu
Jason P. Casellas, University of Texas, Austin
casellas@mail.utexas.edu
David L. Leal, University of Texas, Austin
dleal@austin.utexas.edu
Ann Leon, University of Colorado
ann.leon@colorado.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Eric J. Juenke, Michigan State University**
juenke@msu.edu
Disc., Sophia Wallace, University of Kentucky
sophia.wallace@uky.edu
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 71-7** **Love, Tragedy, and Conscience: Literary Explorations of Modern Politics**
Room Burnham 5 on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair**, Paul E. Kirkland, *Carthage College*
pkirkln4@carthage.edu
- 4:40** **Conscience and Truth in Nietzsche and Dostoevsky**
A comparison of Nietzsche and Dostoevsky on the relation between conscience and truth. Does conscience conform to the truth, or do one's moral beliefs determine what one can accept as true?
Jeffrey Metzger, Cameron University
jmetzger@cameron.edu
- 5:00** **Rousseau and Stendhal: The Triumph of Free**
This paper examines the impact of Rousseau's critique of enlightenment on Stendhal's thought as seen in his novel *The Red and the Black*. What is the basis for Rousseau's critique of enlightenment and how is it manifested in Stendhal's novel?
Janiina Reppert, Claremont Graduate University
janiinareppert@yahoo.com
Matthew Reppert, University of Dallas
mattreppert@yahoo.com
- 5:20** **The Love in Liberalism: Turvene's Fathers and Sons**
Turvene provides an account of liberalism that integrates emotions and reason for political action. The absence of either one of these features makes an intellectual liberalism that is unable to resist alternative forms of politics.
Lee Trepanier, Saginaw Valley State University
ltrepan@svsu.edu
- 5:40** **Disc., Jerry E. Herbel, Georgia College and State University**
jherbel@cox.net
Disc., Paul E. Kirkland, Carthage College
pkirkland@carthage.edu
- 6:00** **Audience Discussion**
- 72-4** **Legislative and Party Networks**
Room LaSalle I on the 7th floor, Sat at 4:35 pm
4:35 **Chair**, Suzanne M. Robbins, *George Mason University*
srobbins@gmu.edu
- 4:40** **Ties that Bind: Understanding the Nature of Attitudinal and Interpersonal Cohesion in Sponsorship-Cosponsorship Networks in the U.S. House**
Group cohesion has long been of interest to Congressional scholars. We expand upon this by looking at attitudinal and interpersonal cohesion in sponsorship-cosponsorship networks. We ultimately find these concepts to be distinct yet related.
Bryce Jensen Dietrich, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
bdietric4@illinois.edu
Wendy K. Tam Cho, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
wendycho@uiuc.edu
- 4:55** **Feeding the 527s: Party Committees as a Training Ground for 527 Staff**
We hypothesize that 527s have clear ties, through prior occupational histories, with one or more of the major party campaign committees. We use network analysis to investigate these ties to see if the parties are training grounds for 527 staff.
Seth E. Market, University of Denver
smarket@du.edu
Richard Skinner, Bowdoin College
rskinner@bowdoin.edu
David Dault, Oakland University
ddault@oakland.edu
- 5:10** **Checking to Matter: Legislative Activity, Voting Networks, and Party Influence in the Faroese Parliament**
This paper analyzes co-voting networks in the EP and finds a positive relationship between members' levels of legislative activity and their centrality in various co-voting networks.
Nils Ruge, University of Wisconsin, Madison
ruge@wisc.edu

- 5:25 **The Nature of Bill Co-sponsorship in the United States**
Concourse: Electoral-Connection Theory vs. Intra-signaling Theory
By examining the structural difference between the similarity networks of roll call voting and bill cosponsorship activities, we find evidence supporting the presence of coordination process in bill cosponsorship behavior.
Yunkyu Sohn, *University of California, San Diego*
ysohn@ucsd.edu
- 5:40 **Disc.** Suzanne M. Robbins, *George Mason University*
srobbs11@gmu.edu
Disc., John Barry Ryan, *Florida State University*
jryan2@fsu.edu
- 6:00 **Audience Discussion**

Sunday, April 3 at 8:30 am**1-111 Teaching and the Marketplace**

Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
We will discuss how a job candidate can effectively present his or her teaching skills when applying for a faculty position, and how these skills might be evaluated effectively by search committees and future colleagues during the interview process.

Chair Edward Sidlow, *Eastern Michigan University*
ed.sidlow@emich.edu

Panelist Heather Louise Ouberein, *Louisiana State University*
oubercin@lsu.edu

L. Marvin Overby, *University of Missouri*
overby@missouri.edu

Barry William Pyle, *Eastern Michigan University*
barry.pyle@emich.edu

Richard L. Paeffle, *Georgia Southern University*
rpaeflel@georgiasouthern.edu

2-13 Policy Innovation and Diffusion

Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am

Chair Gunther M. Hege, *Western Michigan University*
gunther.hege@wmich.edu

2:35 Diagonizing Causal Mechanisms in Policy Diffusion: A Plot on Studying European Higher Education Policy

The paper analyzes diffusion processes in European higher education policy. Taking advantage of mixed-methods approaches in a complementary way, the theoretical assumptions are tested by means of event history modelling and fuzzy set QCA

Torben Heinze, *Freie Universität, Berlin*
torben.heinze@fu-berlin.de

8:55 The Internationalization of University Knowledge Transfer and Its Implications for Regional Economic Development Policy

This paper explores how the internationalization of university-firm collaboration affects regional economic development policy and social entrepreneurship.

Rebecca J. Steffensen, *DePaul University*
bsteffen@depaul.edu

9:15 Laboratories of Democratic Innovation: A Cross-National

Comparison of e-Government Practices in Local Government
Quantitative and qualitative analysis based on more than 2,000 local government websites in the US, Germany, and France to understand local government interactions with citizens via information communication technologies

Tony E. Wöhler, *Cameron University*
tewohlers@cameron.edu

Liane Laurie Bercker, *Carroll University*
lbernier@carrollu.edu

9:35 Disc., Gunther M. Hege, *Western Michigan University*

ghege@wmich.edu
Disc., Christian B. Jensen, *University of Iowa*

christian-jensen@iowa.edu

9:55 Audience Discussion**3-6 Experiences in Economic Development**

Room Burnham 4 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am

Chair José G. Vargas-Hernandez, *University of Guadalajara*
jvargas2006@gmail.com

8:35 A Century-of-origin Explanation of Immigrants' Productivity in the Labor Market

We examine whether immigrants' performance in the job market of their host countries is affected by macro-level variables proxying the political and economic context of the country of origin. We use data from European surveys.

Luis Balcells, *Institute for Economic Analysis CSIC*
luis.balcells@iae.csic.es

Hector Cobello-Borda, *INED*
hceballa@ceacs.march.es

8:50 The Colonial Foundations of Contemporary Wealth

We develop a specific thesis linking the degree to which colonialism structured societies and state institutions around the globe to contemporary levels of economic development. Empirical testing strongly supports our theoretical argument.

Matthew Fails, *Oakland University*
fails@oakland.edu

Jonathan Kretschmer, *University of Missouri*
kretschmjs@missouri.edu

9:05 The Politics of Liberalizing States: Africa

This article examines whether the formal arrangements of economic liberalization create struggles which appear to aggregate or disaggregate structural relations.

Sophia N. Johnson, *New York University*
s151@nyu.edu

9:20 The "Asian" Economic Crisis: The Re-emergence of Asian

Hegemony in the Global Economy from 1000 to 1900
This paper locates the current economic crisis within a long term shift in global economic dominance from Asia in 1000 to Western Europe/US in the mid-1800s and back to Asia in the first decade of the 21st Century.

Jeff Kentor, *University of Utah*
kentor@soe.utah.edu

Eric Melants, *Fairfield University*
emelants@fairfield.edu

9:35 Disc., Reuben Klinge, *European University Institute*

reubklinge@gmail.com

9:55 Audience Discussion**4-14 Rebellion**

Room Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am

Chair Robert Lee Oprisko, *Purdue University*
roprisko@purdue.edu

8:35 Rebels/Civilian Interaction and Political Violence: A

Comparative Case Study of Maoist Rebellion in India and Nepal
Radical groups rely on popular support to attain their goals. Strategic interaction between rebels and civilians offers important insights regarding collective mobilization, how it is organized and how it impacts a movement.

Srobano Bhattacharya, *Southern Illinois University, Carbondale*
srobano@gmail.com

8:50 Rebels, Aid, and Refugee-camp Militarization in South Asia

This paper studies the factors that contribute to refugee-camp militarization within the South Asian context.

Navine Marshid, *University of Rochester*
nmushid@uwr.rochester.edu

9:05 Political Centralization and India's Maoist Insurgency: The

Dilemma of Deep Democracy
This paper analyzes how the increasing competitiveness of India's democracy relates to Maoist violence in rural areas.

Emmanuel Tellechea, *George Washington University*
et1@gwu.edu

Matthew Shruhan, *George Washington University*
matt.shruhan@gmail.com

9:20 Colonialism and Armed Conflict in the Indian Countryside

This paper looks at the relationship between colonialism and contemporary ethnic violence in India. We show how British rule generated deep-seated grievances among low-caste and tribal communities that ultimately gave rise to armed conflict.

Emmanuel Tellechea, *George Washington University*
et1@gwu.edu

Ajay Abraham Verghese, *George Washington University*
ajay@gwmail.gwu.edu

9:35 **Disc.,** Nikolaos Bizjakouras, *United States Naval Academy*
bizjakouras@usna.edu
Disc., Stephen N. Ndegwa, *The World Bank*
s.ndegwa@worldbank.org
9:55 Audience Discussion

4-28 **Development II**
Room Grand Park Palace on the 6th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair,** Christina Schatzman, *Arizona State University*
schatzma@asu.edu

8:35 **The Micro-politics of Vote Banks in Karnataka, India**
Are vote banks effective in mobilizing political support? Using data from a survey of 1700 households, I assess the relationship between material rewards received from political parties and citizens' voting behaviors in an Indian case study
Macy E. Breeding, *World Bank*
mrbreeding@gmail.com

8:55 **The Political Returns of Private Good Provision**
In this paper we test for differences in levels of government approval and perceptions of government efficiency relying on a randomized experiment
Fabiana Velasquez Machado, *Inter-American Development Bank*
fabianav@iadb.org
Carlos G. Scartascini, *Inter-American Development Bank*
carlusca@iadb.org

9:15 **Estimating the Effects of Quotas across India Using Satellite Imagery**
Using satellite imagery of the earth at night to estimate electricity provision at the state assembly seat level, we examine the effects of reservation on public service provision across all of India over the last two decades.
Brian Mila, *University of Michigan*
brianmila@umich.edu
Yogesh Uppal, *Youngstown State University*
yuppal@ysu.edu

9:35 **Disc.,** Ana Lorena He La O, *Yale University*
ana.lor@yale.edu
9:55 Audience Discussion

5-16 **Measures of Democracy and Related Issues**
Room Burnham 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair,** Lee Deaton Walker, *University of South Carolina*
WALKER23@OWM.SC.EDU

8:35 **Government Transparency and Political Stability**
The relationship between government transparency and political stability is strong and significant; however, the causal direction is undetermined. I leverage time-series-cross-national analysis to ascertain the direction of greatest effects.
Richard Lee Bairrett, *University of California, Davis*
rbairrett@ucdavis.edu

8:50 **Consolidating Democracy in Plural Societies: Ethnic Inequality in Sub-Saharan Africa**
This paper analyzes and tests the effect of inequality between and within ethnic groups on democratic consolidation.
Christina Heube, *Trinity College, Dublin*
heube@trinity.ie

9:05 **A Test of Relative Impacts of Different Measures of Democracy**
A comparative Analysis of different measures of democracy
Kunhiko Imai, *Emory College*
kimai@emory.edu

9:20 **A Contextualized Measurement of Democratic Quality**
Indices of democracy produce an institutional fallacy in measuring democratic quality, because they ignore the respective (societal, institutional) context. I develop and test a new contextualized measurement for established democracies.
Michael Stoiber, *University of Hagen*
michael.stoiber@fernuni-hagen.de

9:35 **Disc.,** Alex C. H. Chung, *Academia Sinica*
hirochung1972@hotmail.com
9:55 Audience Discussion

6-14 **Electoral Authoritarianism**
Room Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair,** Edmund J. Mallesky, *University of California, San Diego*
emallesky@ucsd.edu

8:35 **Party Relevance, Party Systems, and Democratic Consolidation**
Our paper explains variance in democratic consolidation using uncovered sets to develop new measures of party relevance as well as system-level measures that capture in relevance across parties.
William T. Blance, *Indiana University, Bloomington*
wb1500@indiana.edu
Kajetan Smyth, *Indiana University*
ksmyth@indiana.edu
Christopher Kam, *University of British Columbia*
ckam@interchange.ubc.ca
Igal Smeed, *Washington University, St. Louis*
smeed@wustl.wustl.edu

8:50 **Competitive Authoritarian Elections: Liberalizing Force or Proof of Liberalization?**
Contrary to Howard and Roessler (2006), we argue that elections may not be liberalizing outcomes so much as presenting the first opportunity in view prior liberalization; we test this by using an original dataset of political activity in Egypt.
Mityats D.R. Chandler, *Ohio State University*
chandler.201@osu.edu
Jennifer Nowlin, *Ohio State University*
nowlin.17@osu.edu

9:05 **Dictatorship and Information: Autocratic Resilience in Communist Europe and China**
This paper argues that the remarkable longevity of communist dictatorships can be explained by their willingness to respond to popular preferences as revealed through information that citizens voluntarily provide to the regime.
Martin K. Dimitrov, *Dartmouth College*
martin.k.dimitrov@dartmouth.edu

9:20 **High Competitiveness and Post-Electoral Conflicts in Latin America in the Context of the Third Wave**
We define a high competitive electoral result as one in which the difference between losers and winners is by less than five percent of the votes. We focus on the last 30 years in which these conflicts were observed.
Jean Tovar, *Centro de Capacitacion Judicial Electoral del Poder Judicial de la Federación*
jtovar63@msn.com
Francesca Aulicova, *Supreme Courts of Elections of Mexico*
francesca.aulicova@gmail.com

9:35 **Disc.,** Edmund J. Mallesky, *University of California, San Diego*
emallesky@ucsd.edu
9:55 Audience Discussion

7-16 **Ethnicity and Institutions**
Room Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair,** TAM

8:35 **An Agent-based Model of Cooperation with Cross-cutting Identity Dimensions**
The model analyzes the effects of cross-cutting social cleavages on the patterns of individual interaction and cooperation.
Talia Cloridson, *New York University*
talia.cloridson@nyu.edu

8:55 **Re-evaluating the Democratic Inclusion Hypothesis**
The voting behavior of religious nationalists in Israel provides a proof of principle that the moderation of radical religious movements depends as much on the outcome of intra-movement struggles as on the incentives posed by democratic inclusion.

- Nadav Shelef, *University of Wisconsin*
shelef@wisc.edu
Orle Shelef, *University of California, Berkeley*
oshelef@gmail.com
- 9:15 **Caste Aside: The Influence of Caste and Religion in Caste-occupational Mobilization in Varanasi, India**
The variable success in forming occupational associations among low-class, low-caste groups in Varanasi, India, may depend upon the existence of a powerful, wealthy elite within the group and the religious-masonry status of the group
Jolie M. F. Wood, *Asian University for Women*
joliewood@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Claire L. Adida, *University of California, San Diego***
cadida@ucsd.edu
Disc., Shyam Krishnan Srinam, *Georgia Perimeter College*
srinam@gpc.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-15 **The European Union as a World Actor**
Room 8:30
Chair, Carmela Lutmar, *University of Haifa*
clutmar@puli.haifa.ac.il
- 9:35 **The Study of Development between EU and ASEAN in the 21st Century: A View of Regionalism**
Chang-Yi Chen, *University of Chung-Hsing, ROC*
bowell307@gmail.com
- 9:50 **The Impact of EU on Reducing Corruption: Comparing Balkan Experiences**
In this paper I test a proposition about the positive impact of European integration on the quality of governance in six Balkan states commonly known as historically corrupt and lagging behind in their democratic transition
Tatiana P. Kostadinova, *Florida International University*
kostadinova@fiu.edu
- 9:05 **The European Union and the Politics of Kosovo Recognition: Opposite Separation, Institutional Flexibility, and Divergence in Member States' Preferences**
This paper examines the role of domestic separatism and EU-level institutional mechanisms in explaining the divergence among EU members over the recognition of Kosovo's independence
Evgeny Postnikov, *University of Pittsburgh*
emp33@pitt.edu
Brandon M. Boyhan, *University of Pittsburgh*
bmb55@pitt.edu
- 9:20 **European Integration, the Nispan Administration and the United Nations: The integration process and debate in a multilateral context**
The paper will analyze how the Sixties' and Seventies' EU succeeded in advancing its integration process and in expressing itself as one on international arenas such as the UN, while re-evaluating its relationship with the US and the East Europeans
Angela Torelli, *University of Lecce*
torelli_a@yahoo.it
- 9:35 **Disc., Carmela Lutmar, *University of Haifa***
clutmar@pob.haifa.ac.il
Disc., Lars Kai Maeder, *University of Mannheim*
lars.maeder@uni-mannheim.de
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 9-13 **Party Systems in Latin American Countries**
Room 8:30
Chair, Eduardo Aleman, *University of Houston*
ealeman2@uh.edu
- 8:35 **Party Realignment and the Transformation of Chilean Politics: From the Frente Democrático to the Concertación**
This paper examines the cohesion and alignment of parties and coalitions in the Chilean Congress from the early 1960s to the mid-2000s. It uses on-authorship data to evaluate a series of propositions about the make up of the party system.
Eduardo Aleman, *University of Houston*
ealeman2@uh.edu
- 9:55 **Measuring the Competitiveness of Party Systems and Electoral Realities in Latin America, 1985-2010**
Measurements of "competitiveness" and "effective opposition" in Latin American regimes for 1980-2010.
Miguel Centellas, *University of Mississippi*
mcentellas@gmail.com
- 9:15 **When Opposites Conveniently Attract: Winning Non-connected Coalition Effects on Party Identification in Mexico**
Mexican political parties, PAN and PRD, unite in spite of ideological principles in "All but the PRI" electoral non-connected coalitions, which may be the explanation for the alienation or galvanization of partisans at the state level.
Luis M. Estrada, *SPAN Taller de Comunicación Política*
luis@estrada@gmail.com
Ulises Beltran, *CIDE*
ulises.beltran@cide.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Reynaldo Yunque Ortega, *El Colegio de México***
yortega@colmex.mx
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 10-13 **Military and Civil Society in Asia**
Room 8:30
Chair, Keaven Pedatar, *Newark Academic College*
pedat@zahav.net.il
- 8:35 **Explaining Civilian Participation in Insurgency in India: Collaboration, Violence and Information**
How do local relationships among insurgents, civilians and police affect civilian support for the Naxalite insurgency? Mechanisms of information-sharing, rebels' use of violence, and federal counterinsurgency strategy shape civilian participation.
Devlin Flan, *Georgetown University*
dmf48@georgetown.edu
- 8:50 **East Asian Women and the Military: Victors and Victims**
This paper examines the causes of the recent "feminization" of the military in China and North Korea and lack thereof in South Korea and Japan.
Lana Obradovic, *Yonsei University*
lkoobradovic@yonsei.ac.kr
- 9:05 **Accommodation and Repression of Insurgency in Democracies: The Case of Maoists in India**
This paper explains how electoral politics plays a vital role in explaining how and why the Indian state shifts back and forth between accommodation and repression in responding to the Maoist insurgents.
Rumela Sen, *Cornell University*
rs223@cornell.edu
- 9:20 **Human Rights Effects of Military Influence: Lesson from Southeast Asia**
What kind of rights is most vulnerable under the circumstance of military coup or significant military intervention? This study focuses on the region of Southeast Asia to examine how military intervention influences the condition of human rights.
Yungming Yen, *SUNY Binghamton*
yyen76@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Benjamin A. Peters, *Miyazaki International College***
bpeters@sky.miyazaki-mic.ac.jp
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

12-6 Islamicist Political Parties

Room
8:30 Saadberg 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
Chair, André Bank, *German Institute of Global and Area Studies*
bank@igga-hamburg.de

8:35 Participation-Moderation Thesis Revisited
Most of the studies analyzing the participation-moderation thesis are ex-post explanations. We propose an ex-ante analysis that determines the behavior of a given party in power before it assumes power.
Rachid A. Allouf, *Georgia State University*
rallou1@gsu.edu
Tayshir Bhasin, *Kennesaw State University*
tbhasin@kennesaw.edu

8:50 Islamicist Political Parties and the Problem of Definitional Ambiguity

This paper addresses the literature's lack of clarity in defining what Islamicist political parties are (and are not) by analyzing the advantages and shortcomings of existing approaches and by providing a new definitional framework.
Andrew William Bramson, *University of Notre Dame*
awbramson@nd.edu

9:05 The Social Rootedness of Turkey's Pro-Islamist Parties and the Wider Party System between 1989 and 2009 and Its Implications for Party System Institutionalization

The paper will examine the social rootedness of Turkey's pro-Islamist parties as well as the party system overall as shaped through the proportional electoral difference between local and national elections from 1989 to 2009.
Marc Axel Hennig, *University of Exeter*
mh278@ex.ac.uk

9:20 The More Able Brother: A Comparative Study of Why Hamas Pays Better than Hezbollah

The paper examines Hamas and Hezbollah and the different groupings of variables significant in the relative success of each group in gaining political power within its society and credibility in the international arena, in comparison to the other.
Seo Jia Yang, *Seoul National University*
syang@snu.ac.kr

9:35 Disc., André Bank, *German Institute of Global and Area Studies*
bank@igga-hamburg.de
Disc., Shadmeh Ziaolafkan Zaghaf, *Qaim University*
shzolfakan@gmail.com

9:55 Audience Discussion

14-16 Courting Foreign Direct Investment

Room
8:30 Indiana on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
Chair, Joseph L. Stanton, *University of Minnesota, Duluth*
jstanto@d.umn.edu

8:35 Constitutional Structures and Foreign Direct Investments
In this paper, we suggest to focus on what is precisely the set (or the sets) of "good" institutions for foreign investors. In particular, what constitutional structures make a country more attractive for foreign investors?

Mikhail Filippov, *SUNY Binghamton*
filippov@binghamton.edu
Mouad Tazew, *Binghamton University*
atczew1@binghamton.edu

8:47 Following the Leader vs. Going It Alone: How the Competition for Foreign Direct Investment Shapes Tax Policy in Developing Countries

Contrary to the literature on industrialized countries, this paper finds that diffusion affects tax rates on foreign firms in developing countries, while partisanship (domestic variables) are a stronger predictor of tax rates on domestic firms.
Kristian Johnson, *Princeton University*
kjohnso@princeton.edu

8:59 The Relationship between Corruption and Foreign Direct Investment: A Case Study of Southeast Asia

This study examines one of many factors that influence FDI in developing countries. By focusing on Southeast Asia, I argue that corruption is among factors that contributed to the inflow of FDI.
Krisandhan Kachatham, *Texas Tech University*
krisandhan.kachatham@ttu.edu

9:11 Unique or Similar?: Domestic Legal Systems and Foreign Investment

We explore whether it is the unique characteristics of certain legal systems or legal similarities that impact foreign investors.
Hoon Lee, *Texas Tech University*
hoon.lee@ttu.edu

Enalla Justyna Powell, *Georgia Southern University*
enillapowell@georgiasouthern.edu

9:23 Partisan Politics and Tax Competition for Foreign Direct Investment

Tax competition literature assumes that left-wing government prefers larger welfare spending and higher tax rates. However, the effect of partisanship depends upon the structure of the economy, including factor endowment.
Hyeon Seok Park, *University of Iowa*
hyeonseok-park@uiowa.edu

9:35 Disc., Steve Hall, *Ball State University*
shhall@bsu.edu

Disc., Joseph L. Stanton, *University of Minnesota, Duluth*
jstanto@d.umn.edu

9:55 Audience Discussion

16-16 Transnational Migrations: The Politics of Threat and Protection

Room
8:30 Monroe 3 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
Chair, JPA

8:35 Politics vs. Protection: The International Relations of Refugee Policy

How country responses to refugee inflows vary substantially. What explains this variation in states' refugee policies? This study develops and tests a number of hypotheses drawn from the literature on refugee flows.
Linda Abdelaziz, *Princeton University*
ladel@princeton.edu

8:47 How Forced is 'Forced Migration?': Explaining Why Some Civilians do not Flee During Civil War

This paper addresses the puzzle of why some people, even when faced with extreme violence, stay put and risk their lives while others flee. What choices allow those who stay in their homes and villages to cope with the conflict when life is at risk?
Prakash Adhikari, *University of New Mexico*
prakash@unm.edu

8:59 The Domestic Causes of Human Trafficking

This paper explores government efforts to combat human trafficking, which (I argue) are driven by 1) political incentives to actively discourage the practice and 2) the institutional and economic capacity to do so.
Richard W. Frank, *University of New Orleans*
rwlfrank@uno.edu

9:11 Asylum Outcomes: A View from the States

In this paper, using individual level data from EOIR, we will explain determinants of asylum outcomes by examining both country of origin characteristics and the local economic conditions of each immigration court.
Jennifer S. Holmes, *University of Texas, Dallas*
jholmes@utdallas.edu
Linda Camp Keith, *University of Texas, Dallas*
linda.keith@utdallas.edu

- 9:23 **Unity of Citizenship and Electoral Accountability: Domestic Impact of International Migration**
In this empirical paper, I present evidence that migration undermines citizens' exercise of accountability.
Yoon-Ah Oh, *Ohio State University*
oh146@osu.edu
- 9:34 **Discussion, 77A**
- 9:54 **Audience Discussion**
- 17-23 **Quantitative Approaches to the Study of Terrorism**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Armando Geller, *George Mason University***
ageller1@gmu.edu
- 8:35 **Clashing States and Civilizations: The Multilateral Flow of Transnational Terrorism, 1966-2002**
Building upon recent innovations in the analysis of multilateral international behavior, this paper develops an empirical framework for modeling the flow of terrorist activity from terrorist bases in multiple states toward targets in other states.
Christopher Gelpi, *Duke University*
gelpi@duke.edu
Nazli Avlivan, *Duke University*
na15@duke.edu
- 8:50 **Apples and Oranges? Comparing Retrospective Terrorist Profiles**
This paper compares the profiles of 219 Palestinian suicide bombers with 510 terrorists indicted within the United States across a range of socio-demographic and operational variables.
Paul Gill, *Pennsylvania State University*
pg25@psu.edu
Joseph K. Young, *Southern Illinois University*
jkyoung@siu.edu
- 9:04 **Press Coverage and the Targeting of Transnational Terrorism**
This paper examines the relationship between press coverage and the targeting of transnational terrorism using a new indicator of press attention.
Ariana M. Hoffman, *Parsons University*
amhs120@gmail.com
- 9:20 **How Terrorist Organizations Survive: Cooperation and Competition in Terrorist Group Networks**
Relationships between terrorist groups are crucial for these groups' survival. This paper draws on organizational theory to build a model of terrorist group longevity. Social network analysis and hazard models provide support for the argument.
Brian J. Phillips, *University of Pittsburgh*
brjphillips@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., David B. Carter, *Pennsylvania State University***
dhe10@psu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 18-16 **The Role of Ethnicity in Conflict**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Randall Blimes, *Brigham Young University, Hawaii***
blimes@byuh.edu
- 8:35 **Memory, Violence, and Claims-making in Ethnic Minority Communities: A Comparative Study of Mexico, Turkey, and El Salvador**
This paper examines how ethnic minority communities use memories of violence in rights claims and how such memories impact the democratization dialogue between citizens and their states in Mexico, Turkey, and El Salvador.
Maretha Gillman, *Northwestern University*
mreesha@gmail.com
- 8:55 **Kosovo, Re-framing the Question: The Link between Ethnic Conflict and Elite Manipulation Conflict**
This piece re-examines the concept of centuries-old ethnic conflict vs. elite manipulation in Kosovo. It posits the relationship is not mutually exclusive and is transformed in the region. Current literature holds a gap in merging the two ideas.
David Brian Strife, *Ball State University*
dbstrife@bsu.edu
- 9:15 **Disc., Randall Blimes, *Brigham Young University, Hawaii***
blimes@byuh.edu
- 9:35 **Audience Discussion**
- 20-14 **Choosing How and Where to Cooperate: Unilateralism, Bilateralism, and Multilateralism**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Terrence Chapman, *University of Texas, Austin***
t.chapman@usurm.utexas.edu
- 8:35 **Partisanship and the Allocation of Foreign Aid**
This paper addresses how partisan control of the government affects the nature of foreign aid contributions by examining differences between bilateral and multilateral aid giving, as well as differences in types of bilateral aid given.
Katherine Vera Bryant, *Texas A&M University*
kbryant@politics.tamu.edu
- 8:55 **Who Wants What, Where, and How and Why It Happens: Forum Shopping in International Relations**
interaction of institutions in IGO-dense issue space
Leanne C. Power, *American University*
powerl@american.edu
- 9:15 **The Six-party Talks as an Institutional Solution to Asymmetric Problem: Theorizing Multilateral Talks**
My paper tries to answer to the question of why states often decide to create multilateral talks for dealing with a security problem instead of resolving it through bilateral diplomacy or an international regime.
Chaekwang You, *Ohio State University*
youkwade@yashon.co.kr
- 9:35 **Disc., Terrence Chapman, *University of Texas, Austin***
t.chapman@austin.utexas.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 21-7 **Representing the Nation**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Sheldon Robert Anderson, *Miami University***
sheldon_w@hulmail.com
- 8:35 **Process of Identity-building: Case of Azerbaijan**
This paper focuses on the process of identity-building in the newly emerged states after their break-up from larger multi-ethnic empires, and focuses on the emergence of Azerbaijanian identity in the Republic of Azerbaijan as a case.
Razila Ahmadova, *University of Chelmsford*
ahmadova@mail.uc.edu
- 8:47 **East German Soccer and the Failure of Sport as a National Unifier**
East Germany developed Olympic athletes to show the superiority of their system. Soccer received little state support. Elite sports failed to build a strong national identity, which calls into question the role of sports in nation building.
Sheldon Robert Anderson, *Miami University*
sheldon_s@hulmail.com
- 8:59 **After and Ever Go to the Movies: Film in the Production of National Identity During Post-authoritarian Transitions**
Based on three examples ("The Official Story", "The Traveling Players" and "Crisis"), I explore how film functions as a public narrative space in which national identity is recreated in post-authoritarian periods.
Lucrecia Garcia Torral, *University of Notre Dame*
lgarc1a@nd.edu

- 9:11 **The Changing of a Flag and the Changing of a Nation: Meaning-making in Spain and How a Soccer Team Changed State Identity**
The meaning of the Spanish flag, which held dark connotations of the "Fascist Eagle" decades after the oppressive rule of Franco, changed in the summer of 2010. This paper explores the political ramifications of this event.
Victor M. Olivier, *University of Florida*
victorolivier@ufl.edu
- 9:23 **Unhinged Weapons: How Nationalist Media Coverage Affects Peace Initiatives**
Media of Armenia and Azerbaijan presented peace talks between Turkey and Armenia quite differently. In one sense Azerbaijani media was more accurate in its assessments, because Armenian media tried to conceal important elements of the negotiations.
Eric C. Schwartz, *Appalachian State University*
polisci.media@gmail.com
Gayane Teroyan, *SUNY, Oswego*
1005ygr@oswego.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Dawn Kostancaris, Northwestern**
d.kostancaris@northwestern.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 22-8 **Analysis of Contemporary Campaigns**
Chicago on the 5th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
Chair, Andrew E. Smith, *University of New Hampshire*
andrew.smith@unh.edu
- 8:35 **The Effect of Campaign Visits on Election Outcomes: Evidence From the 2008 Democratic Primaries**
This paper presents new evidence from the US presidential primary setting on the role campaign visits play in determining election outcomes.
Steven Bednar, *Iowa University*
sbednar@iowa.edu
- 8:45 **The Politics of Hype: The Democratic Party and the Institutionalization of the Obama Brand in the 2010 Mid-term Elections**
Will the Obama brand be institutionalized by the Democratic Party in the 2010 election or will it remain, as it originated, the product of a highly successful political entrepreneur?
Brian Matthew Conley, *Suffolk University*
bconley@suffolk.edu
- 8:55 **One-stop to Victory?: North Carolina, Obama and the 2008 General Election**
This paper examines the electoral impact of one-stop voting in North Carolina. We hypothesize one-stop voters were more likely to be black, young and democratic and that one-stop voting positively contributed to Barack Obama's victory.
M. V. Hood, *University of Georgia*
mh@uga.edu
Jennifer McDermott, *University of Georgia*
jeumcd@uga.edu
Justin Bullock, *University of Georgia*
jbul114@uga.edu
Perry Joiner, *University of Georgia*
pjoiner1@uga.edu
Mark Owens, *University of Georgia*
mowens1@uga.edu
Paul Carlson, *University of Georgia*
carlstcp@uga.edu
- 9:05 **Lean, Follow, or Get out of the Way? Democratic Elected Officials' Responsiveness Dilemma in the Obama-Cliques Primary Contest**
What factors led some elected Democratic officials to try to influence the outcome of their state's 2008 primary or caucus, and others to stay on the sidelines until after their state's voters had spoken?
Kevin James Parsneau, *Minnesota State University*
kevin.parsneau@mnsu.edu
Christopher James Galdieri, *University of Minnesota*
galdieri@umn.edu
Scott Gramberg-Rademacker, *Minnesota State University, Montana*
grambj@mnsu.edu
- 9:15 **Telling the Stories of American Politics: The Audible Images of the 2008 Campaign and the Post-campaign Narratives of American Politics**
The audiovisual themes of the 2008 campaign provide important insights into subsequent development of key post-election narratives in American politics, including the controversy over Obama's birth, and the call and response surrounding "yes we can."
Glenn W. Richardson, *Kutztown University of Pennsylvania*
richardgw@kutztown.edu
- 9:25 **The Midterm Elections of 2010 in North Carolina in Relation to National Patterns and Trends**
Analyzes the 2010 mid-term elections in North Carolina. The races in this key southern state reveal the larger dynamics of the 2010 midterms, which present a partisan polarization and ideological intensity unmatched in recent memory.
David Weblin Thornton, *Campbell University*
thorndaw@campbell.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Clifford Brown, Union College**
brownc@union.edu
Disc., Dante J. Seal, *University of New Hampshire*
dntseal@unh.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 23-16 **Reforming Electoral Processes**
Clink 7 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
Chair, Anders Sørensen Kirsgaard, *University of Southern Denmark*
ano@sam.sdu.dk
- 8:35 **MOVEing Voters: An Analysis of Turnout and the Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act**
I evaluate the practical and political effects of the MOVE Act on turnout. I find that the MOVE Act impacts small—but significant—changes to the voter pool, which may have broader effects on election outcomes.
Jennifer Carter, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
jcarter4@uis.edu
- 8:50 **Barriers and Pathways to the Vote: The Effects of Electoral Institutions on Turnout**
This paper explores the effects of electoral institutions the voter encounters on election-day. Three institutions: election-day registration, early voting, and identification requirements are analyzed using elections for 1990-2010.
Stephen Chapman, *SUNY, Binghamton*
schapen2@binghamton.edu
- 9:05 **Early Voting and Turnout: A County-Level Analysis**
Accounting for several factors, I measure the extent to which the option to vote early increased voter turnout (in the county level) in the 2008 election cycle.
Elliott Fuller, *Georgetown University*
elliott.fuller@gmail.com
- 9:20 **Some Votes are More Equal Than Others: The Politics of Electoral Registration and Administration in Britain**
Reforms of the electoral process in Britain have drawn attention to failings in the system. We examine electoral registers, absent voting, and rejected ballot papers, and ask whether all citizens are treated equally when casting their vote.
Colin Ralings, *University of Plymouth*
cralings@plymouth.ac.uk
Michael A. Thrasler, *University of Plymouth*
MTHRASIER@PLYM.AC.UK

<p>9:35 Disc., Gordon Alexander Arsenoff, Washington University, St. Louis gaa2@wustl.edu Disc., Shamus Reilly, Northern Kentucky University reillys3@nku.edu</p> <p>9:55 Audience Discussion</p>	<p>strategy and find that voters respond to campaign promises rather than to the implementation of reforms. Mikael Elinder, Uppsala University mikael.elinder@fek.uu.se Henrik Jordahl, Research Institute of Industrial Economics henrik.jordahl@ifa.se Peter Pourvaara, University of Munich pourvaara@ifo.de</p>
<p>24-11 Incumbency in Legislative Elections Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am</p> <p>8:30 Chair, Robert B. Arsenau, Independent Researcher rarsenau@aol.com</p> <p>8:35 Do Incumbents Have an Advantage in Spanish Elections?: An Analysis of Spanish Legislative Elections in the Post-Franco Era This paper provides a novel method for measuring incumbency advantage in mixed electoral systems and applies it to post-Franco Spanish legislative elections. The findings are analyzed in light of post-war trends in incumbency advantage in the U.S. Eleon Llaudet, Harvard University ellaudet@fas.harvard.edu</p> <p>8:50 How Salient is Race in 2010? This research answers the following question: How salient is race in 2010? That is, has substantive representation become more important than symbolic representation to minority voters? Charles E. Menifield, University of Memphis cmenfld@memphis.edu Rita L. Gibson, University of Memphis rgibson@memphis.edu</p> <p>9:05 Term Limits: Keeping Incumbents in Office I discover the incumbency advantage grows as state legislative incumbents approach their term limit and as challengers' waiting time for an expected open seat decreases. My findings suggest term limits strengthen the incumbency advantage. Steven Rogers, Princeton University rogerss@princeton.edu</p> <p>9:20 Determinants of Electoral Security for U.S. House Incumbents Studies of the incumbency advantage for U.S. House members have not proven that it has reduced their defeat rate. I study the determinants incumbent defeat from 1900 to 2006 and find a reduction in the incumbency defeat rate in the early 1950s. Arjun Samuel Wilkins, Stanford University arjunw@stanford.edu</p> <p>9:35 Disc., Jamie L. Carson, University of Georgia carson@uga.edu Disc., Jean-Francois Godbout, University of Montreal jean-francois.godbout@umontreal.ca</p> <p>9:55 Audience Discussion</p>	<p>9:05 Young Voters and the Determinants of Party Choice in five European Countries This paper examines the voting behaviour of young people in five European countries (Italy, France, Netherlands, Sweden, UK) using data from the World Values Surveys. Nicola Maggini, Istituto Italiano di Scienze Umane nicolamaggini@libero.it</p> <p>9:20 The contextual determinants of the use of heuristics In this paper we examine the impact of six contextual variables on the use of heuristics: the ballot's complexity, its conflictiveness, its familiarity, the campaign intensity, the number of ballots and the general level of trust in government. Thomas Millie, University of Zurich millie@ipz.uzh.ch Blaise Rousselet, University of Bern rousselet@ipw.unibe.ch</p> <p>9:35 Discussion: TBA</p> <p>9:55 Audience Discussion</p>
<p>25-15 Young Behavior across Europe Room Salon 2 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am</p> <p>8:30 Chair, Paul W. Thurman, University of Munich paul.thurman@igi.uni-muenchen.de</p> <p>8:35 A Dynamic Perspective on Tactical Voting: The Case of the British General Election of 2010 In our paper we develop a dynamic account of tactical voting and examine how it was affected by inferences from opinion polls during the last sixty days before the British General Election of 2010. Martinelli, University of Essex melli@essex.ac.uk Andrew Murray, University of Essex aemum@essex.ac.uk Spyros Kosmidis, University of Essex skosmi@essex.ac.uk</p> <p>8:50 Self-Interested and Prospective: Theory and Evidence of Pocketbook Voting We study and find evidence of prospective pocketbook voting in Swedish parliamentary elections. We use a difference-in-differences</p>	<p>25-20 Consumers and Economic Voting Room LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am</p> <p>8:30 Chair, Claire M. Smith, Overseas Vote Foundation claire@overseasvotefoundation.org</p> <p>8:35 Explaining the Relationship Experience of the Young Consumer – The Next Marketing Weapon We develop a relationship experience model – utilized in marketing research – and argue that our fresh perspective more accurately explains fluctuations in voter turnout and vote choice. Gary Goodman, Marshall Goldsmith School of Management ggoodman2@marshall.edu Fred Lemke, University of Strathclyde fredlemke@googlemail.com</p> <p>8:50 Income Volatility and Demand for Tax Progressivity The paper presents the results of an experiment, which considers how preferences for tax policies depend on the degree of earnings instability within society. The results shed light on how shocks in income affect support for tax progressivity. Adrienne L. Horne, University of California Berkeley ahorne@berkeley.edu</p> <p>9:05 What Information is Relevant in Economic Voting? In this experimental study, we examine which levels of economic information (individual, community, or socio-tropic) are sought by voters, and how exposure performance at each level influences vote choice. Jordan Rogers, Florida State University jrd081@fsu.edu Marcus Tzuber, University of Amsterdam marcelo@tzyler.com.br</p> <p>9:10 Consumer Sentiment, Economic Knowledge and the Presidential Vote In this paper I examine the effect of consumer sentiment on presidential voting. Moreover, I model consumer sentiment as a function of actual economic conditions in order to estimate the degree of accuracy in individuals' perceptions of the economy. Justin Ulrich, Louisiana State University julich1@lsu.edu</p> <p>9:35 Disc., Claire M. Smith, Overseas Vote Foundation claire@overseasvotefoundation.org</p> <p>9:55 Audience Discussion</p>

26-10 **Electoral System Effects on Parties and Voters**
 Room Salon 10 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Michelle M. Taylor-Robinson, Texas A&M University
 mt339m@polisci.tamu.edu

8:35 **The Suspended Step of the Stork: Parliamentary Representation and Subsequent Electoral Performance**
 Using the discontinuities generated by electoral thresholds of representation, we estimate the causal effect of entering the legislature on future vote shares of parties.
 Elias Dinas, University of Oxford
 elias.dinas@oxford.ox.ac.uk
 Pedro Riera, European University Institute
 Pedro.Riera@eui.eu
 Athanasios Roussias, Juan March Institute
 aroussias@cepr.es/march.es

8:50 **Party Decentralization and Centralization in Bolivia under MMP**
 The paper argues that the change of the Bolivian electoral system from closed list PR to MMP accentuated the trend towards the rise of localism and decentralization of the party system.
 Henry A. Klein, University of Arizona
 h2tkim@email.arizona.edu
 Nathan E. Barró, Academia Sinica
 nbarr@igate.sinica.edu.tw

9:05 **Subsequent Presidential Elections Cast a Shadow over Legislative Elections Too**
 This paper considers whether presidential elections held subsequent to legislative elections cast a shadow over the legislative party system.
 Heather M. Stoll, University of California, Santa Barbara
 hstoll@polsoa.ucsb.edu

9:20 **Voter Knowledge and Ballot Design: The Case of Colombia**
 This paper examines the shifting design of the ballot in Colombia from the 1974-1990 period to the 1991-2002 period to the current 2006- period and the degree to which the changes influenced the electoral process and voting behavior.
 Steven Lynn Taylor, Troy University
 staylor@troy.edu

9:35 Disc., Ernesto F. Calvo, University of Houston
 ecalvo@uh.edu
 Disc., Michelle M. Taylor-Robinson, Texas A&M University
 mt339m@polisci.tamu.edu
 9:35 Audience Discussion

27-15 **The Dynamics of Information Processing**
 Room Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Evan Parker-Stephan, Texas A&M University
 eps@polisci.tamu.edu

8:35 **Peripheral Appeals and Governance**
 We draw upon a unique data collection of letters to the editor in major newspapers to explore the prevalence of these two modes of appeal over the course of four main issue areas during the first years of the Obama administration.
 John Brechen, University of Chicago
 jbrechen@uchicago.edu

8:47 **On the Dynamics of Personality, Emotion, and Citizen Information Processing**
 Employing a dozen national survey experiments, we evaluate theories about how emotion and personality shape the ways that citizens attend to political news, acquire information, and develop preferences and tolerance over policy alternatives.

Michael MacKuen, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 mackuen@unc.edu
 Patrick R. Miller, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 mlBergrn@email.unc.edu
 W. Russell Neuman, University of Michigan
 neuman@umich.edu
 George E. Marcus, Williams College
 george.e.marcus@williams.edu

8:59 **Here Today, Gone Tomorrow?: The Longitudinal Effects of Scandal in a Multiweek Campaign**
 To speak to the longitudinal effects of scandal, I develop a ten week panel experiment. Empirical tests assess how quickly the effects of scandal decay and how the rate of decay is influenced by the type of scandal and the lifecycle of the scandal.
 Dana-Greta Mitchell, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
 dmitchell2@unl.edu

9:11 **The Timing of Emotional Responses during Presidential Campaigns**
 The paper tackles issues of timing and contingency in the appearance of emotional responses in presidential elections. It argues that voter attention and candidate viability interact to determine the probability of reactions early in an election.
 Kurt Alan Pyle, Michigan State University
 kurt.pyle@gmail.com

9:23 **Constituent Learning and Updating Perceptions of Representation**
 This paper provides evidence that constituents update their views of the liberality of their representatives and, as time passes, base these views more on representative voting record and less on cues such as party and race.
 Rachel Anne Silbermann, Yale University
 rachel.silbermann@yale.edu

9:35 Disc., Evan Parker-Stephan, Texas A&M University
 eps@polisci.tamu.edu
 9:55 Audience Discussion

28-14 **Evaluating Leaders**
 Room Price on the 5th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Douglas Lee Kriner, Boston University
 dkriner@bu.edu

8:35 **Ideology, Basic Attitudes, and Lack of Support for President Obama**
 This paper investigates the degree to which ideological and partisan reactions to President Obama have become tainted with race due to its unfair interjection into hostile, and at times, personal criticism by some of his opponents.
 Jenny L. Coody, University of Notre Dame
 jcoody1@nd.edu

8:47 **The Dynamic Relationship between Media Sentiment and Presidential Approval**
 Do common shocks have differential effects on governmental evaluations according to partisan identification? This paper explores the complex relationship between media sentiment and presidential approval of partisan groups.
 Ellen Meredith Key, Stony Brook University
 ellen.key@stonybrook.edu
 Matthew J. Lebo, Stony Brook University
 matthew.lebo@stonybrook.edu

8:59 **The Effect of Domestic Presidential Trips on Approval Rates at State Level: New Evidence for an Old Debate**
 A multilevel model with postidentification shows that presidential trips do affect approval rates at state level even in election years.
 David Alejandro Linares, University of Houston
 dlinares@gmail.com

9:11 **Evolutionary Leader Preference: Group Norms, Distrust of Leaders, and Leader Evaluation**
 This research tests and finds support for assertions derived from evolutionary theory that evaluations of a political leader's

- willingness to violate group interests are associated with individuals' support for that leader.
Gregg R. Murray, *Texas Tech University*
gmurray@ttu.edu
- 9:23 **Politics, Consumer Confidence, and the Stock Market**
I analyze the relationship between stock market performance, presidential approval rate, and consumer confidence with a DCC-GARCH model in order to consider the political meaning of the stock market in the U.S.
Shriya Walke, *University of Texas, Austin*
swalke@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Tyson D. King-Meadows, University of Maryland, Baltimore County**
tdkingme@umbc.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 28-29 **Distinguishing Parties and Candidates**
Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Erin McAdams, College of Charleston**
emcadams@cofc.edu
- 8:35 **The Dynamics of Issue Ownership: Who Can Steal It, and How?**
This paper examines the changeability of issue ownership among parties by experimentally investigating the impact of three key factors: priority signaling, government performance and issue framing.
Stefan Dahlberg, *University of Gothenburg*
stefan.dahlberg@pol.gu.se
Johan Martinsson, *University of Gothenburg*
johan.martinsson@pol.gu.se
- 8:55 **Blurring the Lines: Group Membership, Issue Placement, and Uncertainty**
Under what circumstances are parties able to blur the line between themselves and their opponents? We demonstrate that subtle, verbal group cues are enough to blur the lines between the two major parties on group-relevant issues.
Tasha S. Philpot, *University of Texas, Austin*
tphilpot@ustin.utexas.edu
Supriya Hilligus, *Duke University*
hilligus@duke.edu
- 9:15 **Distinguishing the Dimensions of Issue-ownership: Competence and Associative Issue-ownership**
The study's main claim is that issue ownership consists of two independent dimensions: a competence dimension and an associative dimension. We test this drawing on a large-scale survey-embedded experiment.
Stefaan Walgrave, *University of Antwerp*
stefaan.walgrave@ua.ac.be
Anke Trosch, *University of Antwerp*
anke.trosch@ua.ac.be
Jonas Lefevere, *University of Antwerp*
jonas.lefevere@ua.ac.be
- 9:35 **Disc., Erin McAdams, College of Charleston**
emcadams@cofc.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-11 **Media Bias and Objectivity**
Room Cresthill on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Edward P. Morgan, Lehigh University**
epm2@lehigh.edu
- 8:35 **Blame it on the Press: Implications of News Media Distrust on Political Systems**
A random sample of Republican and Democratic partisans blames the press for biased political reporting, even if they have identified individual news sources they can trust. It may be impossible for media to be considered neutral, even when they are.
Robin Bloom, *Michigan State University*
blomrobi@msu.edu
- 8:50 **Expectations for Objectivity and Balance in Multi-platform Distributions: Traditional and New Media**
An examination of how the requirement for objectivity and balance on public broadcasting has been implemented in an era of multi-platform distribution and how public broadcasters are dealing with these new constraints and opportunities.
Joel Kaplan, *Newhouse School*
jkkaplan@nyu.edu
- 9:05 **The Influence of ownership on community political news websites**
This research examines corporate and independent political community news websites to explore the influence of ownership in dictating community content or if a prevailing business model throughout commercial media has the strongest influence.
Linda Jean Keala, *University of Canterbury*
ljoaleja.keala@canterbury.ac.nz
- 9:10 **Crossing Enemy Lines: Sources of Information in Cable News**
This study takes a closer look at the information presented across different television news programs and the sources that each outlet uses to disseminate this information.
Emily Sydnor, *University of Virginia*
es3re@virginia.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Salma Ghannem, Central Michigan University**
sghannem@cmu.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 29-25 **User-generated Political Content**
Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, David Adam Karpf, Brown University**
davekarpf@gmail.com
- 8:35 **User-generated Media as Persuasive Political Communication**
In this paper, we present two experiments which compare reactions to traditional and user-generated political communications. The results suggest user-generated content is persuasive, but its influence is conditioned by political sophistication.
Eric C. Cassese, *West Virginia University*
eric.cassese@mail.wvu.edu
- 8:55 **Who is the Lord Public? The People behind User Comments**
The paper offers a unique perspective on the individuals that engage with the user comment feature in online newspapers.
Narada Nagar, *SLU Library*
naradnagar@gmail.com
- 9:15 **Commenting, Liking, Updating and Fighting about Politics on Facebook**
Facebook is a forum for free flowing political communication. The analysis of the content of political communication on Facebook is informed by policy sciences theory and William Stephenson's play theory of mass communication.
Timo Newmann, *Bowling Green State University*
tnewman@bgsu.edu
Dominic D. Wells, *Bowling Green State University*
wellsdd@bgsu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Emily Metzgar, Indiana University**
emetzgar@indiana.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 30-16 **Legal Change and Gendered Implications**
Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Elizabeth Ellen Gordon, Kennesaw State University**
egordon@kennesaw.edu
- 8:35 **Caught in the Middle: Transgender Marriage and the Disconnect between Sex and Legal Sex**
This paper will examine the equal protection implications of state law on transgender marriage and will critique the law's method of determining a person's legal sex.
Deborah Anthony, *University of Illinois, Springfield*
dandeb@uis.edu

- 8:50 **Whose Choice? Whose Power? Sexual Freedom and Gender Equality**
Do reproductive rights, modern birth control, and the spread of information about sex liberated women at the cost of increasing their vulnerability to men's sexual demands? This paper asks what the questions and why it is important for feminism.
Judith A. Beer, Texas A&M University
j-beer@tamu.edu
- 9:05 **An Interrogation of the Domestic: Domestic Work, Political Subjectivity and Maria da Penha Law in Brazil**
The 2006 Brazilian law, Lei Maria da Penha, primarily seeks to codify the conditions for what constitutes a situation of domestic violence and also protects domestic workers. My paper examines this outcome.
Julia J. Harrington, University of Chicago
jharrington@uchicago.edu
- 9:20 **Explaining Cross-national Variation in Divorce Laws in the Third World: The Relationship between Colonialism and Gender Equality**
This paper studies cross-national variation in divorce laws in the Third World by analyzing the relationship between colonialism, women's policy machineries and movements, and these variables' impact on gender equality in divorce laws.
Crystal Elizabeth Dunn Shelton, Purdue University
cdunn@purdue.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Margaret S. Williams, Federal Judicial Center**
mwilliams77@gmail.com
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 31-15 **Race and Representation**
Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Katsuo Antonio Nishikawa, Trinity University**
knishika@trinity.edu
- 8:35 **Articulate and Open: Class Bias and Voter Preferences among African American Candidates**
Racially moderate black candidates have an advantage over their racialized peers when running for office. How do class perceptions affect black candidates' electoral advantage, though? I test this question with a survey embedded experiment.
Andra Gillespie, Emory University
andra.gillespie@emory.edu
- 8:50 **Racial Demographic Shifts and the Impact on Congressional Representation**
I examine whether U.S. House members who were elected the same race as the majority of their constituents, alter their representational style as the district's racial composition shifts and new racial majority forms.
Caroline M. Nordlund, Lake Forest College
nordlund@lakeforest.edu
- 9:05 **After Shocks: How Representative Bureaucracy Influences Changes in Existing Public Policy after Policy Paradoxion**
Current research on punctuated equilibrium is notably silent about how bureaucratic leaders shape resulting policy change. By integrating the theory of representative bureaucracy, I will address this gap in the literature.
Janak Smart, Texas A&M University
jdsmart@polisci.tamu.edu
- 9:20 **Life in the Dream: Barack Obama and Blacks' Perceptions of the American Dream During the 2008 Presidential Election**
This paper examines whether blacks will become more pessimistic about the American dream because of the current economic crisis or if Obama's success will serve to alter blacks' traditionally pessimistic perceptions of the American dream?
Christopher Stout, Wellesley College
cstout2@wellesley.edu
Dany Le, University of California, Irvine
danyl@uci.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Christian R. Gross, University of Southern California**
cgross@usc.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 32-5 **Philosophy, Poetry, and Politics in the Ancient World**
Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Andrew Scott Hertzoff, California State University, Sacramento**
ahertzof@csus.edu
- 8:35 **Socrates Contra Achilles: Plato's Socratic Challenges to the Homeric Ideal of Resplendent Nobility**
This paper argues that Plato casts the trial of Socrates as a key episode in the "culture war" of late 5th-century Athens--a conflict between the aesthetically constructed ideal of Homeric nobility and an emergent rationalism personified by Socrates.
Dwight D. Allman, Baylor University
dwwallm@baylor.edu
- 8:50 **Sacrificing Justice: Suffering Animals, the Orestes, and the Masks of Consent**
I critique recent political theorists who take up Greek tragedy as an inspiration for democratic theory by highlighting the sacrifices of nonhuman nature in Aeschylus' trilogy, and suggest how environmental political theory should assess this trend.
Stefan P. Dalgert, University of Toronto
spd5@utoronto.ca
- 9:05 **The Polytragic Hero: Suffering, Endurance, and Homecoming in Homer's Odyssey**
There are very few similarities between Achilles and Odysseus, the two great Homeric heroes.
Ari Kohen, University of Nebraska, Lincoln
akohen2@unl.edu
- 9:20 **Parable Cultural Policies? The National Endowment for the Arts and Poets in Plato's Ideal State**
What similarities do the controversies swirling around the National Endowment for the Arts in the 1990s and Plato's view of poets and literature in his ideal state share?
Gordon Stockley, Arizona State University
gstockley@asu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Richard Barrett, University of California, San Diego**
rbarrett@ucsd.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 33-9 **International (Economic) and Comparative Approaches to Premodern Political Theory**
Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Yishayy Abovich, California State University, Fresno**
yabovich@csufresno.edu
- 8:35 **John Locke and International Political Theory Reconsidered**
The paper interprets Locke's argument of the second treatise from the perspective of international politics, rather than approaching international politics simply as an extension of his account of the contractual origins of political authority.
Paul Joseph Kelly, London School of Economics
p.j.kelly@lse.ac.uk
- 8:55 **Hobbes, Livelihood, and the Individual**
Economic activity is crucial not only materially but also in the production of the moral order. Hobbes' reconceptualization of the relationship between man, community, and livelihood was instrumental in the production of the contractual individual.
Summer Dawn Newton, University of Maryland
snewn10@gmail.com
- 9:15 **The Medieval Origins of Embargo as a Policy Instrument**
This paper offers the first survey of the employment of economic tools for the attainment of foreign policy goals in Medieval Europe. Enabled by the Commercial Revolution embargo emerged as a well-theorized and frequently used policy tool by 1500.
Stefan K. Stanchev, Arizona State University
stefan.stanchev@asu.edu

- 9:15 **Disc., Adam George Yokas, Loyola University, Chicago**
ayokas@luc.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 34-17 **Political Theory and Political Economy**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Alamy Joy Peden, John Carroll University**
ajpeden@jcu.edu
- 8:35 **President Obama and U.S. Corporations: Contemporary Social Thought and the Law and Economics of a Post-structuralist President**
President Obama is a postmodernist whose stance with U.S. corporations supports competing claims of the individual and society. This issue questions the framers' intent and whether equality or commerce lies at the core of the nation's identity.
Otis Grant, Indiana University, South Bend
ogrant@iusb.edu
- 8:55 **Privatizing Taxes: The Ethics of the New Approach to Wealth Redistribution**
Taxes are being privatized in the U.S. This entails a new, ethically challenged, model for redistribution of wealth – and the treatment of labor. We provide a moral critique of this new model.
James Edward Roper, Michigan State University
roperj@msu.edu
- 9:15 **IPE Ethics and IPE Crisis**
Ethics have always been at the heart of IPE theory, but with the economic slump, different ethical divisions have arisen. The Icelandic financial crisis and the Greek debt crisis demonstrate how ethics are framed differently for losses and gains.
Jesse Russell, Sekon Hall University
mrusselje@shu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Laurent Dobuzinski, Simon Fraser University**
dobuzins@sfu.ca
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 35-13 **Equality, Accountability, and Deliberative Democracy**
Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Sam Nelson, University of Toledo**
sam.nelson@utoledo.edu
- 8:35 **Deliberate Inequality? On Deliberative Democracy and Political Equality**
Political equality is hard to reconcile with deliberation. Against recent attempts to incorporate all types of equality within the deliberative model, I argue that it is impossible to realize all democratic values simultaneously within one procedure.
Raf Geenens, Catholic University of Leuven
raf.geenens@hiw.kuleuven.be
- 8:55 **Accountability and Deliberation: Problematising the Secret Ballot**
This paper explores the tensions between two democratic values -- accountability and deliberation -- with a particular focus on the secret ballot.
Matthew W. Lindauer, Harvard University
lindauer@fas.harvard.edu
- 9:15 **Between Procedure and Substance: Democratic Legitimacy as a Missing Frontier**
This paper revisits the question of the nature and normative sources of democratic legitimacy.
Helené Estelle Landersore, Yale University
hlandersore@gmail.com
- 9:35 **Disc., Alexander Moun, Athabasca College**
am63@comell.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 36-22 **On Religious and Secular Reasons**
Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Christopher Allen McKay, University of California, Santa Barbara**
cmckay@polsci.ucsb.edu
- 8:35 **The Autonomy of the Political in Contemporary Arab Thought: An Examination of the Political Subject in Mohammed Abed al-Jaberi's Critique of Arab Reason**
This paper explores how the political is theorized in the corpus of late Moroccan philosopher Mohammed Abed al-Jaberi (1915-2010). It examines how the political relates to the cultural, the religious, the economic and the social in Jaberi's work.
Yasmeen Daifallah, University of California, Berkeley
yassodaif@uycbaa.co.uk
- 8:47 **On Justice, Human Nature and Politics: Examining Issues of Political Theory Expressed in Roland Joffé's 1986 Film, The Mission**
In addition to receiving critical acclaim and film awards, Roland Joffé's film *The Mission* addresses several concepts that are central to political thought, including human nature, justice, and the nature and legitimacy of political authority.
John C. Evans, University of Wisconsin, River Falls
john.evans@uwrf.edu
- 8:59 **Agamben and al-Mawardi on the State of Exception**
This comparative political theory paper aims at bringing out the possibilities and limitations within dominant understandings of the state of exception by bringing together thinkers from Western and Islamic traditions of political philosophy.
Nasid Hassanzadeh, Georgetown University
nh336@georgetown.edu
- 9:11 **Habermas, Religious Reasons, and the End of Secularization**
Paper critiques Habermas's restrictions on religious reasons as incompatible with an adequate understanding of secularization.
Bryan T. McGraw, Wheaton College
bryan.mcgraw@wheaton.edu
- 9:23 **Civil Theology in The Twilight of an Arab Political Representation and the Modern Political-Theological Problem**
Reexamines political representation and the political-theological problem and that to the degree the state remains the dominant institution in modern society, civil theology will remain a critical feature in political experience.
Troy Manuel Vidal, Columbus State University
vidal_troy@colstate.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Matthew C. Weidenfeld, Washington State University**
mweiden@wsu.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 37-16 **Law and Governance**
Room Logan on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair, Robert Bedeski, University of Victoria**
rbedeski@uvic.ca
- 8:35 **Beyond the Pale: A Strategic Model of Electoral Bans and Public Demarcations**
Governments sometimes ban adversaries from political participation. What accounts for variation in the effectiveness of such bans, and governments' decisions to use them in the first place? We address these questions using a game-theoretic model.
Eric Dickson, New York University
eric.dickson@nyu.edu
Julie Brown, New York University
jwb616@nyu.edu
- 8:50 **Political Rulers, Administrators, and the Efficacy of Law**
In this paper, we develop a theory of why political rulers may be constrained by laws and legal rulings that they can, in principle, ignore.

- Tiberiu Dragu, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*
tdragu@illinois.edu
Marius K. Polborn, *University of Illinois*
polborn@uiuc.edu
- 9:05 **An Optimal Stopping Model of Judicial Appointments**
I develop an optimal stopping model of judicial appointments to explain why the Senate sometimes needs more time to confirm an appointee and how it can be socially inefficient.
Jihye Jo, *University of Rochester*
jih2@mail.rochester.edu
- 9:20 **Barons, Treason, and the Formation of the State**
I analyze the provision of governance in a nascent state by considering the problem of a sovereign delegating control over policy implementation to his barons. The results suggest origins for stable institutions and territorial borders.
Jeremy Kedziora, *University of Rochester*
jkedzi2@mail.rochester.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Pohau Fong, City University of Hong Kong**
pohau.fong@gmail.com
Disc., Keisuke Nakao, University of Hawaii, Hilo
keisuken@hawaii.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 39-4 **Digital Government and Political Participation**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Alicia Schattman, Northern Illinois University**
aschattman@niu.edu
- 8:35 **Municipal Websites and Online Citizen Participation**
In this paper we highlight the results of an international survey, conducted in the fall of 2009 that evaluated the practice of e-governance in large municipalities and examined their emphasis on online citizen participation.
Lamar Vernon Bennett, *Muris College*
lamar.bennett@maris.edu
Aroon Mookherjee, *Kent State University*
amookher@kent.edu
- 8:47 **Technology and Local Government: What determines innovation?**
This paper draws on two unique and original data sets to explore the factors that affect the adoption of e-government technologies by local governments.
Mark K. Cassell, *Kent State University*
mcassell@kent.edu
- 8:59 **Digital Government, Trust And Cynicism: An Empirical Analysis of Social Capital and the Use of Technology by Governmental Institutions**
This paper investigates the question of whether participating in digital government activities and one's satisfaction with digital government has a predictive effect on community-level social capital formation.
Eric Grulke, *Washington State University*
egrulke@gmail.com
- 9:11 **Measuring what Jefferson Saw in Obama's Vision: Has Social Media Been Used as a Participatory Channel by Governmental Institutions and Agencies?**
This article assesses the debate surrounding political participation through the Web by examining how government departments have implemented the two most widely used social media applications: Twitter and Facebook.
Daniel Halpern, *Rutgers University*
halperndaniel@gmail.com
Yumijung, *Rutgers University*
yumijung7@gmail.com
Michelle Riley, *Rutgers University*
mnriley@eden.rutgers.edu
- 9:23 **Electronic Citizenship in Britain and Turkey: Rights, Responsibilities, Liberties**
The paper analyzes the way in which the different treatment of ICTs, particularly the Internet, in Britain and Turkey by governments, politicians and citizens are shaped by the existing understandings of citizenship in both countries.
Rabia Karakaya Palat, *Isik University*
rabia@isikun.edu.tr
Lawrence Prutchett, *De Montfort University*
lap@dmu.ac.uk
- 9:35 **Disc., Karen A. Mossberger, University of Illinois, Chicago**
kmosseberg@uic.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 40-11 **Interest Groups and Issue Agendas**
Room
8:30 **Chair, Heath Andrew Brown, Roanoke College**
hbrown@roanoke.edu
- 8:35 **Estimating EU Interest Groups' Influence: A Methodological Inquiry of the Preference Attainment Approach**
Existing scholarship proposes the "preference attainment" approach as a promising method to study interest groups' policy influence. The paper provides an empirical, quantitative examination of this approach for the case of EU interest groups.
Adriana Bunea, *Trinity College, Dublin*
bunea@tcd.ie
- 8:47 **Subterfuge, Silence, and Sway: An Investigation of Silence and Interest Group Influence in the U.S. Foreign Policy Action**
This paper and foreign lobbying predict U.S. foreign policy.
Benjamin Jarnett Freeman, *Texas A&M University*
bfrea3210@polisci.tamu.edu
Erik Klaji Godwin, *Texas A&M University*
egodwin@polisci.tamu.edu
- 8:59 **Issue Adversarial and Legislative Veting**
This paper investigates the effects of state-level issue advertising on U.S. Senators' roll call behavior on the Medicare prescription drug bill of 2003.
Richard L. Hall, *University of Michigan*
rlhall@umich.edu
Molly E. Reynolds, *University of Michigan*
mreynol@umich.edu
- 9:11 **Whose Voices Are Heard? Politics and Representation in Senate Policymaking**
We use comments submitted to the Senate Finance Committee during the health reform debate as well as the resulting Chairman's Mark to establish conditions and characteristics that make lobbyists more likely to realize their preferred policies.
Amy Melissa McKay, *Georgia State University*
amckay1@gsu.edu
Tina P. Mills, *University of Louisville*
tpmills1@louisville.edu
- 9:23 **Interest Group Agenda Setting in the 111th Congress**
Interest group agendas are influenced by factors ranging from the congressional agenda and to group homogeneity. Using original panel data, I delineate these factors and demonstrate that all groups are not equally constrained.
David Nelson, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
dnelson1@wisc.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Michael T. Heaney, University of Michigan**
mheaney@umich.edu
Disc., Beth L. Leach, Rutgers University
leach@polisci.rutgers.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

- 42-3 **Congressional Oversight**
 Room Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, R. Eric Peteresen. *Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress*
 epeteresen@crs.loc.gov
- 8:35 **Blackwater Briefings: Congressional Oversight of U.S. Government Use of Private Security Firms**
 This paper is an analysis of the work of the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee, using its Blackwater hearings between 2006 and 2017 to analyze the committee as a vehicle for governmental oversight and political coup counseling.
 Robert D. Billinger. *Hingate University*
 billinger@hingate.edu
- 8:47 **A Tale of Two Issues: The Relationship between Congressional Oversight and Constituent Casework on Immigration and Housing in Urban Congressional Districts**
 This paper compares and contrasts the employment of constituent casework as a mechanism of congressional oversight and examines the evolving targets of oversight through a case study of two distinct policy issues in urban congressional districts.
 Marika Dunn. *Rutgers University*
 mdunn@eden.rutgers.edu
- 8:59 **Issue Contexts and Policy Information: Conditional Effects on Information in Congressional Hearings**
 Using original data collected from hearings in the policy areas of tobacco, employee-labor relations, biotechnology, and climate change, I study the institutional and political factors that determine the tone of congressional hearing testimony.
 Walt Jankowski. *University of Oklahoma*
 jwk9385@ou.edu
- 9:11 **The U.S. Congress and Major League Baseball: An Examination of Legislative Process through America's Other Pastime**
 This analysis provides a commentary on Congress through the Major League Baseball organization. Conclusions illustrate a complicated relationship and address facets of the perennial questions surrounding the appropriate reach of Congress.
 Cynthia E. Newton. *Norwich University*
 cnewton1@norwich.edu
- 9:23 **The Market Effects of Corporate Testimony in Congressional Hearings**
 To examine whether Congressional hearings with testimony by corporate representatives generate new information for investors, I draw on 3,300 witness affidavits from 570 hearings (2000-2005) and the abnormal stock returns of corresponding firms.
 Herbert H. Thomas. *University of Texas, Austin*
 htthomas@gmail.com
- 9:35 Disc., Michael Berry. *University of Colorado, Denver*
 Michael.Berry@ucdenver.edu
 Disc., R. Eric Peteresen. *Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress*
 epeteresen@crs.loc.gov
 Disc., Samuel Workman. *University of Texas, Austin*
 samuel.workman@gmail.com
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 42-17 **The Rulemaking Burdens of Congress**
 Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Jonathan Woon. *University of Pittsburgh*
 woon@pitt.edu
- 8:35 **Congressional Inquiry and the Federal Criminal Law**
 This paper explores whether congressional investigative and oversight authority can produce a more limited federal criminal law, giving greater weight to constitutional and institutional interests than in ordinary politics.
 Richard Broughton. *University of Detroit*
 broughton@umdetrcy.edu
- 8:50 **Delegation and Political Influence Over Bureaucratic Implementation**
 I examine the dynamics behind how and why Congress and the President influence the initial regulations agencies issue after receiving new policy authority.
 Jason A. Macdonald. *West Virginia University*
 jason.macdonald@mail.wvu.edu
- 9:05 **The Impeachment of William Jefferson Clinton: What Was the Agenda?**
 This paper explores the possibility that the impeachment of President Clinton was an attempt to undermine the impeachment process as a way of controlling the exercise of presidential power.
 Peter Schultz. *Assumption College*
 pschultz@assumption.edu
- 9:20 **Standardmaking**
 A game-theoretical analysis of the incentives and costs associated with promulgation of regulatory rules vs. standards
 Paul Stanell. *University of Illinois*
 stanell@illinois.edu
- 9:35 Disc., James H. Coburn. *Santa Clara University*
 jcoburn@scu.edu
 Disc., Jonathan Woon. *University of Pittsburgh*
 woon@pitt.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 44-8 **Human Rights and Humanitarianism through a Legal Lens**
 Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Jolly Ann Emery. *University of Wisconsin, Whitewater*
 emeryj@uw.edu
- 8:35 **Addressing Human Rights and State Collapse**
 Liberal theorists pose human rights in opposition to sovereignty. I critique this position and its presumption of both legal and empirical characteristics of statehood in human rights crises, as collapsed states lack such empirical characteristics.
 Grace Cheng. *Hawaii Pacific University*
 gcheng@hpu.edu
- 8:55 **Retribution, Humanitarianism, or a Quest for Justice: Citizens' Support for International Tribunals after Civil War**
 This is a survey analysis of citizens' attitudes on international tribunals.
 Megumi Nishimura. *Ryusumokan University*
 nnc2021@law.riusumei.ac.jp
- 9:15 **Confrontation, Coexistence and Circumvention: Some Reflections on the Discourse of the "Responsibility to Protect" and its Value, Significance in Humanitarian Intervention**
 The paper explores a discussion of some reflections on the discourse of the "Responsibility to Protect" and its value and significance in humanitarian intervention.
 Yu-Tai Tsai. *National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan*
 ytsai@nccu.edu.tw
- 9:35 Disc., Jolly Ann Emery. *University of Wisconsin, Whitewater*
 emeryj@uw.edu
 Disc., Stuart Ford. *John Marshall Law School*
 sford@jmls.edu
- 9:55 Audience Discussion
- 45-19 **Judicial Decision Making in Comparative Context**
 Room Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
 8:30 Chair, Jeffrey K. Staton. *Emory University*
 jeffrey.staton@emory.edu
- 8:35 **Competitive Politics and Judicial Dependence: Examining the Recent Activity of the Ukrainian Constitutional Court**
 This study analyzes the effects of competitive political environment, social and political polarization, and electoral uncertainty on the activity and functional autonomy of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine in the 2004-2010 period.

- 8:47** **Kirill M. Baimin, University of North Carolina, Pembroke**
kirill.baimin@uncp.edu
- The Collapse of Impunity Regimes in Latin America: Legal Culture, Strategic Litigation and Judicial Behavior in Cases of Serious Human Rights Violations in Argentina, Peru and Mexico**
I refine the legal model of judicial decision making to explore judges' behavior in cases of human rights violations in Latin America. The paper puts emphasis on the transformative role of strategic litigation on cultures of legal interpretation.
Eusebio Alejo Gonzalez Ocamot, University of Notre Dame
egonzab4@nd.edu
- 8:59** **Do Term Limits Affect Judicial Behavior? Evidence from the Supreme Court of India, 1950-2000**
We analyze the effect of mandatory retirement age for Supreme Court of India justices on judicial decision making. We use a new database, similar to the Spaeth dataset for the U.S. Supreme Court, which includes all written opinions for 1950-2002.
Suman Parikh, Washington University, St. Louis
sapanikh@wustl.edu
Sheeha Band, Washington University, St. Louis
sheehaband@gmail.com
- 9:11** **A Comparative Assessment of the Impact of War on Courts: U.S., Canada, and the UK**
This paper presents an overview of the results to a qualitative and a fifty-years-spanning quantitative analyses of the effect of war on the high courts of the USA, UK, and Canada.
Susanne Schorpp, University of South Carolina
schorpp@email.sc.edu
- 9:23** **Assessing the Assertiveness and Responsiveness of Peru's Constitutional Tribunal, 1994-2006**
This paper provides an assessment of decisions made by the Peruvian Constitutional Tribunal from 1994 to 2006 in amparo cases.
Lydia Brushear Tiede, University of Houston
ltiede@uh.edu
Ado Fernando Ponce, University of Houston
afponce@uhsiluh.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Matthew Cairns Ingram, University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth**
mingram@umassd.edu
Disc., Jeffrey K. Staton, Emory University
jeffrey.staton@emory.edu
- 9:53** **Audience Discussion**
- 45-30** **The Politics of Federal Judicial Appointments**
Salon 42 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Kevin M. Scott, Administrative Office of the United States Courts**
kevin_scott@ao.uscourts.gov
- 8:35** **The Voting Rights Act and the Characteristics of Judges in Lower Federal Courts**
The paper argues that with the Civil Rights and Voting Rights Acts, Senators favored lower federal court judicial candidates with educational/religious backgrounds that attracted minority voters without alienating conservative ones.
Suraj Jacob, James Madison University
jacobsu@jmu.edu
Andrea Broschek, James Madison University
broschex@jmu.edu
- 8:50** **Deciding Who Decides: The Content of Senate Judicial Confirmation Hearings**
Using a novel dataset, we explore the content of judicial confirmation hearings. We use hearing transcripts to examine the extent to which the content of the hearings varies based on nominee characteristics and political and institutional factors.
- 9:05** **Courtship Elections: Supreme Court Nomination Politics in the 1980 and 1984 Presidential Election Campaigns**
I use candidates' campaign papers to analyze their use of Supreme Court nomination politics in election campaigns.
Christina L. Nemacheck, College of William & Mary
cinema@wm.edu
- 9:20** **Interest Group Rhetoric and the Framing of Supreme Court Nominees**
In this paper we analyze interest group rhetoric about Supreme Court nominees by examining group e-mails in response to them from 2005 to 2010. We find that groups present competing frames and that their messages influence votes in the Senate.
Richard Lee Vining, University of Georgia
rvining@uga.edu
- 9:35** **K. Elizabeth Caggion, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill**
ecaggionse@entil.unc.edu
- 9:55** **Disc., Kevin M. Scott, Administrative Office of the United States Courts**
kevin_scott@ao.uscourts.gov
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**
- 46-16** **Local Government in Intergovernmental Context**
LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Juliet F. Gainsborough, Bentley College**
jgainsborough@bentley.edu
- 8:35** **The Flypaper Effect in Reverse: How Missing Local Government Aid Can Increase Municipal Spending on Economic Development and Public Infrastructure**
The "flypaper effect" in which local general fund public spending is driven up by redistributive state aid, may have a counterpart where no such aid exists; the need to build tax base may trigger more funding of business subsidies and infrastructure.
Daniel Blise, University of Illinois, Chicago
DBLISS1@UIC.EDU
- 8:55** **Diffuse Partisanship: How Broad Ideological Concerns Drive Local Politicians to Turn against the Policies of their National Counterparts**
This paper demonstrates that party loyalty across the federal system is dependent on how local politicians perceive the broad ideological content of legislation. When policy advocated by fellow partisans violates these concerns party loyalty ends.
Aaron Dossin, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis
adossin@iupui.edu
Henrik M. Schatzlger, University of Georgia
hs@uga.edu
- 9:15** **Federal Urban Economic Development Initiatives: Need vs. Politics**
To address the question of urban policy in the United States, we examine whether Federal urban economic development expenditures are driven by need or politics. We study Community Development Block grants over 22 years in 25 cities.
Zachary Gebhardt, University of Illinois, Chicago
zgebha2@uic.edu
Barry S. Rundquist, University of Illinois, Chicago
barryr@uic.edu
Gregory Gordon Holyk, Washington and Lee University
holykg@wlu.edu
- 9:35** **Disc., Juliet F. Gainsborough, Bentley College**
jgainsborough@bentley.edu
- 9:55** **Audience Discussion**

- 47-16 The Politics of Urban Infrastructure and Growth Management**
 Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:10 am
8:30 Chair, Peter E. Burns, *Loyola University, New Orleans*
 pburns@loyola.edu
- 8:35 Analytics or Incrementalism? Evidence from Municipal Capital Budgets and Their Economic Impact**
 Municipal capital expenditures are investigated to determine whether spending patterns follow incremental budgeting or punctuated equilibria. The analysis goes one step further in assessing the economic impact of these capital spending strategies.
 John Kovari, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
 jkovari@uwm.edu
- 8:50 Explanatory Factors for the Adoption of the Local Growth Management Policy: The Case of Colorado Counties**
 This study contributes to the decision-making area and provides the local governments with information related to environmental policy by explicating the determinants that affect the adoption of the growth management policy of Colorado counties.
 John Jeongho Lee, *University of Colorado, Denver*
 johnjeongho.lee@gmail.com
- 9:05 Safety and the Organization of Transportation and Land Use Professionals**
 A comparative study of how professionals from different disciplines working on transportation and land use in Sweden and California organize to create safe transportation systems.
 Carolyn McAndrews, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 cmcandrews@wisc.edu
- 9:20 Good Money after Bad: Are Federal Investments in Public Transportation Worth the Money?**
 Using a ten-year database of the FTA internal reporting data (2000-2010), this paper presents a multi-modal analysis of every transit authority in the United States and the cost effectiveness of their federally funded programs.
 Stephen T. Margner, *Georgetown College*
 Stephen_Margner@georgetowncollege.edu
 Arthur William Margner, *Retired CEO Transit and Private Consultant*
 ArthurWMargner@jinsgabb.com
- 9:35** Disc., Tony Reames, *University of Kansas*
 tonyreames@ku.edu
- 9:55** Audience Discussion
- 48-8 Improving Public Service and Administration: Anti-corruption, Informality and Decentralization Reforms**
 Room Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:10 am
8:30 Chair, Christoffer Green-Pedersen, *Aarhus University*
 cgp@ags.au.dk
- 8:35 Explaining Integrity Outcomes: A Comparative Analysis of Anti-corruption Policy-Making in Developing Countries**
 Using integrity indicators developed by Global Integrity, this study uses fuzzy-set methods to characterize the distinctive, causal combinations that lead to the relatively broad adoption and robust implementation of anti-corruption reforms.
 Scott A. Fritzen, *National University of Singapore*
 fritzen@nus.edu.sg
 Shreya Basu, *Tan Kah Kee School of Public Policy, National University of Singapore*
 shreya.basu@nus.edu.sg
- 8:47 The Good Government or the Good Democracy?: An Empirical Study about Decentralization**
 This article finds that the effects of decentralization make the quality of government more than the quality of democracy.
 Sung Woo Lee, *Korea University*
 wuofswl@gmail.com
- 8:59 Implications of Informality on Welfare State Development in Latin America**
 This paper theorizes how political interactions between the formal and informal sectors and the state influence new forms of welfare provision in Latin America, including conditional cash transfers and noncontributory pensions.
 Michael Paarlberg, *Georgetown University*
 map235@georgetown.edu
- 9:11 Development and Security Dimensions of Informal Sector Engagement in Municipal Pakistan: Political vs. Administrative Constraints**
 Institutional failures and rising market volatility, have contributed to chronic insecurity for the urban informal sector. This study examines the ability of upper and lower tiers of the state in engaging Pakistan's urban IS.
 Faheem Shaheen, *Ryerson University*
 fshaheen@ryerson.ca
- 9:23 Path Dependence and Policy Entrepreneurs: A Comparative Study of Local Economic Development Policies in Taiwan and China**
 This study examines how concepts of path dependence can be applied to study local policy processes in Taiwan and China. It also evaluates the potential impacts of policy entrepreneur and institutional innovation in the path-dependent process.
 Cheag-Lung Wong, *National University of Singapore*
 polwcl@nus.edu.sg
- 9:35** Disc., Mahabub Baimyryza, *Ministry Institute of International Studies*
 mbaimyza@miis.edu
- Disc., Tingjin Lin, Nanyang Technological University**
 lwtjngtw@gmail.com
- 9:55** Audience Discussion
- 49-8 The Health of Children**
 Room Salem 1 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:10 am
8:30 Chair, Maurice Rand Oakley, *Mount Saint Mary's University*
 oakley@msmary.edu
- 8:38 Giving Girls a Shot: An Examination of State HPV Vaccination Policies**
 This project seeks to understand the agenda setting and policy adoption processes associated with the HPV vaccine.
 Alekha E. Doan, *University of Kansas*
 aedon@ku.edu
 Keltie J. Kirkpatrick, *University of Kansas*
 keltiej@ku.edu
- 8:55 Political Ideology, Cultural Orientation, and Vaccination Policy Preferences**
 Using original data from a nationwide survey conducted in 2010, this research analyzes perceptions of the risks and benefits of vaccines, and policy preferences for vaccine programs, among the US public.
 Hank C. Jenkins-Smith, *University of Oklahoma*
 jenkinsmith@gmail.com
 Carol L. Silva, *University of Oklahoma*
 clsilva@ou.edu
 Geoboo Song, *University of Oklahoma*
 gsong@ou.edu
- 9:15 Teen Pregnancy Rates Are on the Rise: A Statistical Analysis of the Possible Causes**
 This paper examines the relationship of multiple qualitative and quantitative variables associated with teen pregnancy rates using multiple regression analysis, resulting in a detailed picture of the root causes of teen pregnancy.
 Tina Louise Sullivan, *California Polytechnic Institute, San Luis Obispo*
 tnel1sullivan@gmail.com

- 9:15 **Disc., Dana Patton, University of Kentucky**
dana.patton@uky.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-16 **Race and Gender in the Policy Process**
Room Parlor G on the 6th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Rene Rolandn Rocha, University of Iowa**
rene-rocha@uiowa.edu
- 8:35 **Beyond G.I. Jane: American Women Military Veterans, Institutions and Identity in Post-war Society**
How does the Department of Veterans Affairs, a bureaucracy that was once exclusively for males, address the needs of a different policy constituency? This paper examines the intersections of policy and society in contemporary women military veterans.
Cecilia Ferradino, *SUNY Albany*
cfeerradino@hotmail.com
- 8:50 **Fair Play?: The Impact of Gendered Norms on Sports-related Careers**
Women make up the majority of sports and recreation majors, yet are still under-represented at the mid to upper administrative levels. Using experimental data, we explore the impact of gendered cues on hiring preferences in sports-related fields.
Mary McThomas, *Mississippi State University*
mmctomas@msstate.edu
Keaha Perry, *Mississippi State University*
mstarkville@gmail.com
- 9:05 **Not a One-way Street: Exploring the Intersection of Race and Gender in a Political Context**
This paper assesses intersectional representation in a political context arguing that race and gender together are salient in governance. I test the effect (and contingencies) of Black male school board representation on Black male policy outcomes.
Meredith Brooke Louise Walker, *Texas A&M University*
mwalker@politics.tamu.edu
- 9:20 **Statewide Variation in Battered Women's Syndrome defenses**
This paper seeks to understand the conditions under which states adopt legislation regarding Battered Women's Syndrome defenses.
Dana Wichter, *Ohio State University*
wichter.8@osu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Rene Rolandn Rocha, University of Iowa**
rene-rocha@uiowa.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-20 **Perspectives on Taxing and Spending Policy at the National and Subnational Level**
Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Subo Bai, Sungkyunkwan University**
baes@skku.edu
- 8:35 **Cheer Beer: Yense and Area Shopping a Wisconsin Sin Tax**
This paper summarizes a case study on the efforts to increase the excise tax on beer produced in Wisconsin for in-state consumption. In-depth interviews were conducted with legislators and leaders of advocacy groups in support of the tax increase.
Jeremy Arney, *University of Colorado, Denver*
arney.jer@uwco.edu
- 8:50 **Simulating Reparations?: Present Value Comparisons of Historical Slavery Reparations Proposals to the 2009 Economic Stimulus Package**
Public opinion research suggests that slavery reparations support increases with a proposal's specificity. I compare specific historical proposals ranging from \$17 billion to \$4.7 trillion to the \$787 billion economic stimulus package of 2009.
Thomas Cravner, *University of Connecticut*
thomas.cravner@uconn.edu
- 9:05 **Racial and Electoral Effects on Omnibus Appropriations FY77 through FY05**
Appropriations are analyzed for budget limbo effects on the probability of there being an omnibus appropriations bill in the first place, as well as the inclusion of particular bills in the omnibus.
Stonegarlen Gettleff, *University of California, Los Angeles*
sggettleff@ucla.edu
- 9:20 **Asymmetric Journalistic Portrayals of Proposed Tax and Spending Changes?: A Content Analysis**
Content analysis of NY Times and AP portrayals of tax and spending proposals by Presidents Bush and Obama during their first years, examining whether content framed asymmetrically: tax proposals as self-interest and spending proposals differently.
Robert Clifford Sahr, *Oregon State University*
robert.sahr@oregonstate.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Stephen Weinberg, SUNY Albany**
sweinberg@albany.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-13 **Climate and Energy Politics**
Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Matthew A. Shapiro, Illinois Institute of Technology**
mshapiro@iit.edu
- 8:35 **Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Technologies: What Determines Their Access to the Clean Development Mechanism?**
This paper analyzes econometrically the effect of abatement costs, international regulations and domestic regulations on the access of emission reduction technologies to the Kyoto Protocol's Clean Development Mechanism.
Paula Castro, *University of Zurich*
castro@p.wuz.ch
- 8:50 **Reclaiming the Atmospheric Commons in Emissions Trading Policy**
This paper investigates the causes and implications of the surprising new assertion of expanded public ownership rights to the atmosphere by emissions trading policy designers in the past five years.
Leigh Raymond, *Purdue University*
lraymond@purdue.edu
- 9:05 **The Global Green New Deal and Sustainable Procurement**
This paper focuses on the development and implementation of Global Green New Deal and the potential role of Green Public Procurement for mitigating climate change.
Edward W. Schwerin, *Florida Atlantic University*
schwerin@fau.edu
- 9:20 **State Responses to Wasteful Energy Uses: The Role of Government Strength**
We examine how government strength influences the ability of a state to invest in energy technologies.
Johannes Urpelainen, *Columbia University*
jurpelai@columbia.edu
Alexandra Cirone, *Columbia University*
ac2165@columbia.edu
- 9:35 **Disc., Nancy E. Bruce, University of New Mexico**
nancybruce@gmail.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 53-16 **Examining the Impact of Organizational Design, Workload, and Institutional Policies on Public Personnel**
Room Congress on the 3rd floor, Sun at 8:30 am
8:30 **Chair, Michele M. Hoyman, University of North Carolina**
hoyman@unc.edu
- 8:35 **Laundry and Lab Time: Do Family-Friendly Policies Help Scientists Balance the Personal and Professional?**
Universities have adopted family-friendly policies in recent years. However, it is unclear whether these policies have played a positive role for academic's career progression. This paper examines the effects of such policies on career progression

- Lauren Nichole Bowman *University of Illinois, Chicago*
lbowma1@uic.edu
Mary K. Feeney *University of Illinois, Chicago*
mkfeeney@uic.edu
- 8:30 **Toward a Theory of Goal Ambiguity in Public Organizations: An Analysis of Goal Ambiguity in Korean Central Government Agencies**
This study replicates Chun and Rainey's (2005) goal ambiguity research in a non-U.S. setting. Following their measurement approach, the study measures goal ambiguity of the agencies in the Korean central government.
Jung Wook Lee *Kyungpook University*
leejungwook@yonsei.ac.kr
Yoon Ji Cho *Georgia State University*
yoonjic1@gmail.com
- 9:05 **How Does Administrative Burden Matter? Evidence From Election Administration**
This paper examines the antecedents and consequences of administrator perceptions of high workload in the area of local election administration. In particular, we test if administrative burden makes public officials antagonistic to reform efforts.
Donald Mayallham *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
dmayallham@facstaff.wisc.edu
Stephane Laverre *University of Colorado, Boulder*
stephane.laverre@colorado.edu
Kenneth Mayer *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
kmayer@polisci.wisc.edu
David Deacon *University of Wisconsin*
ddeacon@polisci.wisc.edu
Barry C. Burden *University of Wisconsin*
bburden@wisc.edu
- 9:20 **Too Bold, Too Little, Too Late, and Without Capacity? Organizational Design and Assessment of Success of European Union Policy Initiatives: Past and Present**
How does organizational design affect EU's institutional capacity to best define and carry out successfully its policies on (a) economic crisis, (b) climate change, (c) cohesion policy, (d) common foreign and defense policies, and other hard cases?
Adrian S. Petrescu *InnovationTrek*
aspetrescu@akamai.pitt.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Michele M. Hoyman *University of North Carolina*
hoyman@unc.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 54-13 **What Holds Together the Union?**
Room LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
Chair, Michael J. Faber *Duke University*
m.faber@duke.edu
- 8:35 **John Quincy Adams: The Apostle of Union**
Despite his famous career as an antislavery Congressman, the Union remained foremost in John Quincy Adams' mind. Adams offers an important lesson in the strength of American nationalism as a political value but also in its multivocality.
David F. Ericson *George Mason University*
dfericson2@gmu.edu
- 8:47 **Secularizing the American State, 1876-1901**
Late 19th C. liberals in the USA sought to expand religious liberty by separating church and state. Others rejected religion altogether in favor of a secular society. Trace the diffusion of each version of secularism, and compare their influence.
Russell C. Hanson *Indiana University*
rhanson@indiana.edu
- 8:59 **Republicanism and Virtue: Is the Relationship Feasible?**
This essay examines the following: (1) the traditional view that virtue is necessary for a republic; (2) different modes of republicanism; and (3) whether mass-democratic republicanism undermines virtue and, hence, a condition of its existence.
- Peer Daniel Haworth *Ciceroanum Society*
peterhaworth@me.com
- 9:11 **The American Founding as a Virtuous Republic: The Symbolism of Athens and Sparta**
The paper will address the problems and prospects of the thesis. The American Founding as a republic of virtue, by addressing the tension inherent in the symbolism of Athens and Sparta as the embodiment of philosophic freedom and order respectively.
Rowen Stever *United States Air Force Academy*
derdenker@iq.com
- 9:23 **Nullification and the Politics of State Sovereignty**
Nullification evokes thoughts of slavery and segregation for most of us. There is another side to the story. Rooted in an honorable tradition, nullification can be a powerful tool for the people and a means of curbing centralized power.
Jeff Taylor *Jacksonville State University*
JLTaylor@jvu.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Michael J. Faber *Duke University*
m.faber@duke.edu
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**
- 56-13 **Religion and Contentious Issues in America**
Room Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor, Sun at 8:30 am
- 8:30 **Chair** Laura R. Olson *Clemson University*
LauraO@clermson.edu
- 8:35 **And on the Seventh Day, God Created Polarization**
We test the effect of increasing levels of activism among Evangelical Christians on mass and Congressional polarization. We find that Evangelical activism causes polarization in the electorate, but not in Congress.
Kerla Kumar Bantia *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
kban001@email.unc.edu
Gregory Joseph Wolf *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
gregwolf@email.unc.edu
- 8:50 **American Religious Identity, Community Membership and Policy Preferences**
Based on extensive focus groups held in a variety of religious communities throughout Los Angeles and Orange Counties, my research investigates the impact of religious community membership on policy preferences on a range of contentious issues.
Richard Patrick Haesly *California State University, Long Beach*
rhaesly@csulb.edu
- 9:05 **Evangelical Views on Immigration Policy**
Based on original research (30 interviews of evangelical leaders), alongside supplemental data analysis of public opinion data, this paper discusses contemporary evangelical elites' perspectives on immigration and possible implications for policy.
Ruth M. Melkonian-Hoover *Gordon College*
ruth.melkonian@gordon.edu
- 9:20 **A New Conservative Coalition: How Religious Immigrants are Transforming American Politics**
This paper examines the role of growing numbers of evangelical immigrants, particularly Asian Americans and Latinos, in transforming traditional conservative coalitions in American politics.
Janelle S. Wang *University of Southern California*
janellew@usc.edu
- 9:35 **Disc.** Kerem Ozan Kalkan *Middle East Technical University*
okalkan@metu.edu.tr
Disc. Mark Bennett McNaught *Université Rennes II, France*
markmcnaught@yahoo.com
- 9:55 **Audience Discussion**

57-6 How Students Learn

Room Buckingham on the 5th floor, Sun at 8:30 am

8:30 Chair, Jason Brozok, Lawrence University
jason.brozok@lawrence.edu

8:35 Reviving Socratic Methods for the Political Science Classroom
Socratic discussion provides practice in creative and critical analysis that compliments proficiency in information technology with breadth and depth of thought. The presentation illustrates these points by means of demonstration.

Thomas E. Doyle, University of Denver
tdoyle7@du.edu

8:50 The Formula vs. the Story: Teaching Public Finance in Graduate Public Affairs Programs

Many graduate programs in political science and public policy include courses in public economics/finance as part of the core curriculum. This paper examines teaching methods to determine if mathematical approaches impact student comprehension.

Siona Listokin, George Mason University
slistoki@gmu.edu

9:05 Learning by Teaching: A Student-led Approach to Internationalizing the Discipline

This project examines the possibility that students themselves - particularly students who are engaged in "learning by teaching" exercises - can be an indirect resource for broadening the international perspective of political science departments.

Ann Marie Mezzell, Lincoln University of Missouri
Mezzella@lincolnu.edu

9:20 An Analysis of Variables Affecting Student Preferences for Rote Memorization/Stimulus/Response Learning

Deep learning and understanding are a function of teaching styles and learning preferences. Student preferences for stimulus-response learning are measured by preferred grading criteria, learning preference questionnaire and demographic variables.

Robert Peters, Western Michigan University
robert.peters@wmich.edu

Raymond Higbee, Western Michigan University
raymond.j.higbee@wmich.edu

9:35 Disc., Bobbi Gentry, Millikin University
hgentry@millikin.edu

Disc., Daniel Stockemer, University of Ottawa
d.stockemer@web.de

9:55 Audience Discussion

70-101 Regulatory Competition: Causes and Consequences

Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 8:30 am

This roundtable examines the balance of effective regulatory enforcement against the need to attract business and how this may result in regulatory competition between jurisdictions.

Chair Andrew Whitford, University of Georgia
aw@uga.edu

Panelist Evan J. Ringquist, Indiana University
eringqui@indiana.edu

David Konisky, Georgetown University
dmk74@georgetown.edu

Dorothy M. Daley, University of Kansas
daley@ku.edu

Neal D. Woods, University of South Carolina
neal.woods@sc.edu

Sunday, April 3 at 10:25 am

- 1-5 **The Internet Shaping Political Behaviour? On-line Policy Information and Voting Advice Applications**
Room Salon 10 on the 1st floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, G. R. Boynton, *University of Iowa*
 bob-boynton@uiowa.edu
- 10:30 **Effective Communication of Public Policy in the Age of Information Overload: The Role of Leggers on Citizen Perception and Compliance of Public Policy**
 This research examines how our changing information environment characterized by information overload and emergence of visual communication, such as YouTube, affect the communication of public policy and increasingly influence policy outcomes.
 Michael Ahn, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
 Michael.Ahn@umb.edu
 Moon Suk Ahn, *Korea University*
 Ahnms@skorea.ac.kr
- 10:45 **Driven to Vote? The Impact of Voting Advice Applications on Individual Turnout**
 We examine whether the previously hypothesized mobilization effect of VAAs is conditional on the degree of convergence between individuals' preferences and the advice proposed by the application.
 Elias Dinas, *University of Oxford*
 elias.dinas@oxford.ox.ac.uk
 Kristjan Vassil, *European University Institute*
 kristjan.vassil@eui.eu
 Alexander Harald Trechsel, *European University Institute*
 Alexander.Trechsel@europa.eu
- 11:00 **Mirror, Mirror on the Wall, Who is the Most Suitable of Them All?: The Effects of Online Voting Advice Application Use on Citizens' Electoral Behaviour**
 Using an experiment (n=1278), this paper investigates the impact of online voting advice application (VAA) use on citizens' electoral mobilisation and vote choice. We also assess whether different types of VAAs affect users differently.
 Ouh Rueschvita, *London School of Economics*
 o.h.rueschvita@lse.ac.uk
- 11:15 **Voting Smarter? Assessing the Impact of Voting Advice Applications on Political Behavior**
 Drawing inferences from observational studies and a randomized field experiment I show that voters are affected by the external voting advice. However, the effects are sensitive to selection biases and counterfactual scenarios.
 Kristjan Vassil, *European University Institute*
 kristjan.vassil@eui.eu
- 11:30 **Disc., Barbara Trilk, *Grinnell College***
 trilk@grinnell.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 2-14 **Mobilization and Participation**
Room Great Park Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Brandon C. Zick, *Universiteit Antwerpen*
 brandon.zick@ua.ac.be
- 10:30 **The Strategic Mobilization of Low-Income Voters: The SPD and the Agricultural Proletariat**
 This paper presents a strategic mobilization account of party system development. We test this account with new data on the local-level mobilization efforts of the Social Democratic Party of Germany (SPD) between 1891 and 1917.
 Alexander Kau, *John March Institute*
 akau@jmi.ox.ac.uk
 Karro Long Jusko, *Stanford University*
 kjusko@stanford.edu
- 10:50 **Working Man Blues? Organized Labor Interests between Efficiency and Inclusiveness in Democratic and Post-democratic Societies**
 The paper analyzes variation in the desire for efficient versus inclusive democratic institutions on the basis of Crouch's theory of post-democracy.
 Thomas Malong, *University of Konstanz*
 thomas.malong@uni-konstanz.de
- 11:10 **Political Opportunity Structure, Mobilization and Non-Voting Political Participation in Western Democracies**
 This paper firstly demonstrates that the national political context determines political participation of individuals and secondly it shows the mechanism through which the national structure influences individual level political action.
 Katerina Vrabelkova, *University of California, Irvine*
 kvrabelk@uci.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Maria Elena Sandoyek, *Tamur University***
 maria.sandoyek@tamur.edu
Disc., Brandon C. Zick, *Universiteit Antwerpen*
 brandon.zick@ua.ac.be
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 4-15 **The Politics of the Resource Curse**
Room Clark 10 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, Brian Min, *University of Michigan*
 brianmin@umich.edu
- 10:30 **Does the Resource Curse Have an Effect on Social Policy? Empirical Evidence from Less Developed Countries**
 The effects of natural resource abundance on social policy in less developed countries have never been studied scientifically. This paper tests whether the resource curse hypothesis applies to welfare, education and health expenditure.
 Ceren Altınoklu, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
 ceren.altinoklu@colorado.edu
- 10:45 **The Micro-politics of the Resource Curse: A Comparative View from East Asia and the Pacific**
 This paper examines the micro-politics of the resource curse in Cambodia, East Timor, Laos and Mongolia. I show how the institutional arrangements for petroleum and mineral rent extraction and management impact economic and political outcomes.
 Naazneen Barua, *Naval Postgraduate School*
 nbbarua@gmail.com
- 11:00 **State Ownership and the Resource Curse: A Cross-country Study of Nationalization in the Oil Industry**
 It is not only institutions and oil price which explain nationalization in the oil sector. Instead, this paper finds that geology, political mobility, and national ideologies determine the degree and intensity of oil industry nationalization.
 Poojha Mahdavi, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 poojha@ucla.edu
- 11:15 **The International Firewater Effect: Nontax Revenue, Taxation, and Spending Around the World**
 Using cross-national time-series analysis, I show that all forms of nontax revenue (such as foreign aid and revenues from state-owned natural resource companies) lead to lower taxation and higher government spending in countries around the world.
 Kevin M. Morrison, *Cornell University*
 kmorrison@cornell.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Brian Min, *University of Michigan***
 brianmin@umich.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

- 4-27** **Electors, Constitutions and Democracy**
Room Barnham 4 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:28 **Chair, Brian Mello, Muhlenberg College**
 bmello@muhlenberg.edu
- 10:30** **Varieties of Polyarchy: Conceptualizing Variance among Non-authoritarian Regimes in Latin America**
 Current conceptualizations of non-authoritarian regimes focus only on electoral procedures, omitting other important channels of access to power positions. In this paper I suggest an alternative conceptualization that seeks to remedy this problem.
 Juan Fernando Ibarra Del Cuello, *University of Chicago*
 jfbarra@uchicago.edu
- 10:42** **The Infrastructure of Democracy: Institutions of Electoral Governance in Latin America**
 Institutions of electoral governance such as electoral commissions and electoral courts play a fundamental role in electoral processes around the globe. This paper examines the structure and function of ECGs in 11 Latin American countries.
 Diana Kapleszewska, *University of California, Irvine*
 dianakap@uci.edu
 John Seth Alexander, *University of California, Irvine*
 jsalexan@uci.edu
 Robert Nyemhuis, *University of California, Irvine*
 rnyemhui@uci.edu
- 10:54** **Is Writing a New Constitution Constitutional? Power, Patronage, and Constitutional Reform in Latin America**
 This paper analyzes constitutional reform in Bolivia, Colombia, Honduras, and Venezuela.
 Steve B. Lora, *Kutztown University*
 slora@kutztown.edu
 Robert A. Portada, *University of Notre Dame*
 rportada@nd.edu
- 11:06** **Gubernatorial Runoff Elections in Brazil: A Predictive Statistical Model**
 This paper proposes a probabilistic model to predict the outcome of gubernatorial runoff election in Brazil based on incumbency, party placement in the first round, and spatial distribution of the votes on a left-right political spectrum.
 Casio Mizok, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
 cmizok@uwm.edu
- 11:18** **Institutional Stickiness in Transitions to Democracy: The Government of India Act of 1935 and the Constitution of India**
 Under what conditions do leaders of a new nation keep old political institutions? We examine the extent to which the institutions established in the colonial Government of India Act of 1935 were incorporated into the Indian Constitution of 1950.
 Sanika Parikh, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 sapanikh@wustl.edu
 Alfred Darmell, *Washington University, St. Louis*
 adarmell@wustl.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Barry Stevan Levitt, Florida International University**
 levittb@fiu.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 5-17** **Democratization, Political Business Cycles, and Pork Barrel Politics**
Room Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, TBA**
10:30 **Does Democracy Matter? Government Responsiveness and Regime Type**
 Democratic government is thought to be more responsive than other types of government. By using material well-being as a measure of responsiveness, this paper finds that such claims about democracy may not be true.
 Jacob Allen Hogan, *Loyola University, Chicago*
 jake.hogan@gmail.com
- 10:42** **Political Support in Nascent African Democracies: Challenges to the Patronage Hypothesis**
 This paper examines political support from the bottom up in Africa using a modified ultimatum game in Senegal and Ghana. It finds that citizens support public goods distributors over private goods distributors.
 Kris L. Inman, *University of California, Davis*
 kinman@ucdavis.edu
- 10:54** **The Implementation of Constituency Development Funds in Emerging Democracies**
 Constituency Development Fund (CDF), is a form of pork barrel politics currently found in 18 developing countries. This paper uses a comparative implementation case study approach to assess the results of alternative delivery systems.
 Robert Nakamura, *SUNY, Albany*
 rn382@at.albany.edu
- 11:06** **Policy Responsiveness in New Democracies**
 Are governments in new democracies responsive to their citizens' policy preferences? This paper examines the campaign promises incumbent governments make during the election and their fulfillment as an indicator of policy responsiveness.
 Sooh-Rhee Ryu, *University of Pittsburgh, Bradford*
 sarr16@pitt.edu
- 11:18** **Democratic Transition and Political Business Cycles in Latin America**
 While the political business cycles literature pays little attention to whether democratizing countries experience political business cycles, this paper explores whether there is a political business cycle in 15 new democracies in Latin America.
 Chi-Hung Wei, *University of Florida*
 cwei@ufl.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Catherine Anne Long, C&D Consulting**
 c.long@cathenee-long.com
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 6-17** **The Effects of Electoral Rules and Party Characteristics on Political Outcomes**
Room Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, G. Bingham Powell, University of Rochester**
 gb.powell@rochester.edu
- 10:30** **Equalized Cabinet Convergence and the Governance Penalty**
 Voters tend to perceive parties currently in coalition together as more similar than parties not presently coaligned. I propose that this relationship can impose an electoral penalty on cabinet parties. Empirical testing supports this proposition.
 David Fortunato, *Universität Mannheim*
 fortunato@uni-mannheim.de
- 10:48** **Cheer Dealers and Rich Presidents: The Strategic Use of Pork to Build Government Coalitions in Brazil**
 This paper shows that Deputies with concentrated constituencies tend to support the President more when receiving pork, than Deputies with scattered constituencies. I'm analyzing data from Brazil between 2003 and 2010 to make such claim.
 Felipe Nunes, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 felipunes@gmail.com
- 11:00** **Electoral Systems and Intra-party Candidate Selection: Differences and Relationships**
 I examine the degree to which electoral systems and intra-party candidate selection processes are associated and conclude that the association between them is weak and does not merit the combination of these two institutions into one indicator.
 Yael Shamer, *Tel Aviv University*
 yshamer@gmail.com
- 11:15** **Term Limits, Professionalization, and the Priorities of U.S. State Legislators**
 In this paper I examine how term limits affect the amount of time US state legislators focus on constituency service and party fundraising in an effort to win elections.

- Jillie Ann VanDusky-Alden, *Binghamton University*
 vandus1@binghamton.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Maria Andrea Kellam, Texas A&M University**
 mkellam@polisci.tamu.edu
Disc., G. Bingham Powell, University of Rochester
 gb.powell@rochester.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 7-17 **Comparative Elections**
Room Kimball on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Raymond Duch, University of Oxford**
 raymond.duch@nu.ox.ac.uk
- 10:30 **Public Opinion Polls and Handicaps In U.S. and Canadian Elections**
 This research examines how opinion polls drive candidate favorability and vote intention in the US and Canada. Cognitive sophistication predicts the influence of campaign information, moderated by an understanding of a nation's electoral system.
Dalea Thomas Dalgle, Ohio State University
 dalgle.8@osu.edu
- 10:42 **The Use of Class Rhetoric by Conservative Parties in the 2008 Canadian and American Federal Elections**
 2008 saw federal elections in both Canada and America. In both cases conservative parties attempted to appeal to working class voters using class based rhetoric. This paper examines how neoliberal parties conceptualize and use class
Tim Fowler, Carleton University
 tim.fowler@carleton.ca
- 10:54 **Political Generation and Electoral Behavior in New Democracies: Lessons From the Recent Korean Elections**
 Does the political generation exist? This study attempts to analyze the causal relationship between the political generation and electoral choice in new democracies with focus on recent Korean elections.
Wookin Kang, Angelo State University
 wkang@angelo.edu
Soo-Jeong Han, University of Michigan
 sjeong2@gmail.com
- 11:06 **Political Contexts and New Elites: The Case of Women in Norway**
 This paper examines the effects of political and social factors on women's participation in elections following their enfranchisement in the case of Norway.
Barbara Sgouraki Kinsey, University of Central Florida
 bkinsey@ucf.edu
- 11:18 **Does District Magnitude Influence Attitudes and Behavior? The Case of Taiwan**
 The literature on comparative political participation suggests that district magnitude influences the attitudes and behavior of citizens and parties. We test these ideas using individual-level survey data from the 2004 Taiwanese elections.
Carlisle Rainey, Florida State University
 crainey@fsu.edu
Chingli Chung, Florida State University
 cc08a@fsu.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Jennifer Fitzgerald, University of Colorado, Boulder**
 jennifer.fitzgerald@colorado.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-16 **Europeanization**
Room Buehnan 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Michael Marsh, Trinity College, Dublin**
 drmarsh@trn.ac.com
- 10:30 **The Missing Piece of the Second-order Puzzle? Understanding How Party Policy Positions Differ between National and European Elections, 1979-2009**
 This paper examines whether party positions in European elections have a wider relevance to party competition in other electoral arenas.
Michael James Adkins, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom
 m.j.adkins@bham.ac.uk
- 10:50 **A Strategic Model of Compliance and Enforcement**
 This study examines the strategic compliance and enforcement game between the EU member states and the Commission where the member states comply with the goals of EC directives in consideration of the Commission's willingness to enforce them.
Lars Kai Mæder, University of Mannheim
 lars.maeder@uni-mannheim.de
Thomas E. Koenig, University of Mannheim
 koenig@uni-mannheim.de
- 11:10 **The Europeanization of European Parliament Elections**
 This paper proposes a micro-logic of the second-order election model in EP elections. The strong performance of small, extremist, and euroskeptic parties is explained by the dominance of directional over proximity voting.
Guido Tiemann, Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna
 tiemann@ihs.ac.at
- 11:30 **Disc., Michael Marsh, Trinity College, Dublin**
 drmarsh@trn.ac.com
Disc., Thomas M. Meyer, University of Vienna
 thomas.meyer@univie.ac.at
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 8-22 **Political and Financial Institutions in Europe**
Room Buehnan 2 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Petia A. Kostadinova, University of Florida**
 petiak@ucs.ufl.edu
- 10:30 **The Role Played by Agency, Lock, and Power in Determining Member States' Legislative Bargaining Success in the European Council of Ministers**
 This paper looks at member state bargaining success in the Council of the European Union. In particular it looks at how factors related to agency, lock and power affect the ability of member states to influence legislative negotiations.
James P. Cross, Trinity College, Dublin
 jcross@tcd.ie
- 10:45 **Bargaining over the Distribution of Seats in French Regional Elections**
 This paper examines what the exhausted lists of candidates that were formed between rounds of the 2004 and 2010 French regional elections imply about how parties divide the number of seats they might win.
Karl Duan, American University of Paris
 dking@aup.fr
- 11:00 **Do Electoral Systems Matter? Linking Institutions and Support in Post-Communist Democracies**
 This paper attempts to identify the relationship between electoral systems and citizen support. Pooled survey data from the new post-communist democracies is used to test a new theoretical link between the products of electoral systems and support.
Jeffrey Scott Hambl, University of Florida
 jeffs@ufl.edu
- 11:15 **Macroeconomic Performance and Citizens' Trust in the ECB: A Multilevel Approach**
 In light of the financial crisis, this study examines the determinants of trust in the European Central Bank over the past fifteen years and across nine EU member states in a multilevel framework.
Brooke Luetger, University of Mannheim
 brooke.luetger@uni-mannheim.de
Moritz Mosnarböck, University of Mannheim
 mosnarbo@mail.uni-mannheim.de

11:30 **Disc., Rory Costello, *London School of Economics and Political Science***
 r.costello@lse.ac.uk
Disc., Petia A. Kostalova, *University of Florida*
 petiak@ucs.ufl.edu
 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

9-9 **Yoting Behavior in Latin America**
Room Clark 3 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Katsumi Antonio Nishikawa, *Trinity University***
 knishika@trinity.edu
 10:30 **Security and Crime Issue Voting in Latin America**
 Drawing upon survey data from 18 Latin American countries, this paper analyzes the impact of voters' perceptions of insecurity and personal experience with crime on their voting behavior.
 Sandra Jessica Lay Gutierrez, *Duke University*
 sj19@duke.edu

10:45 **Cliantelism in the Age of Transparency: Evidence from Mexico's 2008 Midterm Elections**
 I explore some of the reasons why transparency laws work well to curtail clientelism at the national level but do not at the state & local level. I use evidence from the Mexico 2008 federal election & compare vote buying strategies by municipality.
 Katsumi Antonio Nishikawa, *Trinity University*
 knishika@trinity.edu

11:00 **Leftward Ho? Has the Caribbean Moved to the Political Left in the Same Way Latin America Has?**
 Much attention has been paid to the movement to the left in Latin America and South America, less attention has been paid to the greater Caribbean. The paper examines elections in the Caribbean to see if winning parties have moved to the left.
 David Hale Richards, *Lynchburg College*
 richards_D@lynchburg.edu

11:15 **When Do Borders Matter? An Exploration of the Political and Economic Geography of Mexico**
 We analyze Mexican data using spatial econometrics and find geographic discontinuities in voter abstention and migration behavior at certain state borders. We examine the source of these discontinuities using case studies of two Mexican states.
 Emily A. Sellers, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
 esellers@wisc.edu

11:30 **Disc., Felipe Botero, *Universidad de los Andes***
 fbotero@uniandes.edu.co
Disc., David Hale Richards, *Lynchburg College*
 richards_D@lynchburg.edu
 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

10-4 **Development and Governance in Contemporary Rural China**
Room Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Dali L. Yang, *University of Chicago***
 daliyang@uchicago.edu
 10:30 **Land Taking and Village Elections in Rural China**
 Rapid urbanization has not only transformed China's physical landscape but also brought changes to local governance in the countryside. We use a large national survey conducted in 2008 to empirically test this hypothesis.
 Feibing Su, *Kassar College*
 fsu@kassar.edu

10:45 **Audocrats' Dilemma: The Impact of Elections on Public Support for Further Democratization in Authoritarian China**
 This paper explores the impact of authoritarian elections on the public attitude to further democratization. It shows that meaningful elections are conducive to regime legitimacy, but they also enhance ordinary people's democratic consciousness.
 Xin Sun, *Northwestern University*
 xinsun2013@u.northwestern.edu

11:00 **Between the Party and the Masses**
 Based upon a study of a temple fair, this article illustrates interplay between religious actors and local state agents, which, I argue, challenge state legitimacy, and imply a potential change in the trajectory of China's local development.
 Juan Wang, *Griffith Asia Institute*
 juan.wang@griffith.edu.au

11:15 **Patterns of Governance and Authority in Rural China**
 Drawing on our own fieldwork and a unique data set, we test four hypotheses regarding the division of authority in villages following the introduction of direct elections for villagers' committees.
 Dali L. Yang, *University of Chicago*
 daliyang@uchicago.edu

11:30 **Disc., Pierre F. Landry, *Yale University***
 pierre.landry@yale.edu
 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

11-5 **Africa's Democratization Challenges**
Room Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Rachel Beatty Riedl, *Northwestern University***
 r-riedl@northwestern.edu

10:30 **Do Voters Protest or do Protesters Vote?: Examining the Link Between Voting and Protest in Kenya**
 This paper uses a Kenyan case study to quantitatively determine whether voters are more likely to engage in protests than non-voters, and whether non-voters use other avenues to influence public policy in emerging democracies.
 Patrick Odhiambo Asago, *University of Kansas*
 pasingo@ku.edu

10:45 **Explaining Post-election Violence in Kenya**
 Why did violence erupt after the 2007 election in Kenya? Various theories explain the violence but remain untested in a systematic way. Using new data, I examine the historical, political, and economic factors causing such violence.
 Jonathan Andrew Harris, *Harvard University*
 jharris@fas.harvard.edu

11:00 **The Influence of Foreign Funding On Party Systems: The Case of the Democratic Republic of Congo**
 An analysis of the impact of the United Nations on the 2006 national elections in the Democratic Republic of Congo and on the development of political parties and patronage systems.
 Dominique Helene Lewis, *Michigan State University*
 lewisda2@msu.edu
 Alexander R. Ingram, *Michigan State University*
 aingram@msu.edu

11:15 **How Does Foreign Aid Affect Electoral Quality in Africa?**
 Since the Cold War ended, multiparty elections have been held in nearly every African country. However, the quality of these elections varies substantially. This paper tests the effect of different types of aid on the quality of elections in Africa.
 Tyson Roberts, *University of California, Los Angeles*
 tr22@ucla.edu

11:30 **Disc., Rachel Beatty Riedl, *Northwestern University***
 r-riedl@northwestern.edu
 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

12-8 **Liberalism, Participation and Trust in the Middle East**
Room Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Jeffrey Sestand, *American University***
 sestand@american.edu

10:30 **A New Face of Political Islam: Muslim Democrats, Neoliberal Reformers, Islamist Expansionists and Civil Disobeyers**
 This study aims to critically analyze the entrenchment of a hegemonic version of political Islam into state institutions, civil society, intelligentsia, and national/local identities within the political and economic milieu of "neo liberal democracy"

- Denia Gökulp**, *Syracuse University*
dgokulp@syrain.usacs.edu
Seda Usnar, *University of Southern California*
usnar@usc.edu
- 10:45** **Sources of Middle Eastern Trust**
How is trust generated in non-democratic societies? A number of factors are considered in the Middle Eastern context.
Sherry R. Lowrance, *University of Georgia*
slowran@uga.edu
- 11:00** **Political Participation and Support for Democracy in the Arab World: An Interdependent Relationship?**
This paper focuses on the behavioral dimension of support for democracy in the Arab World. To what extent do Arab people participate? And to what extent do their democratic values and considerations affect their reasons for participation?
Mervin Shalaby, *University of Houston*
mshalaby@uh.edu
- 11:15** **Are Political Deficits in Lebanon Self-Imposed or Externally Inflicted?**
I am examining whether political deficits in Lebanon are self-imposed (misery, mainly events during the Civil War or political corruption) or externally inflicted (Iran and Syria's support of Hizballah).
Patty Zakaria, *Wayne State University*
alz156@wayne.edu
- 11:30** **Disc.**, **Sherry R. Lowrance**, *University of Georgia*
slowran@uga.edu
Disc., **Jeffrey Sealand**, *American University*
jsealand@american.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 13-10** **Protest Politics and Social Movements in China and Eastern Europe**
Room
10:25 **Chair**, **Christian William Hoerpfel**, *University of Aberdeen*
c.w.hoerpfel@abdn.ac.uk
- 10:30** **International Norms and Domestic Disputes: The Fate of Civil Society Development in Post-Communist Electoral Authoritarian Regimes**
This paper examines the development and survival of issue-oriented NGO sectors within the civil society of post-communist "Third Wave democracies" that have experienced rapid backsliding towards new forms of "electoral authoritarianism."
Jaelyn Kerr, *Georgetown University*
jak77@georgetown.edu
- 10:50** **State Boundary and Political (In)Stability: On Peasant Protests and Peasant Riots in Hunan in the 1990s**
This paper explains why peasant protests against heavy taxes and fees in China in the 1990s usually could not spread beyond the boundary of a township, but why they could easily lead to large-scale, spontaneous, and violent riots.
Wu Zhang, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
zhangwu3@yahoo.com
- 11:10** **Disc.**, **Dmitri Mitro**, *North Carolina State University*
dmitro@ncsu.edu
- 11:30** **Audience Discussion**
- 14-17** **Economic Liberalization, Political Competition, and Growth**
Room
10:25 **Chair**, **Alberto Simpser**, *University of Chicago*
asimpser@uchicago.edu
- 10:30** **Public Support for the Market: The Resour Hypothesis and the Role of Unemployment in Latin America and Eastern Europe**
Like hyperinflation, high unemployment increases public support for market-oriented reform; a severe crisis combines hyperinflation and unemployment. Data from the 1995-1997 WVS support this unemployment-focused version of the resour hypothesis.
- Charles H. Blake**, *James Madison University*
hblakech@jmu.edu
- 10:45** **Anti-growth Coalitions**
The notion of an anti-growth coalition is neither new nor surprising. What is surprising is the existence of such coalitions in well-functioning democracies. How did they arise and why are they sustained when they do not appear to benefit voters?
Renee Bowen, *Stanford University*
Bowen_Renee@gsb.stanford.edu
Cecilia H. Mo, *Stanford University*
mo_cecilia@gsb.stanford.edu
John Ropley, *Caribbean Policy Research Institute*
jropley@caribbeanpolicy.org
- 11:00** **Growth Poles: Economic and Institutional Determinants**
We introduce the concept of growth poles. We formally define several alternative measures, provide theoretical justifications for these measures, compute polarity values for nation states in the global economy, and examine their key determinants.
Janus Jerome Lim, *The World Bank*
jlim@worldbank.org
Jonathan Adams-Kane, *The World Bank*
jadamskane@worldbank.org
- 11:15** **Economic Liberalization and Political Competition: The Case of Anti-system Parties**
This paper explores whether and when economic liberalization will produce moderation of anti-system parties. We utilized structured comparisons drawing on cases from Middle Eastern and East European countries.
Sarah Wilton Sokhey, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
sarah.sokhey@colorado.edu
A. Kadir Yildirim, *Princeton University*
kadir@princeton.edu
- 11:30** **Disc.**, **Janus Jerome Lim**, *The World Bank*
jlim@worldbank.org
Disc., **Alberto Simpser**, *University of Chicago*
asimpser@uchicago.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 15-17** **FDI: Determinants of Inflows and Outflows**
Room
10:25 **Chair**, **Sonal S. Pandya**, *University of Virginia*
spandya@virginia.edu
- 10:30** **Bilateral Trade Disputes and the Flow of Foreign Direct Investment**
This paper explores how trade disputes - violations of free trade norms and breaches of treaty or contract - affect the flow of FDI in developing and advanced economies.
Kyeongthil Baek, *Buffalo State College*
baekkt@buffalostate.edu
- 10:45** **Shame on Who? Human Rights Shaming and Foreign Direct Investment**
Why do foreign investors avoid human rights violators? Using data on human rights shaming by NGOs, we test one explanation which posits that the reputational costs of doing business with known abusers makes investment in such states less attractive.
Colin Barry, *SUNY Binghamton*
cbarry2@binghamton.edu
Michael Flynn, *SUNY Binghamton*
mflynn1@binghamton.edu
K. Chad Clay, *SUNY Binghamton*
kclay1@binghamton.edu
- 10:54** **Risk Begins at Home: The Effects of Political Risk on Outward FDI from Developing Countries**
This paper argues that political risk factors such as regime instability and political turnover at home act as "push" factors for multinational investors based in the developing world and shape their decisions to locate capital abroad.

	<p>Daniel Blake, Princeton University dblake@princeton.edu</p> <p>Qultha H. Beazer, Ohio State University beazer1@osu.edu</p>	<p>18-3 Studies of Terrorism Congress on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am</p> <p>10:25 Chair, Joseph K. Young, Southern Illinois University jkyoung@siu.edu</p>
11:06	<p>Political Riots, Terrorism, and Foreign Direct Investment As a common type of political violence, terrorism may deter foreign corporations from investing in a host country. This paper conducts a large-N analysis and a time series intervention analysis to examine the impact of terrorism on FDI inflows. Chia-yi Lee, Washington University, St. Louis clees@wupl.edu</p>	<p>10:30 Gender Inequality and Terrorism: An Analysis of the Effects of Socioeconomic Gender Inequality on Domestic Terrorism This paper addresses the potential effects of one type of structural inequality on terrorism: socioeconomic gender inequality. I assess the effects of gender inequality in education, labor, and life expectancy on the risk of domestic terrorism. Jennifer Dumas, University of New Orleans jldumas@uno.edu</p>
11:19	<p>Domestic Political Institutions and Sectoral FDI Composition in Developing Countries This paper studies the skill bias in the sectoral composition of inward FDI across political regimes in developing countries. Boliang Zhu, Columbia University bz2123@columbia.edu</p>	<p>10:50 Domestic Politics, Counter-terrorism, and Mobilization A terrorist organization may attack a government forcing it to launch an offensive military strike to appease their domestic population even though this counter-terrorism strategy may increase support for the terrorist organization. Christine Metz, Florida State University cm077@fsu.edu</p>
11:30	<p>Disc., Saana S. Pandya, University of Virginia spandya@virginia.edu</p>	<p>11:10 Disc., Joseph K. Young, Southern Illinois University jkyoung@siu.edu</p>
11:50	<p>Audience Discussion</p>	<p>11:30 Audience Discussion</p>
16-17	<p>Terrorism and the Diffusion of Rage Clark 1 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am</p>	<p>18-17 Climate Change, Weather Variability, and Conflict Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am</p>
Room	<p>Chair, Jennifer S. Holmes, University of Texas, Dallas jholmes@utdallas.edu</p>	<p>Room</p>
10:25	<p>10:30 Government Ideology and Domestic Terrorism in Democracies This paper examines the relationship between domestic terrorism and government ideology in democracies. The extent to which governments are targeted by terrorist groups depends on the relation between the ideology of the groups and the governments. Denir Akley, Pennsylvania State University dwa13@psu.edu</p>	<p>10:25 Chair, Stephen L. Quackenbush, University of Missouri quackenbush@missouri.edu</p>
10:30	<p>10:45 Determinants of Legislative Responses to al-Qaeda Terrorism: An Analysis of 20 Liberal Democracies This article argues that 3 factors increase the perception of terrorism exposure in 20 liberal democracies: participation in Afghanistan and Iraq, Muslim immigration, ethnic fractionalization, country's size and wealth. Marjolijn Epiende, University of Essex meepif@essex.ac.uk</p>	<p>10:30 Global Days of Action on Climate Change: Dynamics of Protest in the Enlarged European Union Has a transnational environmental protest movement on climate change indeed emerged across the enlarged European Union? This paper explores dynamics of contention on Global Days of Action on Climate Change in 2009 and 2010. Helma G. E. De Vries, Eastern Connecticut State University devries@easternct.edu</p>
10:45	<p>The Diffusion of Terrorism This paper examines the diffusion of terrorism over the time period of 1970-2008 using data from the national consortium for the study of terrorism and responses to terrorism (START) and the University of Maryland. William Reed, University of Maryland WLR@CIMO.EDU Kenneth Matia, University of Maryland kmatia@gvpt.umd.edu</p>	<p>10:50 Environmental Governance, Efficiency and Conflict: A Public Choice Approach This paper deals with environmental policy, public choice and conflict. Joshua Gogo, University of Port Harcourt dorgogogo@gmail.com</p>
11:00	<p>11:15 Tribal Terrorist Allegiance Alliances in Yemen This paper will use both the ideational and the institutional approaches to provide a better understanding of the flourishing of Al Qaeda among some tribes in Yemen. Rahm L. Saleh, Carson Community College rah1j@cskton.edu</p>	<p>11:10 New Dimension of International Security: Climate Security-The Case of the Euphrates/Tigris River Basin This paper delves into an emerging concept, climate security, which is directly linked to water security, food security, ecological balance and therefore, to economic and political stability of countries. Konwarp Pamaku, University of Phoenix, Chicago konwarp@gmail.phoenix.edu</p>
11:15	<p>11:30 Disc., Jennifer S. Holmes, University of Texas, Dallas jholmes@utdallas.edu</p>	<p>11:30 Disc., Stephen L. Quackenbush, University of Missouri quackenbush@missouri.edu</p>
11:30	<p>Disc., Michael Kenney, Penn State University, Harrisburg rock14@psu.edu</p>	<p>11:50 Audience Discussion</p>
11:50	<p>Audience Discussion</p>	<p>19-8 US Foreign Policy: New Challenges Creskill on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am</p> <p>10:25 Chair, Mario Esteban Carrasco, Texas A&M University, Kingsville m11ec02@tamuk.edu</p>
	<p>10:30 The Effects of 'The War on Terror' on Opium Production in Afghanistan This paper seeks to examine the effects of the United States invasion of Afghanistan in regard to opium production. The argument is that the United States policy has been ineffective. It needs to refocus upon culture and infrastructure. Joseph Jon Kaminski, Purdue University jkamins@purdue.edu</p>	

- 10:50 French Anti-Americanism and the Causes of Soft Balancing in International Relations**
This study tries to answer the question of what causes France to undertake anti-American soft balancing. It argues that soft balancing takes place when the United States violates French national interests in a way that is perceived as predatory.
Milosz Kuebarcik, *University of California, Davis*
mlkuebarski@ucdavis.edu
- 11:10 A. Rama Chion and Obama's Foreign Policy: Taiwan's New Security Dilemma**
The paper explores a discussion of relevance of U.S.-China-Taiwan, reviewing U.S. Foreign Policy in China, and demonstrating the future developing approach will present three possibilities of hypotheses.
Yu-Tai Tsai, *National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan*
tsaiyt@gmail.com
Szu-hsien Lee, *National Cheng Chi University*
bonhsunlee@gmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., Mario Esteban Carranza, Texas A&M University, Kingsville**
mccmcd02@tamuk.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 20-15 Large States, Small States, and the Politics of Cooperation in International Organizations**
Room 10:25 Montrose 4 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, Julia Gray, *University of Pittsburgh*
jgray@pitt.edu
- 10:30 Running with the Big Dogs: How Small States Gain Influence and Maintain Autonomy while Interacting with Strong States**
This paper examines and tests two different models explaining how weaker states interact with stronger states. Weak states with a strategic significance engage in a "bidding march", while those without strategic importance engage in "vote swapping."
Randall Blimes, *Brigham Young University, Hawaii*
blimes@byuh.edu
- 10:50 Measuring the Effectiveness of International Organizations: A Theoretical Approach**
The paper analyzes five considerations, which could prove that, on the whole, international organizations attain their objectives and justify their establishment.
Konstantinos Magliveras, *University of the Aegean*
magliveras@uoi.com
- 11:10 Disc., Julia Gray, University of Pittsburgh**
jgray@pitt.edu
- 11:30 Audience Discussion**
- 21-8 The Politics of Language**
Room 10:25 Salon 12 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, Amy Liu, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
amy.liu@colorado.edu
- 10:30 Conquering Babel in Europe and Africa: Language Nationalization in Comparative Perspective**
A comparison of language strategies for state-building in historical Europe and contemporary Africa. In contrast to nationalizing preferences for statebuilders in Europe, deliberate multilingualism is now a dominant strategy in Africa.
Erica A. Albright, *Bowdoin College*
ealbright@bowdoin.edu
- 10:45 Language Policy, Minority Rights and Citizenship in Post-communist Eurasia**
We examine the new constitutions or constitutional provisions of post-communist countries of Eurasia to determine if they adopted provisions to enable the effective participation of unassimilated language communities in their newly re-founded states.
Kathleen Dowley, *SUNY, New Paltz*
kdowleyk@newpaltz.edu
Brian D. Silver, *Michigan State University*
bsilver@msu.edu
- 11:00 Minority Language Recognition Under Dictatorship**
What are the linguistic effects of electoral authoritarianism under dictatorship? We argue dictatorships that allow for electoral competition are most likely to recognize linguistic diversity. We test the results using a new measurement on 140 samples.
Amy Liu, *University of Colorado, Boulder*
amy.liu@colorado.edu
Jennifer Goodell, *Emory University*
jgoodell2@emory.edu
Curtis M. Bell, *University of Colorado*
curtis.bell@colorado.edu
- 11:15 Political Participation as a Public Good: Language Diversity and Turnout in Africa**
This paper examines the effect of ethno-linguistic diversity on political participation in a subset of African democracies. It argues that participation can be conceived as a kind of "public good" and that diversity does inhibit its provision.
Eric S. McLaughlin, *University of Redlands*
eric_mclaughlin@redlands.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Nikolaos Bizouzas, United States Naval Academy**
bizouzas@usna.edu
Disc., In'ara Nooruddin, *Ohio State University*
nooruddin.36@osu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 22-3 News Media and Campaign Coverage**
Room 10:25 Wilson on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, Todd Mukse, *Radford University*
toddmukse@gmail.com
- 10:30 New Media in State Legislative Elections: Candidate Usage of Twitter and Facebook in the Missouri 2010 Primary Election**
Within the last decade we have seen web pages become an essential tool in state legislative races. We explore to what degree these lower ballot races have adopted the growing tools of Twitter and Facebook, and if so, how are they being used.
Charles M. Hinderliter, *University of South Carolina*
charles.hinderliter@gmail.com
Deirdre McCarthy, *Western Illinois University*
dmccarthy14@gmail.com
- 10:50 Traditional or New Media: Who Calls the Shots During the Campaign?**
This article assesses the importance of the internet compared to traditional media as sources of electoral information during the campaigns and its influences in terms of the audience involvement in the political process.
Rafael Alexandre Navais, *University of Porto*
rnaais@gmail.com
- 11:10 The Effects of Early Voting Campaign News Cycles on Voter Competence**
Using data from the Collaborative Congressional Election Studies for 2006 and 2008 we examine the influence of news coverage in early and non-early voting states on vote choices in gubernatorial and Congressional elections.
Robert M. Stelm, *Rice University*
STEM@RICE.EDU
Johanna Dunaway, *Louisiana State University*
jdunaway@lsu.edu
- 11:30 Disc., James Hertig, University of Kentucky**
jim.hertig@uky.edu
Disc., Allison Clark Pingley, *University of South Carolina, Upstate*
apingley@scupeace.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**

- 23-17 Primary Elections and Reform**
Room Clark 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair,** Seth C. McKee, *University of South Florida, St. Petersburg*
 smckee@mail.usf.edu
- 10:30 Packed Primaries and Empty Caucuses: Voter Turnout in Presidential Nomination Contests**
 I examine whether four rules, the delegate allocation rule, the openness of the contest, the timing of the contest, and the type of the contest, affect voter turnout in presidential primaries and caucuses in the post-reform era
 Caitlin E. Dwyer, *University of Minnesota*
 cdwyer077@umn.edu
- 10:42 Failing at the First Hurdle: Candidate Characteristics and Ballot Access to Primary Elections**
 In this paper we compile a data set of rules governing primary elections in the states to examine the impact such rules have on turnout and the emergence of third party and minority candidates in gubernatorial elections.
 Jackie Ann Filla, *Chatham University*
 jfilla@chatham.edu
 Shana Bowler, *University of California, Riverside*
 shana_bowler@ucr.edu
- 10:54 Primary Voting and General Election Turnout**
 Primary voting in the US shapes general election turnout. States with more restrictive access to voting in primary elections may see lower turnout in the general election by discouraging voters unfamiliar with either party.
 Michael James-Carroll, *Cornell University*
 mj44@cornell.edu
 Alexis Walker, *Cornell University*
 aw44@cornell.edu
- 11:06 Correct Voting in U.S. Presidential Primary Elections**
 Political scientists worry that low turnout and the polarized nature of voters in primary elections threaten democratic representation. I raise another concern: We should expect low levels of correct voting.
 Richard B. Lau, *Rutgers University*
 rick.lau@rci.rutgers.edu
- 11:18 The Structural Determinants of Strategic Voting**
 This is an experimental study of strategic voting within the context of the U.S. presidential primary system.
 Suzanne L. Parker, *Purdue University*
 sparker5@purdue.edu
 Stephanie Spadro, *Purdue University*
 spadro@yahoo.com
 Mark W. Petersen, *Purdue University*
 mw.petersen@gmail.com
 Matthew S. Dubras, *Purdue University*
 mdubras@purdue.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Raymond J. La Raja, University of Massachusetts, Amherst**
 lrj@polsci.umass.edu
Disc., Seth C. McKee, University of South Florida, St. Petersburg
 smckee@mail.usf.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 24-2 Ideology and Policy in Primary Elections**
Room Salon 1 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair,** Seth E. Masket, *University of Denver*
 smasket@du.edu
- 10:30 The Effect of Primary Elections on Polarization in Health Policy Preferences of Legislators**
 This paper examines the conditions under which primary elections and primary election threats can polarize the policy views legislators adopt. Focusing particularly on health policy.
 Hahrie Hab, *Harvard University*
 hhab@post.harvard.edu
- 10:42 Red Tweet, Blue Tweet: The Electoral Influence on Congressional Polarization**
 We employ a new technique based on social media to measure candidate ideology in primary campaigns, and attempt to gain additional leverage on questions about the sources of polarization in Congress.
 Aaron S. King, *Duke University*
 aaron.king@duke.edu
 Francis J. Orlando, *Duke University*
 fjo@duke.edu
 David B. Sparks, *Duke University*
 d.sparks@duke.edu
- 10:54 Game Changer or Mere Announcer? The Emergence of the Tea Party Movement in Senate Republican Primary Races**
 Our project seeks to determine how influential the Tea Party movement has been in senatorial Republican primary races during the 2010 midterms elections.
 Robert Kenneth Sammons, *Northern Arizona University*
 rks65@nau.edu
 Bennett Lowell Grubbs, *Northern Arizona University*
 blg54@nau.edu
- 11:06 Party Unity, Ideological Extremity, and Primary Election Challenges**
 This paper demonstrates that shifts in party unity score are better predictors of primary challengers than a move towards the middle of the ideological spectrum. I also predict challengers affect party unity scores in the next session of Congress.
 Elizabeth C. Sheridan, *Stony Brook University*
 e.c.sheridan@stonybrook.edu
- 11:18 Clowns to the Left of Me, Jokers to the Right, Here I Am...: Tea Party Effects on Campaign Strategy in 2010 Republican Senatorial Primaries**
 At least eleven states have seen GOP primary elections impacted by the Tea Party candidates. It is imperative that our discipline understand how this group has been able to buck traditional assumptions and push candidates to the general election.
 Jeremy Walling, *Southeast Missouri State University*
 jwalling@semo.edu
 William J. Miller, *Southeast Missouri State University*
 wmliller@semo.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Justin Buchler, Case Western Reserve University**
 justin.buchler@case.edu
Disc., Seth E. Masket, University of Denver
 smasket@du.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 25-16 Voters in the Obama Era**
Room Salon 6 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair,** Jeffrey Kraus, *Wagner College*
 jkraus@wagner.edu
- 10:30 Constructing Voting Patterns in Swing States and Northeast States in the 2008 Presidential Election**
 We use cluster analysis to categorize votes in the 2008 presidential election by counties in swing states and in the Northeastern states. Both demographics and changes in partisanship and ideology explain a 23-year trend in US Presidential elections.
 Tim H. Blessing, *Alvernia University*
 tim.blessing@alvernia.edu
 Takele Tessew Mojire, *Alvernia University*
 Takele.Mojire@alvernia.edu
- 10:42 The Changing Demography of Marriage and the Marriage Gap in Voting**
 This paper examines how the changing demography of marriage has impacted the marriage gap in voting in U.S. presidential elections.
 Nicholas A. Felts, *Ohio State University*
 felts.10@polisci.osu.edu
 Herb Weisberg, *Ohio State University*
 weisberg.1@osu.edu

- 10:34 Barack Obama, the Tea Party, and the 2010 Midterm Elections**
An examination of the 2010 midterms as a referendum on the Obama administration, with special attention to his most zealous and motivated opponents, the Tea Party sympathizers, using CSES and other survey and electoral data.
Gary C. Jacobson, *University of California, San Diego*
gjacobson@ucsd.edu
- 11:06 The Obama Effect: Examining President Obama's 2008 Controversy**
By examining the retention of presidential votes at the congressional level, this paper seeks to demonstrate that Obama's contours were relatively short compared to those of previous presidents.
Michael Allen Lewkowicz, *Iowa State University*
mlewkow1@astate.edu
- 11:18 Cosmopolitanism: Attitudes v. Behaviors**
In 2010, we introduced the concept of cosmopolitanism and demonstrated its role in the 2008 election. In this paper, using the same respondents, we operationalize the concept using attitudinal instead of behavioral measures and find similar effects.
Lynn Vavreck, *University of California, Los Angeles*
lvavreck@ucla.edu
Simon Jackman, *Stanford University*
jackman@stanford.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Paul Gronke, Reed College & Penn Commonwealth Trusts**
paul.gronke@reed.edu
- 11:40 Audience Discussion**
- 26-16 Representation: Theory and Empirical Evidence**
Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, David Lublin, *American University*
dlublin@american.edu
- 10:30 To Stand for Another: The Relationship between Descriptive Representation and Policy Congruence**
This paper seeks to examine the relationship between descriptive representation and substantive (policy) representation in the United States.
Amir Shawn Fardosi, *University of Chicago*
amirfardosi@uchicago.edu
- 10:43 Citizen Demand for the Components of Representation**
Bringing the four components of representation (policy, service, allocation, and symbolic) together into a single model of citizen demand using survey experiments that manipulate hypothetical legislator web pages and e-mail messages to constituents.
Jeffrey J. Harden, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
jjharden@unc.edu
- 11:00 African American Representation in the U.S. State Legislatures**
This paper explains variation across the 99 state legislatures in the descriptive representation of African Americans with a focus on the "representation ratio." The data cover all state legislatures (upper and lower houses) from 1970 to 2010.
Ben Highton, *University of California, Davis*
bhighton@ucdavis.edu
Alexander Mayer, *University of California, Davis*
akmayer@ucdavis.edu
- 11:15 Asian American Representation: Are Asian Legislators Surrogate Representatives or Cross-over Legislators?**
This paper examines analytically the behavior of Asian representatives in the American states.
Svenyl Park, *University of Wisconsin, Madison*
spark35@wisc.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Benjamin E. Lauderdale, Harvard University**
blauderdale@gov.harvard.edu
Disc., David Lublin, *American University*
dlublin@american.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 27-2 The Affective Basis of Political Evaluations**
Marshfield on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, Michael W. Gruszczyński, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
mikgruz@huskers.unl.edu
- 10:30 The Anti-partisans: Understanding Partisan Affect Toward Social Groups**
Social identity theories of party identification focus on positive affect; yet partisans can have negative feelings about social groups. I use NES data concerning partisan likes and dislikes to find evidence that negative affect also plays a role.
Jeana Kellures Emery, *SUNY, Binghamton*
jkellures@binghamton.edu
- 10:43 Affective Influences and Correct Voting**
This paper outlines a new approach to voting behavior. The paper shows that correctness of vote choice is strongly influenced by the affective influences on one's environment.
Ceagla Eriksen, *TOBB-University of Economics and Technology*
ceagla.eriksen@gmail.com
Daniel Raymond Cassino, *Fairleigh Dickinson University*
dcassino@fdtu.edu
- 11:00 Influence without Reason: The Role of Group Identity and Emotion in Political Conformity and Polarization**
This paper puts forward, and tests, a new theory of political influence that states that subjective group identification and self-conscious emotions (e.g., pride and shame) are critical to understanding political conformity and polarization.
Elizabeth Suhay, *Lafayette College*
suhayez@lafayette.edu
- 11:15 He's Just Not Like Me: Emotional Identification and the Importance of Out-group Perceptions in the 2008 Presidential Election**
Examines the importance of out-group evaluations in determining how individuals felt about Obama, and how this translated into vote choice. Affective evaluations of Obama were influenced by several factors, including the acceptance of out-groups.
Jason Andrew Wood, *University of Cincinnati*
woodja@ucmail.uc.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Michael W. Gruszczyński, University of Nebraska, Lincoln**
mikgruz@huskers.unl.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 27-16 The Effects of Partisanship on Perceptual Biases**
Monrize 3 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, John Brehm, *University of Chicago*
jbrehm@uchicago.edu
- 10:30 Information, Evaluative Motivation, and Partisan Perceptual Biases**
Research suggests that information may promote both partisan bias and greater reliance on objective reality in perceptual judgments. Using ANES data, I demonstrate that information may lead to bias mainly among those high in the need to evaluate.
Christopher Michael Federico, *University of Minnesota*
cfederic@umn.edu
- 10:45 Partisan Hearts, Biased Minds: The Implicit Association Underlying Partisanship and Sophistication**
On a party IAT, partisans show valenced associations with parties while sophisticates show belief associations between issues and parties. Implicit associations underlie motivated reasoning and hot cognition among partisans and sophisticates.
Alexander Kalashchewitz, *Rice University*
alekks@rice.edu
- 11:00 Follow the Spots vs. Routine for the Team: The Multiple Paths to Political Sophistication**
Public opinion scholarship rests on two dominant, yet discrepant, paradigms of political sophistication. This study distinguishes political "followers" from "workers," and shows how accuracy and partisan motivations jointly shape mass perceptions.

- 11:15** **Drinking of an Elephant for a Donkey: The Short-term Impact of a Partisan Prime on Economic Assessment**
 This paper presents results from a survey experiment designed to examine the effect of a partisan prime on divergent economic assessment by partisans and to ascertain whether these effects reflect "cherry-picking" or are genuine in nature.
 Alexander George Thomforde, *University of California, Berkeley*
 alexthomforde@berkeley.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Doug-Greg Mitchell, University of Nebraska, Lincoln**
 dmitchel12@unl.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 28-15** **Diversity, Disagreement and Social Trust**
 Room Millenium Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Jens Peter Frølund Thomson, Aarhus University**
 jfr@und@ps.au.dk
- 10:30** **The Consequences of Political Disagreement in Interpersonal Communication: New Insights from a Comparative Perspective**
 Studies have shown that political disagreement in interpersonal discussion can increase ambivalence and decrease political participation. This paper extends the literature to European cases allowing for more detailed tests of the causal processes.
 David Nicolas Hopmann, *University of Southern Denmark*
 dnh@sam.sdu.dk
- 10:50** **Becoming diverse: Ethnic heterogeneity and social trust in Denmark from 1990 until today**
 Using Danish individual-level data on social trust from 1980 until today coupled with ethnic diversity at the municipality level, this study analyzes if and how citizens' social trust changes as their surroundings becomes increasingly diverse.
 Kim Mikkelsen Sørensen, *Aarhus University*
 ks@ps.au.dk
- 11:10** **Effects of Diversity on Generalized Trust: Attitudes Among Romanian Migrants in Italy and Spain**
 Our paper evaluates the relationship between diversity (neighborhood and relationships) and generalized trust among Romanian migrants in Italy and Spain controlling for time in country, trust in institutions, presence of family and demographics.
 Paul E. Sun, *University of North Dakota*
 paul.sun@und.edu
 Gabriel Balescu, *Babeş-Bolyai University*
 balescu@jmsu.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Royan M. Parsons, University of Tennessee, Martin**
 rparsons@utm.edu
- Disc., Jens Peter Frølund Thomson, Aarhus University**
 jfr@und@ps.au.dk
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 28-34** **Polarization**
 Room Salon 9 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Marc J. Hetherington, Vanderbilt University**
 marc.j.hetherington@vanderbilt.edu
- 10:30** **Partisan Polarization on Abortion: The Elite-Mass Linkage**
 This research introduces a novel and theoretically defensible measure of political polarization. It then examines the relationship between abortion polarization at the mass level vis-a-vis abortion polarization at the elite level, 1978-2004.
 Donald M. Gooch, *Arkansas Tech University*
 dgooch@ata.edu
- 10:45** **Approval Gaps and Partisan Polarization in the American Electorate, 1968-2008**
 We study the differences in the dynamics of presidential approval among groups of Americans defined by gender, race, income, marital status, income, education level, and region.
- Matthew J. Lebo, Stony Brook University**
 matthew.lebo@stonybrook.edu
- Ellen Meredith Key, Stony Brook University**
 ellen.key@stonyb.edu
- Heather Lamin O'ndercin, Louisiana State University**
 ondercin@lsu.edu
- Christopher Weber, Louisiana State University**
 cweber@lsu.edu
- 11:00** **Elite Beliefs: How Intermediaries Influence Deliberative Discourse and the Effects on Participatory Democracy**
 Interpretation of elite messages by leaders of social networks decreases deliberative democracy and polarizes individual opinions. My evidence suggests polarization increases participation but also limits informed democratic discourse.
 Lisaola James McKinley, *Purdue University*
 lmckinl@purdue.edu
- 11:15** **Reconsidering Group Polarization: The Influence of Peers in Deliberative Opinion Change**
 The subset of peers with whom each participant engages is more predictive of final attitudes than overall group pre-deliberation measures. Group polarization is therefore likely more adequately understood as a consequence of peer influence.
 Seth Jeremy Westwood, *Stanford University*
 sethwj@stanford.edu
 Solomon Messing, *Stanford University*
 messing@stanford.edu
- 11:30** **Disc., Marc J. Hetherington, Vanderbilt University**
 marc.j.hetherington@vanderbilt.edu
- Disc., Candis S. Wertz, Duke University**
 csw5@duke.edu
- 11:50** **Audience Discussion**
- 29-100** **Authors Meet Critics: 'The Decline of the Death Penalty and the Discovery of Innocence' (CUP, 2008) by Frank R. Baumgartner, Suzanne De Boef, and Amber Boydston**
 Room Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 Authors Meet Critics: 'The Decline of the Death Penalty and the Discovery of Innocence' (CUP, 2008) by Frank R. Baumgartner, Suzanne De Boef, and Amber Boydston
- Chair** **Stefano Walgrave, University of Antwerp**
 stefano.walgrave@ua.ac.be
- Panelist** **Suzanne Lina De Boef, Penn State University**
 slln@psu.edu
- Amber Ellen Boydston, University of California, Davis**
 aboydston@ucdavis.edu
- Frank R. Baumgartner, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill**
 frankb@unc.edu
- Robert S. Erikson, Columbia University**
 rs14@columbia.edu
- Mark S. Hurwitz, Western Michigan University**
 mark.hurwitz@wmich.edu
- Dana Stralovitch, University of Minnesota**
 ds2@umn.edu
- 30-17** **Institutions and Actors: Equality, Representation and Gender**
 Room Salon 4 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 **Chair, Jennifer Carrell Lucas, Santa Anselm College**
 jcarrel@anselm.edu
- 10:30** **The Determinants of Wage Equality Policy**
 This paper seeks to determine the political, societal and/or supranational influences on the adoption of wage equality policy in advanced industrial parliamentary democracies.
 Amy L. Atchison, *Polytechnic University*
 amy.atchison@valpo.edu
- 10:42** **From Candidates to Members: Faded Hopes of Compliance**
 The paper explores government compliance with EU pressures to enforce gender equality Directives in domestic politics in pre-

- accession and post-accession periods. The paper focuses on 10 post-communist states granted EU accession in 2004 and 2007.
Olga A. Avdeyeva, *Loyola University, Chicago*
oavdeyeva@luc.edu
- 10:54 **The Effects of Electoral Rules on Gender Representations: An Artefact of the Gain or of the Parties?**
This paper maps change in women's representation in the German Bundestag. In short, we analyze whether growth in representation has been faster under majoritarian or proportional tiers, but also in the interaction patterns between the two tiers.
Christina Eder, *GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences*
christina.eder@gesis.org
Jessica Fortin, *GESIS - Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften*
jessica.fortin@gesis.org
- 11:06 **What Women Want: Global Decentralization and Women's Empowerment**
This paper examines whether decentralization reforms in the United Kingdom, Poland, and Pakistan have matched 'what women want' in terms of self-reported policy priorities of women's organizations.
Meg E. Riecker, *Purdue University, Calumet*
mriecker@calumet.purdue.edu
- 11:18 **Institutional Change and Sacrificed Lamb: Explaining the Underperformance of Female Candidates to the Brazilian Chamber of Deputies in 2010**
This paper explores why recent changes to the Brazilian women's quota law were not borne out in October 2010 legislative elections, arguing that institutional remedies are necessary but insufficient agents for increasing the representation of women
Kristin Noella Wylie, *University of Texas, Austin*
knwylie@mail.utexas.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Swraj Jacob** James Madison University
jacobsr@jmu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 32-8 **Plato on Money, Legislation, Difference, and Knowledge**
Room Ashland on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Thomas E. Doyle** University of Denver
tdoyle7@du.edu
- 10:34 **Summers, Difference and Dominance in Book V of Plato's Republic: A Lesson in synthesis for contemporary feminism?**
Alison Jaggar notes that feminists who revise canonical texts are primarily interested in ruckraking. What if feminists turned to these texts in a different spirit? What, if anything, might contemporary feminism glean from Plato's Republic Book V?
Lorna Norman Bracewell, *University of Florida*
ltracewell@ufl.edu
- 10:45 **The Art of Legislative Persuasion: Rousseau's Modern Reflection on Plato's Classical Teaching**
This essay demonstrates that Rousseau's conception of persuasion as the legislator's most important skill ("to persuade without convincing") is Platonic in character.
Brent Edwin Custer, *Rhodes College*
custerbe@rhodes.edu
- 11:00 **The Art of the Money-maker and Plato's Republic**
This paper focuses on the status of the money-making art and desire for profit in respect to Plato's Republic, uncovering the repeated eruption of this desire, more or less distorted, within the paradigmatic story of philosophy and the city.
David Jeremy Hart, *West Texas A&M University*
dhart@wtamu.edu
- 11:15 **Knowing Theatetus**
A reading of the Theatetus that shows the original question of how we know the character of Theatetus and the question of whether Theatetus himself is knowing and wise reflect upon the question of what knowledge is.
Andrew Scott Herrault, *California State University, Sacramento*
nherrault@csus.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Reem Abou-Samra** Wayne State University
reem.abou@wayne.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 33-13 **Power and (Individual) Freedom**
Room LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Jason S. Maloy** Oklahoma State University
maloyj@okstate.edu
- 10:30 **On the Construction and Deconstruction of Liberalism: Themes of Individualism and Community in John Locke and Jean-Jacques Namy**
Using the interpretive themes of possessive individualism and communitarianism, I argue that Locke's position is best characterized as "semiotic individualism." I then compare this view to Namy's reflection on the "imperative community."
Bruno Anfil Sussangkarn, *University*
anfil@susqu.edu
- 10:50 **J.S. Mill's Feminism and the Third Dimension of Power**
A look at J. S. Mill's The Subjection of Women using Steven Lukes' concept of power with emphasis upon what Lukes identifies as the third dimension of power.
Katherine E. L. Hunt, *University of Nebraska, Lincoln*
katherineelhunt@gmail.com
- 11:10 **Bernard Mandeville and the Rise of Material Interest**
This paper examines the emergence of the notion of "material interest" in the eighteenth century, focusing particularly on the work of Bernard Mandeville
Daniel Luban, *University of Chicago*
dluban@uchicago.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Steven A. Keltz** George Washington University
keltzs@gwu.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 34-18 **Sovereignty and Post-sovereignty**
Room Montrose 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Jon D. Carlson** University of California, Merced
jcarlsonj@umcmerced.edu
- 10:30 **Humanitarian Intervention as Freedom Promotion?**
This paper argues that humanitarian military intervention can be justified only when this, on balance, decreases the vulnerability of individuals to domination, and it contends that this condition is rarely met
Barbara Buckton, *Goethe University, Frankfurt*
Barbara_Buckton@brown.edu
- 10:50 **Fighting Back Against Domination: Republican Citizenship and Unbounded Reciprocity**
This paper argues that unbounded reciprocity can help reinvigorate republican citizenship to help individuals fight back against domination in an age of globalization.
John William Maynor, *Middle Tennessee State University*
jmaynor@mtsu.edu
- 11:10 **Karl Marx and the State: Two Variations of Strict Political Cosmopolitanism Revisited**
The paper revisits and compares two variations of cosmopolitan political thought from two very different periods: the Soviets and Karl Marx. It is argued that both offer versions of "strict" cosmopolitanism that transcend the "politics of place".
Lars P. Rensmann, *University of Michigan*
rensmanl@umich.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Joseph Stanley Kochanek** Harvard University
kochanek@fas.harvard.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion

35-3 Deliberative Democracy: "Data" from the Field

Room LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am

10:25 Chair, Jeffrey Kraus, Wagner College
jkraus@wagner.edu

10:30 Deliberation, Decision, and Execution: Can Mini-Publics Influence Big Political Choices?

On urgent issues deference to elites will take precedence over democratic deliberation absent broader political forces at moments of decision. A deliberative democracy hinges on democratic responsiveness and the constitution of public spiritedness.

Jessamy A. Janow, University of Maryland
jjanow@gvprn.umd.edu

10:50 If and When Participation becomes Deliberative: The Relationship Between Participatory and Deliberative Democracy

I take a critical perspective on case studies of public participation in policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation. Are these processes and practices deliberative? Do they fulfill the deliberative ideal? Where do they fall short?

Genevieve Fuji Johnson, Simon Fraser University
Genevieve.Johnson@sfu.ca

11:10 A Test of Deliberative Democratic Theory: Deliberation in Grassroots Organizations

The paper applies widely accepted norms of democratic deliberation to transcripts of meetings in grassroots groups, to demonstrate the extent to which democratic deliberation exists in the ongoing processes of political community-based organizations.

Lara Ruseh, University of Michigan, Dearborn
lruseh@umdl.umich.edu

Heldi J. Swartz, Rutgers University, Newark
swartz@andromeda.rutgers.edu

11:30 Disc., Daniel Orion Davis, Ohio State University
davis.2397@osu.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion

36-16 States of and Sovereignty

Room Bushington on the 5th floor, Sun at 10:25 am

10:25 Chair, Jorge Manuel Valadez, Our Lady of the Lake University
jvaladez@lake.ollusa.edu

10:30 The EU Jurisprudence of the German Constitutional Court: A Judicial Smoke Screen for the Evisceration of Democracy by the Integration Process

The paper examines the EU jurisprudence of the German constitutional court, arguing that it fails to achieve its stated goal of protecting sovereignty. A mismatch between rhetoric and practice camouflages the evisceration of democracy by integration.

Axel Hoyerer, Columbia University
ah2445@columbia.edu

10:50 Privatization of War and the Reasonable Chance of Success

This paper examines the effect of private force on the jus ad bellum principle of reasonable chance of success.

Amy E. Eckert, Metropolitan State College of Denver
eckert@gmail.com

11:10 Illegitimate Authority: An Historical Case Study of the Provisional Irish Republican Army and the Catholic Community of Northern Ireland

This article analyzes the PIRA's claim to legitimate authority in the Catholic community of Northern Ireland. Using an updated definition of the just war principle, it is shown that the PIRA's authority was actually illegitimate.

Christopher D. Mercado, Queen's University of Belfast
cmercado@qub.edu

11:30 Discussion, JAI

11:50 Audience Discussion

37-17 Clientelism, Justice and Security

Room LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am

10:25 Chair, Tiberiu Dragu, University of Illinois, Urbana Champaign
tdragu@illinois.edu

10:30 A Unified Theory of Anticentrist Security: A Synthesis of Hobbes, Confucius, and Descartes to Extract the Biological, Social, and Political Inputs to Human Survival and Prosperity

Anticentrist Security takes living individuals as fundamental, defining security as "Prolonging Life, Postponing Death", with roots in Hobbes, who minimized natural society, and two neglected biological and societal security components and inputs.

Robert Bedesh, University of Victoria
rbedesh@uvic.ca

10:45 Competitive Clientelism: The Political Effects of Intra-party Competition

In this article we present a model of competitive clientelism that departs from previous theories on clientelism by explicitly considering party brokers as political representatives with their own goals and agency.

Edwin Joseph William Camp, Yale University
edwin.camp@yale.edu

Marika Sawransburg, University of Chicago
marika@uchicago.edu

11:00 Aristotle's Politics on Corporate Governance: In Pursuit of the Common Good

Constituents of large corporations, mainly serving one factor (usually equity), are deeply flawed (unjust). The paper offers Aristotle's mixed constitution with its associated laws as a model to advance the interests of multiple stakeholders.

Krishna J. Adha, Indian Institute of Management, Kochi
kjadha@gmail.com

11:15 The Inquisitor Judge's Dilemma

We address the long-standing judicial debate over inquisitorial and adversarial procedures in criminal trials, focusing on the incentives to collect evidence of a defendant's guilt and innocence.

Keisuke Nakao, University of Hawaii, Hilo
keisoken@hawaii.edu

Maatsubi Tomogari, Keio University
tsuama@econ.keio.ac.jp

11:30 Disc., Tiberiu Dragu, University of Illinois, Urbana Champaign
tdragu@illinois.edu

Disc., Rainer Schwabe, Northwestern University
r.schwabe@kelllogg.northwestern.edu

11:50 Audience Discussion

38-12 Measurement and Scaling

Room Salon 8 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am

10:25 Chair, Kenneth R. Bould, Trinity College, Dublin
kbould@tdc.ie

10:30 Reflections on the Color Wheel

We consider the general problem of scaling similarity data in a Bayesian framework. We show how to obtain configurations representing the stimuli using the absolute minimum number of constraints on the data.

Ryan Bakker, University of Georgia
rbakker@uga.edu

Keith T. Poole, University of California, San Diego
kpoole@ucsd.edu

10:40 An Improved Method of Scaling: Taking Individual Heterogeneity into Account

We take the scaling method by Aldrich and McKelvey as a starting point but separate parameters in a Bayesian framework, which allows us to model individual and party specific covariates

- Thomas J. Brauneinger, University of Mannheim**
thomas.brauneinger@uni-mannheim.de
- Nathalie Giger, University of Mannheim**
nathalie.giger@zoes.uni-mannheim.de
- 10:50 Bootstrap Confidence Regions for Multidimensional Scaling Solutions**
We present a bootstrap resampling strategy for constructing confidence regions in multidimensional scaling (MDS) solutions. This strategy is useful for many MDS models, and it overcomes limitations encountered in earlier inference strategies for MDS.
William G. Jacoby, Michigan State University
jjacoby@msu.edu
Dave A. Armstrong, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee
armstrodd@uwvm.edu
- 11:00 Building Coherent Models of Legislative Behavior: Meaningful Predictors for Prior Distributions**
Incorporating predictors into prior distributions of legislative (and other) item-response models allows theoretically grounded and coherent interpretation of results. Missing-at-random predictor data is handled by imputation in the model algorithm.
Michael Malecki, Columbia University
malecki@gmail.com
- 11:30 Nonparametric Identification of the Item Response Model**
I consider the nonparametric identification of the item response model. I show that if the shape of the distribution of the error term is common across items or if the ideal points are multidimensional, the ideal points are identified.
Michael Press, University of Rochester
mpress@mail.rochester.edu
- 11:30 The Challenges of Measuring Deliberative Deliberation**
Focus is on the measuring problems of deliberative democracy. Evaluating an index of deliberation, it asks whether it is at all possible to measure deliberation using an index, and, if so, how the different index components relate to each other.
Makna Rosen Sandstrom, Malmo University
makna.sandstrom@gmail.se
- 11:30 Disc., Will Lowe, Maastricht University**
wconjugator@gmail.com
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 39-3 Room**
10:25 Citizens, Representatives and Social Media
Chicago on the 5th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, Christine B. Williams, Bentley University
cwilliams@bentley.edu
- 10:30 Members of Congress and Twitter: Are They "Following" the Trend?**
The potential of social media to enhance the voice of politics has triggered utopian predictions. This research explores whether the interaction between Senators and citizens through Twitter changed the established communication patterns.
Daniel Halpern, Rutgers University
halperndaniel@gmail.com
- 10:45 Leadership and Persuasion**
We use an online field experiment to examine whether and how members of Congress persuade and mobilize constituents.
David Lazer, Northeastern University
dlazer@gmail.com
Michael A. Neblo, Ohio State University
neblo.1@osu.edu
Kevin M. Esterling, University of California, Riverside
kevin.esterling@ucr.edu
- 11:00 Parliamentarians, Blogs, and Political Engagement: An Analysis of the Catalonia Parliament**
Content analysis of the blogs of the members of Catalonia Parliament one month before election day and one month before the election call. Blog analysis shows the changes in the relationship between political representatives and citizens.
- Jose Manuel Pérez Tornero, Autonomous University of Barcelona**
jtornero12@gmail.com
Santiago Giraldo, Autonomous University of Barcelona
santiago.giraldo@uab.cat
Laura Cervi, Autonomous University, Barcelona
laura.cervi@uab.cat
Nuria Fernández García, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain
nuria.fernandez@uab.cat
- 11:15 Social Media in the 2010 Congressional Election**
This paper will examine the role of Facebook, YouTube and Twitter in the 2010 midterm elections. It compares adoption rates and usage by congressional candidates through counts, content analysis and interviews with campaign staff.
Christine D. Williams, Bentley University
cwilliams@bentley.edu
Guth Jefferson Gault, Bentley University
ggault@bentley.edu
- 11:30 Disc., Eoster Hargittai, Northwestern University**
mpsa@hargittai.com
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**
- 40-13 Room**
10:25 Organization and History in Interest Group Studies
Logan on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
Chair, David L. Lowery, University of Leiden
dlowery@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
- 10:30 The Political Consequences of Internal Dissent in Advocacy Groups**
Taking advantage of disagreement within the A.M.A. during the health care reform debate, I examine the political consequences of internal dissent using two survey experiments embedded in the 2010 Cooperative Congressional Election Study
Maryann Barakoa, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
mbarakoa@polsci.umass.edu
- 10:45 Is "Organizational Form" a Useful Concept for Studying Interest Group Populations?**
The paper argues that a concept like 'organizational form', which has been used in the party literature, provides a useful tool to study variation among interest group organizations.
Darren Halpin, Aarhus University
darren@ps.au.dk
- 11:00 Hybrid Activism: Social Movement Mobilization in a Multi-movement Environment**
Drawing upon over 3,000 surveys of anti-war demonstrators, this paper argues that organizations that hybridize the concerns of multiple social movements are vital to the process of social movement mobilization.
Michael T. Heaney, University of Michigan
mheaney@umich.edu
- 11:15 Revisiting Niche Theory: Interest Organizations and Their Environment**
This paper examines the multiple uses of the niche concept in the study of interest groups. It recognizes conceptual differences and offers suggestions as to how the concept might be more usefully deployed in pursuit of broader theoretical agendas.
McGee Young, Marquette University
mcgee.young@marquette.edu
Holly Flynn-Piercy, Marquette University
holly.flynn-piercy@marquette.edu
- 11:30 Disc., David L. Lowery, University of Leiden**
dlowery@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
- 11:50 Audience Discussion**

- 41-3** **Controlling the Bureaucracy**
 Room: Herouck Taylor on the 6th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Jeffrey M. Burman, *Georgetown University*
 Burmanj@georgetown.edu
- 10:30** **The Central Clearance Game: OIRA and Regulatory Auditing**
 We study the dilemma modern presidents face in managing the quantity and scope of federal regulations. We use a formal model and empirical test to demonstrate the influence of ideology and regulatory impact on presidents' decisions to review rules.
 Charles M. Cameron, *Princeton University*
 cameronc@princeton.edu
 Alex Aza, *Princeton University*
 aaza@princeton.edu
- 10:45** **Agency Politicization, Personnel Turnover and Bureaucratic Expertise**
 Using a new method for estimating bureaucratic preferences, we show that ideologically extreme U.S. federal agencies experience large ideological shifts under new presidents. These shifts result from greater personnel turnover in those agencies.
 Jewel Chen, *University of Michigan*
 jewel@umich.edu
 Tim Johnson, *Stanford University*
 timj@stanford.edu
- 11:00** **The President in Various Policy Arenas**
 This paper utilizes a dataset that tracks presidential success in the legislative and regulatory arenas to test whether the President can successfully pursue his agenda in the bureaucracy when Congress is hostile.
 Erik Klaji Godwin, *Texas A&M University*
 egodwin@politics.tamu.edu
 Nathan Herton, *University of Central Florida*
 nherton@mail.ucf.edu
- 11:15** **Governing Alone and with Partners: Presidential Governance in a Post-NPM Environment**
 The emergence of a post-NPM environment characterized by loosely constructed networks and a surge of government activity has evolved to change the tools needed to govern.
 Chad B. Newswander, *University of South Dakota*
 ChadNewswander@usd.edu
 John Christopher Bumgarner, *Virginia Tech University*
 jrc279@gmail.com
- 11:30** Disc., Jeffrey M. Burman, *Georgetown University*
 Burmanj@georgetown.edu
 Disc., Erik Klaji Godwin, *Texas A&M University*
 egodwin@politics.tamu.edu
- 11:50** Audience Discussion
- 42-14** **Moderate Legislators in a Partisan Congress**
 Room: Salon 7 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Scott Schraufnagel, *Northern Illinois University*
 schrau@sniu.edu
- 10:30** **Retainers, Renegades and Misfits: Reconsidering the Power of Congressional Member Organizations in the U.S. House, 1995-2019**
 The House relies on party leaders to manage conflict and govern the chamber responsibly. This paper examines two preference minorities—the Blue Dogs and the Republican Study Committee—for their capacity to advocate or thwart their parent caucuses.
 Christine Degregorio, *American University*
 cdegreg@americanu.edu
- 10:45** **Moderate Conflict and Legislative Productivity: The Role of Third Parties**
 The research suggests landmark legislative accomplishment is most likely to occur under conditions of moderate major-party conflict in Congress. Moreover, viable third parties can be expected to moderate legislative conflict.
- Lawrence C. Dodd, *University of Florida*
 ladd@polisci.ufl.edu
 Scott Schraufnagel, *Northern Illinois University*
 schrau@sniu.edu
 Johnathan Caleb Peterson, *Northern Illinois University*
 jpeterson10@niu.edu
- 11:00** **Blue Dog Dynamics: The Median and the Development of Sub-Party Intrafactions in Congress**
 When and why do moderates accede to their party's more extreme agenda? We argue that the ways in which centrist legislators seek to resolve this collective action problem affects the insitutional terrain and electoral strategies moderates pursue.
 Adrienne L. Hosok, *University of California, Berkeley*
 ahosok@berkeley.edu
 Seth Bluch Rubin, *University of California, Berkeley*
 bluchrubin@berkeley.edu
- 11:15** **Who Rebelled? An Analysis of the Motivations of the Republicans Who Voted Against Speaker Cannon**
 We do not have a clear understanding why the Republican insurgents revolted against Speaker Cannon in 1910. Using data gathered from Cannon's personal papers and other sources, we systematically explore disparate explanations for the rebellion.
 Susan Marie Miller, *Ohio State University*
 miller.5615@osu.edu
 Peveril Squire, *University of Missouri*
 sqairep@missouri.edu
- 11:30** Disc., Daniel Bowen, *College of New Jersey*
 bowead@cnj.edu
 Disc., Scott Schraufnagel, *Northern Illinois University*
 schrau@sniu.edu
- 11:50** Audience Discussion
- 42-25** **Lawmaking in Cooperative Legislatures**
 Room: Clark 7 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
 10:25 Chair, Markus Stephan Tepe, *University of Oldenburg*
 markus.tepe@uni-oldenburg.de
- 10:30** **Lawmaking for a New Nation: The Canadian House of Commons, 1867-1904**
 We analyze legislative voting in the first nine Canadian Parliaments (1867-1904).
 Jean-François Godbout, *University of Montreal*
 jean-francois.godbout@umontreal.ca
 Bjorn Hoyland, *University of Oslo*
 bjorn.hoyland@stv.uio.no
- 10:45** **Modeling Financial Market Rescue in Germany: A Case for Game Theory AND Bounded Rationality**
 The paper analyzes decision processes of coalitions of policy makers in the policy arena of the rescue of German financial markets. The crisis of the financial markets in 2008 led to unique coalitions within the political administrative system.
 Simon Daniel Hegelieb, *Swiss Network of Graduate Schools*
 Simon.Hegelieb@uni-muenster.de
 Corinna Fraune, *Münster University - Graduate School of Politics*
 corinna.fraune@uni-muenster.de
- 11:00** **Taming Theories of Lawmaking in the 6th European Parliament? How Parliamentary Negotiations on Legislative Proposals Work**
 Standard models cannot locate bills in the latent space. We utilize the voting sequence to overcome this problem. We study the effect of procedural rules on lawmaking in the EP which privilege party groups and restrict the power of the median MP.
 Dirk Junge, *University of Mannheim*
 djunge@wfi1.uni-mannheim.de
 Daniel Fritke, *University of Heidelberg*
 daniel.fritke@uni-heidelberg.de

- 11:15 **Agenda Control in Fragmented Legislatures**
Legislative political composition will affect the majority/plurality party's effectiveness in setting the agenda and its legislative success depending on parties' level of fragmentation and party cohesion.
Martina Paola Lucalle, *University of Houston*
mpalcal@uh.edu
- 11:30 Disc., **Dirk Juerg**, *University of Mannheim*
djuerg@mail.uni-mannheim.de
Disc., **Markus Stephan Tepe**, *University of Oldenburg*
markus.tepe@uni-oldenburg.de
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 44-101 Author Meets Critics: Anna Law's The Immigration Battle in American Courts**
Room Spire Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
A discussion about Anna Law's THE IMMIGRATION BATTLE IN AMERICAN COURTS
Chair **Mark A. Graber**, *University of Maryland*
mgraber@law.umaryland.edu
Panelist **Sanna Haara**, *University of Georgia*
canshaicr@uga.edu
Shrawli Rana, *University of Maryland*
SRana@law.umaryland.edu
Anna Law, *DePaul University*
alaw1@depaul.edu
- 45-16 Courts and the Bureaucracy**
Room Indiana on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, **Isaac J. Umah**, *University of North Carolina*
umah@unc.edu
10:30 **Hand-stopping and Obedience: The Impact of the U.S. Courts of Appeals in Administrative Agency Cases**
Scholars have long considered the extent to which objects of court decisions are compliant. We consider administrative agencies: What action do these less visible bodies take when handed an adverse ruling from the U.S. Court of Appeals?
Sara C. Benesh, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
sbenesh@uwm.edu
Kimberly Jane Rice, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
kjr14@uwm.edu
- 10:45 **Delegation and Democracy: Courts, Administrators, and Legislative Specificity**
The paper investigates whether the legislative choice of private enforcement regimes, as opposed to bureaucracy, is associated with greater legislative specificity in regulatory policymaking.
Sean Farhang, *University of California, Berkeley*
farhang@berkeley.edu
- 11:00 **Identifying Shifts in Judicial Deference to Administrative Agencies over Time**
The impact of *Chevron v. NRDC* in administrative law is widely debated; yet few scholars examine whether shifts in judicial review of agencies occurred at other points in time. I utilize a Bayesian changepoint model to examine these potential shifts.
Michael P. Fla, *University of South Carolina*
mpfla1@gmail.com
- 11:15 **A Legal Model of Judicial Deference to Administrative Agencies: Review of Federal Agency Decisions in the U.S. Courts of Appeals**
Consistent with judges' claims, a well-specified legal model of judicial deference suggests that judges in the U.S. Courts of Appeals decide cases based on law, rather than ideology, when reviewing federal agency decisions.
Jerry D. Thomas, *Chicago-Kent College of Law*
jerrythomas100@gmail.com
- 11:30 Disc., **Robert M. Howard**, *Georgia State University*
rph004@gsu.edu
Disc., **Robert J. Hume**, *Fordham University*
rhume@fordham.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 45-31 Institutional Change in State Court Systems**
Room Parlor G on the 6th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, **Salmon A. Shumate**, *University of New Orleans*
sshumate@uno.edu
10:30 **The Diffusion of Merit Selection Across the American States**
We use survival analysis to examine whether the spread of merit selection systems for state courts of last resort is better explained by theories of policy innovation occurring within states or by theories of policy diffusion occurring across states.
Jeffrey Hudziak, *Ohio State University*
hudziak.1@osu.edu
Allen Kyriakos, *Ohio State University*
kyriakos.1@osu.edu
- 10:45 **Informal State Constitutional Changes: An Exploration of Patterns and Explanations**
Informal state constitutional change is underexplored. State Attorney General opinions can affect how others understand what is constitutionally permissible. This paper collects data, identifies patterns, and considers possible explanations.
Jonathan Paul Euehner, *Missouri Western State University*
euehner@missouriwestern.edu
- 11:00 **Judicial Professionalism and Judicial Reform in U.S. State Court Systems, 1995-2005**
In this paper, I propose a new measure for judicial professionalization suited for analyzing new judicial reforms and describe its characteristics from 1995 to 2005. I then test its validity for explaining the adoption of problem-solving courts.
Tracy L. R. Lightcap, *LaGrange College*
TLIGHTCAP@LAGRANGE.EDU
- 11:15 **Voices and the Making of a Progressive State Court: Ohio, 1900-1916**
Based on extensive research in Ohio judicial politics during the Progressive Era, I argue that voters actively transformed their state courts in the period to render them more sympathetic to the progressive agenda and judicial reforms.
William James Manserow, *Curry College*
wmans@10904@curry.edu
- 11:30 Disc., **Robert C. Bradley**, *Illinois State University*
rbradley@ilstu.edu
Disc., **Salmon A. Shumate**, *University of New Orleans*
sshumate@uno.edu
- 11:50 Audience Discussion
- 46-17 Governors and State Politics**
Room Burnham I on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 Chair, **Margaret R. Ferguson**, *Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis*
mfergus@iupui.edu
10:30 **Unilateral Power in the Governor's Office: Beyond Executive Orders**
We examine sources of unilateral power that enable governors to make policy outside the legislative arena.
Margaret R. Ferguson, *Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis*
mfergus@iupui.edu
Joseph James Fey, *University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee*
joseph.fey@uw.edu
- 10:45 **In Absentia: An Examination of State Policy on gubernatorial Succession**
Potentially destabilizing challenges in state government can occur when succession issues are not carefully addressed. The

- philosophical underpinnings of state succession policy can shed light on the legislative/executive policymaking continuum.
Dwight D. Gadley, *Auburn University*
DDG0002@auburn.edu
- 11:00 **Measuring Gubernatorial Power: A New Approach**
In this project we present a new measurement approach to estimating gubernatorial power. In addition to presenting new gubernatorial power scores, we use these scores to replicate existing studies that focus on gubernatorial power.
Yanna Krupnikov, *Indiana University*
ykrupnik@indiana.edu
Charles H. Shipan, *University of Michigan*
cshipan@umich.edu
- 11:15 **An Explanation of Executive Clemency: Motivations and Contexts**
This paper builds a more explanatory theory of executive clemency. Clemency is largely dependent on the political and social context of the crime and the policy motivations of the chief executive.
Jack McGuire, *Potsdam College*
mcguirjp@potdam.edu
David Bagg, *SONY, Potsdam*
huggd@potdam.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Jack McGuire, Potsdam College**
mcguirjp@potdam.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 47-5 **From Parks to Pathways: The Politics of Local Services**
Room Madison on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Gary Arnes Mattson, Northern Kentucky University**
mattsong1@nku.edu
- 10:30 **The Effects of Institutional Arrangements in Local Water Supply Services: The Case of Korea**
This paper examines the effects of different institutional arrangements on cost savings, efficiency gains, productivity, economies of scale, and factor substitutability in delivering local water supply services in Korea.
Sahn Baek, *Sungkyunkwan University*
baes@skku.edu
Hoon-Gil Jeong, *Sungkyunkwan University*
jeonghw@hotnail.com
- 10:42 **Building Communities Through Progress: Where Residents are Satisfied With Locally Provided Public Goods and Services**
The main result is to generalize the concept of citizen satisfaction to a model of utility using quasi-linear preferences allowing for a willingness to substitute public for private provision of local government services.
Allen B. Brerly, *University of Northern Iowa*
A.Brerly@uni.edu
- 10:54 **Park Distribution in Detroit: Equity Analysis and Progressive Policy**
This is an analysis of the geographic distribution of public parks and playgrounds in the city of Detroit, Michigan in reference to population, race, income, and age distribution.
Nichole Marie Fifer, *Wayne State University*
daf368@wayne.edu
- 11:06 **The Distributive Politics of Pathways**
Using a novel dataset of more than 10,000 pothole complaints in one of America's largest cities, we examine whether re-election minded officials use public resources to reward their supporters or to win over swing voters.
Vladimir Kogan, *University of California, San Diego*
vkogan@ucsd.edu
Craig Mitchell Burnett, *Appalachian State University*
burnettc@ajpsu.edu
- 11:18 **U.S. Local Public Library Governance: Does Form Affect Function and Service?**
With over 9,221 local libraries in the United States having different governance structures and levels of autonomy, local public libraries offer an insight about the impacts of governance forms on the functions and funding of library service.
David J. Webber, *University of Missouri*
Webberd@Missouri.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Ethan M. Bernick, Kansas State University**
emb0111@ksu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 49-9 **Politics of Health Reform in the United States**
Room Salon 5 on the 3rd floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Jeffrey W. Ladewig, University of Connecticut**
jeffrey.ladewig@uconn.edu
- 10:30 **Policy Pressures and the Needs of Elderly Women**
We examine areas of disconnect between needs of and available services to women over 65 to develop an analysis of future potential policy pressures, including discussions of policy processes, regulation and rationing, and public-private partnerships.
Mitchell Brown, *Auburn University*
brown11@auburn.edu
Katie Cosby, *Auburn University*
kcosby@auburn.edu
Kathleen Hale, *Auburn University*
halekhal@auburn.edu
- 10:45 **Working Together: An Examination of Integrated Healthcare Delivery Systems in the United States and Recommendations for Future Reform Efforts**
This paper offers a review on the advantages and disadvantages of integrated healthcare delivery systems and offers recommendations for future healthcare reform directed at quality care and cost control in the area of healthcare delivery.
Katie Anne Cahill-Rhea, *Purdue University*
kacahr1@purdue.edu
- 11:00 **Health Care Reform and the Two Faces of Public Opinion: ObamaCare in Perspective**
Considers when partisanship drives attitudes on health care reforms, including major presidential initiatives, as well as several other proposals, with polling data since the 1940s.
Thomas R. Marshall, *University of Texas, Arlington*
tmarshall@uta.edu
- 11:15 **Newspaper Portrayals of Nursing Homes in the U.S.: Episodic Treatment of Topic and Tone**
Policy theory reserves a prominent role for the mass media in the agenda setting process. This study examines how NHs were depicted in four widely read national newspapers, 1998-2008. Findings highlight the episodic framing of NH coverage.
Edward Allen Miller, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
edward.miller@umb.edu
Julia Rozanova, *Brown University*
Julia_Rozanova@brown.edu
Vincent Mor, *Brown University*
Vincent_Mor@brown.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Sandra J. Taniguchi, Ohio State University**
taniguch.1@osu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 50-17 **Tackling Social Problems in Multilevel Political Contexts**
Room Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Saundra K. Schoelder, Michigan State University**
sks@msu.edu
- 10:30 **Gay Marriage and Fairness: Same-sex Marriage as a Structured Political Institution**
This study determines if current gay marriage policies comport with a fairness doctrine through analysis of the General Social Survey. If comprehensive moral doctrines structure the political institution of marriage then the bans are unfair.
David L. Fay, *University of Georgia*
dfay@uga.edu

- 10:42 **Privatizing Public Services for Vulnerable Populations**
 This paper argues that the key to successful privatization is to apply effective monitoring systems depending on the types of public service recipients. This paper presents a formal game theoretic model and an empirical analysis.
 Eunju Kang, *Cleveland Graduate University*
 eunjukang@gmail.com
- 10:54 **Role of Politics Between Heterogeneity and Social Spending in the U.S. States**
 The purpose of this study is to investigate the role of politics between socio-economic heterogeneity and the mix of social expenditure in the U.S. states.
 Soomi Lee, *Cleveland Graduate University*
 soomi.lee@cgu.edu
- 11:06 **Explains State Activism on Immigration Policy: Restrictive vs. Accommodating Policies in Four States**
 We explain state policy toward immigrants by examining "restrictive" versus "accommodating" policies in four states. We focus on both the pattern of immigration flows and institutions of direct democracy as key explanatory variables.
 Gary M. Belch, *University of Kansas*
 gmbelch@ku.edu
 Jay Barth, *Hendrix College*
 barth@hendrix.edu
- 11:18 **Taking on Recidivism in Arizona: A Look at Various Policy Options**
 This paper will examine and evaluate current policies that have been implemented in Arizona prisons with the effort to reduce recidivism rates. These include counseling, drug treatment and educational programs, as well as vocational training.
 Doris Schanmueler, *Northern Arizona University*
 doris.schanmueler@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Gordon Shockley, Arizona State University**
 shockley@asu.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 51-12 **Framing Environmental Problems**
 Room
 10:25 **Chair, Deserae Crow, University of Colorado, Boulder**
 deserae.crow@colorado.edu
- 10:30 **Framing Biofuels: A Comparison of Public, Media, and Elite Issue Definitions**
 Using data from a 2010 survey of the American public, along with media articles and public statements by the President and Congress, this paper scrutinizes how the public, the media, and political elites frame the issue of biofuels.
 Ashlie Brooke Delahud, *Purdue University*
 abdelmore@purdue.edu
 Leigh Raymond, *Purdue University*
 leyrnoid@purdue.edu
- 10:45 **Framing the "Greatest Environmental Disaster in Our History": The Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill as a Social Event**
 This paper shows the implications that the framing of the response to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill of April 2010 by President Obama, the New York Times, and the BP CEOs have for the future of US energy and environmental policy.
 Mat Hope, *University of Bristol*
 mat.hope@bris.ac.uk
- 11:00 **Making Sense of Climate Change: How Story Frames Help Cognitively Organize Relevant Concepts and Information for the Public**
 Conducting an online experiment of over fifteen hundred respondents, this research uses cluster analysis to assess the importance of story frames in helping the public organize relevant information and concepts related to climate change.
 Michael D. Jones, *Harvard University*
 jonemic1@gmail.com
 Geoboo Song, *University of Oklahoma*
 gsong@ou.edu
- 11:15 **Framing Disaster: Environmental Group Responses to the Gulf Oil Spill**
 This study evaluates environmental groups' responses to the oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico. Using content analysis of emails, press releases, and blogs, I assess how groups assign blame for the spill and the implications for environmental policy.
 Melissa K. Merry, *University of Louisville*
 mmerry01@louisville.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Seong-Gin Moon, Inha University**
 moonsg@inha.ac.kr
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 52-6 **Politics and Personnel**
 Room
 10:25 **Chair, Susan Webb Yakobc, University of Wisconsin, Madison**
 syakobc@lafollette.wisc.edu
- 10:30 **Patronage and Elections in U.S. States**
 This paper examines whether control of patronage jobs significantly increased a political party's probability of winning elections in U.S. states.
 Shigeo Hirano, *Columbia University*
 sh145@columbia.edu
 James M. Snyder, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*
 msnyder@mit.edu
- 10:45 **Neutral Competence or Incomplete Yes-Men?: Beyond the Loyalty-Competence Tradeoff**
 Exports on bureaucratic appointment models to incorporate competence and patronage benefits; finds that a loyalty-competence tradeoff may exist, whereas loyalty is usually sacrificed in the name of maintaining highly competent agencies.
 Gary Edward Hollibaugh, *University of Rochester*
 gholliba@mail.rochester.edu
- 11:00 **Politics of Public Prosecutions in New Democracies: Evidence from South Korea**
 This paper examines the parsanship of bureaucrats and its political consequences focusing on partisan politics by public prosecutions based on newly collected data of electoral law violations and bribery by legislators since 1990 in South Korea.
 Jae Youn Jung, *New York University*
 jyj220@nyu.edu
- 11:15 **The Core of the Constitution**
 Paper lays out a formal model of bureaucratic policy choice that features policy-driven and independent appointees operating within a Separation of Powers framework. Tests the model at NLRB, FTC, and SEC using a new measure of appointee ideology.
 David C. Nixon, *University of Hawaii*
 dnixon@hawaii.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., Sean Gailmond, University of California, Berkeley**
 gailmond@berkeley.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 53-17 **Gender Equity and Diversity in Public Employment**
 Room
 10:25 **Chair, Brinck Kerr, University of Arkansas**
 bkerr@uark.edu
- 10:30 **Organizational Justice in Federal Bureaucracy: Multi-Level Analysis**
 This research examines the role of organizational justice within federal bureaucracy. It tests the effect of it both at the individual level and at the organizational level.
 Yoon Jih Cho, *Georgia State University*
 yoonjih@gmail.com
 Na Sal, *Georgia State University*
 padras@langate.gsu.edu

- 10:45 **Why Are Women Paid Less Than Men?: Gender Pay Gap in the Federal Government**
The study describes gender pay disparity in the federal government and identifies determinants that may contribute to gender pay disparity, analyzing the 2005 merit principles survey and the central personnel data file collected in the same year.
Sungjoo Choi, *Kennesaw State University*
schoi10@kennesaw.edu
- 11:00 **Mechanisms of Gender Representation and Local Maternity Benefits in South Korea**
This study examines some alternative mechanisms of gender representation in the policy process by analyzing variation in maternity benefits at the local level in South Korea.
Uoo-Rae Kim, *Korea University*
kimur@korea.ac.kr
- 11:15 **Public Knowledge, Organizational Gender Diversity and the Interest in and Perception of the Possibility of Getting a Management Position**
The paper tests a hypothesis saying that the sex of public employees affects their interest in and perception of the possibilities of getting a management position, but the effect is conditioned by level of gender diversity.
Vilko Lehmann Nielsen, *Aarhus University*
vln@ps.au.dk
Mikkel Bo Madsen, *Danish National Center for Social Research*
mbm@dsf.dk
- 11:30 **Disc., Deborah Anthony, University of Illinois Springfield**
danth2@uis.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 54-14 **Structure and Change in U.S. Political Parties**
Room Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Audrey A. Hayes, University of Georgia**
polanh@arches.uga.edu
- 10:30 **Beyond Election Day: Presidential Campaign "Alternives" and Party Development**
The transformation of "Obama for America" into "Organizing for America" - a unit of the DNC - reflects a new fusion of candidate and party. The paper examines other presidential campaign "alternives" in order to better understand this development.
Emily Jane Charnock, *University of Virginia*
ejc@arnock@virginia.edu
- 10:45 **The Role of Political Parties in Shaping Campaign Finance Reform in the 1970s: Canada, Australia and the United States**
This paper uses political party records to examine the role of parties in shaping campaign finance laws in the 1970s. It examines parties' reasoning about reform and the relationship between role of parties and the content of campaign finance reform.
Sarah John, *Flinders University*
sarah.john@flinders.edu.au
- 11:00 **Reevaluating the Effect of the Australian Ballot on Turnout**
This research examines the effect of late 19th century Australian ballot laws on voter turnout, challenging past assumptions about party mobilization. It finds no resulting decline in turnout in most states, once ballot design is considered.
Daniel C. Reed, *American University*
dreed@americanu.edu
- 11:15 **The Relationship between Election Realignments & the Agenda**
This paper seeks to refute the claim made by some politicians that realms of policymaking and electoral realignments are separate. Using a historical layout & analysis, I seek to show how electoral realignments shape the agenda, testing 4 hypotheses.
Anand Shastri, *Fashion & Commercial Print Model Represented by Various Agents*
AShastri2@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Scott Alan MacKenzie, University of California, Davis**
smackenzie@ucdavis.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 56-3 **Politics, Philosophy, and Religion in Medieval Islamic and Jewish History**
Room Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, Christopher A. Colma, Dominican University**
fcrabi@dun.edu
- 10:30 **Maimonides on the Nature of Prophecy in Guide II, 27-28**
My paper concentrates on the tension between the authority of divine law and the rationalism of Greek thought in Maimonides' account of prophecy in the Guide of the Perplexed.
Seth Appelbaum, *Tulane University*
sethappelbaum@gmail.com
- 10:45 **Al-Farabi's Updates to Ancient Political Science**
The paper explores two texts of Farabi's that closely resemble one another. Their differences reveal how he thought classical political philosophy must change in order to find a home in a religious community like his.
Daniel Burns, *Boston College*
daniel.e.burns@gmail.com
- 11:00 **Alfarabi's Understanding of Plato's Critique of Socrates in "The Philosophy of Plato"**
This paper will examine the middle section of Alfarabi's "The Attainment of Happiness" trilogy to reveal Alfarabi's understanding of the nature of Plato's departure from his philosophic mentor, Socrates.
Gregory Alan McDwyer, *Georgetown College*
gmcdwyer@gvcpt.usmd.edu
- 11:15 **The Dissymmetry between Instruction and Investigation in Alfarabi's Attainment of Happiness**
A close reading of a passage early in Alfarabi's Attainment of Happiness, arguing that it contains a deliberate ambiguity that sheds light on the methodological importance of the dissymmetry between investigation and instruction for Alfarabi.
William Peter Wood, *University of Chicago*
williamw1202@gmail.com
- 11:30 **Disc., Katerina Apostolides, University of Chicago**
kapolides@uchicago.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**
- 71-5 **Postmodern Explorations of Literature and Film**
Room Clark 9 on the 7th floor, Sun at 10:25 am
10:25 **Chair, John R. Boklan, Central Michigan University**
cboklan@cmu.edu
- 10:30 **Between Image and Language: The Other in the Mirror of Lacan**
This paper historicizes Jacques Lacan's conceptualization of the Imaginary, argues against dominant interpretations of the mirror stage, and reads it as a potential site for social and political critique.
Andredda Madira, *Brown University*
msitro.ani@gmail.com
- 10:45 **The Image, the Spectacle, and the Possible Sphere: Daniel Borsini's Postmodern Conservative Critique**
A reexamination of Daniel Borsini's theory of pseudo-events presented in *The Image* (1962), this paper argues for its relevance for contemporary theory. Affinities and disagreements with Baudrillard, Debord, DeLillo, and Habermas are explored.
Lewis McCrory, *Georgetown University*
lem47@georgetown.edu
- 11:00 **Lola Buns: How Tom Tyler Breaks With Figuration**
I throw light on how cinematic techniques take the viewer close to what Deleuze calls the figure (the art figure, the taking place of event) and how they are useful in making visible the public and private spaces rather than fixating on the story.
Syed Sami Raza, *University of Hawaii, Manoa*
syedraza@hawaii.edu

- 11:15 **They Had the Freedom and the Power: Hitchcock's *Vertigo* and the History of Sexuality**
Foucault's repressive hypothesis brings into sharp focus the myth of Carlotta Valdez and the violence against women this myth both highlights and obscures in Alfred Hitchcock's *Vertigo*, where "the freedom and the power" of patriarchy is deployed.
John Douglas Steinmetz, *University of Oregon*
steinmetz@uoregon.edu
- 11:30 **Disc., John R. Boltona, *Central Michigan University***
cbolton8@aol.com
Disc., Skaidrite Steiner, *University of Toledo*
Skaidrite.steiner@utoledo.edu
- 11:50 **Audience Discussion**

Index of Participants

- Aaroe, Leae Panel 23-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Abayomi, Kofi Ake Panel 18-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Abdel-Moneim, Mohammed Alan Poser 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Abdelnasy, Lamia Panel 16-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
AbduKadir, Rahma Panel 21-11, Sat 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Abner, Krlafia S. Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Abosch, Yshaiya Panel 33-18, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Abosch, Yshaiya Panel 33-9, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Abou-Samra, Reem Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Abou-Samra, Reem Panel 32-8, Sun 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Abraham, Kavi Joseph Panel 21-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Abramo, Marisa A. Panel 31-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Abramo, Marisa A. Panel 31-11, Sat 10:25 am, Parker G on the 6th floor
Abramo, Marisa A. Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Abramson, Scott F. Panel 4-21, Fri 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Abu-Rayya, Hisham Panel 28-11, Sat 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Accevedo, Maria Cecilia Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Acry, Kenneth Dwayne Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Aer, Alex Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Adams, David Panel 45-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Adams, Martin J. Panel 51-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Adams, Eberley Nicole Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Adams, James Panel 26-12, Fri 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Adams, James Panel 29-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Adams, James Panel 2-1, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Adams, James Panel 26-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Adams, James Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Adams, James Roundtable 8-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Adams, Kimberly S. Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Adams, Kimberly S. Panel 30-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Adams, Melinda J. Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Adams, Michael O. Panel 39-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Adams, Sara Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Adams-Kane, Jonathan Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Adelstein, Shirley Panel 28-26, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Adilkar, Prakash Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Adilkar, Prakash Panel 16-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Adida, Claire L. Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Adida, Claire L. Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Adida, Claire L. Panel 7-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Adkins, Ashley L. Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Adkins, Michael James Panel 8-16, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Adkins, Ronald E. Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Adkins, Todd Panel 56-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Adler, Scott Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Adolphs, Ralph Panel 27-8, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Adrianopol, Carl David Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Aghamolla, Cyrus Panel 36-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Agullar-Pericote, Rosario Panel 31-6, Fri 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Ahmad, Rama Eijaz Panel 3-1, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Ahmedov, Ramiz Panel 21-7, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Ahmed, Faisal Panel 15-12, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Ahmed, Faisal Panel 14-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Ahmed, Manzoor Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Aho, Joo-Hung Panel 14-3, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Aho, Michael Panel 79-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Ahn, Michael Panel 1-5, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Ahn, Moon Suk Panel 1-5, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 11 on the 3rd floor
Ahn, TK Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Ahnen, Ronald E. Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Ahuja, Amit Panel 10-7, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Ahuja, Amit Panel 10-10, Sat 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Ahuja, Amit Panel 31-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Akkio, Kenneth Sheldon Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Alamworth, Scott Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Alamworth, Scott Roundtable 42-101, Fri 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Alamworth, Scott Panel 41-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Alamworth, Scott Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Akbulut, Izz Panel 16-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Akcaozoglu, Sedem Panel 21-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Akhbaye, Subman Otadele Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Akbar, Oshat Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Akbar, Omar Panel 42-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Aklin, Michael Panel 51-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Akpariye, Akere Panel 13-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Akser, Murat Panel 29-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Aksoy, Deniz Panel 16-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Akujobi, Christopher Ugochukwu Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Al Basam, Anas Poser 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Al-Kandari, Yagoub Yousef Panel 12-9, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Alkora, Bureu Pinar Panel 17-8, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Alkundi, Wafaa Adnan Poser 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Alkuraifi, Julia Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Alkuraifi, Julia Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Alkough, Brittany Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Alkough, Ericka A. Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Alkorda, Cayle Panel 56-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Albertson, Bethany Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Albertson, Bethany Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Alberty, Michael Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Albertus, Michael Panel 14-12, Sat 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Albright, Elizabeth Panel 51-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Albright, Elizabeth Panel 51-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Albrich, John H. Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Al-Jaadri, Roberto Panel 36-7, Fri 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Al-Jaadri, Roberto Panel 36-17, Sat 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Alman, Eduardo Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Alman, Eduardo Panel 9-13, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Alexander, Gerard Panel 31-7, Thur 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Alexander, Gerard Panel 31-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Alexander, John Seth Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Alexander, Nicola A. Panel 50-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Alexander, Neoka A. Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Alexander, Rob Panel 53-1, Fri 10:25 am, Grand Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Alexander, Rob Panel 51-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Alexander-Darvey, Ethan Panel 33-10, Fri 10:21 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Alejandro, Deshaun Panel 2-12, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Alejandro, Deshaun Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Alfaro-Redondo, Ronald Panel 40-14, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Alfonso, Brittany Marie Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Alford, John R. Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Alhajeri, Hassan Panel 6-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Ali, Yousif Gh Panel 12-9, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Alianek, Soňa Panel 12-5, Fri 8:30 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Alianek, Soňa Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Elizabeth Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Allen, Ann Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Ann Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Brooke Thomas Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Christopher S. Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Jonathan G. Panel 35-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Jonathan G. Panel 35-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Allen, Josephine A. V. Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Matthew D. Panel 31-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Michael A. Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Allen, Susan Hannah Panel 19-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Allen, Susan Hannah Panel 19-7, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Allendeoerfer, Michelle Panel 16-18, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Allendeoerfer, Michelle Panel 16-7, Fri 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Albano, Dwight D. Panel 32-5, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Alben, Rochdi A. Panel 25-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Alben, Rochdi A. Panel 12-6, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Albid, Rosa Panel 16-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Aliena, Natasha Panel 31-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Althaus, Scott L. Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Althoff, Stephanie Shawa Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Altsoy, R. Erteg Panel 36-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Altsoy, R. Erteg Panel 66-1, Sat 10:25 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Altsoeckic, Ceren Panel 4-26, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Altsoeckic, Ceren Panel 4-15, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Azaiz, Joseph Roundtable 71-100, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Alvarez, Michael Panel 25-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Alvarez, Michael Roundtable 38-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Alvarez, Michael Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Alvarez, Michael Panel 27-8, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Alvarez, Michael Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Alves, Ann C. A. Panel 16-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Alves, Jorge Antonio Panel 4-16, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Altare, Elissa B. Panel 34-14, Thur 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Altare, Elissa B. Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Amodeo, S. M. Panel 35-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Amodeo, S. M. Panel 34-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Amakawa, Yukiko Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Amato, Elizabeth Suzanne Panel 71-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Amato, Elizabeth Suzanne Panel 71-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Amareo, Michelle Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Ambar, Saladin Malik Panel 54-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Ambar, Saladin Malik Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Amjad, Mohammed Panel 17-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Ammann, Sky Lee Panel 23-3, Thur 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Amari, Parpa Dillana Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Anastopla, George Roundtable 71-100, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Anastopoulos, Letteris Janna Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grace Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Andoverapa, Deepika Panel 28-4, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Andersen, David J. Panel 27-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Andersen, David J. Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Anderson, Christopher J. Author Meets Critics 2-100, Thur 10:25 am, Spive Parlor on the 6th floor
 Anderson, Christopher J. Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Anderson, Greg Panel 41-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Anderson, Jeremy Panel 33-8, Fri 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Anderson, Jeretty Panel 33-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Anderson, Kristin D. Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Anderson, Lawrence Matthew Panel 21-2, Thur 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Anderson, Mary R. Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Anderson, Ryan T. Panel 44-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Anderson, Sally Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Anderson, Sarah Panel 40-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Anderson, Sarah Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Anderson, Sean Christopher Panel 6-1, Thur 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Anderson, Sheldon Robert Panel 21-7, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Anderson, Jessica Eva Panel 2-1, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Anderson, Kristin P. Panel 4-11, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Andrew, Simon A. Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Andrews, Anella Carola Panel 5-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Angoa, Edward Panel 49-6, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Ang, Adrian U-Jin Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Ang, Adrian U-Jin Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Ang, Adrian U-Jin Panel 42-23, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Angel, William Daniel Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Angel, William Daniel Panel 54-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Angevine, Sara Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Angevine, Sara Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Anguiano, Nisalay Nick Panel 15-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Anli, Bruno Panel 36-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Anli, Bruno Panel 33-13, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Ansolabehere, Stephen Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Anspack, Nicolas Panel 45-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Anthony, Deborah Panel 30-16, Sun 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Anthony, Deborah Panel 53-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Anthony, Donald Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Anzi, Sarah E. Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Anzi, Sarah E. Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Aouf, Ibrahim G. Panel 12-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Apoa, James Ierlism Panel 4-22, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Apoa, James Ierlism Panel 4-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Aparicio, Francisco Javier Panel 6-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Aparicio, Francisco Javier Panel 42-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Aparicio, Francisco Javier Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Aparicio, Francisco Javier Panel 14-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Apostolides, Katerina Panel 33-8, Fri 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Apperbaum, Seth Panel 56-3, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Appleton, Andrew M. Roundtable 64-104, Thur 4:35 pm, Crystal on the 3rd floor
 Arama, Ignacio Panel 23-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Arana, Ignacio Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Arbery, Citra Roundtable 71-100, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Arbour, Brian Panel 22-12, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Arbour, Brian Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Arbuckle

Arbuckle, Matthew B. Panel 51-10, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Kevin Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Kevin Panel 28-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Martin Jorge Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Martin Jorge Panel 28-38, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Phillip Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Phillip Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 17 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Phillip Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Phillip Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Phillip Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Phillip Panel 18-10, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Phillip Panel 18-20, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Gloria Panel 32-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Julian Panel 56-7, Fri 8:30 am, Parlor C on the 6th floor
Arreola, Gal Panel 8-17, Thur 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Arreola, Gal Panel 21-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Gal Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Gal Panel 8-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Francesa Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Sulejodila Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Ayra Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Gordon Panel 35-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Dave A. Panel 6-1, Thur 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Arreola, Dove A. Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Jeremy Panel 50-20, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Jo Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Jo Panel 53-10, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Kathleen Panel 32-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Christine Panel 8-8, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Christine Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Christine Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Richard Alan Panel 44-1, Thur 10:25 am, Monroe 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Richard Alan Panel 13-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Peter Michael Panel 38-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Peter Michael Panel 38-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola-Carrasco, Rafael Panel 9-12, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Robert B. Panel 24-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Robert B. Panel 24-11, Sun 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Gordon Alexander Panel 23-31, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Gordon Alexander Panel 23-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Mert Panel 47-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Joaquin Panel 25-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Michael Robert Panel 29-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Seledad Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Arreola, Arlene Ayuso Panel 4-6, Fri 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Raj Panel 2-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Raj Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Paula R. Panel 9-1, Thur 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Arreola, Alan Panel 21-10, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Ivan Panel 36-15, Sat 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Ivan Panel 36-17, Sat 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Hugo Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Hugo Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Ashug Panel 20-7, Fri 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Arreola, Ashug Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Edward Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Edward Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Toivo Panel 11-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Scott Panel 37-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Patrick Odilberto Panel 11-5, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Arreola-Christensen, Jacob Panel 28-21, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Nicole Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Raffaele Panel 14-1, Thur 8:30 am, Monroe 2 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Kifem Panel 4-30, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Ross Panel 44-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Ross Panel 56-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Monroe 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Illya Panel 37-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Illya Panel 38-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Creshill on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Amy L. Panel 30-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Amy L. Panel 30-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Michelle J. Panel 46-1, Thur 8:30 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Lonna Panel 42-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Dantelle Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Carol Panel 16-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Carol Panel 17-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Mary L. Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Matthew D. Panel 23-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Stavros Panel 49-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Arreola, John M. Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Arreola, John M. Panel 57-5, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Zachary Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Grace Panel 57-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Grace Panel 57-5, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Nuudi Panel 17-23, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Olga A. Panel 30-10, Sat 8:30 am, Parlor C on the 6th floor
Arreola, Olga A. Panel 30-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, George Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Claudia Nancy Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Sebastian Delleplane Panel 28-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Arreola, James M. Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Vanessa Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Paul C. Panel 17-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Andrew Panel 32-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Ana Ivelisse Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Richard Panel 36-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Richard Panel 36-17, Sat 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Pelin Panel 5-7, Thur 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Arreola, Pelin Panel 5-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Iliana Panel 17-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Aylin Panel 45-6, Fri 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Saadet Gulden Panel 12-2, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Julia Panel 54-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Julia Panel 54-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Julia Roundtable 54-102, Sat 2:40 pm, Spira Parlor on the 6th floor
Arreola, Amir Panel 68-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Omar Panel 20-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Monroe 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Gordon A. Panel 56-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Gordon A. Panel 12-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Leonardo Panel 20-7, Fri 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Arreola, Leonardo Panel 15-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Milkenium Parlor on the 6th floor
Arreola, Jennifer Panel 23-30, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Gabriel Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Gabriel Panel 28-15, Sun 10:25 am, Milkenium Parlor on the 6th floor
Arreola, Dian Marie Panel 17-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Ruzvi Panel 20-1, Thur 8:30 am, Grand Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Arreola, Don Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Arreola, Dan Panel 53-10, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Arreola, James M. Panel 29-23, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Arreola, Jungah Panel 51-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Jungah Panel 72-1, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Sabo Panel 50-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Arreola, Sabo Panel 50-20, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor

- Bao, Silvio Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Barchiger, Andre Panel 34-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Bark, Kyeongbi Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Bark, Kyeongbi Panel 15-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Bark, Judith A. Panel 44-9, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Bark, Judith A. Panel 30-16, Sun 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Barkas, Obuya Panel 11-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Barkhika, Tanya Georgiyev Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Bailey, John J. Panel 9-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Bailey, Merrill Panel 28-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Bailey, Merrill Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Bailey, Michael Edwin Panel 56-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Bailey, Michael Edwin Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Bailey, Michael Edwin Panel 56-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Bakayrzaeva, Mahabat Panel 48-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Bakayrzaeva, Mahabat Panel 48-8, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Baird, Rachel Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Bakret, Richard Lee Panel 5-16, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Baker, Joshua Robert Panel 8-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Baker, Nathalie Jill Kun Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Bakker, Omar Panel 5-2, Thur 8:30 am, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bakker, Omar Panel 36-17, Sat 4:35 pm, Kimbell on the 3rd floor
 Bakker, Ryan Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Bakker, Ryan Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Bakker, Ryan Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Bakataeva, Dina Panel 34-7, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Balas, Alexandru Panel 18-2, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Balcells, Lala Panel 15-22, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Balcells, Lala Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Balcells, Lala Panel 15-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Balcells, Lala Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Balci, Farid Panel 21-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Baldi, Gregory Panel 8-18, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Baddi, Gregory Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Baddvina, Christopher Roundtable 32-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Baldwin, Kate Panel 4-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Baldwin, Kate Panel 11-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Bate, Tim Panel 40-15, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Balkaram, Stephen Panel 31-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Balkind, Nicole Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Balisa, Miguel Angel Panel 71-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Balizer, Amanda Panel 28-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Balizer, Amanda Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Balizer, Amanda Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Band, Sheehan Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Banda, Kevin Kumar Panel 56-13, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Banerjee, Kiran Panel 36-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Banerjee, Vaibhavi Panel 5-8, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Banerjee, Vaibhavi Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Bangar, Neha Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Bangar, Neha Panel 51-10, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Bank, André Panel 12-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bank, André Panel 12-6, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Banka, Antoinette Jevon Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Banks, Antoinette Jevon Panel 31-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Banks, Christopher Paul Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Banuri, Shaberyar Panel 50-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Barabas, Jason Panel 29-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Baraboo, Maryann Panel 40-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Baraboo, Maryann Panel 40-13, Sun 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Barberis, Lorena Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Barberis, Lorena Panel 4-10, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Barhathik, A. J. Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Barhathik, Maria Anilina Panel 28-20, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Barilias, Katherine Howard Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Barjatew, Jordan Bryce Panel 33-6, Thur 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Barkeley, Jordan Bryce Panel 33-10, Fri 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Barker, Christopher Panel 33-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Barker, Christopher Panel 33-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Barker, Christopher Panel 32-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Barker, Lucas J. Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Barnes, Nazareen Panel 4-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Barnes, Nazareen Panel 4-15, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Barnes, Jeb Panel 38-13, Sat 8:30 am, Kimbell on the 3rd floor
 Barnes, Tiffany David Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Barnhart, Joelyn Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Barnett, Bethany A. Roundtable 64-101, Sat 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Barreto, Matt A. Panel 28-22, Sat 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Barnett, Kathleen Reghan Panel 43-2, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Barrett, Richard Panel 33-6, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Barrett, Richard Panel 34-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Barrett, Richard Panel 32-5, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Barriager, Elizabeth Brandon Panel 36-7, Fri 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Barrows, Samuel Panel 14-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Barry, Colin Panel 15-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Bartels, Brandon L. Panel 45-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Bartels, Brandon L. Roundtable 45-102, Thur 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bartels, Brandon L. Panel 45-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bartels, Larry M. Panel 2-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Bartels, Larry M. Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Barth, Jay Panel 50-21, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Barthrow, Horace Anthony Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Barthrow, Horace Anthony Panel 15-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Barthrow, Horace Anthony Panel 16-11, Sat 8:30 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Bartkowska, Monika Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Bartley, Elliot Panel 34-4, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Bartley, Elliot Panel 65-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Bartle, John Panel 28-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Bartlett, Ashley Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Barton, Matthew M. Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Baruk, Carly Tessa Panel 63-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Barzachka, Nina Simeonova Panel 26-8, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Bas, Muhammed Ali Panel 17-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Bas, Muhammed Ali Panel 17-14, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Basedow, Matthias Panel 18-19, Thur 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Basinger, Scott J. Panel 34-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Basinger, Scott J. Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Basikan, Bilal Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Basikan, Bilal Panel 12-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Bassi, Anna Panel 37-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Bassi, Anna Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Basu, Saranya Panel 48-8, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor

Batesman

Batesman, David Alexander Panel 54-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Batesman, David Alexander Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Bates, Clifford Angelo Panel 65-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Bath, Michael Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Willow on the 3rd floor
Battaglia, Marco Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Batto, Nathan F. Panel 10-14, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Batto, Nathan F. Panel 26-33, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Batto, Nathan F. Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Batty, Fodet Joseph Panel 4-30, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Batty, Fodet Joseph Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Baturo, Alexander Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Baturo, Alexander Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Baturo, Alexander Panel 5-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Bauer, Kelly Panel 9-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Bauer, Nichole Marie Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Bauber, Monika Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Baum, Lawrence Roundtable 45-102, Thur 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Baum, Lawrence Author Meets Critics 44-F02, Fri 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Baum, Michael A. Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Baum, Michael A. Panel 30-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Baumgartner, Frank R. Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Baumgartner, Frank R. Roundtable 64-104, Thur 4:35 pm, Crystal on the 3rd floor
Baumgartner, Frank R. Roundtable 1-101, Sat 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Baumgartner, Frank R. Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Baumgartner, Frank R. Author Meets Critics 29-100, Sun 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Baumgartner, Jody Panel 7-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Baumgartner, Andy Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Baurth, Nicholas G. Panel 47-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Baviskar, Siddhartha Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Bawn, Kathleen Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Bawn, Kathleen Panel 8-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Baybars Hawka, Bhum Panel 29-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Baybeck, Brady Panel 47-9, Sat 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Bayram, Ayten Bursu Panel 20-18, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Bayutgen, Oluam Panel 17-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Bezargan-Hejazi, Shobzarad Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Beange, Pauline Elizabeth Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Beard, Virginia Parish Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Beard, Virginia Parish Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Beasley, Vanessa B. Panel 29-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Beasley, Vanessa B. Panel 29-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Beauchamp, Nick Panel 38-6, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Beaudoin, Melissa M.C. Panel 18-19, Thur 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Beaudoin, Melissa M.C. Panel 43-2, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Beaulieu, Emily Ann Panel 4-6, Fri 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Beaulieu, Emily Ann Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Beaulieu, Marcella Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Beaumont, Elizabeth Panel 35-4, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Beaumont, Elizabeth Panel 44-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Beazer, Quintin H. Panel 14-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Beazar, Quintin H. Panel 14-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Beazer, Quintin H. Panel 15-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Becher, Michael Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Becher, Michael Panel 7-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Bechtel, Corey Lee Panel 4-24, Sat 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Beck, Paul A. Panel 25-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Becker, Jeffrey A. Panel 34-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Becker, Jenna A. Panel 33-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Becker, Jenna Panel 45-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Beckmann, Matthew N. Panel 41-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Bedard, Robert Panel 37-16, Sun 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Bedard, Robert Panel 37-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Bednar, Jenna Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Bednar, Steven Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Bednar, Steven Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Beer, David Panel 54-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Beers, Daniel J. Panel 17-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Beers, Daniel J. Panel 13-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Beeley, Celeste Panel 15-22, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Beeley, Deanna Panel 56-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Bega, Ingrid Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Behk, Natasha Panel 31-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Behk, Beth Anne Panel 54-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Behnam, Brian D. Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Bejan, Teresa M. Panel 34-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Behringer, Eric Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Behr, Michelle H. Panel 41-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Bell, Charles Scott Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Bell, Charles Scott Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Bell, Curtis M. Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Bell, Melissa Ann Panel 30-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Bell, Roderick Panel 23-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Bell, Roderick Panel 40-14, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Bell, Sam R. Panel 16-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Bell, Sam R. Panel 16-8, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Bell, Thomas Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Bellinger, Paul T. Panel 9-1, Thur 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Belokurova, Galina V. Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Beltran, Ullaga Panel 9-13, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Ben-Jahel, Elizabeth Roundtable 35-100, Thur 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Ben-Yehuda, Nachshon Panel 56-8, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Bendix, William Panel 42-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Benda, Anna Panel 8-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Beneish, Sara C. Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Beneish, Sara C. Panel 45-16, Sun 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Benjamin, Andrea Panel 31-11, Sat 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Benjamin, Seth B. Poster 73-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Benoist, Ann Marie Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Benoist, Daniel R. Panel 56-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Benoist, Dylan Panel 19-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Benoist, Lamar Vitoz Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Benoist, Margan Alyssa Panel 36-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Bendish, Elizabeth A. Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Benoit, Kenneth R. Panel 38-6, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Benoit, Kenneth R. Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Benoit, Oliver Panel 9-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Benoit, William Lynn Panel 29-24, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Benoit-Bryan, Jennifer Melissa Panel 29-24, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Benson, Brett V. Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Benson, Celeste Elizabeth Panel 40-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Benson, Sara Panel 54-15, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Bertz, Anne-Sophie Panel 21-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Bertz, Anne-Sophie Panel 21-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Bertram, Fabio Author Meets Critics 2-100, Thur 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Bertram, Fabio Panel 2-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Bersano, Jeffrey Panel 34-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

- Berant, Matthew Panel 28-37, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Berant, Matthew Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Bergan, Daniel E. Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Bergan, Daniel E. Panel 27-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Bergower, Matthew L. Panel 22-12, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Berger, Daniel Panel 4-11, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Berger, Daniel Panel 4-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Berggren, Niclas Panel 25-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Berggren, Niclas Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Beriněky, Adam J. Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Beriněky, Adam J. Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Beriněky, Adam J. Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Berk, Christopher Dmitri Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Berkenpas, Joshua R. Panel 36-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Berkman, Michael Panel 56-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Bermeo, Sarah Blodgett Panel 15-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Bermeo, Sarah Blodgett Panel 15-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Bernal, Angelica Maria Panel 35-4, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Bernal, Angelica Maria Panel 35-10, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Bernhardt, Michael Panel 5-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Bernhardt, William Panel 2-10, Sat 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Bernhardt, William Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Bernhardt, Daniel Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Berroick, E. Lee Panel 46-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Berroick, Eilhan M. Panel 53-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Berroick, Eilhan M. Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Bernier, Lyone Louise Panel 2-13, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Bernstein, Jeffrey L. Roundtable 57-103, Fri 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bernstein, Jeffrey L. Panel 57-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Berroebos, Katalina M. Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Berry, Michael Panel 42-16, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Berry, Michael Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Berry, Michael Panel 42-3, Sun 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Bersch, Dan Panel 34-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Bertaux, Nancy Foster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Bertaux, John Panel 34-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Bertelli, Anthony Michael Panel 52-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Bertelli, Anthony Michael Panel 52-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Bertaux, Xavier Panel 47-9, Sat 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Beuette, Murray Strauss Yuzwenko Panel 32-2, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Bessette, Murray Strauss Yuzwenko Panel 34-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Bessette, Murray Strauss Yuzwenko Panel 34-11, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Best, Mike Foster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Best, Rebecca H. Panel 18-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Best, Robin E. Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Best, Robin E. Panel 2-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Best, Robin E. Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Best, Robin E. Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Best, Robin E. Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Best, Daniel Panel 65-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Betti, Daniel Panel 65-1, Sat 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Biez, Timon Panel 15-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bevir, Mark Roundtable 35-100, Thur 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bevir, Mark Panel 34-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Bevir, Mark Panel 36-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Begenalm, Andreas Panel 13-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Begenalm, Andreas Panel 13-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Behrle, Tavishi Panel 6-1, Thur 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Behrle, Tavishi Panel 21-9, Sat 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Behrle, Tavishi Panel 12-6, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Behrle, Vandana Panel 17-19, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Behrle, Vandana Panel 17-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Bhattacharyya, Sourav Panel 37-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Bhattacharyya, Krubans Panel 4-14, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Bhattacharyya, Sudheeta Panel 19-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Blaine, William T. Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Bickers, Kenneth N. Panel 22-9, Sat 10:25 am, Creshill on the 3rd floor
 Biggers, Daniel Panel 31-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Billinger, Robert D. Panel 42-16, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Billinger, Robert D. Panel 42-3, Sun 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Binder, Mike Panel 25-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Binder, Mike Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Binder, Sarah Roundtable 42-100, Fri 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Binder, Sarah Panel 42-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Binelli, Chiara Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Bing, John Howard Panel 3-1, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Bing, John Howard Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Bingle, Benjamin S. Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Bird, Stephen Panel 68-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Birchhead, Nathaniel Panel 42-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Blabin, Ben Panel 31-16, Thur 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Blabin, Ben Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Bliska, Anita Panel 6-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bishop, Bradford H. Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Bishop, George Franklin Panel 56-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Bitar, Brian A.N. Panel 32-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Bizlouras, Nikolaos Panel 21-9, Sat 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Bizlouras, Nikolaos Panel 4-24, Sat 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Bizlouras, Nikolaos Panel 4-14, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Bizlouras, Nikolaos Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Bizumek, Menas Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Black, Ryan Christopher Panel 45-20, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Black, Ryan Christopher Panel 45-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Black, Ryan Christopher Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Blackmer, Jeannette Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Blackmond-Larwell, Twyla Panel 47-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Blackstone, Bethany Panel 45-20, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Blackstone, Bethany Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Blackwell, Matthew Panel 22-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Blackwell, Matthew Panel 42-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Blair, Graeme Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Blair, Zolema T. Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Blair, Andre Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Blair, Andre Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Blair, Andre Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Blake, Charles H. Panel 14-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Blake, Charles H. Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Blake, Daniel Panel 15-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Blake, Daniel Panel 15-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Blakemore, John C. Panel 44-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Blakemore, John C. Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Blank, Joshua Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Blank, Joshua Panel 28-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Blasing, Laura Panel 42-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Blessing

Blessing, Tim H. Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Blalock, Kelly R. Panel 2-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Blimes, Randall Panel 20-1, Thur 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Blizes, Randall Panel 18-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Blizes, Randall Panel 20-15, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Bliss, Daniel Panel 46-16, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Block Rubin, Ruth Panel 42-14, Sun 10:25 am, Sabon 7 on the 3rd floor
Block, Ray Panel 31-5, Fri 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Block, Ray Panel 31-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Block, Stephen Andrew Panel 65-3, Sat 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Blomfeld, Mierka Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Blom, Robin Panel 29-13, Sun 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Blom, Stephen Rhyme Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Bloom, Stephen Rhyme Panel 21-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Blount, Justin Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Boatright, Robert G. Panel 40-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Boatright, Robert G. Panel 40-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Boate, Michael Peter Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Bodie, Michael Peter Panel 28-27, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Bodie, Letitia Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Bodie, Letitia Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Bodies, Cristion Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Bodet, Marc Andre Panel 40-1, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Bodet, Marc Andre Panel 30-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Boeckelman, Keith Panel 46-6, Fri 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Boeckelman, Keith Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Boeckelman, Keith Panel 3-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Boehmer, Charles R. Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Boehmer, Charles R. Panel 20-1, Thur 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Boehmer, Charles R. Panel 18-25, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Boehmer, Charles R. Panel 18-31, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Boehneke, Fredertek J. Panel 25-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Boehneke, Fredertek J. Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Boehring, Joerges Panel 7-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Bois, Curtis Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Bokina, John R. Panel 71-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Bokina, John R. Panel 71-3, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Boling, Patricia Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Bolsen, Toby Panel 20-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Bolsen, Toby Panel 27-14, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Bolt, Paul Panel 10-1, Thur 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Bommarito, Michael Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Bond, Jan R. Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Bond, Jan R. Roundtable 42-101, Fri 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Bond, Jan R. Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Bond, Kimberly D. Panel 13-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Bond, Kimberly D. Panel 17-22, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Bond, Robert M. Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Bonica, Adam Panel 45-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Bonilla, Yolanda Panel 25-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Bonneau, Chris W. Roundtable 45-102, Thur 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Bonneau, Chris W. Panel 45-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Bonneau, Chris W. Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Bonnette, Lakeya Monique Panel 31-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Bonoff, Nicole Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Booker, Paula Nicole Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Sabon 6 on the 3rd floor
Boone, Elaine Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Booth, John A. Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Booth, John A. Panel 9-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Boothe, Katherine Panel 2-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Boothe, Katherine Panel 2-9, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Borahay, Serra Panel 2-12, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Borahay, Serra Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Borck, Catherine Panel 34-11, Sat 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Borges, André Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Borick, Josephine Mary Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Borisyuk, Galina Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Borisyuk, Galina Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Borisyuk, Galina Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Borok, Diana Z. Panel 36-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Borok, Diana Z. Panel 36-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Borrelli, Mary Anne Panel 41-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Borrelli, Mary Anne Panel 55-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Bos, Angela L. Panel 27-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Bos, Angela L. Roundtable 1-108, Sat 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Boscarino, Jessica Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Boscarino, Jessica Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Boster, Franklin J. Panel 28-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Botero, Felipe Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Botero, Felipe Panel 9-9, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Boudreau, Cheryl Panel 25-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Boudreau, Cheryl Panel 23-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Boulding, Carey Elizabeth Panel 9-1, Thur 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Boulding, Carey Elizabeth Panel 4-30, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Bourke, James E. Panel 35-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Bouhey, Graeme Thomson Panel 50-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Bouhey, Graeme Thomson Panel 50-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Bouhey, Graeme Thomson Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Bowen, Daniel Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Bowen, Daniel Panel 42-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Bowen, Daniel Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Bowen, Daniel Panel 42-14, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Bowen, J. D. Panel 9-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Bowen, Lauren Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Bowen, Robb Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Bower-Biz, Jacob S. Panel 24-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Bowers, Jake Panel 38-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Bowers, Jake Roundtable 38-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Bowker, Matthew Hamilton Panel 36-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Bowker, Matthew Hamilton Panel 36-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Bowler, Shaun Panel 5-6, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Bowler, Shaun Panel 28-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Bowler, Shaun Panel 28-25, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Bowler, Shaun Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Bowler, Shaun Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Bowler, Shaun Panel 22-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Bowler, Shaun Panel 23-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Bowling, Cynthia J. Panel 53-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Bowling, Cynthia J. Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Bowling, Cynthia J. Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Bowling, Jeremy L. Panel 18-10, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Box-Steffensmeier, Janet M. Roundtable 57-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Box-Steffensmeier, Janet M. Roundtable 25-100, Fri 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Box-Steffensmeier, Janet M. Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

- Box-Steffensmeyer, Janet M.** Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Boyd, Christina Foster** 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Boyd, Christina Leah** Panel 45-23, Fri 8:30 am, Saloon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Boyd, Christina Leah** Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Boydston, Amber Ellen** Panel 29-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Boydston, Amber Ellen** Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Boydston, Amber Ellen** Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Boydston, Amber Ellen** Author Meets Critics 29-100, Sun 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Boylan, Brandon M.** Panel 18-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Boylan, Brandon M.** Panel 18-15, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Boynton, G. R.** Panel 28-13, Sat 4:13 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Boynton, G. R.** Panel 1-5, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Bozdoglioglu, B. Yusemín** Panel 51-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Bozdoglioglu, Yucel** Panel 12-2, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Bozdoglioglu, Yucel** Panel 51-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Bozdoglioglu, Yucel** Panel 12-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Brasten, Daniel Bruce** Panel 31-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Brace, Paul** Roundtable 45-101, Sat 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Brace, Paul** Panel 45-26, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Brace, Susan Denise** Foster 62-2104, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Bracewell, Lorna Norman** Panel 32-8, Sun 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Brader, Ted** Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Brader, Ted** Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Brader, Ted** Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Bradley, Jordan M.** Panel 44-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Monroe 5 on the 7th floor
- Bradley, Robert C.** Panel 45-31, Sun 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Brady, David W.** Roundtable 1-112, Fri 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Brady, Henry E.** Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Brady, Henry E.** Panel 23-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Brady, Michael C.** Panel 42-19, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Brady, Michael C.** Panel 42-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Brauninger, Thomas J.** Panel 14-19, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Brauninger, Thomas J.** Panel 48-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Bragg, Belinda L.** Panel 18-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Bramble, Thomas** Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Bramble, Thomas** Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Bramble, Brittany Heutz** Panel 23-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Brants, Steven J.** Panel 26-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Brannsen, Andrew William** Panel 12-6, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Brandt, Shawna Mary** Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Brandt, Mark J.** Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Broaduse, Diana Maria** Panel 6-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Brans, Marilee** Panel 48-6, Sat 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Brantoo, Reglass P.** Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Braunfeld, James M.** Panel 49-14, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Bratton, Kathleen** Panel 47-5, Fri 8:30 am, Saloon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Bratton, Kathleen** Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Bratton, Kathleen** Panel 27-10, Sat 8:30 am, Saloon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Braunmoeller, Bear F.** Panel 58-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Braun, Joseph** Panel 4-31, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Braun, Robert** Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Bravo, J.** Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Bray, Jenna** Panel 3-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Brazelton, Shonita R.** Panel 45-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Breeding, Mary E.** Panel 4-28, Sun 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Breen, Jenny Stepp** Panel 54-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Breen, Michael** Panel 15-18, Thur 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Breben, John** Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Brechen, John** Panel 27-16, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Brenya, Edward** Panel 51-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Breunig, Christian** Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Breunig, Christian** Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Breunig, Christian** Panel 3-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Brewer, Paul** Panel 23-13, Fri 11:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Brewer, Paul** Panel 40-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Brewster, Rachel** Panel 15-9, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Brians, Craig Leonard** Panel 29-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Brians, Craig Leonard** Roundtable 37-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Bridges, Amy** Panel 54-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Bridges, Amy** Panel 54-9, Sat 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Brierly, Allen B.** Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Brierly, Allen B.** Panel 37-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Brierly, Allen B.** Panel 37-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Brierly, Allen B.** Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Briguglia, Gianluca** Panel 33-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Briguglia, Gianluca** Panel 33-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Brink-Halloran, Brendan** Panel 9-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Brisson, Eric** Panel 30-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Brister, Paul D.** Panel 17-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Brister, Paul D.** Panel 21-11, Sat 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Britton, Hannah** Panel 30-15, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Brockington, David** Foster 61-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Bradsky, David M.** Panel 57-5, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Bromley-Trujillo, Rebecca** Foster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Bromley-Trujillo, Rebecca** Panel 50-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Bronstein, John** Panel 50-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Brooks, Steven** Panel 12-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Brooks, Kelly** Foster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Brooks, Murrell** Panel 11-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Brooks, Stephen C.** Foster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Brooks, Stephen C.** Foster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Broadhead, Andrew** Panel 45-30, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Broughton, Richard** Panel 42-17, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 3rd floor
- Browder, Elizabeth** Foster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Brown, Adam R.** Panel 24-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Brown, Adam R.** Panel 41-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Brown, Brookes** Panel 35-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Brown, Catherine** Shepherd Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Chelsea** Panel 14-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Chelsea** Panel 14-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Brown, Cheryl L.** Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Cheryl L.** Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Cheryl L.** Panel 44-14, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Christopher** Panel 3-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Christopher** Panel 20-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
- Brown, Christopher** Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Clifford** Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Brown, David S.** Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Brown, Heath Andrew** Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Heath Andrew** Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Heather Anne** Panel 36-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Heather Anne** Panel 36-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Brown, Mitchell** Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Brown, Mitchell** Panel 49-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor

Brown

Brown, Mitchell Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Brown, Nadia Elizabeth Panel 31-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Brown, Nadia Elizabeth Panel 31-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Brown, Ted Henry Panel 33-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Brown, Julie Panel 37-16, Sun 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Brasmeyer, Jeffrey Daniel Panel 68-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Brazek, Jason Panel 51-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Brazek, Jason Panel 57-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Brazek, Jason Panel 57-6, Sun 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Bruce, John M. Panel 40-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Bruce, John M. Panel 40-10, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Bruce, Mary D. Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Brule, David Panel 19-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Brule, David Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Brown, Nancy E. Panel 15-21, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Brown, Nancy E. Panel 51-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Brown, Nancy E. Panel 51-13, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Brown, Sarah E. Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Brown, Jonathan R. Panel 33-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Bruester, Daniel R. Panel 18-29, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Brusee, Peter William Panel 46-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Bruter, Michael Panel 25-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Bruter, Michael Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Brutto, Joseph Panel 32-4, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Brutus, Jean-Pierre Panel 34-20, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Bryan, Allison Boldah Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Bryan, Amanda Panel 45-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Bryan, Amanda Claire Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Bryant, Katherine Vera Panel 20-14, Sun 8:30 am, Millenium Parlor on the 6th floor
Bryant, Lisa A. Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Buccafuso, Christopher Panel 50-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Buchler, Justin Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Buchler, Justin Panel 24-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Buck, Christopher D. Panel 35-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Buckus, Barbara Panel 34-4, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Buckus, Barbara Panel 34-18, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Buckley, David Panel 7-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Buckley, Fiona Roundtable 57-102, Thur 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Budzak, Jeffrey Panel 45-31, Sun 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Budzak, Christopher Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Bucos De Mesquita, Ethon Panel 34-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Bucos De Mesquita, Ethon Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Bugg, David Panel 46-17, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Bulca, Melissa Camille Panel 66-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Bulwer, Peter Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Bullga-Stoian, M. Adriana Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Bullga-Stoian, M. Adriana Panel 45-6, Fri 11:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Bullock, Charles Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Bullock, John G. Panel 28-16, Thur 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Bullock, John G. Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Bullock, Justin Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Bullock, Will Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Bumgarner, John Christopher Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Buzina, Kirsti M. Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Buzza, Adriana Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Bunker, Kenneth Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Burek, Michael Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Burch, Michael Panel 18-28, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Burchard, Stephanie M. Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Burdan, Barry C. Panel 23-11, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Burge, Ryan P. Panel 56-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Burger, Nicholas Panel 15-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Burgess, Jan-William Panel 34-12, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Burgess, Susan Panel 29-21, Fri 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Burgess, Susan Panel 29-12, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Burgoon, Brian Panel 2-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Burgoon, Brian Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Burgoyne, Nancy B. Panel 29-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Burgoyne, Nancy B. Panel 29-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Burrall, Victoria Isabelle Panel 34-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Burkhalter, Stephanie Panel 42-22, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Burkhalter, Stephanie Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Burjaq, Diana Elena Panel 7-15, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Burrall, Edward Matthew Panel 29-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Burrall, Edward Matthew Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Burrall, Edward Matthew Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Burton, Jeffrey M. Panel 41-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Burton, Jeffrey M. Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Burdett, Craig Michael Panel 25-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Burratt, Craig Michael Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Burns, Courtney Panel 18-26, Sat 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Burns, Daniel Panel 56-3, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Burns, Peter F. Panel 47-10, Sat 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Burns, Peter F. Panel 47-16, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Burns, Sarah Panel 34-10, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Burns, Timothy Panel 33-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Burnside, Ashley C. Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Burnside, Randolph Panel 25-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Burrell, Barbara C. Panel 30-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Burrell, Barbara C. Panel 23-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Burrell, Barbara C. Panel 40-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Burton, Michael John Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Burton, Michael John Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Busch, Nathan E. Panel 34-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Busch, Peter Panel 34-14, Thur 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Busch, Peter Panel 34-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Busche, Heidi D. Panel 30-11, Sat 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Busche, Heidi D. Panel 30-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Bush, Sarah Lynn Panel 12-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Bussell, Jennifer Panel 10-13, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Bussell, Jennifer Panel 10-7, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Buzza, Sofia Panel 47-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Bustikova-Siroky, Lenka Panel 40-1, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Bustikova-Siroky, Lenka Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Buzayina, Irena Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Butler, Daniel M. Panel 42-3, Thur 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Butler, Daniel M. Panel 40-8, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Butler, Daniel M. Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Butler, Lawrence E. Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Butler, Sara Panel 54-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Buttorff, Gail J. Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Buzack, Eric Roundtable 32-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

- Byrne, William F. Panel 34-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Bytzek, Evelyn Panel 25-17, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Caceres, Jesabel Panel 9-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Caddell, Jeremy Panel 15-19, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Caggesi, Alessandro Panel 8-6, Fri 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Cahill-Riscoe, Katie Anne Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Cai, Melna Panel 5-3, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Cai, Melina Panel 14-7, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Cain, Sean A. Panel 25-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Cain, Zachary M. Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Caldeira, Gregory A. Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Calie, Corrie Elizabeth Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Califano, Brian Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Calhoun, Brian Robert Panel 56-15, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Caliendo, Stephen Maynard Panel 27-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Callaghan, Timothy Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Callahan, Steven Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Callender, Steven Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Calvert, Randall L. Panel 44-3, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Calvert, Randall L. Panel 44-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Calvert, Randall L. Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Calvo, Ernesto F. Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Calvo, Ernesto F. Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Calvo, Ernesto F. Author Meets Critics 2-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Calvo, Ernesto F. Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Calzada, Jara Panel 28-26, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Calzadilla Garcia, Maria Ofelia Panel 51-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Camara, Odilon Panel 37-7, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Camara, Odilon Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Cameron, Charles M. Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Camarero, Joe Panel 57-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Camarero, Joe Panel 57-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Camp, Edwin Joseph William Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Camp, Edwin Joseph William Panel 37-17, Sun 11:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Campbell, Adrian Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Campbell, Andrea L. Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Campbell, David Panel 56-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Campbell, David Roundtable 39-100, Thur 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Campbell, David Panel 56-15, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Campbell, Luke Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Camyar, Ira Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Camyar, Ira Panel 14-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Canache, Damaris Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Canache, Damaris Panel 7-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Cann, Damon M. Panel 23-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Cano, Damon M. Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Cano, Damon M. Panel 45-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Cano, David Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Cantr, Cristiano Panel 13-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Canz, Francisco A. Panel 5-20, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Canz, Francisco A. Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Canzwell, Devon Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Capora, K. June Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Capora, Bryan Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Caplan, Josh Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Caplan, Josh Panel 30-10, Sat 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Carallo, Anna C. Panel 30-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Carakoglu, AF Panel 25-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Carle, Jill Panel 48-2, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Carlin, Ryan Edward Panel 7-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Carlin, Ryan Edward Panel 4-31, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Carlin, Ryan Edward Panel 9-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Carlin, Ryan Edward Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Carllie, Juliet Panel 30-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Carllie, Juliet Panel 30-16, Fri 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Carlson, Paul Panel 42-19, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Carlson, Paul Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Carlson, Devon Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Carlson, Jon D. Panel 32-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Carlson, Jon D. Panel 34-18, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Carlson, Sabrina Marie Panel 14-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Carmichael, Edward G. Author Meets Critics 25-101, Thur 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Carmichael, Edward G. Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Carmona, Dustin Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Carney, Margaret A. Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Carney, Margaret A. Panel 30-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Carretero, Cristiane Panel 20-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Carretero, Cristiane Panel 15-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Carrio, Matthew Panel 54-15, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Carrio, Matthew Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Carros, Matthew Panel 9-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Carros, Nicholas Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Caro, Veronica Panel 14-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Caro, Veronica Panel 42-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Carpenter, Christopher Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Carpio, Christie Panel 18-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Carr, Jared B. Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Carr, Jared B. Panel 53-7, Fri 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Carr, Jared B. Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Carr, Jared B. Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Carranza, Mario Esteban Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Carranza, Mario Esteban Panel 20-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Carranza, Mario Esteban Panel 19-8, Sun 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Carranza Ko, Nusta Plutheca Panel 9-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Carreras, Miguel Panel 9-7, Thur 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Carreras, Miguel Panel 23-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Carreras, Miguel Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Carrig, Joseph Thomas Panel 35-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Carrre-Kretschmer, Erin Panel 7-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Carroll, Royce A. Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Carroll, Royce A. Panel 6-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Carroll, Royce A. Panel 42-24, Sat 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Carroll Goldstein, Allison Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Carroll Jones, Celia Panel 46-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Carroll Jones, Celia Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Carreblin, Clifford J. Panel 45-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Carsey, Thomas M. Author Meets Critics 25-101, Thur 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Carsey, Thomas M. Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Carson, Jamie L. Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Carson, Jamie L. Roundtable 42-100, Fri 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Carson, Jamie L. Panel 24-11, Sun 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Carter, Christopher Lee Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Carter, Danielle Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Carter, David B. Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Carter, David B. Panel 17-23, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor

Carter

Carter, Jennifer Panel 23-16, Sun 8:30 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Carter, Niamh Michele Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Carter, Timothy A. Panel 17-22, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Carter, Timothy A. Panel 18-28, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Carty, Kendall Claire Foster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Carusone, Kiki Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Carvalho, Edna Panel 16-7, Fri 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Carvalho, Rodney Andrew Panel 71-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Carvalho, Rodney Andrew Panel 71-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Caso, Agustín Panel 37-3, Thur 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Castell, Jason P. Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Castell, Jason P. Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Castell, Jason P. Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 3rd floor
Catey, Logan Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Caspar, Timothy William Panel 65-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Caspar, Timothy William Panel 65-3, Sat 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Casper, Brett Allen Panel 16-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Casper, Gretchen G. Panel 5-12, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Cassell, Mark K. Panel 39-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Cassell, Mark K. Roundtable 50-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Cassell, Mark K. Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Cassella, Jessica Panel 42-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Cassese, Erin C. Roundtable 1-108, Sat 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Cassese, Erin C. Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Cassese, Erin C. Panel 38-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Cassese, Erin C. Panel 29-25, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Cassidy, Jennifer Caitlin Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Cassimelli, Robert Joseph Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Cassino, Daniel Raymond Panel 27-2, Sun 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Castaneda-Angarita, Nestor Camilo Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Castaneda-Angarita, Nestor Camilo Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Castaneda-Angarita, Nestor Camilo Panel 23-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Castaneda-Angarita, Nestor Camilo Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Castell, Daniel Ricardo Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Castro, Libertad Panel 51-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Castro, Paula Panel 51-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Castro, Paula Panel 51-13, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Caswell, Bruce Edward Panel 71-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Caswell, Bruce Edward Panel 71-2, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Catudal, Phillip Paul Foster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Caulfield, Rachel Padoe Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Caugbell, Leslie Ann Panel 28-16, Thur 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Cauce, Helene Panel 8-18, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Cavani, Annam Panel 41-12, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Cavani, Annam Panel 41-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Cavani, Annam Panel 28-18, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Cavagli, Tamer Panel 3-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Cebi, Sevgi Seymen Foster 73-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Cebi, Sevgi Seymen Panel 65-1, Sat 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Cebi, Sevgi Seymen Panel 36-12, Sat 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Cebolla-Bardo, Hector Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Cecconi, Stephen Panel 3-1, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Cecconi, Stephen Panel 15-22, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Celestine-Mitchener, Jamie D. Panel 31-5, Fri 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Cello, A. Beth Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 1 on the 7th floor
Ceneviva, Rebecca Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Centellas, Miguel Panel 5-9, Fri 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Centellas, Miguel Roundtable 37-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Centellas, Miguel Panel 9-13, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Cera, Joseph Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Cera, Laura Panel 39-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Ciba, Song-Min Panel 7-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Chacha, Mirvia Panel 3-1, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Chacha, Mirvia Foster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Chacon, Mario L. Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Chakrabarty, Bidyut Panel 34-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Chamberlain, Adam Sander Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Chamberlain, Adam Sander Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Chan, Cheung Yid Foster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Chan, Kwun Nok Panel 72-1, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 7 on the 7th floor
Chan, Daniel E. Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Chandler, Maryam D.F. Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Chang, Alex C. H. Panel 4-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Chang, Alex C. H. Panel 5-16, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Chang, Klyung Panel 31-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Chang, Yi-Bin Panel 2-1, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Chang, Yongjin Panel 28-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Chanley, Virginia Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Chanley, Virginia Panel 28-27, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Chao, Wen-chih Panel 15-23, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Chapman, Stephen Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Chapman, Stephen Panel 23-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Chapman, Terrence Panel 45-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Chapman, Terrence Panel 20-14, Sun 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Chapp, Christopher Brody Panel 25-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Charnock, Emily Jane Panel 54-14, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Charron, Nicholas Panel 8-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Chatagnier, Tyson Panel 17-14, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Chatterjee, Abhishek Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Chatterjee, Abhishek Panel 14-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Chatterjee, Sarbajit Panel 36-2, Thur 11:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Chatterjee, Sushmita Panel 36-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Chattopadhyay, Jacqueline Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Chauvard, Simon Panel 10-7, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Choudhary, Stephen Panel 45-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Chausow, Lara Panel 24-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Chela-Lucas, Brenda Foster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Chhab, Sara Panel 17-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Chhab, Sara Panel 12-9, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Chhab, Jose Antonio Author Meets Critics 54-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Chhab, Jose Antonio Roundtable 1-104, Sat 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Chen, Christian Foster 60-203, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Chen, Chung-Yi Panel 8-15, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Chen, Jin Panel 18-7, Fri 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Chen, Jin Panel 15-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
Chen, Jing Panel 13-3, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Chen, Jonathan Foster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Chen, Jewel Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Chen, Jewel Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

- Chen, Jewel Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Chen, Pei-Hsiu Hugh Panel 5-9, Fri 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Chen, Phillip Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Chen, Shaming Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Chen, Tso-Hsin Panel 26-13, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Chen, Xi-Joe Panel 49-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Chen, Xi-Joe Panel 19-7, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Cheng, Grace Panel 20-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Cheng, Grace Panel 44-8, Sun 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Cheng, Justin Smith Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Cheun, Ohbat Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Cherepanov, Vadim Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Cheryl, Feryal Panel 6-1, Thur 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Cheryl, Feryal Marie Panel 20-8, Sat 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Cherry, Kevin M. Panel 63-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Cherry, Kevin M. Roundtable 32-100, Fri 4:15 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Cherry, Kevin M. Panel 65-3, Sat 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Chesthro, Hugo Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Chhabber, Pradeep Roundtable 1-104, Sat 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Chi, Eunju Panel 10-15, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Chi, Eunju Panel 7-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Chi, Eunju Panel 10-14, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Chiba, Daina Panel 18-22, Thur 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Chiba, Daina Panel 18-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Childers, Matthew Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Childers, Matthew Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Childress, John Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Chlou, Pang-Yi Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Chlou, Yi-bang Panel 10-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Chlou, Yi-bang Panel 15-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Chlou, Milbell Panel 13-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Chou, Samantha Lee-Ming Panel 7-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Cho, Dong Hyun Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Cho, Eunyong Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Cho, Shina Byungwoo Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Cho, Wendy K. Tam Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Cho, Wendy K. Tam Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Cho, Wonbin Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Cho, Wonbin Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Cho, Yoon Jik Panel 53-17, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Cho, Younghe Panel 23-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Cho, Younghe Panel 7-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Cho, Younghe Panel 28-27, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Cho, Yusun Panel 19-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Choi, Bannad Ki Seong Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Choi, Cheon Geun Panel 72-1, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Choi, Ehsan Panel 53-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Choi, Joo-in Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Choi, Naomil Panel 34-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Choi, Naomil Panel 35-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Choi, Sang Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Choi, Sang Panel 41-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Choi, Seoyoon Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Choi, Sungjoo Panel 43-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Chorney, Harold R. Panel 48-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Chorney, Harold R. Panel 43-4, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Choodhury, Zubir Arifin Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Choy, Yungsook Panel 19-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Christakis, Nicholas A. Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Christensen, Anna Panel 14-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Christensen, Robert Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Christensen, Dina Panel 22-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Christensen, Dina Roundtable 25-100, Fri 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Christensen, Dina Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Christensen, Dina Panel 45-3, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Christiansen, Flemming Jaul Panel 6-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Christiansen, Flemming Jaul Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Christophe, Antoinette Panel 39-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Chukmalajava, Parjita Panel 49-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Chun, Young Hee Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Chung, Chingju Panel 6-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Chung, Chingju Panel 7-17, Sat 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Chung, Kwun Bin Panel 20-14, Sun 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Chung, Kuyoun Panel 16-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Chung, Kyusun Panel 26-12, Fri 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Church, Jeffrey Panel 33-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Church, Jeffrey Panel 35-5, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Chutkan, Dawn M. Panel 45-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Chutkan, Dawn M. Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Chytch, Olga V. Panel 16-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Cizoch, Mark A. Panel 13-4, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Cizoch, Mark A. Panel 13-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Cingronelli, David Panel 16-8, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Cirrotanu, Julia Panel 2-9, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Clacorianu, Julia Panel 7-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Clapak, Bülal Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Clapak, Bülal Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Clares, Abesondra Panel 53-13, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Clare, Ondrej Panel 40-1, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Claria, Jack Panel 27-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Claria, Jack Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Clark, David Panel 28-25, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Clavettini, Andrew J. W. Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Clavettini, Andrew J. W. Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Clavettini, Andrew J. W. Panel 27-8, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Clazmar, Anne Panel 28-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Claassen, Rutger Panel 48-3, Fri 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Claassen, Rutger Panel 35-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Clapp, Adam Panel 8-20, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Clardie, Justin Panel 18-24, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Clark, April Panel 28-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Clark, April Panel 28-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Clark, April Panel 28-22, Sat 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Clark, Christopher Judge Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Clark, Jennifer Hayes Panel 42-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Clark, Jennifer Hayes Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Clark, John A. Panel 40-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Clark, Michael Panel 8-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Clark, Michael Panel 7-15, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Clark, Michael Roundtable 8-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Clark, Nicholas Panel 7-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Clark, Tom Panel 45-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Clark, Tom Panel 45-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Clarke, Harold D. Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Clarke, Harold D. Roundtable 8-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Clarke, Kevin A. Panel 38-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Clary, Caddis Brenner Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Clawson, Rosabea Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Clay, K. Chad Panel 15-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Clayman, Sarah Pearl Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Cleaver

Cleaver, Gavin MacGregor Panel 34-4, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Clemens, Aunika Panel 40-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Cleven, Erik Panel 5-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandberg 2 on the 7th floor
Clifford, Scott Panel 28-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Clifford, Stacy Poster 73-201, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Cline, Greg Panel 37-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Clise, Greg Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Clifaroa, Joshua Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Clifton, Joshua Panel 52-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Clize, Billy Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Clough, Emily Panel 29-24, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Clough, Emily Panel 22-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Clouse, Clayton E. Panel 38-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Coco, Travis Glen Panel 27-14, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Cobb, Michael D. Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Cobb, Michael D. Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Cody, Janay C. Panel 28-14, Sun 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Coe, Andrew James Panel 17-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Coe, Andrew James Panel 17-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Coelho, Beatriz Bandeira Panel 50-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 3rd floor
Coffee, Eva Panel 7-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Coggins, K. Elizabeth Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Coggins, K. Elizabeth Panel 45-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Cohen, David B. Panel 41-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Cohen, Nadia Panel 12-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Cohen, Mel Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Cohn, Lindsay P. Panel 16-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandberg 6 on the 7th floor
Cohn, Lindsay P. Panel 16-7, Thur 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Cohn, Molly Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Colan, Adam J. Panel 6-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Colba, Gloveal Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Coker, Casey Panel 57-5, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Colaresi, Michael Panel 16-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Colaresi, Michael Panel 16-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Cole, J. Bryan Panel 23-11, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Cole, Joshua C. Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Coleman, Joe Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Coleman, John J. Panel 1-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Coleman, Major G. Panel 44-9, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Coleman, Major G. Panel 44-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandberg 3 on the 7th floor
Colgan, Jeff Panel 17-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Colgan, Jeff Panel 17-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Colgan, Jeff Panel 5-22, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Collingwood, Loren Panel 21-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Collins, Brian K. Panel 53-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Collins, Mary Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Collins, Paul M. Panel 45-20, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Collins, Paul M. Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Collins, Paul M. Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Collins, Tina Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Collins, Treva Chason Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Colmo, Christopher A. Panel 56-3, Sun 10:25 am, Sandberg 4 on the 7th floor
Combs, Michael Warren Panel 45-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Comoluso, Vincent John Panel 33-5, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Conley, Brian Matthew Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Conner, Thaddeus W. Panel 28-16, Thur 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Connolly, Melissa A. Panel 12-17, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Coover, Pamela J. Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Coonite, Manuela Panel 43-1, Fri 10:25 am, Sandberg 8 on the 7th floor
Coonite, Madeline Panel 43-2, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor

Contractor, Cyrus Ali Panel 56-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Cooney, Andrew Panel 72-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Cooney, Maura Panel 17-8, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Cook, Christopher R. Panel 29-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Cook, Zachary E. Panel 7-15, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Cook, Zachary E. Panel 40-10, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Cooney, Kate Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Cooper, Retay L. Panel 27-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Cooper, Julie Panel 33-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandberg 5 on the 7th floor
Cooper, Walter Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Cope-Kastro, Carl Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Coplevitch, Mark Roundtable 1-107, Fri 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Corbett, Ross J. Panel 34-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Corbett, Ross J. Panel 33-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Corbett, Ross J. Panel 33-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Corbetta, Renato Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Corbetta, Renato Panel 18-25, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Corder, J. Kevin Roundtable 50-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Cordero, Laila Margarita Panel 10-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandberg 2 on the 7th floor
Corduneau-Hock, Cristina Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Corliss, Catherine L. Panel 2-15, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Corneak, Lindsey Panel 42-22, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Cornell, Agnes Panel 6-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Cornell, Agnes Panel 5-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Coronel, Jason Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Correa, Diego Sanchez Panel 14-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Correa-Cabrera, Guadalupe Panel 16-18, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Corstange, Daniel Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Corstange, Daniel Panel 38-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Cortina, Jeronimo Panel 28-21, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Cortina, Jeronimo Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Cortina, Jeronimo Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Cosby, Kellie Panel 33-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Cosby, Kellie Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Costa, Carlos Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Costanzo, Georgia Panel 33-5, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Costello, Rory Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Costello, Rory Panel 8-22, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Costello, Jella Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Cottrill, David Panel 50-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Cottrill, James B. Panel 42-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Cottrill, James B. Panel 42-17, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Court, Whitney L. Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Courtney, Michael Panel 42-26, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Coyne/Dillon, Juan Pablo Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Covington, Cary R. Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Covington, Cary R. Panel 24-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Cox, Adam Christopher Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Cox, Gary W. Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Craines, Thaddeus Panel 50-20, Sun 8:30 am, Sandberg 4 on the 7th floor
Crain, Ryan T. Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Crain, McKizzle Panel 45-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Crappton, Eric Panel 23-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Craoney, Stephen Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Cravens, Matthew Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Cravens, Matthew Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Craw, Michael Panel 47-3, Fri 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Craw, Michael Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Crawford, Kerry Panel 30-15, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Crawford, Sue E. S. Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor

- Creek, Heather M. Panel 46-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Crespin, Michael H. Panel 24-3, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Crespin, Michael H. Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Crespo-Terrello, Adriana Panel 15-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Creswell, Michael H. Panel 17-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Criollo, Honor Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Crider, Kayla Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Crisher, Brian Benjamin Panel 18-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Crisman-Cox, Cissy Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Crisp, Brian R. Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Cross, James P. Panel 8-22, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Crosby, William J. Panel 40-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Crow, Desera Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Crow, Desera Panel 50-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Crow, Desera Panel 51-12, Sun 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Crowder-Meyer, Melody Panel 30-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Crowder-Meyer, Melody Panel 30-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Cruz, Jose E. Panel 31-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Csaps, Martina Danielle Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Cuffe, John Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Culhane, Paul J. Panel 50-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Culhane, Paul J. Panel 51-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Collata, David Thomas Panel 57-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Calver, Lowell W. Panel 8-21, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Curley, Cali Anne Panel 50-15, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Curry, Brett W. Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Curry, Brett W. Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Curry, Brett W. Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Curry, James Michael Panel 42-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Curry, James Michael Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Curry, Jill L. Panel 22-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Curry, Olivia Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Curry, Rebecca S. Panel 45-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Curry, Rebecca S. Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Curry, Todd A. Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Curtis, Janette Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Curtis, Janette Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Curtis, K. Amber Panel 7-1, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Curtis, K. Amber Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Custer, Brent Edwin Panel 32-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Custer, Brent Edwin Panel 32-8, Sun 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Cutler, Fred Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Cuth, David John Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Czaja, Erica Panel 27-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 D'Amico, Nicholas Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 D'Arcy, Michelle Panel 4-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 D'Orazio, Vito Panel 18-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 D'Orazio, Vito Panel 18-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 de Luz, Cicero Krupp Panel 20-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 De Rosa, Barbara Panel 6-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 De Ros, Luciana Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Dabbsch, Axel Panel 2-10, Sat 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Davies, Matthew S. Panel 23-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Dedkar, Sumat Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 DeGg, Ian Panel 32-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 DeHilberg, Stefan Panel 28-29, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 DeHilfah, Yaseen Panel 36-22, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Deigle, Delton Thomas Panel 7-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 DeJha, Delton Thomas Panel 7-17, Sun 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 DeJani, Aonjed Panel 36-12, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Deka, Mark Taylor Panel 49-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Delager, Jan Karl Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Delager, Jan Karl Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Daley, Dorothy M. Panel 53-7, Fri 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Daley, Dorothy M. Roundtable 70-101, Sun 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Dalrymple, Kisha Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Daly, Sarah Zukerman Panel 18-29, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Damora, David F. Panel 42-16, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Damora, David F. Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Damora, David F. Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Dana, Karan Panel 12-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Dana, Karan Panel 28-22, Sat 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Dana, Karan Panel 12-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Dancoy, Logan Michael Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Daney, Logan Michael Panel 42-23, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Daniels, R. Steven Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Daniels, R. Steven Panel 41-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Dandeeon, Michael S. Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Dandeeon, Sean Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Dandlenko, Nina Nikolayevna Panel 17-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Danoff, Brian Panel 35-5, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Danoff, Brian Panel 35-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Danyl, Paul Panel 16-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Dapell, Geraldine Klean Panel 17-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Dar, Luciana Nogueira Panel 50-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Dar, Luciana Nogueira Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Dar, Luciana Nogueira Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Dar, Luciana Nogueira Panel 28-26, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Dardas, Keith Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Dardas, Keith Panel 17-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Darnold, David Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Darnell, Alfred Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Darr, Benjamin Joseph Panel 10-1, Thur 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Darr, Benjamin Joseph Panel 21-10, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Darr, Benjamin Joseph Panel 10-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Das, Runk Panel 10-10, Sat 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Davenport, Lauren Diane Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Davenport, Lauren Diane Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Davis, Bill Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Davis, Daniel Orton Panel 36-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Davis, Daniel Orton Panel 35-3, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Davis, Darren Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Davis, Jan A. Panel 41-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Davis, Jan A. Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Davis, Trenton J. Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Dawe, Ryan Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Dawes, Chris Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Dawes, Chris Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Dawoody, Alexander R. Panel 47-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Dawoody, Alexander R. Panel 28-4, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Dawoody, Alexander R. Panel 5-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Dawoody, Alexander R. Panel 5-7, Thur 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Day, Joel Keith Panel 20-7, Thur 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Day, Jonathan Panel 46-6, Fri 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor

Day

Day, Jonathan Panel 22-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 De Freitas, Mônica Panel 47-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 De La O, Ada Lorena Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 De La O, Ana Lorena Panel 4-28, Sun 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 De Marchi, Scott Panel 24-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 De Neve, Jean-Emanuel Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 De Neve, Jean-Emanuel Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 de Rooij, Elize Adriane Panel 23-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 de Rooij, Elize Adriane Panel 23-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 De Sio, Lorenzo Panel 7-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 De Sio, Lorena Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 De Souza, Indra Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 De Vreeze, Claes H. Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 De Vreeze, Claes H. Roundtable 1-101, Sat 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 De Vries, Catherine Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 De Vries, Catherine Panel 8-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 De Vries, Catherine Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 De Vries, Helma G. E. Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 De Vries, Helma G. E. Panel 18-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Dean, Laura A. Panel 30-15, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Dean, Tahira Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Deaton, Clifford Devin Panel 12-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 DeBoer, Jennifer Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Debs, Alexandre Panel 18-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Debs, Alexandre Panel 17-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Debs, Alexandre Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Decanio, Sam J. Panel 54-6, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Deckano, Melissa M. Panel 56-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Deckano, Melissa M. Panel 56-15, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Deegan, Michele Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Degregorio, Christine Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Degregorio, Christine Panel 42-14, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 DeHart, Paul Robert Panel 36-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 DeHart, Paul Robert Panel 33-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Dehael, David Panel 45-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Deltz, Joann L. Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Delaney, Jennifer A. Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Delchanty, William Kirby Panel 19-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Delchanty, William Kirby Panel 31-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 DellaVigna, Stefano Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Dellmouth, Lisa Panel 16-7, Fri 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Delmad, Ashlie Brooke Panel 51-12, Sun 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Demiralp, Seda Panel 12-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Demirbas, Ali Panel 12-2, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Dempsey, Erik Panel 65-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Den Hartog, Chris Roundtable 42-102, Fri 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Den Hartog, Chris Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Denkers, Chipo Panel 5-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Denezhkina, Elena YIMorova Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Denward, Linda F. Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Deoniston, Mark W. Panel 45-26, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Depton, Ginger L. Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Deputy, Emma Kathleen Panel 55-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Deputy, Emma Kathleen Panel 12-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor

DeSante, Christopher David Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 DeSante, Christopher David Panel 27-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 DeSante, Christopher David Panel 31-11, Sat 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Desch, Michael C. Roundtable 54-101, Thur 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Deserio, Doree Panel 14-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Deskins Jr., Donald R. Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Desmet, Pieterjan Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Desposito, Scott W. Panel 37-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Desposito, Scott W. Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Desposito, Scott W. Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Desposito, Scott W. Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Detrey, Bryan J. Panel 28-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Deaneborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Detrey, Bryan J. Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Desoney, Joseph S. Panel 44-3, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Desoney, Joseph S. Panel 44-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 DeWard, Chad Panel 15-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Dewal, Selgitha Panel 5-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Dewan, Toran Panel 37-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Dewan, Toran Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Dewan, Toran Panel 37-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Dewan, Toran Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Dewitt, Darin Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Dewitt, Jeff R. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Dhurganon, Ritu Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Di Gregorio, Michael N. Panel 34-10, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Di Gregorio, Michael N. Panel 32-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 DiMarzio, Aaron Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Diaz, Carlisa F. Panel 45-6, Fri 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Diaz-Rosillo, Carlos Enrique Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Diaz-Rosillo, Carlos Enrique Panel 41-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Dibbe, Carl M. Panel 44-9, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Dickey, Wendy Justice Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Dickinson, Amber Panel 22-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Deaneborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Dickson, Eric Panel 37-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Dickson, Eric Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Dickson, Eric Panel 37-16, Sun 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Dick, Janie Panel 29-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Diemer, Daniel Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Diemer, Daniel Panel 37-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 DiMichele, Bryce Jensen Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 DiMichele, Bryce Jensen Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 DiMichele, Robert Jefferson Panel 19-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 DiMaggio, Anthony Ross Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 DiMaggio, Anthony Ross Panel 29-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Dimitrov, Martin K. Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Dimitrov, Martin K. Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Dimola, Sood Panel 21-10, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Dinan, Elias Panel 26-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Dinan, Elias Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Dinan, Elias Panel 1-5, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Dinardo, Peter Thibed Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Dinardo, Peter Thibed Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Ding, Sheng Panel 10-15, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Dionne, Kim Yi Panel 11-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Dionne, Kim Yi Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Dionne, Kim Yi Panel 49-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Diouf, Emma Dismorgue Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

- Dariusson, Michael Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Davano, Tessa Marie Panel 27-11, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Davy, Kelly E. Panel 10-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Ditzmeyer, Christopher R. Panel 17-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Dixon, Kristin Panel 12-9, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Dixon, Kristin Panel 12-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Dixon, Gregory Curtis Panel 16-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Dixon, Gregory Curtis Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Dixon, Jeffrey Panel 18-18, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Dixon, Jeffrey Panel 18-19, Thur 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Djups, Paul A. Panel 36-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Djups, Paul A. Panel 25-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Djups, Paul A. Panel 30-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Djups, Paul A. Panel 36-15, Sat 10:25 am, Saloa 7 on the 3rd floor
 Du Vale, Helder Ferreira Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Duas, Aletha E. Panel 49-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Duas, Aletha E. Panel 49-8, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Dubard, John Panel 36-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Dubransky, Steven Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Dubransky, Steven P. Panel 71-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Dubransky, Steven P. Panel 20-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Dubransky, Steven P. Panel 29-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Dubak, Bernard Joseph Panel 63-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indians on the 3rd floor
 Dubak, Bernard Joseph Roundtable 71-100, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Duboznakis, Laurent Panel 34-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Duboznakis, Laurent Panel 34-17, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Duas, John A. Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Dudd, Lawrence C. Panel 42-28, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Dudd, Lawrence C. Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Dudd, Lawrence C. Panel 42-14, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Dudd, Lynda Panel 54-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Dudd, Lynda Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Doherty, Brendan J. Panel 41-12, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Doherty, Brendan J. Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Doherty, David Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Doherty, David Panel 28-27, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Doherty, David Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Doherty, Lesane Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Doherty, Steven Joseph Panel 31-6, Fri 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Doherty, Steven Joseph Panel 31-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Dolbe, Julie Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Dolan, Kathleen Panel 30-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Dolger, Stefan P. Panel 34-19, Sat 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Dolger, Stefan P. Panel 32-5, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Dolgopolarov, Irlina Sergeevna Panel 17-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Domeyer, Axel Panel 36-16, Sun 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Dominguez, Casey Bk Panel 41-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Dominguez, Casey Bk Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Dominguez, Casey Bk Panel 41-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Dougherty, Margaret Panel 4-10, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Dosselt, Michael J. Panel 28-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Dosselt, Michael J. Panel 7-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Dossman, Todd Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Dossman, Todd Panel 26-5, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Doppelt, Gerald Panel 34-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Doppelt, Gerald Panel 34-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Dorton, Charles Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Dotter, Richard Panel 15-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Dougherty, Keith L. Panel 35-4, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Dougherty, Keith L. Panel 42-19, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Douglas, Rex W. Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Douglas, Rex W. Panel 17-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Dow, Jay K. Panel 26-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Dow, Jay K. Panel 26-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Dowley, Kathleen Panel 6-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Dowley, Kathleen Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Saloa 12 on the 3rd floor
 Dowling, Connor M. Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Dowling, Connor M. Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Dowling, Connor M. Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Dowling, Connor M. Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Dowling, Connor M. Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Downes, Gerard Panel 15-9, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Doyle, David Thomas Panel 15-18, Thur 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Doyle, Thomas E. Panel 32-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Doyle, Thomas E. Panel 37-6, Sun 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Doyle, Thomas E. Panel 32-8, Sun 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Draga, Tiberia Panel 37-16, Sun 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Draga, Tiberia Panel 37-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Dragoljic, Gorana Panel 5-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Drake, Shawa C. Panel 48-6, Sat 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Drlessen, Michael D. Panel 56-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Driscoll, Amanda Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Drape, Jeffrey Panel 49-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Drape, Jeffrey Panel 20-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Dripp, Kyle Anthony Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Dreedt, Joanna Panel 16-19, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Druckman, James N. Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Druckman, James N. Roundtable 1-103, Sat 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Druckman, James N. Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Duan, Haiyan Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Dube, Jennifer Ann Panel 45-26, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Duch, Raymond Roundtable 1-105, Fri 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Duch, Raymond Panel 29-23, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Duch, Raymond Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Duch, Raymond Panel 7-15, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Duch, Raymond Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Duch, Raymond Panel 7-17, Sun 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Dudik, Jane McDonald Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Duerr, Glen Panel 21-2, Thur 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Duff, Brian Panel 36-10, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Duff, Brian Panel 36-12, Sat 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Duff, John Panel 37-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Dufresne, Yannick Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Dulko, David Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Dull, Matthew M. Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Dull, Matthew M. Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Dumas, Jennifer Panel 18-3, Sun 10:25 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Dumitrescu, Delia Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Dumitrescu, Delia Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Dunaway, Johanna Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Dunaway, Johanna Panel 22-3, Sun 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Dunn, Marika Panel 42-3, Sun 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Dunn, Karl Panel 8-22, Sun 11:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Duong, Kevin Panel 36-9, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Duran, Rafael Ch. Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Durazo, Marco Antonio Panel 21-11, Sat 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Duran, Ari Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Durso, Aaron Panel 46-16, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Dutton, Jessica Panel 47-7, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Dutton, Yvonne Marie Panel 20-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Duval, Robert Panel 29-12, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Duvanova

Duvanova, Dina S. Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Duvanova, Dina S. Panel 13-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Duvanova, Dina S. Panel 14-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Dwyer, Carlin E. Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Dwyer, Carlin E. Panel 23-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Dyck, Joshua J. Panel 46-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Dyck, Joshua J. Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Dyck, Joshua J. Author Meets Critics 2-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Dye, Thomas R. Roundtable 1-103, Thur 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Dzarrac, David Panel 54-6, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Easter, Beth Panel 45-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor

Easterly, Bianca Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Easterly, Bianca Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Easton, Malcolm R. Panel 5-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Eaves, Carrie Parker Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Eberly, Todd Panel 41-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Eberly, Todd Panel 41-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor

Eckert, Yukiel Alper Panel 21-10, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor

Eck, Kristine Panel 18-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Eck, Kristine Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Eckel, Catherine C. Panel 50-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Eckert, Amy E. Panel 36-16, Sun 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor

Eckert, Peter Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Eder, Christina Panel 30-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Edgerly, Stephanie Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Edwards, George C. Roundtable 1-106, Fri 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Edwards, Jason Thomas Panel 66-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Parker G on the 6th floor

Edwards, Jason Thomas Panel 28-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Edwards, Jennifer Panel 30-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Edwards, Margaret Foster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Edwards, Martin K. Panel 15-18, Thur 8:30 am, Parker G on the 6th floor

Edwards, Mary M. Panel 47-10, Sat 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Edwards, Scott Foster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

Edwards-Hans, Patricia Panel 26-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Edwards-Hans, Patricia Panel 47-5, Fri 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor

Egan, Patrick Foster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

Egan, Patrick J. Panel 28-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

Egan, Patrick J. Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Eggers, Andrew Panel 42-1, Thur 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Eggers, Andrew Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Egorov, Georgy Panel 14-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

Egorov, Georgy Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Egorov, Georgy Panel 37-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor

Egan, Shiloma Panel 28-38, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor

Egula, Jon X. Panel 37-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Egula, Jon X. Panel 37-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor

Ehrlich, Sean D. Panel 15-19, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Ehrlich, Sean D. Panel 14-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Kilmolt on the 3rd floor

Eisenbart, Kathryn Elaine Panel 43-2, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor

Eisenhardt, Todd Panel 4-22, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

Eisenhardt, Todd Panel 5-6, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Eisenhardt, Todd Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Ekins, Emily Panel 23-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Elazar, Yitsh Panel 33-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor

Elder, Laurel E. Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Elit, Maria Panel 25-15, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Elmder, Mikael Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Elmder, Mikael Panel 27-14, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Elmder, Mikael Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Elkins, Zachary Panel 45-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Elkins, Zachary Panel 23-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Elling, Richard C. Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Elling, Richard Clement Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Ellington, Tanja Panel 4-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor

Ellington, Tanja Panel 4-24, Sat 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Ellisett-Teague, Ginger Leigh Panel 5-2, Thur 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor

Ellisett-Teague, Ginger Leigh Panel 5-22, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Ellis, Christopher Reid Panel 52-5, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Ellis, Elisabeth Panel 36-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Ellis, William Curtis Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Ellis, William Curtis Panel 42-18, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Elmelund-Prastekær, Christiana Panel 29-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Elmelund-Prastekær, Christiana Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Elmelund-Prastekær, Christiana Panel 8-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor

Elmelund-Prastekær, Christiana Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Emery, James Kellures Panel 27-2, Sun 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Emison, Emily Foster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Emanuel, Nikolaj Panel 18-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor

Emrey, Jelly Ann Panel 50-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Emrey, Jelly Ann Panel 50-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Emrey, Jelly Ann Panel 44-8, Sun 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor

Ender, Walter Panel 17-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Ender, Walter Panel 17-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor

Enderby, James W. Panel 28-37, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Enderby, James W. Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Enderby, James W. Panel 42-23, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Endres, Kyle L. Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Enemark, Daniel Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Enemark, Daniel Panel 11-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Engbers, Trent Aaron Panel 41-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Engbers, Trent Aaron Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Engel, Stephen Marcus Roundtable 54-102, Sat 2:40 pm, Spirit Parlor on the 6th floor

Engel, Isabelle Panel 30-10, Sat 8:30 am, Parker G on the 6th floor

Engel, Isabelle Panel 48-2, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Engle, Pat Jason Panel 29-37, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Englehart, Neil Panel 43-1, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Englehart, Neil Panel 20-8, Sat 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Einkolopov, Ruben Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Einkolopov, Ruben Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Enns, Peter Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Enns, Ryan D. Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Enns, Ryan D. Panel 31-5, Fri 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor

Enns, Ryan D. Panel 11-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Ennsley, Michael Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 11 on the 3rd floor

Ennsley, Michael Panel 24-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Enterline, Andrew J. Panel 16-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor

Enterline, Andrew J. Panel 16-21, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

Entman, Robert Roundtable 1-101, Sat 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Epifanio, Marielisa Panel 48-2, Sat 11:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Epifanio, Marielisa Panel 16-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor

Epperly, Brad Panel 13-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Eppstein, Ben Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Eprelzo, Lee Author Meets Critics 44-102, Fri 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Erbring, Lutz W. Panel 29-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor

- Erden-Akay, Ebru Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Erden-Akay, Ebru Panel 12-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Ergene, Ceren Panel 10-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Ericson, David E. Panel 54-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Ericson, David F. Panel 54-13, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Erlanson, Robert S. Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Erlanson, Robert S. Author Moses Critics 29-100, Sun 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Erben, Craig Panel 7-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Erben, Craig Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Erben, Craig Panel 27-2, Sun 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Erben, Bill Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Erben, Bill Panel 7-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Erber, Helen Abbie Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Erny, Melissa Panel 36-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Escobar, Jacqueline S. Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Escobar-Lammon, Maria Panel 4-16, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Escobar-Lammon, Maria Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Escobar-Lammon, Maria Panel 6-16, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark III on the 7th floor
- Esnard, Ana-Margarita Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Espirito-Santo, Ann Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Espirito-Santo, Ann Panel 30-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Esteban, Rheanne Joy Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Esterling, Kevin M. Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Esterling, Kevin M. Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Esterling, Kevin M. Roundtable 1-109, Sat 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Esterling, Kevin M. Panel 39-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Estevez, Hermando Arturo Panel 34-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Estrada, Luis M. Panel 9-13, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Eubner, Jonathan Paul Panel 45-31, Sun 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Eua, Jonathan Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Eua, Jonathan Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Evans, Denise Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Evans, Diana Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Evans, Heather Kristen Panel 29-24, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Evans, Heather Kristen Panel 29-21, Fri 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Evans, Heather Kristen Panel 29-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Evans, Jocelyn Jones Roundtable 57-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Evans, John C. Panel 36-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Evans, John C. Panel 33-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Evans, John C. Panel 36-22, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Evans, Kevin Andrew Panel 40-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Evans, Mays Panel 31-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Evans, Sean F. Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Evans, Sean F. Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Everett, Andrea Panel 16-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Ewaslak, Craig Richard Panel 34-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Ewenstein, Paul Panel 17-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Eggt, Debra Panel 6-16, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Eggt, Debra Panel 6-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Ezrow, Lawrence Roundtable 1-105, Fri 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Ezrow, Lawrence Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Faa, Thorsten Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Faa, Thorsten Panel 29-21, Fri 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Faa, Thorsten Panel 22-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Faa, Thorsten Panel 22-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Faber, Michael J. Panel 72-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Faber, Michael J. Panel 33-8, Fri 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Faber, Michael J. Panel 54-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Faber, Michael J. Panel 54-13, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Falls, Matthew Panel 14-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
- Falls, Matthew Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Fahn, Luana Panel 36-17, Sat 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Fair, Christine Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Fair, Christine Panel 17-8, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Fairwood, Amir Shawa Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Fairwood, Amir Shawa Panel 26-16, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Falasz, Arash Panel 12-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
- Falgout, Michael Panel 34-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Fallett, Tulla G. Panel 4-16, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Fallett, Tulla G. Author Moses Critics 34-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Spice Parlor on the 6th floor
- Fallett, Tulla G. Panel 4-11, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 1 on the 7th floor
- Fan, David P. Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Fan, David P. Panel 38-6, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Fang, Henning Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Farras, Tom Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Farrag, Sean Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Farrag, Sean Panel 45-16, Sun 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Farris, Christopher J. Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Farris, Christopher J. Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Farrer, Benjamin David Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Farrer, Julianne Roundtable 45-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Farrer, Julianne Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Farris, Emily Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Faughton, Brian Matthew Panel 4-16, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Fauson, Kristen L. Panel 8-5, Fri 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Fay, Daniel L. Panel 50-17, Sun 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Fazal, Tanisha Panel 18-21, Thur 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Fazal, Tanisha Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Fazekas, Zoltan Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Fazekas, Zoltan Panel 38-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Feddersen, Timothy J. Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Federer-Schayer, Hilda Panel 7-3, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Federiro, Christopher Michael Panel 27-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Federico, Christopher Michael Panel 27-16, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Fedorova, Maria Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Feeley, Norris Panel 19-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Felick, Richard Panel 51-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Felick, Richard Panel 51-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Feldman, Stanley Panel 28-22, Sat 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Feldmann, Andreas E. Panel 18-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Felth, Brian Michael Panel 17-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Felts, Nicholas A. Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Ferguson, Margaret R. Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Ferguson, Margaret R. Panel 46-12, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Ferguson, Mark Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Ferguson, Leopoldo Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Ferguson, Leopoldo Panel 7-12, Sat 4:15 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Fernandez, Juan Manuel Panel 9-5, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Fernandez, Kenneth E. Panel 5-1, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Fernandez, Maria Antonia Panel 6-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor

Fernandez Garcia

Fernandez Garcia, Nuria Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Fernandez Garcia, Nuria Panel 29-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Fernandez Ortega, Jorge Alberto Panel 9-1, Thur 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Fernandez-Alberres, Jose Panel 15-22, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Ferradino, Cecilia Panel 50-16, Sun 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Farwell, Jason Panel 34-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Farrrell, Jason Panel 36-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Fay, Mark Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Fay, Mark Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Fisher, Pamela Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Flecht, Laura K. Panel 34-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Feldner, James D. Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Fieldhouse, Edward A. Panel 23-13, Fri 11:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Fifer, Nicholas Marie Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Filippov, Mikhail Panel 14-7, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Filippov, Mikhail Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Filippov, Mikhail Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Filla, Jackie Ann Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Filla, Jackie Ann Panel 47-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Filla, Jackie Ann Panel 23-17, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Finch, Malda A. Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Finch, Malda A. Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Finley, Michael C. Panel 18-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Fine, Jeffrey Allen Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Fine, Michael R. Panel 44-3, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Fine, Michael R. Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Finggold, Judi Alicia Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Finke, Daniel Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Finke, Daniel Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Finke, Daniel Panel 42-25, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Finn, Chris Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Finn, Dan Panel 68-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Finn, Devin Panel 10-13, Sun 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Finocchiaro, Charles J. Panel 42-18, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Finocchiaro, Charles J. Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Fischer, Henning Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Florino, Morris P. Author Meets Critics 25-101, Thur 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Florino, Daniel Panel 51-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Florino, Daniel Panel 51-10, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Florin, Manuel Panel 72-1, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Fischer, Sarah Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Fisher, Merrick Christine Panel 16-21, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Fiskin, James S. Panel 28-4, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Fisk, David Panel 42-26, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Fisk, David Panel 42-24, Sat 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
FitzGerald, Gerald Thomas Panel 8-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Fitzgerald, Jennifer Panel 7-1, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Fitzgerald, Jennifer Panel 28-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Fitzgerald, Jennifer Panel 7-17, Sun 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Fly, Jon H. Panel 26-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Fly, Michael P. Panel 38-8, Fri 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Fly, Michael P. Panel 45-16, Sun 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Flomond, Laura Panel 9-12, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Flanagan, Borden Panel 71-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Flanders, Chad Roundtable 11-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Flavin, Andrew Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Flavin, Patrick Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Fleig, Andrea Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Fleischer, Julia Panel 6-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Fleischer, Julia Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Fleischmann, Arnold Panel 47-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Fleisher, Richard Panel 42-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Fleming, David J. Panel 49-6, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Flinn, Carla M. Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Flores, Adrian Panel 16-11, Sat 8:30 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
Floresnelg, Benjamin Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Flores, Joseph A. Panel 15-12, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Flores, Andrew Ryan Panel 66-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Flores, Henry Panel 31-11, Sat 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Flores, Thomas Edward Panel 18-29, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Flores, Thomas Edward Panel 18-30, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Flye, Rayon L. Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Flye, Kayna L. Panel 28-26, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Flynn, Michael Panel 15-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Flynn-Plerry, Holly Panel 40-13, Sun 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Fobes, Ellen Panel 48-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Fobé, Ellen Panel 48-6, Sat 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Fogarty, Brian J. Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Foley, Peter Panel 28-16, Thur 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Foley, Peter Panel 27-8, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Folke, Olie Panel 26-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Fong, Puban Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Fong, Puban Panel 37-16, Sun 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Ford, Joan Panel 28-27, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Ford, Joan Guillaume Panel 37-7, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 3 on the 7th floor
Ford, Jean Guillaume Panel 37-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Ford, Robert E. Panel 51-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Ford, Stuart Panel 44-8, Sun 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Forjawan, Bernard Panel 34-20, Fri 11:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Formen, John Patrick Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Fortin, Jessica Panel 5-22, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Fortin, Jessica Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Fortin, Jessica Panel 30-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Fortner, Michael Javen Panel 31-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Fortner, Michael Javen Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Fortner, Michael Javen Panel 54-9, Sat 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Fortnator, David Panel 6-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Foster, Joseph Panel 42-16, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Fott, David Panel 65-3, Sat 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Fott, David Panel 32-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Fowler, Anthony Panel 23-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Fowler, Anthony Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Fowler, Erik Franklin Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Fowler, James H. Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Fowler, James H. Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Fowler, Tim Panel 7-17, Sun 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Foa, Gerald T. Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Foa, Gerald T. Panel 41-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Foa, Justin Panel 45-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Foa, Justin Panel 37-7, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Foa, Justin Panel 37-4, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Foa, Richard L. Panel 30-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Fosworth, Raymond Panel 9-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Foy, Joseph James Panel 46-17, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Franceschet, Antonio Panel 36-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Franceschet, Antonio Panel 20-8, Sat 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Francis, Megan Roundtable 64-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Francis, Nathan Jerome Panel 51-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

- Francisco, Ronald A. Panel 18-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Francisco, Ana Belen Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Francis, Heidi H. Panel 46-8, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Frank, Richard W. Panel 16-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Frank, Richard W. Panel 16-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Frankel, Rafael D. Panel 20-15, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Franklin, Charles H. Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Franklin, Mark N. Panel 7-1, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Franklin, Mark N. Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Franklin, Mark N. Panel 7-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Franklin, Mark N. Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Franklin, Mark N. Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Franklin, Sekou Panel 51-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Franko, William W. Panel 40-2, Thur 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Franko, William W. Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Franz, Michael M. Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Franzese, Robert J. Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Franzese, Robert J. Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Franz, Coraella Panel 42-25, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Frazier, Michael Leo Roundtable 33-110, Thur 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Frazier, Michael Leo Panel 33-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Frazier, Mabele Panel 56-7, Fri 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Frederick, Brian P. Panel 30-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Frederick, Brian P. Panel 45-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Frederking, Lawrence Cynthia Panel 56-7, Fri 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Frederking, Lauretta Cooklin Panel 56-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Freedman, Michael Guy Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Freeland, Valerie Panel 20-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Freeman, Benjamin Jammet Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Freeman, Gary P. Panel 8-17, Thur 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Freese, Kent Panel 2-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Fred, Matthew David Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- French, John Mark Panel 54-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Fried, Ryan Phillip Poster 63-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Friedel, Sylvia Yu Panel 30-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Friedman, Sally Panel 42-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Friedrichs, Jessica Panel 57-1, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Friabee, Stephanie J. Panel 49-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Fritzen, Scott A. Panel 48-2, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Fritzen, Scott A. Panel 48-8, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Fritzell, Craig Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Fritzell, Craig Panel 23-10, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Fryklund, Björn Panel 2-15, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Fryklund, Björn Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Frymer, Paul Panel 54-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Fuchs, Frieda Panel 54-6, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Fuchs, Frieda Panel 54-9, Sat 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Fucilla, Louis Panel 41-34, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Fuentes-George, Kemi Panel 51-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Fuentes-George, Kemi Panel 51-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Fuentes-George, Kemi Panel 51-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 1 on the 7th floor
- Fulmuth, Matthew Panel 17-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Fulmuth, Matthew Panel 17-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Fukumoto, Kentaro Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Fukumoto, Kentaro Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Fukumoto, Kentaro Panel 38-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Fulmer, Elliott Panel 23-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Fullon, Sarah A. Panel 25-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Fumureu, Akih Panel 37-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Funke, Rebecca Louise Poster 63-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Furman, Yael A. Panel 15-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
- Gabry, Day Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Gabrink, Carl Poster 62-201, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Gabris, Gerald T. Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Gabris, Gerald T. Panel 47-10, Sat 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Gaderino, Shama Kushoor Panel 28-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Gaderino, Shama Kushoor Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Gaddy, Keith Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Gaddy, Beverly Ann Panel 34-11, Sat 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Gaffney, Susan Lashawn Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Gagnon, Alexandre Couture Panel 2-9, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Gajkowiak, Nikhar Panel 55-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Gallagher, Sean Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Gallagher, Sean Panel 52-6, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Gaines, Brian J. Panel 23-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Gaines, Brian J. Panel 23-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Gaines, Brian J. Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Galinos, Jason Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Galinos, Jason Panel 28-26, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Galaris, Carolann Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Galambos, Peter James Panel 36-7, Fri 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Galasso, Vincenzo Panel 14-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
- Galasso, Vincenzo Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Galdieri, Christopher James Panel 40-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Galdieri, Christopher James Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Galdino, Manuel Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Gallano, Ramon Panel 38-22, Thur 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Gall, Megan Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Gallagher, Janice Panel 72-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Gallagher, Maryann E. Panel 19-7, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Gallagher, Megan Panel 36-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Gallego, Alan Panel 8-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Gallego, Alan Panel 8-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Gallimore, Caleb Tyrell Panel 34-11, Sat 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Galley, Claire E. Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Galbo, Evan Poster 13-201, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Galvin, Daniel Panel 1-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Gambino, Giacomo Panel 35-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Gamble, Katrina L. Panel 31-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Gandhi, Jennifer Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Gandolfo, Luisa Panel 56-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Gandolfo, Luisa Panel 56-8, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Gandrud, Christopher John Panel 15-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Ganaway, Adam Panel 36-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Gannett, Allen Panel 27-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Garand, James C. Poster 59-201, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Garand, James C. Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Garand, James C. Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Garbrandt, Gill E. Poster 59-201, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Garca, Craig Allen Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Garca, Miguel Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Garca, Rachel Panel 9-5, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Garca, Roberto Panel 36-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Garcia Iommi, Lucrécia Panel 57-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor

Garcia Jommi

Garcia Jommi, Lorecia Panel 20-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Garcia Jommi, Lorecia Panel 21-7, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Garcia Perez de Leon, Cesar Panel 8-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Garcia Perez de Leon, Cesar Panel 8-6, Fri 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Garcia Pearce, Omar D. Panel 4-21, Fri 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Garcia-Castano, Marcela Panel 31-11, Sat 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Garcia-Viviana, Enrique Panel 23-22, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Garding, Sarah Elizabeth Panel 40-1, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Gardington, Sarah Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Garnesson, Jeremiah John Panel 29-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Garrison, Jeremiah John Panel 28-32, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Garrett, R. Kelly Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Garrido de Sierra, Sebastiana Panel 1-20, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Garriga, Ana Carolina Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Garriga, Ana Carolina Panel 15-21, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Garston, Bryan Panel 34-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Garwood, Kristaphay Bryan Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Garza, Jeff T. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Gask, Allison Laura Panel 23-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Gaskins, Benjamin Panel 29-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Gaskins, Benjamin Panel 56-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Gava, Roy Panel 48-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Gaubert, Amy Evelyn Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Gebhardt, Zachary Panel 47-7, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Gebhardt, Zachary Panel 46-16, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Gee, Emily Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Geemas, Raf Panel 35-13, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Gebhardt, Scott Panel 14-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Gelbman, Shantira Michael Panel 54-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Gelbman, Shantira Michael Panel 57-5, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Gelbman, Shantira Michael Roundtable 64-101, Sat 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Gelbman, Francisco Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Gelbman, Francisco Panel 7-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Gelbovy, Ron D. Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Gelbovy, Ron D. Panel 8-20, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Geller, Armando Panel 17-22, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Geller, Armando Panel 18-30, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Geller, Armando Panel 17-23, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Geller, Peter Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Gellman, Meeetha Panel 18-16, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Gelpl, Christopher Panel 17-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Gelpl, Christopher Panel 17-23, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Gendlin, Gerry Panel 15-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Gendlin, Gerry Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Gendlin, Gerry Panel 13-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Genoa, Giuseppe M. Panel 16-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Gentry, Bobbi Panel 57-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Gentry, Bobbi Panel 57-6, Sun 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Geotzkow, Matthew Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Gerber, Alan Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Gerber, Alan Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Gerber, Alan Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Gerber, Brian J. Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Gerber, Brian J. Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Gerber, Elizabeth R. Panel 72-1, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Gerber, Elizabeth R. Panel 47-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Gerber, Elizabeth R. Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Gerber, Marikae Panel 34-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Gerring, Nicole Elbe Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Gerring, Nicole Elbe Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Gerrity, Jessica C. Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Gervais, Bryan T. Panel 29-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Gersbory, Gene Panel 17-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Geva, Nelvana Panel 18-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Geva-May, Iris Panel 48-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Geva-May, Iris Panel 48-6, Sat 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Geyer, Megan Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Ghanavial, Nazma Panel 12-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Ghanem, Salma Panel 29-5, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Ghannem, Salma Panel 29-11, Sun 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Gheorghiu, Sergio Panel 13-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Gheving, Gregory Thomas Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Ghita, Cosma Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Gibb, Ryan Panel 14-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Gibb, Ryan Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Gibbons, Rebecca Davis Panel 20-15, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Gibler, Doug Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Gibler, Doug Panel 18-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Gibson, Alan R. Panel 34-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Gibson, James L. Roundtable 45-101, Sat 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Gibson, James L. Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Gibson, Margat Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Gibson, Rita L. Panel 24-11, Sun 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Gibson, Scott T. Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Gibson, Trish Ann Panel 38-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Gibson, Trish Ann Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Gibson, Trish Ann Panel 3-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Giescke, Eric R. Panel 5-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Giger, Nathalie Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Giger, Nathalie Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Gillard, Fabrice Panel 14-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Gilbert, Leah Elizabeth Panel 4-31, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Gileas, Martin Panel 23-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Gileas, Martin Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Giles-Sims, Jenn Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Gill, Paul Panel 18-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Gill, Paul Panel 17-23, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Gillepie, Andrea Panel 31-6, Fri 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Gilliam, Andrea Panel 31-15, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Gillum, Joshua Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Gillum, Rachel Panel 21-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Gimbel, Edward W. Panel 32-4, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Gimbel, Edward W. Panel 32-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Gimpel, Jim Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Gingerich, Brian Robert Panel 32-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Gingerich, Daniel Willard Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Gingerich, Daniel Willard Panel 4-23, Sat 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Ginsberg, Beth Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Ginsberg, Beth Panel 33-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Ginsberg, Wendy Robyn Panel 42-16, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Ginsberg, Wendy Robyn Panel 39-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Ginsburg, Tora Aubrey Neess Chaps 44-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

- Giraldi, Santiago Panel 19-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Girwood, Christopher Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Girwood, John Renard Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Girod, Desha Mercedes Panel 15-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Girod, Desha Mercedes Panel 5-22, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Girod, Desha Mercedes Panel 5-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Cleveland on the 3rd floor
 Girod, Desha Mercedes Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Gish, Dustin A. Panel 61-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Gish, Dustin A. Panel 71-2, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Gish, Dustin A. Roundtable 71-100, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Giustella, Giovanni Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Gizzi, Michael C. Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Glad, Betty Panel 19-7, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Glad, Jeffrey Panel 25-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Glasgow, Derek John Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Glasgow, Garrett Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Glassman, Matthew Panel 54-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Glassman, Matthew Roundtable 42-100, Fri 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Glassman, Matthew Panel 54-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Barnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Gleason, Kelly A. Panel 21-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Gleason, Shane A. Panel 45-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Gleason, Shane A. Panel 25-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Gleditsch, Kristian Skrede Panel 20-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Gleditsch, Lynne Gibson Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Gleditsch, Jules Roundtable 71-100, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Glick, David M. Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Glick, David M. Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Glynn, Dmitry Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Glynn, Adam Nathaniel Panel 38-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Glynn, Adam Nathaniel Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Go, Min Hee Panel 47-3, Fri 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Go, Min Hee Panel 50-15, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Godbout, Jean-Francois Panel 24-7, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Godbout, Jean-Francois Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Godbout, Jean-Francois Panel 24-11, Sun 8:30 am, Kewhall on the 3rd floor
 Godbout, Jean-Francois Panel 42-25, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Godwin, Erik Kladj Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Godwin, Erik Kladj Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Godwin, Kenneth Panel 56-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Godwin, Kenneth Panel 56-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Goedert, Nicholas Michael Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Goemans, Hein Krieb Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Goerdel, Holly T. Panel 53-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Goerdel, Holly T. Panel 53-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Guggin, Stephen N. Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Gogo, Jordan Panel 51-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Gogo, Joshua Panel 18-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Gokulp, Deniz Panel 12-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Gokulp, Deniz Panel 12-8, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Goksel, Gulay Ugur Panel 36-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Goksel, Gulay Ugur Panel 4-26, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Goktepe, Golay Panel 5-9, Fri 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Goffy, James Panel 19-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Great Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Gold, Natalie Panel 36-15, Sat 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Gold, Saar Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Barnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Golden, Marijasa Martino Panel 30-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Golden, Miriam A. Panel 51-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Golden, Matt Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Golden, Sara H. Roundtable 1-104, Sat 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Golden, Sara H. Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Goldford, Dennis J. Panel 44-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Goldford, Dennis J. Panel 35-10, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Goldman, Jerry Roundtable 57-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Goldman, Seth K. Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Goldman, Sheldon Roundtable 45-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Goldsmith, Melissa Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Barnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Goldsmith, Melissa Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Goldstein, Brian Panel 50-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Goldstein, Joshua D. Panel 34-19, Sat 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Goldstein, Anahit Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Gong, Abe Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Gonzalez, Frank Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Gonzalez, Lucas Panel 14-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Gonzalez, Lucas Panel 14-7, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Gonzalez, Nathan Panel 18-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Gonzalez, Raul Cipriano Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Gonzalez, Raul Cipriano Panel 16-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Gonzalez, Raul Cipriano Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Gonzalez, Stefania Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Gonzalez, Yanilda Maria Panel 7-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Gonzalez, Yanilda Maria Panel 31-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Gonzalez-Ocasio, Ezequiel Alejo Panel 7-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Gonzalez-Ocasio, Ezequiel Alejo Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Gonzalez-Adler, Angelina L. Panel 42-23, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Good, Donald M. Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Good, Donald M. Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Goodhart, Lucy M. Panel 15-19, Thur 10:25 am, Barnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Goodhart, Lucy M. Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Goodhart, Lucy M. Panel 15-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Goodlife, Jay Panel 25-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Goodman, Doug Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Goodman, Gary Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Goodman, Gary Panel 25-20, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Gorbachyk, Andrei Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Gordon, Elizabeth Ellen Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Gordon, Elizabeth Ellen Panel 30-16, Sun 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Gordon, Rachel A. Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Gordon, Stacy Burnett Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Gordon, Stacy Burnett Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Gore, Lily J. Panel 71-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Gore, Lily J. Panel 71-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Gore, Lily J. Roundtable 57-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Gore, Paul Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Gorev, Paul Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Gorham, Ashley Elizabeth Panel 36-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Gorham, Lisa Panel 49-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Barnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Gorham, William A. Panel 29-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Gosa, Kelly Lynn Panel 12-5, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor

Gosztóyi

Gosztóyi, Miklos Panel 11-6, Thur 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Gottlieb, Melissa Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Gott, Katherine Panel 36-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Gott, Katherine Panel 35-5, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor

Gotschall, Keenan Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Grabar, Mark A. Author Meets Critics 44-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Grabar, Mark A. Author Meets Critics 44-101, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Gracey, Jessica Loyet Panel 66-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor

Grabstrom, Cassandra Rose Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Grabson, Laurie Taylor Panel 22-2, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Graseta, James Panel 7-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Graberg-Rademacker, Scott Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Grant, J. Andrew Panel 51-15, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Grant, Orin Panel 53-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Grant, Orin Panel 34-17, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Grant, Simon Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Grant, Tabia Panel 56-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Grabart, Jonathan Panel 43-2, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor

Gray, Julia Panel 20-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Gray, Julia Panel 20-15, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Gray, Kathleen Jo Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Gray, Phillip W. Panel 36-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor

Gray, Phillip W. Panel 17-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Gray, Phillip W. Panel 17-8, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Gray, Phillip W. Panel 36-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Gray, Rachel J. Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor

Gray, Virginia Panel 40-8, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Gray, Virginia Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Green, Donald P. Panel 38-1, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Green, Donald P. Panel 38-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Green, Donald P. Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Green, Joanne Connor Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Green, John C. Panel 56-15, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Green, John C. Panel 56-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor

Green, Matthew N. Panel 42-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Green, Matthew N. Roundtable 42-103, Sat 10:25 am, Spare Parlor on the 6th floor

Green, Matthew N. Panel 42-22, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Green, Roger W. Panel 71-9, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor

Green-Pedersen, Christopher Panel 48-7, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Green-Pedersen, Christopher Panel 48-6, Sat 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor

Green-Pedersen, Christopher Panel 48-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor

Green-Pedersen, Christopher Panel 48-8, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor

Greene, Steacy Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor

Greene, Steven Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Greene, Steven Panel 28-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

Greene, Steven Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Greene, Zachary David Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Greene, Zachary David Panel 8-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Greenfest, Seth W. Panel 45-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

Greenhouse, Linda Author Meets Critics 44-102, Fri 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Greenlee, Jill Suzanne Panel 10-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor

Greenstein, Claire Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Greer, Christina M. Panel 31-16, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor

Greer, Christina M. Panel 31-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Gregg, Anna Nikolaevna Panel 13-3, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Gregg, Anna Nikolaevna Panel 13-4, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Gregg, J. Michael Panel 18-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor

Gregg, J. Michael Panel 17-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Greiner, D James Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Griffin, Dana Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Griffin, Dana Panel 27-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor

Griffiths, Robert J. Panel 11-6, Thur 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Grigorescu, Alexandra Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

Grillo, Michael Charles Panel 38-13, Sat 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor

Grimes, Marcela Panel 6-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Grimes, Marcela Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Grimmer, Justin Panel 42-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Grimmer, Justin Ryan Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Grimmer, Justin Ryan Panel 42-22, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Grindlike, Stonegardon Panel 42-22, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Grindlike, Stonegardon Panel 50-20, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor

Grisson, Jason A. Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Grisson, Jason A. Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Grisson, Jason A. Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Gritsenova, Jana Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Gritsenova, Jana Panel 13-14, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

Grzeszalski, Eric Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Grzeszalski, Eric Panel 27-8, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Gross, Christian R. Panel 42-16, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Gross, Christian R. Panel 42-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor

Gross, Christian R. Panel 31-15, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Gross, Justin H. Panel 38-13, Sat 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor

Gross, Matt Panel 42-1, Thur 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Gross, Wendy Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Gross, Wendy Panel 25-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Grossman, Gary S. Panel 4-10, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor

Grossmann, Matthew Panel 50-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Grossmann, Matthew Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshallfield on the 3rd floor

Grossmann, Matthew Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Grue, Wayne A. Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Grubbs, Bennett Lowell Panel 24-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Grulke, Eric Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Grundig, Frank Panel 20-7, Fri 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor

Gruszczynski, Michael W. Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Gruszczynski, Michael W. Panel 29-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Gruszczynski, Michael W. Panel 27-2, Sat 10:25 am, Marshallfield on the 3rd floor

Grynvald, Jeffrey Panel 25-22, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Grybavicius, Jeffrey Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Guardado Rodriguez, Jenny Panel 4-23, Sat 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor

Gubler, Joshua Ronald Panel 12-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Gubler, Joshua Ronald Panel 18-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Gueorguiev, Dimitar Panel 5-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Guerrero, Mariela Irene Panel 28-26, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Guert, James W. Panel 65-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Guerrero Manuel, Carlos Panel 9-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Gulha, Sourav Panel 51-8, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor

Gullboy, Kevin Panel 33-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

Gullboy, Kevin Panel 71-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Guljajev, Marc Panel 26-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Guidinger, Alexandra Gibbons Panel 15-21, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Gulati, Girish Jefferson Panel 39-3, Sun 11:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Gulyev, Erol Panel 13-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Gully, Dwight D. Panel 46-17, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Guntoby, Robert Panel 15-21, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Gundersen, Gregory G. Panel 30-15, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Guzey, Martin Abernig Panel 17-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Guzman, Deniz Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Guo, Hal David Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Gupta, Devashree Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Gurdy, Vanessa Panel 9-12, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Guru Rajan, Divya Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Guzman, Michael Kelley Panel 49-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Gusmano, Michael Kelley Panel 49-14, Sat 11:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Guth, James L. Panel 40-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Gutierrez, Jose Angel Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Gutterman, Ellen Panel 20-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Guy, Brian Alan Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Guzzo, Magdalena Ann Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Gwon, Moon Panel 56-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Ha, Kwanyang Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Ha, Eunyong Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Haas, Michael Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hackler, Anne J. Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Hadley, Nathan Panel 40-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Haefliger, Christian William Panel 13-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Haefliger, Christian William Panel 13-10, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Haefly, Richard Patrick Panel 56-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Haefly, Richard Patrick Panel 56-13, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Hausermann, Silja Author Meets Critics 2-100, Thur 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hauber-Burton, Emille Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hagen, Michael G. Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Hagedorn, Michael G. Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Green Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hagmann, Tobias Panel 11-6, Thur 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hagner, Travis Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hagan, Alex Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Hahn, Hyeonho Panel 7-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Haider-Markel, Donald P. Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Haider-Markel, Donald P. Panel 51-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Haider-Markel, Donald P. Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Halamaeller, Jens Panel 38-1, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Halamaeller, Jens Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Halamaeller, Jens Panel 15-10, Fri 4:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Hahn, Susan Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Hahn, Susan Author Meets Critics 44-101, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Hajnal, Zoltan Panel 31-16, Thur 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Hajnal, Zoltan Panel 31-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Hale, Kathleen Panel 57-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Hale, Kathleen Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Hale, Kathleen Panel 49-9, Sun 11:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hale, Jennifer Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Haley, Ryan Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Haley, Kevin Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

Halk Brett M. Panel 19-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Green Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hall, Jared Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hall, Lauren Panel 34-14, Thur 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Hall, Matthew E. K. Roundtable 45-101, Sat 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hall, Matthew E. K. Panel 45-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Hall, Matthew E. K. Panel 45-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Hall, Melissa Gann Roundtable 45-102, Thur 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hall, Melissa Gann Panel 45-23, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Hall, Precious Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hall, Precious Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Hall, Richard L. Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Hall, Richard L. Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Hall, Steve Panel 34-12, Sat 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hall, Steve Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Hall, Thad Edward Panel 25-26, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Hall, Thad Edward Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Halpern Joseph, Patricia Panel 22-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Halpern Joseph, Patricia Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Hallock, Emily Panel 35-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Halty, Edmund David Panel 71-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Halperin, Erna Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Halperin, Erna Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Halperin, Erin Panel 18-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Halperin, Daniel Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Halperin, Daniel Panel 39-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Halpin, Darren Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Halpin, Darren Panel 40-13, Sun 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Harms, Mahmoud Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Haramoto, Shizuko Panel 2-9, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Harasawa, Kerstin Roundtable 57-103, Fri 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Harrell, Jeffrey Scott Panel 8-22, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Harshbarger, Allison Panel 24-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Harshbarger, Joseph Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Harshbarger, Keith E. Panel 46-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Harwood, Augustine Panel 21-11, Sat 10:25 am, Mellana on the 3rd floor
 Har, Enzo Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Har, Kahrie Panel 24-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Har, Hayle Panel 21-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Har, Jeonghwan Panel 8-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Har, Sang-Jung Panel 7-17, Sun 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Har, Sung Min Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Harbrey, Antoinette Panel 49-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Harbrink, Bruce Alan Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Harbortner, Donaluk Panel 38-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Harbortner, Donaluk Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Harck, Matt Panel 47-7, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Harcklison, Chad A. Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Harley, James E. Panel 50-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Harlow, William Walker Panel 4-10, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Harman, Michael J. Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Harman, Alexander Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Harman, Patricia Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Harnagan, Rebecca J. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Harnagan, Rebecca J. Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Harnagan, Rebecca J. Panel 30-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Harnagan, Rebecca J. Panel 30-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Harman, Tara Marie Panel 6-1, Thur 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor

Hannum

Hannum, Tara Marie Panel 49-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor

Hansen, Ben Foster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

Hansen, Martin Einar Panel 8-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Hansen, Martin Einar Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Hansen, Martin Einar Panel 42-24, Sat 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor

Hansen, Wendy L. Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Hanson, Jonathan Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Hanson, Jonathan Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Hanson, Peter Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Hanson, Peter Panel 47-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Hansen, Russell L. Panel 54-9, Sat 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor

Hansen, Russell L. Panel 54-13, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Hao, Qiang Panel 44-5, Fri 4:15 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor

Hao, Yufan Panel 13-3, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Hapontahl, Stephen Panel 52-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Harada, Masachika Panel 5-9, Fri 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Harber, Matthew Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Harbers, Imke Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Harbers, Imke Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Harbridge, Laurel M. Panel 42-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Harbridge, Laurel M. Roundtable 1-112, Fri 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Harbridge, Laurel M. Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Harbridge, Laurel M. Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Hardey, Jeffrey J. Panel 40-8, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Hardeb, Jeffrey J. Panel 26-16, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor

Harder, William L. Panel 42-15, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Harding, Lauren E. Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Hardy, Brian Panel 18-10, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor

Hardy, Anne Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor

Hardy, Bruce William Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Hardy, Bruce William Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Hardy, Phillip R. Panel 50-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Hare, Christopher Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Harris, JBI Panel 36-7, Fri 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Harris, JBI Panel 36-14, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Harrington, Esther Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Harrington, Esther Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Harrington, Esther Panel 39-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Hartman, Robert Author Meets Critics 32-103, Thur 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor

Hartman, Robert Panel 34-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor

Hartness, Krista Angela Panel 11-6, Thur 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Hartness, Mark Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Hartness, Mark Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Hartney, Brian P. Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Harrington, Jaina J. Panel 30-16, Sun 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor

Harrington, James R. Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Harris, Jonathan Andrew Panel 11-5, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Harrison, Brian Panel 23-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor

Harrison, Brian Roundtable 54-102, Sat 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor

Harrison, Brigid B. Roundtable 64-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Harrison, Sarah Panel 25-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor

Harrison, Sarah Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Hartstad, Bard Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Hartstad, Bard Panel 37-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Hartstad, Bard Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

Hart, Austin Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Hart, David Jeremy Panel 32-8, Sun 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor

Hartley, Jonathan Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Hartman, Eric Kristina Panel 23-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Hartman, Eric Kristina Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Hartman, Todd K. Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Hartman, Todd K. Panel 38-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor

Hartman, Todd K. Panel 27-21, Sat 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Hartney, Michael Thomas Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Hartwood, Brian M. Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Hatchke, Peter Panel 28-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Hatchke, Edward Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Hastim, S. Moham Panel 13-4, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Hastim, S. Moham Panel 13-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor

Hastim, S. Moham Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Hastmore, Barry Maseman Panel 20-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor

Hassan, Mai Panel 11-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

Hassan, Mai Panel 4-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor

Hassanpour, Navid Panel 13-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Hassanzadeh, Navid Panel 36-22, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

Hassell, Hans John Gordon Panel 25-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Hassell, Hans John Gordon Panel 41-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Hastem, Pete K. Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Hastmann, Emily L. Panel 68-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Kinball on the 3rd floor

Hausegger, Lori Panel 45-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 40 on the 3rd floor

Hausegger, Lori Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Hawes, Daniel P. Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor

Hawes, Daniel P. Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Hawes, Daniel P. Panel 50-21, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor

Hawes, Daniel P. Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Hawley, George Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Hawn, Heather Lea Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Haworth, Peter Daniel Panel 35-5, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor

Haworth, Peter Daniel Panel 54-13, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Hay, Richard Thomas Panel 4-6, Fri 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor

Hayden, Joseph Panel 29-12, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Hayden Foster, Carly Panel 30-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Hayes, Donny Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Hayes, Matthew James Panel 27-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Hayes, Matthew James Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Hayes, Thomas J. Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor

Haygood, Daniel M. Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Hayler, Barbara Panel 45-2, Thur 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor

Hayler, Barbara Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Haynes, Audrey A. Panel 29-38, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Haynes, Audrey A. Panel 54-14, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor

Haynes, Chris S. Panel 31-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Haynes, Christina S. Panel 31-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Hayz, Bradley Roundtable 54-102, Sat 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor

Hazelton, Murgan Panel 43-23, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Hazen, Timothy A. Panel 5-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

He, Fei Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor

He, Yi Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Headworth, Spencer J. Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Healy, Andrew John Panel 25-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Healy, Andrew John Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Heaney, Michael T. Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Heaney, Michael T. Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Heaney, Michael T. Panel 40-13, Sun 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor

Heard, Kathryn A. Panel 35-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor

Heard, Eddie Panel 15-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor

Hearn, Eddie Panel 15-19, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Heavey, Jerome Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Heberly, Eric S. Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

- Heberlig, Eric S. Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Heeb, Jason Drew Panel 14-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Heeck, Douglas Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Hecock, Douglas Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Hedge, David M. Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Hedge, David M. Panel 8-6, Fri 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Hedges, Cheryl Kay Panel 38-13, Sat 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Hedlund, Ronald D. Panel 46-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Hedrick, James Panel 27-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Hedricks, James Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Hegu, Gunther M. Panel 6-16, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Hegu, Gunther M. Panel 2-13, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Hegelich, Simon Daniel Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Hegelich, Simon Daniel Panel 42-25, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Hegy, Justin E. Panel 50-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Heidemann, Erik David Panel 40-10, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Helarich, Tobias Panel 16-7, Fri 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Helig, John P. Roundtable 3-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Heliaz, Torben Panel 2-13, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Helmbauer, Valeria Roundtable 42-102, Fri 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hejnova, Petra Roundtable 64-102, Fri 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hejnova, Petra Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Hejnova, Petra Panel 30-13, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Heller, Ariel Panel 32-2, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Heller, William B. Panel 40-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Heller, William B. Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Heller, William B. Panel 6-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hellavik, Siri Bjerkureim Panel 11-7, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 3rd floor
 Hellman, Olli Panel 26-3, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Hellmann, Olli Panel 26-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Hellstrom, Jorgen Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Hellwege, Julia M. Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Helroy, Mohamed Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Hemphill, Fortia Panel 31-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 3rd floor
 Henderson, Marcus K. Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Henderson, Rochelle Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Henderson, Rochelle Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Hendlin, Yogi Panel 51-15, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Hendrick, Rebecca Panel 53-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Hendricksa, Petra M. Panel 16-8, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Hendricksa, Ryan C. Panel 19-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Green Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hendrickson, Scott A. Panel 45-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hendrickson, Scott A. Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Hendriks, Henriel Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Hendrix, Burke Panel 35-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Hendry, David James Panel 27-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Hendry, David James Panel 34-15, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Heone, Peter S. Panel 16-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Heone, Peter S. Panel 17-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Heonig, Christiana Panel 11-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Heonig, Christiana Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Heonip, Oliver Panel 17-14, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Henry, Adam Douglas Panel 72-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Henry, Adam Douglas Panel 72-1, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Herbel, Jerry E. Panel 71-8, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Herbel, Jerry E. Panel 71-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Herbel, Lindsey C. Panel 45-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Herjan, Mitch Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Herjan, Mitch Panel 28-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Herjan, Mitch Panel 28-21, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Herlinghaus, Michael Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hermanson, Karyl S. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hernandez-Romero, Pablo David Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Herold, Aaron Louis Panel 33-10, Fri 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Herold, Aaron Louis Panel 32-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Herold, Aaron Louis Panel 33-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Herreros, Francisco Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Herrason, Paul S. Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Herrason, Paul S. Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Herron, Erik S. Panel 13-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Herron, Erik S. Panel 13-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Hersh, Eltan Panel 22-12, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Hersh, Eltan Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Hertog, James Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Hertog, James Panel 22-3, Sun 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Hertzoft, Andrew Scott Panel 71-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Hertzoft, Andrew Scott Panel 32-5, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Hertzoft, Andrew Scott Panel 32-8, Sun 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Herzog, Alexander Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Herzog, Marc Axel Panel 56-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Herzog, Marc Axel Panel 12-6, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Hess, Vicki L. Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Hess, Vicki L. Panel 5-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Hess, Douglas B. Panel 23-10, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Hesse, Burner Panel 14-20, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Hester, Bridget Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hetherington, Marc J. Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Heurich, Christopher Panel 13-3, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Hibbler, John R. Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Hibbing, Matthew V. Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Hickey, Patrick T. Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Hieblin, Alisa Panel 28-16, Thur 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Hieblin, Alisa Panel 13-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Hichlin, Alisa Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Hicks, Raymond P. Panel 11-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hidalgo, Fernando Daniel Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Higashijima, Masaki Panel 21-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Higgins, Raymond Panel 57-6, Sun 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Higgins, Nicholas Panel 32-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 3rd floor
 High-Pippert, Angela Panel 30-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Highton, Ben Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Highton, Ben Panel 26-16, Sun 10:25 am, Dezhorn 2 on the 7th floor
 Hill, Gregory C. Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Hill, Gregory C. Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Hill, Timothy Panel 29-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Hill, Timothy Panel 29-21, Fri 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Hill, Tony L. Panel 25-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Hill, Tony L. Panel 21-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Hill, Tony L. Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Hill, Tony L. Panel 26-5, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Hill, Walter W. Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Hiltner, Juliana Patricia Rigg Panel 48-3, Fri 8:30 am, Medoach Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hilygus, Sunahne Panel 28-29, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Hilty, Emily Cross Panel 35-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Hinckley, Robert A. Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Hincley, Robert A. Panel 28-22, Sat 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Hinderliter, Charles M. Panel 22-3, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Hines, Angela Michelle Panel 47-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Hinajosa, Victor Javier Panel 9-7, Thur 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Hintz

Hintz, Uwe Panel 16-19, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Hlutz, Julia Panel 18-29, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Hlugg, Matthew Legree Panel 31-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Hlirani, Shigeo Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Hlirani, Shigeo Panel 52-6, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Hlirak, Taelko Panel 9-7, Thur 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Hlirak, Taelko Panel 16-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Hlirak, Taelko Panel 9-5, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Hlirase, Kento Panel 18-7, Fri 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlirase, Kento Panel 18-20, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Hlirsch, Alexander V. Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Hlirsch, Alexander V. Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Hlirsch, Alexander V. Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Hlirschberger, Gilad Panel 18-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Hlirsch, Ron Author Meets Critics 44-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlirshberg, Gur Panel 36-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Hlirshberg, Gur Panel 36-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Hlisco, Michael J. Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Hlitz, Nancy Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Hlitz, Matthew P. Panel 42-19, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Hlwataki, Nobuhiko Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Hlwataki, Nobuhiko Panel 2-1, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Hlorenau, Cecile M. Panel 20-11, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Hloller, Iris Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Hloller, Lynette Roundtable 57-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Hloerlein, Lena Panel 51-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Hloff, Samuel B. Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Hloffman, Aaron M. Panel 17-22, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Hloffman, Aaron M. Panel 17-23, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Hloffman, Barak D. Panel 11-3, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Hloffman, Jonathan Panel 31-6, Fri 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Hloffman, Karen S. Panel 53-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Hloffman, Karen S. Panel 30-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Hloffman, Karen S. Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Hloffman, Lindsay Panel 29-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Hloffman, Mitchell Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Hloffman, Susan Roundtable 50-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Hloffmann, Andrea Panel 8-17, Thur 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Hlofmann, Tobias Panel 15-19, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Hlofmann, Tobias Panel 15-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlofmann, Tobias Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Hlogan, Jacob Allen Panel 5-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Hloja, Marie Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohman, Robert A. Panel 51-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohola, John Boschen Pastor 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Hlohrook, Thomas Panel 25-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Hloh, Janice P. Panel 5-1, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Hloh, Janice P. Panel 13-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Hloden, Matthew Roundtable 54-101, Thur 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohlander, Ethan Jon Panel 8-20, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Hlohnbough, Gary Edward Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohnbough, Gary Edward Panel 52-6, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Hlohnowy, Adrienne M. Panel 50-15, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohnyer, James Richard Panel 52-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohnyer, James Richard Panel 15-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Hlohson, Mirya R. Panel 30-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Hlohson, Mirya R. Panel 30-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohson, Mirya R. Panel 27-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Hlohson, Mirya R. Panel 27-14, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohson, Carolyn Ethel Panel 21-9, Sat 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohmes, James R. Panel 34-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Hlohmes, Jennifer S. Panel 16-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohmes, Jennifer S. Panel 16-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohmes, Justin W. Panel 29-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Hlohmes, Justin W. Panel 40-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohmes, Lisa M. Panel 45-2, Thur 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Hlohmes, Lisa M. Roundtable 45-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Hloh, Jacob Panel 24-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohlyk, Gregory Gordon Panel 46-16, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohner, Claudio A. Panel 47-23, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohner, Claudio A. Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Hlohner, James Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Hlohner, James Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Hlohng, Ji Yoon Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohng, Mi Hwa Panel 20-8, Sat 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Hlohng, Sukhoon Pastor 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Hlohok, M. V. Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Hlohok, M. V. Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Hlohpe, Mai Panel 51-12, Sun 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Hlohplias, Daniel Jacob Panel 47-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Hlohplias, Daniel Jacob Panel 28-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohplias, Daniel Jacob Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Hlohplias, David A. Panel 40-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohplias, David A. Panel 40-4, Thur 4:15 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Hlohmann, David Nicolas Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Hlohmann, David Nicolas Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Hlohmann, David Nicolas Panel 28-15, Sun 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohrochi, Yoonku Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Hlohowitz, Michael Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohowitz, Michael Panel 16-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Hlohvala-Yuliva, Rafael Panel 37-5, Thur 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Hlohvala-Yuliva, Rafael Panel 37-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Hlohok, Adrienne L. Panel 25-20, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Hlohok, Adrienne L. Panel 42-14, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Hlohve, Christian Panel 5-22, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohva, Christian Panel 5-16, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Hlohztager, Peter P. Panel 4-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Hlohztager, Peter P. Panel 4-30, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Hlohward, Joseph Yusef Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Hlohward, Robert M. Panel 45-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohward, Robert M. Panel 45-16, Sun 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Hlohwe, Phillip J. Panel 21-9, Sat 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohwell, William G. Roundtable 1-106, Fri 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohwi, Jennifer W. Panel 51-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Hlohwi, Jonathan M. Panel 33-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohwi, Eric Panel 32-6, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Hlohwind, Bjorn Panel 42-25, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Hlohwi, Verónica Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Hlohwi, Pei-Shiue Panel 17-19, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Hlohwi, David T. Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Hlohwi, Wihvel Panel 40-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Hlohwi, Bert I. Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Hlohwi, Hailong Panel 10-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Hlohwi, Hailong Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Hlohwi, Hsin-huo Panel 13-3, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Hlohwi, Kai-Ming Panel 4-22, Thur 8:50 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

- Huang, Tsung-hao Panel 14-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Huang, Xian Panel 11-3, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Hubble, Tiffany Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Huber, Gregory A. Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Huber, Gregory A. Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Huchfeldt, Robert Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Hudek, John Panel 41-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Huddleston, Robert Joseph Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Huddy, Leanne Panel 23-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Huddy, Leanne Roundtable 1-10A, Sat 11:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Huddy, Leanne Panel 38-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hudson, Gabriel Seth Panel 56-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Hug, Simon Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Hug, Simon Panel 42-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Hug, Simon Panel 20-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Hug, Simon Panel 26-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Hughes, David Vawter Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hughes, Sara Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Huggins, Tyler Panel 25-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Hulse, Neilson Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hunt, Eric Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 7th floor
 HUI, Christopher C. Panel 22-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 HUI, Christopher C. Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Hulse, John W. Panel 21-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Hunt, Karen M. Panel 41-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Hutton, Lisa Panel 21-1, Thur 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Huxson, James Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Hume, Robert J. Panel 45-21, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Hume, Robert J. Panel 45-16, Sun 11:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Huppel, Sarah Panel 13-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Huuonen, Carlos Panel 4-9, Fri 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Huuonen, Carlos Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Hunt, Katherine E. L. Panel 33-13, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Hunter, Lance Young Panel 16-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Deacons 2 on the 7th floor
 Hunter, Lance Young Panel 23-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Huntington, Terilyn Johnston Panel 4-6, Fri 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Huo, Jingling Panel 14-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Huo, Jingling Panel 2-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Huo, Shitao Bill Panel 5-3, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Huo, Shitao Bill Panel 5-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Hur, Sukjae Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Hursh, John Panel 43-1, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Hurtgen, James Panel 54-10, Sat 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hurwitz, Jon Panel 7-1, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Hurwitz, Jon Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Hurwitz, Mark S. Panel 45-2, Thur 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Hurwitz, Mark S. Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Hurwitz, Mark S. Author Meets Critics 29-10A, Sun 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Hussell, Imilia Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hussler, Jason Panel 56-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Hutchings, Vincent L. Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Hutchings, Vincent L. Panel 31-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Hutchings, Vincent L. Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Hutchinson, Marc L. Panel 18-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Hwang, Wanjae Panel 11-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Hwang, Wanjae Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Hyde, Susan Dayton Panel 16-7, Fri 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Hyde, Susan Dayton Panel 16-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Iadarola, John Matthew Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Iadarola, John Matthew Panel 51-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Iacono, Orlia Panel 42-15, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Iacono, Orlia Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Iaryczewer, Martin Panel 37-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Iaryczewer, Martin Panel 45-26, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Iaryczewer, Martin Panel 37-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Ibarra Del Cueto, Juan Fernando Panel 14-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Ibarra Del Cueto, Juan Fernando Panel 4-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Ibrahim, Ayah Panel 56-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Ice, Jessica H. Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Ichilo, Nahomi Panel 11-3, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Ichilo, Nahomi Panel 11-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Idris, Mured Panel 34-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Ileduru, Okey C. Panel 20-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Ileduru, Okey C. Panel 20-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Iliason, Nathan Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Imai, Kazuko Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Imai, Kazuko Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Imai, Kazuko Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Imai, Kazuko Panel 5-16, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Icaotalupo, Matthew Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Icaotalupo, Matthew Panel 23-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Indridason, Thordir H. Panel 6-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Indridason, Thordir H. Panel 6-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Indridason, Thordir H. Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Ingalls, Leah M. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Ingold, Karla Panel 72-1, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Ingold, Karla Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Ingram, Matthew Calma Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Ingram, Matthew Calma Panel 4-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Ingram, Matthew Calma Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Ingram, Alexander R. Panel 11-5, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Inman, Kris L. Panel 5-17, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Ireland, Patrick R. Panel 8-17, Thur 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Ireland, Patrick R. Panel 8-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 7 on the 7th floor
 Isaja, Patricia Panel 9-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Ishiyama, John T. Roundtable 57-103, Fri 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Ishiyama, John T. Panel 21-9, Sat 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Ishiyama, John T. Panel 4-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Ishiyama, John T. Panel 5-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Ison, Murray Panel 51-10, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Ison, Richard Panel 34-20, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Ison, Richard Panel 31-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Iyengar, Shanta Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Izquierdo, Richard Alexander Panel 41-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Izquierdo, Richard Alexander Panel 41-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Jae, Alleja Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Jackson, Simon Roundtable 38-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Jackson, Simon Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Jackson, Cynthia A. Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Jackson, Elvin Kadeem Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Jackson, Melissa Panel 28-38, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Jackson, Sarah Dorothy Panel 9-1, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Jackson-Biffle, Natalie M. Panel 28-37, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Jacob

Jacob, Suresh Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Jacob, Suresh Panel 45-30, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Jacob, Suresh Panel 30-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Jacobi, Tonja Panel 45-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Jacobi, Tonja Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Jacobs, Alan M. Author Meets Critics 2-100, Thur 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Jacobs, Alan M. Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Jacobs, Alan M. Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Jacobs, Carly Panel 27-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Jacobs, Carly Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Jacobs, Carly Panel 27-21, Sat 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Jacobs, Charles Frederick Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Jacobs, Lawrence B. Roundtable 1-100, Sat 7:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Jacobson, Gary Jeffrey Author Meets Critics 44-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Jacobson, Gary C. Roundtable 1-102, Fri 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Jacobson, Gary C. Panel 24-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Jacobson, Gary C. Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Jacoby, William G. Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Jacoby, William G. Panel 28-37, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Jacoby, William G. Roundtable 25-100, Fri 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Jacoby, William G. Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Jae, David Hyun-Saeng Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Jaeger, William P. Panel 28-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Jaeger, William P. Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Jaffe, Seth N. Roundtable 32-102, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Jaffe, Seth N. Panel 14-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Jagers, Svecker C. Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Jakobsen, Mads Leth Felinger Panel 50-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Jakobsen, Mads Leth Felinger Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Jakobsen, Tor Georg Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Jakubak, Florida Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
James, Frederick W. Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
James, Mark Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
James, Peggy Ann Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Jamicson, Kathleen Hall Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Jameson, Kathleen Hall Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Jantzen, Kathleen Hall Panel 29-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Janda, Kenneth Panel 7-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Jang, Jinbyouk Panel 26-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Jans, Jeremy A. Panel 35-3, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Jansa, Joshua Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Jarus, Alexander L. Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Jarusz, Andrew J. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Jardina, Ashley E. Panel 31-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Jarvis, Darryl Stuart Panel 48-1, Thur 8:30 am, Monroe 5 on the 7th floor
Jarvis, Darryl Stuart Panel 48-4, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Jarvis, Darryl Stuart Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Jarvis, Matthew G. Panel 46-2, Thur 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Jarvis, Matthew G. Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Jatkowski, Walt Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Jatkowski, Walt Panel 42-3, Sun 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Javodzinski, Alby Panel 12-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Jaworski, Peter Martin Panel 36-11, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Jebik, Noel Panel 29-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Jeffrey, Thomas R. Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Jelen, Ted G. Panel 56-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Jelen, Ted G. Panel 7-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Jellen, Richard W. Panel 47-7, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Jellen, Richard W. Panel 3-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Jelks, Randall Maurice Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Jelks, Randall Maurice Panel 31-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Jengelley, Duane Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Jenkins, Bobby Panel 18-1, Thur 8:30 am, Monroe 3 on the 7th floor
Jenkins, Jeffrey A. Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Jenkins-Smith, Hank C. Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Jenkins-Smith, Hank C. Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Jenkins-Smith, Hank C. Panel 49-8, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Jennings, Joy Thomas Panel 46-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Jennings, Keel Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Jensen, Brad Panel 32-2, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Jensen, Carsten Panel 2-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Jensen, Christian B. Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Jensen, Christian B. Panel 2-13, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Jensen, Michael K. Panel 27-21, Sat 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Jeong, Gyoung-Ha Panel 14-3, Sat 12:45 pm, Monroe 3 on the 7th floor
Jeong, Hanbeom Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Jeong, Moon-Gil Panel 50-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Jeong, Moon-Gil Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Jepsen, Eric W. Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Jesse, Stephen August Panel 28-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Jesse, Stephen August Panel 28-21, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Jesuit, David E. Panel 2-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Jezerski, Lonnie Panel 47-3, Fri 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Jha, Yashraj Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Jiang, Jun Panel 21-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Jin, Jinhee Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Jin, Jinhee Panel 37-16, Sun 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Jin, Youngmin Panel 6-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Jin, Youngmin Panel 10-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Joaquin, M. Eralda Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Joachim, Ashley Elizabeth Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Joachim, Ashley Elizabeth Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Johann, David Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Johnson, Morgan Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Johnson, Morgan Panel 53-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Johnson, Morgan Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Johnsson Helen, Andrea Panel 13-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
John, Peter C. Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
John, Sarah Panel 54-14, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Johns, Jeremy Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Johnson, Bertram Panel 41-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Johnson, Bertram Panel 41-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Johnson, Billie Jo Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Johnson, Courtney Nicole Panel 29-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Johnson, David Panel 53-7, Fri 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Johnson, Gary Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Johnson, Gwendolyn Estabyl Panel 45-23, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Johnson, Gwendolyn Estabyl Panel 35-3, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Johnson, Gregg B. Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Johnson, Gregg B. Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Johnson, Jesse C. Panel 18-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Johnson, Jesse C. Panel 18-24, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor

- Johnson, Joshua Matthew Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Kristina Panel 4-26, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Kristina Panel 15-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Johnson, Kristina Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Johnson, Marita Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Johnson, Mary Margaret Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Johnson, Matthew Alan Panel 14-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Moorrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Renee J. Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Johnson, Sophia N. Panel 14-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Sophia N. Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Susan M. Panel 50-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Tana Panel 20-2, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Tana Panel 20-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Johnson, Tim Panel 50-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Tim Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Johnson, Timothy R. Panel 45-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Johnson, Timothy R. Panel 43-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Johnson, Tyler Panel 28-16, Thur 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Johnson, Tyler Panel 28-20, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Johnson, Tyler Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Johnson, Jocelyn M. Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Johnston, Noel Pereyra Panel 15-12, Sat 10:25 am, Moorrose 2 on the 7th floor
- Johnston, Ron Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Johnston, Ron Author Meets Critics 2-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Johnston, Travis Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Johnston, Trevor Panel 14-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Johnstone, Chris D. Panel 45-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Johnstone, Chris D. Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Jordan, Perry Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Jolly, Seth K. Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Jolly, Seth K. Panel 8-8, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Jolly, Seth K. Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Joly, Jerome Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Jones, Abigail Panel 50-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Jones, Bryan D. Roundtable 57-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Jones, David R. Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Jones, Endsley Terrence Panel 47-7, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Jones, Jason J. Panel 72-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Jones, Joseph Sakoda Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Jones, Michael A. Panel 26-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Jones, Michael D. Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Jones, Michael D. Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Jones, Michael D. Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Jones, Michael D. Panel 51-12, Sun 10:25 am, Pace on the 5th floor
- Jones, Nicholas Steven Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Jones, Phillip Edward Panel 29-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Jones West, Karleen A. Panel 6-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Jones West, Karleen A. Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Jones-Correa, Michael Panel 27-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Jordahl, Henrik Panel 25-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Jordahl, Henrik Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Jordan, Jason E. Panel 2-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Jordan, Jason E. Panel 2-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Jordan, Joseph J. Panel 34-20, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Jordan, Stuart V. Panel 52-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Jordan, Stuart V. Panel 37-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Jordan, Stuart V. Panel 37-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Jordan, Stuart V. Panel 37-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Jorgensen, Nick E. Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Josefson, James Sven Panel 36-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Josefson, James Sven Panel 36-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Joshi, Ishan Panel 21-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Joshi, Ishan Panel 52-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Joshi, Madhav R. Panel 5-1, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Joslyo, Mark R. Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Jou, Willy Panel 10-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Joubert, Lindsay Alice Panel 48-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Jozwiak, Andreas Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Judy, Justine L. Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Juenke, Eric J. Panel 42-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Juenke, Eric J. Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Jullus, Michael Panel 35-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
- Jun, Christof Panel 9-5, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Jun, Kyu-Nam Panel 47-5, Fri 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
- Jun, Kyu-Nam Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Jung, Franziska Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Jung, Heon Joo Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Jung, Jae Youn Panel 5-6, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Jung, Jae Youn Panel 52-6, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Jung, Jai Kwun Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Jung, Laura S. Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Jung, Ungki Panel 10-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
- Jung, Yoo Sun Panel 10-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Jung, Yu Jia Panel 50-15, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Jung, Yuri Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Junge, Dirk Panel 42-25, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Jungkuuz, Vincent Panel 31-7, Thur 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Jungkuuz, Vincent Panel 31-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Junio, Timothy J. Panel 17-15, Sat 7:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Juno, Jane Y. Panel 54-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Juno, Jane Y. Panel 33-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Juno, Jane Y. Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Jupille, Joe Panel 15-10, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Jupille, Joseph Panel 7-1, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 8 on the 7th floor
- Jusko, Karen Long Panel 26-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Jusko, Karen Long Panel 2-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Kachuthath, Kritsadathan Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Kaehl, Aya Panel 5-21, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Kaestner, Robert Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Kaheny, Erin B. Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Kakava, Jakob Panel 49-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Kalaf-Hughes, Nicole Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Kalaf-Hughes, Nicole Panel 6-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Kalamandris, Anastasios Panel 37-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 5 on the 7th floor
- Kalamandris, Anastasios Panel 37-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Kalayoglu, Ersan M. Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Kalayoglu, Ersan M. Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Kaletski, Grace Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Kallala, Kirill Olegovich Panel 13-4, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Kallala, Kirill Olegovich Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Kalkan, Kerem Ozan Panel 56-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Kalkan, Kerem Ozan Panel 56-13, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Kalambach, Jason Panel 51-8, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
- Kalmar, Nathan Paul Panel 12-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Kalmar, Nathan Paul Panel 38-32, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Kaltenhuler, Karl Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Kaltenhuler, Karl Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Kaltenhuler, Karl Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Kam, Christopher Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Kam, Christopher Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Kam, Cindy D. Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Kamakura, Yuna Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Karmakki, Joseph Jon Panel 19-8, Sun 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Kaseki, Martina Panel 3-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Kaseki, Martina Panel 8-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Kang, Eonju Panel 53-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Kang, Eonju Panel 50-17, Sun 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kang, Jung In Panel 33-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Kang, Myung-koon Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Kang, Susan Lee Panel 20-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Kang, Susan Lee Panel 20-8, Sat 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Kang, Woojin Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Kang, Woojin Panel 5-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Kang, Woojin Panel 7-17, Sun 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Kang, Yi Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Kandhak, Kristina Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Kandhak, Kristina Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Kambrowitz, David Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kao, De-Yuan Panel 10-1, Thur 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Kapluszewski, Diana Panel 38-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Kapluszewski, Diana Panel 4-21, Fri 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Kapluszewski, Diana Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Kaplan, Joel Panel 29-11, Sun 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Kaplan, Noah J. Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Kaplow, Jeff Panel 18-10, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Kappe, Roland Panel 25-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Kappe, Roland Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Kappe, Roland Panel 2-6, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Karakaya, Saverda Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Karakaya Palat, Raldis Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Karakaya Palat, Raldis Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Karakoc, Ekrem Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Karakoc, Ekrem Panel 15-22, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Karakoc, Ekrem Panel 12-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Karalipali, Sena Panel 12-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Karalipali, Sena Panel 56-12, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Karab, Andrew Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Karab, Andrew Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Karp, Jeffrey A. Panel 26-12, Fri 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Karp, Jeffrey A. Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Karp, David Adam Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Karpf, David Adam Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Karpf, David Adam Panel 29-25, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Karpowitz, Christopher Panel 42-1, Thur 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Karpowitz, Christopher Panel 23-3, Thur 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Karpowitz, Christopher Panel 23-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Karpowitz, Christopher Roundtable 1-109, Sat 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Karreth, Johannes Lukas Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Kartal, Ahmet Mert Panel 17-34, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Kasban, Prakash Panel 51-15, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Kasper, Eric T. Panel 44-3, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Kasper, Eric T. Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Kassekert, Anthony Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Kassekert, Anthony Panel 53-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Kassap, Nancy Panel 41-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Kassow, Benjamin J. Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Kasteliec, Jonathan P. Panel 45-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Kasteliec, Jonathan P. Panel 45-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Kasteliec, Jonathan P. Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Kaswan, Mark J. Roundtable 35-100, Thur 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kaswan, Mark J. Panel 34-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Kaswan, Mark J. Panel 34-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Kaszlik, Azusa Panel 17-19, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Kathman, Jacob Daniel Panel 30-1, Thur 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 KATHMAN, Kyle Thomas Panel 18-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Katz, Daniel Martin Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Katzemberger, Eric Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kavacki, Zubal Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kavacki, Ketim Cao Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kaya, Hussein Panel 5-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Kaya, Serdar Panel 6-1, Thur 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Kaye-Zwibel, Eva Panel 11-7, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Kaytor, Darryl W. Panel 71-8, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Ke, Yanyu Panel 15-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Kear, Andrew R. Panel 54-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Keck, Aaron Panel 35-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Keck, Michelle Lorene Panel 18-18, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Kedar, Orit Panel 2-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Kedar, Orit Panel 26-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Kedar, Orit Roundtable 1-105, Fri 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kedziora, Jeremy Panel 37-16, Sun 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Keele, Denise M. Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Keele, Denise M. Panel 45-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Keele, Luke J. Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Keele, Luke J. Panel 38-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Keferberg, Jason Eugene Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Keil, Julie Ann Panel 5-2, Thur 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kelsor, Leel Panel 52-5, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Kelsor, Leel Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Kelson, Leel Panel 52-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Kelth, Linda Camp Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kelth, Linda Camp Panel 16-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Kellam, Marisa Andrea Panel 6-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Kellam, Marisa Andrea Panel 6-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Kellermann, Michael Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Kelley, Christopher S. Panel 43-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Kelish, Jacqueline Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kellogg, Leander D. Panel 46-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Kelstedt, Lyman A. Panel 40-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Kelstedt, Paul M. Panel 28-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Kelstedt, Paul M. Panel 7-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Kelstedt, Paul M. Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Kelly, Andrew Panel 28-16, Thur 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Kelly, Andrew Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Kelly, Dimitri Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Kelly, Eliza G. Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kelly, Jason Panel 42-18, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Kelly, Jason Panel 42-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Kelly, Nathan J. Panel 9-1, Thur 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Kelly, Paul Joseph Panel 36-1, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Kelly, Paul Joseph Panel 33-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Kelly, Paul Joseph Panel 33-9, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Kelly, Terrence Panel 36-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Kelly, Terrence Panel 36-20, Sat 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Kelly, Steven A. Panel 33-10, Fri 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Kelly, Steven A. Panel 33-13, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Kematlogha, Ogo Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Kematlogha, Ogo Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Kemmerling, Achim Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Kemmerling, Achim Panel 9-1, Thur 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Kemmerling, Achim Panel 34-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Kempf, Robin Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Kendall, Chris Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Kendall, Walter Jerry Panel 54-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor

- Keritz, Linda Jean Panel 29-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Keritz, Linda Jean Panel 29-11, Sun 8:30 am, Cheshill on the 3rd floor
 Kennedy, Joshua Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Kennedy, Ryan P. Panel 5-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kennedy, Ryan P. Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Kenney, Daniel A. Panel 54-6, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Kenney, Daniel A. Panel 54-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Kenney, Michael Panel 55-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Kenney, Michael Panel 16-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Kenoy, Christopher R. Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Kent, Jennifer Murray Panel 16-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Kentor, Jeff Panel 15-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Kentor, Jeff Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Kewalek, Michael Robert Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kerby, Matthew Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Kerby, Matthew Panel 2-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Kereval, Yana P. Panel 42-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Kern, Anna Panel 53-7, Fri 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kern, Holger Lutz Panel 38-7, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Kern, Holger Lutz Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Kern, Holger Lutz Panel 22-9, Sat 10:25 am, Cheshill on the 3rd floor
 Kernell, Samuel Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Kernell, Samuel Panel 41-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Kerzer, Andrew Panel 15-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Kerzer, Andrew Panel 15-21, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Kerzer, Andrew Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Kerzer, Andrew Panel 14-19, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Kerr, Brian Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Kerr, Brian Panel 53-7, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Kerr, Grace Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Kerr, Jaclyn Panel 16-18, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Kerr, Jaclyn Panel 13-10, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Kerr, Nicholas Nathan Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 3rd floor
 Kerth, Kenneth Roundtable 54-102, Sat 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kesgin, Barb Panel 19-7, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Kesler-Mantz, Koulan Panel 16-10, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Kettler, Jacyln Jolene Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Kevers, James Alexander Panel 4-11, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Key, Kilee Meredith Panel 28-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Key, Eden Meredith Panel 28-14, Sun 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Key, Ellen Meredith Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Khanika, Alla Panel 48-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Khan, Muhammad Araf Panel 20-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Khan, Muqtadar Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Khan, Muqtadar Panel 36-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Khan, Muqtadar Panel 17-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Khan, Muqtadar Panel 56-12, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Khan, Rizaz Panel 36-9, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Khan, Rizaz Panel 36-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Khieu, Sophora Panel 3-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Khouri, Hiba M. Panel 50-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Clark, Thomas Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kiersey, Nicholas Jeremiah Panel 36-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Kiersey, Nicholas Jeremiah Panel 36-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Kiewiet, D. Ruderick Panel 25-22, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Kiewiet, D. Ruderick Panel 25-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Kiewiet De Jonge, Chad P. Panel 9-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Kiewiet De Jonge, Chad P. Panel 7-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Kifer, Martin J. Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Kiddish, Julie L. Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Kilgore, Justin L. Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kilgour, Marc Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Kilgour, Marc Panel 26-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Killian, Shane Panel 20-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kilwein, John C. Panel 44-9, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 KIM, Ae-Sook Panel 30-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Kim, Anna Panel 13-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Byoung Joon Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Kim, Christine C. Panel 56-15, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Kim, Dae Joong Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kim, Dongsoo Panel 19-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Doo-Rae Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Kim, Doo-Rae Panel 53-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Dukhaeng Panel 31-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Eun Kyung Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kim, Georok Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kim, Heejung Panel 15-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Kim, Henry A. Panel 26-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Kim, Henry A. Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Kim, Hyeonah Panel 33-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Hyeonah Panel 15-23, Sat 11:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Kim, James J. Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Jeehye Panel 16-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Jeong Hyun Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kim, Ji Eun Panel 16-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Julia Hyeoyang Panel 13-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Min-hyang Panel 20-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Moonhawk Panel 20-2, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Moonhawk Panel 15-9, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Moonhawk Panel 15-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kim, Moonhawk Panel 20-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Myoung-Shik Mason Panel 10-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Myung Chul Panel 17-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Myunghae Panel 16-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Nari Panel 28-4, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Kim, Sang Ki Panel 25-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Sang Ki Panel 16-21, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Soo Yeon Panel 15-9, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Soo Yeon Panel 15-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kim, Soobee Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Kim, So Jung Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Kim, SunTae Panel 17-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Kim, Taehee Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Kim, Yoo Yeon Panel 49-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Kim, Yoo Kyun Panel 15-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Yoocho Panel 50-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 3 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Yoocho Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Kim, Young Hoo Panel 6-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Young Mii Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Kim, Young Mii Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Kim, Yujin Panel 46-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Kim, Yujin Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 KIMBALL, David C. Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 KIMBALL, David C. Panel 25-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 KIMURA, Kazuhiko Panel 18-24, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Kincaid, John Roundtable 1-103, Thur 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 KINBERDAN, Reed Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 KING, Aaron S. Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 KING, Aaron S. Panel 24-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 KING, Adam S. Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 KING, Athena M. Panel 31-7, Thur 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 KING, Athena M. Panel 31-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 KING, Brian Robert Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor

King

King, Brian Robert Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
King, Brian Robert Panel 17-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Millenium Parlor on the 6th floor
King, Erlan G. Panel 29-5, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
King, Erlan G. Panel 29-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
King, Kwadri A. Panel 31-6, Fri 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
King, Marvinia Panel 23-11, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
King, Marvinia Panel 31-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
King-Meadows, Tyson D. Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
King-Meadows, Tyson D. Panel 28-14, Sun 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Klappner, Taren Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Klossella, Chad J. Panel 5-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Klossella, Chad J. Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Klusey, Barbara Spouraki Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Klusey, Barbara Spouraki Panel 7-17, Sun 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Kirbasov, Galymzhan Panel 16-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Kirkland, Justin Panel 40-8, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Kirkland, Paul E. Roundtable 32-102, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Kirkland, Paul E. Panel 71-2, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Kirkland, Paul E. Panel 71-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Kirkpatrick, Kellie J. Panel 30-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Kirkpatrick, Kellie J. Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Kirkpatrick, Kellie J. Panel 49-8, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Kirsch, Robert E. Panel 36-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Kirabov, Alex Roundtable 54-102, Sat 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Kisagani, Ernest F. Panel 17-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Kissau, Kathrin Panel 26-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Kissau, Kathryn Panel 23-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Kissopoulos, Ilian Panel 21-11, Sat 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Klantsja, Marika Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Kleinberg, Meira Sassone Panel 29-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Kleemannsen, Robert Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Kleemannsen, Robert Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Kleemannsen, Robert Panel 14-19, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Kline, Rebekah Panel 3-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Kline, Rember Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Klingbeard, Daniel P. Panel 71-2, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Klingler, Jonathan D. Panel 40-8, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Klingler, Jonathan D. Panel 40-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Klingler, Jonathan D. Panel 26-5, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Klingbeard, Michael Baggese Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Klingbeard, Michael Baggese Panel 2-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Klingbeard, Michael Baggese Panel 2-6, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Klingbeard, Michael Baggese Panel 8-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Klotz, Marilyn Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Klotz, Marilyn Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Knack, Stephen Panel 15-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Knecht, Thomas Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Knevel, Timothy Michael Panel 51-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Knigge, Ph A. Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Knight, Jack Panel 34-12, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Knollen, Sarah Gray Panel 11-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Knoll, Benjamin R. Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Knuffke, Eleuther Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Kouss, Nagwa Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Koutron, Katherine E. Panel 56-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Koutson, Katherine E. Panel 56-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Ko, Kikka Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Kobayashi, Hisako Panel 5-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Koch, Bertina Panel 33-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Koch, Jeffrey W. Panel 25-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Koch, Juliana Panel 28-26, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Koch, Michael T. Panel 16-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Koch, Michael T. Panel 19-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Kochanek, Joseph Stanley Panel 13-6, Thur 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Kochanek, Joseph Stanley Panel 34-18, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Kochin, Michael N. Panel 29-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Koczura, Zsoka Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Koenig, Dustin Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Koenig, Thomas E. Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Koenig, Thomas E. Panel 2-12, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Koenig, Thomas E. Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Koenig, Thomas E. Panel 8-16, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Koenig, Christoph C. Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Koen, Daniel Panel 21-10, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Koes, Daniel Panel 8-20, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Koga, Jun Panel 16-8, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Kogan, Vladimir Panel 25-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Kogan, Vladimir Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Kogan, Vladimir Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Kogan, Vladimir Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Kogler, Gregory Panel 72-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Kogler, Gregory Roundtable 42-102, Fri 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Kogler, Gregory Panel 42-28, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Kogler, Gregory Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Kohama, Shoko Panel 17-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Kohanteb, Shella Panel 12-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Kohara, Yusaku Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Kohen, Ari Panel 32-5, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Kojouri-Saarela, Aurora Elizabeth Panel 12-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Kojtek, Laura Poster 61-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Kolesnikov, Veronika Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Kollars, Nina Panel 17-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Kollars, Nina Panel 21-13, Sat 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Kollman, Ken Panel 26-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Kollman, Ken Roundtable 1-104, Sat 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Kolodny, Robin Panel 40-15, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Kolodny, Robin Panel 2-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Kolodny, Robin Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Kolodny, Robin Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Kolova, Amabel Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Koldanov, Aleksey Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Kondalcy, David Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Kondalcy, David Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Kondalcy, David Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Kondalcy, David Roundtable 70-101, Sun 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Konstantinidis, Nikitas Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Koo, Bon Sang Panel 14-3, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Koo, Sejin Panel 44-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Koomen, Joanneke Panel 43-1, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Koomen, Joanneke Panel 20-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Kooza, Agnes Katona Panel 21-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Koromanos, Barbara Panel 20-8, Sat 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Korrmann, Sandra Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Korhonen, Vase Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

- Korolev, Alexander Nikolayevich Panel 6-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Kos, Eric Steven Panel 36-1, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Koski, Chris Panel 51-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Koski, Chris Panel 50-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Koski, Chris Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Kostidis, Spyros Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Kostidis, Spyros Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Kostadinova, Petia A. Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Kostadinova, Petia A. Panel 8-22, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Kostadinova, Tzvetana P. Panel 13-9, Sat 4:15 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Kostadinova, Tzvetana P. Panel 8-15, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Kostovaras, Deen Panel 21-7, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Kostovik, Michael Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Kostyaev, Sergey S. Panel 40-8, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Kotner, Thad Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Kovalov, Max Panel 13-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Kovar, Katil Panel 13-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Kovar, Jan Panel 13-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Kovart, John Panel 47-16, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Krasin, Ryan Panel 36-10, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Krall, Lorraine Panel 36-12, Sat 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Kraton, Eric J. Panel 11-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Kramon, Eric J. Panel 7-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Kranze, Eva Panel 11-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Kranze, Eva Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Krasov, Jonathan Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Krasov, Jonathan Panel 40-7, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Kraus, Jeffrey Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Kraus, Jeffrey Panel 46-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Kraus, Jeffrey Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Kraus, Jeffrey Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Kraus, Jeffrey Panel 49-6, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
- Kraus, Jeffrey Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Kraus, Jeffrey Panel 35-3, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Krause, George A. Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Krause, George A. Panel 41-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Krause, George A. Panel 52-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Krause, George A. Panel 52-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Krause, Robert M. Panel 51-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Krause, Volker Panel 18-18, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Krawczyk, Kelly A. Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Krebs, Timothy B. Panel 50-15, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Kreftz, Sharon Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Krebbel, Keith Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Kreppel, Amber Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Kreppel, Amber Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Kreutz, Jonathan Panel 18-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Kreutz, Jonathan Panel 18-28, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Krickhaus, Jonathan Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Krimmel, Katherine Panel 28-23, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Krimmel, Katherine Panel 40-14, Sat 11:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Kriener, Douglas Lee Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Kriener, Douglas Lee Panel 28-14, Sun 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
- Krishnan, Sarat Panel 16-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Kritzinger, Sylvia Panel 8-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Kris, Kenneth A. Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Kroeger, Jessica Panel 17-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Krog, Ryan Panel 45-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Krog, Ryan Panel 45-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Krois, Dana M. Panel 57-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Krook, Mona Lena Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Krook, Mona Lena Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Krook, Mona Lena Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Kropf, Martha Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Kroszek, Jan Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Krueger, Janice Panel 27-21, Sat 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Krueger, Skip Panel 53-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Kruger, Katie Panel 41-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Kruka-Wieber, Gabriella Kaya Panel 4-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Krupnikov, Yanna Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Krupnikov, Yanna Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Krupnikov, Yanna Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Krupnikov, Yanna Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Krupnikov, Yanna Panel 46-17, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Krutz, Glen S. Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Kryzanek, Ann Panel 31-1, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Kulackiewicz, Aleksander Panel 27-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Kulackiewicz, Aleksander Panel 27-16, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Kucbarski, Milosz Panel 19-8, Sun 10:25 am, Creshit on the 3rd floor
- Kuenzi, Michelle T. Panel 4-22, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Kuenzi, Michelle T. Panel 11-3, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Kuenzi, Michelle T. Panel 5-1, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Kuhlen, Julie V. Poster 73-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Kuhlen, Julie V. Panel 36-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Kuhn, Katie Panel 21-9, Sat 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Kuhn, Patrick Michael Panel 18-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Kuller, Erik W. Panel 28-4, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Kuller, Erik W. Panel 65-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Kukla-Acevedo, Sharon Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Kuklinski, James Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Kolesan, Christopher Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Kolkman, Patricia Panel 4-21, Fri 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Kullberg, Judith S. Panel 55-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Kulick, Robert Panel 20-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Millenium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Kumar, Shivaji Panel 10-15, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Kumar, Shivaji Panel 17-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Kumar, Sajith Shambh Panel 34-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Kumar, Sajith Shambh Panel 34-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Kum, Alexander Author Meets Critics 2-100, Thur 10:25 am, Sprite Parlor on the 6th floor
- Kuo, Alexander Panel 15-22, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Kuo, Alexander Panel 2-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Kurizaki, Shubel Panel 18-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Kurizaki, Shubel Panel 18-20, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Kurkowski, Drew Panel 28-20, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Kurtz, Roxanne Marie Panel 34-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Kurtz, Roxanne Marie Panel 34-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Kuster, Lillian, Simone Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Kuster, Lillian, Simone Panel 13-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Kuster, Lillian M. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Kutley, Daniel William Panel 5-7, Thur 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Kwak, Jun-Hyeok Panel 36-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Kweon, Yesola Panel 14-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Kweskin, Benjamin Panel 5-1, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Kwiatkowski, Karina Bryn Panel 30-15, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Kwon, Hyeok Yong Panel 7-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Kwon, Soo Hyun Panel 68-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Kyle, Brett Jefferson Panel 9-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Kyriotes, Allen Panel 42-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Kyriotes, Allen Panel 45-31, Sun 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Kyriotes, Andrew Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- L'Arrivee, Elizabeth A. Panel 65-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- L'Arrivee, Robert Antoine Panel 65-3, Sat 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- La Raja, Raymond J. Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

La Raja

La Raja, Raymond J. Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
La Raja, Raymond J. Panel 23-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Lacalle, Marzino Paula Panel 42-25, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Laelna, Bethany Ann Panel 21-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Lacy, Dean P. Panel 28-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Lacy, Dean P. Panel 28-25, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Ladd, Jonathan McDonald Panel 28-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Ladewig, Jeffrey W. Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spice Parlor on the 6th floor
Ladewig, Jeffrey W. Panel 42-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Ladewig, Jeffrey W. Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spice Parlor on the 6th floor
Ladha, Krishna Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Ladha, Krishna Panel 37-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
LaPurge, Chera A. Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
LaFrance, T. Casey Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Lago, Ignacio Panel 26-8, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Lago, Ignacio Panel 26-12, Fri 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Lak Brian Panel 18-2, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Laf, Brian Panel 18-21, Thur 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Laird, Richard Panel 20-7, Fri 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Laitin, David Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Lake, Walter L. Panel 15-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Lamb, Charles M. Panel 31-5, Fri 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Lamb, Charles M. Panel 31-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Lamb, Charles M. Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Lamb, Charles M. Panel 31-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Lambright, Glau M. Somerville Panel 11-1, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Lamm, Jennifer E. Panel 54-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Lamotte, Meeyoung Song Panel 53-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Lanthe, Scott Panel 50-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Lanthe, Scott Panel 53-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Lampert, Joseph Panel 36-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Lampert, Joseph Panel 36-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Lance, Justin Earl Panel 3-1, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Lance, Justin Earl Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Engle on the 3rd floor
Lantheer, Matthew W. Panel 35-13, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Landerere, Helene Emille Panel 35-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandberg 6 on the 7th floor
Landerere, Helene Emille Panel 35-11, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Landers, Dustin Alan Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Landra, Steven Tyler Panel 16-7, Fri 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Landis, Steven Tyler Panel 18-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Landry, Pierre E. Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Landry, Pierre E. Panel 10-4, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Lane, Joseph H. Panel 35-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Lane, Joseph H. Panel 71-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Parlor C on the 6th floor
Lane, Joseph H. Panel 71-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Lang, Matthew Joseph Panel 46-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Lang, Matthew Joseph Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Langhein, Laura Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Langlois, Catherine Panel 17-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Langlois, Catherine Panel 17-14, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Langston, Jay K. Panel 42-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Langston, Jay K. Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Langston, Thomas Panel 46-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Langston, Thomas Panel 41-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Langston, Thomas Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Lanthe, Drew N. Panel 45-13, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Lanzetta, Alexander Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Larje, Ohnragbenso Poster 63-210, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

LaPira, Timothy M. Panel 40-8, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
LaPira, Timothy M. Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Lapierre, Victor Panel 8-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Larimer, Christopher W. Panel 27-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Larimer, Christopher W. Panel 30-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Larrod-Price, Heather A. Panel 29-12, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Larrod-Price, Heather A. Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Larrod-Price, Heather A. Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Larso, Narayani Panel 38-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Lassen, David S. Panel 23-3, Thur 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Lassen, David S. Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Lasek, Matej M. Panel 17-22, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Lau, Richard R. Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Lau, Richard R. Panel 23-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Lau, Timothy C. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Lauderdale, Benjamin E. Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Lauderdale, Benjamin E. Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Lauderdale, Benjamin E. Panel 26-16, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Laursen, John Christian Panel 33-6, Thur 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Laursen, John Christian Panel 33-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Lauterbach, Taty Lee Panel 17-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Millstream Parlor on the 6th floor
Lavenia, Peter A. Panel 36-9, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Laverda, Stephanie Panel 52-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Laverda, Stephanie Panel 53-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Lavery, Lesley E. Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Lavezola, Sebastian Panel 28-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Lavezola, Sebastian Panel 14-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Lavin, Chad Panel 36-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Lavin, Chad Panel 36-7, Fri 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Law, Anna Panel 45-20, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Law, Anne Panel 45-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Law, Anna Author Meets Critics 44-101, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Law, Richard Brian Panel 41-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Lawler, Peter Augustine Panel 34-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Lawless, Jennifer L. Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Lawless, Jennifer L. Panel 30-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Lawless, Jennifer L. Roundtable 1-112, Fri 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Lawrence, Duncan Panel 28-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Lawrence, Duncan Panel 4-11, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Lawrence, Eric D. Panel 42-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Lawrence, Eric D. Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Lawson, Heidi Lynn Panel 54-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Lax, Jeffrey R. Panel 28-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Lax, Jeffrey R. Panel 45-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Lax, Jeffrey R. Panel 45-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Lay, J. Celeste Panel 27-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Lay, J. Celeste Panel 30-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Lay, J. Celeste Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Layman, Geoffrey Panel 56-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Layman, Geoffrey Panel 36-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor

- Lazarus, Jeffrey Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Lazarus, Jeffrey Panel 45-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Lazer, David Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Lazer, David Panel 39-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Lazer, David Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Lazer, David Panel 39-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Le, Deany Panel 31-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Le, Deany Panel 31-15, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Le, Din-Trung Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Le, Loan Panel 31-16, Thur 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Le, Loan Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Le Vanessa, Frank P. Panel 9-5, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Leat, David L. Panel 56-7, Fri 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Leat, David L. Panel 56-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Creshill on the 3rd floor
 Leat, David L. Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Leblang, David Panel 15-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Leblang, David Panel 15-10, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Leblang, David Roundtable 1-107, Fri 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lebo, Matthew J. Panel 25-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Lebo, Matthew J. Author Meets Critics 41-100, Sat 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lebo, Matthew J. Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Lebo, Matthew J. Panel 28-14, Sun 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Lebo, Matthew J. Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 LeBarrie, Michael Panel 33-4, Fri 4:15 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 LeBarrie, Michael Panel 33-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Leckrose, J. Wesley Panel 57-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Byung-Jae Panel 58-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Chan Song Panel 51-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Chiu-yi Panel 15-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Daniel Panel 42-19, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Daniel Panel 42-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Dong-Wook Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Dong-Wook Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Douglas Panel 17-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Doyoung Panel 17-3, Thur 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lee, Doyoung Panel 17-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Frederick Roundtable 35-100, Thur 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lee, Geon Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Han Soo Panel 41-12, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Helen Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Lee, Helen Panel 5-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Lee, Hockul Panel 10-1, Thur 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Hockul Panel 10-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Hoon Panel 15-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Hoon Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Lee, John Jeongho Panel 47-16, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Lee, John Nathaniel Panel 17-19, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Jongho Panel 31-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Lee, Joohe Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Joohe Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Jonathan Panel 10-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Jonathan Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Michael J. Panel 15-21, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Ok-jin Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Lee, Seo-young Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Lee, Seungbaek Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Seung Hee Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Lee, Soohi Panel 50-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Sooni Panel 50-11, Sun 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lee, So-Hyun Panel 2-6, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Sunil Panel 13-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Sung Woo Panel 48-4, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Sung Woo Panel 48-3, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Suu-bokun Panel 19-8, Sun 10:25 am, Creshill on the 3rd floor
 Lee, Taedong Panel 51-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Taedong Panel 47-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Taedong Panel 51-9, Fri 4:15 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Taeku Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lee, Web-Chin Panel 10-15, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Lee, Woojae Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Lee, Yujung Jallo Panel 10-3, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Leech, Beth L. Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Leeper, Thomas John Panel 38-1, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Leeper, Thomas John Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Lefevre, James Panel 28-29, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Left, Carol Skatnik Panel 5-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Left, Carol Skatnik Panel 21-9, Sat 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lefler, Vanessa Ann Panel 20-1, Thur 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lefler, Vanessa Ann Panel 18-21, Thur 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Legare, Kathia Panel 18-29, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Leighley, Jan Roundtable 1-102, Thur 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Leighley, Jan Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Leighley, Jan Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lehmswever, Judith Panel 20-2, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Leiras, Marcelo Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Lelien Dvora Lynn Panel 26-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Lelien, Dvora Lynn Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Leland, Suzanne Marie Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Lelkes, Yliritch Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Lem, Steve B. Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Lem, Steve B. Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Lem, Steve B. Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Lem, Steve B. Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Lemke, Fred Panel 25-20, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Lemoine, Rebecca Panel 32-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Leonard, Matthew Panel 42-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Leng, Ning Panel 10-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Lengauer, Guenther Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Lengauer, Guenther Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Lengauer, Guenther Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Leon, Gabriel Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Leon, Hartmut Panel 8-6, Fri 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Leon, Ana Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Leon, Ana Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Leonardi, Gabriel Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Leroux, Kelly Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Leroux, Kelly Panel 53-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lermux, Kelly Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Lesavoy, Matthew Panel 40-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Levay, Carl Panel 5-22, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Levay, Carl Panel 4-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Levendansky, Matthew S. Author Meets Critics 25-101, Thur 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Levendansky, Matthew S. Panel 29-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Levendansky, Matthew S. Panel 25-13, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Levenoglu, Babar Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Lever, Carlos R. Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Lever, Carlos R. Panel 72-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Lever, Carlos R. Panel 72-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Levy, LBI Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Levin, James Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Levine, Adam Seth Panel 25-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Levine, Adam Seth Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Levine, Adam Seth Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Levine, Adam Seth Panel 27-14, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Levine, Meredith Panel 54-9, Sat 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Levine, Yusemki Celik Panel 57-5, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Levine-Weinberg

Levine-Weinberg, Adam Leigh Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Levinson, Chad Panel 27-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Levinson, Sanford Author Meets Critics 44-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Levitan, Lindsey Clark Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Levitan, Lindsey Clark Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 7th floor
Levitan, Lindsey Clark Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Levitt, Barry Steven Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Levitt, Barry Steven Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Levitt, Justin Panel 25-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Levitt, Justin Mark Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Lavy, David Roundtable 32-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Lavy, Morris Fil Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Lavy, Peter B. Panel 54-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Lewis, Andrew Ryan Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Lewis, David E. Roundtable 42-101, Fri 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Lewis, David E. Roundtable 1-106, Fri 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Lewis, David E. Panel 52-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Lewis, Dominique Helena Panel 11-5, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Lewis, Garrett Panel 45-26, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Lewis, Gregory B. Panel 66-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Lewis, Gregory B. Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Lewis, Jeffrey Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Lewis, Jeffrey Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Lewis, Meghan Elizabeth Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Lewkowicz, Michael Allen Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Ley Cartier, Sandra Jessica Panel 9-9, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Li, Anthony Ho Fai Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Li, Chien-pin Panel 10-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Li, Quam Panel 43-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Li, Quam Panel 45-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Li, Quam Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Li, Wei Panel 10-14, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Li, Xiaojun Panel 15-23, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Li, Xiaoning Panel 18-2, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Li, Zhen Panel 17-3, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Liang, Jiaqi Panel 51-15, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Liang, Jiaqi Panel 51-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Licari, Michael J. Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Licari, Michael J. Panel 50-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Licht, Amanda Abigail Panel 16-3, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Licht, Amanda Abigail Panel 18-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Licht, Amanda Abigail Panel 19-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Lieber, Matthew Alexander Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Lieber, Matthew Alexander Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Lieber, Matthew Alexander Panel 19-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Lieberman, Evan S. Panel 4-24, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Lieske, Joel A. Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Lieske, Joel A. Roundtable 1-103, Thur 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Lieske, Joel A. Panel 46-16, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Lightcap, Tracy L. R. Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Lightcap, Tracy L. R. Panel 45-31, Sun 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Lillis, Nicholas Paul Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Liso, Daniele Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Liss, James Jerome Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Litt, Jane Panel 33-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Liu, Seong-Ho Panel 14-3, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Liu, Tingjin Panel 48-4, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Liu, Tingjin Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Liu, Tingjin Panel 48-8, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Liu, The-Min Panel 26-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Liu, Weibin Panel 14-12, Sat 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Liu, Yi-Shi Panel 45-2, Thur 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Lindberg, Helen Panel 36-12, Sat 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Linde, Jonas Panel 13-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Linde, Jonas Panel 13-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Lindley, Dan Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Lindley, Dan Panel 17-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Lindquist, Stefanie Ann Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Lindstedt, Rene Panel 8-8, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Lindstedt, Rene Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Lindstedt, Rene Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Lindstedt, Rene Panel 43-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Lindstrom, Bonnie Panel 47-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Linn De Boer, Suzanne Author Meets Critics 29-100, Sun 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Lipman, Erica Thomas Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Lipnitz, Keena Panel 22-12, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Lipnitz, Keena Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Lipnietz, Christine S. Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Lira, Leonard Panel 57-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Lira, Leonard Panel 52-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Lisi, Marco Panel 7-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Lisi, Marco Panel 7-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Lisberg, Oda Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Lisinski, Sloan Roundtable 57-103, Fri 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Lisinski, Sloan Panel 57-6, Sun 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Lisitz, Justin B. Panel 35-10, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Lisitz, Justin B. Panel 54-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Lisitz, Andrew Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Lisovsky, Leventis Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Liu, Abraham William Panel 13-3, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Liu, Amy Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Liu, Derek Tai-wai Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Liu, Derek Tai-wai Panel 4-20, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Liu, Mingxing Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Livanik, Joannal Panel 8-5, Fri 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Livanik, Joannal Panel 8-6, Fri 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Livingston, Steven G. Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Lizotte, Mary-Kate Panel 30-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Lizotte, Mary-Kate Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Llanos, David Alejandro Panel 28-14, Sun 8:30 am, Price on the 3rd floor
Llauder, Elena Panel 24-11, Sun 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Llewellyn, Morgan Panel 25-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Lloyd, Jeremy Panel 17-19, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Lo, James Panel 38-6, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Loebhart, Charles Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Lodge, Martin Panel 48-4, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Lodge, Martin Panel 48-6, Sat 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Lodge, Milton G. Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Lofth, Matthew W. Panel 5-6, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Lomasoff, Eric Benjamin Panel 44-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Lodregan, John B. Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Lodregan, John B. Panel 37-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Lodregan, John B. Panel 37-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Lodregan, John B. Panel 24-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Long, Catherine Anne Panel 11-7, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Long, Catherine Anne Panel 5-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Long, James Panel 4-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

- Loag, Katya Claire Panel 40-1, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Loag, Katya Claire Panel 40-11, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Loag, Stephen Baldwin Panel 17-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Loanda, Bardett A. Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Loanda, Bardett A. Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Loata, Krista Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Laese, Krista Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Lopez, Thomas Panel 31-7, Thur 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Lopez Caribool, Santiago Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Loreb, Benjamin Panel 65-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Lorentzen, Peter L. Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Lorentzen, Peter L. Panel 18-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Lou, Diqing Panel 3-3, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Lou, Diqing Panel 10-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Lou, Diqing Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Sakoi 1 on the 3rd floor
 Loebbermann, Claude Panel 50-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Love, Gregory Panel 7-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Love, Gregory Panel 22-9, Sat 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Loveless, Paul Matthew Panel 7-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Loveless, Paul Matthew Panel 13-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Loveless, Paul Matthew Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Mansfield on the 3rd floor
 Loveless, Paul Matthew Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Mansfield on the 3rd floor
 Loves, Seth H. Panel 18-22, Thur 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lovett, Frank Panel 34-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Lovett, Frank Panel 36-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Lowe, Adlyssa M. Panel 21-10, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Lowe, Adlyssa M. Panel 57-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Lowe, WJM Panel 38-6, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Lowe, WJM Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Lowery, David L. Panel 40-8, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Lowery, David L. Panel 40-13, Sun 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Lowery, Todd Roundtable 54-101, Thur 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lowham, Elizabeth Panel 38-13, Sat 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Lowrance, Sherry R. Panel 42-8, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Lowry, Robert Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Lozovoy, Ulooly Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Lu, Jie Panel 7-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Lu, Yi-Nu Panel 29-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Luo, Hublog Panel 17-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Lubian, Daniel Panel 33-13, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Lubbock, Tom Panel 46-2, Thur 10:25 am, Parlor 4 on the 6th floor
 Lubell, Mark N. Panel 72-1, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Lubell, Mark N. Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Lubell, Mark N. Panel 72-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Lublin, David Panel 26-12, Fri 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Lublin, David Panel 26-16, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Lucas, Dewayne L. Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Lucas, Dewayne L. Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Sakoi 1 on the 3rd floor
 Lucas, Jennifer Carroll Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Lucas, Jennifer Carroll Panel 30-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Lucas, Laura C. Panel 5-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Luckow, Veronica Jean Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Ludwig, Mark Donald Panel 29-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Luempert, Brooke Panel 8-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Luempert, Brooke Panel 8-22, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Luliste, Manarja Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Luliste, Manarja Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Luld, Bernd Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Lukinova, Evgeniya Panel 27-20, Thu 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Luo, CAN J. Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Lwojgren, Benjamin T. Panel 32-4, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Lupfer, Jacob Panel 56-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Lupia, Arthur Panel 37-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Lupia, Arthur Panel 37-4, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Lupia, Arthur Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Lupton, Robert N. Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Lupa, Neam Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Lupa, Neam Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Luskio, Robert C. Panel 28-4, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Luskio, Robert C. Roundtable 39-100, Thur 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Luskio, Robert C. Roundtable 25-100, Fri 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Luskio, Robert C. Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Sakoi 5 on the 3rd floor
 Luskio, Robert C. Panel 28-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Lutz, Ellen M. Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Lutmar, Carmela Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lutmar, Carmela Panel 16-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Lutmar, Carmela Panel 8-20, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Lutmar, Carmela Panel 16-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Lutmar, Carmela Panel 8-15, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Lyle, Monique L. Panel 28-37, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Lyle, Monique L. Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Lynch, Christopher Thomas Panel 34-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Lynch, Emily K. Panel 42-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Lynch, Emily K. Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Lynch, Michael S. Panel 42-1, Thur 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Lynch, Michael S. Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Lynch, Michael S. Panel 25-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Lynch, Timothy R. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Lynne, Mona Panel 4-23, Sat 8:30 am, Price on the 3rd floor
 Lynard, Benjamin Panel 56-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Lynard, Benjamin Panel 56-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Lyons, Jeffrey Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Ma, Brian Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Macchiavelli, Cecilia Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 MacDonald, Jason A. Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 MacDonald, Jason A. Panel 42-17, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 MacFarlane, Jonathan Panel 71-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 MacGillivray, Eric A. Panel 34-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 MacGillivray, Eric A. Panel 34-12, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Macha, Sarah Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Machado, Fabiana Velasquez Panel 6-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Machado, Fabiana Velasquez Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Machado, Fabiana Velasquez Panel 4-28, Sun 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 MacKenzie, Scott Alan Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 MacKenzie, Scott Alan Panel 54-14, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 MacKuen, Michael Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 MacLean, Lauren M. Panel 38-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 MacLean, Lauren M. Panel 4-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 MacLean, Lauren M. Panel 11-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 MacLean, Lauren M. Panel 38-15, Sat 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Maemans, Sumo A. Roundtable 1-103, Thur 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Maemans, Susan A. Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Madden, Nathan James Panel 26-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Price on the 3rd floor
 Madhi, Khalid Panel 47-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Madonia, Anthony Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Madonia, Anthony Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Madonia, Anthony Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Madson, Mikkel Bo Panel 53-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Maeda, Keotaro Panel 5-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Maeda, Ko Panel 2-12, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor

Maeder

Maeder, Lara Kai Panel 8-15, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Maeder, Lara Kai Panel 8-16, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Magalhães, Eduardo Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Magar, Eric Panel 6-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Magar, Eric Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Maggini, Nicola Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Maggioli, Luca Panel 54-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Maghey, Daniel Blythe Panel 42-18, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Maghey, David B. Panel 25-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Magliveras, Konstantinos Panel 20-2, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Magliveras, Konstantinos Panel 20-15, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Magre, Jesse Panel 47-9, Sat 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Mahdavi, Parvina Panel 4-15, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Mahler, Vincent A. Panel 2-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Mahler, Vincent A. Panel 2-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Mahoney, James Author Meets Critics 54-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Mai, Minh Viet Phap Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Maierman, Andrea Raloca Panel 13-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Maitra, Anandhika Panel 71-5, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Majidi Clark, Parisa Panel 31-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Major, Mark Panel 41-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Mak, Maxwell H. H. Panel 45-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Makae, Todd Panel 22-8, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Makae, Todd Panel 22-3, Sun 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Malang, Thomas Panel 8-19, Sat 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Malang, Thomas Panel 2-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Malatesta, Deanna Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Malatesta, Deanna Panel 53-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Malatesta, Deanna Panel 50-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Malescki, Michael Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Malesky, Edmund J. Panel 6-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Malesky, Edmund J. Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Maketa, Donald J. Panel 32-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Malhotra, Neil Anwar Panel 28-21, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Malhotra, Neil Anwar Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Malhotra, Neil Anwar Panel 25-14, Sat 4:15 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Malik, Adil Panel 18-29, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Malikajk, Daniel Poster 63-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Malinski, Daniel Panel 23-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Malitz, Marie Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Mallick, Alexandra Panel 50-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Mallick, Alexandra Panel 50-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Malmeistrom, Robert Panel 45-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Malone, Mary Fran T Panel 9-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Malone, Mary Fran T Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Maloy, Jason S. Panel 33-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Maloy, Jason S. Panel 33-13, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Maloyed, Charlotte L. Panel 71-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Malloy, Elizabeth Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Malobrevo, Elena Panel 49-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Malzmann, Forrest A. Panel 42-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Mamulu, Haidi M. Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Mamulu, Haidi M. Panel 49-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Mannasa, Rodolfo Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Mannasa, Rodolfo Panel 45-6, Fri 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Mannsa, Ryan Christopher Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Manger, Mark S. Panel 13-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Manger, Mark S. Panel 15-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
Mangla, Atsabay Panel 4-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor

Manheim, Frank T. Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Mans, Christopher Baird Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Mans, Christopher Baird Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Mansing, Stephen Panel 13-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Mansing, Stephen Panel 13-14, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Manoharan, Aroon Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Manoilla, Luis E. Panel 36-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Mantzopoulos, Victoria Panel 3-1, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Mapes-Mortier, Brad Panel 32-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Marascuilo, Anne Marie Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Marciakiewicz, Kamil Michal Panel 42-26, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Marcella, Edgar Jorge Panel 17-8, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Mareaux, Chris Panel 51-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Marcus, George E. Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Marek, Paulina Anna Panel 6-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Marek, Paulina Anna Panel 6-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Margalit, Yitany Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Margolis, Michele Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Margolis, Michele Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Marfan, Jesse Michele Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Mariani, Mark Roundtable 57-102, Thur 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Mariani, Mark Panel 30-18, Fri 4:15 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Mariani, Mark Panel 30-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Marfen, Daniel E. Panel 51-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Marfen, Daniel E. Panel 51-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Marilyn, Suzanne M. Panel 31-4, Thur 4:15 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Marin, Mira Panel 36-11, Sat 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Marin, Mira Panel 36-12, Sat 10:25 am, Kinross on the 3rd floor
Marinova, Dani Panel 7-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Marinova, Dani Panel 7-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Marinova, Nadejda Panel 48-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Mark, Alyx Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Markes, John Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Markovits, Elizabeth K. Author Meets Critics 32-103, Thur 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Markovits, Elizabeth K. Roundtable 32-111, Sat 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Marks, Jonathan Panel 33-8, Fri 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Marks, Robert R. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Marandica, Jayne Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Marquardt, James Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Marquardt, Kyle Leise Panel 13-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Marquart-Pyatt, Sandy Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Marquart-Pyatt, Sandy Panel 8-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Marques, Israel Panel 5-21, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Marras, Khalil M. Panel 12-5, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Marsh, Michael Panel 26-8, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Marsh, Michael Panel 8-8, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Marsh, Michael Panel 26-9, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Marsh, Michael Panel 8-16, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Marshall, Bryan W. Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Marshall, Bryan W. Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Marshall, Bryan W. Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Marshall, Erica Panel 26-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Marshall, John Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Marshall, Thomas R. Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Marshall, Thomas R. Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Martin, Danielle Panel 26-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Martin, David Cloud Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Martini, Elaine Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Martin, Gregory Foster 59-2001, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Martin, Jim Panel 50-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Martin, Lanny W. Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Martin, Shane Panel 42-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Martin, Shane Panel 42-34, Sat 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Martinek, Wendy L. Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Martinek, Wendy L. Panel 45-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Martinez Gallardo, Cecilia Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Martinez Gallardo, Cecilia Panel 4-31, Fri 12:45 pm, Monrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Martinez Gallardo, Cecilia Panel 6-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Martinez Machuga, Carla Mercedes Foster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Martin, Nicholas Fred Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Martinussen, Johan Panel 28-29, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Martorano, Nancy Panel 46-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Martorano, Nancy Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Martorelli, Benjamin Panel 12-9, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Marvel, John Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Marvel, John Panel 53-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Maskat, Seth E. Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Maskat, Seth E. Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Maskat, Seth E. Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Maskat, Seth E. Panel 26-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Mason, Elizabeth Hayley Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Mason, Gabrielle Ellise Foster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Mason, Lillian Hall Panel 23-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Mason, Lillian Hall Panel 28-22, Sat 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Mason, Patrick Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Masotto, Michelle Foster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Masters, Roger D. Panel 17-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Masters, Roger D. Panel 16-21, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Masterson, James Panel 15-23, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Masterson, James R. Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Mastro, Lauren Alexandra Foster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Mastro, Ortana Skylar Panel 17-1, Sat 8:30 am, Monrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Masouka, Natalie Panel 54-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Masouka, Natalie Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Masouka, Natalie Panel 28-32, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Masour, Jonathan Panel 50-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Masourek, Alla Michela Panel 18-22, Thur 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Masourek, Alla Michela Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Matthews, Lanetha Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Matthews, Lanetha Panel 30-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Matthews, Lanetha Panel 57-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Monrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Mathewson, Jesse-Douglas Robert Panel 54-10, Sat 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Mathews, Gabriel Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Mathis, Kenneth Panel 16-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Mathew, Richard E. Panel 30-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Mathland, Richard E. Panel 41-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Mathland, Richard E. Panel 26-9, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Matsubayashi, Tetsuya Panel 22-12, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Matsubayashi, Tetsuya Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Matsubayashi, Tetsuya Panel 40-11, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Matsubayashi, Tetsuya Panel 40-11, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Matsuzono, Shunta Panel 42-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Maturo, Akioka Panel 42-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Matys, Kyle Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Matys, Kyle Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor

Mattes, Michaela Foster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Mattes, Michaela Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Matthews, John Scott Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Matthews, Rachel Foster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Mattozzi, Andrea Panel 37-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Mansfield on the 3rd floor
 Mattson, Gary Armas Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Mattson, Gary Armas Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Mautela, Jacob A. Foster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Maves, Justice Panel 18-22, Thur 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 May, Peter J. Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Mayer, Alexander Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Mayer, Alexander Panel 26-16, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Mayer, Andrea L. Panel 28-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Mayer, Jean Francois Foster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Mayer, Kenneth Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Mayer, Lawrence C. Panel 21-10, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Mayerl, Jordan Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Mayers, David Allan Panel 19-7, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Mayhew, Genevieve Foster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Maynard Moody, Steven Panel 53-7, Fri 10:25 am, Grass Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Maynor, John William Panel 34-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Maynor, John William Panel 34-16, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Mazaheri, Nimah Panel 12-9, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Mazaheri, Nimah Panel 32-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Mazumdar, Arjit Panel 6-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Mazur, Amy G. Roundtable 64-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Mbengue, Bobacar Panel 21-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Mbeya, Julian David Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 McAdams, Erin Panel 28-20, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 McAdams, Erin Panel 28-29, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 McAndrews, Carolyn Panel 47-16, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 McAndrews, John Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 McAtee, Andrea Panel 28-21, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 McAtee, Andrea Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 McAvey, Greg Panel 28-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 McBeth, Mark K. Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 McBrayer, Gregory Alan Panel 56-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 McBrayer, Gregory Alan Panel 56-3, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 McCann, Michael Roundtable 45-101, Sat 8:30 am, Spice Parlor on the 6th floor
 McCann, Pamela Clouser Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 McCann, Pamela Clouser Panel 52-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 McCarthy, Melvire Panel 22-1, Sun 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 McCartney, Paul T. Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 McCarty, Nolan M. Roundtable 42-103, Sat 10:25 am, Spice Parlor on the 6th floor
 McClelland, Gwyneth Culvert Panel 4-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 McClarking, Harwood K. Panel 31-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 McClurg, Scott D. Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 McConaughy, Meghan Sue Panel 6-16, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 McConaughy, Corrine M. Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 McConaughy, Corrine M. Roundtable 1-108, Sat 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 McConaughy, Corrine M. Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

McCormick

McCormick, Peter James Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
McCormick, Samuel Panel 34-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
McCown, Alexander Panel 36-14, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
McCrory, Lewis Panel 71-5, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
McCubbins, Matthew D. Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
McCubbins, Matthew D. Panel 47-8, Fri 4:15 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
McDaniel, Eric L. Panel 31-16, Thur 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
McDaniel, Eric L. Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
McDaniel, Eric L. Panel 56-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
McDaniel, Eric L. Panel 56-8, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
McDaniel, Eric L. Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
McDaniel, Jason Allen Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
McDermott, Jennifer Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
McDermott, Monika L. Panel 30-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
McDonagh, Eileen Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
McDonagh, Eileen Panel 30-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
McDonald, Bruce Panel 50-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
McDonald, Bruce Poser 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
McDonald, Bruce Panel 50-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
McDonald, Leslie Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
McDonald, Michael B. Roundtable 1-105, Fri 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
McDonald, Michael D. Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
McDonald, Michael D. Panel 26-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
McDonnell, Lorraine Roundtable 1-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
McDougall, Heather Roundtable 64-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
McDowell, Curtis Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
McEherry, Christina L. Panel 54-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
McElroy, Gill Panel 26-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
McElroy, Gill Panel 26-9, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
McFarland, Andrew S. Panel 34-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
McFarland, Kelly M. Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
McGee, Daniel Lewis Poser 62-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
McGhee, Eric M. Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
McGinn, Shawn Panel 6-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
McGinn, Shawn Panel 5-6, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
McGlinchey, Eric Panel 13-14, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
McGowan, Richard Andrew Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
McGowan, Richard Andrew Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
McGowan, Ernest B. Panel 31-5, Fri 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
McGrath, Robert J. Panel 42-11, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
McGraw, Bryan T. Panel 56-8, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
McGraw, Bryan T. Panel 36-22, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
McGraw, Sean Panel 2-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
McGraw, Sean Panel 8-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 3rd floor
McGreevy-Stafford, Eghan Wallace Poser 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
McGroary, Victoria Poser 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
McGuire, Jack Panel 46-17, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
McGuire, Kevin T. Panel 45-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
McGuire, Kevin T. Panel 45-4, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
McHugh, Mary Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
McHwala, Chariton D. Panel 27-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor

McIntyre, Michael A. Panel 54-10, Sat 10:25 am, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
McIntyre, Michael A. Panel 54-12, Sat 4:15 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
McIver, John P. Panel 46-2, Thur 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
McIver, John P. Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
McKay, Amy Mellan Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
McKay, Evan Panel 14-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
McKee, Seth C. Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
McKee, Seth C. Panel 23-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
McKenzie, Jonathan Panel 35-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
McKenzie, Jonathan Panel 35-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
McKenzie, Mark Jonathan Panel 45-23, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
McKenzie, Mark Jonathan Panel 45-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
McKeon, Michael Panel 35-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
McKeon, Michael Panel 34-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
McKintley, Elissa Nicole Poser 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
McKinley, Lincoln James Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
McKinnick, Frederick Louis Panel 8-21, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
McKoy, Christopher Allan Panel 36-14, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
McKoy, Christopher Allan Panel 36-22, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
McLaughlin, Eric S. Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
McLaughlin, Josetta Panel 20-11, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
McLendon, Michael K. Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
McLendon, Michael Locke Panel 32-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
McLendon, Michael Locke Panel 35-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
McMahon, Blake Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
McMahon, Roseanne Panel 17-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
McMichael, Taylor Panel 10-14, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
McMillin, Stephen Edward Panel 68-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
McMurray, Joseph Panel 37-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
McNally, Darragh Panel 48-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
McNamara, Peter Panel 34-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
McNaught, Mark Bennett Panel 34-14, Thur 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
McNaught, Mark Bennett Panel 56-13, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
McNeely, Conale L. Panel 65-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
McNeece, Maryla R. Poser 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
McNulty, John E. Panel 23-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
McNulty, John E. Panel 23-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
McQuinn, James Mitchell Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
McThomas, Mary Panel 50-21, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
McThomas, Mary Panel 50-16, Sun 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
McBane, Walter R. Panel 38-1, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
McBane, Walter R. Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Meddaugh, William Craig Panel 2-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Meddaugh, William Craig Panel 29-23, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Medeiros, John Panel 34-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Medeiros, Mike Panel 25-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Medina, Luis Fernando Panel 4-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Medina, Mariana Panel 15-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Medina, Mariana Panel 14-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Medina Vidal, D. Xavier Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Medynskyi, Ivan Panel 13-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

- Meguid, Bonnie M. Roundtable 1-105, Fri 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Meguid, Bonnie M. Panel 6-16, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Mehlotay, Yoni Panel 12-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Mehls, Rupal Panel 17-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Meier, Kenneth J. Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Meier, Kenneth J. Panel 52-3, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Meier, Kenneth J. Panel 46-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Meier, Kenneth J. Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Meier, Kenneth J. Panel 52-3, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Meier-Davis, Kate Panel 33-10, Fri 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Melina, Scott R. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Melick, Patrick C. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Melick, Patrick C. Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Melrowitz, Adam Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Saloon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Mekouar, Merouan Panel 12-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Mela, Christa Panel 37-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Mela, Christine Panel 18-3, Sun 10:25 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
- Melchiorich, Audrey A. Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Mella, Molly Morning Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Mella, Molly Morning Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Melina, Mariel Nino Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Melkondian-Hoover, Ruth M. Panel 56-15, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Melkondian-Hoover, Ruth M. Panel 56-13, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Mello, Brian Panel 36-10, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Mello, Brian Panel 36-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Mello, Brian Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Saloon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Mello, Brian Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Meloni, Mark Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Meloni, Mark Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spare Parlor on the 6th floor
- Mellow, Nicole Panel 1-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Melton, Erin Panel 52-5, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Mena, Medir Panel 21-5, Fri 8:30 am, Saloon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Mena, Medir Panel 20-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
- Mena-Mora, Amalia Panel 14-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Moorose 4 on the 7th floor
- Mencheta, Marcos Panel 22-1, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Menchik, Jeremy Matthew Panel 4-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Menchik, Jeremy Matthew Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Mendez de Hoyos, Irma Panel 6-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Mendez de Hoyos, Irma Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Mendoza, Amado Malloaga Panel 10-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Mendonca, Patricia Panel 5-20, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Mendonca, Irene Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Mendofield, Charles E. Panel 24-11, Sun 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Mercado, Christopher D Panel 17-8, Fri 10:25 am, Moorose 3 on the 7th floor
- Mercado, Christopher D. Panel 36-16, Sun 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Meredith, Marc Panel 49-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Meredith, Marc Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Meredith, Marc Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Mergner, Arthur William Panel 47-16, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Mergner, Stephen T. Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Mergner, Stephen T. Panel 47-16, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Merk, Christine Panel 48-6, Sat 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Merk, Christine Panel 48-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Merkulov, Nikolay Panel 19-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Merolla, Jennifer L. Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Merolla, Jennifer L. Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Merolla, Jennifer L. Panel 31-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Moorose 3 on the 7th floor
- Merry, Melissa K. Panel 51-12, Sun 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
- Merrison, Carol A. Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Saloon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Messinger, Covadonga Panel 14-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Messerve, Stephen August Panel 2-10, Sat 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
- Messing, Solomon Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Messing, Solomon Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Saloon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Messieris, Tamara Panel 36-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Messieris, Tamara Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Mettler, Suzanne Roundtable 1-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Mettler, Suzanne Panel 28-26, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Metzgar, Emily Panel 24-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Metzgar, Emily Panel 29-25, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Metzger, Jeffrey Panel 71-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Meyer, Calista Panel 30-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Meyer, Holger Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Meyer, Thomas M. Panel 8-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Meyer, Thomas M. Panel 8-16, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Meyers, Courtney Nicole Panel 29-12, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Mizzell, Ann Marie Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Mizzell, Ann Marie Panel 57-5, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Mizzell, Ann Marie Panel 57-6, Sun 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Miceli, Angela Panel 56-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Michaluk, Katarina Panel 51-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Michalek, Sarah Panel 29-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Michalak, Katja Panel 5-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Michalak, Katja Panel 5-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Michaluk, Katja Panel 13-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Michaud, Kristy E.H. Panel 51-8, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
- Michaud, Kristy E.H. Panel 27-14, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Michaluk, Kristin Grace Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Saloon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Michaluk, Kristin Grace Panel 4-24, Sat 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Michelson, Melissa Rachel Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Michelson, Melissa Rachel Roundtable 64-101, Sat 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Michener, Greg Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Mickey, Robert W. Panel 31-10, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Mickey, Robert W. Panel 49-14, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Mickey, Robert W. Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Miczak, Juan Pablo Panel 9-7, Thur 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Middleton, Joel A. Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Mielants, Eric Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Mispak, Thomas Panel 42-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Mierzejewski, Melaine G. Panel 13-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Mignozzari, Umberto Guarneri Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Saloon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Mikheylov, Slava Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Mikheylov, Slava Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Milazzo, Carlin Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Milazzo, Carlin Panel 26-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Miller, Kira C. Roundtable 42-100, Fri 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Miller, Kira C. Panel 42-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Miller, Kira C. Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Miles, Tom P. Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Milic, Thomas Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Millie, Sidney M. Panel 1-1, Thur 8:30 am, Saloon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Miller, Andrew C. Panel 41-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Miller, Banks Prescott Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Miller, Beth Panel 27-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Miller, Beth Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor

Miller

Miller, Beth Panel 25-11, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Miller, Carl E. Panel 44-3, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Miller, Edward Alan Panel 49-14, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Edward Alan Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Miller, Gene L. Panel 53-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Miller, Jennifer Panel 21-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Miller, Joanne M. Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Miller, John David Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Miller, Jonathan London Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Miller, Joshua I. Panel 36-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Joshua I. Panel 36-7, Fri 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Miller, Kirsten M. Panel 29-12, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Miller, Kristyn L. Panel 27-13, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Miller, Melissa Panel 20-8, Sat 4:35 pm, Mansfield on the 3rd floor
Miller, Michael C. Panel 23-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Miller, Michael G. Panel 26-5, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Miller, Michael G. Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Michael G. Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Miller, Michael K. Panel 5-8, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Miller, Michael K. Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Patrick R. Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Miller, Patrick R. Panel 29-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Miller, Patrick R. Panel 21-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Patrick R. Panel 23-11, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Patrick R. Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Steven V. Panel 16-11, Sat 8:30 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
Miller, Susan Marie Panel 42-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Miller, Susan Marie Panel 42-14, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Will H. Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Miller, William J. Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Miller, William J. Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Miller, William J. Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Miller, William J. Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Miller, William J. Panel 57-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Miller, William J. Panel 24-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Miller, Henry Roundtable 39-100, Thur 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Milstein, Teri Panel 53-7, Fri 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Milyo, Jeff Panel 25-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Milyo, Jeff Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Milyo, Jeff Panel 26-5, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Miz, Brian Panel 51-4, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Miz, Brian Roundtable J-104, Sat 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Min, Brian Panel 4-28, Sun 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Mini, Brian Panel 4-15, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Mionis, Lorraine C. Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Mioot, Sarah Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Minozzi, William Panel 37-3, Thur 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Minozzi, William Panel 42-19, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Minozzi, William Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Minta, Michael D. Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Mire, Michael Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Mire, Michael Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Mirilovic, Nikola Panel 4-26, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Mironova, Vera Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Misher, William Roundtable 1-102, Thur 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Mitra, Shefall Panel 35-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Mitra, Shefall Panel 35-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Mitsides, Katherine Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Mitchell, Christopher Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Mitchell, Dana-Gene Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Mitchell, Dana-Gene Panel 27-16, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor

Mitchell-Walshour, Gladys L. Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Mitchell-Walshour, Gladys L. Panel 31-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Proctor G on the 6th floor
Mittle, Dmytri Panel 13-4, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Mittin, Daniel Panel 13-10, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Mitropolski, Simeon Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Mo, Cecilia H. Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Mo, Cecilia H. Panel 25-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Mo, Cecilia H. Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Mockabee, Stephen Panel 56-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 3 on the 7th floor
Modellmog, Lacey Ann Panel 26-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Moer, Terry M. Roundtable 70-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Moeller, Megan Michelle Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Moffett, Ken Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Moffitt, Susan Panel 49-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Moffitt, Susan Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Mohamed, Neill A. Panel 16-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Mohanty, Peter Cashier Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Mohanty, Peter Cashier Panel 8-17, Thur 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Mojar, Takole Tsegay Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Mokhtar, Abdelkader Panel 26-20, Sat 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Molina, Angel Luis Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Molinar, Lori Panel 35-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Monroe, Burt L. Panel 37-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Monroe, Burt L. Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Monroe, Burt L. Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Monroe, Burt L. Panel 42-24, Sat 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Monroe, Nathan William Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Monroe, Nathan William Roundtable 42-103, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Monoch, Gian-Andrea Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Monson, Joseph Quia Panel 25-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Monson, Joseph Quia Panel 25-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Montalvo, Jorge Daniel Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Montano, Nura Panel 17-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Montgomery, Jacob Michael Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Moody, Bradley Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Moon, Alexander Panel 35-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Monroe 3 on the 7th floor
Moon, Alexander Panel 35-13, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Moon, Joe Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Moon, Joe Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Moon, Joe Panel 39-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Moon, Joe Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Moon, Sejin Panel 6-1, Thur 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Moon, Seung-Gin Panel 50-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Moon, Seung-Gin Panel 51-12, Sun 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Moon, Tae Joon Panel 36-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Mooney, Christopher Z. Panel 46-6, Fri 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Mooney, Christopher Z. Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Mooney, Hannah Beth Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Moore, Alfred Panel 34-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Moore, Alfred Panel 35-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Moore, Maria K. Panel 71-9, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Moore, Matthew Panel 17-17, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Moore, Matthew Panel 42-16, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Moore, Ryan T. Panel 38-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Moore, Will H. Panel 18-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Moore, Will H. Panel 18-30, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Mor, Vincent Panel 49-14, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Mor, Vincent Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Morales, Mauricio Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

- Morales-Ortiz, Javier Panel 9-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Moré, Domingo Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Moré, Domingo Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Morélli, Massimo Panel 37-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Moreno, Bernardo Panel 25-22, Fri 12:45 pm, Saloa 5 on the 3rd floor
- Moreno, Bernardo Panel 50-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Morona, Erika Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Morona, Erika Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Moreno Campos, Raul Ernesto Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Morona-Riano, Cerson Panel 33-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Morgan, Dorothy Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Morgan, Dorothy Foster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Morgan, Edward P. Panel 68-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Morgan, Edward P. Panel 29-11, Sun 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Morgan, Gregory Scott Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Morgan, Jessa Panel 9-1, Thur 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Morgan, Jaria Foster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Morgan, T. CURTON Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Saloa 8 on the 3rd floor
- Morgeastera, Scott Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Morlandy, Jerome Thomas Panel 17-14, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Morant, Jerome Thomas Panel 18-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Morris, Anne Kinross Foster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Morris, Evelyn L. Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Morris, John Charles Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Morrison, Kevin M. Panel 4-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Morrison, Kevin M. Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Morrison, Kevin M. Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Morrison, Kevin M. Panel 4-15, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Mortensen, Peter Bjerre Panel 2-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Mortensen, Peter Bjerre Panel 48-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Mortoa, Tabitha Susan Marie Panel 49-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Mortoa, Tabitha Susan Marie Panel 53-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Moscardelli, Vincent G. Panel 42-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Moscardelli, Vincent G. Panel 42-18, Thur 4:35 pm, Monrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Moseley, Mason Wallace Panel 4-16, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Moser, Robert G. Panel 26-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Moser, Scott Panel 26-8, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Moser, Scott Panel 28-20, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Moser, Scott Panel 26-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Mossberger, Karen A. Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Mossberger, Karen A. Roundtable 39-100, Thur 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Mossberger, Karen A. Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Mossberger, Karen A. Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Mossidge, Doug Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Morlando, Nicholas A. Panel 45-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Morlando, Nicholas A. Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Mouk, Ellen Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Saloa 3 on the 3rd floor
- Mounk, Yascha Panel 34-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
- Moyer, Rosalba Maria Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Moyshlan, Donald Panel 55-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Muchnik, David Alan Panel 56-8, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Mueller, John Panel 17-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Mueller, John Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Mueller, Samuel F. Panel 56-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Mueller, Susanne Panel 16-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Mueller, Marlene Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Mulhead, Russell Panel 29-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Mujkic, Edin Panel 13-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Mujkic, Edin Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Mujkic, Edin Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Mukherjee, Nisha Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Mullen, Patrick R. Panel 14-12, Sat 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Mullins, Kevin J. Panel 47-7, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Mulroy, Quinn Panel 54-9, Sat 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Munimola, Jonathan Foster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Munga, Jane Wangjia Panel 15-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
- Munier, Nathan Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Muniz, Cassio Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Munoz, Jordi Panel 8-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Munoz, Jordi Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Murakami, Michael H. Panel 28-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Murall, Karla Panel 4-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Murdie, Amanda Marie Panel 51-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Murillo, Amanda Marie Panel 16-8, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Murillo, Maria Victoria Panel 28-38, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Murphy, Chad Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Saloa 10 on the 3rd floor
- Murphy, Chad Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Saloa 5 on the 3rd floor
- Murphy, Haley Panel 23-3, Thur 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Murphy, Haley Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Murphy, Phillip Panel 48-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Murphy, Phillip Panel 48-2, Sat 10:25 am, Monrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Murphy, Stephanie Panel 71-8, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Murr, Andreas Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Murr, Andreas Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Murray, Gregg R. Panel 23-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Murray, Gregg R. Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Murray, Gregg R. Panel 28-14, Sun 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
- Mursbid, Navlae Panel 17-17, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Mursbid, Navlae Panel 4-26, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Mursbid, Navlae Panel 4-14, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Murti, Stephen Foster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Musavi Riz, Mohammad Seyed Panel 12-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
- Musavi Riz, Seyed Mohammad Panel 17-22, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Mustillo, Thomas Foster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Mustillo, Thomas Panel 4-23, Sat 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
- Mutlu-Eren, Hatide Panel 2-12, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Mutlu-Eren, Hatide Panel 6-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Mutz, Diana C. Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Myagkov, Mikhail Panel 27-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Myatt, David P. Panel 37-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Myatt, David P. Panel 23-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Myatt, David P. Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Myatt, David P. Panel 37-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Myatt, David P. Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Mycott, Jason D. Panel 42-18, Thur 4:35 pm, Monrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Mycott, Jason D. Panel 42-23, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Myers, Adam S. Panel 42-9, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Myers, Andrew Bryce Panel 55-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Myers, C. Daniel Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Myers, Nathan Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Myers, Nathan Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Myers, Nathan Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Myers, Rebekah M. Foster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Myerson, Roger B. Panel 37-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor

Mykolas

Mykolas, Harris Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Mykolas, Harris Panel 8-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Nachweis, David Panel 40-45, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Nachweis, David Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Nacok, Emily C. Roundtable 33-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Naeol, Emily C. Panel 34-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Nader, Marty Panel 41-12, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Nalégar, Sarah Grace Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Nagan, Na'ama Panel 29-25, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Najdek, Carl Milán Panel 35-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Nakajo, Miwa Panel 38-8, Fri 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Nakamura, Robert Panel 5-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Nakamura, Robert Panel 5-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Nakano, Keisuke Panel 37-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Nakopa, Monika A. Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Namo, Ilan Panel 4-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Nancarrow, William James Panel 54-9, Sat 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Nancarrow, William James Panel 45-31, Sun 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Naoi, Megumi Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Nash, Jonathan R. Panel 45-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Nash, Matthew Panel 36-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Nasrallah, Abouzar Panel 66-3, Sat 10:25 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
Nathan, James A. Panel 28-38, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Nathan, James A. Panel 10-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Nathan, James A. Panel 16-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Nathan, Noah L. Panel 11-3, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Navarro, Sharon Ann Panel 30-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Navarro, Sharon Ann Panel 9-12, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Navarro, Sharon Ann Panel 31-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Navia, Patrick D. Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Nawara, Steven P. Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Ndegrwa, Stephen N. Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Ndegrwa, Stephen N. Panel 4-14, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Neh-Majji, Andrea Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Neblo, Michael A. Panel 34-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Neblo, Michael A. Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Neblo, Michael A. Roundtable 1-109, Sat 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Neblo, Michael A. Panel 19-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Nederman, Cary J. Panel 33-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Nederman, Cary J. Panel 33-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Nee, Laurence Roundtable 71-100, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Nelbelski, Jacob Robert Panel 23-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Nelbelski, Jacob Robert Panel 23-11, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Nelik, Katharine A. Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Nelsson, Jayne L. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Nelys, Pedro Roberto Panel 37-13, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Nelson, David Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Nelson, John S. Panel 36-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Nelson, John S. Panel 36-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Nelson, Kimberly L. Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Nelson, Kjersten R. Panel 45-30, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Nelson, Michael J. Panel 45-23, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Nelson, Sam Panel 35-4, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Nelson, Sam Panel 35-13, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Nelson, Todd Halsey Panel 38-13, Sat 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Nelson, Todd Halsey Panel 13-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Nemacheck, Christine L. Roundtable 57-102, Thur 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Nemacheck, Christine L. Panel 57-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Nemacheck, Christine L. Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Nemacheck, Christine L. Panel 45-30, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Nemosa, Kuntaki Panel 6-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Nemosa, Kuntaki Panel 2-9, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Nemosa, Kuntaki Panel 10-3, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Nehkora, Milena I. Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Neubry, Barbara L. Panel 47-7, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Neudorfer, Natascha Simone Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Neuman, W. Russell Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Neuman, W. Russell Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Neumayer, Eric Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Neumayer, Eric Panel 38-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Neumann, Joan Hildegard Panel 8-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Neundorff, Anja Panel 25-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Neundorff, Anja Panel 11-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Newble, Neil Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
New, Michael J. Panel 46-2, Thur 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
New, Michael J. Panel 50-13, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
New, Michael J. Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
New, Michael J. Panel 50-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Newburg, James Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Newman, Benjamin Jordan Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Newman, Krista Panel 5-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Newman, Tim Panel 29-25, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Newmans, William Panel 41-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Newmans, William Panel 41-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Newswander, Chad B. Panel 41-3, Sun 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Newton, Benjamin Patrick Panel 32-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Newton, Cynthia E. Panel 42-15, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Newton, Cynthia E. Panel 42-3, Sun 8:30 am, Mansfield on the 3rd floor
Newton, Summer Dawn Panel 33-9, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Ng, Aline Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Ng, Kristen Poster 63-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Nguyen, Christoph G. Panel 3-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Nguyen, Christoph G. Panel 14-19, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Nguyen, Dung Panel 16-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Melina Parlor on the 6th floor
Nguyen, Mai Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Nichols, Angela Devos Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Nichols, Austin Panel 42-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Nicholson, Chris Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Nicholson, Chris Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Nicholson-Crotty, Jill Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Nicholson-Crotty, Sean C. Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Nicholson-Crotty, Sean C. Panel 51-10, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Nicholson-Crotty, Sean C. Roundtable 70-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Nickerson, David W. Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Nickerson, David W. Panel 38-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Nicolson-Wiggamser, Cristina Esterina Panel 5-6, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Nie, Norman H. Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Niellor, Sarah Panel 22-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Niedzwiedz, Sara Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Niebo, Vibeke Lehmann Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Niebo, Vibeke Lehmann Panel 53-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Nielsen, Mark David Panel 15-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

- Nikolai, Rita Panel 48-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Nikolayev, Casba Panel 40-15, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Nikolayev, Casba Panel 40-1, Thu, 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Nij, Liach Panel 29-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Nir, Liach Panel 29-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Nishikawa, Kazuo Antonio Panel 31-15, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Nishikawa, Kazuo Antonio Panel 9-9, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Nishikawa, Misa Panel 2-12, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Nishikawa, Misa Panel 14-12, Sat 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Nishimura, Megumi Panel 17-17, Thu 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Nishimura, Megumi Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Nishimura, Megumi Panel 44-8, Sun 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Nitta, Keith A. Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Nixon, David C. Panel 52-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Niigo, David C. Panel 52-6, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Noel, Alida Panel 25-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Noel, Guyana Panel 26-9, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Noel, Hans C. Panel 38-6, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 7 on the 7th floor
 Noel, Hans C. Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Noel, Hans C. Panel 38-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Creshill on the 3rd floor
 Nob. Howallie Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Nohstedt, Daniel Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Nok-ha, Au Panel 5-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Nollenberger, Karl Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Noordijk, Peter A. Panel 21-10, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Nooruddin, Irfan Panel 10-7, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Nooruddin, Irfan Panel 4-30, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Nooruddin, Irfan Panel 28-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Nooruddin, Irfan Roundtable 1-104, Sat 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Nooruddin, Irfan Panel 18-30, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Nooruddin, Irfan Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Noordhoff, Caroline M. Panel 31-15, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Norman, Emma R. Panel 36-10, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Norman, Emma R. Panel 36-14, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Norrath, Helmut Panel 21-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Norrath, Helmut Panel 25-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Norrander, Barbara Panel 25-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Notarianni, Jennifer Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Novak, Ruf Alexandre Panel 29-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Novak, Ruf Alexandre Panel 22-3, Sun 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Nowila, Jennifer Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Nowlin, Matthew Christopher Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Nowlin, Matthew Christopher Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Noyes, Natalie A. Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Nygaard, Asbjorn Soome Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Nygaard, Asbjorn Soome Panel 23-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 30 on the 3rd floor
 Nygaard, Asbjorn Soome Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Nygaard, Asbjorn Soome Panel 23-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Nunes, Felipe Panel 6-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Nunez, Jami Panel 4-30, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Nunez, Jami Panel 14-32, Sat 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Nuscaeri, Salvatore Panel 37-7, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Nunnari, Salvatore Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Nurser, Rustem Panel 5-6, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Nurser, Rustem Panel 5-34, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Nusbaum, Kirsten Panel 54-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Nusbaum, Kirsten Panel 44-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Nyblada, Benjamin Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Nye, John Panel 14-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Nye, John Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Nyembala, Robert Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Nyhan, Brendan Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Nyhan, Brendan Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Nyhan, Brendan Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Nyman, Elizabeth Panel 51-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 O'Brien, Cheryl Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 O'Brien, Diana Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 O'Brien, Eric Panel 68-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 O'Brien, Patrick Robert Panel 41-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 O'Bryan, Diana L. Panel 20-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 O'Callaghan, Elizabeth Ann Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 O'Connell, Anne Joseph Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 O'Connell, Anne Joseph Panel 52-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 O'Grady, Cathin Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 O'Grady, Cathin Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 O'Leary, Chris Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 O'Mahon, Patrick Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 O'Neil, Molly Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 30 on the 3rd floor
 O'Sullivan, Terrence M. Panel 41-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 O'Toole, Daniel Panel 32-2, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 O'Toole, Laurence J. Panel 52-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Oulley, Maureen Rand Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Oulley, Maureen Rand Panel 49-8, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Opara, Mike Panel 16-18, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Obayashi, Kazuhiko Panel 18-28, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Oshida, Mabel Panel 13-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Oberfield, Zachary Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Obradovic, Lana Panel 10-10, Sat 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Obradovic, Lana Panel 10-13, Sun 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Ochoa-Bilbao, Luis Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Ochreter, Leslie Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Odeh, Rafiq Panel 13-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Odia, Severin Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Odumko, Pontus Panel 2-15, Thu 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Odumko, Pontus Panel 40-14, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Ogazalik, Thomas X. Panel 38-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Ogata, Sema Hande Panel 18-24, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Oh, Seong Soo Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Oh, Yoon-Ah Panel 16-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Ohs, Dave Panel 17-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Ohs, Dave Panel 17-14, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Ohtsuki, Kazuo Panel 18-26, Sat 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Okury, Sibel Panel 8-8, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Oldfield, Deanne M. Panel 47-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Olds, Christopher Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Oliveira, Praterio Mendonca Panel 4-24, Sat 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Olivella, Santiago Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Olivella, Santiago Panel 26-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Olivella, Santiago Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Oliver, Alexander James Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Oliver, Eric Panel 27-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Oliver, Eric Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Oliver, Thomas R. Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Oliver, Thomas R. Panel 49-14, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Oliverson, Virginia Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Oliverson, Virginia Panel 4-23, Sat 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Olivieri, Victor M. Panel 8-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Olivieri, Victor M. Panel 21-7, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor

Olmeda

- Olmeda, Juan C. Panel 4-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Olmedo, Jonathan Paul Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salco 2 on the 3rd floor
Olson, Samuel Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Olson, Joseph A. Panel 23-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Olson, Gary L. Panel 55-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Olson, Laura R. Panel 56-15, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Olson, Laura R. Panel 56-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Monrose 4 on the 7th floor
Olson, Laura R. Panel 56-13, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Olson, Anna Maria Panel 8-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Olson, Joel Panel 4-22, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Omar, Marlon Panel 40-14, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Omar, Sawa Panel 15-18, Thur 8:30 am, Parker G on the 6th floor
Omar, Sawa Panel 10-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Omar, Sawa Panel 10-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Omarikova, Nerjama Karimova Panel 6-6, Fri 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Onderko, Heather Louise Roundtable 1-111, Sun 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Onderslo, Heather Louise Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Oso, Yoshikazu Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Oso, Yoshikazu Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Oswald, Olga A. Panel 5-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Parker C on the 6th floor
Oswald, Olga A. Panel 5-20, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Oswald, Chimonye Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Oyrisika, Robert Lee Panel 17-18, Thur 8:30 am, Salco 9 on the 3rd floor
Oyrisika, Robert Lee Panel 36-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Oyrisika, Robert Lee Panel 36-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Oyrisika, Robert Lee Panel 4-14, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Orban, Dwyga Darsan Panel 12-2, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Orlando, Francis J. Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Orlando, Francis J. Panel 24-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salco 1 on the 3rd floor
Orlander, Harold Nelson Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Orsco, Elva Fabiola Panel 36-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Orr, Marlon Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Orr, Marlon Panel 47-3, Fri 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Ortiz, Lilia Panel 28-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Ortiz, Candice Panel 38-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Ortiz, Candice Roundtable 64-104, Thur 4:35 pm, Crystal on the 3rd floor
Ortiz, Candice Panel 30-10, Sat 8:30 am, Parker G on the 6th floor
Ortega Ortiz, Reynaldo Yanesa Panel 9-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Ortega Ortiz, Reynaldo Yanesa Panel 9-13, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 3 on the 7th floor
Ortrey, Craig Panel 8-18, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Ortrey, Craig Panel 13-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Ortwein, Maria Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Oso-Kwapong, John Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Oso, Atsushi Panel 7-15, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Osborn, Tracy Panel 30-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Osborn, Tracy Panel 30-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Oster, Jennifer Panel 23-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salco 6 on the 3rd floor
Ostrow, Katera Panel 28-22, Sat 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Ostrowski, Moritz Panel 8-22, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Ostrow, Jander Panel 9-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Osterbar, Megan Panel 30-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Ostfeld, Mira Cecilia Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Ostrowder, Ian Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Ota, Simon Panel 40-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Ottati, Victor Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Ou Yang, Ray Panel 14-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Overby, L. Mervin Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Overby, L. Mervin Roundtable 1-111, Sun 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Owen, Eric L. Panel 15-10, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Owen, Eric L. Panel 15-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Owen, Karen Padgett Panel 30-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Owens, Chris Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Owens, Daniel Scott Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Owens, Mark Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Owens, Mark Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Owens, Mark Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Owens, Ryan James Panel 45-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Owens, Ryan James Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Owasu-Felle, Felicia Panel 4-16, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Owasu-Felle, Felicia Panel 4-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Okey, Zoe M. Roundtable 1-108, Sat 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Okey, Zoe M. Panel 30-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Ozdemir, Ugur Panel 16-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Paszberg, Michael Panel 48-8, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Pacek, Alexander Panel 7-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Paeble, Richard L. Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Paeble, Richard L. Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Paeble, Richard L. Roundtable 1-111, Sun 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Pacheco, Juliana Lynn Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Pacheco, Juliana Lynn Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Packer, Robert B. Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Packer, Robert B. Panel 15-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Paden, Catherine M. Panel 34-18, Fri 12:45 pm, Monrose 5 on the 7th floor
Page, Benjamin I. Panel 10-1, Thur 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Page, Benjamin I. Panel 28-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Page, Benjamin I. Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Page, Benjamin I. Roundtable 1-109, Sat 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Page, Douglas Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Pajic, Chelsea Mackenzie Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Palk, Sung-Wook Panel 44-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Palanza, Valeria Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salco 3 on the 3rd floor
Palanza, Valeria Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Paler, Laura Panel 4-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Palfrey, Thomas R. Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Palfrey, Thomas R. Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Palmer, Carl Lucas Panel 28-16, Thur 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Palmer, Carl Lucas Panel 27-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Palmer, Carl Lucas Panel 28-32, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Palmer, Glenn Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Palmer, Glenn Panel 18-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Palmer, Harvey D. Panel 28-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Paldioskas, Glina T. Panel 13-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Paldioskas, Glina T. Panel 13-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Pamphila, Nicolette Panel 48-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Pamukcu, Korumalp Panel 51-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Pamukcu, Korumalp Panel 18-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Pan, Hain-Hsin Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Pan, Hain-Hsin Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Panagopoulos, Costas Panel 23-3, Thur 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Panagopoulos, Costas Panel 22-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Panagopoulos, Costas Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Panagopoulos, Costas Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Panagos, Dimitrios Panel 51-15, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Pandya, Sonal S. Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Pandya, Sonal S. Panel 15-17, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

- Pantoja, Adrian Panel 30-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Paoli, Marco Panel 34-10, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Paoli, Marco Panel 32-2, Fri 8:50 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Paolino, Phillip O. Panel 28-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Paolino, Phillip O. Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Paradowski, Piotr R. Panel 2-1, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Paradowski, Piotr R. Panel 2-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Parde, Rafael L. Panel 45-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Pardo, Sergi Panel 8-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Pardo, Sergi Panel 8-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Pardo, Sergi Panel 8-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Parkins, John H. Panel 33-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Parkin, Sumita Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Parkin, Sumita Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Parkin, Sumita Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Parkinodi, Srinivas Panel 50-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Parkonadi, Srinivas Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Park, Jeffrey J. Panel 18-30, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Park, Choong-Min Panel 28-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Park, Choong-Min Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Park, David K. Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Park, Hyejin Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Park, Hyeon Seok Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Park, Hyoung Lee Panel 31-7, Thur 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Park, Hyoung Lee Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Park, Jae Hee Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Park, Jeeyoung Panel 51-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Park, Johanna Panel 18-26, Sat 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Park, Jong Hee Panel 16-8, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Park, Jong Hee Panel 14-3, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Park, Jong Hee Panel 18-20, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Park, Jo Yeon Panel 4-21, Fri 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Park, Keat Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Park, Saeray Panel 21-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Park, Saeray Panel 26-16, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 7 on the 7th floor
 Park, Seoyoung Panel 53-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Park, Seohye Panel 18-18, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Park, Sunhee Panel 18-21, Thur 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Park, Sunkyoung Panel 4-23, Fri 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Park, Toby J. Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Park, Woo-by Panel 26-12, Fri 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Park, Young Hwan Panel 28-21, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Parker, Elizabeth Smith Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Parker, Maria Panel 53-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Parker, Paul E. Panel 44-1, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Parker, Paul E. Panel 44-9, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Parker, Suzanne L. Panel 25-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Parker, Suzanne L. Panel 23-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Parker-Stephen, Evan Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Parker-Stephen, Evan Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Parker-Stephen, Evan Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Parker-Stephen, Evan Panel 27-16, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Parkin, Michael D. Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Parkison, Sarah Elizabeth Panel 32-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Parrett, Dustin Panel 9-5, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Parsonas, Kevin James Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Parsonas, Kevin James Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Parson, Aaron Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Parsons, Bryan M. Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Parsons, Bryan M. Panel 28-15, Sun 11:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Partheymuller, Jelle Panel 25-17, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Pasquale, Benjamin Panel 21-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Pasquale, Benjamin Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Passy, Florence Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Pasternak, Avia Panel 35-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Pasternak, Avia Panel 36-13, Sat 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Patel, Katherine Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Patrick, Ashley Nicole Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Patino, Caroline Panel 47-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Patterson, Kelly D. Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Patton, Dana Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Sport Parlor on the 6th floor
 Patton, Dana Panel 49-6, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Patty, John W. Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Patty, John W. Panel 37-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Patty, John W. Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Paul, David E. Panel 55-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Paul, David E. Panel 55-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Paulson, Arthur C. Panel 22-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Paulz, Michelle Panel 29-23, Fri 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Paulz, Michelle Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Paulz, Michelle Panel 51-10, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Pavao, Nara Panel 4-23, Sat 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Pavalka, Deborah Elaine Panel 20-31, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Payne, Tommaso Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Payton, Autumn Lockwood Panel 20-2, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Payton, Autumn Lockwood Panel 20-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Pazzira, Stephanie Panel 21-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Pe-Aguirre, Jeffrey Joe Panel 29-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Peake, Jeffrey Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Pearson, Kathryn L. Roundtable 42-101, Fri 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Pearson-Merkowitz, Shanna Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Pearson-Merkowitz, Shanna Panel 31-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Pearson-Merkowitz, Shanna Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Peck, Gerald Panel 5-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Pechenina, Anoa Panel 4-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Pechonkina, Anna Panel 18-20, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Pedatzur, Ami Panel 18-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Pedatzur, Reuven Panel 10-13, Sun 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Pedon, Mindy Joy Panel 34-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Pedon, Mindy Joy Panel 34-17, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Pedersen, Soren Hvid Panel 34-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Pedersen, Soren Hvid Panel 36-1, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Pedraza, Francisco I. Panel 31-5, Fri 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Pedraza, Francisco I. Panel 28-25, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Pedraza, Francisco I. Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Pedraza, Francisco I. Panel 27-21, Sat 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Peet, Jessica Lyane Panel 30-15, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Peguhl, T. Hegeem Panel 37-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Pellikson, Huib Panel 7-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Peller, Chadwick Gordon Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Peltz, Michael L. Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Pensteln, Daniel Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Pensteln, Daniel Panel 2-10, Sat 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Peng, Ming Gang Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Penn, Elizabeth Maggie Panel 37-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Penn, Elizabeth Maggie Panel 37-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Penn, Elizabeth Maggie Panel 37-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor

Pennock

Pennock, Andrew S. Panel 2-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Perez, Michael Roundtable 8-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Perez, Michael Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Perez, Efrén Osvaldo Panel 27-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Perez, Efrén Osvaldo Panel 27-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Perez, Efrén Osvaldo Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Perez, Nobabon Panel 35-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Perez Tomero, Jose Manuel Panel 39-J, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Perez-Arredondia, Clarisa Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Perriz, Lauren J. Panel 15-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
Perrins, David Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Perrault, Norman Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Perry, Brittany Nicole Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Perry, James D. Panel 19-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Perry, Keith Panel 50-16, Sun 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Petrov, Simon Panel 2-15, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Pensky, Leah Miriam Panel 30-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Persson, Mikael Panel 25-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Persson, Mikael Panel 23-10, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Persson, Fouad Panel 15-12, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Pestowitz, Zachary Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Pestowitz, Zachary Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Petek, Nathan Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Peters, B. Guy Roundtable 70-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Peters, Benjamin A. Panel 10-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Peters, C. Scott Panel 45-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Peters, C. Scott Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Peters, Gerhard Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Peters, Margaret E. Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Peters, Margaret E. Panel 15-10, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Peters, Robert Panel 57-J, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Peters, Robert Panel 57-6, Sun 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Peterson, Mark W. Panel 73-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Peterson, Michael Bang Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Peterson, Michael Bang Panel 29-23, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Peterson, Michael Bang Panel 27-14, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Peterson, R. Eric Panel 42-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Peterson, R. Eric Panel 42-3, Sun 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Peterson-Overtan, Kristofer Jens Panel 12-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Peterson, Meredith-Joy Panel 20-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Peterson, David A. Panel 25-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Peterson, David A. Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Peterson, Geoffrey D. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Peterson, John Matthew Panel 32-2, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Peterson, Johnathan Caleb Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Peterson, Johnathan Caleb Panel 47-14, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Peterson, Mark A. Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Peterson, Rolfe D. Panel 40-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Peterson, Timothy Panel 18-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Peterson, Timothy Panel 18-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Petracki, Joseph Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Petresca, Adrian S. Panel 8-5, Fri 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Petrock, John R. Panel 25-37, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Petrova, Maria Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Petrova, Maria Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Petrova, Maria Panel 7-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Petrova, Maria Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Petrova, Vladislava Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Petrovsky, Nikolai Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Petrov, Gregory Alan Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Petrucel, Jason Adam Panel 21-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Pettigrew, Stephen Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Pfeiff, Lukas R. Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Pfeiff, Lukas R. Panel 18-20, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Pfeifle, Jason Panel 36-20, Sat 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Pfeiffer, Emily Panel 29-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Pfeizer, James W. Panel 44-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Phillip, Nema J. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Phillips, Brian J. Panel 17-23, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Phillips, Justin H. Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Phillips, Justin H. Panel 28-21, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Phillips, Justin H. Panel 28-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Phillips, Tasha S. Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Phillips, Tasha S. Panel 28-29, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Pheasant, David Lanier Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Pfeiff-Crocker, Adriana M. Panel 9-12, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Pidruzny, Jonathan W. Panel 34-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Pierce, Glenn Lewis Panel 12-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Pierce, Jonathan Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Piereson, Jason Panel 66-3, Sat 10:25 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
Pietryka, Matthew T. Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 4th floor
Pietryka, Matthew T. Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Pinker, Sherron O. Panel 31-7, Thur 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Pinder, Sherron O. Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Pingley, Allison Clark Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Pingley, Allison Clark Panel 22-3, Sun 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Pinheiro, Flavio Lobo Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Pinto, Maria do Céu Panel 17-19, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Pinto, Maria do Céu Panel 17-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Pinto, Maria do Céu Panel 18-31, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Pinto, Pablo Martin Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Pinto, Pablo Martin Panel 28-38, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Pinto, Pablo Martin Panel 15-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Pinto, Santiago Miguel Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Pipant, Varun Panel 15-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
Piston, Spencer Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Piston, Spencer Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Piston, Spencer Panel 28-32, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Pitcher, M. Anne Panel 11-7, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Pitta, David Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Pitta, David Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Pitcliffe, MacBerte M. Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Pitz, Martin Panel 32-4, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Pitz, Martin Panel 32-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Pizola, Carolina Panel 42-26, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Plempner, Thomas Panel 38-8, Fri 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Plempner, Thomas Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Plempner, Thomas Panel 38-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Plutzer, Eric Panel 56-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Plutzer, Eric Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Poer, Paul Daniel Panel 38-1, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Poggione, Sarah Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Poggione, Sarah Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Poggione, Sarah Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Pogrebniatch, Tommy Panel 15-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Creshill on the 3rd floor
Pogrebniatch, Tommy Panel 15-3, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Polak, Nathan Panel 19-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Polborn, Matthias K. Panel 37-3, Thur 10:25 am, Creshill on the 3rd floor
Polborn, Matthias K. Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

- Felborn, Martin K. Panel 17-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Felborn, Martin K. Panel 17-16, Sun 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Felga-Electrowich, John Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Fellard, Jerry Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Fella, Jonathan T. Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Fella, Jonathan T. Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Fellock, Alex J. Roundtable 50-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Felons-Staedinger, Lori M. Roundtable 64-101, Sat 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Fonce, Albert Panel 31-7, Thur 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Fonce, Aldo Fernando Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Fonce De Leon, Christian Panel 17-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Fonce De Leon, Christian Panel 4-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Fontaine, Jonas Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Foote, Keith T. Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Pop-Elechem, Grigore Panel 5-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Fopa, Mircea Panel 2-9, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Fopa, Sebastian Adrian Panel 40-1, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Fopa, Sebastian Adrian Panel 38-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Pope, Jeremy C. Panel 42-1, Thur 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Pope, Jeremy C. Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Pope, Jeremy C. Panel 24-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Popescu, Bogdan Gabriel Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Popova, Eva Panel 14-10, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Porrada, Robert A. Panel 4-27, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Posler, Brian D. Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Posler, Brian D. Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Poploanea, Paulina Maria Panel 18-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Poploanea, Paulina Maria Panel 5-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Postnikov, Evgey Panel 16-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Postnikov, Evgey Panel 8-15, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Potter, Joshua David Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Potter, Rachel Augustine Panel 52-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Potter, Rachel Augustine Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Potvornu, Puiu Panel 25-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Potvornu, Puiu Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Powell, Eleanor Nell Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Powell, Emillio Justyn Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Powell, G. Bingham Panel 6-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Powell, G. Bingham Panel 6-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Powell, Jonathan M. Panel 5-1, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Powell, Lynda Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Powell, Richard J. Panel 28-24, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Powell, Richard J. Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Powell, Scott R. Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Powell, Scott R. Panel 15-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Powell, Scott R. Panel 14-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Powell, Sierra Panel 29-5, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Powers, John B. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Powers, Matt Panel 18-2, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Powers, Ryan M. Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Powney, Lesane C. Panel 20-2, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Powney, Lesane C. Panel 20-11, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Powney, Lesane C. Panel 20-14, Sun 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Pratschke, Lawrence Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Preitzer, Lauren Rebelle Panel 15-10, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Prado, Carlo Panel 17-4, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Preece, Jessica Robinson Panel 26-8, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Preece, Jessica Robinson Panel 26-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Prengel, Dan Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Prevost, Alicia Kolar Panel 23-10, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Price, Erlin D. Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Price, Jessie Panel 9-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Price, Sara L. Panel 33-8, Fri 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Price, Vincent Panel 29-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Priano, David M. Panel 26-5, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Priano, David W. Panel 46-6, Fri 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Prince, Rebekah Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Prior, Marlon Panel 23-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Pritzlaff, Yanja Panel 34-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Pritzlaff, Yanja Panel 34-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Pritzlaff, Yanja Panel 67-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Privratsky, Matt Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Prukopemko, Olena Panel 29-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Prokash, Sven-Oliver Panel 2-12, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Prokash, Sven-Oliver Panel 38-6, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Prokash, Sven-Oliver Panel 3-10, Sat 10:25 am, Pace on the 5th floor
 Prosper, David Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Prosper, David Panel 19-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Provencher, Nicholas Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Provias, Eric Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Przych, Charles L. Roundtable 57-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Puccio, Marie Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Puchert, Sherman C. Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Puenta, Gene P. Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Pump, Barry Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Pump, Barry Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Pustay, Mark Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Pyskuryak, Susheta Panel 35-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Pyzant, Nicholas Lafayette Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Pyle, Barry William Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Pyle, Barry William Roundtable 1-111, Sun 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Pyle, Keri Alan Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Pylak-Zalig, Lisa Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Qiao, Zaijian Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Qin, Lei Panel 71-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Qoraboyev, Kubonjon Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Quackenbush, Stephen L. Panel 18-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Quackenbush, Stephen L. Panel 18-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Quak, Karl Panel 18-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Quardt, Dollar Panel 3-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Quesada-Hoffminger, Alvarez Panel 9-12, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Quinlan, Stephen Patrick Panel 8-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Quinn, Dennis P. Panel 25-10, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Quinn, Kevin M. Panel 38-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Quinones, Clemente Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Quinones, Clemente Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Quinones, Rebecca Panel 9-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Quirk, Paul J. Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Quirk, Paul J. Author Meets Critics 41-100, Sat 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Quirós Flores, Alejandro Panel 16-8, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Quirós Flores, Alejandro Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Rabinowitz, Amy L. Panel 33-5, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Rabinowitz, Laura Panel 65-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

- Rabovsky, Tom Panel 53-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Millenium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rackway, Chapman B. Panel 22-12, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Rackway, Chapman B. Panel 29-23, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Rackway, Chapman B. Panel 22-10, Fri 4:15 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Rackway, Chapman B. Roundtable 57-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Radjansky, Andrea Panel 34-10, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Radisson, Andrea Roundtable 33-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Radjansky, Andrea Panel 34-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Radeoff, Benjamin Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Radeoni, Marisa Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Rader, Kelly Panel 42-11, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Radeva, Mitroslava Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Radomilovic, Vuk Panel 45-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Rae, Nicol Panel 41-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Rae, Nicol Panel 41-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Ragan, Robi A. Poster 58-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Ragan, Robi A. Panel 72-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Ragan, Robi A. Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Ragan, Robi A. Panel 42-24, Sat 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Ragozzino, Michael Matthew Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Rahman, Fatima Zahrah Panel 56-12, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Rahn, Wendy M. Panel 42-23, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Rahle, Alissa Panel 56-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Rahner, Itha Panel 31-16, Thur 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Rahney, Carlisle Panel 7-17, Sun 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Rahny, Hal G. Roundtable 70-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rallings, Colin Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Rallings, Colin Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Rallings, Colin Panel 23-11, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Rallings, Colin Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Rallings, Colin Panel 23-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Ralston, Jonah Panel 46-2, Thur 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Ramakrishnan, S. Karthick Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Ramezani, M. Panel 48-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Ramezani, M. Panel 48-3, Fri 8:30 am, Madinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Ramey, Ailana Joseph Panel 51-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Rathey, Ailana Joseph Panel 51-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Ramey, Adam Joseph Panel 38-8, Fri 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Ramirez, Mark D. Panel 28-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Ramirez, Shawn L. Panel 16-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Ramirez, Shawn L. Panel 16-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Ramsay, Kristopher W. Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Ramsey, Kristopher W. Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Ramsey, Nass Panel 28-19, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Rana, Shradh Author Meets Critics 44-111, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Randazzo, Kirk A. Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Randazzo, Kirk A. Panel 45-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Randazzo, Kirk A. Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Rank, Allison Dawn Panel 23-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Rapley, John Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Rapoport, Ronald B. Roundtable 57-102, Thur 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rapoport, Ronald B. Panel 23-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Rapoport, Ronald B. Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Rapoport, Ronald B. Panel 24-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Raschid, Harun Or Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Raschid, Harun Or Panel 56-8, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Ratkovic, Maree Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Ravelebarinsky, Joel Panel 11-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Raymond, Christopher Panel 7-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Raymond, Christopher Panel 42-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Raymond, Jennifer G. Panel 5-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Raymond, Leigh Panel 51-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Raymond, Leigh Panel 51-13, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Raymond, Leigh Panel 51-12, Sun 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
- Raymond, Paul R. Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Raymond-Robson, Dillon Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Raza, Syed Sami Panel 56-8, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Raza, Syed Sami Panel 71-5, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Read, Ben Panel 38-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Read, Dustin C. Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Reames, Tony Panel 53-7, Fri 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Reames, Tony Panel 47-16, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Reaud, Beatrice A. Panel 11-7, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Reboredo, Juan Panel 5-22, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Reckhow, Sarah Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Reckhow, Sarah Panel 72-1, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Reckhow, Sarah Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Reed, Chad Panel 27-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Reed, Chad Panel 20-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Millenium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Reed, Chad Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Reddy, Malia Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Reddick, Maita Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Redding, Kory Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Redlinger, William T. Panel 33-10, Fri 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Redlinger, William T. Panel 33-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Redlinski, David P. Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Redlinski, David P. Panel 22-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Reed, Daniel C. Panel 33-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Reed, Daniel C. Panel 54-14, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
- Reed, William Panel 16-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Reed, William Panel 16-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Reeder, Bryce Wesley Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Reenock, Christopher M. Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Reese, Laura A. Panel 47-7, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Reese, Laura A. Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Reese, Michael J. Panel 17-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Reese, Michael J. Panel 18-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Reeves, Andrew Panel 26-8, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Reeves, Andrew Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Regan, Charlotte Louise Panel 19-7, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Regel, Sven Panel 26-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Rehan, Philipp Panel 2-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Reich, Gary M. Panel 50-21, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Reich, Gary M. Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Reikhardt, Joshua Gordon Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Reid, Ashley L. Panel 47-3, Fri 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
- Reid, Emily Anne Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Reifen, Michael Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Reifer, Jesus Panel 16-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Reifer, Jason Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Reilly, Jack Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Reilly, Shannon Panel 46-2, Thur 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Reilly, Shannon Panel 46-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Reilly, Shannon Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Reilly, Shannon Panel 23-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Reilly, Wilfred Panel 66-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor

- Rebnagel, Tyler Patrick Panel 47-10, Sat 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Reinhardt, Gino Yamilet Panel 19-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Reingar, Renee Poser 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Reina, Thomas Panel 33-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Reano, Thomas Panel 33-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Reano, Lucia R. Panel 9-7, Thur 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Reao, B. Jeffrey Panel 71-2, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Reesmann, Lars P. Panel 36-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Reumann, Lars P. Panel 34-18, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Reustrom, Randall A. Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Reppert, Jeannina Panel 65-3, Sat 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Reppert, Jeannina Panel 33-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Reppert, Matthew Panel 71-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Reeb, William G. Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Reuser, Ora John Panel 5-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Reuser, Ora John Panel 5-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Rex, Justin Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Rice, Deana Louise Panel 48-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Reynolds, Kvangellie Mize Poser 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Reynolds, Molly Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Reynolds, Molly E. Panel 40-11, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Razvan, David A. Panel 6-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Riba, Saugalin Panel 15-22, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Rhodebeck, Laurie A. Panel 28-17, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Rhodebeck, Laurie A. Roundtable 64-102, Fri 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rhodes, Jesse Hewler Panel 1-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Rienbow-Armet, Guillem Panel 25-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Ribot, Jean-Bertrand Panel 18-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
- Rice, Douglas R. Panel 45-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Rice, Kimberly Jane Panel 45-16, Sun 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Rice, Laurie L. Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Riehard, Patricia Dwyer Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Richards, David Hale Panel 9-9, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Richards, Jantle Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Richards, Mark Panel 45-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Richards, Mark Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Richardson, Glenn W. Panel 29-24, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Richardson, Glenn W. Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Richardson, Lillard Panel 8-5, Fri 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Richardson, Lillard Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Richardson, Lillard Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Richardson, Lillard Panel 46-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Richardson, Lindsay Elise Panel 9-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Rieby, Sean Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Richey, Sean Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Rieby, Sean Panel 23-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Rieby, Sean Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Richman, Jesse T. Poser 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Richman, Jesse T. Panel 42-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Richman, Jesse T. Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Richner, Andrew Panel 19-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Richter, Andrew Panel 17-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Richter, Thomas Panel 12-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rickard, Stephanie J. Panel 15-19, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Rickard, Stephanie J. Panel 14-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Rickard, Stephanie J. Roundtable 1-107, Fri 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rickard, Stephanie J. Panel 14-12, Sat 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rico, Guillem Panel 7-1, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Rico, Guillem Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Ribe, Guillem Panel 7-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Rider, Toby James Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Rider, Toby James Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Ridout, Travis N. Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Ridout, Travis N. Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Riedl, Rachel Beauty Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Riedl, Rachel Beauty Poser 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Riedl, Rachel Beauty Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Riedl, Rachel Beauty Panel 11-5, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Riera, Pedro Panel 26-8, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Riera, Pedro Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Riley, Michelle Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Rlocker, Meg E. Panel 30-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Rinfret, Sara Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Rings, Nils Panel 72-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Rings, Nils Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Ringquist, Evan J. Panel 53-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Ringquist, Evan J. Roundtable 70-101, Sun 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Ringsmith, Eve M. Panel 45-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Ringsmith, Eve M. Panel 45-30, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Rios, Viridiana Panel 9-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Rios, Viridiana Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Rios-Cazares, Alejandra Panel 4-16, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Rios-Cazares, Alejandra Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Ripberger, Joseph T. Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Ripley, Charles Gerard Panel 9-5, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Ritchey, Mark L. Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Ritter, Scott Benjamin Panel 30-7, Fri 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Ritter, Emily Hencken Panel 18-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Ritler, Emily Hencken Panel 18-20, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Rivers, Kelly Ann Fleck Panel 54-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Rivero, Gonzalo Panel 4-6, Fri 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Rizkallah, Amanda Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Robbins, Joseph W. Panel 16-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Robbins, Joseph W. Panel 23-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Robbins, Joseph W. Panel 23-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Robbins, Michael D. H. Panel 12-2, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Robbins, Suzanne M. Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Robbins, Suzanne M. Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Roberts, Brian E. Panel 26-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Roberts, James C. Panel 26-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Roberts, Jason M. Roundtable 42-102, Fri 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Roberts, Jason M. Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Roberts, Patrick S. Panel 41-1, Thur 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Roberts, Patrick S. Panel 54-15, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Roberts, Patrick S. Panel 54-6, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Roberts, Tyson Panel 11-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Roberts, Tyson Panel 11-5, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Roberts, Veronica Panel 55-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Robertson, Graeme B. Panel 5-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Robertson, Graeme B. Panel 5-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Robertson-Rehberg, Elben Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Robinson, Carroll G. Panel 39-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Robinson, Gregory Alan Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Robinson, Guyton Lee Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Robinson

- Robinson, Robert Relf Panel 45-23, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Robinson, Robert Relf Panel 45-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Robinson, Robert Relf Panel 44-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Robinson, Todd Clayton Panel 17-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Roblyer, Dwight Panel 19-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Roblyer, Dwight Panel 17-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Roca-Segales, Oriol Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Rocca, Michael S. Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Rocca, Michael S. Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Rocco, Philip Panel 54-9, Sat 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Rochá, Rene Rolando Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Rochá, Rene Rolando Panel 30-16, Sun 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Rockman, Bert A. Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Rockman, Bert A. Panel 53-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Rodden, Jonathan Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Rodden, Jonathan Aubur Meets Cross 2-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Rodriguez, Antonio Panel 31-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Rodriguez, Cesar M. Panel 3-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Rodriguez, Mariana Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Rodriguez, Patricia Panel 9-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Rodriguez, Patricia Panel 72-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Rodriguez, Robert George Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Rodriguez, Yvonne Panel 31-11, Sat 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Rodriguez Pena, Silvia Elizabeth Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Rodriguez-Aguero, Myrna Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Roe, Patrick Michael Panel 9-5, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Rogers, Ellen A. Panel 51-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Rogers, James R. Panel 45-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Rogers, Jonathan Panel 25-20, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Rogers, Melissa Ziegler Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rogers, Steven Panel 24-11, Sun 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Rogers, Todd Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Rogowski, Jon Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Roh, Chul-Young Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Roh, Chul-Young Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Roh, Chul-Young Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Rohde, David W. Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Robb, David W. Roundtable 1-112, Fri 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rojas, Jacqueline InChira Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Rojó-Mendoza, Reynaldo Tomas Panel 23-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Rom, Mark Carl Panel 56-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Rom, Mark Carl Panel 56-7, Fri 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Rom, Mark Carl Roundtable 30-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Romero, Ral Bertrand Panel 16-1, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Romero, Marcela Panel 4-24, Sat 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Romero, Marcela Panel 4-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
- Romito, Jennifer E. Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Romzek, Barbara Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Roof, Tracy Marie Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Roof, Tracy Marie Panel 42-28, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
- Roper, James Edward Panel 34-17, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Rosaa, Guillermo Panel 15-21, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Rosaa, Guillermo Panel 14-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Rosaa, Guillermo Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Rosato, Sebastian Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Rose, Deandra Panel 54-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Rose, Elizabeth Panel 15-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Rose, Julie L. Panel 33-10, Fri 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Rose, Roger P. Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
- Roselle, Clinton James Poster 38-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Rosera, Mario Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 3rd floor
- Rosen Sandstrom, Malena Panel 38-13, Sat 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Rosen Sandstrom, Malena Panel 38-12, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Rosenberg, Gerald N. Aubur Meets Cross 44-102, Fri 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rosenberg, Gerald N. Roundtable 45-101, Sat 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rosenberg, Mark Yaron Panel 11-3, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Rosenfeld, B. Peter Panel 15-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Rosenfield, Muzo Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Rotstein, Michael Panel 33-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Roza, Ashley Dyan Panel 4-16, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Roza, Ashley Dyan Panel 51-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Roza, Ashley Dyan Panel 7-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Roza, Ashley Dyan Panel 7-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Raschich, David Panel 8-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Rasbach, David Panel 8-19, Sat 8:30 am, Madison Parlor on the 6th floor
- Ravello, Diego H. Panel 56-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Ravello, Diego Hernan Panel 34-11, Sat 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Reich, Michael Garrett Panel 37-10, Sat 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Reichenberg, Lawrence Panel 51-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Reichenberg, Lawrence Panel 40-7, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Reichschald, David Panel 25-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Reiter, Loren Panel 32-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Rottinghaus, Brandon Panel 41-12, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Rottinghaus, Brandon Panel 41-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Rottinghaus, Brandon Panel 41-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Rouse, Stella Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Rousselet, Genevieve Panel 34-4, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
- Rousselet, Blanca Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Rousselet, Juliette Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Roussas, Athanasios Panel 8-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Roussas, Athanasios Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Roux, Loral Panel 14-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Rowell, Nick Panel 56-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Roy, Jason Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Roy, Chadrilla Panel 10-15, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Roy, Chadrilla Panel 29-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Royce, Mark Panel 8-6, Fri 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Royer, Eric Bruce Panel 16-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
- Rozanov, Julia Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rzapras, Arturas Panel 18-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Rubenson, Daniel Panel 40-1, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Rubenson, Daniel Panel 40-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Rubifray, Ferrnando Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Rubin, Lawrence Peter Panel 12-2, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Rudakewicz, Andrew C. Panel 41-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Rudakewicz, Andrew C. Roundtable 1-106, Fri 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Rudakewicz, Andrew C. Panel 41-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Frisco on the 5th floor
- Rudakewicz, Gemma Panel 18-2, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Rueda, David Panel 2-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Rugeley, Cynthia Panel 45-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Ruger, William P. Panel 46-6, Fri 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Rumsey, Jessie Panel 13-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Rumley, Frestle Panel 29-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Rundbett, Arnie Panel 4-26, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Rundquist, Barry S. Panel 44-16, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Ruppertsch, Karen Panel 33-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Ruseh, Lara Panel 35-3, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

- Russell, Christopher Panel 4-15, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Russell, Jesse Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Saloon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Russell, Jesse Panel 34-17, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Ryan, Devavlan Panel 13-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Ryan, Octaviano Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Rutchick, Abraham M. Panel 27-14, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Rutledge, Jennifer Glat Panel 48-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Rutledge, Jennifer Glat Panel 48-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Rutledge, Paul Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Ruusuvirta, Outi Panel 1-5, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Ryan, John Barry Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Ryan, John Barry Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Ryan, Timothy J. Panel 27-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Rybakov, Mikhail L. Panel 13-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Rybakov, Andrey A. Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Ryden, David Kurt Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Rye, Sihyun Panel 20-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Rye, Sooh-Rhee Panel 4-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Rye, Sooh-Rhee Panel 5-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 S. P. Harish Panel 18-28, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Saavedra, Raquel Martin Panel 16-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Saavedra Cisneros, Angel Panel 31-11, Sat 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Sabatier, Paul A. Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Sabatinielli, Stefania Panel 6-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Sabirinaeva Peter, Klara Panel 49-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Saccarelli, Emanuele Panel 36-9, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Sacro, John F. Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Sachse, Jeffrey Panel 47-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Sacko, David H. Panel 20-1, Thur 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Sacko, David H. Panel 17-19, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Sacko, David H. Panel 18-25, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Sadio, Meredith L. Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Sadiq, Antifa Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Sadiq, Abdul-Alkeem Panel 53-1, Thur 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Sadiq, Abdul-Alkeem Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Sagarzazu, Enki Panel 29-23, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Sage, Sparsia Panel 17-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Sahakyan, Zaruhi Panel 42-1, Thur 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Saharia, Priyam Panel 15-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Saharlu, Priyam Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Sahr, Robert Clifford Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Sahr, Robert Clifford Panel 50-20, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Sai, Na Panel 55-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Salegh, Sebastian M. Panel 9-7, Thur 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Salegh, Sebastian M. Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Salegh, Sebastian M. Panel 6-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Saleh, Nabil Panel 56-8, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Sakalya, Shire Panel 5-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Sala, Gemma Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Saladinas, Chris Panel 57-5, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Salih, Robert Panel 54-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Salih, Rabbal L. Panel 16-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Salvever, Stephen Author Meets Critics 32-103, Thur 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Salvever, Stephen Roundtable 32-101, Sat 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Salim, Hebah Panel 7-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Salim, Hebah Panel 12-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Salucci, Lajo Panel 47-9, Sat 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Salwan, Sarah Frances Panel 12-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Sallil, Cyrus H. Panel 38-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Sannawes, Robert Kenneth Panel 24-2, Sun 10:25 am, Saloon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Santsis, Susan G. Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Sarsel, Ad Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Sarnborn, Howard Bartlett Panel 10-1, Thur 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Sarnborn, Howard Bartlett Panel 5-8, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Sances, Mike Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Sances, Mike Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Sanchez, Lisa M. Panel 42-23, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Sanchez Stankic, Federica Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Sanchez Urribarré, Raul Alberto Panel 9-1, Thur 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Sanchez Urribarré, Raul Alberto Panel 9-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Sanders, Arthur Panel 22-9, Sat 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Sanders, David Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Sanders, Lynn M. Panel 49-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Sanders, Lynn M. Panel 54-15, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Sanders, Steve Panel 44-9, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Sanders, Steve Panel 44-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Sandler, Todd Panel 17-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Sandovick, Maria Elena Panel 2-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Sandovick, Maria Elena Panel 4-24, Sat 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Sandovick, Maria Elena Panel 3-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Sandrosi, Alvaro Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Sandoz, Christopher Panel 41-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Sandulippo, Samantha Ann Poster 61-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Sanford, Amanda Gale Panel 18-24, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Santarosa, Veronica Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Sapat, Alka Panel 51-3, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Sapat, Alka Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Sapotichne, Joshua Panel 50-15, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Sapotichne, Joshua Panel 47-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Sardamov, Ivailin Panel 57-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Sardo, Michael Christopher Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Sarsfield, Rodolfo Panel 43-2, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Sarsini, Anne E. Panel 17-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Sasaki, Fumiko Panel 10-10, Sat 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Sasikumar, Keethika Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Sasikumar, Keethika Panel 28-18, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Sarpalhi, Sayantani Panel 29-21, Fri 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Sarpalhi, Sayantani Panel 10-15, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Saultz, Andrew M. Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Saunders, Kelly Lyane Panel 2-9, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Saunders, Kelly Lyane Panel 30-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Saunders, Kyle L. Panel 28-20, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Saunders, Kyle L. Panel 28-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Saunders-Hastings, Emari Panel 35-5, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Savage, Sean J. Panel 41-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Pave on the 5th floor
 Savatell, Vika Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Savchuk, Elzbieta Carol Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Saloon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Savchuk, Elzbieta Carol Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Savic, Ivan Panel 18-7, Fri 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Sawant, Nityangsh Panel 10-15, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Saxonhouse

Saxonhouse, Arlene W. Roundtable 32-101, Sat 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Sayark, Sabel Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Sayre, Ben Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Scala, Dante J. Panel 22-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor

Scala, Dante J. Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Scala, Dante J. Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Scala, Dante J. Panel 24-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Scala, Dante J. Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Scakera, Jamie Elizabeth Panel 20-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Monroe 2 on the 7th floor

Scarsacini, Carlos G. Panel 6-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor

Scarsacini, Carlos G. Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Suba 6 on the 3rd floor

Scarsacini, Carlos G. Panel 14-12, Sat 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Scarsacini, Carlos G. Panel 4-28, Sun 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor

Schaffar, Lena Maria Panel 47-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor

Schaffar, Lena Maria Panel 51-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Schaffner, Brian F. Panel 22-12, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Schaffner, Brian F. Panel 22-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Schaffner, Brian F. Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Scharnweiller, Doris Panel 50-17, Sun 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor

Schattmann, Alliea Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Schattmann, Alliea Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor

Schattmann, Alliea Panel 39-4, Sun 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Schatzinger, Henrik M. Panel 46-16, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Schatzman, Christina Panel 4-30, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Schatzman, Christina Panel 4-28, Sun 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor

Schode, Jaclyn Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Schode, Jaclyn Panel 46-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Schefer, Melissa Jeanette Panel 47-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor

Schefer, Melissa Jeanette Panel 5-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Schefer, Melissa Jeanette Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Scheler, Ethan Panel 26-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Scherer, Nancy Roundtable 45-102, Thur 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Scherer, Nancy Author Meets Critics 44-102, Fri 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Scherer, Nancy Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Scherperdell, John A. Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Schier, Steven E. Panel 41-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Schiff, Jacob Panel 34-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor

Schiff, Jacob Panel 36-11, Sat 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Schiller, Wendy J. Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Schiller, Wendy J. Roundtable 42-102, Fri 12:45 pm, Spira Parlor on the 6th floor

Schleb, Chad Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Schlichter, Stephen Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Schleifer, Petra Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Schleifer, Petra Panel 4-23, Sat 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor

Schlewdt, Andrew James Panel 56-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Schlewdt, Andrew James Panel 56-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor

Schlewdt, Andrew James Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Schlesberg, Andrew Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Schlusser, Joel Allen Author Meets Critics 32-103, Thur 8:30 am, Spira Parlor on the 6th floor

Schlusser, Joel Allen Panel 32-6, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor

Schlusser, Katherine Panel 4-29, Sat 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor

Schlusser, Katherine Panel 38-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor

Schlusser, Kay L. Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Schlusser, Kay L. Panel 23-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Schmidt, Carly Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Schmidt, Catherine Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor

Schmitt-Bleck, Ruediger Panel 25-17, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Schmitz, J. David Panel 27-21, Sat 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor

Schmitter, Tineke Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Schmitz, Stephen Panel 45-2, Thur 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor

Schneider, Andrea Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

Schneider, Ben Rose Author Meets Critics 54-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Spira Parlor on the 6th floor

Schneider, Monica C. Panel 27-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Schneider, Monica C. Roundtable 1-108, Sat 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Schneider, Sandra K. Panel 50-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Schneider, Sandra K. Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor

Schneider, Sandra K. Panel 46-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Schneider, Sandra K. Panel 50-17, Sun 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor

Schneider, Volker Panel 48-6, Sat 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor

Schooes, Helen Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Schober, Gregory Panel 7-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Schoewecker, Amy Beth Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Schoettner, Patrick Lee Panel 56-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Schoettner, Patrick Lee Panel 56-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Scholtz, Bettina Panel 36-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Scholtz, Bettina Panel 36-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Schoon, Michael Lee Panel 51-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Schoorvelde, Martijn Panel 25-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Schoorvelde, Martijn Panel 2-6, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Schoor, Timothy J. Panel 16-21, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

Schorpp, Susanne Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Schranzinger, Scott Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Schranzinger, Scott Panel 42-14, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Schreckhise, William D. Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Schreckhise, William D. Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Schreiber, Darren M. Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Schrodt, Philip A. Panel 18-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor

Schrodt, Philip A. Panel 18-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Schrober, Klaus Panel 8-13, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor

Schubert, Klaus Panel 8-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor

Schubiger, Livia Isabelle Panel 20-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor

Schulenberg, Shawn Richard Panel 66-3, Sat 10:25 am, Congress on the 3rd floor

Schuler, Paul Panel 5-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Schultz, John P. Panel 13-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Schultz, Peter Panel 36-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Schultz, Peter Panel 42-17, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Schulze, Hebrike Panel 26-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Schulz, Wolfgang Hermann Panel 8-5, Fri 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor

Schultz, Wolfgang Hermann Panel 8-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor

Schumaker, Paul D. Panel 47-3, Fri 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor

Schumaker, Paul D. Panel 47-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor

Schwab, Martin A. Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

Schwabe, Rainer Panel 52-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor

Schwabe, Rainer Panel 37-3, Thur 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor

Schwabe, Rainer Panel 37-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor

Schwartz, Cassilda L. Panel 4-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Schwartz, Eric C. Panel 5-7, Thur 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor

Schwartz, Eric C. Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

- Schwartz, Eric C. Panel 21-7, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Schwartz, Kristina Z. S. Panel 51-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Schwartz, Mildred A. Panel 1-1, Thur 8:30 am, Solos 2 on the 3rd floor
 Schwarzberg, Melissa Panel 34-12, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Schweitzer, Eva Johanna Panel 8-21, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Schweitzer, Eva Johanna Panel 29-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Schwerin, Edward W. Panel 51-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Schwerin, Edward W. Panel 51-13, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Schwertus, Jacqueline Panel 56-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Schwertus, Jacqueline Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Seiwitz, Pascal Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Seola, Becki Panel 30-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Seola, Becki Panel 30-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Seaton, Shannon Marie Panel 56-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Seck, Carl Panel 71-8, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Seck, Catherine Poster 63-200, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Scott, John T. Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Scott, Kathryn Marie Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Scott, Kevin M. Panel 45-30, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Seodler, Mary F. Panel 34-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Seabrook, Nicholas R. Panel 31-5, Fri 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Seagle, Adriana Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Seagrave, S. Adam Panel 34-14, Thur 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Seagrave, S. Adam Panel 34-19, Sat 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Seales, Anthony J. L. Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Seales, Kathleen Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Seaton, James Panel 71-8, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Seaton, James Panel 71-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Seawright, Jason Panel 38-1, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Seawright, Jason Roundtable 34-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Seay, Laura E. Panel 31-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Seckiser, Todd S. Panel 17-2, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Seeborg, Henrik Bech Panel 48-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Seelkopf, Laura P. Panel 16-7, Fri 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Seely, Jennifer C. Panel 4-21, Fri 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Seely, Jennifer C. Panel 11-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Segal, Jacob Panel 35-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Segal, Jacob Panel 36-1, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Segal, Jeffrey A. Roundtable 45-102, Thur 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Segal, Jeffrey A. Panel 27-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Segura, Mary C. Panel 56-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Segura, Gary M. Panel 28-21, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Segura, Gary M. Panel 28-25, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Segura, Gary M. Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Seth, Drew Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Seki, Katsunori Panel 5-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Selden, Zachary Panel 17-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Selva, Jennifer L. Panel 52-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Seljan, Samuel Sierra Panel 16-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Sellers, Emily A. Panel 9-9, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Selway, Joel Samuel Panel 6-6, Fri 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Selway, Joel Samuel Roundtable 1-104, Sat 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Selway, Joel Samuel Panel 6-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Senbet, Amy Panel 54-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Sen, Maya Panel 45-27, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Sen, Maya Panel 38-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Sen, Pradyot K. Panel 37-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Sen, Rumeela Panel 10-13, Sun 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Sened, Hal Panel 3-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Sened, Hal Panel 3-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Sened, Hal Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Seo, Jung Taek Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Seo, Jungtaek Panel 42-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Seo, Jungtaek Panel 42-23, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Sergrati, Ernest John Panel 4-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Serra, Jeremy Alfred Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Serra, Gilles Panel 9-7, Thur 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Serra, Gilles Panel 37-3, Thur 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Serra, Gilles Panel 37-7, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Servais, Fabio Panel 14-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Settle, Allen K. Panel 42-15, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Settle, Allen K. Panel 42-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Settle, Jaime Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Settle, Jaime Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Settle, Jaime Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Setzler, Mark Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Setzler, Mark Panel 7-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Sevak, Mikael John Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Seybold, Taylor B. Panel 18-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Seyfried, Marius Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Shadneger, Mehdi Panel 12-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Shadneger, Mehdi Panel 37-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Shafiqatov, Ramiz Panel 5-35, Fri 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Shaffer, Matthew D. Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Shaffer, Matthew D. Panel 15-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Shafrin, JoBeth Surface Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Shaik, Dhayan V. Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Shaik, Dhayan V. Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Shaik, Dhayan V. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Shaik, Dhayan V. Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Shaik, Saleel Poster 66-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Shaheer, Falaj Panel 48-8, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Shaikhova, Ramziya Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Shaloby, Marva Panel 12-8, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Shalev, Michael Panel 23-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Shamaleh, Amour Panel 15-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Shamayati, Hootan Panel 5-7, Thur 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Shamayati, Hootan Panel 5-1, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Shamus, Shanna Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Shamshah, Elizabeth A. Panel 50-4, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Shamshah, James Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Shankh, R. Hughes Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Shannon, Megan L. Panel 20-1, Thur 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Shannon, Megan L. Panel 18-22, Thur 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Shapiro, Dmitry A. Panel 40-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Shapiro, Dmitry A. Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Shapiro, Jesse M. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Shapiro, Matthew A. Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Shapiro, Matthew A. Panel 51-13, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Sharma, Ajay Panel 51-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Sharma, Ajay Panel 47-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Sharp, Elaine B. Panel 47-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Shestak, Amand Panel 31-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Shestak, Amand Panel 54-14, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Shaw, Aaron H. Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Shaw, Daron Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Sham, Greg M. Panel 28-37, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Shaw, Kelly B. Panel 8-5, Fri 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Shayon, Patemeh Panel 12-5, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Shaykburdibay, Ronat Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Shea, Dan Panel 40-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Shea, Dan Panel 38-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Shea

Shea, Patrick E. Panel 17-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Shea, Patrick E. Panel 15-18, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Sheaghy, Geoffrey Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Shears, Mary Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Sheely, Ryan Matthew Panel 38-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 11 on the 7th floor
Sheely, Ryan Matthew Panel 4-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Sheely, Ryan Matthew Panel 4-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Shelley, Lisa Panel 27-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Shelk, Nadav Panel 21-2, Thur 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Shelk, Nadav Panel 21-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Shelk, Nadav Panel 7-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Shelk, Grite Panel 7-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Shella, Kimberley L. Panel 26-9, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Shelley, Mack C. Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Shelley, Bryan Panel 49-6, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Shelley, Bryan Panel 49-2, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Shelton, Crystal Elizabeth Dunn Panel 30-16, Sun 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Shea, Raphael Panel 3-1, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Sheple, Kenneth A. Roundtable 42-103, Sat 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Sheple, Kenneth A. Panel 37-30, Sat 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Sheple, Kenneth A. Roundtable 1-110, Sat 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Sheridan, Elizabeth C. Panel 24-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Sherill, Kenneth Panel 64-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Shi, Weiyi Panel 15-19, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Shi, Wenwen Panel 5-3, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Shih, Victor C. Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Shikana, Susana Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Shin, Geisuen Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Shin, Hae Hyun Panel 10-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Shin, Jae H. Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Shin, Kang Jun Panel 26-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Shineman, Victoria A. Panel 7-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Shineman, Victoria A. Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Shineman, Victoria A. Panel 7-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Shigan, Charles R. Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Shigan, Charles R. Panel 46-17, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Shirkey, Zachary Panel 18-18, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Shirkey, Zachary Panel 17-19, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Shirkey, Zachary Panel 17-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Shirkey, Zachary Panel 18-7, Fri 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Shin, Janice Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Shuber, Arnold F. Panel 28-20, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Shockley, Bethany Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Shockley, Gordon Panel 54-15, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Shockley, Gordon Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Shockley, Gordon Panel 32-5, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Shockley, Gordon Panel 50-17, Sun 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Shoji, Koert Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Shomade, Salomon A. Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Shomade, Salomon A. Panel 45-31, Sat 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Shover, Yael Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Shover, Yael Panel 6-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Shor, Boris Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Shor, Boris Panel 42-31, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Shor, Boris Panel 46-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Shor, Boris Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Shorvik, Allyson Panel 27-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Shorvik, Allyson Panel 28-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Shotts, Kenneth W. Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Shotts, Kenneth W. Panel 37-4, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Shoop, Brian D. Panel 21-2, Thur 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Shoop, Brian D. Panel 21-9, Sat 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Shrader, Nathan Panel 46-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Shreck, Brian C. Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 3 on the 7th floor
Shrestha, Madol Kumar Panel 72-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Shrode, John Robert Panel 25-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Shrohad, Matthew Panel 4-14, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Staufert, Gregory Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Strulgin, Sergey Panel 5-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Sturman, Hillary C. Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Stuts, Katherine Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Stum, Matthew Panel 45-26, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Svetsova, Olga Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Svetsova, Olga Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Svetsova, Olga Panel 2-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Svetska, Peter M. Panel 26-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Siddiki, Saba Naseem Panel 50-13, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 14 on the 3rd floor
Siddiki, Saba Naseem Panel 51-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Sides, John M. Panel 1-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Sides, John M. Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Sides, John M. Roundtable 1-109, Sat 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Sides, John M. Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Sidiya, Vera Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Sidlow, Edward Roundtable 1-111, Sun 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Sidman, Andrew Heath Panel 45-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Sidman, Andrew Heath Panel 25-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Sidman, Andrew Heath Panel 30-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Sieberg, Katri Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Siegel, David A. Panel 37-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Siefert, Jacqueline Panel 45-21, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Signe, Laundry Panel 11-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Signé, Laundry Panel 4-31, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
Silbermann, Rachel Anne Panel 27-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Sik, Kaitlyn Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Sik, Kaitlyn Panel 45-6, Fri 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Sillay, Stephanie L. Panel 45-18, Thur 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Sillay, Stephanie L. Panel 13-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Silva, Andrea Panel 31-16, Thur 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Silva, Andrea Panel 26-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Silva, Carol L. Panel 49-8, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Silva, Pedro R. N. Panel 51-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Silver, Adam M. Panel 68-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Silver, Brian D. Panel 21-8, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Silvara, Gábor Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Silverbrook, Julie Michelle Panel 44-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Silverman, Adam L. Panel 17-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Silverman, Adam L. Panel 18-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Simas, Elizabeth Nicole Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Simon, Elizabeth Nicole Panel 25-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Simmons, James R. Panel 68-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Simmons, Julie M. Panel 49-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Simmons, Randy T. Panel 51-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Simons, Agnes Panel 15-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
Simon, Arthur Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Simon, Curtis M. Panel 5-21, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Simon, Marlaina K. Poster 63-201, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Simon, Pablo Panel 23-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

- Simon, Rita Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Simon, Stephen A. Panel 45-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Simpson, Nicole M. Panel 20-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Millersum Parlor on the 6th floor
 Simpson, Nicole M. Panel 20-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Simpson, Alberto Panel 14-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Simpson, Alberto Panel 14-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Simpson, Alberto Panel 14-7, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Simpson, Caroline A. Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Simpson, Jonathan Christopher Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Sio, Gisela Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Sio, Gisela Panel 54-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Siobair, Betty Panel 23-3, Thur 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Siobair, Betty Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Siobair, John Andrew Panel 36-2, Thur 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Siobair, John Andrew Panel 25-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Singer, Abraham Poster 73-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Singer, David Andrew Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Singer, David Andrew Roundtable 1-107, Fri 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Singer, Matthew Panel 26-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Singer, Matthew Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Singh, Nitya Panel 10-10, Sat 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Singh, Nitya Panel 10-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Singh, Shona Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Sinha, Sanjeev Kumar Panel 30-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Skarason, Michael Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Skano, Abdulkader Panel 2-15, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Skano, Abdulkader Panel 2-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Skarwa, Marissa Panel 47-14, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Skirale, Daniela Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Skisnietz, Beate Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Skisnietz, Beate Panel 30-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Sjøberg, Fredrik M. Panel 13-14, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Sjøberg, Laura Roundtable 64-102, Fri 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Skarson, John Panel 30-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Skinner, Paul Joseph Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Skisnietz, Richard Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Skjold, Linda J. Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Skocpol, Theda Roundtable 1-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Skocpol, Theda Author Meets Critics 54-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Speer Parlor on the 6th floor
 Skurtzky, John Roundtable 1-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Slager, Jonathan Panel 19-7, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Slano, Martin Panel 4-7, Thur 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Slapin, Jonathan B. Panel 8-6, Fri 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Slapin, Jonathan B. Panel 36-6, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Slapin, Jonathan B. Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Sledge, Daniel David Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Slothuus, Ruze Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Slothuus, Ruze Panel 28-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Slothuus, Ruze Panel 29-23, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Slothuus, Ruze Roundtable 1-101, Sat 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Slothuus, Ruze Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Slotnik, Elliot E. Roundtable 45-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Slotnik, Elliot E. Panel 45-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Slotnik, Elliot E. Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Smalinski, Paul E. Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Smart, Jamie Panel 31-15, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Smets, Karl Panel 25-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Corwin D. Panel 22-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Corwin D. Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Adrienne Rachel Panel 30-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Andrew E. Panel 22-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Andrew E. Roundtable 24-101, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Smith, Andrew E. Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Smith, Andrew B. Panel 34-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Andrew F. Panel 35-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Benjamin B. Panel 4-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Charles A. Panel 45-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Charles A. Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Charles Anthony Panel 66-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Smith, Charles E. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Smith, Chelsea Elizabeth Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Smith, Christopher E. Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Claire M. Panel 25-21, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Claire M. Panel 50-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Claire M. Panel 25-20, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Clifford Owen Panel 33-10, Fri 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Smith, Carl Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Smith, Craig Panel 53-2, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Smith, David Panel 28-22, Sat 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Jacob Forrest Hamilton Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Smith, Jamie Nicole Panel 23-3, Thur 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Jamie Nicole Panel 29-21, Fri 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Jennifer K. Panel 40-15, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Keith Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Keith Panel 23-10, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Kevin B. Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Smith, Kevin B. Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Smith, Kyle M. Panel 8-5, Fri 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Smith, Lauren Edwards Panel 45-26, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Smith, Linda D. Roundtable 64-101, Sat 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Smith, Randall Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Smith, Steven S. Roundtable 1-112, Fri 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Smith, Travis S. Panel 71-8, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Smith Keller, Carolyn Panel 2-10, Sat 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
 Smithery, Shannon I. Panel 5-2, Thur 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Smithery, Shannon I. Panel 5-21, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Smyth, Regina Panel 23-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Smyth, Regina Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Smyth, Kathleen Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Snell, Steven Panel 7-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Snider, David Panel 17-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Snow, Douglas R. Panel 53-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Snow, Jonathan L. Panel 12-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Snowberg, Erik Christopher Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Snowberg, Erik Christopher Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Snyder, James M. Panel 52-6, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Snyder, Jeffrey W. Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 So, Florence Panel 2-12, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Sobel, Andrew C. Panel 14-19, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Sobel, Richard Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Soe, Hwan Hwan Panel 10-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Soenderskov, Kim Mannerer Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Soenderskov, Kim Mannerer Panel 28-15, Sun 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Sohlberg, Jacob Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Soba, Yankyu Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Soba, Yankyu Panel 72-4, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Sokhey, Anand Edward Panel 28-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Sokhey, Anand Edward Panel 72-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Sokhey, Anand Edward Panel 25-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Sokhey, Anand Edward Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Sokhey, Sarah Wilson Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Solari, Sarah Panel 36-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Solomon, Johanna Panel 18-29, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Solomon-Schwartz, Chana Panel 29-5, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Solop, Frederic L. Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Soli, Frederick Panel 7-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Soli, Frederick Panel 7-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Somer-Topcu, Zeynep Panel 8-4, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Somer-Topcu, Zeynep Panel 8-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Somer-Topcu, Zeynep Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Somia, Ilya Panel 28-30, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Sommer, Ebad N. Panel 45-9, Sat 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Soehnle, Fernanda Panel 9-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Soa, Byunghwan Panel 15-6, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Song, Changsoo Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Song, Geoboo Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Song, Geoboo Panel 49-8, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Song, Geoboo Panel 51-12, Sun 10:25 am, Price on the 3rd floor
 Song, Young Hoon Panel 18-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Springer, Donald R. Panel 45-20, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Springer, Donald R. Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Sooria, Konstantina Panel 14-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Sood, Gaurav Panel 28-4, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Sood, Gaurav Panel 28-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Soong, Jeonjiew Panel 17-17, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Sorrens, Jason Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Sorrens, Jason Panel 46-6, Fri 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Sorrosen, Maria Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Sorurbakhsh, Laila Fatemeh Panel 7-7, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Sotirov, Jeffrey Panel 12-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Sostand, Jeffrey Panel 12-3, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Soss, Jennifer Panel 26-5, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 South, James B. Panel 71-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Souza, Mark Panel 16-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Souza, Mark Panel 18-26, Sat 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Souza, Mark Panel 18-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Souza, Jellio Marcus Laha Panel 44-1, Thur 10:25 am, Monrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Sovey, Allison Jean Panel 38-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Sowash, Alexandra Panel 10-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Spada, Paolo Panel 22-9, Sat 10:25 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Spantobova, Lucie Panel 20-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Spantobova, Lucie Panel 16-19, Fri 10:25 am, Monrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Sparks, David B. Panel 24-7, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 3rd floor
 Speck, David M. Panel 36-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Spears, Matthew Allen Panel 7-1, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Speece, Douglas Hamilton Panel 16-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Monrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Spencer, Douglas Panel 42-15, Thur 10:25 am, Monrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Spina, Nicholas Joseph Panel 6-16, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Spirling, Arthur Panel 38-1, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Spirling, Arthur Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Spitzer, Scott Jeremy Panel 54-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Spoon, Joe-Joe Panel 6-7, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Spoon, Joe-Joe Panel 8-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Spooner, Mary Panel 4-11, Sat 10:25 am, Monrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Spooner, Mary Panel 30-15, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Spriggs, James E. Panel 43-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Springer, Melodie J. Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Springer, Melodie J. Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Squiers, Anthony Glenn Panel 71-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Squitnick, Francesco Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Squitnick, Francesco Panel 37-4, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Squire, Peter III Panel 42-14, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Sriaram, Shyam Krishnan Panel 57-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Sriaram, Shyam Krishnan Panel 21-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Sriaram, Shyam Krishnan Panel 4-26, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Sriaram, Shyam Krishnan Panel 7-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 St Marie, Joseph J. Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Stamba, Joseph L. Panel 6-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Stamba, Joseph L. Panel 45-6, Fri 11:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Stamba, Joseph L. Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Stahly, Aaron Panel 53-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Staley, Tucker C. Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Staley, Tucker C. Panel 46-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Stalburg, Brynna L. Panel 10-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Stam, Allan C. Panel 16-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Stambough, Stephen J. Panel 46-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Stanzell, Paul Panel 42-17, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Stanzyk, Lucas Panel 36-15, Sat 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Stangl, Chris Panel 44-9, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Stangl, Chris Panel 44-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Stangl, Piero Panel 7-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Stangl, Piero Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Stangl, Piero Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Staniland, Paul Panel 4-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Stanichev, Stefan K. Panel 33-5, Sat 10:25 am, Monrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Stanichev, Stefan K. Panel 33-9, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Stanton, Samuel Stephen Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Stanton, Samuel Stephen Panel 17-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Stanton, Thomas Roundtable 50-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Staton, Jeffrey K. Panel 45-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Staton, Jeffrey K. Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Stauffer, Devin Andrew Panel 34-10, Thur 12:45 pm, Monrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Stauffer, Devin Andrew Roundtable 32-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Stazyk, Edmund C. Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Steed, Brian Carl Panel 51-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Steele, Carrie A. Panel 15-5, Fri 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Steele, Paul D. Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Steenbergen, Marco Robert Panel 7-2, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Steenbergen, Marco Robert Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Steeves, Rowen Panel 54-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Steeves, Rowen Panel 54-13, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Steffenson, Rebecca J. Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Steffenson, Rebecca J. Panel 2-13, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Steger, Wayne P. Panel 27-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Sieger, Wayne P. Roundtable 24-100, Fri 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Sieger, Wayne P. Panel 40-10, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Stegawelder, Daniel Panel 38-8, Fri 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Steigertwalt, Amy Panel 43-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Stein, Machol M. Panel 19-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor

- Stein, Rachel M. Panel 16-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Stein, Robert M. Panel 22-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Stein, Robert M. Panel 25-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Stein, Robert M. Panel 22-3, Sun 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Steinberg, Alan Panel 56-7, Fri 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Steinberg, Alan Panel 39-5, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Steinberg, Jessica Panel 4-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Steinbrecher, Markus Panel 25-22, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Steiner, Nita D. Panel 25-22, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Steinhardt, EL Christoph Panel 10-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Asbland on the 3rd floor
- Steinmetz, Cory Garnet Panel 51-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Steinmetz, John Douglas Panel 71-5, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Steinwald, Martin Christoph Panel 15-18, Thur 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Steinwald, Martin Christoph Panel 15-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Steizer, Skatirite Panel 71-5, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Stemper, Calla Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Stephenson, Laura B. Panel 26-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Stephenson, Laura B. Panel 26-12, Fri 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Stierfeld, Rachel Panel 12-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Stierrett, David Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Stevens, J. Richard Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 3th floor
- Stewart, Charles H. Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Stewart, Charles H. Roundtable 42-103, Sat 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Stewart, Charles H. Panel 24-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Stewart, Marianne C. Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Stewart, Mark G. Panel 30-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Stiles, Elizabeth A. Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Stinson, James Allen Author Meets Critics 25-101, Thur 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Stinson, James Allen Panel 28-31, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Stinson, James Allen Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Stockemer, Daniel Panel 25-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Stockemer, Daniel Panel 23-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Stockemer, Daniel Panel 23-10, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Stockemer, Daniel Panel 57-6, Sun 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Stockert, Raulofa Panel 42-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Stoffel, Michael Panel 16-7, Fri 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Stolber, Michael Panel 2-9, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Stolper, Michael Panel 5-16, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Stoker, Laura Author Meets Critics 25-101, Thur 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Stokes, Curtis Panel 31-17, Sat 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Stokes-Brown, Atya Kai Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Stokes-Brown, Atya Kai Panel 31-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Stokes-Brown, Atya Kai Panel 29-17, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Stoll, Heather M. Panel 26-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Stoll, Heather M. Roundtable 1-104, Sat 8:30 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
- Stoll, Heather M. Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
- Stoll, Jessica Kate Trofai Panel 17-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Stonbeck, Sarah Panel 29-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
- Stone, Patsy C. Panel 34-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
- Stone, Peter C. Panel 34-12, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Stone, Walter J. Panel 24-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Stone, Walter J. Roundtable 8-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Stonewall, Jeff M. Panel 1-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Stonewall, Jeff M. Panel 40-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Stonewall, Jeff M. Panel 40-10, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Storer, Samuel A. Panel 32-4, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Stonemok, Jason Post Panel 71-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
- Storey, Ian Panel 34-11, Sat 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Stout, Christopher Panel 31-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
- Stout, Christopher Panel 31-15, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Stoutborough, James W. Panel 50-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Stoutborough, James W. Panel 46-15, Sat 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Stoyan, Allison T. Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Strachan, J. Cheryl Panel 28-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Strachan, J. Cheryl Panel 40-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Strachan, J. Cheryl Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Strachan, J. Cheryl Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Strang, Lee John Panel 44-3, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Strayhorn, Joshua A. Panel 45-20, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Strech, Matthew Panel 45-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Street, Alex Panel 28-23, Thur 2:40 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Street, Alex Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Streffel, Joclyn D. Panel 15-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- Strickland, April A. Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Strickland, April A. Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Strickler, Jeremy Panel 54-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Strieka, Tara W. Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Strife, David Brian Panel 18-16, Sun 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Strifollano, Kathryn Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Strubela, Mauritz Feldeas Panel 25-15, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Strong, Carol Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Strong, Carol R. Panel 12-2, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
- Strunovfel, Brian Panel 37-4, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Strunsky, Deborah A. Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Stuart, Amy Panel 20-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Stuart, Kevin Etheridge Panel 44-3, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Stucker, Donley T. Panel 49-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Studlar, Donley T. Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Su, Fubing Panel 10-4, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
- Suarez, Sandra L. Panel 54-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Suarez, Sandra L. Panel 54-15, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
- Subbotniksky, Denis Y. Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Suckow-Hosky, Maurizio Daniel Panel 33-5, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Sudulick, Maria Laura Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Sudulick, Maria Laura Panel 8-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Suh, Jiwon Panel 5-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Madison Parlor on the 6th floor
- Suhay, Elizabeth Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Suhay, Elizabeth Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Suhay, Elizabeth Panel 27-21, Sat 4:35 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Sulsky, Elizabeth Panel 27-2, Sun 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Suk, Mila Panel 36-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Sullins, Ian Panel 45-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Sulzmann-Kenan, Roman Panel 27-30, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Sulzmann-Kenan, Roman Panel 23-7, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Sulzmann-Kenan, Roman Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Sullins, Tracy Panel 42-21, Sat 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Sullivan, Joe M. Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Sullivan, Tina Louise Panel 49-8, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Sun, Paul E. Panel 27-17, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Sun, Paul E. Panel 13-4, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
- Sun, Paul E. Panel 13-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Sun, Paul E. Panel 28-15, Sun 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor

Summers

Summers, Edward Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Sumpter, William Raymond Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Sun, Xia Panel 3-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor

Sun, Xia Panel 10-4, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Suong, Clara Hwasung Panel 24-5, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor

Suong, Clara Hwasung Panel 24-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Surak, Sarah Panel 36-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Suzuki, Sumiko Panel 18-18, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Svensen, Eric Paul Panel 41-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Svensen, Eric Paul Panel 40-14, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor

Svevik, Milan Panel 18-4, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Svevik, Milan Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Svevik, Milan Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Swain, John W. Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Swain, Randall D. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Swartz, Heidi J. Panel 35-3, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Swedlow, Brecken Panel 45-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Sweeney, Julie Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

Sweet-Cushman, Jessica Panel 56-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Swers, Michele L. Panel 30-5, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Swers, Michele L. Panel 30-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Swigger, Nathaniel Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Sydnor, Emily Panel 29-11, Sat 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor

Sydnor, Emily Panel 56-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Sykora, Sharon A. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Sykora-Bodis, Seth Thomas Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Sylvester, Carl K. Panel 25-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor

See, Abigail T. Panel 45-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor

Seitz, John Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Schnajder Lee, Aleksandra Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Schnajder Lee, Aleksandra Panel 8-19, Sat 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor

Schwartzberg, Mariela Panel 4-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Schwartzberg, Mariela Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Schwartzberg, Mariela Panel 37-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor

Shirici, Susan Panel 28-25, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Shrock, Barry L. Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Shuk, Lee Roundtable 1-109, Sat 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor

Tager, Michael Panel 5-21, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Tager, Michael Panel 6-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor

Tahk, Alexander Mosa Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Tai, Laurence Panel 37-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Mansfield on the 3rd floor

Takagi, Yuki Panel 37-8, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Takizaki, Momi Panel 36-11, Sat 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Tan, Bron Seng Panel 16-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor

Tandon, Sharrad Alan Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

Tandon, Sharrad Alan Panel 3-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Tandreaum, Sandra J. Panel 49-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Tandreaum, Sandra J. Panel 49-9, Sun 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor

Tang, Chh-Mao Panel 18-31, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor

Tang, Kai Yi Panel 49-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Tang, Li Panel 50-19, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor

Tanz, Sarah Panel 35-4, Fri 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor

Tan, Jill L. Panel 46-12, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor

Tan, Jill L. Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Tan, Jill L. Panel 53-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor

Tan, Jing Panel 20-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor

Tan, Jing Panel 10-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor

Tanz, Orjuda Panel 48-9, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Tarlan, Stacey Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grand Park Parlor on the 6th floor

Tarwala, Eliza Panel 9-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor

Tarnopolsky, Christina Roundtable 32-102, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Tarnopolsky, Christina Roundtable 32-101, Sat 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Tarr, Melissa R. Panel 34-6, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor

Tarzi, Sarah M. Panel 19-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand Park Parlor on the 6th floor

Tasch, Lathan Panel 29-19, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor

Tatavlevich, Raymond Panel 50-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Tatavlevich, Raymond Panel 41-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor

Tatavlevich, Raymond Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Tatart, Eric Panel 2-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Tatow, David Seng Panel 49-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor

Tatunovitch, Christopher Panel 25-22, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Tavits, Margit Panel 26-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor

Tavits, Margit Panel 13-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor

Tay, Thiam Chye Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Taylor, Andrew J. Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor

Taylor, Gregg Panel 71-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor

Taylor, James Benjamin Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Taylor, James Benjamin Panel 25-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor

Taylor, Jami Kathleen Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Taylor, Jami Kathleen Panel 46-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor

Taylor, Jeff Panel 54-10, Sat 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor

Taylor, Jeff Panel 54-13, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Taylor, Jeffrey Alan Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor

Taylor, Jeffrey Alan Panel 24-6, Fri 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor

Taylor, Lisa Panel 36-14, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Taylor, Morris Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Taylor, Steven Lynn Panel 9-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor

Taylor, Steven Lynn Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Taylor-Robinson, Michelle M. Panel 7-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor

Taylor-Robinson, Michelle M. Roundtable 64-102, Fri 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor

Taylor-Robinson, Michelle M. Panel 6-11, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor

Taylor-Robinson, Michelle M. Panel 26-10, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

Teehan, Joshua Gerald Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Tedin, Kent Panel 41-12, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Tedin, Kent Panel 28-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor

Teeghan, David Kurt Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor

Teigen, Jeremy M. Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor

Teigen, Jeremy M. Panel 25-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor

Tefelbaum, Emmanuel Panel 4-24, Sat 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor

Tefelbaum, Emmanuel Panel 4-14, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor

Tekelaghi, Ahmet Selim Panel 56-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor

Tedder, Nicole Marie Panel 12-10, Sat 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor

Teeray, Owen Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor

Tengstrom, Khari Ali Panel 5-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor

Tentler, Rachel Marie Roundtable 32-102, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor

Tepe, Markus Stephan Panel 42-26, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor

Tepe, Markus Stephan Panel 42-25, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor

Tepe, Salma Panel 56-12, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor

Tepe, Salma Panel 12-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor

Terbeck, Ronald F. Panel 36-15, Sat 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor

Teresi, Holly Panel 23-3, Thur 10:25 am, Kimbell on the 3rd floor

Teresi, Holly Panel 39-1, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor

- Ternan, Jessica Panel 53-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Tesler, Michael Saul Panel 25-35, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Thames, Frank C. Panel 13-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Thames, Frank C. Panel 13-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Thames, Frank C. Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Thames, Frank C. Panel 26-9, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Thee, Samantha Wen Li Foster 69-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Theller, Tobias Panel 16-19, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Theller, Tobias Panel 16-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Thelmer, Paatrick Panel 20-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Theodoridis, Alexander George Panel 27-16, Sun 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Theriault, Sean M. Panel 42-28, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Therrault, Andrew Panel 22-12, Thur 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Therrault, Andrew Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Therwath, Ingrid Panel 21-5, Sat 12:45 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Theuerkauf, Ulrike G. Foster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Thies, Cameron G. Panel 11-6, Thur 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Thies, Cameron G. Panel 18-30, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Thies, Wallace J. Panel 17-5, Thur 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Thies, Wallace J. Panel 17-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Thiem, Michael Panel 50-12, Fri 12:45 pm, Pine on the 5th floor
 Thomas, Breanna Foster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Thomas, Breanna Panel 50-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Thomas, Clive S. Panel 9-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Thomas, Darryl C. Panel 31-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Thomas, G. Dale Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Thomas, Herschel E. Panel 42-3, Sun 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Thomas, Jackson Panel 17-22, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Thomas, Jerry D. Panel 45-16, Sun 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Thomas, Martha S. Panel 8-5, Fri 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Thomas, Matthew O. Panel 47-10, Sat 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Thomas, Melissa Panel 30-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Thomas, Michael S Panel 14-7, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Thomassen, Jacques Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Thompson, Debra Panel 31-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Thompson, Debra Panel 31-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Thompson, Douglas Ian Panel 33-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Thompson, Joshua R. Panel 17-16, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Thompson, Kevin D. Panel 53-11, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Thompson, Danielle Marie Panel 42-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Kinsball on the 3rd floor
 Thompson, Jens Peter Frolund Panel 28-32, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Thompson, Jens Peter Frolund Panel 28-15, Sun 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Thomson, Catarina Pamela Panel 16-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Thomson, Catarina Pamela Panel 18-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Thomson, Robert Panel 28-11, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Thomson, Robert Panel 8-6, Fri 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Thomson, Robert Panel 28-23, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Thomson, David Weldon Panel 24-1, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Thomson, David Weldon Panel 22-8, Sun 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Thomson, Douglas Foster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Thomson, Richard C. Panel 19-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Thorson, Emily Panel 25-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Thrasher, Michael A. Panel 24-1, Thur 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Thrasher, Michael A. Panel 24-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Thrasher, Michael A. Panel 26-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Thrasher, Michael A. Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Thrasher, Michael A. Panel 23-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Thruwer, Sharon Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Thumano, Ronald Roundtable 54-101, Thur 12:45 pm, Spice Parlor on the 6th floor
 Thurmer, Paul W. Panel 20-7, Fri 10:25 am, Pine on the 5th floor
 Thurmer, Paul W. Panel 25-17, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Thurmer, Paul W. Panel 25-15, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Thyne, Clayton L. Panel 5-8, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Thyne, Clayton L. Panel 18-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Thyne, Clayton L. Panel 5-1, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Tiede, Lydia Brashhear Panel 45-2, Thur 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Tiede, Lydia Brashhear Panel 45-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Tiede, Lydia Brashhear Panel 45-19, Sun 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Tiemann, Guido Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Tiemann, Guido Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Tiemann, Guido Panel 8-16, Sun 10:25 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Ties, Charles Panel 16-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Tillman, Erik R. Panel 8-8, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Tillman, Erik R. Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Tillman, Erik R. Panel 7-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Tillman, Amanda Rowe Panel 31-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 Timberlake, James Davis Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Ting, Michael M. Panel 37-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Ting, Michael M. Panel 37-14, Sat 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Tingley, Duane H. Panel 15-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Tinn, Kevin Panel 33-4, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Tirkand, Rocio Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Tivart, Devash K. Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Tkach, Benjamin Panel 16-6, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Tkacheva, Olesya Panel 8-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Tkacheva, Olesya Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Toledo, Jennifer Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Toedtner, James Preston Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Toedon, John P. Panel 23-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Toedon, John P. Panel 54-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Toender, Lars Panel 33-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Toftas, Michael W. Panel 24-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Toftas, Michael W. Panel 24-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Toha, Risa J. Panel 4-11, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Toha, Risa J. Panel 4-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Toka, Cabot Panel 7-15, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Tolbert, Caroline J. Panel 46-2, Thur 10:25 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Tolbert, Caroline J. Panel 39-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Tolbert, Caroline J. Roundtable 1-103, Thur 2:40 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
 Tolbert, Caroline J. Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Tolbert, Caroline J. Panel 42-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Kinsball on the 3rd floor
 Tolbert, Caroline J. Panel 23-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Tolbert, Caroline J. Panel 38-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Tolentino, Salvador Foster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Tolleson-Rinehart, Sue Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Tollestrup, Jessica Scott Panel 30-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Tomaz, Marlene Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Tomaz, Marlene Panel 47-9, Sat 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Tomes, Blitzer Panel 36-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Tomkins, Alan J. Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Tomz, Michael Panel 16-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Tomz, Michael Panel 16-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Tomz, Michael Panel 25-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Tomz, Angela Panel 8-15, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Toronto, Nathan Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Green Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Torosyan, Gayane Panel 21-7, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Torres, Ana Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Torres, Ana Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Tovar, Jesse Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor

Tovar, Jason Panel 6-14, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Tovar, Lynn A. Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Tovar-Rodriguez, Marcela Panel 9-2, Thur 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Towler, Christopher C. Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Towner, Terri L. Panel 31-13, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Towner, Terri L. Panel 31-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Townes, Miles Panel 17-1, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Townes, Miles Panel 57-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Toyoda, Shin Panel 21-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Tozzi, Gino Joseph Panel 29-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Traber, Denise Panel 42-27, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Tragarath, Tracy Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 TRAMER, John E. Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Trancoe, John E. Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Trappmann, Vera Panel 13-1, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 TRAVES, David Jefferson Panel 44-1, Thur 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Travisa, Konstantinos Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Traynor, Kristen Panel 29-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Trechal, Alexander Harold Panel 7-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Trechal, Alexander Harold Panel 1-5, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Treier, Shawa Panel 38-7, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Treier, Shawa Panel 38-8, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Trejo, Javier Armando Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Trepanier, Lee Panel 71-8, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Trepanier, Lee Panel 71-7, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Treseb, Anke Panel 28-29, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Treul, Sarah Panel 42-19, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Treul, Sarah Panel 42-28, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Triest, Matteo Panel 37-1, Thur 8:30 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Triest, Matteo Panel 37-18, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Trisk, Barbara Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Trisk, Barbara Panel 1-3, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Trivoli, Attil Panel 49-14, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Trouzet, Sarah Panel 26-5, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Truamp, Kris-Stella Panel 28-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Tsai, Chi-Ding Panel 41-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Tsai, Lily Panel 4-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Tsai, Yu-Tai Panel 17-17, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Tsai, Yu-Tai Panel 19-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Tsai, Yu-Tai Panel 44-8, Sun 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Tsai, Yu-Tai Panel 19-8, Sun 10:25 am, Creighton on the 3rd floor
 Tseng, Huan-Kai Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Tshindzwe, Eleri Panel 17-8, Fri 10:25 am, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Thuamgard, Mamasital Panel 37-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Tsvetkova, Alexandra Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Tuckey, Harvey J. Panel 25-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Tuckey, Joshua A. Panel 28-2, Sat 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Tuckey, Joshua A. Panel 23-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Tuckey, Justin Abbott Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Tuckey, Justin Abbott Panel 28-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Tuckey, Justin Abbott Panel 51-8, Sat 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Tuckey, Justin Abbott Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Tuckey, Zack Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Tung, Chen-yuan Panel 15-23, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Turcu, Anca Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Turcu, Anca Panel 30-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 5 on the 7th floor
 Turcu, Anca Panel 30-15, Sat 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Turcotte, Matthew Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Turkina, Ekaterina Panel 16-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Turner, Robin L. Panel 38-7, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Tuttle, Rollin F. Panel 5-21, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor

Tuttle, Rollin F. Panel 5-9, Fri 10:25 am, Millwright Parlor on the 6th floor
 Tyndler, Marcelo Panel 25-20, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Tzelgov, Eitan Panel 37-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Tzelgov, Eitan Panel 42-22, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Tzucov, Albert Panel 14-16, Sun 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Tzintzarova, Maria Panel 13-12, Fri 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Tzintzarova, Maria Panel 13-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Tzintzarova, Maria Panel 13-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Udezalu, Ileydwa Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Udezalu, Ileydwa Panel 30-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Ueda, Michiko Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Uekami, Takayoshi Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Ulbig, Stacy G. Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Ulbig, Stacy G. Panel 28-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
 Ulrich, Justin Panel 25-20, Sun 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Urtek, Paul Panel 71-2, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Urtieb, Paul Panel 33-7, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Ustupour, Babur Panel 14-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Urmantsewarana, Kalpagam Panel 55-3, Fri 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Urmantsewarana, Kalpagam Panel 55-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Urrab, Isaac J. Panel 43-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Urrab, Isaac J. Panel 43-16, Sun 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Unger, Katherine K Panel 16-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Unger, Michael A. Panel 43-27, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Unger, Michael A. Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Umar, Seba Panel 12-8, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Uppak, Yogesh Panel 4-23, Sun 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Ura, Joseph D. Panel 43-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Ura, Joseph D. Panel 45-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Ural, Ilanek Yavcan Panel 7-1, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Ural, Ilanek Yavcan Panel 7-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Urbani, Carly Panel 22-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 Urbanech, Robert Panel 15-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Urpeksinen, Johannes Panel 20-2, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Urpeksinen, Johannes Panel 14-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Urpeksinen, Johannes Panel 51-13, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Urcicki, Joseph E. Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Urcicki, Joseph E. Poster 59-201, Thur 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Urshilima, TRASHI Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Ury, Kaye Panel 25-18, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Uzonyi, Gary Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Uzonyi, Gary Panel 17-14, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Valbuena, Felicity Anne Panel 20-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Valkeith, Maria Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Vali, Mark Author Meets Cribes 2-100, Thur 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
 Valdez, Jorge Manuel Panel 36-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Valdez, Jorge Manuel Panel 36-16, Sun 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Valdivia, Patricia Eugenia Panel 42-26, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Valenti, Robert L. Panel 71-2, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Valenti, Robert L. Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Valentino, Nicholas A. Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Valentino, Nicholas A. Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Valentino, Nicholas A. Panel 28-8, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Valenzuela, Ali Adam Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Valenzuela, Ali Adam Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Valeriano, Brandon Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Valera, Anca Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Valbert, Marie-Anne Panel 7-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Van Benthuysen, John Panel 16-21, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
 van der Meut, Eelco Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 van der Voort, Eric Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor

- van der Vort, Eric Panel 71-6, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Van Doorn, Bas W. Panel 29-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 van Eerd, Jonathan Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 van Gorp, Johannes A.A.M. Panel 8-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Van Hauwaert, Steven Panel 8-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Van Houweling, Robert Panel 42-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Van Houweling, Robert Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Van Houweling, Robert Panel 25-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 van Kersbergen, Kees Panel 56-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Van Liere, Adam Panel 51-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 Van Liere, Adam Panel 51-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 van Santen, Anna Panel 2-13, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 van Spanje, Joost Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Vanden Houten, Art Panel 33-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Vanden Houten, Art Panel 33-5, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
 Vandenbroek, Lance Matthew Panel 25-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Vandenbroek, Lance Matthew Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Vander Wielen, Ryan John Panel 8-9, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Vander Wielen, Ryan John Panel 42-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Vandiver, Joshua J. Panel 32-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 VanDusky-Allen, Julie Ann Panel 5-12, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 VanDusky-Allen, Julie Ann Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 VanDusky-Allen, Julie Ann Panel 6-17, Sun 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Vanhorn, Abigail Marguerite Panel 29-24, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Vanhorn, Abigail Marguerite Panel 22-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 VanSickle-Ward, Rachel Panel 30-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 VanSickle-Ward, Rachel Panel 30-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Varadarajan, Latha Panel 43-2, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Vargas, Juan F. Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Vargas-Hernandez, José G. Panel 3-5, Sat 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Vargas-Hernandez, José G. Panel 3-6, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Varnell, Josh Panel 68-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Vasquez, John A. Panel 18-2, Thur 10:25 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Vassallo, Francesca Panel 28-38, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Vassallo, Francesca Panel 8-19, Sat 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Vasil, Kristjan Panel 1-5, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Vaughan, Frank Panel 18-19, Thur 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Vaughan, Frank Panel 18-21, Thur 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Vaughn, Justin S. Panel 41-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Vaughn, Justin S. Panel 54-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Vavreck, Lynn Panel 25-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Vavreck, Lynn Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Vayman, Jane Eugenia Panel 17-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Vazquez-D'Elia, Javier Panel 9-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Vazquez-D'Elia, Javier Panel 6-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Veale, Daniel Jay Panel 17-22, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Vecera, Vincent Panel 45-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Veen, Tim Panel 42-26, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Veeranki, Sreenivas P. Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Velazquez, Rodrigo Panel 4-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Velazquez, Rodrigo Panel 4-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Vellings, Tristan Panel 54-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Verba, Sidney Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Verdeja, Ernesto Panel 21-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Vergaray, Alfonso Panel 33-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Verghese, Ajay Abraham Panel 4-14, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Verhulst, Brad Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Verhulst, Brad Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Vermeer, Jan P. Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Verovsek, Peter J. Panel 36-17, Sat 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Verseeckulte, Egle Panel 13-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Veselinovic, Stefani Panel 36-15, Sat 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
 Viann, Suhayla Mohamed Khalil Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Vidal, Troy Manuel Panel 36-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Vidal, Troy Manuel Panel 36-22, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Vieux, Andrea Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Vieux, Andrea Panel 49-6, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
 Vigil, Tammy Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Vila, Rafael Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Villabobos, Jose D. Panel 54-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Villanova, Daniel J. Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Villarroel, Gratzin Panel 9-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Villaverde, Maria Jose Panel 33-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Vimo, Jacqueline Panel 31-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Vining, Richard Lee Panel 41-2, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
 Vining, Richard Lee Panel 45-26, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Vining, Richard Lee Panel 45-30, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Vinzant, John H. Panel 44-4, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Volpe, Michael Smollar Panel 5-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 von Geldern, William James Poster 63-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Vonnahme, Greg William Panel 25-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Vonnahme, Greg William Panel 25-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Vossen, Koen Panel 2-15, Thur 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Vossen, Koen Panel 8-7, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Vossing, Konstantin Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Vrablikova, Katerina Panel 40-1, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
 Vrablikova, Katerina Panel 2-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Vraga, Emily K. Panel 24-7, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Vrangbaek, Karsten Panel 49-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Vrangbaek, Karsten Panel 50-15, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Vrangbaek, Karsten Panel 53-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Vreeland, James Raymond Panel 15-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
 Vsquez, Juanita Panel 9-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Vuellers, Johannes Panel 18-19, Thur 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Wachspress, Megan Panel 34-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Waddan, Alex Panel 48-3, Fri 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Waddan, Alex Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Waddell Boie, Jacquilyn Rae Panel 21-2, Thur 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Waddell Boie, Jacquilyn Rae Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Wade, Michelle Lynne Roundtable 64-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Wade, Michelle Lynne Panel 50-21, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Wagle, Udaya R. Panel 2-5, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Wagle, Udaya R. Panel 2-6, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Wagner, Bettina Panel 13-8, Sat 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Wagner, Markus Panel 8-21, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Wagner, Markus Panel 2-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor

Markus Panel 8-11, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Michael W. Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Michael W. Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Michael W. Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Paul J. Panel 45-17, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Paul J. Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Michael Panel 5-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Shinya Panel 28-14, Sun 8:30 am, Price on the 5th floor
Stefaan Panel 29-12, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Stefaan Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Stefaan Panel 28-29, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Stefaan Author Meets Critics 29-100, Sun 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Timothy Poster 73-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Alexis Panel 23-17, Sun 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Andrea Panel 41-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Lee Demetrius Panel 38-8, Fri 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Lee Demetrius Panel 5-16, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Meredith Brooke Loudd Panel 50-16, Sun 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Robert W. Panel 53-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Teri J. Panel 30-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Thomas G. Author Meets Critics 44-102, Fri 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Matthew Panel 8-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Geoffrey Panel 20-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Geoffrey Panel 20-10, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Geoffrey Panel 18-23, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Sophia Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
John R. Roundtable 32-101, Sat 10:25 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Carrie Booth Panel 43-1, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Jeremy Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Jeremy Panel 24-2, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
James Ian Panel 40-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Kevin Panel 29-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Sergio C. Panel 27-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor
Sergio C. Panel 27-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Denise M. Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Denise M. Panel 30-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Jennifer Edwards Panel 50-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Katherine Cramer Panel 28-4, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Katherine Cramer Panel 28-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 6th floor
Mary Barbara Panel 30-13, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Mary Barbara Panel 35-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Mary Barbara Panel 36-12, Sat 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Shannon Drysdale Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
John Frederic Panel 45-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Eric N. Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Eric N. Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Meir Panel 5-22, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Meir Panel 5-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Eugene Panel 21-2, Thur 10:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Hanes Panel 25-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Hanes Panel 25-9, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Hanes Panel 31-10, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Jonathan Panel 38-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Jonathan Panel 40-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Jonathan Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Cheng-Lung Panel 48-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Cheng-Lung Panel 48-8, Sun 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Chin-Shou Panel 45-6, Fri 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Wang, Ching-Hsing Panel 4-22, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Wang, Gang Panel 6-6, Fri 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Wang, Gang Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Wang, Juan Panel 10-4, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Wang, Ming Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Wang, Ming Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Wang, Shuping Panel 14-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Wang, Yuhua Panel 5-3, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Wang, Yuhua Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Wang, Yuhua Panel 10-2, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Wanless, Emily O. Panel 41-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Wanless, Emily O. Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Wantchekon, Leonard Panel 4-21, Fri 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Warber, Adam L. Panel 41-5, Fri 12:45 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Ward, Ann Panel 32-4, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Ward, Lee Panel 33-6, Thur 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Ward, Lee Panel 33-8, Fri 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Ward, Michael Panel 18-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Ward, Michael Panel 18-30, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Warnement, Megan K. Panel 29-21, Fri 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Warren, Dorian Tod Panel 31-16, Thur 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Warren, Dorian Tod Roundtable 1-100, Thur 12:45 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Warren, T. Camber Panel 21-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Warren, T. Camber Panel 18-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Warrick, Catherine Panel 43-1, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Warshaw, Christopher Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Warshaw, Christopher Panel 25-22, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Warshaw, Shirley A. Panel 41-12, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Wasby, Stephen L. Panel 45-2, Thur 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
Wasby, Stephen L. Panel 45-20, Thur 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Wasby, Stephen L. Roundtable 45-100, Fri 12:45 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Wasow, Omar Tomas Panel 38-11, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Wassenberg, Pinky Roundtable 54-101, Thur 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Wassmann, Andrew Panel 49-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Watson, Jesse T. Panel 9-3, Fri 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Watkins, Aqela A. Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Watson, Sara Author Meets Critics 2-100, Thur 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Watson, Sara Panel 2-2, Thur 12:45 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Watts, Candis S. Panel 31-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Watts, Candis S. Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Watts, Deanna J. Panel 43-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Waugh, Andrew Scott Panel 23-2, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Wawro, Gregory John Panel 45-24, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Weaver, Timothy Panel 54-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Webb, Brian Panel 42-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Webber, David J. Panel 47-5, Sun 10:25 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
Weber, Christopher Panel 27-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Weber, Christopher Panel 27-8, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Weber, Christopher Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Weber, Jeffrey R. Panel 50-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Weber, Till Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Weber, Yuval Panel 16-2, Thur 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Wedeking, Justin Panel 45-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Wedeking, Justin Panel 45-29, Sat 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Wee, Leslie Panel 33-8, Fri 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Weeks, Jessica Panel 16-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
Weghorst, Keith Panel 11-3, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Weghorst, Keith Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Wei, Chi-Hung Panel 5-17, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Wei, Chia-yin Panel 29-23, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Weible, Chris Panel 50-6, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Weible, Chris Panel 51-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor

Marshfield on the 3rd floor

- Weldenfeld, Matthew C. Panel 36-17, Sat 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Weldenfeld, Matthew C. Panel 36-22, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Welkent, Joshua John Panel 29-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Weinberg, Joe Panel 15-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Weinberg, Joe Poster 61-201, Fri 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Weinberg, Stephen Panel 49-10, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Weinberg, Stephen Panel 36-15, Sat 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
- Weinberg, Stephen Panel 50-20, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Weiner, Brian A. Panel 36-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Weiner, Brian A. Panel 36-20, Sat 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Weinrebek, Aaron Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
- Weinrebek, Aaron Panel 24-6, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Weinstein, Laura A. Panel 11-3, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Weinstein, Sarah Quinn Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Wely, Bonnie Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Weisberg, Herb Panel 25-16, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Weisger, Alex Panel 17-3, Thur 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
- Weisger, Alex Panel 17-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
- Weiss, Casey Ellen Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Weiss, Jessica Chan Panel 18-4, Thur 7:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Weisbert, Carol S. Panel 47-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
- Weisbert, Carol S. Panel 47-10, Sat 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Weiss, Paul Thomas Panel 27-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Weiss, Paul Thomas Panel 28-24, Fri 12:45 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Weitz-Shapiro, Rebecca Panel 7-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
- Weitz-Shapiro, Rebecca Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Welch, Eric W. Panel 50-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Welch, Eric W. Panel 39-3, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Welch, Eric W. Panel 53-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
- Welton, S. Laurel Roundtable 64-104, Thur 4:35 pm, Crystal on the 3rd floor
- Weller, Nicholas Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Wellman, Elizabeth Jane Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Wells, Dominic D. Panel 29-25, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Wells, Matthew Sherman Panel 17-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Wells, Robert A. Panel 29-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Welnik, Shawn Panel 32-6, Sat 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
- Wemlinger, Elizabeth Neal Panel 30-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Wendell, Dana Panel 50-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
- Wentland, Scott Panel 34-12, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
- Wenzel, James P. Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Werner, Timothy Daniel Panel 46-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
- Werner, Timothy Daniel Panel 1-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- West, Benjamin Panel 32-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
- West, Courtney Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- West, Samuel Panel 41-14, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Westergren, Christopher John Panel 50-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
- Western, David S. Panel 36-10, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
- Western, David S. Panel 36-20, Sat 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Westfall, Aubrey Lorraine Panel 8-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Westrich, Erin Panel 27-8, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Westwood, Sean Jeremy Panel 29-20, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Westwood, Sean Jeremy Panel 28-34, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Whalley, Alex Panel 47-12, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
- Whalley, Alex Panel 47-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
- Whelan, Trish Panel 18-11, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
- Whelan, Elizabeth Erin Panel 45-25, Sat 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Whipple, Dana Kelley Panel 72-3, Thur 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- White, Fiona Ann Panel 21-11, Sat 10:25 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- White, Ismail K. Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- White, Joseph M. Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- White, John Kenneth Panel 40-9, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
- White, Julie Anne Panel 36-13, Sat 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- White, Julie Anne Panel 36-20, Sat 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
- Whitefield, Stephen Douglas Panel 13-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Whiteley, Paul Panel 25-1, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Whiteley, Paul Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Whiteley, Paul Roundtable 8-100, Sat 4:35 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Whitman, Meredith Panel 72-1, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Whitford, Andrew Roundtable 70-100, Sat 12:45 pm, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Whitford, Andrew Roundtable 70-101, Sun 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Whiting, Kelley Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Whitney, David M. Panel 36-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
- Whitney, David M. Panel 34-19, Sat 4:35 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
- Whitton, Natalie Elizabeth Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Whyman, Michelle Panel 50-7, Fri 10:25 am, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
- Whyte, Emma Panel 13-14, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
- Wibbels, Erik Author Meets Critics 2-101, Sat 7:40 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
- Wibbels, Erik Panel 18-30, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
- Wibrowsky, Amber Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Wibrowsky, Amber Panel 23-4, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Wibrowsky, Amber Panel 22-5, Fri 2:40 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Wickramasekera, Nyanara Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Wildop, Paul Panel 23-13, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
- Williamson, Monica Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
- Will, Sara Panel 45-28, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
- Willcox, Clyde Panel 56-2, Thur 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
- Willcox, Clyde Panel 56-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
- Willcox, Clyde Roundtable 25-100, Fri 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Wilfahrt, Maribee Panel 11-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
- Willett, Juliana Marie Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
- Willk, Eric M. Panel 31-5, Fri 8:30 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
- Willersfeld, Gled Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Wilkeating, Brandon M. Panel 13-9, Sat 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
- Wilkinson, John Roundtable 42-100, Fri 10:25 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
- Wilkinson, John Panel 42-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
- Wilkinson, William R. Panel 44-6, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
- Wilkins, Arjun Samuel Panel 24-11, Sun 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
- Wilkins, Vicki M. Panel 53-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
- Wilkins, Vicki M. Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Wilkinson, Bettina Catala Panel 31-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
- Wilkinson, Bettina Catala Roundtable 64-101, Sat 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
- Will, Nora Panel 55-1, Thur 4:35 pm, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
- Williams, Brian N. Panel 53-3, Sat 2:40 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Williams, Bryan C. Panel 5-20, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
- Williams, Bryan C. Panel 5-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
- Williams, Christine B. Panel 39-3, Sun 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
- Williams, Joseph Russell Panel 42-19, Fri 12:45 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
- Williams, Laron Kenneth Panel 18-26, Sat 8:30 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
- Williams, Margaret S. Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
- Williams, Margaret S. Panel 30-16, Sun 8:30 am, Madison on the 3rd floor
- Williams, Shawn H. Panel 57-4, Sat 8:30 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
- Williams, Terah Panel 27-6, Fri 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor

Williams

Williams, Veron Poster 61-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Williamson, Sarah P. Panel 46-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Wills-Otero, Laura Panel 6-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Willmoth, Greg Panel 28-6, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Wilson, Brad Panel 29-12, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
Wilson, Conde J. Panel 8-10, Sat 8:30 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Wilson, David C. Panel 28-28, Sat 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Wilson, Dawn Rose Panel 49-11, Thur 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Wilson, Jennifer Panel 36-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Wilson, Julia C. Panel 71-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Wilson, Lindsey Panel 28-33, Fri 8:30 am, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Wilson, Matthew Charles Panel 16-20, Fri 4:35 pm, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Wilson, Rick K. Roundtable 1-102, Thur 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Wilton, Dave Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Witte, Evren Celik Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
Wimmer, Andrea Panel 4-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Wimpy, Cameron C. Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Winburn, Jonathan Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Winburn, Jonathan Panel 47-15, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Winog, Kenneth M. Panel 29-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Winog, Kenneth M. Panel 22-6, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Winstead, William Panel 36-9, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Winstead, William Panel 36-13, Sat 12:45 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Winter, David G. Panel 27-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Winter, Soeren C. Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
Winters, Kathleen H. Panel 45-14, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Winters, Matthew S. Panel 15-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Winters, Matthew S. Panel 15-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Wipf, Justin Panel 3-3, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Wiseman, Alan E. Panel 37-7, Fri 8:30 am, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Wiseman, Alan E. Panel 37-11, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
Wisbart, Alexandra Zena Akiba Panel 18-28, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Wisniewski, Matthew Panel 50-18, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Witmer, Richard C. Roundtable 57-102, Thur 10:25 am, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Witmer, Richard C. Panel 6-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Witmer, Richard C. Panel 57-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Witz, John E. Panel 50-2, Thur 10:25 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Witmann, Frank Panel 40-6, Fri 2:40 pm, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Witmer, Dan Panel 30-18, Fri 4:35 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Wittmer, Dawn Panel 50-16, Sun 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Wizlen, Christopher Author Meets Critics 41-100, Sat 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Wizlen, Christopher Panel 8-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Wolford, Claire Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Wohlers, Tony E. Panel 2-10, Sat 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Wohlers, Tony E. Panel 2-13, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Wohlforth, Patrick C. Panel 45-10, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Wohlforth, Patrick C. Panel 52-5, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Wojcieszak, Magdalena E. Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Wojcieszak, Magdalena E. Panel 29-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
Wojcieszak, Magdalena E. Panel 29-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Wojcieszak, Magdalena E. Panel 28-27, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Wojcik, Stefan J. Panel 40-15, Thur 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Wolak, Jennifer Panel 46-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Wolak, Jennifer Panel 46-11, Fri 4:35 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Wolak, Jennifer Panel 27-19, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Wolak, Jennifer Panel 28-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Wolbrecht, Christina Panel 30-3, Thur 4:35 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Wolf, Gregory Joseph Panel 28-20, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor

Wolf, Gregory Joseph Panel 56-13, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Wolf, Jeremy Panel 36-15, Sat 10:25 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Wolf, Michael Raymond Panel 40-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Wolf, Michael Raymond Panel 28-7, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Wolfe, Michelle Panel 29-22, Sat 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Wolff, Andrew T. Panel 17-21, Fri 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
Wolff, Andrew T. Panel 17-15, Sat 2:40 pm, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
Wolford, Michael Scott Panel 20-2, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
Wolford, Michael Scott Panel 18-7, Fri 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
Wolford, Michael Scott Panel 72-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
Wolford, Michael Scott Panel 18-20, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Woo, Jihyoo Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Wonders, Brooke Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Wonders, Nancy A. Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Wong, Baldwin Poster 71-200, Thur 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Wong, Chris Panel 27-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Wong, Cara Panel 27-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Wong, Janelle S. Panel 56-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Wong, Janelle S. Panel 56-13, Sun 8:30 am, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
Wong, Kenneth Panel 47-2, Thur 10:25 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
Woo, Byungwon Panel 15-18, Thur 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
Woo, Byungwon Panel 15-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Woo, Byungwon Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Woo, Do Jun Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
Woo, Jan Hee Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Wood, Allen Panel 71-9, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 4 on the 7th floor
Wood, B. Dan Author Meets Critics 41-100, Sat 8:30 am, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Wood, Curt Panel 47-10, Sat 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Wood, Jason Andrew Panel 27-2, Sun 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Wood, Jelle M. F. Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Wood, Jelle M. F. Panel 7-16, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
Wood, Robert S. Panel 50-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Wood, Simon Panel 56-12, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Wood, Thomas Julian Panel 23-1, Thur 8:30 am, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Wood, Thomas Julian Panel 36-5, Fri 10:25 am, Wilson on the 3rd floor
Wood, William Peter Panel 56-3, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Woody, Devin R. Panel 68-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Woodruff, Michael J. Panel 45-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
Woods, Neal D. Roundtable 70-101, Sun 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Woodson, Ben Panel 27-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
Woodson, Ben Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Woolley, John Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Woom, Jonathan Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Woom, Jonathan Panel 37-13, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
Woom, Jonathan Panel 42-17, Sun 8:30 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
Worch, Derek Panel 8-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Workman, Samuel Panel 50-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Workman, Samuel Panel 42-3, Sun 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
Workman, Jeff Panel 31-1, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Worthington, Alton Boyd Hale Panel 6-5, Thur 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
Worthington, Alton Boyd Hale Panel 14-19, Sat 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Wright, Christian Poster 61-201, Sat 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Wright, Jack Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Wright, Joseph Panel 15-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Wright, Joseph Panel 15-12, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Wright, Matthew Panel 27-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Price on the 5th floor

Wright, Matthew D. Panel 12-3, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Wright, Nathaniel Panel 53-7, Fri 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
 Wright, Nathaniel Panel 53-14, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Wright, Theoria Martin Panel 18-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Wrighton, Mark Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Wrinkle, Robert D. Panel 69-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Wrobel, Sharon L. Panel 53-12, Sat 10:25 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Wronski, Julia Panel 27-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
 Wryselinski, Laurent W. Panel 50-10, Fri 4:35 pm, Dearborn 1 on the 7th floor
 Wu, Abra Panel 51-3, Thur 2:40 pm, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Wu, Chonghua Panel 14-27, Sat 10:25 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Wu, Fengshi Panel 5-3, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Wu, Fengshi Panel 5-10, Sat 4:35 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Wu, Liyan Panel 6-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
 Wu, Wen-Chin Panel 15-12, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Wu, Xuanxuan Poster 61-200, Fri 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Wu, Yan-Chen Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Wucherplemig, Julian Panel 20-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Wyllie, Kristin Noella Panel 30-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Wyllie, Kristin Noella Panel 30-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Xenias, Anastasia Panel 15-15, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Xi, Tianyang Panel 18-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Xi, Tianyang Panel 3-4, Fri 4:35 pm, Price on the 5th floor
 Xi, Tianyang Panel 4-10, Sat 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Xia, Ying Panel 10-6, Thur 4:35 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Xie, Tao Panel 10-1, Thur 8:30 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Xie, Tao Panel 10-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Xu, Ping Panel 14-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Xu, Ping Panel 46-14, Sat 2:40 pm, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Xu, Xiaohong Panel 19-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Xylinas, Christina V. Panel 30-6, Fri 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Xylinas, Christina V. Panel 30-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 1 on the 3rd floor
 Xylinas, Christina V. Panel 30-11, Sat 8:30 am, Parlor G on the 6th floor
 Yackee, Susan Webb Panel 52-4, Fri 10:25 am, Burnham 1 on the 7th floor
 Yackee, Susan Webb Panel 52-6, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Yamamoto, Teppai Panel 25-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
 Yan, Xiaojun Panel 5-3, Thur 10:25 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Yan, Xiaojun Panel 5-8, Thur 4:35 pm, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
 Yang, Cheng-yu Panel 45-6, Fri 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Yang, Dail L. Panel 10-4, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 Yang, Dou-Yao Poster 62-200, Thur 12:45 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Yang, Juanghai Panel 29-14, Thur 8:30 am, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Yang, Kaiheng Panel 53-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Yang, Seo Jia Panel 12-6, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
 Yang, Seung-Bum Panel 49-15, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 Yano, Maura E. Roundtable 57-101, Sat 2:40 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
 Yates, Heather Elaine Panel 22-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Yates, Heather Elaine Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
 Yates, Matthew Matt Yates Panel 54-4, Thur 4:35 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Yazdani, Lillian Panel 12-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Yazici Aydemir, Nisa Panel 53-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Ye, Min Panel 25-19, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Yegen, Oya Panel 5-9, Fri 11:25 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Yegen, Oya Panel 36-1, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 3 on the 7th floor
 Yelle, Jennifer Panel 4-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Yen, Yungling Panel 10-13, Sun 8:30 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Yeo, Jungwoo Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Yermolitz, Joshua Panel 68-1, Thur 2:40 pm, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Yi, Dee Jim Panel 4-7, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
 Yıldırım, A. Kadir Panel 4-13, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 Yıldırım, A. Kadir Panel 14-17, Sun 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Yoder, Brandon K. Panel 17-3, Thur 10:25 am, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Yoksis, Adam George Panel 11-1, Thur 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor


Yoksis, Adam George Panel 33-9, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Youanlai, James Panel 18-9, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 2 on the 7th floor
 York, Ryan Panel 46-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Sandburg 8 on the 7th floor
 York, Ryan Merrill Panel 51-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
 You, Hyun Joo Panel 17-20, Thur 4:35 pm, Burnham 5 on the 7th floor
 You, In Tae Panel 15-11, Sat 8:30 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 You, Sangbeom Panel 19-2, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Youn, Jiso Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
 Youn, Jiso Panel 48-2, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 4 on the 7th floor
 Youn, Kwang-Ji Panel 2-8, Fri 4:35 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Youn, Kwang-Ji Panel 2-1, Sat 8:30 am, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 York, Abigail Panel 51-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
 York, Abigail Panel 51-11, Sat 2:40 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 You, Cheokwang Panel 16-3, Thur 12:45 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 You, Cheokwang Panel 20-14, Sun 8:30 am, Millennium Parlor on the 6th floor
 Young, Daniel J. Panel 45-11, Sat 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Young, Dhanraj Panel 29-3, Fri 4:35 pm, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Young, Jonathan Curtis Panel 27-1, Thur 8:30 am, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
 Young, Joseph K. Panel 18-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Young, Joseph K. Panel 17-23, Sun 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Young, Joseph K. Panel 18-3, Sun 10:25 am, Congress on the 3rd floor
 Young, Laura D. Panel 15-1, Thur 8:30 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
 Young, McGee Panel 40-13, Sun 10:25 am, Logan on the 3rd floor
 Young, McKenzie Poster 63-200, Sat 10:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor
 Young-Burns, Kiah Yamella Rose Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Younger, Lorraine Panel 25-13, Sat 2:40 pm, Salon 5 on the 3rd floor
 Yu, Bin Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Yu, Bin Panel 10-12, Sat 2:40 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Yu, Peng Panel 21-1, Fri 12:45 pm, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Yu, Yongshan Panel 16-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Medinah Parlor on the 6th floor
 Yuen, Chi-Wa Panel 14-1, Thur 8:30 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Yuzaitis, Carla Panel 36-11, Sat 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Yuzaitis, Carla Panel 36-14, Sat 2:40 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Yoo, Hyun Jung Panel 28-1, Thur 8:30 am, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Yoo, Hyun Jung Panel 29-2, Thur 12:45 pm, Chicago on the 5th floor
 Yoo, Hyun Jung Panel 28-36, Fri 2:40 pm, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
 Yun, Su Kyeong Panel 10-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Yang, Corey Rayburn Panel 45-22, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 8 on the 3rd floor
 Yurani, Jeanine Eshiko Panel 57-1, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
 Zaccariello, Daniel James Panel 19-1, Fri 10:25 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
 Zagorki, Paul W. Panel 54-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
 Zakaria, Patty Panel 5-6, Thur 12:45 pm, Clark 10 on the 7th floor
 Zakaria, Patty Panel 13-11, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Zakaria, Patty Panel 12-8, Sun 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Zakharova, Maria Panel 8-8, Fri 10:25 am, Clark 3 on the 7th floor
 Zaporozhnik, Tasha Loryan Poster 63-202, Sat 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Zaretsky, David Panel 29-5, Fri 8:30 am, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Zaretsky, David Panel 29-13, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 3 on the 7th floor
 Zechmeister, Elizabeth Panel 7-5, Thur 4:35 pm, Wilson on the 3rd floor
 Zechmeister, Elizabeth Panel 7-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
 Zechmeister, Elizabeth Poster 60-201, Fri 4:35 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
 Zechmeister, Elizabeth Panel 7-15, Sat 8:30 am, Clark 1 on the 7th floor
 Ziemering, Eric S. Panel 47-4, Fri 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
 Ziemering, Eric S. Panel 47-10, Sat 10:25 am, Marshfield on the 3rd floor
 Zelsberg, Mariab Panel 44-7, Sat 2:40 pm, Ashland on the 3rd floor
 Zeltzoff, Thomas Panel 27-8, Sat 10:25 am, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
 Zelenka, Tetyana Panel 49-4, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
 Zelenka, Tetyana Panel 2-6, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
 Zentil, Linda Panel 14-11, Sat 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Zhang, Chungdong Panel 6-6, Fri 8:30 am, Cresthill on the 3rd floor
 Zhang, Dong Panel 4-19, Thur 12:45 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
 Zhang, Hongyu Poster 61-200, Fri 11:25 am, Grand on the 4th floor


Zhang

Zhang, Shanruo Ning Panel 10-10, Sat 10:25 am, Ashland on the 3rd floor
Zhang, Wu Panel 13-3, Thur 4:35 pm, LaSalle 5 on the 7th floor
Zhang, Wu Panel 13-10, Sat 10:25 am, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Zhang, Xiowen Panel 14-9, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 7 on the 7th floor
Zhang, Xiowen Panel 15-23, Sat 10:25 am, Sandburg 4 on the 7th floor
Zhang, Zhenqing Panel 10-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Zhao, Jerry Panel 53-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Zharov, Andrey Panel 14-7, Fri 10:25 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Zhou, Qiang Panel 15-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Congress on the 3rd floor
Zhou, Qiang Panel 15-10, Fri 8:30 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Zhou, Qiang Poster 60-200, Fri 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Zhu, Boliang Panel 15-13, Sat 12:45 pm, Dearborn 3 on the 7th floor
Zhu, Boliang Panel 15-17, Sun 10:25 am, LaSalle 3 on the 7th floor
Zhu, Ling Panel 53-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Zhu, Ling XI Panel 49-13, Fri 4:35 pm, Medina Parlor on the 6th floor
Zhu, Zhiqun Panel 10-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Medina Parlor on the 6th floor
Zhu, Zhiqun Panel 10-9, Fri 4:35 pm, Montrose 2 on the 7th floor
Zhuravskaya, Ekaterina Panel 7-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Salon 7 on the 3rd floor
Zicha, Brandon C. Panel 26-12, Fri 8:30 am, Buckingham on the 5th floor
Zicha, Brandon C. Panel 29-7, Fri 10:25 am, Kimball on the 3rd floor
Zicha, Brandon C. Panel 29-9, Fri 2:40 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Zicha, Brandon C. Panel 2-14, Sun 10:25 am, Grant Park Parlor on the 6th floor
Ziegfeld, Adam Panel 10-5, Thur 12:45 pm, Sandburg 2 on the 7th floor
Ziegfeld, Adam Panel 4-5, Fri 8:30 am, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Ziegfeld, Adam Panel 10-7, Fri 10:25 am, Dearborn 2 on the 7th floor
Ziemann, Karita Panel 25-27, Fri 4:35 pm, Burnham 2 on the 7th floor
Zillis, Michael Panel 29-1, Thur 10:25 am, Price on the 5th floor
Zilianda, Arde Panel 40-2, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 12 on the 3rd floor
Zimmerman, Brigitte Panel 4-8, Thur 2:40 pm, Salon 2 on the 3rd floor
Zimmerman, Brigitte Panel 11-4, Sat 4:35 pm, Indiana on the 3rd floor
Zimmerman, Matthew Panel 37-12, Sat 8:30 am, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Zingher, Joshua N. Panel 23-8, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 7 on the 7th floor
Zink, James Panel 45-12, Sat 12:45 pm, Burnham 4 on the 7th floor
Zink, James Panel 33-12, Sat 4:35 pm, Montrose 5 on the 7th floor
Zirakzadeh, Cyrus Ernesto Roundtable 1-102, Thur 4:35 pm, Water Tower Parlor on the 6th floor
Zuerli, Sonja Panel 7-4, Thur 2:40 pm, Madison on the 3rd floor
Zuerli, Sonja Panel 7-6, Fri 8:30 am, LaSalle 1 on the 7th floor
Zwada, Melissa Marie Poster 59-200, Thur 2:40 pm, Grand on the 4th floor
Zygalis, Shaina's Zoellner Panel 12-6, Sun 8:30 am, Sandburg 5 on the 7th floor
Zomerfeld, Henry Panel 31-8, Fri 2:40 pm, Salon 6 on the 3rd floor
Zorn, Christopher J. Panel 45-15, Fri 12:45 pm, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Zorn, Christopher J. Panel 45-7, Sat 12:45 pm, Clark 5 on the 7th floor
Zubida, Hans Panel 40-5, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 3 on the 3rd floor
Zuckert, Catherine H. Panel 71-2, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 4 on the 3rd floor
Zuckert, Michael P. Roundtable 32-100, Fri 4:35 pm, Salon 10 on the 3rd floor
Zuckert, Rachel Roundtable 33-100, Thur 4:35 pm, Hancock Parlor on the 6th floor
Zuercher, Robert Panel 24-4, Fri 8:30 am, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Zumbrennen, John Author Meets Critics 32-103, Thur 8:30 am, Spire Parlor on the 6th floor
Zumbrennen, John Roundtable 32-102, Thur 12:45 pm, Salon 9 on the 3rd floor
Zuo, Vera Panel 10-11, Sat 12:45 pm, Sandburg 6 on the 7th floor
Zwald, Zachary Panel 17-9, Fri 12:45 pm, Clark 9 on the 7th floor
Zwald, Zachary Panel 17-10, Fri 2:40 pm, Clark 1 on the 7th floor

Third Floor


HOTEL STAFF





Fourth Floor

Fifth Floor



Sixth Floor

ADAMS ROOM

MONROE ROOM

MILLENNIUM PARLOR

WAGLEY PARLOR


WATERTOWER PARLOR


SPIRE PARLOR

MEDINAH PARLOR

HANCOCK PARLOR

GRANT PARK PARLOR





Seventh Floor

Notes



PROVALIS RESEARCH

Easy yet powerful tools for text analysis



QDA MINER

The Mixed Method Solution
for Qualitative Analysis



WORDSTAT

Computer Assisted
Text Analysis Software



SIMSTAT

Statistical Analysis
and Simulation Software

Do you need to analyse news, transcripts, open-ended responses, or large collections of documents?

Provalis Research software suite offers unprecedented flexibility for text analysis by combining an intuitive mixed-model qualitative analysis software with advanced visualization techniques, state-of-the-art content analysis tools and innovative text mining methods. Its seamless integration of textual, numerical and categorical data and the wide range of statistical techniques readily available greatly facilitates the identification of relationships between text analysis results and structured information.

Test drive our software suite today!

Download a fully functional, full capacity, FREE trial version (limited to 30 days) from our Website.

For a demonstration and a free CD, visit our exhibit booth #200

"In sum, QDA Miner possesses outstanding mixed-model analytical tools, all of which work well. We are impressed not only by the range of functionality represented in this software, but also by the good design evident in how the program's user interface presents the various components of each tool to the user."

Field Methods, Spring 2007

"One of the first software produced to enable elegant thematic coding devices and content analysis tools"

**N. Fielding, R. Lee, A. Lewins, & C. Silver
CAQDAS Networking Project, 2006**

"The suite of software options allows for a more highly focused examination of what text truly is saying, while at the same time offering a wider variety of ways to display results and a stronger statistical foundation upon which users can conduct analyses than most content analysis software currently available on the market."

The Political Methodologist, Spring 2007

www.provalisresearch.com


Tel: 514-899-1672 Email: info@provalisresearch.com Fax: 514-899-1750 Mail: 2414 Bennett Ave, Montreal, QC, H1V 3S4 CANADA

CAMBRIA PRESS


New Critical Books for All University Libraries



Lauren Cohen Bell



9781604977349 • 216 pp



9781604977486 • 304 pp



9781604977264 • 380 pp


The dataset in this book represents the most comprehensive list of Senate filibusters. It provides a fully current (through the end of the 110th Congress) list of Senate filibusters from the first recorded instance in 1790. It undergirds a comprehensive historical analysis and a full exploration of both microlevel (individual senator) determinants of filibustering and macrolevel (institutional) factors that affect filibustering.

"This book confirms in scholarly data what common sense suggests ... an important guide."


— Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr.,
Governor of Indiana

This first critical study of the SPEECH Act of 2010 examines the specifics and likely application of this legislation as well as the underlying phenomenon of Libel Tourism.


Politics, Institutions, and Public Policy in America book series by Scott Frisch and Sean Kelly




9781604977448 • 240 pp



9781604977226 • 278 pp




9781604977523 • 300 pp




9781604977295 • 248 pp




9781604977035 • 232 pp



9781604977028 • 465 pp



9781604976557 • 228 pp



9781604976380 • 408 pp